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Preface

This report evaluates the AGARD Flight Mechanics Panel Symposium on "Piloted Simulator Effectiveness", held from 14th to 17th October 1991, in Brussels, Belgium.

The intention of the Symposium was to assess the benefits and the future potential of flight simulation in contributing to aircraft development, skill training, and mission training, for both fixed wing and rotary wing aircraft. In particular, contributors were asked to compare the results of simulated and real tasks.

The papers were wide ranging and of high quality; several new ideas emerged. The technology of flight simulation has greatly improved since the last FMP Symposium on this topic, in 1985. The emphasis of work relating to fixed wing aircraft has shifted towards systems integration. A greater contribution to helicopter design and clearance is also evident.

Although new visual display devices show great promise for military flight training at high speed, low level, they are not yet fully proven, and it is recommended that an early return is made to the topic of pilot training. Simulator validation and fidelity are also of growing importance.

Préface


Le symposium a eu pour objectif d'évaluer les avantages et le potentiel futur de la simulation du vol pour le développement des aéronefs, la formation technique et l'entraînement à la mission, et ceci pour les aéronefs à voilure fixe et à voilure tournante. En particulier, il a été demandé aux conférenciers de faire la comparaison entre les résultats obtenus pour des tâches réelles et ceux pour des tâches simulées.

Les communications couvrent un large domaine et sont d'une grande valeur, faisant état, parfois, d'idées nouvelles. Des améliorations considérables ont été apportées aux techniques de la simulation du vol depuis le dernier symposium organisé sur ce sujet par le Panel FMP, en 1985. En ce qui concerne les aéronefs à voilure fixe, les travaux en cours dans ce domaine évoluent vers l'intégration des systèmes. A noter également, l'intérêt accru pour la conception et l'homologation des hélicoptères.

Bien que les nouvelles visualisations soient très prometteuses pour l'entraînement militaire au vol à grande vitesse et à basse altitude, elles n'ont pas encore fait leurs épreuves et il est recommandé de réexaminer, en priorité, la question de l'entraînement des pilotes. Enfin, la fidélité et la validation des simulateurs est également un sujet qui prend de plus en plus d'importance.
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1. Introduction.

From a minor role in aircraft design, development and clearance in the 1950's, flight simulation now has an established part to play. A further and vital contribution to aerospace lies in the use of simulation for crew training in almost all operational tasks. The contribution that flight simulation can make in these areas continues to increase, as the elements used in simulation improve. These improvements stem from developments in non-aerospace activities, such as communications and entertainment, which fund advances in graphics and display technology beyond the resources of flight simulation alone. Similarly, general advances in computing technology meet the need for complex modelling and real-time processing.

In a rapidly changing discipline such as flight simulation, it is important that advances are accessible to workers in the field. AGARD provides the ideal forum for this process, in the form of Conference Proceedings. The Flight Mechanics Panel devotes a Symposium to Flight Simulation approximately every six years, at which time, new developments can be aired. Because of the rapid progress and the broad scope (even when confined to military applications), the theme for the symposium has to be chosen with care. Should it focus on the technology used to design simulators, or on the facilities to meet users' needs, or on the experiences of operators? The need for a meeting on Flight Simulation was also appropriate because of the changes which have come to Aerospace since the last meeting in Cambridge in 1986; changes which are having a serious effect in all areas of simulation. World economic recession, political changes in Eastern Europe, and the Middle East conflict have had a profound influence on short term prospects. Research budgets have been cut. Industry is contracting, and the financial pressures on all projects is intense.

It is appropriate, therefore, that the FMP chose as a theme for this meeting "Piloted Simulation Effectiveness". The intent of the Symposium was "to provide information on the benefits and potential that the many elements of simulation technology have to meet the different task requirements during conceptual R&D, aircraft development, skill training, and full mission training. The scope includes both fixed wing and rotary wing aircraft, and their systems." Particularly welcome were papers in which simulated and real tasks were compared, and methods of measuring effectiveness were identified. Also sought was a Keynote address "to give a realistic view of the current capability and shortcomings, and also the future potential of simulation", to be given by a user, rather than a provider, of simulation.

The conference was divided into four sessions; each session devoted to a particular topic. The topics were:

1. Aircraft development.
2. Skill training.
3. Full mission simulation.
4. Research applications.

Two distinguished speakers provided the keynote addresses. Their contributions were complementary. Dipl. Ing. H. Heyden, Head of Defence Directorate, Federal Ministry of Defence, Germany, dealt with issues in general: current use and limitations of simulation, and opportunities for greater use in training and aircraft development. Col. R.A. Borowski, Head of Flight Dynamics Directorate, Wright-Patterson AFB, USA, gave specific examples of simulation in major aircraft development programs, and commented on the standards and shortcomings from a pilot's point of view, based on personal experience.

