Final Report
Grant No. AFOSR-88-0075
Program Monitor: Dr. Liselotte Schioler
Frank K. Ko
Albert S.D. Wang
Charles Lei
Eileen Armstrong Carroll
and
Yun Jia Cai
Fibrous Materials Research Laboratory
Drexel University

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release. Distribution unlimited.
DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.
### Title
Structure and Properties of High Symmetry Ceramic Matrix Composites

### Abstract
This report describes the concept formulation and demonstration for high symmetry composites by examination of the combination of spheres in 3-D fiber architectures. By computer simulation, the level of geometric symmetry of fiber architectures and spheres were examined. To establish a basis for the analysis of the mechanical response of high symmetry composites, a finite cell model and a finite element code for the analysis of sphere have been developed. A method for the fabrication of the high symmetry system has also been developed.
# Table of Contents

I. Background ........................................................................... 1
II. 3-D Fiber Architecture ...................................................... 9
III. Symmetry of 3-D Fiber Architecture .................................. 53
IV. Geometric Modelling .......................................................... 68
V. Mechanical Modelling .......................................................... 132
VI. Fabrication of High Symmetry Structures ......................... 164
VII. Conclusions and Recommendations ................................. 172

References .............................................................................. 177

Appendices

A. Listing of FCM program
B. Listing of Finite Element Code for Hollow Sphere
C. List of Publications
CHAPTER ONE

BACKGROUND

The advent of multiphase engineering materials, in particular fiber reinforced composites, has opened the door for tailored engineering materials. Depending on the nature of packing, order and symmetry of the different phases, a wide range of material properties and structural stability can be achieved. To address the technological needs for structural applications in space and on the ground wherein multidirectional reinforcement and high level of thermo-mechanical stability are required, a great deal of effort has been devoted to the development of strong and tough composite material in the past decade.

It has been recognized that fiber architecture, being the structural backbone of composites, has much to contribute to the structural toughening of composites. As it is often found in nature, e.g., bee's honeycomb, and crystal of reticulated cerussite, a well ordered triangular packing with material symmetry provides the most efficient and structurally stable material system. To reduce this material concept into practice, it has been found that fiber replacement by textile processes in an economical and practical way to create the desirable fiber architecture.
For example, as shown in Figure 1.1, a triaxially woven fabric produces a well regulated, symmetric network of hexagons. As a result, this structure has the highest level of planar stability and isotropy comparing to other planar fibrous assemblies. For thick structures, on the other hand, the concept of close-to-cubic (CTC) symmetry was explored for hardened structure for re-entry applications utilizing a 4-directional reinforcement. Reducing the close-to-cubic symmetry concept into practice in our laboratory by a three-dimensional braiding process, the concept of structural toughening by 3-D fiber architecture has been successfully demonstrated.

Another significant outcome of our research is the demonstration of the direct formation of structural shapes by the 3-D braiding process. The idealized unit cell structure from a 3-D braided 1-beam is shown in Figure 1.2. While the idea of net shape, tough composite is very attractive for structural applications, the question often asked is the compressive resistance of the 3-D integrated structures.

The major concern, as seen in Figure 1.3, is the apparent structural weakness at yarn cross-overs or where the yarns are bent. To address this problem or to elevate the level of compressive resistance of this 3-D structures, it appears that an additional reinforcement system or an incompressible phase would be needed.
Figure 1.1 Development of Planar Stability by Triaxial Weaving.
Figure 1.2 Close-to-Cubic Symmetry by 3-D Braiding.
Figure 1.3 Isometric View of Yarn Geometry in a 3-D Braid.
As we experience in ball bearings and many other applications, it is well known that, for the same material, spherical shape provides the best compressive resistance. If one introduces a spherical phase in the reinforcement system and allows the spheres to bear the compressive stresses, a hybrid geometrical structure having tensile, shear and compressive resistance can be produced. This can conceivably be achieved as shown in Figure 1.4 by the superposition of a closest packing of spheres in a tetrahedral/octahedral fiber network.

Equally as important as the development of the High Symmetry Composites (HSC) system, the issue of prediction of macro-structural performance from microstructure on a unit cell level must be addressed. Although some initial work has been carried out on the modelling of unit cell geometry of 3-D braid composites, there is currently no satisfactory theoretical framework linking microstructural unit cell to macrostructural performance as called for in a recent ASME meeting. The mesomechanics of HSC is quite necessary in order to provide guidance to the creation of the multiphase material system and to explore the potential of structural systems from these materials for end use requirements yet to be defined. What is needed is the precise identification and quantification of the unit cell geometry with a combination of spheres and fiber network.
Figure 1.4 High Symmetry Composites by Closest Packing of Spheres in a 3-D Braided Fiber Network.
Treating this cell as a finite structural unit, a Fine Cell Model (FCM) can be developed. The FCM, on one hand, account for the detail design of the unit cell structure and, on the other hand, it allows the exploration of global structural behavior, therefore bridging the communication gap between material scientists and structural mechanicians.

Accordingly, the objectives of this proposed study are:

1. To demonstrate the feasibility and potential of composite material system with high level of tensile, compressive and damage resistance by the concept of High Structural Symmetry.

2. To establish a theoretical framework for the design, analysis and prediction of structural performance of the High Symmetry Composite through Finite Cell Modelling.

To achieve our objectives, this study begins with a review of the technology of 3-D fiber architecture. To provide a basis for discussion, the 3-D fiber architectures are classified according to the level of symmetry. After establishing a framework for the modelling of the geometry of the mechanical responses of high symmetry structures are modelled by the finite cell methodology. In order to transform the geometric concepts to reality, a method for the fabrication of the high symmetry structures is illustrated. It was planned, in the subsequent Phase of the program, that the fabrication method will be demonstrated and employed to produce high symmetry ceramic matrix composites. Verifications of the geometric and mechanical models were also planned in the subsequent Phase of the program by mechanical testing and geometric characterization.
CHAPTER TWO

3-D FIBER ARCHITECTURE

In order to develop a classification system for 3D fiber architectures one needs to examine the state of the art of these architectures. The result of this examination provides information which can be used to develop new classes based on the distinguishing and the common properties of the 3D fiber architectures which are produced today and which will be produced tomorrow.

This chapter provides the reader with a review of the state of the art of 3D fiber architectures. The different fiber architectures are grouped in this chapter by their traditional classifications.

Knits

Knitting involves the interlooping of yarns. The knitting process involves two steps: the formation of loops in the yarns, and the linking of the formed loops together with needles. There are two basic types of knitting. These types are called warp knitting and weft knitting. Basic warp and weft knit structures are shown in Figure 2-1.
Basic Weft Knit Stitch [20]

Basic Warp Knit Stitch [20]

Figure 2-1
Weft knitting is the oldest form of knitting. The first weft knit machine was invented about 1589 [12]. Weft knitting involves the formation of a whole row of loops from one yarn. These loops are then pulled through the previous row of loops to form the knit. Most apparel is formed by weft knitting. Hand knitting is a special case of weft knitting.

Warp knitting is always machine generated. The first warp knitting machine was invented in 1847 [12]. In warp knitting many yarns are feed as a sheet to the knitting machine. Each yarn goes through its own needle. The needles simultaneously form a loop and interloop of the yarn. This knitting style possesses a higher production rate but also possesses a lower extensibility compared to weft knitting.

Today, warp knitting is the most popular type of knitting for three dimensional textiles. The general form of the warp knit style used in engineering applications is Multiaxial Warp Knits (MWK). MWK typically are composed of lay-ins in the 0°, 90°, and ±90° directions. The lay-in are knitted together with a warp knit stitch. The lay-in yarns usually possess a much larger cross-sectional area than the knitting yarns and are therefore the major load bearing component of the fabric. These fabrics are produced by many companies including: Kyntex of Sequin Texas, HiTech of Reno Nevada, and Bean Fiberglass Company of Jaffrey New Hampshire.

The warp knit stitch typically used in MWK is a chain or tricot stitch. Both stitches are shown in Figure 2-2. For many applications the tricot stitch is the most popular. This stitch allows for more flexibility in the shear and weft directions [36]. In a certain type of MWK, weft insert warp knits
Tricot Stitch [32]

Chain Stitch [32]

Figure 2-2
(WIWK), the weft inserted yarns can form sinusoidal or linear paths. WIWK are distinguished by two sets of lay-in yarns orthogonal to each other. A WIWK with nonlinear weft yarns and a MWK are shown in Figure 2-3.

Most MWK machines can precisely control the placement of the warp knitting needle. However impalement of weft inserted yarns in the bias direction often occurs. Impalement breaks and displaces fibers. Thus impalement results in reduced in-plane strength and structural consistency in the fabric.

The Karl Mayer Textile Machine Company of West Germany has invented a WMK machine which does not impale yarns [36]. This affords a higher yarn to fabric translation efficiency. However these fabrics are more voluminous than the other weft insert warp knits. This bulkiness leads to a lower fiber volume fraction. This lower fiber volume fraction leads to reduced composite strength.

