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ABSTRACT

This research considers a cantilever beam which can move axially in and out of a rigid frictionless hole and is free to vibrate laterally outside the hole. Two Euler equations describing the lateral and axial motion of the beam are presented. A transformation of coordinates to eliminate the moving boundary, and spatial non dimensionalization are used to transform the problem into a system of two coupled non linear partial differential equations with a fixed domain. A finite element formulation provides a numerical solution to the problem. Results for some problems are presented.
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A literature search of the engineering journals shows that an investigation of the transient behavior of a cantilever beam, free to move axially in a frictionless hole at its 'fixed' end, has not been undertaken to this date. In 1979, Boresi and Salinas prepared a report for the Naval Sea Systems Command, that formulates the problem and proposes a solution procedure. The report was the result of an interest in the transient behavior of a gun barrel during recoil following firing. [Ref.1]

Hamilton's principle was used to generate the governing partial differential equations for axial and lateral motion of the beam [Ref.1]. As a result of axial motion of the beam, the length of the beam changes with time. Thus the 'free' end of the cantilever beam is a moving boundary point. If the beam is subjected to an axial force, then the beam length, that is the location of the 'free' end, is an unknown which is itself a solution of the problem. This is a 'conjugate' problem, wherein the boundary condition is a solution of a problem which can not be solved until the boundary extent is known. The analogy is of a dog chasing its own tail, or the 'catch 22' syndrome. The dilemma is resolved by introducing a coordinate transformation which produces a classical two-point (fixed) boundary domain. The removal of the moving
boundary is not without expense, as the resulting governing partial differential equations are significantly more complicated. Thus the complication of the boundary condition has been 'transferred' into the interior domain of the problem. The two equations governing axial and lateral motion, for beam length and lateral motion, are both coupled and nonlinear if the axial motion is not prescribed.

Using the finite element method over the spatial domain, the two partial differential equations in space and time, are reduced to a system of ordinary differential equations in time only. That is, the original initial-(two-point) boundary value problem is transformed into a system of initial-value problems for the transient behavior at discrete points of the system. These nonlinear O.D.E.'s are linearized using the quasi-linearization technique of Bellman [Ref.2], and then solved by using a fifth order Gear' method for stiff equations.

This investigation adds further to the formulation of the problem by the introduction of non-dimensional variables. Additionally, the work also provides mathematical development and details required for the numerical solution of the problem. Restrictions and a generalization of the problem are also discussed.

The scope of the problem suggests a cautious two-stage investigation. In the first stage, the axial motion as a function of time is prescribed. The result is the elimination of the need to solve the equation for axial motion. However,
the equation can be used to solve for the axial force directly. Moreover, the remaining governing equation for lateral transient behavior is linear since the 'length' term in the equation is known. It is felt that the first stage investigation, which is the body of this thesis activity, would provide useful insight into the nature of the problem prior to undertaking the second stage investigation. In the second stage investigation, instead of prescribing the axial motion, the axial force at the sliding end is prescribed. As a result, the equation for the transient axial response needs to be solved in conjunction with the equation for transient lateral response, since now the length of the beam is also unknown. The second stage problem is formulated but not solved here.
II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Consider the transient behavior of a cantilever beam fitted snugly into a frictionless hole as shown in Figure (2.1). The beam is free to move axially and laterally when an axial force $F(t)$ is applied, or when otherwise an axial displacement is imposed. The beam’s motion can be defined completely by its axial motion $u(t)$ as a function of time, and its lateral motion $v(x,t)$ as a function of both time and position along the $x$ axis. Because of inertia, under certain conditions, such as when the axial force $F(t)$ is a large magnitude impulse, the axial movement of the beam may tend to bend the beam by beam-column action or compress the beam axially by beam-bar action. These axial deformation effects are not considered here, that is $u' = 0$. Therefore, it is assumed that all points along the $x$-axis of the beam experience the same axial motion. Thus, the instantaneous length of the beam, $L(t)$, serves to describe the axial motion of all points of the beam.

As the beam moves axially, the length of the beam outside the hole at any time $t$ is defined as $L(t)$. Because $L(t)$ is changing with time the extent of the domain of the problem changes with time. It is this changing domain that results in the coupling of the equations which describe the lateral and
axial motion of the beam. The changing domain is the essence of the problem and will be discussed at length in the development that follows. This investigation will be restricted to long slender beams, which in this case will be beams for which the length is equal to or greater than ten times either of the cross-sectional dimensions. With this restriction imposed, the Timoshenko Beam shear effects and rotary inertia, are neglected [Ref. 3]. However, as the beam length becomes shorter these effects become larger and loss of accuracy in the solution is expected.

Figure 2.1 Cantilever Beam Moving Axially in a Frictionless Hole
A. THE EULER EQUATION OF LATERAL MOTION

Imposing equilibrium in the lateral direction and using small displacement theory results in the Euler Equation for the lateral motion of a beam,

\[ EI \, v_{xxxx}(x,t) + \rho \, v_{tt}(x,t) = p(x,t) \]

\[ t > 0 \]

\[ 0 < x < L(t) \]

where the subscripts \( t \) and \( x \) denote partial differentiation with respect to time and position, respectively and;

\[ v(x,t) = \] the lateral displacement as a function of \( x \) and \( t \).

\( E = \) Young's modulus of elasticity of the beam.

\( I = \) moment of inertia of the beam cross-section.

\( \rho = \) the mass of the beam per unit length (constant).

\( P = \) the internally applied load per unit length.

The fourth order Euler Equation has two essential (forced) boundary conditions on displacement and slope at the 'fixed' left end,

\[ v(0,t) = 0 \]

\[ v_x(0,t) = 0 \]
and two natural boundary conditions on moment and shear force at the 'free' right end,

\[
EI \, v_{xx}(L, t) = M \\
EI \, v_{xxx}(L, t) = P
\]  

(3)

where M and P are the applied moment and load, respectively. The homogeneous boundary conditions \((M = P = 0)\) are the boundary conditions considered here. However, a verification of the solution method is presented where the non homogeneous boundary conditions are imposed. The term 'fixed' end is used in reference to the boundary located at the left end of the beam's domain (See Figure 2.2), i.e., at \(x=0\). As a result of the axial motion, the point on the beam at this left or 'fixed' end is changing with time.

The natural boundary conditions at the free end (Eqs. 3), for moment and shear, occurs at the right end point of the beam (i.e., at \(x = L\)) for all time \(t\). It is the fact that the argument \(L\) in Equations (3) is changing with time that makes the natural boundary conditions troublesome. These so called moving boundary conditions (or changing domain) will be discussed later at length.

The Euler Equation for lateral motion is also a second order differential equation in time. To obtain a solution, two initial conditions, one on its lateral position \(u(x, 0)\), and one on its velocity \(u_t(x, 0)\), along the \(x\) axis will be
needed. These initial conditions will depend on the specific problem being solved.

B. THE EULER EQUATION OF AXIAL MOTION

If \( F(t) \) rather than \( L(t) \) is prescribed, then a differential equation defining \( L(t) \) is needed. Again, using principles of equilibrium for motion in the axial direction, the following Euler equation for axial behavior is obtained,

\[
\ddot{L}(t) + \frac{1}{2PL_0} \left[ EI \frac{V^2}{L(t)} - \rho \frac{V^2}{L(t)} \right] = \frac{1}{\rho L_0} F(t) \tag{4}
\]

Equation (4) is subject to the initial conditions,

\[
L(0) = L_0 \\
\dot{L}(0) = \dot{L}_0 
\tag{5}
\]

where \( L_0 \) is the initial length of the beam at time \( t = 0 \). The dot and double dot above \( L \) denote the first and second derivatives with respect to time respectively, that is the velocity and acceleration of axial motion.

Together Equations (1) and (4) along with their respective boundary and initial conditions form a coupled and nonlinear, initial-boundary value problem. When the force \( F(t) \) is known, these coupled nonlinear equations can be solved using the finite element method with a linearization scheme to find
v(x,t) and L(t). When L(t) is specified, Equation (4) yields F(t) directly.

C. THE MOVING BOUNDARY

In the boundary conditions described in Equations (3), the beam length L(t) is a function of time. Thus the boundary conditions are conditions on a boundary which is moving. Graphically this is shown in Figure (2.2). The curved boundary of the region of integration presents a problem. The desire is to remove the argument of time varying length from the boundary condition at the free end. In essence, we desire to secure the boundary. Graphically the boundary becomes a straight line where previously it was a curved line (See Figure 2.3). This can be achieved by using a coordinate transformation as shown in the next section.

\[ \text{Figure 2.2 Region of Integration for Equation (1)} \]
D. THE COORDINATE TRANSFORMATION

New variables $\xi(x,t)$ and $\eta(t)$ are introduced as follows:

$$\xi = \frac{X}{L(t)} \tag{6}$$
$$\eta = t$$

It should be noted that $\xi$ is a non-dimensional variable with respect to the spatial domain. The lateral deflection now becomes a function of these variables as shown below.

$$v(x(\xi, \eta), t(\xi, \eta)) \text{ or } v(\xi(x, t), \eta(x, t)) \tag{7}$$
Considering the relations defined in Equations (6), the following partial derivatives are obtained.

\[ \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{L} \]
\[ \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} = -xL \frac{L}{L^2} = -\frac{\xi L}{L} \]

where \( L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \)

\[ \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = 1 \]
\[ \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} = 0 \]

1. Transformation of the Spatial Fourth Derivative of \( u \)

Considering Equation (7), the transformation of the spatial fourth derivative on lateral displacement, \( u_{xxxx} \) to the new coordinate \( \xi \) is accomplished through a series of differentiations using the chain rule. The first differentiation results in,

\[ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \] (9)

Following the substitution of Equations (8) into Equation (9), Equation (10) is obtained.

\[ v_x = \frac{1}{L} v_{\xi} \] (10)
After another differentiation with the chain rule the second spacial derivative is found.

\[
\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}(v_x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{L}v_\xi\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{L}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left(\frac{1}{L}v_\xi\right)\right)\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left(\frac{1}{L}v_\xi\right)\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \tag{11}
\]

Again, using Equations (8), the second derivative is equal to,

\[
v_{xx} = \frac{1}{L^2}v_{\xi\xi} \tag{12}
\]

Likewise, the third derivative is,

\[
v_{xxx} = \frac{1}{L^3}v_{\xi\xi\xi} \tag{13}
\]

and finally the fourth derivative is,

\[
v_{xxxx} = \frac{1}{L^4}v_{\xi\xi\xi\xi} \tag{14}
\]

2. **Transformation of the Time Second Derivative of \( v \)**

The transformation of the time second derivative on lateral deflection (or acceleration), \( v_{tt} \), to the new coordinates \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) is performed in a similar fashion as was the transformation of its spacial derivatives. Once again,
using Equation (7) and the chain rule, the following expression for the first time derivative is obtained.

\[ v_t = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \]  

(15)

Substituting the Equation (8) values of the partial derivatives into Equation (15) results in the following expression for \( v_t \),

\[ v_t = -\frac{\xi L}{L} v_t + v_\eta \]  

(16)

Another time derivative using the chain rule results in the following equation for \( v_{tt} \),

\[ v_{tt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (v_t) \]

\[ = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ -\frac{\xi L}{L} v_t + v_\eta \right] \]  

(17)

\[ = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[ -\frac{\xi L}{L} v_t + v_\eta \right] \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left[ -\frac{\xi L}{L} v_t + v_\eta \right] \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \]

Again, using Equations (8),

\[ \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\xi L}{L} \text{ and, } \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = 1 \]  

(18)
along with the product rule of differentiation, we obtain

\[ v_{tt} = \left[ -\frac{L}{L} v_{\xi} - \xi \frac{L}{L} v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\xi\eta} \right] \left( -\frac{\xi \frac{L}{L}}{L} \right) \]

\[ + \left[ -\xi v_{\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left( \frac{L}{L} \right) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} - \xi \frac{L}{L} v_{\xi\eta} + v_{\eta\eta} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Recalling that the coordinate transformation on time stated that \( t=\eta \), it follows that,

\[ \frac{L(t)}{L(\eta)} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \eta} \] \hspace{1cm} (20)

Now, using the quotient rule of differentiation, Equation (19) becomes,

\[ v_{tt} = \left[ -\frac{L}{L} v_{\xi} - \xi \frac{L}{L} v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\xi\eta} \right] \left( -\frac{\xi \frac{L}{L}}{L} \right) - \xi v_{\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \frac{L\xi}{L^2} - \xi \frac{L}{L} v_{\xi\eta} + v_{\eta\eta} \] \hspace{1cm} (21)

Finally, after multiplying and collecting like terms, \( v_{tt} \) becomes,

\[ v_{tt} = \xi^2 \frac{L^2}{L^2} v_{\xi\xi} + 2\xi \frac{L^2}{L^2} v_{\xi} - 2\xi \frac{L}{L} v_{\xi\eta} - \xi \frac{L}{L} v_{\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} \] \hspace{1cm} (22)
E. THE FINAL EULER EQUATIONS

Using the transformed operators, the Euler Equations are rewritten in terms of the new coordinates, $\xi$ and $\eta$.

