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Abstract

An expression for the emitted fluorescence radiation by an atom near a phase conjugator is derived from a plane-wave expansion of the electric field operator and Heisenberg's equation of motion for the annihilation operator. The result is compared to a solution which was found previously, based on the classical Maxwell equations. It is shown that both theories yield the same expression for the field in the radiation zone, in the limit of a transparent medium. This confirms the correctness of either approach to the problem of optical phase conjugation of atomic radiation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In a previous paper\(^1\) we have studied the behavior of an atom near the surface of a four-wave mixing phase conjugator (PC). We calculated the fluorescent photon emission rate by solving Maxwell's equations for a dipole \(\mu\) near a PC. The electric field \(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t)\) was interpreted as a quantum operator field, with the argument that the classical Maxwell equations must be identical in form to the (quantum) Heisenberg equations of motion for the operator fields. Nevertheless, questions can be raised as to whether the identification of Maxwell's equations with Heisenberg's equations can be justified for the problem under consideration. In particular, the pump beams (with frequency \(\tilde{\omega}\)) for the four-wave mixing process are taken into account parametrically, and are represented by classical plane waves. This leads to factors of \(\exp(-2i\tilde{\omega}t)\) in the expression for the fluorescence radiation field, rather than \(a(t)^2\), with \(a(t)\) the annihilation operator for a photon in the pump beam. In addition, our results are in conflict with the results of Hendriks and Nienhuis,\(^2,3\) who did not find the terms proportional to \(\mu(t)^{(-)}\) (raising part) in the expression for the fluorescence field.

In this paper we solve the Heisenberg equation for the annihilation operator \(a_{k\sigma}(t)\) for a photon with wave vector \(k\) and polarization \(\sigma\) (either s - surface polarized or p - plane polarized). The solution is applied to evaluate the fluorescent radiation field in the far zone. These calculations are completely independent from our previous method, and the results can be used to verify the consistency of our approach.

II. ELECTRIC FIELD

The electric-field operator \(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t)\) can be represented as a sum of polarized plane waves. In the region \(z > 0\) (above the PC, where the atom is),
we have incident (inc) waves with wave vector \( \mathbf{k} \) and polarization \( \sigma \). These waves give rise to specularly-reflected (r) waves, and to phase-conjugated (pc) waves which travel in the direction of \(-\mathbf{k}\). In addition, there are transmitted (t) waves which have their origin in waves which are incident on the medium from the other side of the PC. The four-wave mixer produces also a nonlinear (nl) wave in \( z > 0 \).

The amplitude of each of the generated waves is related to the amplitude of the corresponding incident wave by a Fresnel coefficient. The electric field is explicitly,

\[
\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega}{2\epsilon_0\nu}} \left[ a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger(t) \left\{ e_{-\mathbf{k}\sigma} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + R_{\mathbf{k}\sigma\mathbf{k}'\sigma'} e^{ik'\cdot r} \right\} 
+ a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger(t) e^{-2i\omega t} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma\mathbf{k}'\sigma'}^* e^{-ik'\cdot \mathbf{r}} \right]
+ \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega}{2\epsilon_0\nu}} \left[ a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger(t) e^{ik\cdot \mathbf{r}} \right.
+ a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger(t) e^{-2i\omega t} \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma\mathbf{k}'\sigma'}^* e^{-ik'\cdot \mathbf{r}} \right] + \text{H.c.} \quad (2.1)
\]

where a prime (double prime) on the summation sign indicates a sum over waves which propagate in the \( z < 0 \) (\( z > 0 \)) direction only. The unit polarization vectors \( e_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \) and the wave vectors \( \mathbf{k}_1 \) are defined in Appendix A. The Heisenberg operator \( a_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger(t) \) is the annihilation operator for a photon which
is incident on the PC, either from \( z > 0 \) or from the other side (back port) of the medium. We shall take the Schrödinger picture and Heisenberg picture to coincide at \( t = 0 \).