The Keynote addresses were unusually informative for this kind of Conference. It is worthwhile, therefore, to re-iterate in this report the main points that were made. This will be followed by a discussion of each of the four sessions listed above.

Attendees at this type of conference are, generally speaking, highly qualified and experienced in their field. They expect to learn something from related disciplines, and hope to extend their specialist knowledge, by listening to presentations, by reading the papers, and by the opportunities afforded for personal contacts. In assessing technical merit, therefore, the following criteria, if not already covered by the standard advice given by AGARD to authors, should be applied. Do the papers cover new ground? Do they contain sufficient detail to allow their results to be duplicated? Do they give references? And does the spoken version hold the attention of the audience?

2. Key Issues.

H. Heyden defined the two areas in which piloted simulators are used, as follows:

Use of Piloted Simulation for Qualification and Training

* Cockpit Procedure & Proficiency Training
* Emergency Procedure Training
* Crew Co-ordination & Mission Management Training
* Type and Weapons Training
* Familiarisation with New Technologies (Automation)
* Low Level Flight & Mission Rehearsal Training

Use of Piloted Simulation in Verification Testing

* Definition of Handling Qualities Requirements
* Flight Control and Sub-system Assessment Modification and Up-grading
* Flight Vehicle Sub-system Acceptance Testing and Certification
* Development of Certification Standards
* Validation of Design and Systems Capabilities for Contractor Selection
It will be seen that the papers presented, listed in the Appendix address most, if not all, of these topics. He also defined the critical factors concerning military flight operations in Europe, as follows:

**Critical Issues of Military Flight Operations in Europe**

- Flight Restrictions due to
  - Limited Combat Training Ranges
  - Dense Air Traffic
  - Flight Safety Aspects (Air Crashes)
- Flying Hour Reductions due to
  - Declining Budgets
  - Rising Costs of Flight Operations
- German Ban on Low Flying due to
  - Greater Environmental Awareness (Noise Annoyance)
  - Increasing Public Reluctance and Opposition
- Adverse Effect on Aircrew Morale?

The question which H. Heyden poses is "to what extent can current standards of flight simulation be used to alleviate the effects of these factors?" Clearly, there are shortfalls, mostly related to pilot acceptance, but research activities are underway to provide solutions. One activity in Germany receiving particular attention is that of simulating high speed, low level flight. This figure lists the key factors in terrain following training simulation.

### Terrain Following Training Simulation

**General Requirements**

- Accurate Modelling of Aircraft and Environmental Dynamics
- Effective Visual Description of Outside World
  - Large Field of View (FoV)
  - High Resolution Computer Generated Imagery (CGI)
- Realistic Motion Environment
  - High Fidelity Motion

**Problem Areas**

- Simulator Induced Sickness (SIS)
- Neural Mis-Match (eg Neural Storage of Experience)
- Biodynamic Interferences (eg Pilot Induced Oscillations)
- Variability of Information and Control Strategies

Col. Borowski picked up the theme of problem areas, and discussed the standards of simulation currently in use. He looked at devices operating in the United States, from a pilot's point of view. They range from "folding chair" simulators (monitor, joystick, and desk-top computer) to the large dome full projection systems for combat missions.

He reported a simulation of the Harrier on the VMS at NASA Ames, in which a change from motion off to motion on made a marginally flyable task into one which was easy to control. "as if a powerful and effective set of stability augmenters had been engaged". On the Crew Station Research and Development Facility, also at Ames, he flew a simulated helicopter maneuvering at low level. The fixed base simulator uses the CAE helmet to provide a wide angle display. He found an overwhelming sensation of motion, and could distinctly feel the forces applied to his body. In resolving the basis of these sensations, he experienced motion sickness. In fairness to the equipment, he was outside its intended regime of operation.

He also drew our attention to other successful simulator programmes: the agility work in the domes at NASA Langley, the development of the HL20 "space taxi" flying qualities, also at Langley, the NASA Dryden work on minimum reversionary standards of flight controls, and the in-flight simulators funded by Wright-Patterson AFB. A new addition, VISTA (a modified F-16) is scheduled to fly in November 1991. His final examples were the simulations used to assist in the development and clearance of aircraft such as the X-29 and the STOL F-15.

