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We have studied the kinetics of $N_2(X,v)$ with silane in a discharge-flow reactor using metastable energy transfer diagnostics. $N_2(B\ 3\Pi_g, v'\leq12)$ fluorescence, excited in the interaction between metastable $N_2(A\ 3\Pi_u)$ and $N_2(X\ 1\Sigma^+_g, v)$, monitored vibrational levels between five and at least thirteen, while $N_2^+(B\ 2\Sigma^+_g, v'=0-8)$ fluorescence, excited by metastable helium atoms, diagnoses vibrational levels between one and six. The rate coefficients for quenching vibrationally excited nitrogen by silane range from 1 to $6 \times 10^{-13}\ cm^3\ molecule^{-1}\ s^{-1}$, being higher for the higher vibrational levels.

Observations at 4500 nm show that about 15% of the $N_2(X,v)$ quenching events excite $SiH_4(\nu_3)$. Presumably the rest of the energy is absorbed by other vibrational modes.
In a separate series of experiments silane was added to an active nitrogen discharge afterglow and the reaction products impinged upon a heated single crystal silicon substrate further downstream. Infrared absorption spectroscopy showed that the coatings generated were silicon nitride, although some hydrogen was incorporated into the films. In addition, the active nitrogen/silane interaction generated a quantity of fine (micron sized particles), white silicon nitride powder.
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1. SUMMARY

We have investigated the kinetics of N₂(X, ν) quenching by silane. These studies were a first step in understanding the kinetic processes important in silicon nitride coating systems using the remote plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition technique. Our experiments were carried out in a discharge-flow reactor and used metastable energy transfer diagnostics to detect N₂(X, ν) in vibrational levels from zero up to at least 13.

We used metastable helium Penning ionization to detect vibrational levels between zero and six, and N₂(A) energy transfer to detect levels from five up to at least 13. Metastable helium excites N₂(X, ν) to N₂⁺(B²Σ⁺). By analyzing the resultant N₂⁺(B²Σ⁺-X) fluorescence we can determine the vibrational populations of N₂(X, ν) quantitatively. N₂(A³Σ⁺) excites N₂(X, ν) to N₂(B³Πg). Analysis of the subsequent N₂(B³Πg-A³Σ_u +) fluorescence gives a semiquantitative estimate of the vibrational distributions for levels from 5 up to at least 13.

We measured the rate coefficients by monitoring the decrease in the populations of N₂(X, ν) as a function of [SiH₄] and time between the injection of the silane and detection of the N₂(X, ν). We analyzed our data assuming an exponential decay of the N₂(X, ν) level under investigation. In addition, the data for vibrational levels 0 through 6 were analyzed according to a single-quantum transfer model. The exponential decay analysis only gives the effective removal of N₂(X, ν) in the observed vibrational level, essentially the difference between the removal of vibrational energy from a given level to those below it and the feed into the vibrational level from those above it. The single-quantum transfer model, on the other hand accounts for feed from higher vibrational levels. Our results indicate that the rate coefficient for quenching N₂(X, ν) by silane varies from about 1 x 10⁻¹³ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ for ν=1 up to about 6 x 10⁻¹³ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ for vibrational levels above 5.

We detected fluorescence from SiH₄(ν₃) at 4500 nm when silane and N₂(X, ν) were mixed, thus indicating that one channel for the quenching reaction is vibration-vibration (v-v) energy transfer. Comparing the relative excitation rate coefficients of SiH₄(ν₃) and N₂O(ν₃), excited when N₂O was added to a flow of N₂(X, ν), indicated that only about 15% of the total N₂(X, ν) quenching results in SiH₄(ν₃) excitation. This assumes that N₂O(ν₃) excitation is the only channel for N₂(X, ν) quenching by N₂O. Presumably the rest of the quenching appears in other vibrational modes of SiH₄, although ν₃ excitation would be expected to be favored since v-v transfer to ν₃ is resonant to within kT for single quantum transfer from all N₂(X, ν) vibrational levels below 16.

We had planned to investigate the possibility that N₂(X, ν) quenching would lead to SiH₄ dissociation by monitoring H-atom production using vacuum ultraviolet (vuv) resonance fluorescence at 121.6 nm. Unfortunately, our vuv detection sensitivity below 130 nm was so small H-atom resonance fluorescence was undetectable even when significant number densities of H atoms were purposely added to the reactor. This degeneration seems to be a recent problem since our system sensitivity was quite good several years ago.
We began a series of experiments in which we coated single crystal silicon chips with silicon nitride. These experiments were performed in another discharge-flow reactor. In this apparatus, active nitrogen was generated in a microwave discharge sustained in a flowing mixture of nitrogen in argon. Silane was added to the discharge afterglow and the reaction products impinged upon a heated substrate further downstream. Infrared absorption spectroscopy showed that the coatings generated were indeed silicon nitride, although some hydrogen was incorporated into the films. In addition to the coatings, the active nitrogen/silane interaction generated a quantity of fine (micron sized particles), white powder. Infrared absorption spectroscopy indicated this powder to be silicon nitride.
2. INTRODUCTION

Silicon nitride films find wide application as abrasion-, corrosion-, and oxidation-resistant coatings to protect machined parts in high temperature environments. They are used in numerous ways including radiant heat shields, rocket nozzle inserts and crucibles for melting and refining. Some applications require relatively cool substrate temperatures during the coating process so that other species on the substrate don’t evaporate or migrate to undesirable areas of the substrate. The limited mechanical strength of some substrate structures necessitates cool substrate temperatures during the coating process.¹

Switching from normal chemical vapor deposition (CVD), for which substrate temperatures typically are 700 to 1000°C, to plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) allows coating down to temperatures on the order of 200 to 500°C.² Typical conditions for PECVD involve placing the substrate to be coated inside a discharge maintained in mixture of SiH₄ and either N₂ or NH₃. This procedure typically results in the incorporation of between 10 and 30 atom percent hydrogen in the coating. For some applications, this level of hydrogen is unacceptable.

A variation of PECVD, which also is suitable for substrate temperatures on the order of 200 to 500°C, and which results in undetectable concentrations of Si-H or N-H bonds in deposited films, has been dubbed by one of its developers "remote plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition" (RPECVD).³ In this technique, the discharge is removed from the substrate. Silane is added to the effluents of a discharge in flowing nitrogen. Energetic species in the discharged nitrogen (generally called "active nitrogen") decompose the silane; the reaction products from this decomposition then impinge upon the substrate and lay down a film of silicon nitride.

While both PECVD and RPECVD have been studied and used for a number of years, the important reactions occurring in the plasma and plasma afterglow are not well understood. This lack of understanding hampers efforts to improve the efficiency and purity of silicon nitride coating processes.

Several groups have suggested that atomic nitrogen is of prime importance in the dissociation of silane.⁴,⁵ Indeed, one study has shown that the extent of silane decomposition in the active nitrogen scaled linearly with the number density of nitrogen atoms in the active nitrogen flow.⁶ Atomic nitrogen cannot be the only species controlling silane decomposition because the reaction between nitrogen atoms and silane is endoergic by about half of an electron volt.⁷ Furthermore we have shown⁸ that the rate coefficient for the reaction between atomic nitrogen and silane is insignificant (k < 8 x 10⁻¹⁴ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹). The reactions of nitrogen atoms with SiHₓ radicals with x ≤ 3 are exoergic and undoubtedly do contribute to the overall decomposition of silane. Some species other than atomic nitrogen, however, must be responsible for the initial decomposition step.
While some investigators think that vibrationally excited nitrogen is crucially important in the initial decomposition step\textsuperscript{6,8}, several other research groups have concluded that vibrationally excited nitrogen does not appear to be important in the silane decomposition process.\textsuperscript{4,5,9}

Vibrationally excited nitrogen could contribute to silane dissociation in two different ways. In both paths the excited nitrogen would transfer several quanta of vibrational energy to the $\nu_1$ or $\nu_3$ modes of silane,

\[
N_2(v) + SiH_4 \rightarrow N_2(v-1) + SiH_4(\nu_3,\nu_1) \quad (1)
\]

These two modes of silane are essentially resonant (within one kT) for one quantum transfers from nitrogen molecules that are excited as high as the fifteenth vibrational level ($\sim$4 eV). Since resonant energy transfer processes generally proceed facilely this vibrational energy transfer process should be efficient.

The transfer of two quanta from $N_2(v)$ to $SiH_4$ is sufficient to render the reaction between ground-state nitrogen atoms and silane exoergic,

\[
SiH_4(2\nu_3,\nu_1) + N(^4S) \rightarrow SiH_3 + NH + 0.12 \text{ eV} \quad (2)
\]

The $SiH_x$ fragments can then be decomposed further in a series of N-atom reactions similar to reaction 2.