III. EQUATION OF MOTION

The only unknown in expression (2.1) for \( E(r,t) \) is the annihilation operator \( a_{k\sigma}(t) \) for \( t > 0 \). Its equation of motion is

\[
\frac{d}{dt} a_{k\sigma}(t) = \{a_{k\sigma}(t), H\} , \tag{3.1}
\]

with initial condition \( a_{k\sigma}(0) = a_{k\sigma} \). The Hamiltonian \( H \) can be written as

\[
H = H_a + H_r + H_{ar} , \tag{3.2}
\]

with

\[
H_r = \sum_{k\sigma} \hbar \omega_{k\sigma}^* a_{k\sigma}^* a_{k\sigma} \tag{3.3}
\]

for the Hamiltonian of the radiation field, and where \( \omega = ck \). The atomic Hamiltonian \( H_a \) can remain unspecified because it commutes with \( a_{k\sigma}(t) \). For the interaction, we take

\[
H_{ar} = -\mu E(r,0) \tag{3.4}
\]
in terms of the atomic dipole moment operator \( \mu \) and the position \( h = h_\perp z \) of the atom. With Eqs. (3.3) and (3.4) the equation of motion becomes

\[
i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} a_{k\sigma}(t) = \hbar \omega a_{k\sigma}(t) - \mu(t) \cdot \{ a_{k\sigma}(t), E(h, t) \} . \tag{3.5}
\]

where \( E(h, t) \) follows from Eq. (2.1) with \( \varepsilon = \hbar \).

IV. SOLUTION

An integral of Eq. (3.5) is

\[
a_{k\sigma}(t) = e^{-i\omega t} a_{k\sigma} + \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt' e^{i\omega t'} \mu(t') \cdot \{ a_{k\sigma}(t'), E(h, t') \} . \tag{4.1}
\]

When we substitute Eq. (2.1) for \( E(h, t') \), then it appears that many terms in the integrand oscillate at optical frequencies, and these terms average out to zero on a time scale of an optical cycle. The time dependence of the annihilation operator would be \( a_{k\sigma}(t) = a_{k\sigma} \exp(-i\omega t) \) in free evolution, which contains only a single positive frequency. When the interaction is taken into account, \( a_{k\sigma}(t) \) acquires a spectral width around the frequency \( \omega \), but it is still a positive-frequency operator. Similarly, when we split the dipole moment into a positive and a negative frequency part as

\[
\mu(t) = \mu(t)^{(+)} + \mu(t)^{(-)} , \tag{4.2}
\]

then \( \mu(t)^{(+)} = \mu^{(+)} \exp(-i\omega_0 t) \) in free evolution (for a two-level atom with transition frequency \( \omega_0 \)). The third time dependence enters as \( \exp(\pm 2i\omega t) \). Then we drop all terms in the integrand which oscillate with optical
frequencies, and retain the terms which oscillate with the difference of two optical frequencies. Furthermore, we notice that the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (4.1) yields the vacuum field $E_\nu(r,t)$.

Therefore, we can write

\[
E(r,t) = E_\nu(r,t) + E_s(r,t) ,
\]

(4.3)

where $E_\nu(r,t)$ is given by Eq. (2.1) with $a_{k\sigma}(t) \rightarrow a_{k\sigma}\exp(-i\omega t)$. The source field $E_s(r,t)$ follows from the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (4.1). We find explicitly,

\[
E_s(r,t) = \frac{i}{2\varepsilon_0 k}\sum_{k\sigma} \omega e^{-i\omega t} b_{k\sigma}(t) \left\{ e^{-ik\cdot r} + R_{k\sigma} e^{ik\cdot r} \right\} ^\dagger + \frac{i}{2\varepsilon_0 k}\sum_{k\sigma} \omega e^{-i(\omega-2\omega) t} b_{k\sigma}(t) P_{k\sigma} e^{-ik\cdot p c} e^{-ik\cdot p c} R_{k\sigma} e^{ik\cdot p c} e^{-ik\cdot p c} \right\} ^\dagger + \frac{i}{2\varepsilon_0 k}\sum_{k\sigma} \omega e^{-i(\omega+2\omega) t} b_{k\sigma}(t) P_{k\sigma} e^{-ik\cdot p c} e^{-ik\cdot p c} R_{k\sigma} e^{ik\cdot p c} e^{-ik\cdot p c} \right\} ^\dagger + H.c. , (4.4)
\]