To complete the summary of the key issues, it is instructive to look at H. Heyden's recommendations, which took the form of a challenge to the assembled experts.

### Recommendations

Challenges to the AGARD and Expert Community here today concerning Aspects of Affordability:

- What are the Minimum Equipment Requirements for
  - Development and Verification Simulation
  - Pilot Training Simulation
  - Complex Air Warfare Simulation?
- Where are Cost-Effective Enabling Technologies to improve Simulator Fidelity?
- What Measures must be taken to increase the Pilot Acceptance of Training Simulation?
- What Means of Simulation Facility Concentration and Standardisation may improve NATO Military Operational Effectiveness?
3. Technical Programme.

3.1 Session 2. Aircraft Development.

The session consisted of seven papers, two of which related directly to helicopters, and three to fixed wing aircraft. As evidence of the growing contribution that simulation makes to helicopter design and development, the helicopter related papers gave a comprehensive picture of progress in that field, whereas the fixed wing papers each dealt with a specific example of aircraft systems which were developed or cleared by simulation in support of flight test.

Paper 3 covers the difficult area of helicopter modelling. The aerodynamic model is dominated by the forces and moments generated by the rotors, and the complex flow patterns they induce. The paper describes in detail the relative merits of three levels of rotor modelling: linear analytical, hybrid (rotormap), and blade element. The validation of the models is then discussed, and flight comparisons are presented for a variety of manoeuvres. Finally, examples of helicopter simulations at McDonnell Douglas and at NASA Ames are described. Paper 6, from MBSE, describes the simulators they used to develop their range of rotary wing aircraft, with useful comment on the hardware standard needed to address a particular area of interest. The importance of both objective validation and subjective validation of the simulator is stressed, and interesting observations are made on the attitude of their test pilots to flight simulation (reflecting a general feeling at the Symposium, that pilots still see simulators as useful but artificial). The paper concludes with sound advice to all users of research and development simulators.

The papers relating to fixed wing aircraft (3, 4, and 8) each deal with a specific aircraft, and the support given to flight test by simulation, in clearing a system. Paper 3 gives a clear account of a violent pitch oscillation which occurred on a US fighter during practice air combat, and how a fixed basis simulator contributed to the investigation of the problem and its solution. The cause of the PIO, control surface rate limiting, was identified quickly, because good flight records of the incident were available. The standard of the aircraft model in the simulator was sufficiently accurate to achieve a near match, once the right combinations of control inputs were found. It is concluded that ground based simulation is now an essential adjunct to flight testing.

Paper 8, also from a flight test centre, gives a similar message. In this case, the task was to develop an active ground-avoidance system for the F-18. The simulator was used to reduce greatly the flight test programme, by isolating critical cases, and by eliminating options on the basis of pilot opinions obtained from the simulator. Papers 3 and 8 both stressed the value of the simulator in reducing the risk of flight testing.

The third fixed wing paper (paper 4) gave a good overview of the development of the digital flight control system for the A320. Some of the issues have been presented previously: side stick implementation, manoeuvre demand laws, and protection methods. However, the discussion on the implications of digital flight control on training simulator issues is timely. Aerospatiale believe that the use of the actual system hardware and software is essential—simulation rather than simulation.

The message of paper 7 was that modelling and real time simulation plays a vital part in the development of radar-based terrain avoidance systems. The problems and benefits are catalogued, but the paper contains no other examples of a radar system, nor simulator to flight comparisons. Nor is the part played by the pilot covered in this paper.

The last paper in the session (paper 9) was a report on the progress of the FNP Working Group 16, on Simulation in Aircraft and Systems Flight Clearance. Validation of the simulator is a key issue, and the areas where difficulties arise are discussed. These include obtaining relevant flight data, computer modeling (real time and non-real time), visual and motion simulation deficiencies, and software verification. The role is made for greater use of simulators for certification of military aircraft, because the cost savings are potentially greater than those currently achieved by the use of simulation in the certification of civil aircraft.

3.2 Session 3. Skill Training.

To embrace all the papers given in this session, a loose definition of 'skill training' has to be applied. For example, paper 14, from Alenia, might easily have been placed in the section on Aircraft Development, in spite of the reference to training in the title. Paper 13 qualifies for this session only by remembering that the reported activity contributes to the skill of test pilots. Nevertheless, all the papers were well prepared and presented, and contained interesting information.