MWK knitting allows for much design flexibility. This flexibility partly arises from the variability in the direction, and linearity of the weft inserts. The effect of the direction of yarn placement on the strength efficiency of a fabric is shown in Figure 2-4. In this figure the strength efficiency in the machine (fabric takeoff) direction and the weft (cross or normal to machine) direction are shown as a function of lay in yarn orientation at various volume fractions, ranging from 0% to 40%, of longitudinal lay-in yarns. The strength efficiency is defined as the fractional part of the strength of the yarns transferred to the fabric [21]. When tested in the machine direction,
Figure 2-3

WIWK [32]

MWK [32]
Strength Efficiency of a MWK as a Function of Lay-In Yarn Orientation [21]

Figure 2-4
the strength efficiency decreases as the lay in yarn angle increases. An increase in the longitudinal yarn fiber volume fraction increases the strength efficiency in the machine direction. Since the weft direction is normal to the longitudinal lay-ins, an increase in longitudinal yarn fiber volume fraction decreases the strength efficiency.

The effect of linearity can be discussed by comparing linear and nonlinear ±45° weft inserted warp knits [24]. Linear weft inserted warp knits possess an higher initial modulus than nonlinear. The strength of the nonlinear knit is slightly higher in the 0 and 90 degree direction. However, the bias strength of the linear knit is much greater than the nonlinear, since in the linear knit more fiber is aligned in this direction. The flexibility of these knits can vary greatly depending on the number of layers and the direction of the weft yarn inserts.

MWK can produce fabrics up to 1.3 centimeters thick. By using another technique, a thick warp knitted fabric can be formed. The Aerospatiale Company of France pioneered this technique.

Aerospatiale developed a three dimensional circular knitting machine. Presently there are two models of this machine [1,2,8]. These machines are capable of producing the fabric geometries shown in Figure 2-5. The different knit geometries are a result of the different templates used for the longitudinal yarns. The two different template structures are shown in Figure 2-6. These templates define the paths of two of the three constituent yarns. In the first geometry, which will be referred to as XXYZ, circumferential yarns are laid in and radial yarns are knitted. The radial
Figure 2-5

Aerospatiale XXYZ Geometry [8]

Aerospatiale XXYZ Geometry [7]
Aerospatiale Templates
Figure 2-6
yarns form a series of chain stitches on the outer side of the fabric. In the second geometry, XXYZ, two sets of radial yarns form chain stitches in different directions and on alternating levels. Both these geometries provide high tensile and shear strength in all directions. The XXYZ geometry is more flexible as a result of the substitution of a second radial knitting yarn for the circumferential yarn.

The fabric formation process used for each geometry is slightly different. This paragraph describes the formation process for the XXYZ geometry [7]. First metallic rods are inserted in the template in the longitudinal yarn position. Then the radial yarns are knitted by an hook-shaped needle which is inserted between the longitudinal rods and the circumferential yarns are feed into circumferential corridors. The apparatus on which the template is set rotates, allowing the knitting of the chain stitches and the laying down of the circumferential yarns. After each layer is formed, it is compacted. After all the radial and circumferential yarns are added, the longitudinal wire rods are pushed out using lacing needles. The eye of both needles then hooks a yarn strand and inserts it into the proper longitudinal position.

The following is the fabric formation process for the XXYZ geometry [8]. First metallic rods are inserted in the template in the longitudinal yarn position. Then the two radial yarns are knitted by hook-shaped needles which are inserted between the longitudinal rods. The two different radial knitting machines move around the template structure. After each layer is formed, it is compacted. After all the radial yarns are added, the longitudinal wire rods are pushed out using lacing needles. The eye of
each needle then hooks a yarn strand and inserts it into the proper longitudinal position.

Although warp knitting is the most popular form of knitting for three dimensional textile structures, Courtaulds produces a modified computer controlled weft knitting machine to knit three dimensional preforms [36]. This modification allows individual needle control. This control is important with high modulus fibers. The brittle nature of high modulus fibers cause them to be more susceptible to breakage with variations in tension. The individual needle control maintains constant tension on each yarn during the knitting process.

Examples of the complex three dimensional shapes that can be knitted with this process are shown in Figure 2-7. A substantial amount of the fiber lies in a loop configuration. Since the loop configuration is comprised of so many orientations, this configuration is similar to that of a random mat. Because of this configuration, these fabrics possess moduli comparable to those of a random mat. The tensile strength of these fabrics is lower than that of a random mat [39]. The key advantage of this structure is the ability to form integral structures without fiber discontinuities at key joints in the structure. These structures are three dimensional in shape but their thickness is small.

In general, because of the toughness needed by the knitting yarn, there are some material restrictions on this yarn. With the weft insert warp knits, the knitting yarn is usually a polyester yarn with a diameter a tenth the
Three Dimensional Shapes Produced by Weft Knitting [39]

Figure 2-7
size of the weft yarns. The presence of the loop make knits tougher and more comformable to complex shapes.

**Braids**

Braids are formed by the intertwining of yarns. The basic braiding method is shown in Figure 2-8. The intertwining is accomplished by the crossing of yarns on individual yarn carriers. The oldest recorded use of braiding is between 1500 and 1000 B.C. [12]. Although this technique has been used since prehistoric times, in general, it has never been as popular as the other textile techniques. One of the factors that limited the use of braids is that the braiding machine size must be much larger than the actual braid produced. However in the field of three dimensional textiles, braiding techniques are becoming very popular. This popularity is a result of their high damage tolerance, delamination resistance, and conformability.

Three dimensional Euclidean braiding involves the steps shown in Figure 2. This sequence can be performed on circular or rectangular looms. In this process yarns gradually move through the thickness of the fabric, through alternate track and column motion. Thus the yarns traverse a circular path with a zig-zag motion. The resultant yarn path, projected onto the braidplane, of one yarn following this sequence in both types of looms is shown in Figure 2-10. The three dimensional path of one yarn in an Euclidean braid is shown in Figure 2-11. The discrete lattice shown in this figure is used to locate the yarn in the braid. The presence of this lattice has generated the nomenclature, Euclidean braiding, to describe the track/column braiding process.
Basic Braiding Motion [19]

Figure 2-8
Loom Motions of Euclidean Braiding

Figure 2-9
Yarn Path in the Braiding Plane in a Rectangular Loom [31]

Yarn Path in the Braiding Plane in a Circular Loom [31]

Figure 2-10
Isolated Path of a Single Yarn in a 3D Braided Fabric [31]

Figure 2-11
After each set of track and column movements, the yarns are compacted. In this process body diagonal yarn pairs resulting from a track/column movement are compacted against body diagonal pairs arising from the previous track/column motion. The compacting motion intertwines the yarns. The braiding process just mentioned is the result of many developments in braiding machine technology. The following paragraphs trace the development of three dimensional braiding machines.

This first patent for this method of yarn placement was granted to Bluck in 1969 [3]. Bluck's machine moved the tracks and columns of the braiding plane with cams connected by gears to a driveshaft. Each yarn is fed into the braiding plane through holes in individual yarn guides. The yarn guides move in the braiding sequence as mentioned above. The speed of braiding is controlled by takeoff rollers which grip the fabric a certain distance from the braiding plane and pull the fabric away from this plane. A schematic of this machine is shown in Figure 2-12.

In 1973 Maistre patented a braiding process [27]. In this process, the yarns are attached to a rigid frame which arranges the yarns into a vertical net. The distance between the yarns comprising the net is constant in the vertical and horizontal directions. In Maistre's machine the yarn feeding mechanism is not in the braiding plane and there is no takeoff roller. Braiding occurs as a result of the alternate displacement of the row and columns of the yarns. Though Maistre's machine differs from Bluck's in the yarn feeding mechanism and the absence of a takeoff roller, the resultant yarn path of both machines is the same.
Bluck's Braiding Apparatus [3]

Figure 2-12
In 1982 Florentine improved Bluck's braiding machine. Florentine's machine [13], called "Magnaweave", uses solenoids to move the yarn carriers. The yarn carriers are properly aligned with respect to each other by bar magnets on the yarn carrier. Each yarn carrier contains a spool of yarn. A series of pins to beat up the braided fabric are added between the braiding plane and the takeoff rollers. These pins are removed during each braiding sequence and are engaged again after said sequence.

In 1986 Brown [6] addressed the problem of machine jamming in the braiding machine of Florentine. Jamming is minimized by moving a track or column of yarns carriers sequentially, and by applying a tamping stroke after each movement to insure that the yarn carriers are in their proper place. Brown's yarn carrier design is also different. In Brown's setup, a finite length of yarn is attached to a smaller length of an elastic yarn. The looped end of the elastic yarn is attached to a hook on the yarn carrier.

In 1988 Brown [5] modified the design of the circular braiding machine to allow for interchangeable rings of the same diameter. These rings replace the concentric rings used in Florentine's machine. The capacity of the machine as measured by the number of rings could not be easily expanded with the concentric rings.

Another three dimensional braiding process is the Two Step Braid. The Two Step Braid was patented by Popper of DuPont in 1988 [29]. This apparatus is shown in Figure 2-13. The Two Step Braid is composed of axial and braider yarns. The axials are placed in the fabric forming direction and remain approximately straight in the structure. The
Two-Step Braid Apparatus [29]

Figure 2-13
braiders move between the stationary axials in a special pattern, which cinches the axials and stabilizes the shape of the braid. The path of one yarn during a braiding sequence is shown in Figure 2-14. The zig-zag motion of a yarn constitutes one sequence. Although the resultant yarn path is the same for the Two Step Braid as for the other three dimensional braids mentioned above, the method of achieving this path differs. The Two Step Braid path differs from the other 3D braids by passing each braiding yarn through the whole fabric thickness during each movement. In the Two Step process a smaller number of braiding sequences is needed for the yarn to travel back to its initial point in the braiding plane.