in terms of the operators

\[
b_{k\sigma}(t) = \int_0^t dt' \exp\left\{ i\omega t' \right\} \left\{ e^{-ik\cdot r} e^{-ik\cdot r} + R_{k\sigma} e^{ik\cdot r} e^{ik\cdot r} \right\} ^\dagger + H.c. (4.4)
\]
In order to simplify the solution (4.4) for $E_s(r, t)$ we consider its value in the radiation zone ($r \to \infty$ and $z > 0$). The operators $b_{k_\sigma}(t)$ and $b'_{k'\sigma}(t)$ are independent of $r$, so that all $r$-dependent factors appear in the form $\exp(ik \cdot r)$. These exponentials are multiplied by a function of $k_\alpha$, and the result is summed over all values of $k_\alpha$. Therefore, all terms in Eq. (4.4) have the generic form $\sum g(k_\alpha) \exp(ik_\alpha \cdot r)$. The summation runs either over wave vectors with only positive $z$-components or over wave vectors with only negative $z$-components. Changing the summation into an integration gives

$$\frac{1}{V} \sum g(k_\alpha) e^{ik_\alpha \cdot r} = \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int_0^\infty dk_\alpha k_\alpha^2 \int_\Omega g(k_\alpha) e^{ik_\alpha \cdot r},$$

where the superscript $(\pm)$ on the region of solid angle indicates the sign of the $z$-components of the wave vectors $k_\alpha$. Then we can make an asymptotic expansion of the angular integral with the method of stationary phase. The result is
where $e_r$ is the radial spherical unit vector which points in the observation direction. This direction will be specified by the spherical angles $\theta$ and $\phi$.

The asymptotic expansion effectively filters out the value of $g(k_\alpha)$ for $k_\alpha = \pm k_\alpha r$, corresponding to the plane wave $\exp(i k_\alpha \cdot r)$ which travels into the observation direction.

With Eqs. (5.1) and (5.2), the asymptotic expansion of $E_s(\xi, t)$ is found to be

\[
E_s(\xi, t) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2 r_0} \sum_\sigma \left\{ \int_0^\infty dk_\alpha \cdot ik_\alpha e^{i \omega t} \left( \frac{\epsilon_{\sigma\sigma} e_{\sigma_0} - \epsilon_{\sigma\sigma}}{\epsilon_{\sigma_0} - \epsilon_{\sigma_0}} \right) k = -k_\alpha e_r \right. \\
- \int_0^\infty dk_\alpha e^{ik_\alpha r} (\omega e^{i \omega t} b_{\sigma_0}(t) e_{\sigma_0}) e_r (k_\alpha e_r) \\
+ \left\{ \int_0^\infty dk_\alpha e^{i \omega t} b_{\sigma_0}(t) e_{\sigma_0} \right\} + \text{H.c.}
\]
I. POLARIZATION VECTORS

The polarization vectors $e_\sigma$ evaluated for $k = \mp k_0 e_\perp$, can be expressed in the spherical unit vectors $e_\sigma$ and $e_\phi$. These calculations are similar (but not identical) to the corresponding calculations in Ref 1 (Sec. IX). Here it is convenient to introduce the notation

$$e^+_s = -e_\phi, \quad e^+_p = e_\phi,$$

(6.1)

which will enable us to express the results for s-waves and p-waves in a single formula. We find

$$E_s(r,t) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2 e^2 r} \sum_{\sigma} \left( e_\sigma X_{\text{adv},\sigma} + e^+_\sigma X_{r,\sigma} + e^+_{\sigma} X_{c,\sigma} + e^+_{\sigma} X_{l,\sigma} + e^+_{\sigma} X_{n,\sigma} \right) + \text{H.c.},$$

(6.2)

with

$$X_{\text{adv},\sigma} = \int_0^{\infty} dk_\sigma k_\sigma e^{-ik_\sigma r}\omega (b_{k_\sigma}(t))_{k_\sigma = -k_0 e_\perp},$$