Paper 10, from MLA, deals with the basic issues of training. First, it discusses the deficiencies of present standards from a pilot's point of view (credibility). The next point is that unless pilots react in the simulator in the same way as they do in the aircraft, training effectiveness is compromised. An example is given of different arousal levels in simulators and flight, by showing heart rate measurements at take off. The issue of realism is raised, and whether it is possible to induce a state of anxiety in simulators. The way ahead is to expand research activities, and the available mechanisms are listed. The final suggestion, that new training simulator configurations should first be tested in a research simulator, is clearly meant as a debating point.

Paper 11 describes an ambitious new facility, the Simulator Complexity Test Bed, for the US Army at Fort Rucker. It will be used to study issues relating to the transfer of training, simulator fidelity, and tactics. Customer acceptance is underway at CAE, delivery is in six months. Features are configurable hardware, two cockpits, operator's station, 10 channels of ESIG 6000 visual image generation, and eve slaved FORMED helmet displays.
In an aeromedical expert from the Netherlands presented paper 10. The question it poses is whether or not spatial disorientation can be induced in a simulator which is able to represent a typical pilot training task. Serious losses of aircraft and pilots are occurring due to loss of consciousness and disorientation. The solution seems to lie in better ground-based training. This challenge needs to be faced head on from both sides, with the call from other speakers for greater realism, and the need to simulate stressful situations.

Test pilots under training at Edwards Air Force Base learn the fundamentals of flight control and flying qualities with the help of both ground based and in-flight simulators (paper 11). The value of the method is emphasised, and the ease with which the effect of aerodynamic derivative changes can be demonstrated. The work described, however, does not break new ground, and misses the opportunity to report subjective comparisons by pilots between fixed base and in flight simulation.

Flight simulation was used extensively for the development of Italys AM-X and for pilot training. Many examples are given in paper 14 of problems areas which benefited from ground based simulation: high angle of attack flight, spinning, no attack, weapon delivery, and in autopilot simulator. Flight comparisons are also included. Prior to delivery or the training simulator, the A and B simulator was also used for operational pilot conversion of particular value was the demonstration of a "pop-up" attack profile which avoids negative g, and so reduces tail-induced sideslip. The presentation concluded with a video. Of particular note was the value of the simulator in reconstructing and visualising the developed spin. The view from the cockpit, and a computer generated view of the aircraft were seen simultaneously.

1.3 Session 6. Full Mission Simulation

Since the 1987 FMP meeting devoted to simulation, the need for full mission simulation has become more pressing, and the equipment standard to simulate a full mission has become available. Although "full mission" is an open-ended definition, the standard that distinguishes a full mission simulator from an operational flight trainer or a development simulator, is the need to provide interactive opponent, type of threat and the number or roles in combat. their mission simulation has become available, to provide up to three investigations to select preferred primary flight control laws by ground based simulator trials. The investigations span a number of years, and so the title "new concepts" could be challenged: even so, the paper is a useful and thorough documentation of the use of the cockpit display problem of presenting complex scenario information without confusing the pilot. He wants to make the decisions, but needs help in priorities. The paper also shows that in the simulator trials of FVR combat, their ANS (Attack Maneuvering System) produced an increase in kill ratio by a factor of three.

Paper 20 describes the US Navys V-22 Osprey Simulator at Patuxent River. Unlike its predecessors, most of the paper is devoted to the objective and subjective validation of the simulator. Time histories are included comparing simulated with actual flight, and comments are made about where the simulator is representative of the aircraft, and the areas where improvements are needed (ship airborne modelling, and shipboard visual cues). Evidence of the value of the simulator lies in the completion of deck landing trials of a prototype aircraft without mishap.

1.4 Session 7. Research Applications

The final session consisted of ten papers covering a wide variety of topics to which simulation studies have contributed. These include civil aircraft flying qualities, military aircraft, flying qualities, rotary wing aircraft flying qualities, cockpit display, avionics, and pilot cueing.