It is important to note that with the Euclidean braiding process, non braiding yarns, called longitudinal yarns can be positioned between the columns, as shown in Figure 2-15. These yarns are subjected only to a slight zig-zag motion as the rows move back and forth. The effect of this zig-zag motion upon the straightness of the longitudinal yarns has not been examined. These yarns act in the same way as the axial yarns of the Two Step Braid. Since the longitudinal yarns are more aligned with the fabric's vertical axis than the braiding yarns, these yarns increase the tensile strength of an Euclidean braid in this direction. The normalized tensile strength of 3D braids with and without axial yarns is compared in Figure 2-16. The value of the normalized tensile strength is determined by dividing the tensile strength measured by the number of yarns in the braid and the breaking strength of a constituent yarn.

Figure 2-17 shows the effect of varying the braid angle of both braid types. The braid angle is the angle a braiding yarn makes with the vertical axis of
Braider Yarns

Axial Yarns

2-Step Loom Design

Figure 2-14
Euclidean Braid Loom Design with Longitudinal Lay-ins

Figure 2-15
Typical Load-Elongation Curves [26]

Figure 2-16
Normalized Tensile Strength as a Function of Braid Angle [26]

Figure 2-17
the braid. Though the tensile strength of the Two Step braid is greater than that of a Euclidean braid (with no longitudinal yarns), the conformability and compressability of a Euclidean braid is greater than that of the Two Step braid.

**Nonwovens**

Two dimensional nonwovens are formed by fiber entanglements. The means of entanglement may be chemical or mechanical. Nonwoven felts are considered the oldest textile structures produced. The first machine made nonwoven, paper, was made in 1804 [37]. Today nonwovens form the largest percentage of the two dimensional industrial textile market.

The simplest three dimensional nonwoven is an assembly of chopped fiber. This nonwoven is held together in composite form by the matrix material. Ideally this structure is the most isotropic reinforcement geometry. But usually the resultant fiber orientation is skewed to favor melt flow paths. This deviation is a result of the fabricating conditions of the composite. A composite with this geometry is tough, but possesses a small strength translation efficiency. The fibers act as crack deflectors, but do not carry a significant part of the load. The load bearing capacity of the fibers is increased if the aspect ratio of the fibers is large. As the length of the fibers increases, the capacity of the fiber to transfer stress along this dimension necessarily increases.

Three dimensional nonwovens lay ups are formed from continuous yarns. Thus these nonwovens possess a high strength translation efficiency. These fabrics differ from the nonwovens mentioned before. In most three
dimensional nonwovens the constituent yarns are laid in from various directions and are not entangled. These nonwovens form stable structures by means of the resultant frictional forces between fibers.

In 1971 General Electric introduced "Omniweave" [4]. In this nonwoven the path of the yarns forming the fabric is straight through the thickness direction. When a yarn reaches a surface, the x-y orientation is reversed. The z directional motion is maintained. A three directional orthogonal placement of the yarns was most common which this loom. However, a four directional yarn placement along the body diagonals of a parallelepiped unit cell can also be achieved.

In 1974 Fukuta was granted a patent [14] for a process to make a orthogonal three dimensional fabric. Fukuta's apparatus and the fabric made with this machine is shown in Figure 2-18. The yarns in the y direction are fixed. A yarn inserted in the xy plane follows the path shown in Figure 2-19. P is a binder yarn which maintains the two yarn diameter distance between the z yarns in the y direction. A new set of z yarns is inserted after each x yarn. A set of z yarns is inserted by the simultaneous lowering of the z curved arm and raising of the z' curved arm.

In 1976 Crawford [9] was granted a patent for a method of laying in yarns from various directions. The different yarn geometries formed by this process are shown in Figure 2-20. These geometries differ from that of the other 3D nonwovens through the combinations of orthogonal and diagonal yarns lay-ins.
Fukuta’s Nonwoven Apparatus [14]

Figure 2-18
Yarn Path in Fukuta’s Nonwoven Apparatus [14]

Figure 2-19
7D Face Diagonal Geometry

7D Body Diagonal Geometry

11D Geometry

Crawford's Nonwoven Geometries [9]

Figure 2-20
In 1977 King was granted a patent for a three dimensional orthogonal rectangular and circular loom [18]. These looms and the resultant fabric geometries are shown in Figure 2-21. The rectangular loom creates a fabric with a distance of one yarn diameter between adjacent parallel yarns. The circular loom creates a fabric with a distance of two yarn diameters between adjacent yarns in one direction and a distance of one yarn diameter between parallel yarns in the orthogonal direction. The rectangular loom can be easily adjusted so that the x and y yarns are fed in at an angle.

In 1978 Kallmeyer invented a three dimensional orthogonal nonwoven rectangular loom [16]. The operation sequence of this loom is diagramed in Figure 2-22. In this process a shed is created at the center row of the z array. Then a x yarn is added. The shed is closed. Two adjacent sheds are then opened. The first x yarn is doubled back through the adjacent shed. An additional x yarn is inserted through the other shed. The two sheds are closed. Then two additional adjacent sheds open. This process continues until a x yarn is inserted through all the z rows. At this point the loom is rotated ninety degrees and the above process is carried out with y yarns.

"Autoweave" [35] is another circular three dimensional nonwoven machine. In this apparatus a prepreg cable is simultaneously cut and inserted into a foam mandrel, known as a porcupine, normal to its surface. These radial rods form helical corridors. Axial yarns are fed by a shuttle which loops the axial yarn around the crown at each end of the mandrel before passing through the next corridor. The circumferential yarns are
Circular Loom with Schematic of Resultant Geometry

Rectangular Loom

Resultant Geometry of Rectangular Loom

King's Nonwoven Apparatus [18]

Figure 2-21
Yarn Path in Kallmeyer's Nonwoven [16]

Figure 2-22
tensioned and fed into the radial corridors by a shuttle. This process can be adapted for four and five directional yarn lay ins.

Of the processes mentioned above the Autoweave process is the most flexible and rapid. These structures possess high strength and moduli in the direction of fiber reinforcement. However these structures are not as conformable as other structures such as the 3D braids.

Another type of three dimensional nonwoven, called Noveltex [15], is a modification of needle punch technology. In this process a roll of a 2D fabric is placed under needles which pierce the fabric. The needles pierce through one to two 2D fabric layers. More 2D fabric from the same roll is then placed under the needles. In this way a thick fabric with some orientation in the through thickness direction is formed. This orientation hinders delamination. The resultant three dimensional fabric possesses high compressive and shear strength. However the fabric's tensile and flexural strength is lower than the other continuous fiber three mentioned before.

Many of the nonwoven fabrication processes described in this section form similar unit cells. The orthogonal nonwoven structures of the Omniweave, King's rectangular loom, Autoweave, and Kallmeyer processes will be henceforth referred to as XYZ nonwovens. The orthogonal nonwovens of Fukuta and King's circular loom will be referred to as XXYZ nonwovens. This structure is similar to a XYZ nonwoven composed of x yarns which possess a cross-sectional area that is twice the size of the other constituent yarns.
Wovens

Woven fabrics are formed by yarn interlacing. The weaving process consists of three basic steps. This process is shown in Figure 2-23. The first step is called shedding. Shedding is the separation of warp yarns (the set of yarns in the machine direction) into top and bottom sheets. In the next step, weft insertion, a weft yarn (set of yarns not in the machine direction) is inserted between the two sheets. The final step is the compacting of the weft yarn, in which a reed forces the weft yarn tightly into the shed of the fabric. When this process is repeated, the position of at least some of the warp yarns forming the two sheets is reversed. The reversal of the warp yarns creates a sinusoidal path for these yarns. The actual length of the curved yarn divided by the net distance traveled, is known as the crimp of the fabric.

The earliest evidence of the use of a loom was in Egypt at 4400 B.C. [12]. By the 13th century the standard horizontal loom design, which is still used, had evolved. This loom was automated in a series of steps during the 18th century. For intricate weaves a draw loom is used. A draw loom possesses cords attached to the warp yarns. These cords allow for more control in forming the upper and lower sheet in the shedding process. In 1805 Jacquard introduced a draw loom with an automatic shedding device [12]. Ever since this time, a loom which allows for custom tailoring of each warp yarn motion is called a Jaquard loom.

Adaptations are made to two dimensional weaving techniques when used for engineering applications [10]. For engineering applications a
The Basic Weaving Sequence [12]

Figure 2-23
minimum amount of crimp is desired. The greater the amount of crimp, the greater the magnitude of the components of the fiber position vector not aligned with the fabric axis. This misalignment leads to diminished strength. Crimp is minimized by using weaving techniques such as the satin weave. In Figure 2-24 a five harness satin and a plain weave are shown. Note the number of interlacings is smaller in the satin weave. A lower number of interlacings in a fabric results in a smaller amount of crimp.

Crimp also needs to be minimized since the high modulus yarns typical of engineering applications possess a large critical bending radius. The critical bending radius is inversely proportional to the amount of curvature a yarn can maintain without breaking. Special high modulus weaves are available which avoid this problem by keeping the high modulus yarns straight and performing the actual weaving with a low modulus yarn possessing a much smaller cross-sectional area.

There are two forms of three dimensional weaves. In the first form, a thin fabric is woven in such a way as to obtain a three dimensional form. This form of three dimensional weaving is shown in Figure 2-25. The second form of three dimensional weaving results in the formation of a fabric of substantial thickness. It is this form of weaving that is addressed in the following paragraphs.

