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In-situ atomic-resolution scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) has been utilized on ordered Au(111) under electrode potential control in acidic aqueous solution to examine iodine adlayer structures formed by iodide electrooxidation as well as electrosorption. In the potential region, -0.3 to 0.4 V vs SCE, below where electrooxidation of solution iodide occurs, several distinct iodine adlayer structures were observed. At the most negative potentials, structures close to the hexagonal ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) R30° ($\theta_1 = 0.33$) pattern are evident. The registry between the adlayer and the substrate lattice was deduced in part from composite-domain images created by stepping the electrode potential so to alter markedly the adsorbate coverage during acquisition of a given STM image. At potentials between -0.2 and 0.2 V, adlayer patterns closer to the (5 x 3) ($\theta_1 = 0.4$) structure became increasingly prevalent. This features a diminution in the iodine spacing along two of the three iodine rows, with a corresponding ca 5° shift from the R30° direction. Above 0.2 V, the STM images indicated the predominant presence of a more complex higher-coverage adlayer ($\theta_1 = 0.44$) featuring long-range (19Å) Z corrugations rotated by 8–10° from
13. ABSTRACT (continued)

the hexagonal iodine adlattice. These corrugations arise from periodic alterations in the iodine binding site, necessitated by I-I distances that approach the van der Waals diameter. Above 0.3 V, however, polyiodide chains were also observed, featuring shorter (2.8 - 3.2 Å) I-I distances compatible with adsorbate-adsorbate chemical bonding; these increasingly distort the monomeric iodine superlattice structure. At the onset of solution iodide electrooxidation, at 0.45 V, multilayer iodine films were observed to form, consisting of polyiodide strands growing outwards from a (5 x √3) surface template. This STM spatial information is compared with structural data obtained previously from potential-dependent surface Raman spectra. The prospects of utilizing in-situ electrochemical STM to explore redox-induced surface molecular transformations are noted in the light of these findings.
ABSTRACT

In-situ atomic-resolution scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) has been utilized on ordered Au(111) under electrode potential control in acidic aqueous solution to examine iodine adlayer structures formed by iodide electrooxidation as well as electrosorption. In the potential region, -0.3 to 0.4 V vs SCE, below where electrooxidation of solution iodide occurs, several distinct iodine adlayer structures were observed. At the most negative potentials, structures close to the hexagonal $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° ($θ_1 = 0.33$) pattern are evident. The registry between the adlayer and the substrate lattice was deduced in part from composite-domain images created by stepping the electrode potential so to alter markedly the adsorbate coverage during acquisition of a given STM image. At potentials between -0.2 and 0.2 V, adlayer patterns closer to the $(5 \times \sqrt{3})$ ($θ_1 = 0.4$) structure became increasingly prevalent. This features a diminution in the iodine spacing along two of the three iodine rows, with a corresponding ca 5° shift from the R30° direction. Above 0.2 V, the STM images indicated the predominant presence of a more complex higher-coverage adlayer ($θ_1 = 0.44$) featuring long-range (19±1Å) Z corrugations rotated by 8-10° from the hexagonal iodine adlattice. These corrugations arise from periodic alterations in the iodine binding site, necessitated by I-I distances that approach the van der Waals diameter. Above 0.3 V, however, polyiodide chains were also observed, featuring shorter (2.8 - 3.2 Å) I-I distances compatible with adsorbate-adsorbate chemical bonding; these increasingly distort the monomeric iodine superlattice structure. At the onset of solution iodide electrooxidation, at 0.45 V, multilayer iodine films were observed to form, consisting of polyiodide strands growing outwards from a $(5 \times \sqrt{3})$ surface template. This STM spatial information is compared with structural data obtained previously from potential-dependent surface Raman spectra. The prospects of utilizing in-situ electrochemical STM to explore redox-induced surface molecular transformations are noted in the light of these findings.
The evolution of molecular-level structural techniques applicable directly to solid-liquid interfaces is having an increasingly profound impact on our fundamental understanding of electrochemical surface science. Besides spectroscopic and diffraction methods, scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) is emerging as an important new in-situ technique for this purpose. While most electrochemical applications of STM have so far been concerned with larger-scale surface morphology, a small yet growing number of recent reports demonstrate that the technique is capable of yielding true atomic resolution (i.e., spatial detection of individual surface atoms) at ordered metal-solution interfaces. These include examples of molecular and atomic adsorbates as well as images of the metal substrate atoms themselves.