The other possibility is for the nitrogen to transfer vibrational energy to the silane until the silane obtains enough internal energy to dissociate unimolecularly,

\[
SiH_4(\nu_3,\nu_1) \rightarrow SiH_2 + H_2 \quad (n\geq9) \quad (3a)
\]

or

\[
SiH_4(\nu_3,\nu_1) \rightarrow SiH_3 + H \quad (n\geq15) \quad (3b)
\]

The $SiH_4$ decomposition would require at least nine quanta of nitrogen vibrational energy to effect the lowest energy decomposition step, that into $SiH_2$ and $H_2$. If reaction 3b is the preferred decomposition pathway, 15 $N_2(X, v)$ quanta will be required. The two branches can be distinguished rather easily because reaction 3b will produce atomic hydrogen, whereas reaction 3a will not. Vacuum ultraviolet resonance fluorescence measurements provide a simple yet sensitive diagnostic for hydrogen atoms.

The vibrational pumping of the silane could proceed directly from a series of energy transfer collisions between the vibrationally excited nitrogen and the silane,
\[ N_2(v) + \text{SiH}_4(m \nu_3, \nu_1) \rightarrow N_2(v-1) + \text{SiH}_4(m+1 \nu_3, \nu_1) \] ; \quad (4)

alternatively a number of energy pooling reactions between vibrationally excited silane molecules could occur:

\[ \text{SiH}_4(n \nu_3, \nu_1) + \text{SiH}_4(m \nu_3, \nu_1) \rightarrow \text{SiH}_4(n-1 \nu_3, \nu_1) + \text{SiH}_4(m+1 \nu_3, \nu_1) \] , \quad (5)

where \( m > n \).

O'Keefe and Lampe invoked energy-pooling processes to explain their observations of silane decomposition in mixtures of \( \text{SiF}_4 \) and \( \text{SiH}_4 \) that had been irradiated by a CO\(_2\) laser at wavelengths that are absorbed only by the \( \text{SiF}_4 \).\(^{10}\) They inferred that the laser irradiation resulted in vibrational excitation of the \( \text{SiF}_4 \), which subsequently transferred its energy to the \( \text{SiH}_4 \). The vibrationally excited \( \text{SiH}_4 \) then participated in a number of energy pooling collisions that ultimately led to decomposition into \( \text{SiH}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2 \). There was no decomposition of the \( \text{SiF}_4 \), only the \( \text{SiH}_4 \).

The temporal behavior of the decomposition following a laser pulse was quite interesting. Although the laser pulse lasted only about 2 \( \mu \)s, silane decomposition continued for roughly a millisecond. The decomposition rate reached a peak about 200 \( \mu \)s after the laser pulse and then decayed with a lifetime of approximately 300 \( \mu \)s. The most intriguing feature is that the decomposition process appeared to have an incubation period. The rate of decomposition was an order of magnitude greater during the second 100 \( \mu \)s than during the first 100 \( \mu \)s following the laser pulse.

The incubation period prior to rapid silane decomposition that O'Keefe and Lamp noted is reminiscent of observations in two recent flowing afterglow studies on the decomposition of silane in active nitrogen.\(^6,9\) Both studies noted an incubation period prior to silane decomposition. Horie et al.\(^9\) observed that both silane and atomic nitrogen number densities remained relatively constant over periods of time up to 35 ms, depending upon the ratio of initial number densities of atomic nitrogen to silane, and then decreased fairly rapidly after this incubation period. For example, under one set of conditions that they investigated, the silane and N-atom number densities decreased very little for more than 20 ms after the silane and active nitrogen had been mixed. During the next 6 to 8 ms, however, the silane and the N atoms were consumed completely. This peculiar behavior could be explained if something like 20 ms were required for nitrogen to couple adequate internal energy to the silane before it could decompose. Then once the decomposition was begun, its rate could accelerate rapidly if some reaction chain continued further decomposition.

DeJoseph proposed a mechanism that gave an alternative explanation to the incubation time.\(^6\) He postulated that the primary reaction necessary to begin silane decomposition was,

\[ N_2(X, \nu \geq 10) + \text{SiH}_4 \rightarrow N_2 + \text{SiH}_2 + \text{H}_2 \] . \quad (6)
The SiH$_2$ was then further decomposed by reactions with nitrogen atoms. The novel idea in this postulated decomposition mechanism was a series of three reactions that regenerated the N$_2$(v):

$$\text{SiN} + \text{N} \rightarrow \text{Si} + \text{N}_2(X, \nu \leq 20) \quad \text{(7)}$$

$$\text{Si} + \text{N}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{SiN}_2 + \text{M} \quad \text{(8)}$$

and

$$\text{SiN}_2 + \text{N} \rightarrow \text{SiN} + \text{N}_2(X, \nu \leq 13) \quad \text{(9)}$$

The net effect of these three reactions is to generate two N$_2$(v) molecules in the recombination of two N atoms. As the reaction progresses, therefore, the number density of the N$_2$(X, $\nu \geq 10$) builds up and this build up accelerates the rate of silane decomposition. With this set of reactions at its core, DeJoseph constructed a kinetic model for silane decomposition that reproduced moderately well the temporal observations of Horie et al. using a reasonable set of rate coefficients.

The basic mechanism for silane decomposition described by reactions 6 to 9 can be distinguished experimentally from that which incorporates reactions 1 to 5 because the temporal variation of the number densities of N$_2$(X, $\nu \geq 10$) will differ dramatically between the two models. The first mechanism, reaction 1 to 5, will have the N$_2$(X, $\nu \geq 10$) number densities diminish over time. Expectations based upon the second mechanism, reaction 6 to 9, on the other hand, are that the N$_2$(X, $\nu \geq 10$) number densities will increase by several orders of magnitude over time. Identifying the correct mechanism requires a diagnostic for vibrational levels of ground-state nitrogen greater than ten. We developed this capability recently during a course of investigations on the kinetics of N$_2$(X, $\nu$) in prospective chemical laser systems.$^{11,12}$

The basic goals of this program were to try to determine the importance vibrationally excited nitrogen plays in silane decomposition in silicon nitride coating systems. Our primary focus was on characterizing the reactions between N$_2$(X, $\nu$) and SiH$_4$. To this end we studied the kinetics of N$_2$(X, $\nu$) quenching by SiH$_4$ in some detail. In addition to determining rate coefficients as a function of vibrational level, we showed that the quenching process can be characterized as a series of single-quantum transfers and that one channel for the reaction is SiH$_4$(v$_3$) excitation.

We also began a series of experiments aimed at forming silicon nitride coatings on single-crystal substrates. Although these experiments were limited in extent, successful coatings were generated with little difficulty. In addition silicon nitride powder was also formed in these experiments.
3. EXPERIMENTAL

The experiments were all done in a discharge-flow reactor. Different configurations were used depending upon the effects being studied. The bulk of the experiments, designed to measure rate coefficients for $N_2(X, v)$ removal by $SiH_4$, used the apparatus shown schematically in Figure 1. It consists of a 4.6-cm i.d. quartz tube, 50 cm long. $N_2(X, v)$ is injected into the upstream end of the reactor, and is detected at the downstream end by monitoring fluorescence excited by the interaction of $N_2(X, v)$ with either $N_2(A)$ or $He(2^3S)$. A loop injector that can be moved along the length of the reactor mixes silane with $N_2(X, v)$. The flow reactor was pumped by a roots blower/forepump combination capable of producing flow velocities of $5 \times 10^3$ cm s$^{-1}$ at a pressure of 1 Torr. Generally much more modest flow velocities were required and the flow reactor access to the pump was throttled by a downstream butterfly valve.

$N_2(X, v)$ is prepared in a microwave discharge through a mixture of nitrogen dilute in helium. The effluents of the discharged mixture then pass through a nickel screen prior to their entry into the flow reactor. The screen removes the atoms and deactivates electronically excited metastables, but has relatively little effect on vibrationally excited $N_2$. Our previous observations$^{13}$ indicate that the Ni screen reduces N atom number densities about a factor of 50, to a level of about $1 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. This reduction is, of course, dependent to some extent upon the screen mesh and contact time between the discharge effluents and the screen. In our experiments the screen is about 24 mesh and consists of two coaxial cylinders about 5 cm long inserted into the 12.7-mm o.d. discharge
tube downstream from the discharge. They cover the opening in the side of the discharge tube through which the effluents must pass to enter the flow reactor.