(6.3)

$$X_{c,\sigma} = \int_0^{\infty} dk r e^{-ik_\sigma r}\omega (b_{k_\sigma}(t))_{k_\sigma = k_0 e_\perp},$$

(6.4)

and similar expressions for $X_{n,\sigma}$. Apparently, the term proportional to $X_{\text{adv},\sigma}$ is an advanced (non-causal) contribution to $E_s$, corresponding to incoming spherical waves. The other four terms are retarded (causal) solutions, and they have the form of outgoing spherical waves.
Next we substitute expressions (4.5) and (4.6) for $b_{\kappa \sigma}(t)$ and $b'_{\kappa \sigma}(t)$, respectively, into the results for the $X_{\alpha, \sigma}$'s. Then we evaluate the polarization vectors in $b_{\kappa \sigma}(t)$ and $b'_{\kappa \sigma}(t)$ at the indicated wave vectors. After lengthy calculations we find

$$X_{\alpha, \sigma} = \int_0^\infty \text{d}k \omega R_j \times \left[ e^{-i\omega(t-r-r/c)} \int_0^t \text{d}t' e^{i\omega t'} \xi_{\sigma} \gamma(t')^{(+)} \right. $$

$$ + R^*_\sigma e^{-i\omega(t+r-r/c)} \int_0^t \text{d}t' e^{i\omega t'} e_{\sigma}^+ \gamma(t')^{(+)} $$

$$ + P^*_\sigma e^{-i\omega(t-r-r/c)} \int_0^t \text{d}t' e^{i(\omega-2\omega)t'} \xi_{\sigma} \gamma(t')^{(-)} , \quad (6.5)$$

and four other similar expressions. Here we introduced the abbreviations

$$\xi_\sigma^+ = -e_\phi , \quad \xi_\rho^+ = -e_\gamma - 2\sin \theta e_z , \quad (6.6)$$

$$r = \frac{\hbar}{c} \cos \theta , \quad (6.7)$$

and all Fresnel coefficients, like $P_\sigma$, $R_\sigma$, etc., are evaluated at frequency $\omega$ and an angle of incidence equal to the polar angle ($\theta$) of observation.

VII. TWO-LEVEL ATOM

So far we have not made any assumption about the atom and its dipole moment $\mu(t)$. In order to evaluate the expression for $E_{\kappa \sigma}(r,t)$ further, we assume that only two atomic levels, which might be degenerate, are of
relevance. The level separation is $\hbar \omega_0$. In free evolution, the positive and negative frequency part of $\mu(t)$ obey the identity

$$\mu(t_2) = e^{-i\omega_0 (t_2 - t_1)} \mu(t_1).$$

(7.1)

We shall use this as an approximation in equations of the type (6.5). Then, for instance, Eq. (6.5) can be written as

$$\chi_{r, \sigma} = \int_0^\infty dk k\omega_R \mu(t-r/c) e^{-i(\omega - \omega_0)(t-r/r/c)} \int_0^t dt' e^{i(\omega_0) t'}$$

$$+ R_{\sigma=\sigma}^* \mu(t+\tau-\sigma/c)(+e^{i(\omega_0) (t+\tau-\sigma/c)} \int_0^t dt' e^{i(\omega_0) t'}$$

$$+ p_{\sigma}^* \mu(t-r-c)(-e^{-2i\omega_0 (t-r/c)}$$

$$\times e^{-i(\omega+\omega_0-2\omega)(t-r/c)} \int_0^t dt' e^{i(\omega+\omega_0-2\omega)t'}$$

(7.2)

In every term, both the exponential in the integrand and the exponential in front of the integral have the same frequency. When the distance $r$ is much larger than a wavelength and the retardation $r/c$ is much larger than an optical cycle, then the integrals can be approximated by

$$e^{-i\omega_0 (t+r-r/c)} \int_0^t dt' e^{i\omega t'}$$

$$- 2\pi \delta(\omega).$$

(7.3)
In writing out all $X_{a,\sigma}$ as in Eq. (7.2), it can be shown that every integral can be written as in Eq. (7.3). The only exception is $X_{\text{adv},\sigma}$, which contains the integral of Eq. (7.3) with $r$ replaced by $-r$. This makes the right-hand side zero, instead of $2\pi\delta(\omega)$, as shown in Ref. 5. Therefore,

$$X_{\text{adv},\sigma} = 0,$$

(7.4)
as it should. Then we set $k = \omega/c$ and carry out the integrations over $\omega$.