In addition to discerning real-space structures for adsorbates under equilibrium conditions, it would be of considerable interest to utilize such in-situ atomic-resolution STM to deduce structural information for surface species involved in (or produced by) molecular transformations triggered by faradaic (i.e., redox) electrochemistry. The significance of such a quest is heightened by the wide variety of chemical transformations that can be induced to occur in a uniquely controllable fashion by electrochemical means. This approach might be expected to yield insight into the intramolecular adsorbate structure (bond distances, angles) as well as the superstructure of ordered adlattices formed by adsorbate arrays. As such, the real-space structural data furnished by STM should constitute an excellent complement to the adsorbate bonding information provided in particular by in-situ vibrational spectroscopies.

We described here an example of this new tactic, specifically to the electrooxidation of iodide to polyiodine species on ordered Au(111) in aqueous $0.1 \text{ M } \text{HClO}_4$. The Au(111) surface provides an interesting hexagonally ordered template for the reaction; we have recently described detailed in-situ STM on
this face 5b as part of a broader examination of ordered gold surfaces. 5 The reaction was selected partly in view of our earlier surface-enhanced Raman (SER) study of iodide electrooxidation on polycrystalline gold which indicates that both polyiodides and iodine species are formed in a distinctly potential-selective fashion. 9 The present findings enable the real-space surface structures associated with both these stages of oxidation to be discerned in detail, and the modes of initiation and growth of the iodine multilayers to be assessed. Together with a related study concerned with sulfide electrooxidation to polysulfur, 6 the results herein illustrate the substantial, yet so far essentially untapped, potential of in-situ STM for exploring reactive as well as adsorptive molecular electrochemistry.

EXPERIMENTAL

Most experimental details of the in-situ electrochemical STM procedures have been described elsewhere. 3,5,10,11 The microscope is a commercial Nanoscope II (Digital Instruments) with a bipotentiostat for electrochemical STM. The STM tips were 0.01in tungsten wire etched electrochemically in 1 M KOH, and insulated with clear nail polish (Wet 'n Wild, Pavion, Ltd.) so to minimize the occurrence of faradaic electrochemistry on the wire. All STM images were obtained in the so-called "height mode" (i.e., at constant current), and are unfiltered unless noted otherwise. The set-point current was typically 5-20 Na, and the bias voltage 10-300 mV (vide infra). The STM electrochemical cell walls were machined from Kel-F, with the substrate electrode surface forming the base. 11 Platinum wires were employed for the counter electrode, and as a quasi-reference electrode. All potentials quoted here are versus the saturated calomel electrode (SCE); the stability of the quasi-reference electrode with respect to the SCE was checked in separate experiments in a conventional electrochemical cell.
The Au(111) crystal (hemisphere, 7 mm diameter) was grown, cut, and polished at Laboratoire d'Electrochimie Interfaciale CNRS, Meudon, by Dr. A. Hamelin; the nominal orientation is within ±0.25°. The crystal was flame annealed immediately before each experiment, cooled in ultrapure water, and transferred to the STM cell protected by a drop of water.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Equilibrium Iodide Adsorption