Metastable He(2\(^3\)S) and N\(_2\)(A) enter the flow reactor through a 10 cm o.d. hook-shaped injector inserted into the flow reactor at its downstream end. The metastables are generated in the upstream end of the injector and emanate from the injector along the flow reactor axis. A hollow cathode, dc discharge, biased at 290V dc, generates He(2\(^3\)S) if the injector flow is pure helium, or metastable Ar(2\(^3\)P\(_{0,2}\)) if a few percent argon is added to the helium flow. The metastable argon is used to produce the N\(_2\)(A) metastables by excitation transfer.\(^{14}\) In this case, nitrogen is added in the injector just downstream from the discharge cathode. The lack of emission from N\(_2^+\)(C) or N\(_2^+\)(B, \(v \geq 2\)) when the He metastables are added to cold N\(_2\) shows that both He\(^+\) and He\(_2^+\) are absent from the flow of metastables entering the flow reactor.\(^{15}\)

Gas flow rates are measured by electronic mass flow meters, or in a few instances by rotameters, that have been calibrated by measuring the rates of pressure increase as a function of time when gases flow into a calibrated volume. Because some of our initial results were puzzling, the mass flow meters, were extensively recalibrated. The only significant change from this procedure was that the SiH\(_4\) calibration was about 15% less than we had anticipated based upon the flow meter calibration with argon and the standard correction procedure involving the ratio of gas specific heats. Capacitance manometers measured the flow reactor pressures.

A 0.5-m monochromator, sensitive to radiation between 200 and 900 nm, detected radiation in the flow reactor: the N\(_2\) first-negative bands, N\(_2^+\)(B\(^2\)\Sigma^+ - X\(^2\)\Sigma^+) between 350 and 500 nm, from the He\(^+\)(2\(^3\)S)/N\(_2\)(X, \(v \leq 6\)) interaction; the N\(_2\) first-positive bands, N\(_2\)(B\(^3\)\Pi\(_g\) - A\(^3\)\Sigma^+) between 560 and 900 nm, from the N\(_2\)(A\(^3\)\Sigma^+\_u)/N\(_2\)(X, \(v \geq 5\)) interaction; and the N\(_2\) Vegard-Kaplan bands, N\(_2\)(A\(^3\)\Sigma^+\_u - X\(^1\)\Sigma^+) between 250 and 400 nm, diagnostic of N\(_2\)(A) number densities. The monochromator had a 1200 groove mm\(^{-1}\) grating blazed at 250 nm and a thermoelectrically cooled photomultiplier. Signals from the photomultiplier were processed by either a photon-counting rate meter or a picoammeter. Their outputs were recorded by a strip-chart recorder and stored digitally by a lab computer system. A least-squares, spectral fitting procedure, described in some detail previously, determined band intensities from the spectra.\(^{16}\)

Another set of experiments used the apparatus shown schematically in Figure 2. These experiments were designed to monitor infrared fluorescence from SiH\(_4\) excited by vibration-vibration exchange from N\(_2\)(X, \(v\)). For these experiments a 5-cm i.d. flow tube was configured to allow spectral observations along the axis of the flow reactor. The upstream end of the flow reactor was sealed with a CaF\(_2\) window and placed directly in front of the monochromator slit. The effective field of view was about 32 cm long. Generally the N\(_2\)(X, \(v\)) entered directly in front of the window, normal to the axis of the field of view. Silane, or in some cases nitrous oxide, was added through a loop injector situated directly in front of the window. In some experiments, the N\(_2\)(X, \(v\)) and silane flowed through a 50-cm
Figure 2. Schematic of apparatus for observing SiH$_4$(v$_3$) infrared emission

long, 2.5-cm i.d. side arm before entering the 5-cm tube within the monochromator field of view.

Most infrared spectral observations were made between 2000 and 5000 nm using the 0.5-m monochromator equipped with a 150 groove mm$^{-1}$ grating blazed at 3000 nm and a liquid nitrogen cooled InSb detector. In a few cases a 300 groove mm$^{-1}$ grating blazed at 1000 nm and a liquid nitrogen cooled intrinsic Ge detector were used with the monochromator to allow the spectral region between 1000 and 1600 nm to be monitored. In both cases, light from the observation region was chopped prior to entering the monochromator and signals from the detectors were processed with a phase-sensitive amplifier. The output from the lock-in amplifier was recorded by a strip-chart recorder and, in addition, stored digitally in a computer file.

A third set of experiments were directed at coating several substrates with silicon nitride films. These experiments used a different 5 cm diameter, quartz flow reactor, illustrated schematically in Figure 3. It was pumped by a rotary mechanical pump. Active nitrogen was generated at the upstream end of the flow reactor in a microwave discharge of nitrogen dilute in argon. Silane was injected through a loop injector whose position could be varied along the length of the reactor, and the active nitrogen, silane mixture impinged upon a substrate mounted on a copper cap on the end of a rod heater. Some initial experiments
used sapphire substrates, but later experiments used fragments of single crystal silicon wafers, polished on both sides. The substrate was sandwiched between the cap on the rod heater and a copper ring that was screwed into the cap. In addition a thermocouple was wedged under the copper retainer. The output of the thermocouple was wired to a commercial temperature controller. As in all other experiments, flow rates were monitored by electronic mass flow meters. We found it necessary to use a mass flow controller to maintain a constant flow of silane. The other gas flows remained constant so long as their gas cylinder delivery pressures and needle valve settings remained constant. The pressure in this reactor was measured with a capacitance manometer. Typical conditions included flow rates of argon carrier gas and nitrogen of 880 and 90 micromoles s\(^{-1}\), respectively, and a total pressure of 3 Torr.
4. ENERGY-TRANSFER BASED DIAGNOSTICS OF $N_2(X, v)$

We have developed techniques recently for determining number densities of vibrationally excited nitrogen in vibrational levels up through about fifteen. Two different methods are used to probe different ranges of vibrational excitation. The first, which gives absolute $N_2(X, v)$ number densities for vibrational levels up through about six, involves measuring the vibrational distribution of the nitrogen first-negative bands when they are excited in the Penning-ionization reaction between metastable helium atoms and $N_2(X, v)$.

\[
\text{He}^*(2^3S) + \text{N}_2(X, v) \rightarrow \text{He}(1^1S) + \text{N}_2^+(B,v). \quad (10)
\]

The ground-electronic-state vibrational distribution of nitrogen can be deduced from the $N_2^+(B)$ vibrational distribution and the Franck-Condon factors that connect the ground electronic state of the neutral molecule to the electronically excited state of the molecular ion (vide infra). The second $N_2(X, v)$ diagnostic gives semi-quantitative estimates of $N_2(X, v)$ number densities for vibrational levels greater than 4 (about 5 to 15). Metastable nitrogen molecules, $N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+)$, excite $N_2(X, v=5-15)$ to $N_2(B, v\leq12)$ quite efficiently,

\[
N_2(A^3\Sigma_u^+) + N_2(X,v) \rightarrow N_2(X) + N_2(B^3\Pi_g,v\leq12), \quad (11)
\]

where $k_2 \approx 4 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecules$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. Measurements of the intensity and vibrational distribution of the $N_2(B)$ fluorescence in the presence of known amounts of $N_2(A)$ will give the number densities of these highly excited levels of $N_2(X, v)$ to within factors of two to three. Relative variations in $N_2(X, v)$ number densities can be determined to within about 20%.

We have employed the second $N_2(X, v)$ diagnostic to determine rate coefficients for vibrational energy transfer from $N_2(X, v)$ to a number of other molecules. The technique involves comparing the $N_2(B)$ intensities from $N_2(A)$ excitation of $N_2(X, v)$ between conditions under which the mixing time of the quencher and the vibrationally excited nitrogen is quite short, 2 to 3 ms, and conditions under which the contact time is much longer, 20 to 40 ms. This approach allows one to distinguish between reductions in $N_2(B)$ intensity caused by electronic quenching of $N_2(B)$ from those resulting from a reduction in the $N_2(X, v)$ number density.

These measurements use a relatively clean source of $N_2(X, v)$, one that is essentially free from accompanying atomic nitrogen or electronically excited metastables. This source involves passing the effluents from a discharge, in a mixture of nitrogen in helium, through a
nickel screen. The nickel screen reduces atomic nitrogen number densities in the afterglow by factors of 50 or more, but attenuates the $N_2(X, v)$ very little.$^{13,18}$

4.1 Penning Ionization

Our Penning-Ionization diagnostic for vibrationally excited nitrogen in discharge afterglows follows along the lines of the pioneering work of Schmeltekopf et al.$^{21}$ and Young and Horn.$^{22,23}$ Mixing metastable helium atoms with a flow of molecular nitrogen results in strong emission of the nitrogen first-negative system, $N_2^+(B_2\Sigma_u^+ - X_2\Sigma_g^-)$. Since the Penning-Ionization process follows a Franck-Condon excitation pathway, the vibrational distribution in the neutral, ground state will determine the distribution observed in the upper, ionic state. One problem with this approach is that care must be taken not to have any He$^+$ or He$^+_2$ in the flow of metastable helium. Both of those species also excite $N_2^+(B)$ quite strongly in charge-transfer reactions, but with an $N_2^+(B)$ vibrational distribution that is decidedly non-Franck-Condon.$^{15}$ We have been careful to eliminate ions in our system.