1. The Transformed Euler Equation for Lateral Deflection

Substituting the transformed operators from Equations (14) and (22), into the original Euler Equation for lateral deflection,

$$EIV_{xxxx} + \rho v_{tt} = p(x, t) \tag{1}$$

results in the following Euler Equation transformed to the $\xi$ and $\eta$ coordinates,

$$\frac{EI}{L^4} v_{xxxx} + \rho \left[ \xi^2 \left( \frac{L}{L} \right) v_{\xi\xi} + 2\xi \left( \frac{L}{L} \right) v_{\xi\eta} + 2\xi \frac{L}{L} v_{\eta\eta} - 2\xi^2 \frac{L}{L} v_{\xi\eta} - \xi \frac{L}{L} v_{\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} \right] = \rho (\xi, \eta)$$
Multiplying through by the inverse of the coefficient of \( u_{xxxx} \) gives,

\[
v_{xxxx} + \frac{\rho L^4}{EI} \left[ \xi^2 \left( \frac{L}{L} \right)^2 v_{x} + 2 \xi \left( \frac{L}{L} \right)^2 v_x - 2 \xi^2 \frac{L}{L} v_{xx} - \xi^2 \frac{L}{L} v_x + v_{xx} \right] = \frac{L^4}{EI} p(\xi, \eta)
\]  

(25)

\[0 < \xi < 1\]

\[0 < \eta\]

and its boundary conditions,

\[
v(0, \eta) = 0 \quad v_{xx}(1, \eta) = 0
\]

\[
v_x(0, \eta) = 0 \quad v_{xxxx}(1, \eta) = 0
\]

(26)

The boundary conditions are now functions of \( \xi \) over the domain \( 0 < \xi < 1 \), in lieu of \( x \) over the domain \( 0 < x < L(t) \). The initial conditions on deflection and velocity will be functions of \( \xi \) as well.

2. The Transformed Euler Equation for Axial Motion

The coordinate transformation on the Euler Equation of axial motion shown again here,

\[
\ddot{L}(t) + \frac{1}{2\rho L_0} \left[ E I v_{xx}^2 (L, t) - \rho v_x^2 (L, t) \right] = \frac{1}{\rho L_0} F(t)
\]  

(4)
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results in the transformed equation,

\[ L + \frac{1}{2\rho L_o} \left( \frac{EI}{L^4} v_{\xi\xi}(1,\eta) - \rho \left[ \frac{L}{L} v_{\xi}(1,\eta) + v_\eta(1,\eta) \right]^2 \right) = \frac{F(\eta)}{\rho L_0} \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

subjected to the initial conditions in Equations (5).

3. Non dimensionalization of the Lateral Deflection, \( v \)

The purpose of the coordinate transformation just completed was to deal with the difficulty presented by the moving boundary condition at the free end of the beam. The four boundary conditions of Equations (2) and (3) were also transformed to the \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) coordinates as shown in Equations (26). One of the great difficulties encountered in this investigation resulted from the coordinate transformation performed on the boundary conditions. After the introduction of the non dimensional variable \( \xi = x/L \), the finite element method (FEM) of Chapter III was pursued. This included an attempt to confirm the FEM program on a couple of statics problems with known solutions. The resulting FEM solutions were \( L^3 \) larger for displacements and \( L^2 \) larger for slopes. We had simply imposed the load (or moment) as one would have if the problem had the dimensional independent variable \( x \), when in fact \( x \) had been replaced by the non dimensional variable \( \xi = x/L \). This
problem was eventually resolved by introducing the non-dimensional displacement, $v^*$, defined as,

$$ v^* = \frac{v}{L} \quad (29) $$

Its derivatives,

$$ \frac{\partial v^*}{\partial v} = \frac{1}{L} \quad (30) $$

$$ \frac{\partial v}{\partial v^*} = L $$

are used such that,

$$ v_t = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (Lv^*) = Lv^* $$

$$ v_{\xi\xi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (v_t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (Lv^*_t) = Lv^*_{\xi\xi} \quad (31) $$

and in the same fashion,

$$ v_{\xi\xi\xi} = Lv^*_{\xi\xi\xi} \quad (32) $$

and,

$$ v_{\xi\xi\xi\xi} = Lv^*_{\xi\xi\xi\xi} \quad (33) $$
After making the substitutions into the equations of lateral and axial motion, Equations (25) and (28) respectively, the spatially non dimensional Euler equations are obtained.

**a. Final Euler Equation of Lateral Motion**

\[
\nu_{\xi \xi \eta \eta} + \beta \left[ \frac{\xi^2 L^2}{L} \nu_{\xi \xi} + 2 \frac{\xi^2 L^2}{L} \nu_{\xi \xi} - 2 \frac{\xi L}{L} \nu_{\xi \eta} - \frac{\xi L}{L} \nu_{\xi} + L \nu_{\eta \eta} \right] = p^*(\xi, \eta)
\]

\[0 < \xi < 1\]
\[0 < \eta\]

where,

\[\beta = \frac{\rho L^3}{EI}, \text{and}\]

\[p^* = \frac{L^3}{EI} p(\xi, \eta)\]

and its boundary conditions are,

\[\nu^*(0, \eta) = 0 \quad \nu_{\xi}(1, \eta) = 0\]
\[\nu_{\xi}(0, \eta) = 0 \quad \nu_{\xi \xi}(1, \eta) = 0\]

Again, discussion of the initial conditions will be delayed until later.
b. Final Euler Equation of Axial Motion

\[ \ddot{L} + \frac{1}{2\rho L_0} \left( \frac{EI}{L^2} \nu_\xi^\prime(1,\eta) - \rho \left[-\dot{L} \nu_\xi(1,\eta) + L \nu_\eta^\prime(1,\eta)\right]^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2\rho L_0} F(\eta) \]  

\[ 0 < \xi < 1 \]
\[ 0 < \eta \]

and initial conditions,

\[ L(0) = L_0 \]
\[ \dot{L}(0) = \dot{L}_0 \]  

F. Cases

There are two general cases for which the transient behavior of the cantilever beam may be considered. Recall that the beam is free to move axially when an axial force \( F(t) \) is applied resulting in an axial displacement, or when an axial displacement \( L(t) \) is otherwise imposed. It was shown in the Euler equation of axial motion (Eq. 37) that the axial motion described by \( L(t) \) depends on \( F(t) \). However, if this axial motion is specified simply as some function of time alone then the problem is greatly simplified.
1. Case One, \( L(t) \) Prescribed

If \( L(t) \) is known then the problem is reduced to finding a solution to the Euler equation of lateral motion (Eq. 34) subject to its boundary and initial conditions. The problem is a linear, initial-boundary value problem.

An even further simplification occurs if the axial motion is so slow that the time derivatives of \( L(t) \) are negligible. Certain linear functions of \( L(t) \) can conveniently provide such a condition where the rate (or velocity) is made small, and the second time derivative (acceleration) is always zero. In this case Equation (34) reduces to,

\[
\varepsilon_{i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{EI} \frac{\partial L^t}{\partial \eta} \varepsilon_{\eta\eta} = 0 \tag{39}
\]

subject to its boundary and initial conditions and where \( \rho'(\xi,\eta) = 0 \) for a beam with no internal loading. Note that \( L^t \) is not a constant here, since \( L(t) \) is a prescribed function of time which needs to be known.

In either of the cases (Eqs. (35) or (39)), a closed form solution to the equation(s) is not possible. The finite element method (FEM), to be presented in Chapter III, was used to obtain approximate solutions for both of these cases.

2. Case Two, \( F(t) \) Prescribed

In the case where \( F(t) \) is prescribed, both Equations (34) and (37), subject to their respective boundary and
initial conditions must be solved. We recall, that together these two equations form a coupled, initial-boundary value problem. Moreover, they are both now nonlinear, as they contain terms with both dependent variables, $L$ and $\nu$ and their derivatives. Therefore, it is necessary to linearize both equations.

Any number of different strategies are possible for the linearization of these equations. The strategy used here will be to treat each dependent variable as a 'primary' or 'secondary' variable in accordance with the following scheme. The assignment of 'primary' or 'secondary' status will differ depending upon which equation is to be linearized. In the linearization of the equation of lateral motion, the 'primary' variables are lateral deflection, $\nu$ and its derivatives; and the 'secondary' variables are axial motion, $L$ and its derivatives. As 'secondary' variables in the equation for lateral motion, $L$ and its derivatives are evaluated at the previous time. On the other hand, for the equation of axial motion, $L$ and its derivatives are considered the 'primary' variables and $\nu$ and its derivatives are the 'secondary' variables. In this case $\nu$ and its derivatives are evaluated at the previous time step.

The linearization of Equation (34) is quite simple because in the nonlinear product terms, the primary variables ($\nu$ and its derivatives) appear linearly. Therefore, it only becomes necessary to evaluate the secondary variables in these
product terms at the previous time. For completeness the linearized equation is shown below,

$$v_{i\xi\eta} + \beta \left[ \xi^2 \left( \frac{L^2}{L} \right) v_{\xi\xi} + 2 \xi \left( \frac{L^2}{L} \right) v_{\xi} - 2 \xi L \xi v_{\xi\eta} - \xi L v_{\eta} + L v_{\eta\eta} \right] = p^*(\xi, \eta).$$

$$0 < \xi < 1$$

$$0 < \eta$$

(40)

where the $*$ subscript on a variable (or term) denotes that the variable (or term) is evaluated at the previous time and therefore is not an unknown in the equation.

The linearization of Equation (37) is not so simple because the primary variables ($L$ and its derivatives) do not appear linearly in the nonlinear product terms. If Equation (37) is expanded,

$$L + \frac{1}{2\rho L_0} \left[ \frac{v_{\xi}^2(1, \eta)}{L^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2L_0} \left[ L^2 \frac{v_{\xi}^2(1, \eta)}{L^2} \right] + \frac{1}{L_0} \left[ L L v_{\xi}^2(1, \eta) \cdot v_{\eta}(1, \eta) \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2L_0} \left[ L^2 v_{\eta}^2(1, \eta) \right] = \frac{1}{2\rho L_0} F(\eta)$$

(41)

each of the bracketed [ ] terms are nonlinear. These terms can be linearized in a number of different ways. Since this is primarily an 'L' equation, the 'L' operators will be
linearized using the quasilinearization technique of Bellman & Kalaba [Ref.2]. The nonlinear terms then become,

1. \[ \frac{\nu_{\xi \xi}^2(1, \eta)}{L^2} = \nu_{\xi \xi}^2(1, \eta) \left( \frac{3}{L_1^2} - \frac{2}{L_1^3} L \right) \]
   
   where, \[ \nu_{\xi \xi}^2(1, \eta) = \left[ -\frac{6}{L_1^2} \nu_x(1, \eta) + \frac{4}{L_1^3} \theta_x(1, \eta) \right]^2 \] (42)

2. \[ L^2 \nu_{\xi}^2(1, \eta) = [\theta_x(1, \eta)]^2 (-L_1^2 + 2L_1 L) \]

3. \[ L^2 \nu_{\eta}^2(1, \eta) = \theta(1, \eta) \nu_x(1, \eta) L \]

4. \[ L^2 \nu_{\eta}^2(1, \eta) = \nu_x^2(1, \eta) (-L_1^2 + 2L_1 L) \]

where \( L \) is the length of a finite element after the beam is discretized, \( \theta \) represents slope \( \nu_x \). Recalling that \( \eta=t \), in these equations, the subscript \( \eta \) denotes partial differentiation with respect to time. Again, the * subscript on a variable (or term) denotes that the value of the variable (or term) from the previous integration is used.
Equation (37) can be rewritten in terms of the \( L \) operators and the following groups of terms,

\[
\begin{align*}
C_1 &= \frac{EI}{2\rho L_0} \cdot \frac{3}{L^2} \left[ -\frac{6}{L^2} \nu_r(1, \eta) + \frac{4}{L} \theta_r(1, \eta) \right]^2 \\
C_2 &= -\frac{EI}{2\rho L_0} \cdot \frac{2}{L^3} \left[ -\frac{6}{L^2} \nu_r(1, \eta) + \frac{4}{L} \theta_r(1, \eta) \right]^2 \\
C_3 &= \frac{1}{2L_0} \theta_r^2(1, \eta) L^2 \\
C_4 &= -\frac{2}{2L_0} \theta_r^2(1, \eta) L \\
C_5 &= \frac{1}{L_0} \theta_r(1, \eta) \nu_r(1, \eta) L \\
C_6 &= \frac{1}{2L_0} \nu_r^2(1, \eta) L^2 \\
C_7 &= -\frac{2}{2L_0} \nu_r^2(1, \eta) L \\
C_8 &= \frac{1}{2\rho L_0}
\end{align*}
\] (43)

Using Equations (43), Equation (37) becomes,

\[
\ddot{L} + C_1 + C_2 L + C_3 \ddot{L} + C_4 \ddot{L} + C_5 L + C_6 + C_7 L = C_8 F(\eta) \] (44)

Equation (40), its boundary and initial conditions, and Equation (44) with its initial conditions, now form a coupled, linear initial-boundary value problem. A closed form solution of these equations is not possible. The finite element method (FEM), to be presented in Chapter III, could be used to obtain approximate solutions to these equations.
III. SOLUTION METHOD

A. FINITE ELEMENT METHOD DEVELOPMENT

Considering the L(t) specified case first, the task is to solve Equation (34) together with its boundary conditions given by Equation (36), and its initial conditions as determined by the problem being investigated. Definition of the initial conditions will require further discussion which will be conducted later in this development.

Equation (34) is a linear partial differential equation in one unknown, \( v'(\xi, t) \) when \( L(\zeta) \) is specified. Recalling that \( \eta = t \), here \( t \) will replace \( \eta \). An approximate numerical solution of this equation together with its initial and boundary conditions can be obtained by a Galerkin finite element formulation.