As a prelude to examining iodide electrooxidation, it is appropriate to discuss the interfacial structures for adsorbed iodide as deduced by STM at potentials below the value where solution-phase faradaic electrochemistry commences in 0.1 M HClO₄, ca 0.4 V vs SCE. Most experiments utilized 0.5 mM iodide, although the concentration was varied over the range 0.01-1 mM with comparable surface-structural results. Figure 1 shows a representative unfiltered STM image obtained at −0.3 V vs SCE. The sharp spots can be deduced to constitute an ordered hexagonal layer exhibiting roughly (√3 x √3)R30° symmetry with respect to the underlying Au(111) lattice. Thus the spots lie 5.0 (± 0.3)Å apart (i.e., √3 times the gold atomic diameter, 2.88Å), in directions approximately midway between [i.e., rotated 30° (±3°) from] the row orientations observed in the STM images for unmodified Au(111). The latter images could readily be obtained for the freshly annealed Au(111) in aqueous 0.1 M HClO₄ at potentials ≥ 0 V vs SCE prior to iodide addition (see Fig. 1 of ref. 5b). [Some mild distortion of the simple (1 x 1) hexagonal array on Au(111), caused by a (√3 x 22) reconstruction, can be observed at negative electrode potentials.5b However, this reconstruction is lifted entirely by even low iodide coverages.] The observed near-(√3 x √3) pattern arises unassailably from the surface binding of monomeric iodide. (As noted below, however, adsorbate-surface charge transfer occurs to yield essentially uncharged iodine atoms.)
Similar STM images of the apparently ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30° structure (coverage -0.33) on Au(111) have been reported recently in air for surfaces transferred from an iodide dosing solution, \textsuperscript{12a} and in water containing 10 \textmu M NaI. \textsuperscript{12b} We also have obtained similar images under ex-situ conditions. The present findings, however, constitute the first detailed results for this system under in-situ electrochemical conditions. A comparable ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30° adlayer structure has been deduced recently for sulfide adsorption on Au(111) in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ \textsuperscript{6} and for alkanethiols on Au(111) in air. \textsuperscript{13} In the former case, the sulfur binding was deduced to occur at threefold hollow sites on the basis of adjoining domain images containing the Au(111) substrate and adlattice patterns. \textsuperscript{6}

Some other pieces of information, however, indicate that the registry between the iodine and Au(111) lattices tends to be somewhat less straightforward than described by the simple hexagonal ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30° pattern. Figures 2A–D show examples of STM images that are instructive in this regard. The first three (Figs. 2A–C) are "composite" images, obtained by stepping the potential roughly midway during the scan acquisition so to largely remove (or reform) the iodine adlayer. Thus the bottom half of Fig. 2A, showing an iodine adlayer image, was obtained at -0.2 V. As the tip scan (from right to left, rastered from top to bottom) reached mid-screen, the electrode potential was stepped sufficiently negative (to ca -0.4 V), so to obtain a (1 x 1) Au(111) substrate image. The virtue of this tactic is that the registry between the iodine adlayer and the substrate can be obtained relatively accurately; the latter provides an internal calibration by which the deleterious effects of thermal drift in the STM image can be minimized.

By this means, the iodine adlayer can be seen to be distorted significantly (albeit slightly) from the hexagonal ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) pattern, whereupon the interatomic distances along two of the three iodine rows are compressed by ca 10% below the
distance ($\sqrt{3} \times 2.884\text{Å} = 5.0\text{Å}$) and shifted correspondingly (by ca. $3^\circ$) from the R30$^\circ$ direction. Figures 2B and C show topview and height–shaded images obtained similarly, by stepping from $-0.4\text{ V}$ to $0.1\text{ V}$ during the upward–rastered STM scan. These latter images show a comparable distortion of two of the three row directions, being compressed by ca. 15% and rotated by ca. $5^\circ$ from the R30$^\circ$ substrate direction.

