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I. INTRODUCTION


The main objective during Phase I was to prove the feasibility of a recording mechanism for X-Rays using high yield photodissociation of Choline Chloride. In doing so an objective was to obtain black images with acceptable contrast and a short development time. A number of complex mechanisms were involved in the formation of the image. These complex mechanisms were introduced in logical steps on a trial basis with proof of feasibility being the primary goal, before making a major commitment of funding. This trial basis procedure is not necessarily the most desirable and proper methodology for the development of a new process, assuming availability of unlimited funding, but was considered the most practical methodology under the circumstances; however, the results at this stage of development are by no means near optimization. The final product may not resemble the actual sample materials. The actual radiographs are highly encouraging, and good black images were obtained with sensitivity superior to silver halide films without screen. The emulsion is not sensitive to light and requires only heat development. The primary goal of proving feasibility was met with little expenditure of funding by comparison with most research tasks.

It is believed that stepwise optimization of the current phenomenon leading to the image if funded as a Phase II project will result in a highly usable alternative to silver halide emulsion, with major advantages for most applications.

II. PRINCIPLE OF THE METHOD

The approach considered is as illustrated:
A. Use is made use of the extreme sensitivity of choline chloride (1) for ionizing radiation and electrons.

The product decomposes in trimethylamide and acetaldehyde under a chain reaction according to (2):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ChO} + \text{hv} & \rightarrow \text{ChO}^* \rightarrow \bullet \text{ChO} \quad \text{(biradical)} \quad (1) \\
\bullet \text{ChO}^* + \text{ChO} & \rightarrow (\text{CH}_3)_3 \hat{\text{N}} + \text{AcH} + (\text{CH}_3)_3 \hat{\text{N}} \bullet + \text{AcH}_2\bullet \quad \text{(initiation)} \quad (2) \\
\text{ACH}_2\bullet + \text{ChO} & \rightarrow (\text{CH}_3)_3 \hat{\text{N}} + \text{AcH} + \text{AcH}_2\bullet \quad \text{(propagation)} \quad (3) \\
\text{AcH}_2\bullet + \bullet \text{CH} & \rightarrow (\text{CH}_3)_3 \hat{\text{N}} + \text{AcH} + \text{AcH}_2\bullet \quad (4) \\
\text{AcH}_2\bullet + (\text{CH}_3)_3 \hat{\text{N}} & \rightarrow \text{ChO} \quad \text{(termination)} \quad (5) \\
\text{ChO} & = (\text{CH}_3)_3 \hat{\text{N}} \text{CH}_2 \text{CH}_2 \text{OH} \quad (6) \\
\bullet \text{Ch} & = (\text{CH}_3)_3 \hat{\text{N}} \bullet \text{CH}_2 \text{CH}_2 \text{OH} \quad \cdots \quad \text{Cl}^- \quad (7) \\
\downarrow & \\
\text{CH}_3 - \text{CH}_2 - \hat{\text{OH}} \quad \cdots \quad \text{Cl}^- \quad (8)
\end{align*}
\]

Initially the radiation (or electrons) acts to excite a choline chloride molecule.

The excited molecule has outbounding orbitals that lead to the fissure of the nitrogen to methylene bond. After the breaking of this bond the radical fragment are stabilized by hydrogen bonding and crystal cage effect. The ethanol fragment of the biradical can be observed by ESR. The (CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} N\textsuperscript{+} is not seen because of excessive broadening by the main protons. The Ac H\textsubscript{2} radicals are not seen because of their very low concentration. The observed radical reacts either with its cage (to propagate the decomposition reaction) or terminates with another radical that propagates into the vicinity.

The sensitivity of the photodissociation process expressed as the number of radicals produced by 100 eV absorbed is as high as 55,000. This represents a high amplification process involving chain reaction, which can compete favorably with silver halide process after development. It also has the advantage of not being sensitive to visible light.
B. The basic image formation is related to the change in pH which accompanies the reaction:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3 & \quad \text{e}^- \\
\text{CH}_3 & \quad \text{N}^+ \text{CH}_2 - \text{CH}_2 - \text{OH} + \\
\text{CH}_3 & \quad \text{N}^+ \text{H} + \text{CH}_2 \text{CHO} + \text{e}^- \quad (9)
\end{align*}
\]

The reaction (9) is initiated directly by absorption of X-Rays, but also indirectly by absorption of Auger electrons generated by metal salts under the influence of the same radiation. Both phenomena contribute to the change of pH.