1. Construction of the Beam Element

The fourth order system of Equation (34) requires \( C^1 \) continuity (continuity of function and slope). In order to obtain \( C^1 \) continuity, an element with deflection \( v' \), and slope \( \theta' \), \( (\theta' \) will represent \( v'_{\xi} \) in the development that follows, as degrees of freedom (DOF) at each end point is required. This means each element must have a minimum of four DOF, which requires a cubic polynomial. These interpolation polynomials
are the set of cubic spline shape functions listed below and detailed in Appendix A.

\[
\begin{align*}
q_1 &= 1 - \frac{3}{l^3} \alpha^2 + \frac{2}{l^3} \alpha^3 \\
q_2 &= \alpha - \frac{2}{l^3} \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{l^3} \alpha^3 \\
q_3 &= \frac{3}{l^2} \alpha^2 - \frac{2}{l^3} \alpha^3 \\
q_4 &= -\frac{1}{l^2} \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{l^3} \alpha^3
\end{align*}
\]  

(45)

Figure (A.1) shows that shape functions \( q_1 \) and \( q_3 \), are associated with the displacement DOF \( (v_1', v_2') \) where subscripts 1 and 2 represent node points 1 and 2) at the element end points; and the even numbered shape function \( q_2 \) and \( q_4 \), are associated with the slope DOF \( (\theta_1', \theta_2') \) at the same locations.

2. Global FEM Formulation

In terms of global shape functions \( Q_i \), the FEM approximation \( v^* \) to \( V^* \) is given by,

\[
v^* \approx \tilde{v}^* = \mathbf{Q}^T \delta = \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{N}} \mathbf{Q}_i \delta_i
\]

(46)

where \( N \) is the number of elements, \( \bar{N} = 2N + 2 \) is the number of
global DOF, and $\delta_i$ are the global degrees of freedom. The
global degrees of freedom, $\delta_i$ are defined as follows,

$$\delta^T = \langle \delta_1, \delta_2 : \delta_3, \delta_4 : \delta_5, \delta_6 : \ldots : \delta_{N-1}, \delta_N \rangle$$ (47)

where subscripts 1, 2, 3, ...(N-1) are DOF identifiers. In terms
of displacements and slopes, we have,

$$\delta^T = \langle v_1, \theta_1 : v_2, \theta_2 : v_3, \theta_3 : \ldots : v_{N-1}, \theta_{N-1} \rangle^*$$ (48)

where the subscripts 1, 2, 3, ...(N+1) refer to the Global Nodal
Points (GNP) and, < >* indicates the non dimensional forms of
$v$ and $\theta$, that is $v^*$ and $\theta^*$.

The relationship between the global degrees of freedom
$\delta_i$ and, $v^*$ and $\theta^*$; is such that for odd $i$ (1,3,5,...,N-1);

$\delta_i = v^*$ at GNP [(i+1)/2]. (i.e., $\delta_1 = v^*(\text{GNP 1}), \delta_3 = v^*(\text{GNP 2}), \ldots$) For even $i$ (2,4,6,...,N); $\delta_i = \theta^*$ at GNP [i/2].

(i.e., $\delta_2 = \theta^*(\text{GNP 1}), \delta_4 = \theta^*(\text{GNP 2}), \ldots$)

Each $i^{th}$ GNP has two global shape functions, and hence
two DOF. An odd numbered shape function $Q_i$, gives
displacement $v^*$ at $\text{GNP} [(i+1)/2] = v^*_{[(i+1)/2]} = \delta_i$, and an even
numbered shape function \( Q_j \), gives slope \( \Phi \) at 

\[ GNP(i/2) = \Phi(i/2) = \delta_i. \]

3. Galerkin FEM Formulation

In accordance with the Galerkin Finite Element Method (FEM), we form the approximate solution of \( \psi \),

\[ \psi^*(\xi, t) = \psi(\xi, t) = \varphi^*(\xi) \delta(t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (49)

Using the above approximation, the residual function for the Euler equation of lateral motion (Eq. 34) is,

\[ R(\xi, t) = \mathcal{L}(\psi^*) - p^* \]  \hspace{1cm} (50)

or,

\[ R(\xi, t) = (\psi^*)_{\xi\xi\xi\xi} + \beta \left[ \xi^2 \frac{L^2}{L} (\psi^*)_{\xi\xi} + 2 \xi \frac{L^2}{L} (\psi^*)_{\xi} - 2 \xi L (\psi^*)_{\xi} - \xi L (\psi^*)_{\xi} + L \psi^* \right] - p^* \]  \hspace{1cm} (51)
After the final substitution, the residual is,

\[
R(\xi, t) = (\mathbf{Q}^r)_{\xi\xi\xi\xi} \delta + \\
\beta \left[ \xi^2 \frac{L^2}{L} (\mathbf{Q}^r)_{\xi\xi} \delta + 2 \xi \frac{L^2}{L} (\mathbf{Q}^r)_{\xi} \delta - 2 \xi L (\mathbf{Q}^r)_{\xi} \delta - \xi L (\mathbf{Q}^r)_{\xi} \delta + L \mathbf{Q}^r \delta \right] \\
- p^r
\]  

(52)

The Galerkin finite element equation is obtained by requiring that the residual function be orthogonal to each of the basis functions. That is,

\[
\int_0^1 \mathbf{Q} R \, d\xi = 0
\]  

(53)

Substitution of Equation (51) into Equation (52) gives,

\[
\int_0^1 \mathbf{Q} (\mathbf{Q}^r)_{\xi\xi\xi\xi} \, d\xi \, \delta + \beta \frac{L^2}{L} \int_0^1 \xi^2 \mathbf{Q} (\mathbf{Q}^r)_{\xi\xi} \, d\xi \, \delta + \\
2 \beta \frac{L^2}{L} \int_0^1 \xi \mathbf{Q} (\mathbf{Q}^r)_{\xi} \, d\xi \, \delta - 2 \beta \xi \int_0^1 \xi \mathbf{Q} (\mathbf{Q}^r)_{\xi} \, d\xi \, \delta - \\
\beta \xi \int_0^1 \mathbf{Q} (\mathbf{Q}^r)_{\xi} \, d\xi \, \delta + \beta \xi \int_0^1 \mathbf{Q} (\mathbf{Q}^r) \, d\xi \, \delta = \int_0^1 \mathbf{Q} p^r \, d\xi
\]  

(54)
After performing two integrations by parts on the first term (See Appendix D), Equation (53) becomes,

\[ B.T. + \int_0^1 \frac{L^2}{L} \xi \xi Q \left( \frac{Q^*}{\eta} \right)_{\xi\xi} d\xi \delta + \int_0^1 \frac{L^2}{L} \xi \xi Q \left( \frac{Q^*}{\eta} \right)_{\xi\xi} d\xi \delta = \frac{\beta L^2}{L} \int_0^1 \xi Q \left( \frac{Q^*}{\eta} \right)_{\xi} d\xi \delta - 2 \frac{\beta L}{L} \int_0^1 \xi Q \left( \frac{Q^*}{\eta} \right)_{\xi} d\xi \delta - \beta L \int_0^1 \xi Q \left( \frac{Q^*}{\eta} \right)_{\xi} d\xi \delta + \beta L \int_0^1 \xi Q \left( \frac{Q^*}{\eta} \right)_{\xi} d\xi \delta = \int_0^1 Q P^* d\xi \]

where B.T. is the vector of boundary terms resulting from the two integrations by parts,

\[ B.T. = Q(\psi)_{\xi\xi} \bigg|_0^1 - Q(\psi)_{\xi\xi} \bigg|_0^1 \]

The kronecker delta property of the shape functions results in the reduction of the boundary term vector to,

\[ B.T. = \begin{bmatrix}
-(\psi)_{\xi\xi}(0) \\
(\psi)_{\xi\xi}(0) \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
(\psi)_{\xi\xi}(1) \\
-(\psi)_{\xi\xi}(1)
\end{bmatrix} \]
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The non zero terms in the B.T. vector represent the non dimensionalized loads and moments applied at the boundaries. If there is no applied load (or moment) at the boundary, then B.T. is simply the zero vector.

A discussion of the second term of Equation (54) follows. The integration by parts performed on the first term of Equation (54) results in a self adjoint (symmetric) operator, a condition which is generally desired since it reduces storage requirements during computer processing. Integration by parts on the second term,

\[ \int_{0}^{1} \xi^2 \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{Q}^T)_{\xi \xi} \, d\xi \, \delta \tag{58} \]

results in a B.T. on \( \tilde{\phi} \) evaluated at \( \xi = 1 \). Since the value of \( \tilde{\phi}'(1) \) is unknown, an integration by parts was not performed on the \( u_{\xi \xi} \).
Letting,

\[
A = \int_0^1 Q_{tt} \left( Q^r \right)_{tt} \, d\xi \\
B = \int_0^1 \xi^2 Q \left( Q^r \right)_{tt} \, d\xi \\
C = \int_0^1 \xi Q \left( Q^r \right)_{t} \, d\xi \\
D = \int_0^1 Q \left( Q^r \right)_t \, d\xi \\
F = \int_0^1 Q P^r \, d\xi - B.T.
\]  

the final Galerkin Equation is,

\[
A \delta + \beta \frac{L^2}{L} B \delta + 2 \beta \frac{L^2}{L} C \delta - 2 \beta L C \delta - \beta L C \delta + \beta L D \delta = F
\]

The details of the construction and form of the \( A, B, C, \) and \( D \) matrices are contained in Appendix B.

4. Integration of the Galerkin Equation

a. Reduction of the Second Order System

Equation (59) is a system of second order ordinary differential equation in time. For numerical integration purposes, it is desirable to reduce this second order system to a first order system in time.
For compactness, let,

\[
K = -\frac{1}{L} \left[ \frac{1}{\beta} A + \frac{L^2}{L} B + \left( \frac{2L^2}{L} - \frac{L}{L} \right) C \right]
\]

\[M = \frac{2L}{L} C\]  \hspace{2cm} (61)

\[P = \frac{1}{\beta L} F\]

Then, Equation (59) becomes,

\[
D \ddot{x} = M \dot{x} + K x + P
\]  \hspace{2cm} (62)

Letting $\omega = \delta$, it follows that,

\[
\dot{\omega} = \ddot{x}
\]  \hspace{2cm} (63)

and Equation (59) now becomes the first order system of equations,

\[
\dot{x} = \omega
\]  \hspace{2cm} (64)

\[
D \dot{\omega} = M \dot{x} + K x + P
\]
In explicit matrix form, Equations (62) and (63) may be written,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
0 & D
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \\
\dot{\omega}
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & I \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
K & M
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \\
\omega
\end{bmatrix}
+ 
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
P
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{65}
\]

Letting,

\[
G = \begin{bmatrix}
I & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
0 & D
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \dot{\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix}
\delta \\
\dot{\omega}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & I \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
K & M
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda = \begin{bmatrix}
\delta \\
\omega
\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and}, \quad \Omega = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
P
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{66}
\]

the second order system of Equation (59) is reduced to the following first order system in time.

\[
G \dot{\lambda} = H \lambda + \Omega
\tag{67}
\]

Vector $\Omega$, becomes the zero vector if vector $P$ is a zero vector. Referring to Equations (59) and (60) we see that $P$ is actually defined by vector $F$ which is defined further by the boundary term vector $B.T.$, and the vector describing the contribution of an internally applied load, $p^*$. If $B.T.$ is the zero vector (no applied moment or load at the boundaries)
and there is no internally applied load, then $\mathbf{k}$ and $\Omega$ are zero vectors. Evaluation of the B.T. vector has also resulted in satisfying the natural boundary conditions imposed on Equation (34). Equation (66) now becomes,

$$G \dot{\lambda} = H \lambda \quad (68)$$

\[ b. \text{ Boundary and Initial Conditions} \]

Prior to integrating the system described in Equation (67), the boundary and initial conditions on Equation (34) must be imposed. The boundary conditions at the free end ($\xi=1$), were imposed through the boundary term vector as previously described. The strategy used to impose the essential boundary conditions at the "fixed end" ($\xi=0$), is one in which the deflection and slope at the "fixed end" are set to zero when the $\lambda$ vector is initialized, and the $G$ and $H$ matrices are altered such that the deflection and slope at $\xi = 0$, remain constant with time. If the first and second time derivatives of deflection and slope at $\xi = 0$ are constant and equal to zero, then the desired conditions of zero deflection and slope at $\xi = 0$ are obtained providing that the initial conditions on deflection ($v(0,0) = 0$), and slope ($\theta(0,0) = 0$), are satisfied.

Initial conditions are imposed through the initialization of the $\lambda$ vector in accordance with the problem being investigated. Referring back to the global FEM
formulation, we recall that each nodal point has two DOF. To satisfy the two DOF, deflection (and its velocity), as well as slope (and its velocity), must be initially defined at each node. The initial conditions must also satisfy the essential boundary conditions at \( \xi = 0 \). The initial conditions are more clearly understood if the \( \lambda \) vector is given in greater detail,

\[
\lambda_0 = \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 \\
\theta_1 \\
\vdots \\
v_{N-1} \\
v_N \\
\vdots \\
\hat{v}_1 \\
\hat{\theta}_1 \\
\vdots \\
\hat{v}_{N-1} \\
\hat{\theta}_N 
\end{bmatrix}^* 
\]

(69)

where the * subscript indicates the terms are the non-dimensional variables (and their derivatives) and therefore, are functions of \( \xi \), not \( x \).
The matrices and vectors of Equation (67), modified for the boundary and initial conditions follow,

\[
G = \begin{bmatrix}
I & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & D \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots 
\end{bmatrix}
\] (70)

where only the first two rows of D are altered as shown and,
where only the first two rows of $I$, $K$, and $M$ have been altered as shown and,

$$
\delta
\begin{bmatrix}
\vdots \\
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\dot{\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix}
\vdots \\
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\dot{\omega}
\begin{bmatrix}
\vdots \\
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(72)
and,

\[
\lambda = \begin{bmatrix}
\delta \\
\omega
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 \\
\dot{v}_1 \\
\theta_1 \\
\dot{\theta}_1 \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
v_{N-1} \\
\dot{v}_{N-1} \\
\theta_N \\
\dot{\theta}_N
\end{bmatrix}.
\]  

(73)

B. FEM VERIFICATION

The static cantilever beam provides a problem for which a known solution is available for comparison and verification of the FEM development and Fortran code.