The rate of Penning-ionization between metastable helium atoms and molecular nitrogen is proportional to the Franck-Condon factors that connect the $N_2^+(B)$ and $N_2(X)$ states. One can calculate the vibrational distribution in the final state, therefore, knowing only the vibrational distribution in the lower state and the Franck-Condon factors that couple the two states. Thus,

$$N_{v'} \propto \sum_{v''} N_{v''} q_{v'v''}$$

(12)

where $v'$ and $v''$ represent the vibrational levels of the upper and lower states, respectively, and $q_{v'v''}$ is the Franck-Condon factor coupling them. We have calculated a comprehensive set of Franck-Condon factors for $N_2^+(B) - N_2(X)$ transitions, and have tabulated them elsewhere.$^{24}$

We determine relative $N_2(X, v)$ distributions by finding the model distribution that can be used in Eq. (12) to predict $N_2^+(B)$ vibrational distributions that are most like those observed experimentally. The $N_2(X, v)$ vibrational distributions usually follow a modified Treanor$^{25-27}$ model, which simplifies the fitting process. We do have fitting procedures, however, to determine populations for cases for which the Treanor model is inapplicable.$^{12,18}$

The modified Treanor distribution has been outlined by Caledonia and Center,$^{26}$ and Dilonardo and Capitelli.$^{27}$ For low vibrational levels the distribution is that given by Treanor et al.$^{25}$

$$\frac{N_{v'}}{N_{v'=0}} = \exp \left\{ -v'' \left[ \frac{1.4388 \ (\omega_e-2\omega_e x_e)}{\Theta_1} - (v''-1) \frac{1.4388 \ \omega_e x_e}{T} \right] \right\},$$

(13)
where \( \Theta_1 \) is the Boltzmann vibrational temperature referenced to \( v'' = 1 \), \( T \) is the ambient gas translational temperature, and \( \omega_e \) and \( \omega_x x_e \) are spectroscopic constants in units of \( \text{cm}^{-1} \). The Boltzmann vibrational temperature is given by

\[
\Theta_1 = -\frac{\omega_e - 2\omega_x x_e}{k \ln(N_{v''=1}/N_{v''=0})},
\]

where \( k \) is Boltzmann's constant.

This distribution goes through a minimum, called the Treanor minimum, at \( v^* \), given by

\[
v^* = \frac{T(\omega_e - 2\omega_x x_e)}{2\omega_x x_e \Theta_1} + 0.5.
\]

For vibrational levels above the Treanor minimum, the Product \( vN_v \) is essentially constant. The resulting distribution for \( v > v^* \) is

\[
\frac{N_{v''}}{N_{v''=0}} = \left( v^* - 1 \right) \exp \left( -1.4388(v^*^2 - 1)\omega_x x_e / T \right),
\]

where the various parameters in Eq. (16) are determined by requiring the two distributions, i.e., Eqs. (13) and (16), be equal at \( v'' \) and \( v^*-1 \). Rather than use an explicit \( \Theta_1 \) value, we base our distributions on an effective \( \Theta_1 \) which best fits all the data points.

Fitting the Penning-ionization spectra to a ground-state vibrational model, as just described, gives only the relative distribution among ground-state vibrational levels. We place this relative distribution on an absolute basis, however, by determining the absolute number density of \( N_2(X, v'') = 0 \). This is accomplished by noting changes in intensity of \( N_2^+ (B, v' = 0,1) \) when the \( N_2(X, v) \) producing discharge is struck relative to the intensities when the discharge is off. We know, of course, the absolute \( N_2(X, v'' = 0) \) number density when the discharge is off.

4.2 \( N_2(A) \) Energy Transfer

The Penning-ionization diagnostic is inadequate for studies on \( N_2(X, v'' \geq 6) \) as discussed earlier. To overcome this problem we developed a semiquantitative technique for determining number densities of \( N_2(X, v'' \geq 5) \). Our diagnostic method for monitoring \( N_2(X, v'' \geq 5) \) is based upon measuring nitrogen first-positive emission intensities excited in the reaction between \( N_2(A) \) and \( N_2(X, v'') \). These intensities are directly proportional to \( N_2(X, v'') \) number densities. The observed intensities must be corrected for any quenching of the first-positive emission before they can be related to \( N_2(X, v'') \) number densities.
The intensity of the \( \text{N}_2 \) first-positive emission is given by

\[
I = k_r[N_2(\text{B})] = \frac{k_{11}[N_2(X, v'')] [N_2(\text{A})]}{1 + \sum_i \frac{k_{qi}[Qi]}{k_r}},
\]

where \( k_{qi} \) is the rate coefficient for \( N_2(\text{B}) \) quenching by quenchers \( Qi \) and \( k_r \) is the \( N_2(\text{B}) \) radiative decay rate.\(^{16} \) The buffer gas pressure, primarily helium is \( \leq 1 \) torr. This minimizes quenching by the bath gas species in the flow tube.\(^{28} \) Rearranging Eq. (17) gives

\[
[N_2(X, v'')] = \frac{k_r[N_2(\text{B})]}{k_{11}[N_2(\text{A})]} \left\{ 1 + \sum_i \frac{k_{qi}[Qi]}{k_r} \right\}.
\]

While determining absolute values for \( [N_2(\text{A})] \) or \( [N_2(\text{B})] \) is difficult and therefore quite uncertain, measuring the ratio of these two number densities accurately is straightforward because only relative sensitivities of the detection system at the various wavelengths are required.

Although primarily a global monitor of \( N_2(X, v'') \), this diagnostic does provide some state specificity. The higher vibronic levels of \( N_2(\text{B}) \) are accessible only from \( N_2(X, v'') \) vibrational levels at the high end of the diagnostic range. For example \( N_2(\text{B}, v' = 10) \) can be excited only from \( N_2(X, v'' > 12) \). Thus, we track the varying behavior of \( N_2(X, v'' \geq 12) \) by measuring \( N_2(\text{B}, v = 10) \) intensities.
5. N₂(X, v) QUENCHING BY SiH₄

5.1 Experimental Approach

Because our diagnostic techniques involve adding metastables to the flow reactor and observing the fluorescence excited when the metastable interacts with SiH₄, we need to separate diminutions in the observed fluorescence caused by fluorescence or metastable quenching from the diminutions resulting from removal of N₂(X, v) from the reactor. We separate these effects by varying the mixing time between the N₂(X, v) and the SiH₄ over a wide range.

When quencher is injected immediately behind the N₂(A) (or He*) inlet, the number density of N₂(X, v") remains essentially unchanged. This is because vibrational quenching is a relatively slow process (kᵥ ≤ 10⁻¹⁴ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹) and reagents are mixed for too short of time (≤ 2 ms) to effect significant quenching. Any diminution in the first-positive (negative) emission, therefore, results from quenching of N₂(B) (N⁺₂(B)), or perhaps N₂(A) (He*). Injecting the reagent at the upstream end of the flow reactor allows adequate time (~ 10 to 30 ms) for the N₂(X, v") to be quenched unless the quenching rate coefficients are exceedingly small. Any difference in diminution of the first-positive (negative) emission with the injector in the upstream position as compared to the downstream position can be attributed to quenching of N₂(X, v") by the added reagent.

Figure 4 illustrates these concepts. It shows a portion of the spectrum of N₂(B-A) emission, excited by the reaction between N₂(A) and N₂(X, v), in the absence of any added quencher and in the presence of a fixed concentration of a SiH₄ at a small mixing time, and one 21.7 ms greater. Adding the SiH₄ at the short time drops the N₂(B-A) emission about a factor of 1.6. When the mixing time is increased by 21.7 ms, the first-positive emission intensity diminishes almost another factor of 1.6. We can estimate rate coefficients for N₂(X, v) and N₂(B, v) quenching by SiH₄ from these data as shown below.

In the absence of added quencher, the intensity of the N₂ first-positive emission is given by

\[ I₀ = k_r[N₂(B)] = k_{11}[N₂(X,v)][N₂(A)] \]  

For global measurements, \( k_r \), the radiative decay rate of the N₂(B),\(^{16}\) is about \( 1.5 \times 10^5 \) s⁻¹. The experiments use a helium bath-gas at pressures of about 1 Torr. This reduces quenching in the flow tube to less than a 20% effect.\(^{28}\) As a result we neglect bath-gas quenching in Eq. (19).