The iodine adlattice in Figs. 2B and C provides a good fit to the $(5 \times \sqrt{3})$ iodine symmetry ($\theta_1 = 0.4$) observed recently on Au(111) under similar conditions by means of low–energy electron diffraction (LEED) measurements following electrode emersion$^{14}$ and by high-precision STM in air.$^{15}$ This structure features a pair of iodine row directions shifted by $4.7^\circ$ from the R30$^\circ$ direction, the atoms presumed to be located in binding sites which vary between twofold and threefold geometries. Perhaps surprisingly, however, no periodic Z corrugations are evident in the STM images along the iodine rows; markedly more intense images (i.e., higher Z displacements) have been observed for iodine atoms adsorbed at twofold bridging and especially atop compared with threefold hollow sites on Pt(111).$^{3,10}$

As a consequence, the $(5 \times \sqrt{3})$ pattern can easily be mistaken for the lower coverage ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) structure given the degree of x–y distortion commonly obtained in STM patterns as a result of thermal drift and other nonidealities. Similarly, as noted in ref. 15 the LEED pattern for the former symmetry has been misidentified previously as the latter structure. A ball–model depiction of the $(5 \times \sqrt{3})$ structure is shown in comparison with the $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ adlayer symmetry in Fig. 3. (The grey–shaded circles represent iodine atoms, and the smaller open circles are the gold substrate atoms.) The suggested $(5 \times \sqrt{3})$ adlattice shown in Fig. 3B features the corner iodines (in the unit cell as shown) sited in slightly asymmetric threefold sites. Such an arrangement results in each of the four
iodines contained within the (5 x \(\sqrt{3}\)) unit cell being situated in asymmetric sites between two- and threefold geometries; this particular structure can rationalize the observed near-equivalence each of the iodine atoms in the observed STM images.

On this basis, the iodine adlayer domain seen in Fig. 2A is likely to have a structure intermediate between (5 x \(\sqrt{3}\)) and (\(\sqrt{3} x \sqrt{3}\)), which we can denote approximately (8 x \(\sqrt{3}\)). Interestingly, preliminary in-situ x-ray diffraction measurements of the Au(111)–I system indicate the presence of adlayer symmetries lying between these discrete distorted hexagonal structures, the iodine interatomic distances apparently compressing continuously as the iodine coverage increases towards higher electrode potentials. It would be of obvious interest to undertake an extremely detailed potential-dependent STM study to compare with such precise diffraction results. A relevant issue is whether uniform adlayer structures are formed at a given electrode potential, or mixtures of domains having significantly different adlayer symmetries (and iodine coverages) are present instead. A clear answer to this question would require more precise (and abundant) STM data on the system than have been acquired so far. The image shown in Fig. 2D nonetheless illustrates a commonly observed phenomenon of two rotated iodine adlayer domains within a given substrate terrace region. Careful inspection of this image in comparison with that for the corresponding substrate suggests that the domain lying towards the top left-hand corner has a structure, ca (8 x \(\sqrt{3}\)), closer to the symmetric (\(\sqrt{3} x \sqrt{3}\)) pattern, whereas the remaining adlayer exhibits a packing close to (5 x \(\sqrt{3}\)). Such iodine domain boundaries are observed to form even within a uniform gold substrate domain.

Distinctly different STM images are observed preferentially at potentials positive of 0.2 V vs SCE. A representative example is shown in Figs. 4A and B. (The tip–substrate bias voltage for this image, −200 mV, is larger than employed typically at lower electrode potentials in order to avoid complications from tip
oxidation; similar results were obtained, however, using less negative bias voltages.) Several aspects of these images are noteworthy. First, while the rows of iodine atoms together form a near-hexagonal array, the $d_{I-I}$ values are about 4.4-4.5Å, significantly below the $\sqrt{3}$ distance of 5.0Å. Second, longer-range Z corrugations (i.e., variations in the "effective height") of the iodine atoms are clearly observed, forming a hexagonal pattern with a periodicity of 19(±2)Å. This superlattice is rotated (in either direction) from the iodine hexagonal lattice by about 8-10°. Similar structures were obtained on Au(111) in air using a high-precision "beetle-type" STM. The data indicate that the R30° substrate direction lies in between the iodine rows and the Z-corrugations, being rotated from the former by about 4°. Careful examination of a number of images yield $d_{I-I}$ values of 4.35(±0.1)Å, corresponding to an iodine coverage of 0.44, so that the adlayer structure approaches the close-packed limit ($\theta_I = 0.45$) determined by the iodine van der Waals diameter, 4.3Å. The potential-induced transitions between the several adlayer arrangements described so far are reversible, the lower-coverage (5 x $\sqrt{3}$) and the near-(6 x $\sqrt{3}$) structures being recovered upon readjusting the electrode potential to appropriately lower values.