For the static cantilever beam, the Euler equation of lateral motion (Eq. 1) is reduced to,

\[
EIV_{xxxx}(x) = p(x) \quad 0 < x < L
\]  

(74)
with the boundary conditions,
\[ v(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad EIv_{xx}(L) = M \text{ (moment)} \]  
\[ v_{x}(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad EIv_{xxx}(L) = P \text{ (load)} \]  

(75)

Referring to the Euler equation of lateral motion (Eq. 25), and considering that for the static cantilever beam,

\[ \ddot{z} = \dddot{z} = 0 \]  
\[ \ddot{\xi} = 0 \]  

(76)

Equation (73) transformed to the non dimensional coordinate \( \xi \) becomes,

\[ v_{xxxx} = \frac{L^4}{EI} p(\xi) \quad 0 < \xi < 1 \]  

(77)

with the boundary conditions,

\[ v(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{EI}{L^2} v_{xx}(1) = M \]  
\[ v_{x}(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{EI}{L^2} v_{xxx}(1) = P \]  

(78)

Referring to Equation (34), the final spatially non dimensional static beam equation, where the lateral deflection
has also been non dimensionalized, becomes,

\[ v_{\xi\xi\xi}(\xi) = \frac{L^3}{EI} p(\xi) \quad 0 < \xi < 1 \quad (79) \]

with the boundary conditions,

\[ v(0) = 0 \quad \frac{E I}{L} v_{\xi}(1) = M \tag{80} \]

\[ v(0) = 0 \quad \frac{E I}{L^2} v_{\xi\xi}(1) = P \]

The Galerkin FEM formulation for Equation (78) and its boundary conditions is obtained from Equation (59). Again, recalling that,

\[ L = \bar{L} = 0 \quad (81) \]
\[ \delta = 0 \]

Equation (59) becomes,

\[ A \delta = F \tag{82} \]

where,

\[ F = \int_0^1 \dot{Q} P^* \, d\xi - B.T. \tag{83} \]
and, if there is no excitation internal to the system other than at the boundaries \((p' = 0)\) then,

\[
F = 0 - B.T. \tag{84}
\]

The boundary conditions given in Equations (79), must be imposed prior to solving the system of Equation (81). To do this the boundary term vector \(B.T.\), resulting from the integration by parts on the \(V_{\xi\xi\xi\xi}\) operator and shown in Equation (56),

\[
B.T. = \begin{bmatrix}
-\ddot{V}_{\xi\xi\xi\xi}(0) \\
\ddot{V}_{\xi\xi}(0) \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0 \\
\ddot{V}_{\xi\xi\xi\xi}(1) \\
-\ddot{V}_{\xi\xi}(1)
\end{bmatrix} \tag{56}
\]

is evaluated using the boundary conditions at the free end of the beam \((\xi = 1)\).
Rearrangement of terms in Equations (79) gives,

\[ v''_{\xi}(1) = \frac{ML}{EI} \]
\[ v'''_{\xi}(1) = \frac{PL^2}{EI} \]

The B.T. vector can be rewritten as,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-\dddot{v}_{\xi}(0) \\
\dddot{v}_{\xi}(0) \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{PL^2}{EI} \\
-\frac{ML}{EI}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Next the boundary conditions at the fixed end (\(\xi = 0\)) are imposed. Recalling that \(\delta_1 = v'_1 = v'(0)\) and \(\delta_2 = \theta'_1 = \theta'(0)\), the boundary conditions at the fixed end are imposed by altering the first two rows of both the \(A\) matrix, and the B.T. vector to force \(\delta_1\) and \(\delta_2\) to zero.
The final system can be written as,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
& & & \ddots & & \\
& & & & & A_{31} & \cdots & A_{3N} \\
& & & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
& & & & & A_{N1} & \cdots & A_{NN}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta_1 \\
\delta_2 \\
\vdots \\
\delta_{N-1} \\
\delta_N
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
\frac{PL^2}{EI} \\
\frac{ML}{EI}
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{88}
\]

where \( \bar{N} \) is the number of degrees of freedom.

The solution to this system is,

\[
\delta = 
\begin{bmatrix}
\nu_1^* \\
\theta_1^* \\
\vdots \\
\nu_N^* \\
\theta_N^*
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{89}
\]
If \( \frac{PL^2}{EI} \) (or \( \frac{ML}{EI} \)) is set at unity, then to obtain the \( \delta \) for actual values of \( \frac{PL^2}{EI} \) (or \( \frac{ML}{EI} \)), the \( \delta \) vector is multiplied by \( \frac{PL^2}{EI} \) (or \( \frac{ML}{EI} \)).

The exact solutions for the deflection and slope at the free end of a cantilever beam subject to a concentrated load (or moment) are obtained from the following expressions,

\[
\begin{align*}
\nu(L) &= \frac{PL^3}{3EI} & \theta(L) &= \frac{PL^4}{2EI} \\
\nu(L) &= \frac{ML^2}{2EI} & \theta(L) &= \frac{ML}{EI}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( P \) (or \( M \)) is the load (or moment) applied at the free end.

The \( \delta \) vector is the vector of non dimensional deflections, and slopes. The dimensional vector is obtain by multiplying the non dimensional displacements (\( \delta_i \) for odd \( i \)) by \( L \) in accordance with \( \nu = LV' \). Since slope is a non dimensional quantity to begin with, the \( \theta' \)'s (\( \delta_i \) for even \( i \)) are equal to the \( \theta ' \)'s.

The Fortran code used for this verification and comparison is located in Appendix C. Results of the verification, also in Appendix C, confirm the FEM development.
C. THE F(t) PRESCRIBED SOLUTION METHOD

The final and most complex case posed in Chapter II was the case where the axial force, F(t) is prescribed. In this case an equation for axial motion, in addition to the Euler equation of lateral motion, was required. That equation was the Euler equation of axial motion. Together these two equations form a nonlinear, coupled, initial-boundary value problem. After the linearization of these equations, the linear, coupled, initial-boundary value problem consisted of the following equations,

\[
\begin{align*}
\nu_{\xi\xi\xi\xi} + \beta \left[ \eta \left( \frac{L^2}{L} \right) \nu_{\eta\xi} + 2 \xi \left( \frac{L^2}{L} \right) \nu_{\xi} - 2 \xi L \nu_{\xi\eta} - \xi L \nu_{\xi} + L \nu_{\eta\eta} \right] \\
= p^*(\xi, \eta) = 0
\end{align*}
\]  
(91)

\[
\ddot{L} + C_1 + C_2 \dot{L} + C_3 + C_4 \ddot{L} + C_5 \dot{L} + C_6 = C_8 F(\eta)
\]  
(92)

where \( p' = 0 \) for the no internal excitation case, and \( C_i \) are defined in Equations (43).
By defining new terms, \( J_i \) which require updating during the time integration process,

\[
\begin{align*}
J_1(t) &= C_2 + C_3 + C_7 \\
J_2(t) &= C_4 \\
J_3(T) &= C_1 + C_3 + C_6
\end{align*}
\] (93)

Equation (45) becomes,

\[
\ddot{L} + J_1 L + J_2 \dot{L} = C_8 F(t) - J_3
\] (94)

Equation (93) is a second order differential equation in time. Letting,

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{q} &= \dot{L} \\
\ddot{q} &= \ddot{L}
\end{align*}
\] (95)

and using substitution, the following system of two first order differential equations is obtain;

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{q} &= \dot{L} \\
\ddot{q} + J_1 \dot{L} + J_2 q &= C_8 F(t) - J_3
\end{align*}
\] (96)

Since \( L(t) \) and its derivatives do not depend on a spatial variable, Equations (95) do not require a FEM formulation and are directly added to the system of equations for lateral
motion. The matrix equations for lateral motion will be similar in structure to the system of Equation (64). However, the 'secondary' variables (or terms) introduced in the linearization of the equation, are assigned their values from the previous integration. Therefore, the sub matrices \( D, K, \) and \( M \) which contain these variables (or terms), appear with the * subscript. \( \Omega \) is the zero vector because there is no internal excitation, and the natural boundary conditions used to evaluate the B.T. vector are equal to zero (moment=load=0). The matrix system of equations which is obtained after adding Equations (95) to the system in Equation (96) is shown here,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I : 0 \\
\vdots \\
0 : D_\ast
\end{bmatrix} [\delta] = \\
\begin{bmatrix}
0 : I \\
\vdots \\
K : M_\ast
\end{bmatrix} [\delta] + \\
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(97)
The final system for the $F(t)$ prescribed case is,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I & 0 & \vdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
D & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \\
\omega \\
L \\
\zeta \\
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The boundary and initial conditions for the equation of lateral motion, and the initial conditions for the equation of
axial motion, are imposed using the strategy applied in the $L(t)$ specified case, and described in Section A of this Chapter.
IV. CASE STUDY REPORT AND CONCLUSIONS

A. GENERAL DISCUSSION

After verification of the finite element code the investigation of the transient problem began. The primary emphasis of the studies that follow is on obtaining solutions to problems, and not on investigation of numerical considerations. However, when appropriate the researcher's thoughts on such considerations will be presented.

The case studies reported are investigations of the $L(t)$ prescribed condition. For reference, the system developed in Chapter III for the $L(t)$ prescribed case is repeated here,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
I : 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 : D
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{\delta} \\
\vdots \\
\dot{\omega}
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
0 : I \\
\vdots \\
K : M
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \\
\vdots \\
\omega
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{100}
\]

or,

\[G\dot{\lambda} = H\lambda \tag{101}\]

The above system does not reflect the alterations made to impose the boundary conditions on load and moment at the free end as the case studies addressed only the case of homogeneous
boundary conditions (P=M=O), with no internal excitation (p*=0). Thus the P vector is the zero vector, and does not appear in the system above.

The transient problem introduces the requirement for a numerical integration method. To perform the integration on the system above, the IMSL, Inc. integration subroutine, DIVPAG was chosen. DIVPAG is a double precision first-order, initial-value, ordinary differential equation solver.

Two classes of implicit linear multi step methods are available. The first is the Adams's method and the second is the backward differentiation formula (up to fifth order), also called Gear's stiff method. An accepted measure of stiffness is the ratio of the maximum and minimum eigenvalues ($\lambda_{\text{max}}/\lambda_{\text{min}}$) of a system. A problem is considered stiff for very large $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\lambda_{\text{min}}$ ratios. The vibrating cantilever beam equation of motion results in a stiff system, and therefore, Gear's stiff method is used.

1. **Time Step Convergence**

The integration routine uses an internally determined time step such that a measure of global error does not exceed a user specified tolerance. This feature of DIVPAG provides error control to the user of the integration routine. However, a recognized short coming of this integration package as applied to this problem, is the inability to update the G matrix on the left hand side of Equation (100) at each of the
subroutine determined time steps. That is, as a result of the call structure of the subroutine, an update of the finite element matrix \( \mathbf{G} \) for a change in \( L(t) \) and its derivatives, is only possible outside of the subroutine. For this reason DIVPAG is placed in a Do Loop and the \( \mathbf{G} \) matrix is updated at each entry to DIVPAG. Although the \( \mathbf{H} \) matrix could be evaluated inside DIVPAG via a FNC subroutine argument of DIVPAG, in this investigation it was not. It was updated at the same time the \( \mathbf{G} \) matrix was, that is, at each entry to DIVPAG. The accuracy of the numerical solution depends upon the frequency of updating of the \( \mathbf{G} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) matrices. Entries to DIVPAG were at .025 second intervals for all case studies with the exception of the final case study for which entries were made at .01 second intervals. A rapidly changing \( L(t) \) requires more updating of the matrices than would a slowly changing \( L(t) \). In effect, a solution ultimately should be checked for "time grid" independence.

2. Spatial Grid Convergence

Convergence of the solution for the spatial grid is yet another consideration. The solution is a function of the number of elements (i.e., NDOF). For linear problems, it can be shown that in the limit, as the number of DOF approaches
infinity, the approximate solution of $\hat{V}$ approaches the exact solution $V$, that is,

$$\lim_{NDOF \to \infty} \hat{V} = V$$  \hspace{1cm} (102)

However, for a nonlinear problem there is no guarantee, but a likelihood that the approximate solution converges to the exact solution in the limit as the number DOF approaches infinity. A preliminary study conducted during the first case study showed that negligible differences existed between the eight and sixteen element solutions for that particular problem. This was the basis for the use of an eight element solution for all subsequent problems. However, it is recognized that the FEM model changes with length (or time). Since the number of elements (NEL) is constant with time, convergence for a given NEL may change with time as well. Furthermore, the effects of material properties, geometric dimensions, and functions of $L(t)$ (and its derivatives), may also influence the NEL required for a spatial, grid independent solution.

3. **Computational Effort**

Related to the stiff character of the problem, is the very large amount of computational effort (CPU time) required to obtain solutions. A study of CPU requirements was not conducted. However, integration of a problem over a real time
five second period took as long as a week. Typically, DIVPAG performed its integration over \(2 \times 10^{-6}\) second steps. Thus, every .1 second increment in time required approximately 500,000 integration steps. Processing was conducted using the Naval Postgraduate School IBM 3033 main frame time share system during weekday non peak hours (1800-0900), and weekends. During these periods, it is estimated that approximately 20 percent of time share CPU was allocated to the processing of this job. A restart capability was coded to assist in processing during non peak hours only.