This gives, for instance,

$$X_{\tau,\sigma} = \frac{2\pi\omega^2}{c^2} \left( |R_{a}\epsilon_{\sigma}^{+}\mu(t-r/r/c)^{(+)} |^2 + |R_{\sigma}|^2 \epsilon_{\sigma}^{+}\mu(t+r-r/c)^{(+)} \right)$$

$$+ \bar{R}_{a}\epsilon_{\sigma}^{+}\mu(t-r-r/c)^{(-)} e^{-2i\omega(t-r-r/c)},$$

(7.5)

where

$$P_{\sigma} = P_{\sigma}(\omega,\gamma),$$

(7.6)

$$\bar{P}_{\sigma} = P_{\sigma}(2\omega^2,\omega,\theta),$$

(7.7)

and similarly for other Fresnel coefficients.

VIII. TOTAL SOURCE FIELD

The total field $E_{s}(r,t)$ has four contributions of the form (7.5), according to Eq. (6.2). We set $t = r/c + t$, and introduce the polarization vectors (without the $\pm$ superscripts)
\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_s &= \epsilon_\phi, \quad \epsilon_p = \epsilon_\gamma, \quad (8.1) \\
\zeta_s &= \epsilon_\phi, \quad \zeta_p = \epsilon_\gamma - 2\sin \theta \epsilon_z. \quad (8.2)
\end{align*}
\]

Then we define the parameters
\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma^a_\sigma &= \left| R_\sigma \right|^2 + \left| T'_\sigma \right|^2 - \left| \overline{R}_\sigma \right|^2 - \left| \overline{T}'_\sigma \right|^2, \quad (8.3) \\
\gamma^i_\sigma &= \overline{R}_\sigma \overline{P}_\sigma^* - R_\sigma P_\sigma^* + \overline{T}'_\sigma \overline{N}'_\sigma^* - T'_\sigma N'_\sigma^*, \quad (8.4)
\end{align*}
\]

which will depend on the angle \( \theta \), in general. When we group together all terms with \( \mu(t)^{(+)} \) and all terms with \( \mu(t)^{(-)} \), then the field assumes the remarkably simple form
\[
E^s_\sigma (x, t) = \frac{2 \omega e^{i \omega c t}}{4 \pi e_0 c^2 r} \sum_\sigma \left( \gamma^a_\sigma \mu(t)^{(+)}) + e^{-2i \omega t} \gamma^i_\sigma \mu(t)^{(-)} \right) + \text{H.c.}, \quad (8.5)
\]
in terms of the polarization-like vectors
\[
\begin{align*}
a^+_\sigma &= \gamma^a_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma + e^{i \omega c r} \gamma^o_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma, \quad (8.6) \\
a^-_\sigma &= -P^*_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma + e^{-i \omega c r} \gamma^i_\sigma \epsilon_\sigma. \quad (8.7)
\end{align*}
\]

Alternatively, we can group the terms as
The mirror dipole $\mu^\prime$ is defined as

$$\mu^\prime(t) = \mu(t) - \frac{1}{2} \mu(t) \ ,$$

in terms of the perpendicular and parallel components of $\mu(t)$ with respect to the surface $z = 0$. Expressions (8.8) - (8.10) would be identical to our earlier results (12.5) - (12.7) from Ref.1, if the parameters $\gamma^a$ and $\gamma^i$ would be $\gamma^a = 1$ and $\gamma^i = 0$.

IX. SPECIAL CASES

We have not used any of the properties of the Fresnel coefficients in the derivation of the results of the previous section. These coefficients can
be calculated explicitly, but the result is very complicated. Therefore, we consider two limiting cases of practical interest.