The form of the observed superlattice in Fig. 4 is reminiscent of the "charge-density waves" observed by STM and diffraction methods at semiconductors and (to a much smaller extent) on metal surfaces. More likely, however, the observed longer-range corrugations reflect periodic variations in the iodide surface binding sites necessitated by the noncommensurate nature of the close-packed iodine and gold substrate lattices. The regions of "tunneling maxima" (i.e., higher Z values) are probably associated with iodide bound in atop (or near-atop) binding sites, rather than being nestled in twofold bridging or especially the threefold hollow sites as in the lower-coverage adlayers. [Again, clearcut evidence for markedly more efficient electron tunneling via atop versus
multifold iodine has been obtained for the Pt(111)-I system. Structures yielding longer-range periodicities in the iodine binding sites in accord with the observed Z-corrugations can readily be constructed on the basis of the adlayer real-space parameters noted above. Such periodicities are not inconsistent with the LEED pattern observed for the saturated-coverage adlayer formed on Au(111) by gas-phase iodine dosing, featuring hexagonal fractional-order features.

Figure 3C displays a suggested half-cell ball model for our high-coverage "incommensurate" structure, having the symmetry (7 x 7)R21.8°, in comparison with the (√3 x √3) and (5 x √3) adlayer unit cells shown in Figs. 3A and B. The progressively higher coverages (0.33, 0.4, and ca 0.45) associated with these structures, observed at increasing electrode potentials, is seen to be achieved by systematic decreases in the d_{I-I} values below the √3 value, 5.0Å, together with rotation of two or more iodine rows with respect to the (√3 x √3) structure.

The potential-dependent iodine coverages determined by Auger spectroscopy after electrode emersion as reported by Bravo et al. are approximately consistent with the adlayer structures observed in the present work; θ_{I} is seen to approach 0.45 by about 0.4 V vs SCE. Quantitative iodide coverage-potential data are also obtainable directly from sequences of electrode capacitance-potential data for various electrolyte compositions. Unfortunately, however, no such thermodynamic analysis has been undertaken to date for the Au(111)-aqueous iodide system, although the form of individual capacitance-potential curves indicates that near-saturation coverages are reached within the potential range studied here.

Initial Iodide Electrooxidation

So far, we have considered adlayer structural features identifiable unambiguously as arising from iodide chemisorption in the formal absence of
faradaic processes. It is nonetheless appropriate to recall that anion adsorption itself inevitably involves some charge transfer to the surface arising from bond covalency. Indeed, iodide binding to gold appears to yield almost complete charge transfer on the basis of the adsorption thermodynamics ("electrosorption valency") and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, so that the adsorbate can be considered to be iodine adatoms rather than iodide ions. Regardless of the degree of such adsorbate charge transfer, however, the range of electrode potential over which iodide chemisorption occurs is not necessarily related to the potential at which the electrooxidation of solution-phase iodide commences. Under the present conditions, the latter process (yielding triiodide) is seen from voltammetric data to be initiated at a potential, \( E_{\text{ox}} \), of about 0.45 V vs SCE.

