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Most immature CD4+CD8+ thymocytes express only a small number of T cell receptor (TCR) molecules on their surface, and the TCR molecules they do express are only marginally capable of transducing intracellular signals. TCR expression and function was not intrinsically low in immature CD4+CD8+ thymocytes, but was found to be actively inhibited by CD4-mediated signals. Indeed, release of CD4+CD8+ thymocytes from CD4-mediated signals resulted in significant increases in both TCR expression and signaling function. These results suggest that, in CD4+CD8+ cells developing in the thymus, increased TCR expression and function requires release from CD4-mediated inhibition.

Thymic Selection of the Developing T Cell Repertoire

Immunologic selection of the developing T cell repertoire occurs in immature CD4+CD8+ thymocytes, with the fate of individual thymocytes determined by the T cell antigen receptors (TCR) they express (1). Thus, it seems paradoxical that most CD4+CD8+ thymocytes express few TCR molecules that are only marginally capable of transducing intracellular signals (2). Identifying the regulatory mechanisms involved in either inducing or inhibiting TCR expression and function in developing CD4+CD8+ thymocytes would enhance our understanding of T cell differentiation. The TCRlo phenotype of CD4+CD8+ thymocytes is due to the low fractional survival of newly synthesized and assembled TCR complexes in these cells, which can be quantitatively increased by in vivo administration of monoclonal antibody (MAb) to CD4 (3). CD4, when cross-linked, activates tyrosine kinases that phosphorylate various intracellular substrates, including TCR-ζ (4, 5), a subunit of the TCR complex that appears to be important for both TCR expression and TCR signal transduction (6). We now directly assess the influence of CD4-mediated signals on TCR expression and function in immature CD4+CD8+ thymocytes. TCR expression and function in immature CD4+CD8+ thymocytes was inhibited by CD4-mediated signals, and the presence of these inhibitory signals correlated with the reported phosphorylation status of TCR-ζ in these cells (5).

Experimentally, TCR expression can be polyclonally increased in CD4+CD8+ thymocytes either by in vivo administration of MAb to CD4 (7) or by in vitro culture of thymocytes in single-cell suspension (8). In vitro, TCRlo thymocytes spontaneously increase their expression of TCR when cultured in single-cell suspension at 37°C but not at 4°C (Fig. 1A), with TCR profiles of thymocytes from suspension cultures at
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Unseparated CD4*CD8* thymocytes in suspension culture at 37°C for a short time not only increased surface expression of TCR but also increased their total cellular expression of TCR as well (Fig. 1B). This increase in TCR expression required mRNA and protein synthesis, as it was blocked by actinomycin D, an inhibitor of transcription, and by cycloheximide and ansomycin, inhibitors of protein synthesis (9).

Spontaneous induction of TCR in CD4*CD8* thymocytes in suspension culture at 37°C results either from the release of CD4*CD8* thymocytes from inhibitory intrathymic signals or from their active stimulation by a reagent present in culture medium. Release from intrathymic inhibition seemed likely, because TCR induction does not occur in organ fragment cultures at 37°C for a short time in which thymocytes encounter culture medium but remain in their thymic microenvironment (Fig. 2A).

TCR induction might specifically be due to release from CD4-mediated inhibitory signals, as MAb to CD4 administered in vivo increases TCR expression in CD4*CD8* thymocytes resident in situ (7). Release from CD4 mediated intrathymic signals would also be consistent with the phosphorylation pattern of TCR-ζ in CD4*CD8* thymocytes, in that TCR-ζ chains are phosphorylated in CD4*CD8* thymocytes resident in the thymus but spontaneously dephosphorylated upon culture in single-cell suspension at 37°C (5). Thus, because a known ligand for CD4 is Ia (10), the addition of Ia* stimulator cells to thymocyte suspension cultures would be predicted to engage thymocyte CD4 molecules and to generate signals inhibiting spontaneous TCR induction, as they do generate signals inhibiting spontaneous TCR-ζ dephosphorylation (5). Indeed, Ia* stimulator cells blocked the spontaneous increase in both surface and total cellular amounts of TCR occurring in thymocyte suspension cultures at 37°C (Fig. 2B and C).