The first step in imaging is the oxidation of a leuco-dye into its colored form. Concurrently, the photolyzed metal salt in presence of a complexing agent provides a metal complex with deep color which increase the optical density of the dye. The oxidized leuco-dye can itself react with the complexing agent forming a dye complex which also increases the density.

To summarize, a high optical density image can be the result of the concurrent creation of:

(a) an oxidized leuco-dye.
(b) a dye complex.
(c) a metal complex.

All this is initiated by the primary absorption of X-Ray, with amplification (chain reaction) and the secondary absorption of Auger electrons resulting from the absorption of the X-Ray by metal salts in the emulsion.

III. PREPARATION AND PROPERTIES OF SENSITIVE EMULSIONS

The original intent was to prepare the emulsion on a transparent substrate which eventually will be our final goal. We selected compounds which were known to work for the individual step considered.

Over twenty compositions have been designed. Ten of the compositions were listed in report No. 5 and are listed again in an appendix to this final report.
The three formulations which have given the best results are as follows:

**S - 9 - 88**

- Cobalt Acetate: 6.5 g.
- Nitric Acid (10% solution): 13 g.
- Water: 66 ml.
- Ammonium Oxalate: 6.5 g.
- Hexamethylenetetramine: 13 g.
- Urea: 13 g.
- Choline Chloride: 6 cc.
- Leuco Crystal Violet: 6 cc.
- Polyvinylalcohol: 20 g.
- Tergitol: 4 drps.

**S - 20 - 88**

- Mercury Acetate: 10 g.
- Nitric Acid (10% solution): 10 g.
- Water: 100 ml.
- Ammonium Oxalate: 10 g.
- Leuco Crystal Violet: 10 ml.
- Choline Chloride: 10 ml.
- Polyvinylalcohol: 30 g.
- Tergitol: 4 drps.

**S - 14 - 23 - 88** (Two layers)

**A. Layer 1**

- Mercurous Nitrate: 10 g.
- Water: 70 ml.
- Ammonium Oxalate: 10 g.
- Hexamethylenetetramine: 10 g.
- Choline Chloride: 10 cc.
- Leuco Crystal Violet: 10 cc.
- Polyvinylalcohol: 30 g.
- Tergitol TMN6: 4 drps.

**B. Layer 2**

- Mercuric Chloride: 10 g.
- Ethanol: 40 cc.
- Ammonium Oxalate: 1.2 g.
- Water: 30 cc.
- Urea: 20 g.
- Choline Chloride: 10 cc.
- Leuco Malachite Green: 10 g.
- Polyvinylpyrrolidone: 50 g.
- Tergitol TMN6: 4 drps.

(a) Saturated Solution in ethanol.
(b) Saturated solution in ethanol.
(c) 15% Solution in water.
(d) 40% Solution in ethanol.
IV. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

1. Exposure

Exposures were made using a tungsten source with 1 mm. Al filter at 50 KV, 20 mA and resp. 1, 5 and 10 sec. exposure times.

2. Development

Proper thermal development equipment has been difficult to obtain. Existing equipment for commercial processes do not appear to be suitable for the New X-Ray Process, without modification. A Dry Silver Processor may be the most appropriate but time restraints prevented a full evaluation of available processors from Honeywell and 3M, even though a processor was supplied on a loan basis by Honeywell. It is anticipated that it will be necessary to design some equipment adapted to the requirements of the process. A steep temperature gradient up to 160°C is required followed by immediate cooling. The limited equipment tested for development included:

a. Hair Dryer.

b. 3M Thermofax Printer "Secretary".

c. 3M Copy Mite 1.

d. Drum Dryer: Arkay Dual Dri 150.

e. Honeywell Thermal Processor

Only a and d provided significant results, c needed 6 passages at maximum temperature.

The following qualitative results from thermal development can be assessed (at the present time):

A. Hot air (blower) provides: quick development but very difficult to control. Has a tendency to overdevelop or burn the emulsion (see Fig. 1). When the image appears it is already too late to stop the development process. There appear to be very little margin between no development and overdevelopment.

B. Infrared. Here again, it is a question of speed and immediate cooling when the image appears. Cumulative heating (several passages) seems to result in poor contrast (see Fig. 2).