Then the quencher is introduced into the flow reactor with the injector in the downstream position, that is short interaction times where N₂(X, v) removal is negligible, the first-positive emission intensity is given by
Figure 4. Variation in the $N_2(B-A)$ intensity excited in the $N_2(A) + N_2(X,v)$ reaction, as a function of reaction time and SiH$_4$ number density. Conditions in the order of decreasing intensity are: a) SiH$_4$ = 0, $\Delta t = 0$ ms; b) SiH$_4$ = $2.3 \times 10^{13}$ molecules cm$^{-3}$, $\Delta t = 0$ ms; c) SiH$_4$ = $2.3 \times 10^{13}$ molecules cm$^{-3}$, $\Delta t = 21.7$ ms.

\[ I = k_r[N_2(B)] = \frac{k_{11}[N_2(A)]N_2(X,v^*)}{1 + \frac{k_Q[Q]}{k_r}} \]  

(20)

where $k_Q$ is the rate coefficient for $N_2(B)$ quenching by SiH$_4$. $N_2(A)$ number densities are also measured under each set of conditions to correct any quenching of the $N_2(A)$. Taking the ratio of the first-positive intensity in the absence of quencher to that in the presence of quencher gives the classical Stern-Volmer formula$^{29}$ for electronic quenching, viz.,

\[ \Gamma = \frac{I_o/[N_2A]_o}{I/[N_2A]} = 1 + k_Q[Q]/k_r \]  

(21)

where the subscript $o$ refers to conditions in the absence of added quencher. The rate coefficient for $N_2(B)$ quenching for data taken with the injector in the downstream position is,
With the injector in the upstream position, the $N_2(X,v^\prime)$ is also quenched and the first-positive emission intensity is described by

$$I = k_1[N_2(B)] = \frac{k_{11}[N_2(X,v^\prime)]_0 e^{-k_v[Q]_0 \Delta t}}{1 + \frac{k_Q[Q]}{k_r}},$$

where $k_v$ is the rate coefficient for $N_2(X,v^\prime)$ quenching and $\Delta t$ is the time the quencher and the $N_2(X,v^\prime)$ are mixed. The $N_2(X,v^\prime)$ quenching rate coefficient is determined from the slope of the natural log of the ratio to Stern-Volmer factors at long to short decay times plotted against $[Q]_0 \Delta t$, i.e.,

$$\ln\left(\frac{\Gamma_u}{\Gamma_d}\right) = k_v[Q]_0 \Delta t,$$

where the subscripts $u$ and $d$ refer to measurements with the injector in the upstream and downstream positions, respectively.

### 5.2 Results for $N_2(X, v \geq 5)$

Figures 5 to 7 are representative plots of the data, and Table 1 summarizes all results from the studies using the $N_2(A)$ energy transfer diagnostic. Since rate coefficients for electronic quenching of $N_2(B)$ necessarily are determined in the data analysis, they are also listed in Table 1. The error bars represent one standard deviation of the slopes when data are plotted according to Eq. (24).

The vibrational relaxation rate coefficients determined by the Eq. (24) analysis should properly be taken to be lower limits to the true vibrational relaxation rate coefficients. Since the $v_3$ mode of SiH$_4$ is resonant to within $kT$ for single quantum transfer from $N_2(X,v)$, the mechanism for the relaxation most likely proceeds one step at a time. Thus the actual process being measured will be the net difference between relaxation of all vibrational levels above a given level into that level and the removal of vibrational energy from the given level into all vibrational levels below it. Even so, the lower-limit vibrational relaxation rate coefficients are quite large, being about twice the size of the rate coefficient for quenching $N_2(X,v)$ by $N_2O$ and an order of magnitude or more greater than the rate coefficients for vibrational relaxation by CO, CO$_2$, and $N_2$, species that are generally considered to be effective at removing vibrational energy from $N_2(X,v)$. 
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The rate coefficients for electronic quenching of \( \text{N}_2(B) \) seemed unusually large and caused some concern as to the validity of our measurements. Since the primary measurements of the ratio of two intensities, calibration of the optical system will not contribute to possible errors in the rate coefficients. The other two measured quantities, \([\text{SiH}_4]\) and \(\Delta t\), both depend primarily on gas flow rate measurements. Consequently we did an extensive set of calibrations of our flow meters. These calibrations involved measuring the increase of pressure in a calibrated volume as a function of time. We used two different volumes that we calibrated in situ by comparison with a flask whose volume had been determined previously by measuring the difference between its weight with the flask filled with water and with it empty. In addition we used two different pressure transducers for the pressure measurements. The results of these measurements showed good agreement both with previous calibrations and with manufacturer's specifications for a number different gases and flow meters. The calibration for the \(\text{SiH}_4\) flow meter was tested against \(\text{Ar}, \text{N}_2, \text{CH}_4\).
\[ \begin{align*}
N_2O, \text{ and } SF_6 \text{ in addition to } SiH_4. \text{ With the exception of } SiH_4, \text{ the calibrations were within} \\
5\% \text{ of manufacturer's specifications. At the low end of its range, the } SiH_4 \text{ flow meter read} \\
about 15\% \text{ below specifications. At the top end of the flow meter range the discrepancy was} \\
only 2\%. \\
\end{align*} \]

We also considered the effects of \( N_2(X, v \geq 9) \) quenching during the short transit time 
from the \( SiH_4 \) injector to the observation region when the injector was in the downstream 
position. This correction generally amounted to no more than 10 to 20\%. Finally, we have 
used this same technique to measure rate coefficients for \( N_2(B) \) quenching by a variety of 
other gases with reasonable agreement between our results and those of other groups who 
used different measurement techniques.\(^{19}\) It appears, therefore, that silane quenches \( N_2(B) \) 
with remarkable efficiency. The attractive forces between the two molecules are sufficiently
strong that quenching takes place at a rate significantly greater than the hard-sphere collision rate.

5.3 Results for $N_2(X, v \leq 6)$

An analysis of the variations in the number densities of $N_2(X, v < 6)$, determined from the He$^+$ Penning-ionization diagnostic, as a function of the product $[SiH_4] \Delta t$, i.e., equivalent to the analysis related to Eq. (24), gives plots such as Figures 8 and 9 and the set of rate coefficients given in the second column of Table 2. As in the results in Table 1, these values can only properly be considered lower limits.

Because the Penning-ionization diagnostic provides absolute number densities of molecules in each vibrational level, these data are amenable to an alternative analysis that
Table 1. Rate Coefficients for $N_2(X,v)$ and $N_2(B^3\Pi_g)$ Quenching by SiH$_4$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vibrational Levels</th>
<th>Rate Coefficient (10$^{-13}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Vibrational Levels</th>
<th>Rate Coefficient (10$^{-9}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥7</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥8</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥8</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥9</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥11</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥11</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥12</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥13</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥13</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unweighted avg 5.5 ± 0.6 Unweighted avg 2.9 ± 0.6

incorporates the effects of single quantum transfer from a given level to the one below it. Although the form of our data set makes the single quantum analysis somewhat crude, the results are still enlightening.

The differential equation describing the time rate of change of molecules in level $v$ with number density $N_v$ is

$$\frac{dN_v}{dt} = k_{v+1}N_{v+1}[\text{SiH}_4] - k_vN_v[\text{SiH}_4]$$

where the first term on the right-hand side of the equation represents the rate of formation of level $v$ from level $v+1$ above, and the second term represents the removal rate from level $v$ into level $v-1$. Rearranging this equation and integrating it gives

$$\int dN_v = N_v - N_v^0 = k_{v+1}[\text{SiH}_4] \int N_{v+1}dt - k_v[\text{SiH}_4] \int N_vdt .$$

An approximate value for the integral expressions on the right-hand side of Eq. (26) is

$$\int N_v dt = \frac{N_v^0 + N_v}{2} \Delta t .$$
Thus we can rewrite Eq. (27) as

$$N_v - N^0_v = k_{v+1} \left( \frac{N^0_{v+1} + N^1_{v+1}}{2} \right) [\text{SiH}_4] \Delta t - k_v \left( \frac{N^0_v + N^1_v}{2} \right) [\text{SiH}_4] \Delta t \quad (28)$$

Summing the equation from level $v$ up to level $v_{\text{max}}$, the highest vibrational level observed, gives
Figure 9. Net quenching of $N_2(X, v=4)$ by SiH$_4$.