Paper 21 from ONERA gives an overview of three investigations to select preferred primary flight control laws by ground based simulator trials. The investigations span a number of years, and so the title "new concepts" could be challenged: even so, the paper is a useful and thorough documentation of the use of co-operation between research centres in four countries. Their successful conclusion is an encouraging pointer to the form that the future research may take to the European ECDU programme, in which Governments and Industry are to co-sponsor research. The conclusion of the paper is that the programmes benefitted from having two levels of simulation: a simple standard, for preliminary investigations, and a more complete and representative simulator, for fine tuning of preferred options.
Researchers in flying qualities are still pre-occupied with the influence of flight control system size delays and lags. It is understandable, in the sense that current hardware standards in ground based simulators often suffer from delays which could compromise their use for certain investigations. The three papers on this topic (23, 24, and 27) all reported recent and significant work. Paper 23 contains a valuable analysis of helicopter flying quality assessments made on the VMS at Ames. For a baseline set of vehicle dynamics, variations were made to the dynamic response of the simulator's visual and motion systems, which the pilots interpreted as changes in the flight qualities. Two of the significant findings were: first, that there is a need to tailor the dynamics of the motion system to suit the task. Although the motion system improved ratings for all the tasks simulated, this result may imply that for a benign task, there would be no need for motion cues; secondly, there was evidence to suggest that with motion on, it is preferable to have some time delay in the visual system, to minimise the mismatch between the visual and motion responses.

The primary purpose of the work described in paper 24 was to validate a simulation of a landing approach with a lateral offset on the Bedford Large Motion System, against the same task in flight, using Calspan's Learjet. The vehicle dynamics were degraded progressively, by introducing a lag in the roll command path. Results from fixed base, motion, and in-flight simulations were compared. The presentation was enhanced by a video film, showing approaches with the pilot rating super-imposed.

The significance of time delays on transport aircraft controls (as opposed to lighter controls) was covered in paper 27, with supporting data from a moving base simulator. Several interesting ideas are put forward. It appears that pre-filter lags degrade transport aircraft handling less than lighter handling. This may explain why airline pilots are less critical of their simulators than military pilots are of theirs. A rationale is given for the variations of pilot ratings with time delay from different experiments.

Simulation and flight are compared in paper 28, in terms of performance and pilot opinion, for a helicopter doing IFR landing approaches. Other conditions tested include motion on/off, and IFR/VFR flight. In the concluding remarks it is noted that validating the simulator with the real helicopter needed both objective testing (flight records), and subjective testing (pilot fine tuning). The task was found to be insensitive to simulator configuration (motion on/off, visual on/off), which seems to correlate with the implication of the reduced need for motion cues when the task is benign, as discussed in paper 23.

A further comparison between simulator and flight is given in paper 29, for two fixed wing aircraft. In this case, the simulator was fixed base. Considerable attention was given to the details of the scene presented to the pilot by the visual display, particularly during the landing flare. Two types of aircraft were simulated, and a close correlation with flight was achieved. In the flared landings, mean sink rate at touchdown was lower in the simulator than in flight. Due, said the author, to the presence in the flight results of atmospheric disturbances.

Several previous speakers had emphasised the importance of ground based simulators in the clearance of flight control systems. Paper 26 makes the same point, except that the flight control system is also in a simulator—the DLR Advanced Technologies Testing Aircraft System, ATASS. The ATASS has a complex digital fly by wire system. For testing and validation, a ground based simulation was found to be essential. The ground modelling included parameter identification from ground records. A further use of the simulator is to allow test pilots to prepare for airborne evaluations.

Papers were also presented on advanced cockpit displays. Research into displays for transport aircraft will be possible on the Deutsche Airbus Facility, described in paper 26. It uses the concept of a virtual cockpit, in which software is used to generate display formats, rather than hardware, resulting in large cost savings, flexibility, and versatility. The development of cockpit displays for low level high speed flight is the topic of paper 22, from Dassault. The displays were designed to give a perspective view of the terrain ahead of the aircraft, viewed by the pilot on a colour CRT in the cockpit. Many parameters, such as field of view, scene complexity, and display update rate were evaluated in a Ratale simulator. The use of the simulator greatly reduced flight testing, and prepared the way for the specification of airborne equipment. Film of the simulation was shown.

The expanding use of flight simulation was also seen in the the large scale testing described in paper 26. The manned simulator programmes at NASA Langley on fighter manoeuvring at high angle of attack, and the criteria relating to agility were described. Correlation with full scale flight results is the primary means of validating the simulator results. The questions of pilot experience, and pilot rating scales, are also addressed.


4.1 The high standard of technical papers which has characterised AGARD FNP meetings over the years was maintained at this Symposium. The papers were broad in scope, well presented, and well received by the delegates. It was a testament to all contributors that all the papers were given. The recent trend at other conferences has been to a significant number of "no shows". Attendance was good, and the facilities were excellent.