C. Hot Drum (Drum Dryer) with air cooling. This solution has given the best results so far (see Fig. 3). The equipment (d) was run at maximum temperature and maximum speed.
3. Sensitivity

By not being able to control the development parameters, we cannot appreciate the sensitivity of the system. However, it has been already found that images of relatively good quality can be obtained under the following conditions:

Source: W, 50 KV, 20 mA.
Exposure Time: < 1 sec.
Develop Temperature: 160°C. Approx.
Develop Speed: < 1 sec.

We also know that the materials and composition of the emulsion are far from being optimized.

Fig. 1 to 4 are representative of the state of development to the end of the Phase I.

Fig. 1, represents human teeth. The sensitive emulsion corresponds to the formulation S-14-23-88. The exposure conditions were:

Exp. Time: < 1 sec.
Source: Tungsten, 50 kv, 20 mA, Al 1 mm.
Distance: 20 cm.
Development: Hot air blower. Temperature evaluated at 160°C.
Figure 1
V. CONCLUSION

Preliminary results have demonstrated the feasibility of the process; however, additional research is necessary for development of a workable process. To reach that goal a rational Phase II development program should be initiated. It would include:

1. Basic photoreaction mechanism.
   
   A. Further study and optimization of the radiolysis of choline chloride precipitated in different binders.
   
   B. Study of the imaging system:
      
      Choline Chloride + Leuco-dye + pH buffer.
      Choice of the leuco-dye, initial pH and binder.
   
   C. Stability under different conditions of the initial component (choline Chloride, Leuco-dye).

2. Image Amplification Mechanism
   
   A. Study of complexation of oxidized leuco-dye with organic complexants.
   
   B. Study of complexation of oxidized leuco-dye with metallic salts.
   
   C. Study of complexation of photoionized metallic salts with organic complexants.

3. Transparent Emulsions
   
   Transparent emulsions would be better accepted in the medical field. It is therefore of major concern to develop an alternative emulsion on transparent substrate. Assuming the components and basic mechanisms remain the same, the metal salts will have to be introduced as solution in the binder which will decrease considerably the X-Rays absorption, the generation of reactive electrons and the extinction coefficient of the complexes generated from these metal salts. One way to increase the density of metal atoms and keep the transparency would be to introduce them as fatty acid metal salts (soaps). This solution would also bring the added advantage of a lower thermal development temperature.

4. Thermal Development
   
   For a given exposure the quality of the picture will be very much depending upon the thermal development.

   We have already determined qualitatively that heat would be transferred uniformly and during a short time. This
is only possible with a rotary drum heated at the development temperature and revolving at an optimum speed so that the contact of the drum with the emulsion can be controlled. An attractive solution appears to be microwave heating, using a linear applicator. The two basic advantages of such solution would be:

A. Absorption of microwave (and heat generation) will be localized to the image forming material (no transfer). The energy necessary will be minimal (less than 10 watts).

B. Possibility of drastic reduction in size (solid state microwave generator of a few cm$^3$).

The main feature of the development of this process (Phase II) will then be:

1. Optimization of the chemical composition.
2. Development of a transparent emulsion.
3. Optimization of the thermal development.
4. Design and development of a thermal processor.

During the development of the Phase I processes the toxicity of the initial composition has been identified and will be eliminated during further development. This question relates only to metal salts which can easily be replaced by less toxic species without changing the mechanism of the process.
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APPENDIX

LIST OF EMULSIONS
(From Report Number 5)

1. **Emulsion No. 1**

This emulsion was made in two parts:

A. The following composition was ball-milled for 24 hours:

- ZnO 210 g.
- Pliolite 55 g.
- Acetone 115 g.
- Toluene 120 g.
- Sodium Oxalate 15 g.
- Choline Chloride (¹) 21 g.

The resulting emulsion was coated on mylar sheet or baryta paper.

B. A second composition containing:

- Cu(NO₃)₂ 6 g.
Acetamide 6 g.
Leuco Malachite Green (2) 3 g.
Glycerol 1.5 cc.
Polyvinyl alcohol (3) 4.5 cc.
Ethylalcohol 22.5 cc.
Tergitol 2 drops

was coated on top of the previous one.

2. Emulsion No. 2

Cobalt Acetate 30 g.
H₂O 160 g.
HNO₃ (10%) 67 g.
Ammonium Oxalate 30 g.
Polyvinylalcohol (3) 100 g.
Choline Chloride (1) 40 g.
Hexamethylene tetramine 67 g.
Urea 67 g.
H₂O 160 g.
Leuco crystal violet (2) 20 g.