4. The Case Study Beam

The case studies that follow are conducted using material properties similar to those of plexiglass. The modulus of elasticity \((E)\) is equal to 100,000 psi. The initial length of the beam, \(L_0\) is 10.0 inches for all case studies. Two moments of inertia of the beam cross-section (indication of beam rigidity, which effects the stiffness of the problem) are obtained from the cross-sectional dimensions shown in Figure (4.1). In recognition of the stiff nature of the problem, and in interest of solving a realistic problem in the minimum amount of time possible, our desire was to select a material with the smallest value of frequency, which is proportional to,

\[
\sqrt{\frac{EI}{\rho L^4}} \quad (103)
\]
Thus, for a beam of given geometry \((I/L')\), plexiglass was selected as a realistic material with the smallest \(E/\rho\) ratio.

\[
S = \begin{cases} 
0.125" \\
0.0625"
\end{cases}
\]

Figure 4.1 Beam Geometry

B. FIRST CASE STUDY, NEGLIGIBLE DERIVATIVES OF \(L(t)\)

The strategy used in the case studies is to progress from the less to the more 'difficult' cases. What is intended by 'difficult', is that fewer differential, and hence, finite element operators are involved in the Fortran coding for the less difficult case. The general program development logic is the same for all the transient case studies, however; it is generally good practice to limit the size of the code until the logic is tested and functioning as expected. By eliminating the derivatives of \(L(t)\), only the \(A\) part of the \(K\) matrix (See Equation (105)) and the \(D\) matrix of Equation (99) need to be evaluated.
If the derivatives of the specified function of $L(t)$ are zero or negligible, the equation of lateral motion is,

$$v_{tttt} + \frac{\rho L^4}{EI} v_{\eta\eta} = 0$$

(104)

subject to boundary and initial conditions.

For this case, the matrix system of Equation (99) becomes,

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \delta$$

(105)

where the $K$ sub matrix,

$$K = \frac{1}{L} \begin{bmatrix} A + \frac{L^2}{L} B + \left( \frac{2L^2}{L} \right) C \end{bmatrix}$$

(106)

is reduced to,

$$K = \frac{1}{L^2} A$$

(107)

This case was examined for the plexiglass beam with the larger cross sectional dimensions. The material and geometric properties used are $\rho$ (mass/unit length) = 6.988E-6 lbf·S²/in² (slugs/in) and, moment of inertia $I = 81.38E-6$ in⁴.
The homogenous boundary conditions are imposed as described in Chapter III. The initial conditions (λ vector) are imposed by an initial parabolic deformation (Fig. 4.2) of the beam. The λ vector is initialized for all DOF according to the following displacements (and slopes),

\[ v^*(\xi,0) = 0.1\xi^2 \]
\[ v^*_\xi(\xi,0) = 0.2\xi \] (108)

and velocities of displacements and slopes,

\[ \dot{v}^*(\xi,0) = 0 \]
\[ \dot{v}^*_\xi(\xi,0) = 0 \] (109)

Figure 4.2 Parabolic and Linear Initial Conditions Plot Case Study One and Four
The prescribed functions of $L(t)$ and its velocity are,

\[ L(t) = L_0 - 0.166t \]
\[ \dot{L}(t) = -0.166 \quad (110) \]

The $L(t)$ function was constructed to permit the beam to be drawn halfway (10 inches) into the sleeve (hole) in 60 seconds. Figure (4.3) is a plot of $L(t)$ and velocity, $\dot{L}(t)$.

Figure 4.3 Length and Velocity Function Plot
Case Study One
This problem was solved for a four, eight, and sixteen element discretization. This was the only investigation of grid independence conducted. The results of this investigation were discussed in the subsection on spatial grid independence.

C. SECOND CASE STUDY, PARABOLIC FUNCTIONS OF $L(t)$

Two studies are conducted where $L(t)$ is prescribed by different parabolic functions. If $L(t)$ is defined as a parabolic function, its first and second derivatives are non zero and significant (See Figures 4.5 and 4.6). Thus, the system in Equation (99) is completely defined. In addition to the dissimilar functions of $L(t)$, the two cases are distinct in their cross-sectional geometries.

The same initial conditions were imposed for the two cases. An initial deformation of the beam in a parabolic shape was imposed again as in the first case study and again the initial velocities are zero. The $\lambda$ vector of Equation (99) was initialized for all DOF according to the following displacements and slopes (See Figure 4.4),

\[
\begin{align*}
  v'(\xi,0) &= \xi^2 \\
  v''(\xi,0) &= 2\xi
\end{align*}
\]  
(111)
and velocities,

\[ \dot{v}^*(\xi, 0) = 0 \]
\[ \dot{v}^*_\xi(\xi, 0) = 0 \]  

Figure 4.4 Parabolic and Linear Initial Conditions Plots Case Study Two

1. Parabolic \( L(t) \), The Less Stiff Beam

"Case One" of the second case study is the less "stiff" problem. Figure (4.1) shows the cross sectional dimensions. These dimensions result in material and geometric properties such that \( \rho \) (mass/unit length) = 3.493E-6 lbf·S²/in², and moment of inertia \( I = 10.17E-6 \) in⁴.
A parabolic function of \( L(t) \) is prescribed such that the beam is drawn to half its original length in 2.5 seconds, reverses direction, and returns to its original length during the next 2.5 seconds, for a total of 5 seconds. Figure (4.5) is a plot of \( L(t) \) and its derivatives, velocity and acceleration. The functions are,

\[
L(t) = L_0 - 4t + 0.8t^2
\]

\[
\dot{L}(t) = -4 + 1.6t \quad \{0 \leq t \leq 5.0\} \text{ sec.} \tag{113}
\]

\[
\ddot{L}(t) = 1.6
\]

![Figure 4.5 Length, Velocity, and Acceleration Plots](image)

Figure 4.5 Length, Velocity, and Acceleration Plots
Case Study Two (Less Stiff Beam)
2. Parabolic L(t), The Stiff Beam

"Case Two" of the second case study is the stiffer of the two parabolic L(t) case studies. Figure (4.1) shows the cross sectional dimensions of the beam. These dimensions result in material and geometric properties such that \( \rho \text{(mass/unit length)} = 6.988E-6 \text{ lbf} \cdot \text{S}^2/\text{in}^2 \), and moment of inertia \( I = 81.38E-6 \text{ in}^4 \).

"Case Two" was started with the same parabolic function for L(t) as "Case One". It was here that the significance of "stiffness" and computational time came to focus. Running the two cases simultaneously as separate jobs on different system accounts, clearly demonstrated the difference in CPU requirements for the two problems. In fact, there was such a disparity in computational effort that it was decided to change the course of the stiffer problem ("Case Two") such that it's symmetric, cycle would be complete in 2.1 seconds vice the 5 seconds of "Case One". The functions of L(t) and their derivatives, along with their respective time domains are given here,

\[
L(t) = L_0 - 4t + .8t^2 \\
\dot{L}(t) = -4 + 1.6t \quad \{0 \leq t \leq 1.0\} \text{ sec.} \\
\ddot{L}(t) = 1.6
\]
\[ L(t) = 33.2 - 50.4t + 24t^2 \]
\[ \dot{L}(t) = -50.4 + 48t \quad \{1.0 \leq t \leq 1.1\} \text{ sec.} \]
\[ \ddot{L}(t) = 48.0 \]

\[ L(t) = 5.128 + 0.64 + 0.8t^2 \]
\[ \dot{L}(t) = 0.64 + 1.6t \quad \{1.1 \leq t \leq 2.1\} \text{ sec.} \]
\[ \ddot{L}(t) = 1.6 \]

These functions are plotted below in Figure (4.6).

Figure 4.6 Length, Velocity, and Acceleration Function Plots
Case Study Two (Stiff Beam)
3. Discussion of the Parabolic Cases

The results of these runs provided one of the thought provoking questions of the research. The purpose behind the parabolic function of \( L(t) \) was to observe the beam's activity in the case where it returned to its original length in a symmetric, cyclic fashion. The interest was in the question, would the expected symmetric behavior of the 'pull-push' sequence of \( L(t) \) be predicted by the code? The results clearly showed that symmetry did not occur for the parabolic cases (See Figures (4.10) and (4.11)). In fact, the deflections had grown considerably during the 'push' stage of the \( L(t) \) cycle. Where did the energy to cause such large deflections come from? One possible explanation considered was that through the imposition of \( L(t) \), energy in the form of work had been added to the system. This question was addressed in the final case study, wherein the work associated with \( \int FdL \) was tracked. Another possibility, if the work cannot account for the increase in displacements, is that the results are not correct due to a break down in the numerical integration during the latter stage of the 'push' stage of the cycle.

Before continuing on to the next set of case studies, a discussion of what is a most thought provoking question resulting from the research thus far follows. What would happen if the beam where drawn totally through the
frictionless hole and then pushed back out to its original length? At the end of the 'pull' stage of the cycle, the entire beam resides motionless in a straight sleeve (the frictionless hole) and therefore there is neither deformational (strain) energy or vibrating (kinetic) energy. Again, energy transfer out of the system as work could account for this phenomena. In any case, it may not be possible to show this with this numerical model for the following reasons. For one thing, as the length of the beam shortens, the shear and rotary inertia terms, which were not included in this model, become ever increasingly significant and in fact may dominate the physical behavior. Secondly, even if the physical model could be modified to include these effects, the frequencies tend toward infinity as L(t) approaches zero, and numerical integration would not be possible.

D. HARMONIC L(t) PRESCRIBED

Two studies were conducted simultaneously on two beams with the material and geometric properties identical to the two beams used in the parabolic L(t) study. In this study, L(t) was prescribed as the trigonometric functions of L(t) and
its derivatives given here,

\[ L(t) = 9 + \cos\left(\frac{\pi t}{1.5}\right) \]

\[ \dot{L}(t) = -\frac{\pi}{1.5} \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{1.5}\right) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 3.0) \text{ sec.} \quad (116) \]

\[ \ddot{L}(t) = -\left(\frac{\pi}{1.5}\right)^2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi t}{1.5}\right) \]

Accordingly, \( L(t) \) for these cases varied between eight and ten inches (Fig. 4.7). The symmetric, cyclic concept was used again as it was in the parabolic \( L(t) \) prescribed cases.

![Figure 4.7 Length, Velocity, and Acceleration Function Plots Case Study Three (Both Beams)](image-url)
A sinusoidal function was also prescribed for the initial deformation of the 10 inch plexiglass beam. The λ vector was initialized for all DOF in accordance with the following displacements and slopes,

\[
v^*(\xi, 0) = 0.1 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\pi \xi}{2.0}\right)\right] \quad (117)
\]

\[
v_\xi^*(\xi, 0) = \frac{\pi}{20.0} \sin\left(\frac{\pi \xi}{2.0}\right)
\]

and velocities,

\[
\dot{v}^*(\xi, 0) = 0 \quad (118)
\]

\[
\dot{v}_\xi^*(\xi, 0) = 0
\]

The initial conditions on deflection and slope are shown graphically in Figure (4.8).

![Sinusoidal Initial Conditions Plot](image)

Figure 4.8 Sinusoidal Initial Conditions Plot
The previous results of increasing displacements (above the initial displacements) observed for the parabolic \( L(t) \) studies were not obtained in these harmonic \( L(t) \) case studies (See Figures (4.12) and (4.13)). If the work explanation is the correct one in the previous section, then it might be that work is associated with parabolic \( L(t) \) axial motions, and not with harmonic \( L(t) \) axial motions. In order to investigate this question further, a investigation was undertaken to track work for the parabolic \( L(t) \) case. This is discussed in the next section. The computational effort observations of the previous cases where noted again as well. That is, the CPU requirement for the "stiffer" problem was greater than for the "less stiff" problem, as it had been for the parabolic \( L(t) \) cases.

E. CASE STUDY FOUR, TRACKING WORK FOR A PARABOLIC \( L(t) \)

A final study was conducted using the "less stiff" beam in which a parabolic \( L(t) \) was prescribed. The function and its derivatives follow and are plotted in Figure (4.9).

\[
L(t) = 10.0 - 2.666t + .888t^2 \\
\dot{L}(t) = 2.666 + 1.777t \quad \{0 \leq t \leq 3.0\} \text{ sec.} \\
\ddot{L}(t) = 1.777
\]
The \( \lambda \) vector was initialized for all DOF according to the initial deformation of the beam defined by the following displacements and slopes,

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon'(\xi, 0) &= 0.1\xi^2 \\
\varepsilon'(\xi, 0) &= 0.2\xi 
\end{align*}
\tag{120}
\]

and velocities,

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\varepsilon}'(\xi, 0) &= 0 \\
\dot{\varepsilon}'(\xi, 0) &= 0 
\end{align*}
\tag{121}
\]

These are the same initial conditions as used in the first Case Study and are plotted in Figure (4.2).

Figure 4.9 Length, velocity, and Acceleration Plots
Case Study Four
The purpose of this final study was to determine whether the increased displacements predicted by the code for the parabolic L(t) cases, during the latter stages of the 'push' stage of the cycle, could be accounted for by work input to the system. In addition to tracking work, the moment and shear were also tracked. The shear diagrams, shown in Figures (4.15) to (4.17), and moment diagrams, shown in Figures (4.18) to (4.20), appear to be reasonable. The small values of these parameters is due to the values of Young's modulus, E, and moment of inertia, I, used in this study. The product of EI for the cases studies here are 1.017 lb. in², and 8.138 lb. in².

The diagrams for axial force F and work W, shown in Figure (4.21) do not appear to be reasonable and therefore are suspect. Assuming a one to one relation between L(t) and F(t) exists, it is difficult to imagine that such a force would produce the smooth parabolic L(t) and vice versa. A tentative conclusion therefore is that either F(t) was not coded correctly or that there is in fact an instability in the numerical integration during the latter stage of the 'push' cycle of the problem. An effort is presently underway to determine if the coding for the calculation of F(t) is correct. It should be remarked however that prior to the erratic behavior of F(t), which occurs late in the 'pull-push' cycle, the values of F(t) seemed reasonable.
F. FINAL COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of this initial investigation on the behavior of a vibrating beam subject to a prescribed axial motion leads to the following conclusions. First and foremost is that the implementation of the FEM numerical scheme was accomplished with success, although it is not certain that some numerical difficulties are not encountered at the later stages of the analysis. Further work must be undertaken to resolve whether the increase in vibration amplitude which is predicted by the code is an actual result of work input to the system or whether it is associated with a numerical instability. Prior to the investigation of the 'real' gun barrel problem, one might also investigate whether the omission of axial strain energy form the model, which is common whenever bending and bar activity coexists, could account for this behavior. If so, additional terms for axial strain energy could be included in the formulation.