A. **Dielectric Layer**

When we turn off the pump beams, then the medium becomes an ordinary dielectric. Therefore,

\[ P_\sigma - N_\sigma = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.1)

which gives

\[ \gamma^i_\sigma = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.2)

The non-zero Fresnel coefficients are related by

\[ |T_\sigma|^2 + |R_\sigma|^2 = 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.3)

so that

\[ \gamma^a_\sigma = 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.4)

This shows that for a dielectric layer, the results (8.8) - (8.10) are identical to those in Ref. 1. Notice that the term which is proportional to \( \mu(t)^{(\cdot)} \) in Eq. (8.5) disappears in this limit.
B. Transparent PC

When the dielectric constant equals unity, the specular waves vanish. This gives

\[ R_\sigma - N_\sigma = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.5)

The non-zero Fresnel coefficients are now related by

\[ |T_\sigma|^2 - |P_\sigma|^2 = 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.6)

which holds for any polarization, angle of incidence and frequency. Therefore, we find again

\[ \gamma_\sigma^a = 1 , \quad \gamma_\sigma^i = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.7)

X. CONCLUSION

We have derived an expression for the fluorescence radiation field which is emitted by an atomic dipole near the surface of a PC. The starting point was the standard plane-wave expansion of the electric field in terms of annihilation and creation operators. Then we solved the Heisenberg equation of motion for the annihilation operator. This gives rise to two contributions to the electric field: the vacuum field \( E_v \) and the source field \( E_s \), which is generated by the dipole. The form of \( E_v \) follows trivially from the choice of \( H_r \), but the form of \( E_s \) depends on the choice of interaction Hamiltonian and the structure of \( E_v \) (which equals \( E(x,0) \)). We have shown that \( E_s \) is identical to the solution of Maxwell's equations, as found previously, provided that
\[ \gamma_0^a = 1, \quad \gamma_0^i = 0 \quad (10.1) \]

These parameters do not appear in the solution of Maxwell's equations, which indicates that both approaches are independent indeed. The parameters \( \gamma_0^a \) and \( \gamma_0^i \) are determined by the Fresnel reflection and transmission coefficients for a plane wave, and they depend on the polarization, frequency and angle of incidence. The general form of the Fresnel coefficients is extremely complicated, which prohibits the verification of Eq. (10.1) for the most general case. We have shown, however, that for a dielectric layer and for a transparent medium the relations in Eq. (10.1) are satisfied, which covers most cases of practical interest.
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**APPENDIX**

Here we summarize the expressions for the various wave vectors in Eq. (2.1) and the phase conventions for the unit polarization vectors. Any wave can be decomposed as

\[ k_1 = k_\parallel + k_{1,z} \hat{z}, \]  

(A.1)

and any \( k_1 \) in Eq. (2.1) which corresponds to a given incident wave must have the same parallel component \( k_\parallel \) with respect to the surface of the medium. The polarization vectors for s-waves and p-waves are chosen as

\[ e_{k_1,s} = \frac{1}{k_\parallel} k_\parallel \times \hat{z}, \]  

(A.2)

\[ e_{k_1,p} = \frac{1}{k_1} k_1 \times e_{k_1,s}, \]  

(A.3)

respectively. An incident wave from \( z > 0 \), and with wave vector \( k = k_\parallel + k_z \hat{z} \) generates a specular (r) wave and a phase-conjugated (pc) wave. The \( z \)-components of their wave vectors are determined by the dispersion relation, and found to be

\[ k_{r,z} = -k_z, \]  

(A.4)

\[ k_{pc,z} = -\sqrt{\rho^2 k_\parallel^2 - k_z^2}, \]  

(A.5)

in terms of

\[ \rho = (2\bar{\omega} - \omega)/\omega \]  

(A.6)
A wave with wave vector $\mathbf{k}$ which is incident on the layer from $z < 0$, generates a transmitted (t) wave in $z > 0$ with wave vector $\mathbf{k}$. In addition, a nonlinear (nl) wave is produced in $z > 0$, which has the same frequency shift with respect to the incident wave as the pc-wave.
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