After homogeneization the emulsion is coated on a mylar sheet or baryta paper.

(1) Saturated solution in ethylalcohol.
(2) 1% in ethylalcohol.
(3) 15% in H₂O.
3. **Emulsion No. 3**

   **A.**
   - Hg(1) nitrate: 20 g.
   - H₂O: 140 cc.
   - HNO₃ (10%): 40 cc.
   - Ammonium Oxalate: 20 g.
   - Polyvinylalcohol (³): 60 g.
   - Hexamethylene tetramine: 20 g.
   - Choline Chloride (¹): 30 g.
   - Tergitol: 2 dr’s

   After homogeneization the emulsion (A) is coated on a mylar sheet or a baryta paper.

   **B.**
   - Cr (2) Chloride: 20 g.
   - Ethylalcohol: 80 g.
   - Polyvinylalcohol (³): 100 g.
   - Ammonium Oxalate: 3 g.
   - H₂O: 80 g.
   - Urea: 40 g.
   - Leuco crystal violet (²): 20 g.

   After homogeneization, emulsion B is coated on top of emulsion (A).

4. **Emulsion No. 4**

   - Hg nitrate(1): 10 g.
   - H₂O: 50 g.
After homogeneization the emulsion is coated on a mylar sheet or a baryta paper.

5. Emulsion No. 5

HgCl\(_2\) 10 g.
Ethylalcohol 40 g.
Polyvinylpyrrolidone\(^4\) 50 g.
Ammonium Oxalate 1.5 g.
\(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) 40 g.
Urea 20 g.
Choline Chloride\(^1\) 16 g.
Leuco crystal violet\(^2\) 10 g.

After homogeneization the emulsion is coated on a mylar sheet or baryta paper.

\(^4\) Solution 10% in ethylalcohol.
6. Emulsion No. 6

Hg acetate 10 g.
HNO$_3$ (10%) 20 g.
H$_2$O 50 cc.
Ammonium Oxalate 10 g.
Polyvinylalcohol(3) 30 g.
Hexamethylenetetramine 20 g.
Urea 20 g.
H$_2$O 50 g.
Choline Chloride(1) 20 g.
Leuco Malachite Green(2) 15 g.

After homogenization the emulsion is coated on a mylar sheet or baryta paper.

7. Emulsion No. 7

This emulsion is prepared in two parts (A) and (B):

A. CuCl 10 g.
H$_2$O 70 g.
HCl(50%) 10 cc.
Polyvinylalcohol (3) 40 g.
Sodium p-toluene sulfinate 10 g.
Choline Chloride (2) 14 g.
Lissapol 2 drops
After homogeneization emulsion (A) is coated on a mylar sheet or a baryta paper.

B. CuSO₄ 20 g.
   Ammonium Oxalate 5 g.
   Urea 20 g.
   Polyvinylalcohol 60 g.
   H₂O 120 g.
   Leuco crystal Violet(²) 18 g.
   Lissapol 2 drops

After homogeneization emulsion (B) is coated on top of emulsion (A).

8. Emulsion No. 8

Hg(NO₃)₂ 10 g.
H₂O 70 g.
HNO₃ (10%) 20 cc.
Ammonium Oxalate 10 g.
Polyvinylalcohol(³) 30 g.
Hexamethylenetetramine 20 g.
Choline Chloride(¹) 15 g.
Leuco Malachite Green(²) 10 g.
Tergitol 2 drops

After homogeneization the emulsion is coated on a mylar sheet or baryta paper.
9. Emulsion No. 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HgCl$_2$</td>
<td>10 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinylalcohol(3)</td>
<td>40 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Oxalate</td>
<td>1.5 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>38.4 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>20 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline Chloride (1)</td>
<td>10 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leuco crystal violet(2)</td>
<td>8 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tergitol</td>
<td>2 drops</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After homogeneity the emulsion is coated on a mylar sheet or baryta paper.

10. Emulsion No. 10

This emulsion is made in two parts:

A. The following composition is ball-milled for 24 hours:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>20 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cariflex(20%)</td>
<td>22 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>76 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-toluene sulfonic acid</td>
<td>1.5 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>0.5 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Oxalate</td>
<td>1.5 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline Chloride (1)</td>
<td>12 g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tergitol</td>
<td>2 drops</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>