To determine whether stimulator cell Ia molecules were involved in the inhibition of thymocyte TCR induction, we used an Ia* cell line for which an Ia* variant existed. Thymocyte TCR induction was more effectively inhibited by the Ia* B lymphoma cell line M12.4.1 than by its Ia* variant M12.C3 (11) (Fig. 2D), even though both cell lines expressed equivalent amounts of cell surface major histocompatibility complex class I (9). In addition, MAb to Ia significantly blocked the inhibitory effect of Ia* M12.4.1 cells on TCR induction, whereas control MAb did not (Fig. 2D). The Ia-induced inhibitory effect on TCR expression was neither clonally restricted nor haplotype-specific, as TCR induction in all TCR+ CD4*CD8* thymocytes from all strains was inhibited by all Ia* stimulator cells tested, regardless of the haplotype or subregion encoding the Ia molecules they expressed. Thus, these data are most consistent with inhibition of TCR induction resulting from Ia engagement of clonally invariant CD4 molecules.

We next used MAb to CD4 to directly examine the effect of CD4-mediated signals on thymocyte TCR expression. Bivalent MAb to CD4, without further cross-linking, had no effect on TCR induction by thymocytes in suspension culture. We then added, as an immunoglobulin (Ig) cross-linker, low numbers of M12.C3 cells, which are FeR* but are Ia* and noninhibitory at the cell numbers used. In the presence of FeR* M12.C3 cells, immunoglobulin G (IgG) MAb to CD4 significantly inhibited TCR induction, whereas isotype matched MAb to CD8 did not, as determined both by FCM analysis of cell surface TCR expression and by immunoblotting for total cellular TCR expression (Fig. 3). Similar inhibition of TCR induction was observed with polyvalent IgM MAb to CD4, but not with polyvalent IgG MAb to CD8, in the absence of FeR* M12.C3 cells (9).

Finally, we wished to examine whether release of CD4*CD8* thymocytes from CD4-mediated inhibition was accompanied by improved TCR signaling, which is otherwise marginal in CD4*CD8* thymocytes (2). We assessed signaling by measuring intracellular calcium mobilization generated by cross-linking of MAb to TCR-ζ (Fig. 4). TCR signaling was marginal in uninduced CD4*CD8* thymocytes from either organ fragment cultures (9) or 4°C suspension cultures, but was significantly enhanced in CD4*CD8* thymocytes from 37°C suspension cultures (Fig. 4, A and B) (12). The increased peak [Ca**], in 37°C suspension cultures was due to markedly increased responses by individual cells as well as to a somewhat greater fraction of cells responding. However, the addition of Ia* M12.4.1 cells to 37°C suspension cultures of CD4*CD8* thymocytes inhibited the improvement in TCR signaling and did so more effectively than the addition of Ia* M12.C3 cells (Fig. 4A), indicative of Ia* induced negative regulation of TCR signaling ability. Improved TCR signaling ability in induced CD4*CD8* thymocytes could be related to increased TCR surface expression, decreased TCR-ζ phosphorylation, or both. Consequently, we examined TCR signaling in CD4*CD8* thymocytes that had been cultured in the presence of the transcription-inhibiting drug actinomycin D, which prevents TCR induction (9) but does not block TCR-ζ dephosphorylation.
(Fig. 4B). TCR-induced calcium fluxes were significantly higher in actinomycin D-treated CD4+CD8+ thymocytes than in untreated 4°C cultured thymocytes (Fig. 4B) whose surface TCR levels were similar but whose TCR-ζ chains remained phosphorylated; however, TCR-induced calcium fluxes in actinomycin D-treated CD4+CD8+ thymocytes were not as great as in untreated CD4+CD8+ thymocytes from 37°C suspension cultures (Fig. 4B) whose surface TCR levels were greater. Thus, release of CD4+CD8+ thymocytes from intrathymic inhibition results in markedly improved TCR signaling, and that improvement is correlated with decreased TCR-ζ phosphorylation and with increased TCR expression.