Nevertheless, it is of considerable interest to examine the effects of such solution faradaic processes upon the iodine adlayer structure. The significance of this question can be couched in thermodynamic terms: for \( E \geq E_{\text{ox}} \) zerovalent iodine becomes stable in the form of \( I_2 \) (and polyiodide species) as well as by binding to the gold substrate. As a consequence, one anticipates that iodine adlayer structures could be formed on gold in this potential region in the presence of solution iodide that are influenced, or even dominated, by I-I rather than by Au-I bonding. This expectation is heightened by our previous deduction by means of SER spectra that polyiodide species are formed on gold under the present conditions at potentials above ca 0.2 V.

Intriguingly, examination of STM images on Au(111) in the potential region 0.3 to 0.4 V vs SCE yields direct information on the structure of the polyiodide chains thus formed. Figures 5A–E show examples of STM images taken under these conditions. The first three images (Fig. 5A–C) illustrate the nature of the initially deposited polyiodide strings and their relation to the ordered iodine
adlayer. Figures 5A and B show the disruption, presumably disordering, caused in the corrugated iodine adlayer by chain growth. The initial stages of polyiodide formation also result in interesting alterations in the row directions of the underlying iodine adlayer, as evident in Fig. 5C. While no one unique chain structure could be discerned, Figs. 5C-E show a common tendency of the iodine strings to form hexagonal-shaped arrays roughly 30Å across. Unlike the ordered iodine adlayers, however, the strings tend to lie along the direction of the gold substrate rows. As seen most clearly in Fig. 5D, the iodine rows consist preferentially of three- to five-atom strings, with an interatomic spacing of 2.9(±0.4)Å. In some cases, side-by-side pairs of rows were observed, again running parallel to the substrate atoms.

These features resemble the polyiodide structures commonly observed in bulk-phase crystalline environments. The bond distances within the iodine strings, \( d_{I-I} \), are comparable to those, 2.8-3.4Å, found in polyiodides, although lacking the clearcut alternation in \( d_{I-I} \) found for the latter. This suggests that the anionic charge in the surface polyiodide chains is delocalized, rather than being centered primarily on every alternate iodine atom. The role of the gold substrate in providing a template for the polyiodide strands is clearly evident not only from the observed row directions, but also from the approximate equality between \( d_{I-I} \) and the gold interatomic spacing, 2.9Å.

These spatial structural features offer an interesting comparison with the SER vibrational spectra for this system. Besides the 123/158 cm\(^{-1}\) doublet due to the iodine adlayer, the formation of interfacial polyiodide is signaled by a band at 110 cm\(^{-1}\), probably due to the I\(_3\) symmetric stretch, along with an intense feature at 145 cm\(^{-1}\), attributed tentatively to the "outer" vibrational mode of I\(_3\). It should be borne in mind that these SERS and accompanying STM structural features are observed at potentials, 0.2-0.4 V vs SCE, below where
detectable steady-state anodic current to form solution polyiodide commences. Evidently, then, such interfacial polyiodide chains are stabilized significantly by surface interactions, consistent with the influence of the gold substrate on the chain structure as discerned by STM.

**Iodine Film Formation**

Increasing the potential up to values, ca 0.45 V, where anodic current is clearly detectable, yields dramatic changes in the STM images. Figures 6A–D are representative height-shaded images obtained at ca 0.4 V after iodide electrooxidation has proceeded in this manner at 0.45 V for ca 30s. The tip–substrate bias voltage was again set usually to ca -200 mV, in order to maintain the tip potential at values below where tungsten electrooxidation causes damage to the tip morphology. While a rich array of structural features arising from multilayer iodine films can be observed, the images contain some persistent patterns. The parallel strings observed most clearly in Fig. 6A lie along one of the $\sqrt{3}$ directions (i.e. R30° to the gold substrate rows). The distance between parallel, equivalently imaged, rows in Fig. 6A is 7.2(0.5)Å, with an interatomic spacing along the rows of 5.0(±0.4)Å. Interestingly, these lattice dimensions are commensurate with the $(5 \times \sqrt{3})$ unit cell for the adsorbed iodide discussed above (Fig. 3B). Thus the unit cell as drawn features vertical iodine strings with interatomic distance 5.0Å along the rows. Adjacent rows alternate between essentially threefold and twofold iodine binding, the separation between every second (sterically equivalent) string being 7.2Å. While the distance between most iodine rows in Fig. 6A is 7.2Å, "in-between" rows are also observed; these are more evident in the topview image shown in Fig. 6B.