$$\sum_v (N_v - N_v^0) = k_{v_{\text{max}}} \left( \frac{N_{v_{\text{max}}} + N_{v_{\text{max}}}}{2} \right) [\text{SiH}_4] \Delta t$$

$$- k_v \left( \frac{N_v^0 + N_v}{2} \right) \text{SiH}_4 \Delta t \ .$$

Since the vibrational population falls off fairly rapidly as $v$ increases, the term in $v_{\text{max}}$ can be neglected compared to the term in $v$ provided $v$ is several quanta below $v_{\text{max}}$. Thus after rearrangement we are left with a simple two term expression:
Table 2. Rate Coefficients for Relaxation of \( \text{N}_2(X,v) \) Using Penning-ionization Diagnostic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vibrational Level</th>
<th>Rate Coefficienta</th>
<th>Rate Coefficienta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Net Removal</td>
<td>Single Quantum Transfer Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.84 ±0.05</td>
<td>1.3 ±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.8 ±0.1</td>
<td>2.6 ±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8 ±0.1</td>
<td>3.6 ±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.8 ±0.1</td>
<td>6.0 ±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.8 ±0.2</td>
<td>6.0 ±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.8 ±0.2</td>
<td>4.5 ±1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aRate Coefficients at 300 K in units of \( 10^{-13} \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \)

\[
\sum_{\nu} \frac{N_{\nu}^{0} - N_{\nu}}{2N_{\nu}^{0} + N_{\nu}} = \frac{k_{\nu}[\text{SiH}_4] \Delta t}{(30)}
\]

What this equation says is that the number of molecules lost from level \( \nu \) is not just those determined from the direct change in the population of level \( \nu \) itself, but actually includes the sum of the population lost by all molecules in vibrational levels above \( \nu \).

Figures 10 and 11 show some of our data plotted according to Eq. (30). The slopes of the lines in these plots give the value of the vibrational relaxation rate coefficients. These are tabulated in the third column of Table 2. The analysis via single quantum transfer gives somewhat larger values for the rate coefficients for relaxation of \( \nu = 1 \) and 2, but approach the values derived from an analysis via Eq. (24) for higher vibrational levels.

The least squares fits to the plots related to the Eq. (30) analysis have near zero intercepts for the lowest vibrational levels, but the intercepts become increasingly larger for the higher vibrational levels. This probably results from neglecting the populations of vibrational levels above 6 in the analysis. As the \( \text{N}_2 \) vibrational distribution becomes increasingly more quenched, the populations initially in higher levels will cascade down and contribute significantly to the populations of the lower levels. That is, when very little overall quenching has occurred, vibrational levels 7 and above will contribute negligibly to the populations of levels such as 3 or 4. When quenching becomes more significant, however, much of the residual population in levels such as 3 and 4 may well have originated in levels 7 and above. Since the Penning-ionization diagnostic cannot provide information about the populations of these higher levels, our analysis necessarily excludes them from consideration. Were it possible to include them, the ordinates of the points at the larger values of [SiH\(_4\)]\( \Delta t \) would undoubtedly be higher. This effect would both increase the slope,
Figure 10. Single quantum analysis of quenching of $N_2(X, v = 1)$ by SiH$_4$

thus increasing the rate coefficient, and also would lower the intercept, indicating that a sufficiently large set of vibrational levels had been included in the analysis.

The rate coefficient for relaxing vibrational level 1 can also be determined from an analysis of the increase in population of vibrational level 0. In this case the analysis equation is

$$2\frac{N_0 - N_0^0}{N_1 + N_1^0} = k_{v=1}[\text{SiH}_4] \Delta t$$

(31)
Figure 11. Single quantum transfer analysis of the quenching of $N_2(X, v = 3)$ by SiH$_4$

This equation results because $v = 0$ has no loss processes, and because in single quantum transfer, only those molecules removed from $v = 1$ can enter $v = 0$. Figure 12 shows the data plot relevant to Eq. (31). The rate coefficient determined from these data is $1.2 \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, in excellent agreement with the value determined by considering the decay of $v = 1$. 
Figure 12. Analysis of the quenching of \( \text{N}_2(X, v=1) \) by SiH\(_4\) from observations of the increase in \( \text{[N}_2(X, v=0)] \) as a function of [SiH\(_4\)].
6. **SiH$_4$(v$_3$) EXCITATION BY N$_2$(X, v)**

We used the apparatus shown in Figure 2 to investigate the excitation of SiH$_4$(v$_3$) by vibration-vibration transfer from N$_2$(X, v) to SiH$_4$. Because the v$_3$ mode of SiH$_4$ is resonant within kT with single quantum transfer from all N$_2$(X, v $\leq$ 15), v$_3$ excitation seemed the most logical choice for the efficient quenching reaction. The v$_3$ mode fundamental frequency is 2190 cm$^{-1}$ (4570 nm) and its band strength is 625 cm$^{-2}$ atm$^{-1}$ at STP. We compared our observations with observations N$_2$O(v$_3$) excitation by N$_2$(X, v) when N$_2$O was added to the reactor. The N$_2$O v$_3$ mode radiates at 2224 cm$^{-1}$ (4500 nm) and its band strength is 1541 cm$^{-2}$ atm$^{-1}$ at STP.

Figure 13 shows the fluorescence spectrum between 4 and 5 $\mu$m when SiH$_4$ is added to a flow of N$_2$(X, v). The strong Q-branch of the SiH$_4$ v$_3$ mode is visible at 4.58 $\mu$m with associated P- and R-branches to either side. The spectral resolution is 0.04 $\mu$m, and the SiH$_4$ number density is about 1.5 x 10$^{14}$ molecules cm$^{-3}$. For comparison, Figure 14 shows the fluorescence spectrum excited when N$_2$O is added to N$_2$(X, v). Here the spectral resolution is 0.01 $\mu$m and the N$_2$O number density is about 5 x 10$^{13}$ molecules cm$^{-3}$. In addition to the N$_2$O(v$_3$) fundamental band centered at 4.50 $\mu$m, N$_2$O hot-band emission is evident from v$_3$=2 at 4.56 $\mu$m and v$_3$=3 at 4.62 $\mu$m. This demonstrates, that in the case of N$_2$O quenching at least, multiquantum vibrational energy transfer is efficient.

Figure 15 shows how the emission from SiH$_4$(v$_3$) at 4.56 $\mu$m varies as a function of SiH$_4$ number density added to the reactor. At low number densities, the emission intensity
Figure 14. Spectrum of $N_2O(v_3)$ emission excited by $N_2(v)$

- Figure 15. Intensity of $SiH_4 (v_3)$ as a function of $[SiH_4]$ added to $N_2(X,v)$
increases almost linearly with increases in SiH₄ number density. For higher SiH₄ additions, however, the emission intensity increase is less than linear. Two different effects are responsible for this decrease in linearity. The higher SiH₄ number densities reduce the effective N₂(X,v) number density in the detector's field of view, thereby lowering the rate of SiH₄(ν₂) excitation. In addition, higher number densities of added SiH₄ quench the SiH₄ emission. We can test these suppositions with a simple kinetic model.

We base this model upon the following set of reactions:

\[
\text{SiH}_4 + \text{N}_2(X,v) \rightarrow \text{SiH}_4(w) + \text{N}_2(X,v-1) \quad (32a)
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{other products} \quad (32b)
\]

\[
\text{SiH}_4(w) \rightarrow \text{SiH}_4 + h\nu \quad (33)
\]

\[
\text{SiH}_4(w) + \text{SiH}_4 \rightarrow 2\text{SiH}_4 \quad (34)
\]

\[
\text{SiH}_4(w) + \text{Ar}, \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{SiH}_4 + \text{Ar}, \text{N}_2 \quad (35)
\]

Although reaction (35), bath-gas quenching, could not be deduced from the data in Figure 15, the necessity for its inclusion becomes apparent when SiH₄ excitation is studied at several different pressures.

With the above reaction scheme, we can write down and solve two differential equations describing the temporal variations in the number densities of N₂(X,v) and SiH₄(w).