In fact, the improvement in TCR signaling is probably underestimated in these experiments, as uninduced CD4+CD8+ thymocytes must be placed in single-cell suspension cultures at 31°C to be loaded with calcium-sensitive dyes, during which time some increase in TCR expression and some decrease in TCR-ζ phosphorylation occurs. Our data demonstrate that CD4-mediated signals in CD4+CD8+ thymocytes, induced either by Ia engagement or multivalent cross-linking of MAb to CD4, mimic the in vivo thymic microenvironment in inhibiting TCR expression and function, possibly to avoid premature deletion of developing thymocyte clones. Release of developing CD4+CD8+ thymocytes from CD4-mediated inhibition would then result in increased TCR expression and function for subsequent TCR-mediated selection events in the thymus.

It is uncertain whether the presence of phosphorylated TCR-ζ chains in TCRβ CD4+CD8+ thymocytes simply reflects the presence of CD4-mediated signals or whether tyrosine phosphorylation of TCR-ζ chains is itself responsible for the low TCR expression and TCR signaling in these cells. In either case, the in vivo inductive effects of MAb to CD4 on thymocyte TCR expression (7) can now be understood as resulting from blockade of intrathymic CD4-CD4-CD4 ligand interactions that generate inhibitory signals in CD4+CD8+ thymocytes, presumably because efficient multivalent cross-linking of MAb to CD4 on TCRβ CD4+CD8+ thymocytes does not occur in vivo. An explanation is needed, however, for the inability of MABs to Ia that are administered in vivo to increase TCR expression in CD4+CD8+ thymocytes (7), as such antibodies would be expected to block inhibitory intrathymic CD4-Ia interactions. One interesting possibility is that there may exist on thymic stromal cells an alternative, possibly primitive, CD4 ligand in addition to Ia. Indeed, inhibition of TCR expression and function...
Fig. 4. TCR-induced calcium mobilization in CD4+CD8+ thymocytes in response to TCR cross-linking by MAB to TCRαβ, and its relation to TCR expression and TCR-ζ phosphorylation. (A) Purified B6 CD4+CD8+ thymocytes were cultured alone for 4 hours in single-cell suspension at either 37°C (solid line) or 4°C (dotted line) and then assessed for TCR-induced calcium mobilization by MAB to TCRαβ (MAB H57-597) (19) that was cross-linked by avidin (25 μg/ml) at the indicated times (arrow) (20). Alternatively, cells from the same purified B6 CD4+CD8+ thymocyte populations were cocultured at 37°C with 1 × 10^5 la+ M12.4.1 (dashed line) or la+ M12.C3 (dash-dot) cells, and then assessed for TCR-induced calcium fluxes (21). Mean intracellular Ca^2+ concentrations are displayed versus time. (B) Effect of actinomycin D on TCR signaling and TCR-ζ phosphorylation in cultured CD4+CD8+ thymocytes. Purified B6 CD4+CD8+ thymocytes were cultured for 4 hours in suspension at either 37°C (solid line) or 4°C (dotted line), or were cultured at 37°C in the presence of actinomycin D (10 μg/ml) (dashed line), and then assessed for TCR-induced calcium mobilization. (Inset) The same cell populations were also assessed for tyrosine-phosphorylated TCR-ζ (arrow) by immunoblotting with antibody to phosphoryrosine (22) Act. D, actinomycin D.

in developing CD4+CD8+ thymocytes is probably the earliest function performed by CD4 and appears to be unique to immature CD4+CD8+ thymocytes, as CD4 performs other functions in more differentiated T cells.
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