Consequently, then, the iodine adlayers produced upon iodide electrooxidation have some structural features in common with at least one of the iodide adlattices present at lower potentials. Most probably, the $(5 \times \sqrt{3})$ ($\theta_i = 0.4$)
adlayer provides a template for growth of multilayer iodine films. The presence of additional iodine layers (i.e. growing in the Z direction) is clearly evident from the STM images. For example, segments of higher (i.e. brighter) iodine rows can be discerned, typically being ca 2Å higher than the adjoining strings.

Figure 6C shows a significantly different multilayer iodine pattern. Nonetheless, iodine strings are again present along a $\sqrt{3}$ direction, rotated 60° counterclockwise to the adlayers in Fig. 6A and B. (Note that the substrate x-y orientation in Figs. 6A–F is the same.) The interatomic spacings in Fig. 6C are also as before, although some longer-range periodicities are now evident. An instructive feature of Fig. 6C is the terrace edge sweeping through the middle of the image. The step height is about 4Å. Rather than being a vertical step, however, it appears to be slanted by roughly 25° to the x-y plane. Within this step, 4–5 iodine atoms can be discerned.

Taken together, these details enable a structural model for the growing multilayer iodine film to be ascertained. Polyiodide (or polyiodine) strings are apparently propagated from each iodine atom in the $(5 \times \sqrt{3})$ template, so to form a relatively close-packed multilayer film. The remarkable conducting properties of the film are evident from the observation of high-quality STM images even for films that are at least 5–10 iodine atoms thick. The iodine strings appear to be tilted, with their close-packed nature nonetheless yielding long-range order in the x-y plane. The propagation of the films in the Z-direction is driven by the formation of stable polyiodide chains; their continued growth is facilitated by the excellent electron transmission along the chain direction. Growth of the iodine film in the Z-direction is also very uniform, although the outer surface of the film can exhibit differing corrugated features. A clear example of the latter is evident in Fig. 6D. This film is reminiscent of a "stepped" metal surface, with regular corrugations (steps) running close to the y direction.
Another variant of a "stepped" iodine superstructure is shown in Fig. 6E.

Adjusting the potential back to negative values following anodic film formation yields marked alterations in the STM image. Unlike the potential-dependent adlayers formed prior to iodide electrooxidation, however, some irreversibility is encountered in that the structural arrangements summarized in Fig. 3 are not recovered entirely under these conditions. As an illustration, Fig. 6F shows an atomic-resolution image obtained at −0.1 V following iodine film formation at 0.45 V. Sharply corrugated atomic strings are maintained along one $\sqrt{3}$ direction, with a 5Å interatomic spacing. Apparently, then, segments of the anodically formed iodine film are still present even at potentials well below those corresponding to the reduction of solution iodine (or polyiodide). These features may well reflect some disruption of the gold substrate lattice attending iodine film formation.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The foregoing results demonstrate some substantial virtues of in-situ STM with atomic resolution for elucidating detailed potential-dependent adlayer structures at ordered electrochemical interfaces. The registry between the adlattice and the substrate, and even the adsorbate binding sites, can be elucidated in favorable cases, as here, from STM images featuring adjoining adlayer and substrate domains. The present system offers an intriguing opportunity to explore not only the potential-induced evolution of discrete and distinct iodide adlayer structures, but also their relation to the polyiodide/iodine layers that are formed anodically at higher potentials. Three chemically distinct interfacial states of essentially zerovalent iodine, formed in a potential-selective fashion, can be delineated from the STM data. First, the adlayers formed below the iodide oxidation potential involve monomeric iodine, stabilized solely by surface-adsorbate bonding. The second state involves polyiodide strings propagating along the surface, and stabilized by Au-I as well as I-I bonding, so to form significantly below the oxidation potential of solution...
iodide, $E_{\text{ox}}$. Thirdly, multilayer iodine/polyiodide films are formed when $E \geq E_{\text{ox}}$ that, while obviously stabilized primarily by I-I bonding, are seen to grow from a (5 x $\sqrt{3}$) surface template. The central role of the Au(111) substrate symmetry in controlling the adlayer structures at all three of these stages is clearly evident. Preliminary STM data gathered for iodide adsorption and electrooxidation at the square-planar substrate Au(100) shows, not surprisingly, the formation of markedly different iodine structures, even though hexagonal adsorbate packing still predominates. 27