A weave geometry for thick fabrics is mentioned in Rheame's 1973 patent [34]. This geometry is shown in Figure 2-26. This figure is a schematic of the weave's geometry normal and parallel to the warp yarn plane. The
Different Weave Geometries [28]

Figure 2-24
Weaving Three Dimensional Shapes [32]

Figure 2-25
Rheaume's Weave Geometries [34]

Figure 2-26
weaving of the warp yarns is controlled by a series of Jaquard heads. This three dimensional geometry is created by adding a web yarn in the through thickness direction. Although in this patent the web yarns transverse the fabric thickness, thick weaves are also made with not all layers being transversed. Using web yarns fabrics up to seventeen layers thick have been woven. There is no inherent limit to the thickness of these weaves. The current limit is a result of the machinery currently available. These fabrics are produced by companies such as Textile Technologies in Hatboro, Pa and Woven Structures in Compton, Ca.

An apparatus for creating thick weaves was patented by Emerson in 1973 [11]. Emerson’s machine is a circular loom controlled by a plurality of Jacquard heads. These heads control the placement of stuffer and locker warp yarns (analogous to the web yarns mentioned above). There is also a filler yarn system which follows an helical path. Insertion of the filler yarns is controlled by an inserter which moves around the mandrel. The stuffer yarns are parallel to the mandrel axis. The locker yarns follow a sinusoidal path around the stuffer and filler yarns. This path is in the radial direction with respect to the mandrel axis. A fabric compactor comprised of a perforated plate compacts the fabric after each filler yarn insertion. Possible weave geometries resulting from this machine are shown in Figure 2-27. The complexity of this machine leads to problems in fabrication and as a result this process is not currently popular.
XY Plane of an Alternative Weave Geometry

XY Plane of an Orthogonal Weave

Weave Geometries Possible with Emerson's Apparatus [11]

Figure 2-27
CHAPTER THREE
SYMMETRY OF THREE DIMENSIONAL FIBER ARCHITECTURES

Introduction
Since the interior unit cells of many fabric structures from different textile classes possess the same elements of symmetry, a classification system based on these symmetry elements has been developed. This chapter will explain the underlying principles of symmetry used to develop this model. The symmetry present in the three dimensional fiber architectures mentioned in chapter two will be explained. Additionally, the performance properties of fiber architectures can be modelled by utilizing the different elastic strain energy expressions produced by different combinations of symmetry elements.

Symmetry in Materials
The symmetry concepts employed in the description of the various textile structures are adapted from the field of crystallography. There are three basic type of operations used to determine symmetry. These operations are: rotation about an axis, reflection in a plane, and rotoinversion (rotation followed by reflection). A material is symmetric under one of the above operations if it appears as it did initially after a symmetry operation.
A restriction is placed on the allowable types of rotation operations. This restriction is that rotation operations must be performed in such a manner that the translational symmetry of the material is maintained. Translational symmetry is maintained when the distance between adjacent lattice sites remains constant. Besides a rotation of 360 degrees, this symmetry can only be maintained with rotations of 60, 90, 120, and 180 degrees about a symmetry axis. The rotational symmetries corresponding to the above rotations are hexad, tetrad, triad, and diad respectively.

For a three dimensional object, the symmetry operations about three mutually orthogonal axes must be coherent. When coherence is achieved, a combination of a symmetry operation on one axis followed by an operation on a second axis is equivalent to one operation about the third axis. There are 32 combinations of symmetry elements for three dimensional figures which satisfy this requirement. These combinations are referred to as the 32 crystallographic point groups. When these operations are performed, the position of only one point, the point though which they pass, is unmoved.

These point groups are usually diagrammed on stereograms. Stereograms are two dimensional representations of a three dimensional body. Figure 3-1 diagrams a stereogram with the z axis normal to the stereograph plane and intersecting said plane at the center of the stereogram. Figure 3-2 (adapted from [17] ) diagrams the different positions of a point is it undergoes different symmetry operations. Figure 3-2a represents a rotation of 360 degrees about the z axis (central point on stereogram). Figure 3-2b to e represents a diad axis, triad axis, tetrad axis, and an hexad
Schematic of the Components of a Stereogram

Figure 3-1
Symmetry Operations

Figure 3-2
axis, respectively. A reflection through a mirror plane along the x axis is shown in figure 3.2f. A reflection through a mirror plane in the xy plane is shown in figure 3.2g. Figure 3.2h is a rotoinversion operation consisting of a rotation of 360 degrees followed by inversion through the center of the sphere of projection. The effect of the other rotoinversion operations is shown in Figures 3-2i, j, and k.

Figure 3-3 (adapted from [17]) diagrams the 32 possible point groups. These point groups are classified by the shape of the unit cell in which they occur. Each unit cell class is ordered in terms of increasing symmetry. The state of highest symmetry for a certain class is known as the holosymmetric state. The different criteria for classifying these unit cells and the shape of these unit cells is given in Table 3-1. The lattice parameters a, b, and c correspond to the length of the unit cell in the x, y, and z directions, respectively. The directional cosines are denoted by angles \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( \gamma \).

**Symmetry Elements in 3D Fiber Architectures**

The symmetry considerations described above were devised for materials with symmetric atomic structure. The textile structures described here consist of continuous lengths of yarn oriented in various directions with respect to one another. When the these yarns intersect, they offset each other in space. This offset will be ignored when describing the symmetry elements present in the 3D fiber architectures. The area of the yarn's intersection is reduced to a point when performing symmetry operations. A schematic of a yarn intersection before and after the above simplification
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Symmetry</th>
<th>Conventional Cell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>No axes of symmetry</td>
<td>$a=b=c: \alpha=\beta=\gamma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>One Diad</td>
<td>$a=b&gt;c: \alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
<td>Three Orthogonal Perpendicular Diads</td>
<td>$a=b\neq c: \alpha=\beta=\gamma&lt;120^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigonal</td>
<td>One Triad</td>
<td>$a=b=c: \alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetragonal</td>
<td>One Tetrad</td>
<td>$a=b\neq c: \alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexagonal</td>
<td>One Hexad</td>
<td>$a=b\neq c: \alpha=\beta=90^\circ, \gamma=120^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>Four Triads</td>
<td>$a=b\neq c: \alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crystallographic Unit Cells (adapted from [17])
Table 3-1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monoclinic (1st Setting)</th>
<th>Triclinic</th>
<th>Tetragonal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/m</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(equivalent to m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monoclinic (2nd Setting)</th>
<th>Orthorhombic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>4mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/m</td>
<td>42m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/mmm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stereograms of the 32 Symmetry Point Groups
(adapted from [17])

Figure 3-3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trigonal</th>
<th>Hexagonal</th>
<th>Cubic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="3" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="6" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="23" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="3" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="6" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="m3" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="32" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="622" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="432" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="3m" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="6/m" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="43m" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="3m" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="6mm" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="m3m" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="3m" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="6m2" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="6/mmm" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stereograms of the 32 Symmetry Point Groups
(adapted from [17])
Figure 3-3
is shown in Figure 3-4. Other assumptions concerning the geometry of the yarns that have been made are: the yarns possess a circular cross-sectional area, and in the limit of the lattice parameter scale the yarns are linear. There are additional simplifying assumptions that must be made to generate rectilinear unit cells for fabrics formed on circular looms. Since the lattice parameter of each unit cell is on the order of the distance between two parallel yarns, the circumferential yarns were approximated to be linear. As the distance between interlacings increases, the curvature of the circumferential yarns increases and this approximation cannot be used. Another assumption is that the difference in distance between z yarns in the adjacent concentric rings is insignificant.

Utilizing the above assumptions, numerous textile structures possess holosymmetric cubic symmetry. This symmetry state is found in certain three dimensional braids, nonwovens, and knits. An Euclidean braid with 100% braiding yarns and the fourfold body diagonal lay-in architecture of the Omniweave with a yarn orientation angle of 45 degrees with respect to three orthogonal axes, possesses this symmetry. This symmetry is also possessed by the XYZ geometries of Omniweave, King's nonwoven, Kallmeyer's nonwoven, the thick weave with a web angle of 0°, and Autoweave when all the constituent yarns possess similar circular cross-sectional areas and are therefore equidistant.

There are a number of symmetry elements in the holosymmetric cubic state. The simplified unit cell of these two geometry types and their point group symmetry is shown in Figure 3-5. The position of some of these symmetry elements is projected onto the cubic unit cell in Figure 3-6.
Figure 3-4

Schematic of Yarn Intersection, Simplification
Simplified Unit Cell for Body Diagonal Geometries

Simplified Unit Cell for XYZ Geometries

m3m

m3m Symmetry Shown by Above Unit Cells

Figure 3-5
The addition of two orthogonal lateral lay-in yarns in the xy plane to the unit cell of an Euclidean braid comprised of 100% braiding yarns 100% reduces the x and y tetrad axis of symmetry to a diad axis, and also destroys all triad axis of symmetry. This unit cell possesses holosymmetric tetrahedral symmetry. This symmetry state also occurs with a two-step braid possessing equal a and b lattice parameters, with the body diagonal geometries possessing a braid angle not equal to $45^\circ$ in one of the orthogonal planes, and with the XXYZ Aerospatiale geometry. The 4/mmm symmetry corresponding to this state and the unit cell of these geometries is shown in Figure 3-7.