It is interesting to note that the equation of axial motion relates the axial force $F(t)$ not only to the axial acceleration $\ddot{L}$, in accordance with Newton's law of motion for rigid bodies, but also includes additional terms associated with the deformational strain energy of bending, and the kinetic energy of beam vibration, at the free end of the beam. The former term adds to the acceleration term while the latter term decreases it.
The practical problem associated with the axial motion of a gun barrel due to the recoil action of firing, which provided the impetus for this study, was formulated but not solved here. An experimental investigation should be undertaken to ascertain the accuracy of the numerical model.
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APPENDIX A

THE CUBIC SPLINE SHAPE FUNCTIONS

The beam element is constructed using four shape functions ($q_1$, $q_2$, $q_3$, and $q_4$) which satisfy the following conditions.

\[ q_1'(NP1) = 0 \quad , \quad q_1'(NP2) = 0 \]
\[ q_1(NP1) = 1 \quad , \quad q_1(NP2) = 0 \]

\[ q_2(NP1) = 0 \quad , \quad q_2(NP2) = 0 \]
\[ q_2'(NP1) = 1 \quad , \quad q_2'(NP2) = 0 \]

\[ q_3(NP1) = 0 \quad , \quad q_3'(NP1) = 0 \]
\[ q_3(NP2) = 1 \quad , \quad q_3'(NP2) = 0 \]

\[ q_4(NP1) = 0 \quad , \quad q_4'(NP1) = 0 \]
\[ q_4(NP2) = 0 \quad , \quad q_4'(NP2) = 1 \]

where the ('') superscript represents a differentiation with respect to the spatial variable.
By satisfying the four conditions on each $q_i$, the four element shape functions can be constructed from a cubic equation of the form,

$$q_i = a_i + b_i \alpha + c_i \alpha^2 + d_i \alpha^3$$

$q_i$ is constructed here as an example.

$$q_i = a_i + b_i \alpha + c_i \alpha^2 + d_i \alpha^3$$

1. $q_i(NP1) = 1 \Rightarrow 1 = a_i$

2. $q'_i(NP1) = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = b_i$

   \[ q_i = c_i \alpha^2 + d_i \alpha^3 \]

3. $q_i(NP2) = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = 1 + c_i l_*^2 + d_i l_*^3$

4. $q'_i(NP2) = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = 2c_i l_* + 3d_i l_*^2 \Rightarrow c_i = -\frac{3}{2} d_i l_*$

substitute (4.) \rightarrow (3.) \Rightarrow $d_i = \frac{2}{l_*}$ and, $c_i = -\frac{3}{l_*}$

thus,

$$q_i = 1 - \frac{3}{l_*^2} \alpha^2 + \frac{2}{l_*^3} \alpha^3$$
Using the conditions which define shape functions $q_1$, $q_3$, and $q_4$ (listed on the previous page) the other three shape functions are obtained,

\[
q_2 = \alpha - \frac{2}{I_*} \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{I_*^2} \alpha^3
\]

\[
q_3 = \frac{3}{I_*} \alpha^2 - \frac{2}{I_*^3} \alpha^3
\]

\[
q_4 = -\frac{1}{I_*} \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{I_*^2} \alpha^3
\]
APPENDIX B

CONSTRUCTION OF THE GLOBAL MATRICES

The global matrices $A$, $B$, $C$, and $D$ are constructed from the element matrices $a^*$, $b^*$, $c^*$, and $d^*$ according to the relationships:

$$A = \int_0^1 \xi' \left( \xi' \right)' \, d\xi = U \cdot a^*$$

$$B = \int_0^1 \xi^2 \left( \xi' \right)' \, d\xi = U \cdot b^*$$

$$C = \int_0^1 \xi \left( \xi' \right)' \, d\xi = U \cdot c^*$$

$$D = \int_0^1 \left( \xi' \right)' \, d\xi = U \cdot d^*$$

where,

$$a^* = \int_{q_1} q' (q')' \, d\alpha$$

$$b^* = \bar{\xi} \int_{q_1} q (q')' \, d\alpha$$

$$c^* = \bar{\xi} \int_{q_1} q (q')' \, d\alpha$$

$$d^* = \int_{q_1} q (q') \, d\alpha$$

given that $\bar{\xi}$ is a constant which approximates $\xi$ transformed to the local coordinate $\alpha$. 
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The transformation of $\xi$ is as follows. Referring to Figure (B.1),

$$\xi = \alpha + \eta_a \quad \text{where,} \quad \eta_a = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} l_i,$$

and

$$\xi^2 = \alpha^2 + 2\eta_a \alpha + \eta_a^2.$$

Because the transformation of $\xi$ to a function of $\alpha$ results in integrals which are difficult to evaluate, it is desirable to use an alternative strategy. If we let,

$$\xi = \bar{\xi} = \eta_a + \frac{l_a}{2},$$

a numeric value can be assigned to this quantity. Thus, the difficult integration is eliminated. Any accuracy lost in the approximation will be recovered by additional iterations to obtain convergence of the FEM solution.

---

Figure B.1
The final $a^*$, $b^*$, $c^*$, and $d^*$ matrices follow,

$$a^* = \begin{bmatrix}
12 & 6 & -12 & 6 \\
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\
6 & 4 & -6 & 2 \\
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$b^* = \begin{bmatrix}
-6 & -11 & 6 & -1 \\
\frac{5}{10} & \frac{5}{10} & \frac{5}{10} & \frac{5}{10} \\
-1 & -\frac{21}{10} & \frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{10} \\
\frac{6}{10} & \frac{1}{10} & -\frac{6}{10} & \frac{11}{10}
\end{bmatrix}$$
\[ c^* \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{10} & 1 & -1 \frac{1}{10} \\ \frac{1}{10} & 0 & \frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{60} \\ -1 \frac{1}{10} & 1 \frac{1}{10} & \frac{1}{10} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{10} & -\frac{1}{10} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ d^* = \begin{bmatrix} 131 & 131^2 & 91 & -131^2 \\ 35 & 210 & 70 & 420 \\ 131^2 & 1^3 & 131^2 & 1^3 \\ 210 & 105 & 420 & 140 \\ 91 & 131^2 & 131 & 111^2 \\ 70 & 420 & 35 & 210 \\ -131^2 & 1^3 & 111^2 & 1^3 \\ -420 & -140 & -210 & 105 \end{bmatrix} \]
APPENDIX C

STATICH CANTELEVER BEAM FORTRAN CODE
AND SAMPLE OUTPUT

******************************************************************************************
* MARK R. DEVRIES LT USCG
* NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
* SEPTEMBER 1990
* THESIS
* MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

TITLE:
VIBRATION OF A CANTILEVER BEAM
THAT SLIDES AXIALLY IN A FRICTIONLESS HOLE

THE FOLLOWING FORTRAN CODE IS A VERIFICATION OF THE FINITE
ELEMENT FORMULATION FOR THE TRANSIENT PROBLEM TO BE
Pursued in the next programming step.
THE PROGRAM VERIFIES THE FINITE ELEMENT METHOD
CODE LOGIC ON THREE POSSIBLE STATIC BEAM PROBLEM.
(1) FIXED END WITH TWO ROLLER SUPPORTS, ONE AT THE CENTER
AND A SECOND AT THE OPPOSITE END. THIS BEAM IS LOADED BY
A CONCENTRATED MOMENT AT THE ROLLER SUPPORTED END.
(2) AND (3) ARE CANTILEVER BEAM PROBLEMS, ONE LOADED BY A
CONCENTRATED LOAD AT THE FREE END AND THE OTHER LOADED
BY A CONCENTRATED MOMENT.
THE PROGRAM IS NOT FLEXIBLE IN THAT IT REQUIRES EDITING
AS NOTED IN COMMENT LINES IN THE FOLLOWING SUBROUTINES
DEPENDING ON WHICH OF THE (3) CASES IS BEING RUN. THE SOLE
PURPOSE OF THIS PROGRAM IS TO VERIFY THE FEM FORMULATION,
IT IS NOT INTENDED TO IMPRESS SOFTWARE ENGINEERS.

(1) SUBROUTINE BC
(2) SUBROUTINE OUTPUT
******************************************************************************************

******************************************************************************************
* VARIABLE IDENTIFICATION
* ******************************************************************************************
* NEL - NUMBER OF ELEMENTS
* NSNP - NUMBER OF SYSTEM NODAL POINTS
* NDOF - NUMBER OF DEGREES OF FREEDOM
* E - MATERIAL MODULUS OF ELASTICITY
* GI - SECOND MOMENT OF THE BEAM CROSS-SECTION AREA
* BGLTH - BEAM STATIC LENGTH
* ELE - ELEMENT LENGTH
* BCM - EXTERNALLY APPLIED MOMENT AT FREE OR SIMPLY SUPPORTED END
* BCFORC - EXTERNALLY APPLIED FORCE AT FREE OR SIMPLY SUPPORTED END
* NDETRM - VARIABLE USED IN LOGIC STATEMENT FOR TYPE OF B.C.
* SLOPE - SLOPE AT FREE END OF CANTILEVER BEAM
* DEFLEC - DEFLECTION AT FREE END OF CANTILEVER BEAM
* FACTOR - SCALAR NON-DIMENSIONAL GROUP
******************************************************************************************

PARAMETER (N=70)
DIMENSION A(N,N), F(N)
DIMENSION NKAREA(6000)
OPEN(10, FILE='/MATRIX
OUTPUT')
CALL ZEFO
CALL DATA (NEC L, NSNP, ND]OF, E, GI, BLGTH, ELE, BCM, BCFORC)
CALL MATX (A, F, ELE, NEL, ND]OF)
CALL LEQT2FCA, 1, NDOF, NJ, F, O, LJ!KAR<EA, IER)
CALL OUTPUT (NSNP, ELEF, BCM, B)TH, E, GI, NIDOF, 24DOF, BCFORC)
STOP
END

SUBROUTINE ZERO (A, F)
PARAMETER (N=70)
DIMENSION A(N,N), F(N)
DO 20 I=1, N
F(I)=0.0
DO 10 J=1, N
A(I,J)=0.0
10 CONTINUE
20 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE DATA (NEL, NSNP, ND]OF, E, GI, B]TH, ELE, BCM, BCFORC)
PRINT *, 'ENTER THE NO. OF ELEMENTS TO BE USED IN THE APPROX.'
READ *, NEL
WRITE(6,20) NEL
20 FORMAT (2X,'NO. OF ELEMENTS IS', I5)
NSNP=NEL+1
WRITE(6,25) NSNP
25 FORMAT (2X,'NO. OF SYSTEM NODAL POINTS IS', I5)
ND]OF=2*NSNP
WRITE(6,26) ND]OF
26 FORMAT (2X,'NO. OF D. O. F. IS', I5)
PRINT *, 'THE MODULUS OF ELASTICITY IS?'
READ *, E
WRITE(6,27) E
27 FORMAT (2X,'MODULUS OF ELASTICITY IS', F10.1)
PRINT *, 'THE SECOND MOMENT OF THE BEAM CROSS-SECTION AREA IS?'
READ *, GI
WRITE(6,28) GI
28 FORMAT (2X,'THE SECOND MOMENT IS', F10.1)
PRINT *, 'THE INITIAL LENGTH OF THE STATIC BEAM IS?'
READ *, B]TH
WRITE(6,29) B]TH
29 FORMAT (2X,' THE BEAM LENGTH IS', F8.3)
ELE=1.0/FLOAT(NEL)
PRINT *, 'ENTER THE VALUE OF THE APPLIED MOMENT'
READ *, BCM
WRITE (6,30) BCM
30 FORMAT (2X,'MOMENT=', F8.1)
PRINT *, 'ENTER THE VALUE OF THE APPLIED FORCE'
READ *, BCFORC
WRITE (6,40) BCFORC
40 FORMAT (2X,'FORCE=', F8.1)
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE MATX (A, F, ELE, NEL, ND]OF)
PARAMETER (N=70)
DIMENSION A(N,N),F(N)

CALCULATE LITTLE A MATRIX

A11=12.0/(ELE**3.0)
A12=12.0/(ELE**2.0)
A13=0.0
A14=A12
A21=A12
A22=4.0/ELE
A23=A11
A24=2.0/ELE
A31=A13
A32=A23
A33=A11
A34=A24
A41=A12
A42=A24
A43=A34
A44=A22

FILL LARGER A MATRIX

L=2*NEL-1

DO 10 I=1,L,2

A(I,1)=A(I,1)+11
A(I,1+1)=A(I,1+1)+A12
A(I,1+2)=A13
A(I,1+3)=A14

A(I+1,1)=A(I+1,1)+A21
A(I+1,1+1)=A(I+1,1+1)+A22
A(I+1,1+2)=A23
A(I+1,1+3)=A24

A(I+2,1)=A31
A(I+2,1+1)=A32
A(I+2,1+2)=A33
A(I+2,1+3)=A34

A(I+3,1)=A41
A(I+3,1+1)=A42
A(I+3,1+2)=A43
A(I+3,1+3)=A44

10 CONTINUE

RETURN

END

******************************************************************************
THE FOLLOWING SUBROUTINE ALTERS THE GLOBAL MATRICES TO IMPOSE
THE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

SUBROUTINE BC (A,F,NDOF,NDETRM)
PARAMETER(N=70)
DIMENSION A(N,N),F(N)
PRINT *, 'ENTER 1 FOR THE OVER DETERMINANT CASE OR'
PRINT *, '2 FOR THE FREE END CASE.'
READ *, NDETRM
IF (NDETRM .NE. 1) GOTO 20

AMEND A TO ACCOUNT FOR BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

CHANGE FIRST AND SECOND ROWS TO ACCOUNT FOR THE ESSENTIAL
BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AT THE FIXED END.