The utilization of in-situ atomic-resolution STM to explore such redox-induced interfacial chemistry would appear to be applicable to a wide range of reactive adsorbates, even though there are inevitable limitations, such as the need for an immobile adlayer for satisfactory imaging. Most importantly, the technique enables the unique control of redox chemical events provided by electrochemical systems to be harnessed for the exploration of surface molecular transformations in an extremely flexible as well as detailed fashion. Recent examinations of underpotential deposited metal adlayers by means of in-situ STM provide a related example of this tactic. 3,8 Such applications of STM clearly have much to offer surface chemistry in general, as well as electrochemistry in particular.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1
Unfiltered STM image of Au(111) in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ + 0.5 mM KI at -0.3 V vs SCE, showing approximate ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) iodide adlayer. Imaging conditions: bias voltage $V_b$ = 60 mV, set-point current $i_t$ = 12 nA.

Fig. 2
Composite-domain STM images of iodide adlayers on Au(111) in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ + 0.5 mM KI. A) Bottom half is adlayer at -0.2 V having ca ($8 \times \sqrt{3}$) symmetry; top half is substrate image, obtained by stepping potential to ca -0.4 V during image acquisition. $V_b$ = -15 mV, $i_t$ = 20 nA. B) Similarly to A, but for iodide adlayer, having ($5 \times \sqrt{3}$) symmetry, formed by stepping from -0.4 V to 0.1 V during upward-rastered STM scan. C) Filtered height-shaded view of B. D) Obtained at 0 V, showing adjoining adlayer domains. $V_b$ = -80 mV, $i_t$ = 15 nA.

Fig. 3A-C
Ball models of the Au(111)-iodide adlayer structures discussed here. Grey-shaded circles depict iodine atoms; open circles are gold substrate atoms. Lines in A and B indicate the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$) and ($5 \times \sqrt{3}$) unit cells, respectively. Lines in C indicate one half of the ($7 \times 7$)R21.8° unit cell; the inner circles denote iodine atoms located at symmetric atop sites, corresponding to brightest spots in image in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4
A) Unfiltered top view, and B) filtered height-shaded STM image of "high-coverage superlattice" iodide adlayer, obtained as in Figs. 1 and 2 but at 0.3 V. $V_b$ = -300 mV, $i_t$ = 20 nA.

Fig. 5
STM images showing formation of polyiodide chains on superlattice adlayer, obtained as in Figs. 1 and 2 but at 0.3-0.4 V. $V_b$ = -200 mV, $i_t$ = 10 nA.

Fig. 6
STM images showing formation of multilayer iodine films, obtained as in Figs. 1 and 2 but at 0.4 V (A-E) after holding potential at 0.45 V for ca 30s (see text). F was obtained after returning potential to -0.1 V for 10 mins. $V_b$ = -200 mV, $i_t$ = 10 nA (A-E); $V_b$ = 100 mV, $i_t$ = 15 nA (F).
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