Another common symmetry type is mmm, which is the holosymmetric symmetry state of an orthorhombic unit cell. This symmetry state is possessed by: Crawford's nonwovens, Euclidean braids with one lateral or longitudinal lay-in, body diagonal geometries with the braid angle not equal to $45^\circ$ in all orthogonal planes, a Two-Step braid with unequal lattice parameters, and the XXYZ fiber architectures of Aerospatiale, King, and Fukuta. Crawford's nonwovens possess this symmetry state since the combination of three orthogonal and either four or eight diagonal yarns reduces all symmetry axes to diads. The XXYZ fiber architectures are mmm since the presence of two x yarns for every unit cell destroys all tetrad and triad axes of symmetry. The highest axis of symmetry for the holosymmetric orthorhombic geometry is diad. The unit cells of fiber architectures possessing this symmetry and the projection of the mmm point group onto an orthorhombic cell is shown in Figure 3-8.
Euclidean Braid with 2 Orthogonal Lateral Lay-in Yarns $a = b$

Two-Step Braid $a = b$

Body Diagonal Unit Cell Braid angle $\neq 45^\circ$ in one plane

$4/mmm$ Symmetry elements in relationship to the $xy$ plane of a tetrahedral unit cell

Figure 3-7
Crawford's Nonwovens

3D Braid with Longitudinal Lay-in

XXYZ Geometry

mmm Symmetry Elements shown in relationship to the xz plane of an orthorhombic unit cell

Figure 3-8
The remaining textile structures do not possess three dimensional symmetry. Although there is through thickness fiber integration, the unit cell shape of these structures is similar to that of a laminate. Like laminates, these structures possess symmetry in the xy plane, not in the through thickness planes.

The Effect of Symmetry on the Elastic Stiffness Matrix

The elastic stiffness matrix describes the relationship between strain and stress in a material at a specific point. The theory of elasticity governs the creation of the stiffness matrix. The assumptions made in the theory of elasticity are: the body is a continuous medium, strains experienced by said body are small, the stress/strain relationship is linear, initial stresses are ignored, and deformation is reversible.

Strain is a measure of the deformation experienced by a body. The strain state of a point can be expressed as a second order tensor. The components of this tensor are shown below.

\[
\epsilon_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix}
\epsilon_{xx} & \epsilon_{xy} & \epsilon_{xz} \\
\epsilon_{yx} & \epsilon_{yy} & \epsilon_{yz} \\
\epsilon_{zx} & \epsilon_{zy} & \epsilon_{zz}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
CHAPTER FOUR
GEOMETRIC MODELLING OF 3D FIBER ARCHITECTURES

Introduction
In this chapter the unit cell geometries of various 3D fiber architectures are modelled. In all the models the yarns are assumed to be incompressible and to possess a circular cross-sectional area. Except where noted, all yarns comprising the fiber architectures are identical. The effect of varying geometric parameters on the fiber volume fraction is studied. Also the percent fiber volume fraction in different fiber orientations is stated. The effective volume of fiber oriented towards an arbitrary angle is given for each fiber architecture.

Multiaxial Warp Knits
The MWK possesses an orthogonal unit cell. The a and b parameters of a MWK unit cell are shown in Figure 4-1. In this figure, the dashed box contains the ab plane of one unit cell. The length of the a parameter is equivalent to the distance between the centers of two adjacent orthogonal yarns in the x direction. The length of the b parameter is equivalent to the distance between the centers of two adjacent orthogonal yarns in the y direction.
The Unit Cell of a Multiaxial Warp Knit

Figure 4-1
The circles in each unit cell in Figure 4.1 represent the intersection of the knitting yarns with the ab plane. The knitting yarn is assumed to have a cross-sectional area one tenth the size of the lay-in yarns. The shape of each knitted loop completed by the knitting yarn is modelled as an ellipse. Figure 4.2 shows the relationship of the geometric parameters of the knitting yarn ellipse to the MWK unit cell. As shown in Figure 4.1, there are four spots in each unit cell where a knitting yarn intersects with the ab plane. The three dimensional shape represented by each circle in the unit cell is one-half of an ellipse. Thus there are two knitting yarn ellipses in each unit cell. The length of knitting yarn in the unit cell is equal to the circumference of two ellipses. The circumference of an ellipse is equal to:

\[
C = 4a_e \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2 \sin^2 \phi} d\phi = 2\pi a_e (1 - 0.25\epsilon^2 - 0.047\epsilon^4)
\]

with \(\epsilon_e = \frac{\sqrt{a_e^2 - b_e^2}}{a_e}\)

Each MWK cell consists of yarn lay-ins in the x, y, and +/- θ directions. The c parameter of the MWK unit cell is equivalent to 4D + K. D is defined as the diameter of the lay-in yarns and K is defined as the diameter of the knitting yarn. This distance results from the four lay-ins, and the one-half diameter of the knitting yarn on the top and bottom of the unit cell.

The length of the a parameter of the MWK unit cell is D + S, where S is the distance between yarns of like orientations. The length of b depends on the angle of the bias yarns. If \(\theta\) is 45°, \(b = a\). When \(\theta\) is not 45°, \(b = (D + S)\tan\theta\).
The Elliptical Path of a Knitting Yarn in a Multiaxial Warp Knit

Figure 4-2
The two angled lay-ins each possess a length of \( L_a = a/cos\theta = (D + S)/cos\theta \).

The fiber volume fraction of yarn in the unit cell is equal to:

\[
V_f = \frac{(a + b + 2L_a)\pi D^2}{4} + 2C\frac{\pi K^2}{4} \frac{abc}{\pi K^2/4}
\]

where \( \frac{\pi K^2}{4} \) is the cross-sectional area of a yarn with diameter \( K \).

The fiber volume fraction of yarn in the unit cell is the volume of yarn in the unit cell divided by the unit cell volume. The volume of a MWK unit cell is the product of the three unit cell parameters.

The effect of varying the angle of the angled lay-ins on the fiber volume fraction is plotted in Figure 4-3. In this plot, the space between adjacent yarns in the x direction is assumed to be one yarn diameter and the diameter of the knitting yarn is assumed to be one-tenth that of the lay-in yarns. The fiber volume fraction increases rapidly after 45°. This increase is a result of the \( a \) parameter being greater than the \( b \) parameter. In this region of the curve the space between yarns in the \( b \) direction is decreasing. Varying the angle of the bias yarn changes the fiber volume significantly.

Figure 4-4 plots the effect of varying the distance between the yarns in the closest-packed direction. The dependence of fiber volume fraction on the distance between closest-packed yarns is harmonic. The fiber volume fraction between two integral \( S \) values approximately decreases by \( 1/(S_H+1) \) with \( S_H \) being the higher \( S \) value. The biggest reduction in fiber volume
Dependence of the Fiber Volume Fraction of a Multiaxial Warp Knit on Bias Yarn Orientation

Figure 4-3
Dependence of the Fiber Volume Fraction of a Multiaxial Warp Knit on the Distance Between Adjacent Parallel Yarns

Figure 4-4
fraction, 50%, occurs in the region of zero to one yarn diameter of space between the closest-packed yarns.

The effect of assuming a different relative diameter of the knitting yarn to that of the lay-in yarns for a MWK with $\theta = 45^\circ$ is shown in Figure 4-5. Varying $K$ by an order of magnitude of ten changes the fiber volume fraction by 11%.

**Orthogonal Fiber Architectures**

**XYZ Geometry**

In this section the XYZ, XXYYZ and the XXYZ orthogonal geometries will be discussed. The XYZ geometry possesses a cubic unit cell. The geometry of each <100> plane in this cell is shown in Figure 4-6. As shown in this figure, the unit cell parameters are equal to $2D$, where $D$ is the diameter of the constituent yarns. The fiber volume fraction of the XYZ geometry equals:

$$V_f = \frac{3(2DXrD^2/4)}{8D^3} = 0.59 \quad (4-3)$$

**XXYZ Geometry**

The second type of orthogonal yarn geometry, XXYZ, possesses a tetrahedral unit cell. The (100) plane of an unit cell with this fiber architecture is shown in Figure 4-7. This cell differs from the unit cell of the other orthogonal geometry by the presence of two x direction yarns in each cell. This presence causes the lattice parameter $a$ to equal $3D$. Since
Dependence of the Fiber Volume Fraction of a Multiaxial Warp Knit
on the Relative Difference Between the Diameters of the Knitting
Yarn and the Lay-in Yarns

Figure 4-5
Figure 4-6

XYZ Geometry Unit Cell Plane

a = b = c = 2D
XXYZ Geometry (100) Plane

Figure 4-7
the other lattice parameters are not effected by the second x yarn, they remain 2D. The fiber volume fraction for this cell is the same as with the XYZ geometry. The expression for this value is:

\[
V_f = \frac{(4+3+2)\frac{\pi D^3}{4}}{12D^3} = 0.59
\]

**XXYZ Geometry**

The final type of orthogonal yarn geometry is XXYZ. This geometry is similar to the XYZ geometry depicted in Figure 4-6 except here the full diameter of the x and y yarns is included in each unit cell edge. Thus \(a = b = 3D\) while \(z\) remains 2D and there are two x and y yarns per unit cell.

\[
V_f = \frac{(6+6+2)\frac{\pi D^3}{4}}{18D^3} = 0.61
\]

**Body Diagonal Geometries**

In this section the similar unit cells of 3D braids with 100% braiding yarns, and the 4 directional lay-in Omniweave are discussed. These unit cells are, in general, orthogonal. For the 3D braid an important consideration in determining the unit cell parameters is the process by which the braid is formed. In Figure 4-8 the unit cell of a 3D braid with a 45° braid angle is shown along with the track and column movements necessary to form this unit cell. The compacting action drastically alters this unit cell. This
Track/Column Loom motion used to Form an Euclidean Braid
and the Initial Unit Cell Produced by this Process

Figure 4-8
action compacts the bottom body diagonal pair against the top body diagonal pair, pulls the eight corner loops out of this unit cell into adjacent unit cells, and both inverses as well as reduces the amount of curvature present in the constituent yarns. The resultant unit cell is shown in Figure 4-9. The offset of the two body diagonal pairs in the xy plane is the result of subsequent track and column motions. The unit cells of the Omniweave are determined by the direction of the lay-ins. The simplified unit cells shown in Figure 4-10 will be used to describe the body diagonal geometries.