THE J-TH EQUATION IS THE EQUATION DESCRIBING DEFORMATION AT
THE LOCATION OF THE CENTER SUPPORT. IT IS REPLACED BY THE ESSENTIAL BOUNDARY CONDITION ON DEFLECTION.

THE (NDOF-1)TH EQUATION IS THE EQUATION DESCRIBING THE DEFLECTION AT THE ROLLER SUPPORTED END. THIS EQUATION IS REPLACED BY THE ESSENTIAL B.C. ON DEFLECTION.

J=NDOF/2
  DO 10 I=1,NDOF,1
    A(1,1)=0.0
    A(2,I)=0.0
    A(J,I)=0.0
    A(NDOF-1,I)=0.0
  10 CONTINUE

A(1,1)=1.0
A(2,2)=1.0
A(J,J)=1.0
A(NDOF-1,NDOF-1)=1.0

GO TO 40
  DO 20 I=1,NDOF,1
    A(1,I)=0.0
    A(2,I)=0.0
  20 CONTINUE

A(1,1)=1.0
A(2,2)=1.0

***POINT LOAD***
THIS LINE IS ACTIVATED FOR THE CANTILEVER BEAM LOADED BY A CONCENTRATED LOAD CASE

F(NDOF-1)=1.0

***POINT MOMENT***
THIS LINE MUST BE ACTIVATED FOR BOTH THE OVER DETERMINATE CASE AND THE CANTILEVER BEAM WITH A CONCENTRATED MOMENT CASE

F(NDOF)=1.0

40 RETURN
END

FORMULATE OUTPUT

***POINT FORCE***
FACTOR=BCFORC*(BLGTH**2.0)/(E*GI)
  DO 5 I=1,NDOF-1,2
    F(I)=F(I)*BLGTH*FACTOR
    F(I+1)=F(I+1)*FACTOR
  5 CONTINUE

***POINT MOMENT***
FACTOR=BLGTH*BCM/(E*GI)
  DO 5 I=1,NDOF-1,2
    F(I)=F(I)*BLGTH*FACTOR
    F(I+1)=F(I+1)*FACTOR
  5 CONTINUE

WRITE(*,30)
WRITE(*,40)
IF (NDETRM .NE. 1) GOTO 15
WRITE(*,50)
J=1
XLOC=0.0
DO 10 I=1,NSNP,1
   WRITE(*,20) XLOC, F(J), F(J+1)
   XLOC=XLOC+ELE
   J=J+2
10   CONTINUE
GO TO 80

CLUDING
***POINT LOAD****
ACTIVATE FOR THE CANTILEVER-CONCENTRATE FORCE CASE

15 SLOPE=(BCFORCX(BLGTH**2.0))/(2.0*X*GI).
DEFLEC=(BCFORCX(BLGTH**3.0))/(3.0*X*GI)

INCLUDING
***POINT MOMENT****
ACTIVATE FOR THE CANTILEVER BEAM CONCENTRATED MOMENT CASE

15 SLOPE=(BCMXBLGTH)/(E*GI)
DEFLEC=(BCMXABLGTH**2.0))/(2.0*X*GI)

WRITE(*,60)
WRITE(*,65)
WRITE(*,70) DEFLEC,F(NDOF-1),SLOPE,F(NDOF)

20 FORMAT(2X,F8.3,3X,E12.4,3X,E12.4)
50 FORMAT(2X,'X-LOCATION',3X,'DEFLECTION',3X,'SLOPE')
60 FORMAT(1X,'DEFLECTION AT B',',', SLOPE AT B')
65 FORMAT(6X,'EXACT',11X,'FEM',11X,'EXACT',11X,'FEM')
70 FORMAT(1X,E12.4,3X,E12.4,3X,E12.4,3X,E12.4)
80 RETURN
END
NO. OF ELEMENTS IS 8
NO. OF SYSTEM NODAL POINTS IS 9
NO. OF D.O.F. IS 18
MODULUS OF ELASTICITY IS 30000000.0
THE SECOND MOMENT IS 100.0
THE BEAM LENGTH IS 100.000
ENTER THE VALUE OF THE APPLIED MOMENT
MOMENT = 0.0
ENTER THE VALUE OF THE APPLIED FORCE
FORCE = 1000.0
ENTER 1 FOR THE OVER DETERMINANT CASE OR
2 FOR THE FREE END CASE.
?

2

DEFLECTION AT B SLOPE AT B
EXACT FEM EXACT FEM
0.1111E+00 0.1111E+00 0.1667E-02 0.1667E-02
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APPENDIX D

INTEGRATION BY PARTS

The following is the detail of the integration by parts on the first term of Equation (54),

\[ \int_0^1 Q (Q^r) \xi d\xi \delta \]  \hspace{1cm} (137)

The first integration results in,

\[ \int_0^1 Q (Q^r) \xi d\xi \delta = Q (Q^r) \xi \delta - \int_0^1 Q_i (Q^r) \xi d\xi \delta \]  \hspace{1cm} (138)

A second integration performed on the integral in Equation (2) gives,

\[ -\int_0^1 Q_i (Q^r) \xi d\xi \delta = \]

\[-Q_i (Q^r) \xi \delta + \int_0^1 Q_{ii} (Q^r) \xi d\xi \delta \]  \hspace{1cm} (139)
Combining Equations (1), (2), and (3) gives the symmetric operator and boundary terms below,

\[
\int_0^1 Q (Q^2)_{\xi\xi\xi} \, d\xi \, \delta = \\
[Q (Q^2)_{\xi\xi} \, \delta - Q_{\xi} (Q^2)_{\xi} \, \delta]_0^1 + \int_0^1 Q_{\xi\xi} (Q^2)_{\xi} \, d\xi \, \delta
\]  

(140)
APPENDIX E

TRANSIENT BEHAVIOR OF A CANTILEVER BEAM FORTRAN CODE

************** <============================================>
* MARK R DEVRIES LT USCG
* NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
* SEPTEMBER 1990
* MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
* TITLE:
* VIBRATION OF A CANTILEVER BEAM
* THAT SLIDES AXIALLY IN A FRICTIONLESS HOLE
* THE FOLLOWING FORTRAN CODE UTILIZES THE FINITE ELEMENT
* METHOD AND AN IMSL PACKAGE INTEGRATION SUBROUTINE DIVPAG
* TO SOLVE THE ABOVE PROBLEM.  THE PROGRAM IS WRITTEN WITH
* NUMEROUS COMMENT LINES WHICH EXPLAIN THE CODING.
************** <============================================>

************** <============================================>
* VARIABLE IDENTIFICATION
* NEL - NUMBER OF ELEMENTS
* NSNP - NUMBER OF SYSTEM NODAL POINTS
* NDOF - NUMBER OF DEGREES OF FREEDOM
* N,NN - DIMENSIONS OF MATRICES AS SPECIFIED IN DIMENSION
* STATEMENTS
* E - MATERIAL MODULUS OF ELASTICITY
* GI - SECOND MOMENT OF THE BEAM CROSS-SECTION AREA
* ELE - ELEMENT LENGTH
* ALPHA - LOCATION OF ELEMENT LEFT GNP
* PSIAVE - ESTIMATE OF PSI
* PSISQ - ESTIMATE OF PSI SQUARED
* TEND - VALUE OF TIME AT WHICH THE SOLUTION IS DESIRED
* NEQ - NO. OF FIRST ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
* TIME - INDEPENDENT TIME VARIABLE
* DELTIME - TOTAL TIME INCREMENT FOR ONE INTEGRATION STEP
* BETA - CONSTANT DETERMINED BY BEAM MATERIAL PROPERTIES ONLY
* RATE - LENGTH CHANGE PER UNIT TIME
* EXEE - THE GLOBAL NONDIMENSIONAL AXIS, THAT IS, (X/L)
* DELTA - THE VECTOR OF NONDIMENSIONAL NODE DEFLECTIONS
* AND SLOPES.  MUST BE MULTIPLIED BY L(T) FOR
* ACTUAL DEFLECTIONS.  SLOPES REMAIN THE SAME.
* INCLUDE 'COMMON FORTRAN'
* DIMENSION DELTA(NN),PARAM(NPARAM),WKS(NN)
* COMMON /WORKSP/ RWKSP
* REAL RWKSP(6608)
* EXTERNAL FCN
* EXTERNAL FCNJ
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OPEN(9, FILE='/MARK1 INPUT')
OPEN(10, FILE='/MARK3B OUTPUT')
OPEN(11, FILE='/DATA1 INPUT')
OPEN(12, FILE='/DATA2 INPUT')

CALL IWKIN(6608)
CALL DATA
CALL MATX

PI = 3.141592654

C DEFINITION OF PARAMETERS REQUIRED BY IMSL MATH LIBRARY ROUTINE

C DIVPAG

IDO=1
NEQ=2*NDOF
TIME=TSTART
TOL=1.0E-4
PARAM(4)=2000000

C PARAM(12) IS 1 FOR ADAMS METHOD AND 2 FOR GEAR (STIFF) METHOD

PARAM(12)=2
PARAM(13)=2
PARAM(19)=1
PARAM(20)=NN

C INITIALIZE THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE ARRAY DELTA(NEQ).

** CAUTION: THE NONDIMENSIONAL VSTAR IS CONSTRUCTED HERE.
** TO OBTAIN THE ACTUAL INITIAL DISPLACEMENT CONFIGURATION, V,
** SUBSTITUTE THE NONDIMENSIONAL COORDINATE AXIS EXEEx IN THE
** EXPRESSIONS BELOW BY (X/ZLINT) AND REPLACE VSTAR*ZLINT BY V

IF (ISTART.EQ.0) THEN
IC=NDOF-1
EXEEx=0.0
WRITE(10,*) 'THE INITIAL TIME PRIOR TO INTEGRATION = ',TIME
WRITE(10,*) 'THE INITIAL DELTA VECTOR IS'

DO 10 I=1,IC,2
PIOV2 = PI/2.
C DELTA(I) = 0.1 - 0.1*COS(PIOV2*EXEEx)
C DELTA(I+1) = 0.1*PIOV2*SIN(PIOV2*EXEEx)
DELTA(I)=0.1*(EXEEx+x2.0)
DELTA(I+1) = 0.2*EXEEx

WRITE (10,*) DELTA(I)
WRITE (10,*) DELTA(I+1)
EXEEx = EXEEx + ELE
10 CONTINUE

ELSE
READ(11,*) TSTART,ZL
WRITE(10,*) 'RESTART TIME = ',TSTART, 'WITH LENGTH ',ZL
READ(12,*) (DELTA(JJ),JJ=1,NEQ)
WRITE(10,*) 'INITIAL DELTA IN RESTART FOLLOWS'
WRITE(10,*) (DELTA(JJ),JJ=1,NEQ)
TIME=TSTART
END IF

IDO=1
CALL FORM(NEQ,TIME)

DO 1000 IEQ=1,NEQ
YPRIME(IEQ)=0.0
DO 900 IC=1,NEQ
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**FORTRAN PROGRAM SNIPPET**

```fortran
YPRIME(IEQ) = YPRIME(IEQ) + H(IEQ, IC) * DELTA(IC)

C WRITE(10,*) 'INITIAL YPRIME VECTOR PRIOR TO ENTRY TO DIVPAG'
C WRITE(10,*) (YPRIME(IQ), IQ=1, NEQ)
C WRITE(10,*) 'INTEGRATION LOOP, TIME, LENGTH AND DELTA FOLLOWS'

WORK = 0.0
F1 = RH0*ZLINT*2.*ACC
VEE = 6.0*(DELTA(NDOF-3)-DELTA(NDOF-1))/ELE2
V2 = 2.*DELTA(NDOF-2)+1.*DELTA(NDOF))/ELE
F2 = (EX*G)*((VEE**2)/(ZLINT**2))
F3 = RH0*(-ZLDOTWDELTA(14DOF)+ZLINT*DELTA(NEQ-1)))**2
FNEW = F1 + 0.5*(F2 - F3)

ZL = ZLINT

DO 30 IEND=1, NSTEP
FOLD = FNEW
ZLOLD = ZL
TEND = TSTART + DELTIME*FLOAT(IEND)/FLOAT(NSTEP)
IF (IEND.GT.30) GO TO 35
CALL DTIME(IHOUR, MINUTE, ISEC)
IF (IHOUR .LT. 18 .AND. IHOUR .GE. 7) GO TO 35
CALL DIVPAG (IDO, NEQ, FCN, FCNJ, G, TIME, TEND, TOL, PARAM, DELTA)
IF (MOD(IEND,1).EQ.0) THEN
ZL = ZLINT - RATE*TIME + ACC*(TIME**2)
ZL2 = ZL**2
ZLDOT = RATE + 2.*ACC*TIME
ZLDDOT = 2.*ACC
ZL = 9.0 + 1.*COS(PI*TIME/1.5)
C
WRITE(10,*) 'TIME = ', TIME, 'LENGTH = ', ZL
WRITE(10,*) 'DELTA FOLLOWS'
WRITE(10,*) (DELTA(IQ), IQ=1, NEQ)

C

ZMOM = (E*G*ZL)*((6./ELE2)*(DELTA(3)-DELTA(1))
 VEE = 6.*(DELTA(NDOF-3)-DELTA(NDOF-1))/ELE2
 V2 = 2.*(DELTA(NDOF-2)+1.*DELTA(NDOF))/ELE
 F2 = (EX*G)*((VEE**2)/(ZLINT**2))
 F3 = RH0*(-ZLDOTWDELTA(14DOF)+ZLINT*DELTA(NEQ-1)))**2
 FNEW = F1 + 0.5*(F2 - F3)

DELWORK = 0.5*(FNEW + FOLD)*(ZL - ZLOLD)
WORK = WORK + DELWORK
WRITE(10,*) 'ZLDOT = ', ZLDOT, 'ZLDDOT = ', ZLDDOT
WRITE(10,*) 'OLD F = ', FOLD, 'NEW F = ', FNEW
CALL FORM(NEQ, TIME)
30 CONTINUE
35 CONTINUE

IDO=3
CALL DIVPAG (IDO, NEQ, FCN, FCNJ, G, TIME, TEND, TOL, PARAM, DELTA)
STOP
END
```