For N₂(X,v) we have

\[
\frac{d[N_2(X,v)]}{dt} = -k_{32}[\text{SiH}_4][N_2(X,v)] \quad (36)
\]

which can be solved to give

\[
[N_2(X,v)] = [N_2(X,v)]_0 \exp(-k_{32}[\text{SiH}_4]t) \quad (37)
\]

where [N₂(X,v)]₀ is the N₂(X,v) number density in the absence of SiH₄. For SiH₄(w) we have

\[
\frac{d[\text{SiH}_4(w)]}{dt} = k_{32a}[\text{SiH}_4][N_2(X,v)] - (k_{33} + k_{34}[\text{SiH}_4] + k_{35}[\text{Ar}, N_2])[\text{SiH}_4(w)] \quad (38)
\]
Using Eq. (37) for \([N_2(X, v)]\), Eq. (38) can be solved to give

\[
[SiH_4(w)] = \frac{k_{32[a[N_2(X, v)]_0[SiH_4)] \left( \exp(-k_{32}[SiH_4]t) - \exp(-(k_{33} + k_{34}[SiH_4] + k_{35}[Ar, N_2]t)) \right)}}{k_{33} + k_{34}[SiH_4] + k_{35}[Ar, N_2] - k_{32}[SiH_4]} \quad (39)
\]

Because we are looking along the axis of the flow reactor, our effective field of view encompasses emissions from volume elements at all axial positions, and thereby reaction times, between zero and the bend in the flow reactor, 36 cm distant from the SiH_4 injector. Thus the observed emission is in reality the integral over time of emission from each of these volume elements. The product of the collection efficiency times the area of each volume element normal to the flow tube axis is constant until the monochromator field of view becomes comparable to the cross-sectional area of the flow tube. This happens in our reactor about 24 cm downstream from the injector. Over the last 12 cm of the field of view, the product of collection efficiency times volume decreases slowly so that at the farthest reaches of the flow reactor, the effective photon emission rates are attenuated by almost a factor of 2 compared to those arising from emission in the first 24 cm of the reactor. Rather than account for this effect exactly, we found it convenient to correct our observations by assuming constant collection efficiency over a column shorter than actually observed. This allows for easier integration of Eq. (39) over time and has a negligible effect on the results provided the effective length of the column is chosen correctly.

The observed emission intensity is then the product of \(k_{33}\) times the integrated number density of SiH_4\((w)\) along the length of the observation volume:

\[
I = \frac{\xi k_{32}[k_{33}[N_2(X, v)]_0[SiH_4)]}{k_{33} + k_{34}[SiH_4] + k_{35}[Ar, N_2] - k_{32}[SiH_4]}
\times \left\{ \frac{(1 - \exp(-k_{32}[SiH_4]t_0))}{k_{32}[SiH_4]} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{(1 - \exp(-(k_{33} + k_{34}[SiH_4] + k_{35}[Ar, N_2]t_0))}{(k_{33} + k_{34}[SiH_4] + k_{35}[Ar, N_2])} \right\}
\]

where \(t_0\) is the effective time along the length of the observation region and the factor \(\xi\) is an efficiency factor for the detection system.

We subjected the data in Figure 15 to a least-squares analysis according to Eq. (40) with the unknown parameters being the product \(\xi k_{32}k_{33}[N_2(X, v)]_0\) and \(k_{34}\). We determined a value for \(k_{32}\) from our earlier work to be between 1 and 5.5 \(\times 10^{-13}\) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), depending upon vibrational level, and \(k_{33}\) can be calculated from the measured SiH_4 \(v_3\) band strength. Given a band strength of 625 cm\(^{-2}\) atm\(^{-1}\), we calculate a radiative decay rate of 28 s\(^{-1}\) for the triply degenerate SiH_4\((v_3)\). The results of the least-squares fit, for an assumed value \(k_{32}=2.5 \times 10^{-13}\) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), are 3.1 \(\times 10^{-11}\) for the product \(\xi k_{32}k_{33}[N_2(X, v)]_0\) and 4.5 \(\times 10^{-13}\) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) for \(k_{34}\). The solid line in Figure 16 compares the results of the fit to the data.
Figure 16. Intensity of SiH$_4$($\nu_3$) emission as a function of [SiH$_4$] added to N$_2$(X, v). The solid line is a least-squares fit to Eq. (40) with $k_{32} = 2.5 \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and $k_{33} = 28$ s$^{-1}$.

To compare with the SiH$_4$ excitation data, we studied the excitation of N$_2$O($\nu_3$) under identical conditions. This affords comparison of the relative excitation efficiencies between the two reactions. A set of equations analogous to Eqs. (32) through (40) also holds for the N$_2$O data analysis. When necessary we shall prime the k's for the rate coefficients for the N$_2$O reactions to distinguish them from those for the SiH$_4$ reactions.

Data taken at several different pressures are shown in Figure 17 for SiH$_4$ excitation and Figure 18 for N$_2$O excitation. It is clear from the way the initial slopes of the SiH$_4$ data become much smaller for the higher pressure data, in contrast to the near constancy for the N$_2$O data, that bath gas quenching of SiH$_4$($\nu_3$) is fairly significant. The variations in the initial slopes can result from the effects of reaction 35 or 35' or from changes in [N$_2$(X, v)]$_0$. Because at each pressure the value of [N$_2$(X, v)]$_0$ will be the same for both sets of data, we should be able to analyze the data to allow estimation of $k_{35}$. In addition, by comparing the observed intensities, we should be able to determine a ratio of $k_{32a}/k_{32a}'$. Values for $k_{32}$, $k_{32}'$, and $k_{33}$, $k_{33}'$ can be estimated from the quenching data and band
Figure 17. Excitation of SiH₄(ν₃) by N₂(X, ν) at various total argon pressures compared to a kinetic model.

strength measurements, respectively. The band strength data give values of k₃₃ and k₃₃' of 28 and 214 s⁻¹ respectively, while our quenching data indicate a value for k₃₂ and k₃₂' of about 2.5 x 10⁻¹³ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ and 1 x 10⁻¹³ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ respectively. For the two parameters we can determine from the data of Figures 17 and 18, the actual values used for k₃₂ and k₃₂' aren't particularly important. Variations in both quantities of 30 to 50% give similar results for k₃₅ and the ratio k₃₂a/k₃₂a'. The particular values chosen for k₃₄, k₄₃' and k₃₅' can be varied almost at will without affecting the final results for the quantities k₃₂a/k₃₂a' and k₃₅. Our results indicate the ratio k₃₂a/k₃₂a' is 0.35 ± 0.05 and k₃₅ is (6±2) x 10⁻¹⁵ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹.

Given that the ratio k₃₂/k₃₂' is about 2.5, the result above indicates that N₂(X, ν) energy transfer to N₂O is about 7 times more efficient at producing infrared radiation at 4500 nm than is energy transfer to SiH₄. Put another way, if the branching ratio, k₃₂a'/k₃₂' is unity, then only about 15% of the time will an N₂(X, ν) quantum transferred to SiH₄ appear as radiation at 4500 nm. One reason for this discrepancy is undoubtedly related to the fact that SiH₄ has an infrared inactive vibrational mode, ν₁, that is also resonant with
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Figure 18. Excitation of $N_2O(v_3)$ by $N_2(X, v)$ at various total pressures of argon compared to a kinetic model.

$N_2(X, v)$ one quantum transfer. Evidence for excitation of this band could appear in the infrared in a combination band. Although we searched the region between 2000 and 5000 nm, we saw no other emissions that we could ascribe to $SiH_4$ infrared radiation. Our system is not particularly sensitive, however, and these bands are likely to be much weaker than the $v_3$ band.
7. ALTERNATIVE DETERMINATION OF N₂(X, v) QUenching RATE CoEFFICIENTS

We measured rate coefficients for N₂(X, v) removal by SiH₄ by monitoring the attenuation in N₂O(ν₃) radiation when SiH₄ was added to a flow of N₂(X, v) in a 50-cm-long, 2.5-cm-diameter flow tube that was attached at its downstream end to the 5-cm-diameter flow tube used to monitor the infrared radiation. The N₂O was added through the loop injector in the 5-cm-diameter tube directly in front of the CaF₂ window. In this way, N₂O is used as a tracer of N₂(X, v) and changes in N₂O(ν₃) intensity can be related to changes in N₂(X, v) number density. Unfortunately, because of the need for the long path length to obtain adequate signal levels, the analysis is not completely straightforward. Proper analysis does, however, lead to reasonable results.

Three effects which lead to a reduction in N₂O(ν₃) intensity when SiH₄ is added at the upstream end of the 2.5-cm-diameter section:

1. quenching of N₂(X, v) in the 2.5-cm section;
2. quenching of N₂(X, v) in the 5-cm section;
3. quenching of N₂O fluorescence by SiH₄.