The total length of yarn in each unit cell is four times the length of the body diagonal in that cell. In this model we will also assume that the unit cell is tetragonal. This is the case when the braiding motion is an one by one track and column movement and the constituent yarns possess a circular cross-sectional area. For the tetragonal unit cell a is equal to b but is not equal to c. c can be described as

\[ c = a \tan \theta \] (4-6)

\( \theta \) is the angle of the side face diagonal, which is equal to the complement of the braid angle.

The length of a body diagonal in this unit cell is:

\[ L_B = \sqrt{2a^2 + a^2 \tan \theta} \] (4-7)
Euclidean Braid Unit Cell After Compacting
Depicting the Effect of Subsequent Column Movement
on the Relative Position of the Two Body Diagonal Pairs

Figure 4-9
Simplified Euclidean Braid Unit Cell

Figure 4-10
The fiber volume fraction is equal to:

\[ V_f = \frac{\sqrt{2 + \tan^2 \theta} \pi D^2}{a^2 \tan \theta} \]  

(4-8)

Since there is no close packed direction in this unit cell, there is no absolute relationship between the constituent yarn diameter and the lattice parameters. Figure 4-11 plots the effect of varying \( a \) in units of \( D \) on the fiber volume fraction with a braid angle of 30°. The form of this function is 

\[ V_f(a) = \frac{T}{a^2}, \]

where \( T \) is a constant. As the braid angle is increased, \( T \) increases and subsequently \( V_f(a) \) increases for a specific \( a \) value.

Figure 4-12 plots the effect of varying the braid angle on the fiber volume fraction with the parameter \( a \) equal to 3D. The fiber volume fraction steadily increases as the braid angle is increased. The increase in the value of \( \frac{dV_f}{d(\text{braid angle})} \) above 45° results from the lattice parameter \( c \) being less than \( a \) in this region. From this plot, it is apparent that there is a minimum fiber volume fraction. This value depends on the value of \( a \) assumed. The minimum fiber volume fraction decreases as the value of \( a \) increases.

**Combined Geometries**

**Euclidean Braid with lateral lay-ins**

Combined geometries are possessed by unit cells with both orthogonal and bias direction constituent yarns. These geometries are possessed by Euclidean braids with longitudinal or lateral lay-ins, Two-Step braids, and
Dependence of the Fiber Volume Fraction of an Euclidean Braid on the Size of the a Lattice Parameter for a Braid Angle of $45^\circ$

Figure 4-11
Dependence of the Fiber Volume Fraction of an Euclidean Braid on the Braid Angle with $a = 3D$

Figure 4-12
Crawford's nonwovens. In the case of the Euclidean braid with lateral lay-ins, the presence of lateral lay-ins alters the fiber volume fraction equation 4-8 in the manner shown below.

\[ V_f = \frac{(4\sqrt{2+\tan^2 \theta} + n)\pi D^2}{4a^2 \tan \theta} \]  

(4-9)

In this equation, \( n \) represents the number of lateral lay-ins. A lateral lay-in in either the \( x \) or \( y \) directions possesses length \( a \) (assuming a tetrahedral unit cell). The presence of the lateral lay-ins increases the \( T \) value of \( V_f(a) \) for a specific \( a \), as compared to a braid with 100% braiding yarns. The fiber volume fraction as a function of the braid angle for 100% braiding yarns, one lateral lay-in, and two lateral lay-ins is plotted in Figure 4-13. The effect of the lay-ins on the fiber volume fraction increases as the braid angle increases. This occurrence is attributed to the decrease in the relative length of \( c/a \) as the braid angle increases.

**Euclidean Braid with Longitudinal Lay-ins**

The addition of longitudinal yarns alters equation 4-8 to:

\[ V_f = \frac{(4\sqrt{2+\tan^2 \theta} + \tan \theta)\pi D^2}{4a^2 \tan \theta} \]  

(4-10)

The additional \( \tan \theta \) term accounts for, \( c \), the length of the longitudinal yarn in the unit cell (the \( a \) is factored out in 4-8). The presence of the lateral lay-ins creates a \( T \) value of \( V_f(a) \), for a specific \( a \), larger than that of
A Comparison of the Dependence of the Fiber Volume Fraction on the Braid Angle for a Euclidean Braid with No, One and Two Lateral Lay-in Yarns

Figure 4-13
a braid with one lateral lay-in, but smaller than with two lateral lay-ins. The fiber volume fraction as a function of the braid angle for 100% braiding yarns and for a braid with longitudinal lay-ins is plotted in Figure 4-14. The effect of the lay-ins on the fiber volume fraction decreases as the braid angle increases. This occurs since the relative length of $c/a$ decreases as the braid angle increases.

**Two-Step Braid**

While the two step braiding process possesses a similar geometry to the Euclidean braid with longitudinal lay-ins, they are not identical. The difference in these two unit cells arises from the two different loom designs. The different loom designs are shown in Figure 4-15. The ratio of longitudinal yarns to braiding yarns is different in both processes. For the two step braid the ratio must be:

$$\frac{L}{B} = \frac{RC}{R + C} > 1$$

(4-11)

where $L$ is the number of longitudinal yarns, $B$ is the number of braiding yarns, $R$ is the number of rows, $C$ is the number of columns. In the 3D braid the number of longitudinal yarns can vary from zero upto the number of braiding yarns.

$$\frac{L}{B} \leq 1$$

(4-12)

The projection of the component yarns on the (001) planes for the 3D braid with longitudinal lay-ins and the two step braid are shown in Figure 4-16.
A Comparison of the Dependence of the Fiber Volume Fraction on the Braid Angle for a Euclidean Braid with No Lay-ins and with One Longitudinal Lay-in Yarn
Figure 4-14
Euclidean Braid Loom Design with Longitudinal Lay-ins
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A Schematic of the Different Loom Designs for the Euclidean and the Two-Step Braid
Figure 4-15
Two-Step Braid.

Euclidean Braid with a Longitudinal Lay-in

Projection of the Component Yarns on the (001) Plane

Figure 4-16
The labels T and B on the two-step braid figure represent the top and bottom plane of a unit cell. The length of each bias yarn is:

\[
L_B = \sqrt{2 \left(a - \frac{D}{2}\right)^2 + c^2} = \sqrt{2 \left(a - \frac{D}{2}\right)^2 + a^2 \tan^2 \theta}
\]  

(4-13)

Where \( \theta \) is the angle relating \( a \) and \( c \). The expression for the fiber volume fraction for this geometry is:

\[
V_f = \frac{4 \sqrt{2 \left(a - \frac{D}{2}\right)^2 + a^2 \tan^2 \theta + 2a \tan \theta \pi D^2}}{4a^3 \tan \theta}
\]  

(4-14)

The fiber volume fraction as a function of braid angle is plotted for the Two-Step braid and the Euclidean braid with a longitudinal lay-in in Figure 4-17. The Two-Step braid possesses a higher minimum fiber volume fraction since there is a higher percentage of longitudinal yarns in this fiber architecture. Since a higher percentage of the fiber volume fraction in the Two-Step braid arises from the longitudinal yarns it is less dependent on \( \theta \).

**Crawford's Nonwovens**

Crawford's 7D and 11D nonwovens are combinations of orthogonal and diagonal yarn geometries. These geometries behave in a similar manner to the above mentioned combined geometries. Figure 4-18 plots the fiber volume fraction as a function of the bias yarn orientation for Euclidean braids with longitudinal and lateral inserts as well as the 7D body diagonal geometries. The fiber volume fraction function for the 7D body diagonal geometry can be expressed as:
A Comparison of the Dependence of the Fiber Volume Fraction on the Bias Yarn Orientation Angle for the Euclidean Braid with a Longitudinal Lay-in and the Two-Step Braid with a = 3D

Figure 4-17
A Comparison of the Dependence of the Fiber Volume Fraction on the Bias Yarn Orientation Angle for the Combined Geometries with $a = 3D$

Figure 4-18
\[ V_f = \frac{(4\sqrt{1+\tan^2\theta} + 2 + \tan\theta)\pi D^2}{4a^2 \tan\theta} \] (4-15)

In this relationship, it is assumed that \( a = b \).