The above snippet includes a loop for integration, calculations involving initial and delta values, and calls to external functions for time and moment calculations. It also includes a conditional check for a specific hour range and a call to another function, `DIVPAG`, for further processing.
C ZERO ALL MATRICES
*****************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE ZERO (DELTA,PARAM)

INCLUDE 'COMMON FORTRAN'
DIMENSION DELTA(NN),PARAM(NPARAM)

DO 20 I=1,N
  DO 10 J=1,N
    A(I,J)=0.0
    B(I,J)=0.0
    C(I,J)=0.0
    DC(I,J)=0.0
    R(I,J)=0.0
  CONTINUE
20 CONTINUE

DO 30 I=1,NN
  DELTA(I)=0.0
  DO 25 J=1,NN
    G(I,J)=0.0
    H(I,J)=0.0
  CONTINUE
30 CONTINUE
40 CONTINUE

DO 50 I=1,NPARAM
  PARAM(I)=0.0
50 CONTINUE

RETURN
END

C INPUT DATA
*****************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE DATA

INCLUDE 'COMMON FORTRAN'
READ (9,*) NEL,E,GH,RHO,NSTEP,ISTART,TSTART,ZLINT,DELTME,RATE,ACC

WRITE(6,*), 'THE NUMBER OF ELEMENTS IS ', NEL
NSNP=NEL+1
NDOF=2*NSNP
WRITE(6,*), 'THE NUMBER OF SYSTEM NODAL POINTS IS ', NSNP
WRITE(6,*), 'THE NUMBER OF DEGREES OF FREEDOM IS ', NDOF
WRITE(6,*), 'THE MODULUS OF ELASTICITY IS ', E
WRITE(6,*), 'THE MOMENT OF INERTIA IS ', GI
WRITE(6,*), 'THE MASS PER UNIT LENGTH IS ', RHO
ELE=1.0/FLOAT(NEL)
ELE2=ELE**2
ELE3=ELE**3
BETA=RHO/(E*GI)
WRITE(6,*), 'THE VALUE OF BETA IS ', BETA
WRITE(6,*), 'THE NUMBER OF INTEGRATION STEPS IS ', NSTEP
WRITE(6,*), 'ISTART IS 1 FOR RESTART; HERE IT IS ', ISTART
WRITE(6,*), 'THE INITIAL LENGTH IS ', ZLINT
WRITE(6,*), 'RATE OF AXIAL MOTION IS ', RATE

RETURN
END

C FILL LARGE A
*****************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE MATX
INCLUDE 'COMMON FORTRAN'

C CALCULATE LITTLE A MATRIX

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{11} &= 12.0/(ELE^2) \\
A_{12} &= 6.0/(ELE^2) \\
A_{13} &= (-1.0)\times A_{11} \\
A_{14} &= A_{12} \\
A_{21} &= A_{12} \\
A_{22} &= 4.0/ELE \\
A_{23} &= (-1.0)\times A_{12} \\
A_{24} &= 2.0/ELE \\
A_{31} &= A_{13} \\
A_{32} &= A_{23} \\
A_{33} &= A_{11} \\
A_{34} &= A_{22} \\
A_{41} &= A_{14} \\
A_{42} &= A_{24} \\
A_{43} &= A_{34} \\
A_{44} &= A_{22}
\end{align*}
\]

C CALCULATE THE ELEMENTAL B MATRIX

\[
\begin{align*}
B_{11} &= (-6.0)/(5.0\times ELE) \\
B_{12} &= -1.1 \\
B_{13} &= (-1.0)\times B_{11} \\
B_{14} &= -1.1 \\
B_{21} &= B_{14} \\
B_{22} &= (-2.0\times ELE)/15.0 \\
B_{23} &= 1.1 \\
B_{24} &= ELE/30.0 \\
B_{31} &= B_{13} \\
B_{32} &= B_{23} \\
B_{33} &= B_{11} \\
B_{34} &= 1.1 \\
B_{41} &= B_{21} \\
B_{42} &= B_{24} \\
B_{43} &= 1.1 \\
B_{44} &= B_{22}
\end{align*}
\]

C CALCULATE THE ELEMENTAL C MATRIX

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{11} &= -0.5 \\
C_{12} &= ELE/10.0 \\
C_{13} &= -0.5 \\
C_{14} &= (-1.0)\times ELE \\
C_{21} &= C_{14} \\
C_{22} &= 0.0 \\
C_{23} &= C_{12} \\
C_{24} &= (ELE^2)/(-60.0) \\
C_{31} &= C_{11} \\
C_{32} &= C_{21} \\
C_{33} &= C_{13} \\
C_{34} &= C_{12} \\
C_{41} &= C_{12} \\
C_{42} &= (-1.0)\times C_{24} \\
C_{43} &= C_{32} \\
C_{44} &= C_{22}
\end{align*}
\]

C CALCULATE THE ELEMENTAL D MATRIX

\[
\begin{align*}
D_{11} &= 13.0\times ELE/35.0 \\
D_{12} &= (11.0/210.0)\times (ELE^2) \\
D_{13} &= 9.0\times ELE/70.0 \\
D_{14} &= (-13.0/420.0)\times (ELE^2) \\
D_{21} &= D_{12} \\
D_{22} &= (ELE^3)/105.0 \\
D_{23} &= (13.0\times ELE^2)/420.0 \\
D_{24} &= (-ELE^3)/140.0 \\
D_{31} &= D_{13} \\
D_{32} &= D_{23}
\end{align*}
\]
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D33=D11
D34=(-11.0*ELE**2)/210.
D41=D14
D42=D24
D43=D34
D44=D22

C FILL THE GLOBAL A,B,C, AND D MATRICES
LNEL = 2*NEL-1
DO 10 I=1, LNEL, 2

ALPHA=0.0
PSIAVE=ALPHA+(ELE/2.0)
PSISQ=PSIAVE**2

A(I,I)=A(I,I)+A11
A(I,I+1)=A(I,I+1)+A12
A(I,I+2)=A13
A(I,I+3)=A14

A(I+1,I)=A(I+1,I)+A21
A(I+1,I+1)=A(I+1,I+1)+A22
A(I+1,I+2)=A23
A(I+1,I+3)=A24

A(I+2,I)=A31
A(I+2,I+1)=A32
A(I+2,I+2)=A33
A(I+2,I+3)=A34

A(I+3,I)=A41
A(I+3,I+1)=A42
A(I+3,I+2)=A43
A(I+3,I+3)=A44

B(I,I)=B(I,I)+(B11*PSISQ)
B(I,I+1)=B(I,I+1)+(B12*PSISQ)
B(I,I+2)=B13*PSISQ
B(I,I+3)=B14*PSISQ

B(I+1,I)=B(I+1,I)+(B21*PSISQ)
B(I+1,I+1)=B(I+1,I+1)+(B22*PSISQ)
B(I+1,I+2)=B23*PSISQ
B(I+1,I+3)=B24*PSISQ

B(I+2,I)=B31*PSISQ
B(I+2,I+1)=B32*PSISQ
B(I+2,I+2)=B33*PSISQ
B(I+2,I+3)=B34*PSISQ

B(I+3,I)=B41*PSISQ
B(I+3,I+1)=B42*PSISQ
B(I+3,I+2)=B43*PSISQ
B(I+3,I+3)=B44*PSISQ

C(I,I)=C(I,I)+(C11*PSIAVE)
C(I,I+1)=C(I,I+1)+(C12*PSIAVE)
C(I,I+2)=C13*PSIAVE
C(I,I+3)=C14*PSIAVE

C(I+1,I)=C(I+1,I)+(C21*PSIAVE)
C(I+1,I+1)=C(I+1,I+1)+(C22*PSIAVE)
C(I+1,I+2)=C23*PSIAVE
C(I+1,I+3)=C24*PSIAVE

C(I+2,I)=C31*PSIAVE
C(I+2,I+1)=C32*PSIAVE
C(I+2,I+2)=C33*PSIAVE
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\[ C(I+2,I+3) = C34 \times \text{PSIAVE} \]

\[ C(I+3) = C41 \times \text{PSIAVE} \]
\[ C(I+3,I+1) = C42 \times \text{PSIAVE} \]
\[ C(I+3,I+2) = C43 \times \text{PSIAVE} \]
\[ C(I+3,I+3) = C44 \times \text{PSIAVE} \]

\[ D(I,I) = D(I,I) + D11 \]
\[ D(I,I+1) = D(I,I+1) + D12 \]
\[ D(I,I+2) = D13 \]
\[ D(I,I+3) = D14 \]

\[ D(I+1,I) = D(I+1,I) + D21 \]
\[ D(I+1,I+1) = D(I+1,I+1) + D22 \]
\[ D(I+1,I+2) = D23 \]
\[ D(I+1,I+3) = D24 \]

\[ D(I+2,I) = D31 \]
\[ D(I+2,I+1) = D32 \]
\[ D(I+2,I+2) = D33 \]
\[ D(I+2,I+3) = D34 \]

\[ D(I+3,I) = D41 \]
\[ D(I+3,I+1) = D42 \]
\[ D(I+3,I+2) = D43 \]
\[ D(I+3,I+3) = D44 \]

\[ \text{ALPHA} = \text{ALPHA} + ELE \]

\[ \text{CONTINUE} \]
\[ \text{RETURN} \]
\[ \text{END} \]

```
**SUBROUTINE FORMCNEQ, TIME**

\[ ZL = \text{ZLINT} - \text{RATE} \times \text{TIME} + \text{ACCO} \times (\text{TIME} \times 2) \]
\[ ZLDOT = -\text{RATE} + 2 \times \text{ACCO} \times \text{TIME} \]
\[ ZLDDOT = 2 \times \text{ACCO} \]
\[ \text{PARAM} = \pi / 1.5 \]
\[ C ZL = 9 + 1 \times \cos(\text{PARAM} \times \text{TIME}) \]
\[ C ZLDOT = -(\text{PARAM}) \times \sin(\text{PARAM} \times \text{TIME}) \]
\[ C ZLDDOT = -((\text{PARAM} \times 2) \times \cos(\text{PARAM} \times \text{TIME})) \]
\[ ACOEFF = -0.5 / ((ZL \times \pi) \times \text{BETA}) \]
\[ ECOEFF = -((ZLDDOT / ZL) \times 2) \times \pi \]
\[ C COEFF = -(2 \times (\text{ZLDDOT} / ZL)^2 \times 2) \] + ZLDDOT

\[ \text{DO} 20 \text{I}=1,NDOF \]
\[ \text{DO} 15 \text{J}=1,NDOF \]
\[ R(I,J) = A(I,J) \times ACOEFF + B(I,J) \times BCOEFF + C(I,J) \times CCOEFF \]
\[ C(C(I,J)) = -2 \times \text{BETA} \times (ZLDDOT / ZL) \times C(I,J) \]

\[ \text{CONTINUE} \]

\[ \text{DO} 20 \text{I}=1,NDOF \]
\[ \text{DO} 30 \text{J}=1,NDOF \]
\[ K(I,K) = R(I,J) \]

\[ \text{DO} 30 \text{J}=1,NDOF \]
\[ K(I,K) = D(I,J) \]

\[ \text{CONTINUE} \]
```
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DO 400 I=1,NDOF
   II=I+NDOF
   H(I,II)=1.0
   400 CONTINUE

DO 600 I=1,NDOF
   K=I+NDOF
   DO 500 J=I,NDOF
      H(K,J)=R(I,J)
   500 CONTINUE
   600 CONTINUE

DO 700 I=1,NDOF
   II=I+NDOF
   DO 650 J=1,DOF
      JJ=J+NDOF
      H(II,JJ)=CC(I,J)
   650 CONTINUE
   700 CONTINUE

C IMPOSE FIXED END BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

DO 800 J=1,2
   DO 750 I=1,NEQ
      G(J+NDOF,I)=0.0
      H(J,I)=0.0
   750 CONTINUE
   800 CONTINUE

G(NDOF+1,NDOF+1)=1.0
G(NDOF+2,NDOF+2)=1.0

RETURN
END

********************************************************************

SUBROUTINE FCN (NEQ,TIME,DELTA,YPRIME)

INCLUDE 'COMMON FORTRAN'
DIMENSION YPRIME(NEQ),DELTA(NEQ)
REAL L

DELTA(1) = 0.0
DELTA(2) = 0.0
DELTA(NDOF+1) = 0.0
DELTA(NDOF+2) = 0.0

C FORM YPRIME

DO 1000 IEQ=1,NEQ
   YPRIME(IEQ)=0.0
   DO 900 IC=1,NEQ
      YPRIME(IEQ)=YPRIME(IEQ)+H(IEQ,IC)*DELTA(IC)
   900 CONTINUE
   1000 CONTINUE

RETURN
END

FUNCTION FCNJ(NEQ, TIME, DELTA, PD)
REAL TIME, DELTA(NEQ), PD(*)
FCNJ=0.0
RETURN
END
LIST OF REFERENCES

