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**Abstract**: Equations that provide approximate but highly accurate lower confidence limits on process capability indices are provided. These equations yield the same value to the nearest hundredth or better than the values provided in tables in the literature. These equations can be used for a much more extensive set of data than those of the existing set of tables.
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Closed form equations are given for approximate lower confidence limits for process capability CPU and CPL. The equations yield values that are accurate to one one-hundredth for any sample size greater than 9 at confidence levels 95% and any value of the statistics CPU or CPL in the interval (.333, 3). The procedures assume that the process is in control and the data observed are normally distributed.

INTRODUCTION

Three commonly used measures of process capability are $C_P$, CPU and CPL. Equations that define these expressions and the respective estimates are as follows:

a) Two specification limits, U and L, given:

\[ C_P = \frac{U-L}{6\sigma}, \quad \hat{C}_P = \frac{U-L}{6s} \]  

b) One specification limit, L or U, given:

\[ \text{CPU} = \frac{U-\mu}{3\sigma}, \quad \hat{\text{CPU}} = \frac{U-\bar{X}}{3s} \]  
\[ \text{CPL} = \frac{\mu-L}{3\sigma}, \quad \hat{\text{CPL}} = \frac{\bar{X}-L}{3s} \]
where $\bar{X}$ and $s$ are the sample mean and sample standard deviation. Chow, Owen and Borrego [1], give the exact closed expressions for the lower $100\gamma\%$ confidence limit, $C_{pL}$ for $C_p$. Namely

$$C_{pL} = \hat{C}_p \left( \frac{x_{1-\gamma,n-1}^2}{n-1} \right)^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $x_{1-\gamma,n-1}^2$ is the $100(1-\gamma)$ percentile point of the chi-square distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom. Some hand-held calculators can compute $x_{1-\gamma,n-1}^2$ values. For these calculators equation (1) can be programmed on the calculator and $C_{pL}$ readily computed for all values of $\hat{C}_p$, sample size $n$ and confidence level $\gamma$. If a lower confidence limit value $c_0$ is given, there is a unique minimum value, $\hat{C}_p(c_0)$, of $\hat{C}_p$ which will yield $c_0$ as the lower confidence limit for $C_p$. Chow, Owen and Borrego [1] also supply the equation for $\hat{C}_p(c_0)$; namely

$$\hat{C}_p(c_0) = c_0 \left( \frac{n-1}{x_{1-\gamma,n-1}^2} \right)^{1/2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

They have constructed two tables that display values of $C_{pL}$ and $\hat{C}_p(c_0)$ using equations (1) and (2) for various $n$, $\hat{C}_p$, $c_0$ and confidence level $95\%$. They also present similar tables for the case when one specification limit is given. In the single specification case, however, much more effort is required to develop their tables using the exact classical method which they followed. In this paper, equations are given that can be used to compute their tabled values and many others for the single specification case. These equations can be programmed on some hand-held computers.
APPROXIMATE CONFIDENCE LIMITS FOR CPU AND CPL

Suppose only a lower specification limit L is given. Then if $\delta = (\mu - L)/\sigma$

$$\text{CPL} = \delta / 3.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Consequently, if $\delta_L$ is a $100\gamma\%$ lower confidence limit for $\delta$, then $\delta_L/3$ is a $100\gamma\%$ lower confidence limit for CPL, and

$$\text{CPL}_L = \delta_L / 3.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

An approximate but accurate expression for computing $\text{CPL}_L$ at the 95% confidence level is

$$\text{CPL}_L = \hat{\text{CPL}} - \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{9(\hat{\text{CPL}})^2}{2(n-1)} \right)^{1/2} t_{95,n^*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $n = \text{sample size}$, $\hat{\text{CPL}}$ is defined in equation (2), $n^* = 60$ if $10 \leq n \leq 60$ and $n^* = n$ if $n > 60$ and $t_{95,n}$ is the 95th percentile of the $t$ distribution with $n$ degrees of freedom. Applying equation (7) to all of the $\hat{\text{CPL}}$ and $n$ values in Table 4 in Chin, Owen and Borrego [1] will yield the same lower confidence limit values given in their table. Equation (7) is accurate to the nearest one one-hundredth for all $\hat{\text{CPL}}$ values in $(1/3, 3)$ and all sample sizes $n \geq 10$. Equation (7) can be used for confidence levels 90% and 80% if $n^* = n$ when $n \geq 10$. See Woods and Yang [3]. Equation (7) can also be used to find an approximate but accurate 95% lower confidence limit, $\Phi(\delta_L)$, for $P(X > L) = \Phi\left(\frac{\mu - L}{\sigma}\right) = \Phi(\delta)$ where $\Phi(z)$ is the standard normal cumulative function. Specifically,

$$\Phi(\delta_L) \equiv \Phi(3\text{CPL}_L)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

is an approximate 95% lower confidence limit for $P(X > L)$. Owen and Hua [2] give tables of exact confidence limits for $P(X > L)$ which were used to choose
n* in Equation (7) to make the equation accurate. Woods and Yang [3] have extended this result to obtain approximate lower confidence limits for $P[X > Y]$ where $X$ and $Y$ are independent and have normal distributions with unknown means and variances with either equal variances or unequal variances.

If a lower confidence limit goal $c_1$ is given for $CPL_L$ then Equation (7) can be used to solve for the corresponding minimum value $\hat{CPL}(c_1)$, of $\hat{CPL}$ for that sample size $n$. That is, if the process is capable when $CPL \geq c_1$, then $\hat{CPL}(c_1)$ is the minimum value of observed $\hat{CPL}$ for which we could say the process is capable with confidence 95%. The expression for the minimum value is

$$\hat{CPL}(c_1) = \frac{2c_1 + 2t\left(\frac{1}{9n} + \frac{c_1^2}{2(n-1)} - \frac{t^2}{18(n)(n-1)}\right)}{2\left(1 - \frac{t^2}{2(n-1)}\right)}^{1/2}$$

where $t = t_{95,60}$ if $n \leq 60$ and $t = t_{95,n}$ if $n > 60$.

Finally Equation (7) can be used to obtain lower 95% confidence limits for CPU by replacing $\hat{CPL}$ with $\hat{CPU}$. Equation (9) can be used to compute minimum value, $CPU(c_1)$, of $\hat{CPU}$ corresponding to a lower 9% confidence limit goal, $c_1$, for CPU.

**APPENDIX A: EXPLANATION OF EQUATION (7)**

It can be shown that $\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{s} = \hat{\delta}(\bar{x},s) \equiv \hat{\delta}$ is a consistent estimator for $\frac{\mu-L}{\sigma}$.

Expand $\hat{\delta}(\bar{x},s)$ in a Taylor series using only first order terms to get

$$\hat{\delta} = \frac{\mu-L}{\sigma} + \frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sigma} - \frac{(s-\sigma)(\mu-L)}{\sigma^2}$$

(10)
Taking the expected value and variance of Equation (10) gives

$$E(\hat{\delta}) = \frac{\mu - L}{\sigma} = \delta$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\delta}) = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left( \frac{\mu - L^2}{\sigma} \right)$$

and

$$\hat{\delta}_{\text{var}} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left( \frac{\bar{x} - L}{s} \right)$$

Consequently an approximate lower 100\(\gamma\)% confidence limit for \(\delta\) is

$$\delta_L = \hat{\delta} - \hat{\delta}_{\text{var}} t_{\gamma/2, n^*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

assuming the distribution of \(\hat{\delta}\) can be approximated by the student t distribution with some appropriate degrees of freedom, \(n^*\). Dividing both members of Equation (11) by three yields Equation (7).
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