For the face diagonal 7D geometry the length of each face diagonal equals:

\[ L_F = \sqrt{a^2 + c^2} \] (4-16)

Using this relationship, the fiber volume fraction for the face diagonal 7D geometry is expressed as:

\[ V_f = \frac{(4\sqrt{1+\tan^2\theta} + 2 + \tan\theta)\pi D^2}{4a^2 \tan\theta} \] (4-17)

The fiber volume fraction for the 11D geometry can be determined in a similar fashion.

\[ V_f = \frac{(4\sqrt{2+\tan^2\theta} + \sqrt{1+\tan^2\theta} + 2 + \tan\theta)\pi D^2}{4a^2 \tan\theta} \] (4-18)

Figure 4-19 plots the fiber volume fraction as a function of the face diagonal angle with the lattice parameter \( a \) equal to 4D for the three Crawford geometries. The minimum fiber volume fraction of the 11D geometry is very high. The assumption that all the constituent yarns intersect at the center of the unit cell is partially responsible for this value. There doesn't appear to be much difference between the behavior of the 7BD and the 7FD
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geometries as a function of orientation angle. The 1D geometry is translated vertically from these curves but appears to possess the same rate of volume fraction increase.

Three Dimensional Weaves

The possibilities of unit cell geometries with three dimensional weaves is numerous. For this model, weaves with a plain weave geometry in the xy plane are studied. The effect of crimp on the fiber volume fraction is assumed to be small enough that the plain weave can be modeled as a x/y lay-in geometry.

Figure 4-20 is a schematic of the xz plane of a three dimensional weave. The through thickness warp yarn is known as the web yarn. The surface yarns form a traditional plain weave on the surface of the fabric. Since the presence of the surface yarn is optional and does not affect the geometry of the interior unit cells, it will be ignored in this model. The geometry of these weaves is described by a series of unit cells. These unit cells vary by the number of web yarns in them and the orientation of these yarns. The number of web yarns can vary from zero to two in each unit cell. The two possible orientations of the web yarns is shown in Figure 4-21.

A final assumption that is made is that the lattice parameters of all unit cells are the same. This assumption is sound when there are many cells with web yarns, since these cells then tend to support the cells without web yarns. The lattice parameters in the xz plane, a and c, are equal to the sum of one fill yarn diameter plus a bias yarn diameter. The bias yarn
A Schematic of the XZ Plane of a 3D Weave
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a = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sin \alpha} \right) D

c = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\cos \alpha} \right) D

XZ Plane of Two 3D Weave Unit Cells Depicting Possible Web Yarn Orientations and the Unit Cell Lattice Parameters

Figure 4-21
diameter depends on the web angle. The relationship of this angle to the lattice parameter is shown in Figure 4-21. Thus a and c are equal to:

\[
a = (1 + \frac{1}{\sin \alpha}) D \\
c = (1 + \frac{1}{\cos \alpha}) D
\]  

(4-19)

(4-20)

Where \( \alpha \) is defined as the web angle. Since the presence of the web yarn has no effect on the \( b \) dimension, \( b = 2D \), as in a XYZ geometry. When \( \alpha = 0^\circ \), the thick weave geometry is XYZ orthogonal with \( a = b = c = 2D \) and \( V_f = 0.59 \).

The fiber volume fraction of a unit cell with no web yarn is:

\[
V_f = \frac{(4 + \frac{1}{\cos \alpha} + \frac{1}{\sin \alpha}) \pi}{8 (1 + \frac{1}{\sin \alpha})(1 + \frac{1}{\cos \alpha})}
\]  

(4-21)

The assumption is made that the web yarn enters the cell at \( \alpha/2 \) and exits at \( c/2 \). The length of a web yarn in a unit cell is equal to:

\[
L_w = \sqrt{\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^2}
\]  

(4-22)

Correspondingly the fiber volume fraction of a unit cell with one or two web yarns is:
\[ V_f = \frac{(4 + \frac{1}{\cos \alpha} + \frac{1}{\sin \alpha} + n L_w \pi)}{8 (1 + \frac{1}{\sin \alpha})(1 + \frac{1}{\cos \alpha})} \] (4-23)

where \( n \) is defined as the number of web yarns per unit cell.

Figure 4-22 plots the fiber volume fraction as a function of \( \alpha \) for a unit cell with one, two or no web yarns. There is a maximum fiber volume fraction at 45° for all unit cells. The sharp drop in \( V_f \) in the limit of 90° is attributed to \( \cos \alpha \) going to 0 in this region. Figure 4-23 plots the effect of varying the relative proportion of cells with and without web yarns when \( \alpha \) equals 45° by using the following function:

\[ V_f(P) = P V_f(n=0) + (1-P) V_f(n=2) \] (4-24)

\( n \) is the number of web yarns per unit cell.

**Geometric Isotropy**

A fiber architecture is considered to possess geometric isotropy if the variation in effective fiber volume fraction directly contributing to a loading direction is constant for any arbitrary angle. The effective fiber volume fraction of a fabric is defined as the fraction of fibers aligned in the proper direction in order for the applied load to be transferred to the fibers. For this model of geometric isotropy, the load bearing capacity of a fiber in the transverse direction is assumed to be zero. This capacity is assumed to be one in the longitudinal direction. These assumptions can be applied since
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the load bearing capacity of a yarn in the transverse direction is many orders of magnitude lower than that in the longitudinal direction.

The geometric isotropy of the various fiber architectures is described by plotting the effective fiber volume fraction at any arbitrary angle in the $xy$, $xz$, and $yz$ planes. The angle $\gamma$ is defined as the projection of the arbitrary angle onto the plane being analyzed. $\phi$ is defined as the angle a yarn orientation makes with the axis normal to the plane in question. $\theta$ is the angle the projection of a yarn orientation makes with a given plane.

The geometric isotropy in the three orthogonal planes is plotted as a function of the arbitrary angle in the three orthogonal planes. In each plot of the geometric isotropy in an $ij$ plane, $i$ corresponds to the horizontal axis and $j$ represents the vertical axis. For a totally isotropic material, the geometric isotropy plots would possess a circular shape. The greater the eccentricity of the plot, the higher the degree of anisotropy present. Geometric isotropy plots are made of the different fabric geometries described in this chapter.

**Multiaxial Warp Knits**

Since the contribution of the knitting yarn to the total fiber volume fraction is typically 1%, the presence of the knitting yarn is ignored when calculating the geometric isotropy. There are four yarn orientation directions of the lay-in yarns comprising a MWK. These directions and the fractional contribution they make to the total fiber volume fraction is expressed as:
\[
V_f = V_x + V_y + V_{+\theta} + V_{-\theta} = 2V_n \left(1 + \frac{1}{\cos \theta}\right) \tag{4-25}
\]

since \( V_x = V_y \), \( V_{+\theta} = V_{+\theta} \) and \( V_{+\theta} = \frac{V_x}{\cos \theta} \)

For an arbitrary angle, \( \gamma \), the percent volume fraction in the xy plane is:

\[
V(\gamma) = V_n \left(1 \cos \gamma \right) + \left| \sin \gamma \right| + \left| \frac{\cos(\theta - \gamma)}{\cos \theta} \right| + \left| \frac{\cos(\theta + \gamma)}{\cos \theta} \right| \tag{4-26}
\]

Each term of 4-25 represents \( V_x \), \( V_y \), \( V_{+\theta} \), and \( V_{+\theta} \) respectively. In Figure 4-24 the geometric isotropy in the xy plane is plotted for theta is equal to 45 and 30 degrees. There is a local minimum orthogonal to every principal yarn orientation direction in the plane. This minima is greater for the \( \pm 45^\circ \) direction than for the \( \pm 30^\circ \) direction. In the \( \pm 45^\circ \) case the bias yarns are orthogonal to each other and do not supply any effective load carrying capacity to each other.

The geometric isotropy in the xz and the yz planes is similar. The effective fiber volume fraction as a function of \( \phi \) in these planes is described by:

\[
V(\phi) = V(\gamma) \left| \cos \phi \right| \tag{4-27}
\]

The geometric isotropy of these planes is shown in Figure 4-25. The effect of the knitting yarn on the geometric isotropy in the xz and the yz planes was determined for a fiber volume fraction of 1% knitting yarn. This presence
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The Geometric Isotropy of a MWK in the xz or the yz plane
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had a small effect on the geometric isotropy in the regions of $\gamma = -45^\circ$ to $45^\circ$, and $135^\circ$ to $225^\circ$. In the other regions there was no significant effect.

**XYZ Geometry**

The distribution of the constituent yarn orientation of the XYZ orthogonal geometry is similar for all three yarn directions. This distribution is given below:

$$0.33V_f = V_x = V_y = V_z = V_n \quad (4-28)$$

The geometric isotropy of the type one orthogonal geometry is similar for all three orthogonal planes. This relationship can be expressed as:

$$V(\gamma) = V_n (|\cos \gamma| + |\sin \gamma|) \quad (4-29)$$

The geometric isotropy of XYZ architecture is plotted in Figure 4-26. Local minima occur when constituent yarns are orthogonal to each other. In the XYZ geometry yarns are orthogonal to each other on the principal axes.