4.2 Since the last FNP Symposium on Flight Simulation, at Cambridge, UK, in 1985, several advances in simulation technology were revealed. Notable was the reported use of simulators in helicopter design, development, and flight clearance. There has also been a shift in the emphasis of work on fixed wing aircraft, from flying qualities and flight mechanics towards systems development and certification. Full mission simulation is increasingly prevalent in R and D, and will soon be established as a contributor to operational crew training.

4.3 A broader spectrum of simulator devices is emerging, ranging from low cost, desk-top devices, to high cost, fully capable simulators. Each device has a particular part to play in the varied applications of simulation. At the top end of the range, the realism of the simulation still leaves room for improvement, particularly in representing the more demanding regimes of military aircraft operations.
The theme of the meeting, piloted simulator effectiveness, was well-covered, but too much time was devoted to the description of facilities without information on their effectiveness. This issue gave cause for concern to Mr A M Cook, the author of the Technical Evaluation Report of the 1983 meeting, at which 7 papers out of 26 described facilities. Reference: This Symposium contained a similar proportion. He went so far as to recommend that the FMP should set up a specialists group to meet regularly on the topic, and to diminish the presentation at formal symposia to the more mundane aspects of facility description. There are practical difficulties to the implementation of Mr Cook's recommendation, but the Flight Mechanics Panel can improve matters, by stricter control of paper selection.

The keynote speaker who had the last word should also have the last few of the delegates would disagree with Hanneken's last line.

Conclusions

- AGARD plays an indispensable role in the Development of Piloted Simulation
- AGARD provides a Cost-Effective Technical Forum to the NATO Piloted Simulation Community
- AGARD should continue to Accumulate Multi-disciplinary Simulation Expertise in its various Technical Panels

Recommendations.

The goals that were set for the aerospace industry in the late 80's were challenging and required extensive research and development. Many organisations contributed time, but information was freely exchanged. The past decade has seen this situation change, fewer projects, a contracting industry, and less R & D funding. Research programmes take longer to implement, are subject to commercial scrutiny, and the early release of information is restrained by considerations of Industrial Property Rights (IPR). Consequently, the work of AGARD as a platform for the dissemination of information is more important than before. AGARD conferences act as a catalyst for individuals and organisations to make their results available, and for knowledge to be shared. Although the continuing importance of AGARD applies to all the Flight Mechanics Panel interests, it has a special significance to the discipline of Flight Simulation, because of its expanding range of application. This Symposium has clearly shown the progress in the last six years, and the expectations for the future.

Issues raised at the Symposium indicated that:

1. The use of flight simulation has expanded considerably in the development of fixed and rotary wing aircraft. In particular, testing and flight clearance of airframe and systems is now dependent on simulator activities. Confidence in the techniques is established, and benefits in cost, time, and risk are proven.

2. The use of flight simulation for the training of military crews that does not receive the same wide acceptance, and falls short of the use of simulators by the airline operators to train civil crews. Reasons for this difference were seen at the Symposium, the more demanding scenarios in the use of realism for certain tasks and the supposed threat to flying hours.

3. The military crew training situation could easily change, in current activities need treat. In addition to the German MOD research on simulating high-speed low-level flight, the British Harrier simulator, and the US Navy facility at Fort Rucker, experience may soon be reported on simulators for initial pilot training, and the use of crewroom training aids on projected wide range developments in more detailed modelling methods, and the use of structured training. There is therefore a strong case for the Flight Mechanics Panel to return to the topic of Training before "...

Other areas which the FMP should monitor were identified at the Symposium. The increasing use of flight simulation by the R & D community makes the methods of acceptance and validation a new topic. The results from AG 15 will be published in 1982. It remains to be seen whether this work will cover the validation of full mission simulators. The value or such simulators is directly related to their ability to create realistic scenarios.

The issue of realism also appeared at the conference. Realism is an abstract quality, and the call for it strengthens the case for subjective as well as objective validation. An realism introduced in a manner similar to that used in living quality assessments. In rating scales, which the FMP said must much to promote thirty years ago? Or does a measure of realism require a different approach?

Here is possibly a link between the development of more realistic simulators and the reported occurrences of "simulator sickness". Several speakers at the conference touched on the topic of the possibility of adverse physiological effects on the pilot in some simulators. There is a need to identify the safe ground, where simulators can continue to be used without problems of this nature, until a better understanding of the mechanisms can be found. Perhaps AG 24 will contribute to the discussion.

Finally, the conditional relationships between simulation standard and application emerged from the contributions of several speakers. Research should be encouraged to establish these relationships in a more formal manner, as part of the move to improved methods of validation.
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