The expression for [N₂O(ν₃)] in the 5-cm-diameter section as a function of distance (time) along the length of the field of view is similar to that given above in Eq. (39). One major difference is that the factor [N₂(X, v)]₀ in Eq. (39) becomes [N₂(X, v)]₀ exp(-k₃₂[SiH₄]Δt₁), where Δt₁ is the transit time in the 2.5-cm-diameter tube between the SiH₄ injector and the entrance of the 5-cm-diameter tube. Since our observations are actually proportional to the integrated fluorescence intensity along the length of the 5-cm-diameter section, we have to integrate the product of k₃₃ [N₂O(ν₃)] over the time the reactants are in the field of view, Δt₂. The resultant expression is

\[
I_{\text{obs}} = \frac{k₃₂ k₃₃ [N₂O] [N₂(X, v)]₀ e^{-k₃₂[SiH₄]Δt₁}}{k₃₃ + k₃₂[SiH₄]} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-(k₃₂[N₂O] + k₃₂[SiH₄])Δt₂}}{k₃₂[N₂O] + k₃₂[SiH₄]} \right) \right)
\]

For the experiments we ran, this expression can be simplified because the product k₃₃Δt₂ is sufficiently large that the second exponential term can be neglected. After this simplification and some algebraic manipulation, the expression for the observed intensity becomes

\[
I_{\text{obs}} = \frac{k₃₂ k₃₃ [N₂O] [N₂(X, v)]₀ e^{-k₃₂[SiH₄]Δt₁}}{k₃₃ + k₃₄[SiH₄]} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-(k₃₂[N₂O] + k₃₂[SiH₄])Δt₂}}{k₃₂[N₂O] + k₃₂[SiH₄]} \right) \right)
\]
We fit several sets of decay data to the natural log of Eq. (42), using explicit values for the rate coefficient $k_{32}'$. Figure 19 compares several sets of data with the best fit curves described by Eq. (42). The results of the fits gave $k_{32} = (2.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, and $k_{34}' = (1.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. These values were insensitive to 50% variations in $k_{32}'$. The value for $k_{32}$ derived from this analysis is consistent with values derived by monitoring decays in $N_2(X, v)$. Because the fluorescence of $N_2O$ can be excited by quenching a number of different vibrational levels of nitrogen, we

$$l = \frac{k_{32}[N_2O] [N_2(X, v)]_0 e^{-k_{32}[SiH_4] \Delta t_1}}{k_{32}[N_2O] + k_{32}[SiH_4]} \left[ 1 + \frac{k_{34}}{k_{33}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1 - e^{(-k_{32}[N_2O] + k_{32}[SiH_4] \Delta t_2)}}{1 + \frac{k_{34}[SiH_4]}{k_{33}}} \left[ 1 + \frac{k_{34}[SiH_4]}{k_{33}} \right]$$

(42)

Figure 19. Quenching of $N_2(X, v)$ by $SiH_4$ using $N_2O(v_3)$ fluorescence as a monitor of $[N_2(X, v)]$.
would expect this analysis would give a value for $k_{32}$ somewhat larger than that for quenching $v=1$, but smaller than we had observed for quenching $v \geq 5$ or 6. This is exactly what is observed.
8. SILICON NITRIDE COATING EXPERIMENTS

We investigated briefly the coating of sapphire and single-crystal silicon wafers with silicon nitride. We used the technique of infrared absorption spectroscopy to analyze the coatings we produced. In addition to coating the substrates, the experiments generated copious quantities of white powder. Infrared absorption spectra of KBr pellets with small quantities of this powder mixed in showed the powder to be silicon nitride also. Figures 20 and 21 show typical spectra of the film on a silicon substrate and of the powder, respectively. The strong band at around 900 cm\(^{-1}\) shows that the samples are silicon nitride. The presence of bands around 3300 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1400 to 1050 cm\(^{-1}\) indicate the presence of N-H and N-H\(_2\) bonds in the samples, while the small band at 2150 cm\(^{-1}\) shows that some SiH bonds are also present.

The structure in the spectrum in Figure 20 between 1800 and 1400 cm\(^{-1}\) is not a property of the coating, but rather a peculiarity of the single-crystal silicon wafers used in the coating process. The spectrum was taken with an uncoated wafer in the reference beam of the spectrophotometer. The spectrum of an uncoated wafer between 2000 and 1200 cm\(^{-1}\) is also shown in Figure 20. The uncoated wafer also shows structure in the 1800-1400 cm\(^{-1}\) region. Apparently the small structures in the substrate spectra are amplified when a wafer is used in the reference beam. The referencing procedure is important, however, since silicon has several small absorption bands in the spectral region covered. These bands are removed from the spectral signature of the coating by using an uncoated wafer in the reference beam.

Figure 20. Infrared absorption spectrum of Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) on single-crystal silicon
Microscopic examination showed the central portions of the coatings to be relatively smooth and uniform. The coating could be scratched rather easily, however. We suspect this results from the incorporation of hydrogen into the coating. We think that reducing the flow rate of silane relative to the active nitrogen would probably lead to reduced hydrogen incorporation. Unfortunately, we were thwarted in our attempts to test this hypothesis.

Our initial apparatus was limited in terms of the minimum SiH$_4$ flow rates we could achieve. The relatively high SiH$_4$ flow rates prevented complete decomposition of the silane, which probably explains the presence of so much hydrogen in the films and powder. In order to have smaller flows of SiH$_4$, we made a 5% mixture of SiH$_4$ in argon and stored it in a 5 l bulb on our vacuum line. Several coating experiments with this mixture were disappointing. We apparently developed an air leak in the gas handling system because our coatings with the lower SiH$_4$ flow rates proved to be silicon oxide rather than silicon nitride.

The silicon nitride powder was generally very fine and had a tendency towards easy agglomeration. It was also very light and was easily dispersed by the merest of air disturbances. In addition, it was strongly affected by small static charges on the surfaces of various laboratory components such as sample jars and microscope slides. Microscopic observation showed that the individual particles were on the order of a micron or less in diameter. In a few instances the powder adhered more tightly to the walls of the flow reactor and was removed essentially as small flakes. The KBr pellet spectrum of the flakes was essentially the same as the fine powder. Qualitatively, it appeared that the quantity of powder formed correlated with the N-atom number densities in the active nitrogen. Although
we did implement a quantitative monitor of N-atom number densities, it was too late in the program to investigate this correlation in a quantitative fashion.

Although our initial kinetic measurements on the quenching of $N_2(X, v)$ by $SiH_4$ were quite extensive, we saw little evidence that silane was decomposed to a significant extent in these experiments, with one slight exception. The walls of the flow reactor used in the kinetic studies did develop a white film over time just downstream from the $N_2(A)$ injector. Although we didn’t analyze this film it is undoubtedly a coating of silicon nitride powder. The reactor walls were still relatively clear upstream from the injector. It would seem, therefore, that the $N_2(X, v)$ itself is not sufficient to decompose the silane sufficiently to form silicon nitride. The presence of the metastables, on the other hand, did allow silicon nitride formation to occur. It is not clear whether the reaction between the metastables and silane dissociated the silane into fragments that could then react with $N_2(X, v)$ to form silicon nitride, or if the silicon nitride formation resulted solely from the interaction of the metastables with the silane and its subsequent decomposition fragments. This is an area that merits further investigation.
9. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY

We showed that silane is extremely efficient at quenching vibrational energy of \( N_2(X, v) \). Only about 15% of the energy transferred results in excitation of \( \text{SiH}_4(v_3) \). The rest presumably excites the resonant, but non-infrared active \( v_1 \) mode and other modes outside the spectral bandpass of our detection system.

The success of the single-quantum transfer analysis indicates that single quantum vibration-vibration transfer is the most likely pathway for the energy flow in the system. Our kinetic observations could not rule out, however, the possibility of multiquantum transfer resulting in hot band excitation of \( \text{SiH}_4 \) or even in direct \( \text{SiH}_4 \) dissociation. We had hoped to be able to monitor H-atom production in this system, but a number of equipment failures prevented our being able to follow these investigations.

Our limited coating studies showed that adding silane to an active nitrogen afterglow resulted in facile production of both silicon nitride powder as well as in coatings on sapphire and silicon substrates. The quantity of the powder produced seemed to be proportional to the number density of atoms in the afterglow, but we were unable to make these observations quantitative. The lack of significant silicon nitride production in the apparatus used for the kinetic measurements, except in the immediate vicinity of the metastable injector, shows that vibrationally excited nitrogen by itself does not appear to be sufficient for silicon nitride formation. Whether or not it enhances silicon nitride production in the presence of atoms or metastables is still not clear. Since the reaction of atomic nitrogen with silane is endoergic, it seems likely that \( N_2(X, v) \) is necessary to promote efficient silicon nitride synthesis. This may not be the case; however, it could be adequate just to have N atoms and electronically excited molecular metastables present. The primary product of N-atom recombination is \( N_2(B^3\Pi_g) \), and our kinetic studies show that silane quenches this species with extraordinary efficiency.

Future investigations should focus more directly on the coating process itself. Using techniques we have developed and implemented in this program, measuring coating efficiencies under conditions where the the N-atom and \( N_2(X, v) \) number densities are carefully monitored and controlled should be straightforward. If \( N_2(X, v) \) appears not to be particularly important in the silicon nitride formation processes, then the relative importance of electronically excited nitrogen molecules in the silane decomposition processes should be investigated. This can be done in two ways. Varying the reactor pressure under conditions of constant N-atom number density allows the production rates of electronically excited nitrogen to be varied in a controlled fashion. In addition, one could make metastables in the absence of atomic nitrogen and monitor changes in silicon nitride formation when these metastables are added to a flow of N atoms.
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