**XXYZ Geometry**

Because of the relative orthogonality of the constituent yarns in a XXYZ and a XXYYZ geometry, the geometric isotropic curves for these geometries possesses the same orientation angle for local minima as the XYZ geometry. The percent fiber volume fraction of each unique yarn orientation is dissimilar for these geometries. The geometric isotropy curves differ by
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the magnitude of the effective fiber volume fraction in each plane. The fiber volume fraction distribution of the XXYZ geometry is:

\[ V_f = V_x + V_y + V_z = 4V_n + 3V_n + 2V_n = 9V_n \]  (4-30)

The geometric isotropy of the xy plane can be expressed as:

\[ V(\gamma) = V_n (4 |\cos \gamma| + 3 |\sin \gamma|) \]  (4-31)

The geometric isotropy of the xz plane can be expressed as:

\[ V(\gamma) = V_n (4 |\cos \gamma| + 2 |\sin \gamma|) \]  (4-32)

The geometric isotropy of the yz plane can be expressed as:

\[ V(\gamma) = V_n (3 |\cos \gamma| + 2 |\sin \gamma|) \]  (4-33)

**XXYZ Geometry**

The geometric isotropy of these three planes is plotted in Figure 4-27. The geometric isotropy of the XXYYZ geometry is described in a similar manner. The fiber volume fraction distribution of the XXYYZ geometry is:

\[ V_f = V_x + V_y + V_z = 3V_n + 3V_n + 2V_n = 8V_n \]  (4-34)
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The geometric isotropy of the xy plane can be expressed as:

\[ V(\gamma) = V_n (31 \cos \gamma + 21 \sin \gamma) \]  

(4-35)

The geometric isotropy of the xz and the yz planes can be expressed as:

\[ V(\gamma) = V_n (31 \cos \gamma + 21 \sin \gamma) \]  

(4-36)

**Body Diagonal Geometry**

The fiber volume fraction distribution of a body diagonal fabric geometry is equally divided by the four yarn orientations of this geometry. When this geometry is present in an cubic unit cell, the geometric isotropy is equivalent in all orthogonal planes. The geometric isotropy can be expressed as:

\[ V(\gamma) = 0.5 \mid \sin(54.74) \mid (\mid \cos(45-\gamma) \mid + \mid \cos(45+\gamma) \mid) \]  

(4-37)

By the symmetry of the fiber architecture, the angle made with the axis normal to the plane, 35.26°, is the same for all yarn orientations. The projection of the four yarn orientations reduces to two individual orientations in a specific plane. The two cosine terms reflect these orientations.

The geometric isotropy of the body diagonal geometry with a tetrahedral unit cell in the xy plane is described by the general form of the above equation, which is given below.
\[ V(\gamma) = 0.5 |\sin \phi| (|\cos(\theta-\gamma)| + |\cos(\theta+\gamma)|) \quad (4-38) \]

\[ \phi = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\tan \theta}\right) \quad (4-39) \]

The isotropy of the \(xz\) and \(yz\) planes differs from that of the \(xy\) plane through possession of a different value for \(\theta\). The geometric isotropy for the body diagonal geometry with a angle of \(45^\circ\) in the \(xy\) plane and \(60^\circ\) in the \(xz\) and \(yz\) planes is shown in Figure 4-28. There is a significant increase in the anisotropy with an angle of \(60^\circ\), since \(c\) is then significantly greater than \(a\). When \(\theta = 60^\circ\) local minima occur at \(30, 150, 210,\) and \(330\) degrees. These angles are orthogonal to the two yarn orientations in the plane.

**Euclidean Braid with Lateral Lay-ins**

The presence of lateral lay-ins only effects the \(xy\) plane isotropy of an Euclidean braid. The geometric isotropy of this braid with two orthogonal lateral lay-ins can be expressed as:

\[ V(\gamma) = \frac{V_B}{2} |\sin \phi| (|\cos(\theta-\gamma)| + |\cos(\theta+\gamma)|) \]

\[ + \frac{V_{\text{Lat}}}{2} (|\cos \gamma| + |\sin \gamma|) \quad (4-40) \]

where \(V_f = V_B + V_{\text{Lat}}\)

The exact value of \(V_B\) can be determined with the following equation:
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xy Plane with $\theta = 45^\circ$

xz = yz Plane
$\theta = 60^\circ$
\[ V_B = 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2 + \tan^2 \theta} + 2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4-41)

Figure 4-29 plots this geometric isotropy. The presence of the lateral yarns decreases the curvature of the plot in each segment and creates an inflection point on the axes.

**Euclidean Braid with a Longitudinal Lay-in**

The presence of longitudinal lay-ins effects the \(xz\) and \(yz\) plane isotropy for an Euclidean braid. The geometric isotropy of this fabric can be expressed as:

\[ V(\gamma) = \frac{V_B}{2} \mid \sin \phi \mid (\mid \cos(\theta - \gamma) \mid + \mid \cos(\theta + \gamma) \mid) + V_{Long} \mid \sin \gamma \mid \]

\[ \text{where } V_f = V_B + V_{Long} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4-42)

The exact value of \(V_B\) can be determined with the following equation:

\[ V_B = 1 - \frac{\tan \theta}{\sqrt{2 + \tan^2 \theta} + \tan \theta} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4-43)

Figure 4-30 plots this geometric isotropy for a theta of 60° in the \(xz\) plane. The presence of the longitudinal lay-in in the \(z\) direction creates additional local minima on the \(x\) axis.
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The Geometric Isotropy of the Euclidean Braid with a Longitudinal Lay-in in the xz Plane with $\theta = 60$
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Two-Step Braid

The relative value of this minima is greater in the two step braid as a result of the larger proportion of longitudinal lay-ins. The geometric isotropy of the xz plane of the two-step braid is shown in Figure 4-31. The isotropy in this plane is similar to that in the yz. The geometric isotropy is modelled using:

\[ V(\gamma) = \frac{V_{\text{Bias}}}{2} \sin\theta (1 \cos(\theta-\gamma) + 1 \cos(\theta+\gamma)) \]

\[ + V_{\text{Long}} \sin\gamma \]  \hspace{1cm} (4-44)

\[ \phi = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\tan\theta}{\sqrt{2 (a - \frac{D}{2})^2}} \right) \] \hspace{1cm} (4-45)

\[ V_f = V_{\text{Bias}} + V_{\text{Long}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4-46)

\[ V_{\text{Bias}} = 1 - \frac{2\tan\theta}{2\tan\theta + \sqrt{2 (a - \frac{D}{2})^2 + a^2 \tan^2\theta}} \] \hspace{1cm} (4-47)

\( \theta \) is defined as the braid angle, \( a \) is a lattice parameter, and \( D \) is the yarn diameter used to calculate the geometry of this cell in 4-13.

The xy plane geometric isotropy of the two-step braid is shown in Figure 4-32. This plot is generated with the following function, when a tetrahedral unit cell is assumed:
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The Geometric Isotropy of the $xy$ Plane of a Two-Step Braid
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\[ V(\gamma) = \frac{V_{\text{Bias}}}{2} \sin \phi \left( | \cos(\theta - \gamma) | + | \cos(\theta + \gamma) | \right) \]  
\[ \sqrt{2 \left( \frac{a - \frac{D}{2}}{2a} \right)^2} \]  

where \( \phi = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \tan \theta}{\tan \theta} \right) \)

Since the \( a \) and \( b \) lattice parameters are equal in this plane, \( \theta \) equals 45 degrees. This function possesses the same form as equation 4-33, for the geometric isotropy of the Euclidean braid with 100% braiding yarns in the \( xy \) plane.

**Crawford's 7D Body Diagonal Geometry**

Crawford's 7D body-diagonal geometry, plotted in figure 4-33, possesses a similar geometric isotropy to the braid with lateral lay-ins in the \( xy \) plane and to the braid with longitudinal lay-ins in the \( xz \) and the \( yz \) planes. The geometric isotropy of this fiber architecture can be generated by utilizing equations 4-40 and 4-42 for the respective planes. The determination of the fiber volume fraction coefficient is made using the following relations.

\[ V_f = V_B + V_{\text{Long}} + V_{\text{Lat}} \]  
\[ V_{\text{Lat}} = \frac{2}{4 \sqrt{2 + \tan^2 \theta} + 2 + \tan \theta} \]  
\[ V_{\text{Long}} = \frac{\tan \theta}{4 \sqrt{2 + \tan^2 \theta} + 2 + \tan \theta} \]
The Geometric Isotropy of Crawford's 7D Body Diagonal Geometry
Figure 4-33

*xy Plane with $\theta = 45^\circ$*

*yz Plane with $\theta = 60^\circ$*
Crawford's 7D Face Diagonal Geometry

The geometric isotropy of Crawford's 7D face diagonal geometry in the xy plane when the lattice parameter \( a = b \), possesses no local minima at 45°. This phenomena occurs since the projection of the face diagonal yarns into the orthogonal planes is parallel either to one of the orthogonal axes. The function used to plot the geometric isotropy in the xy plane is:

\[
V(\gamma) = V_x |\cos \gamma| + V_y |\sin \gamma| + \frac{V_F}{2} \cos \theta (|\sin \gamma| + |\cos \gamma|) \tag{4-53}
\]

\[
V_F = V_x + V_y + V_z + V_F \tag{4-54}
\]

\[
V_x = V_y = \frac{1}{2 + \tan \theta + \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \theta}} \tag{4-55}
\]

\[
V_z = \frac{\tan \theta}{2 + \tan \theta + \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \theta}} \tag{4-56}
\]

\[
V_F = 1 - V_x - V_y - V_z \tag{4-57}
\]

Corresponding the geometric isotropy of the xz plane is:

\[
V(\gamma) = V_x |\cos \gamma| + V_z |\sin \gamma| + \frac{V_F}{4} (|\cos \theta + \gamma| + |\cos (\theta - \gamma)|) \tag{4-58}
\]
The geometric isotropy of the yz plane is:

\[ V(\gamma) = V_y |\cos \gamma| + V_z |\sin \gamma| + \frac{V_F}{4} (|\cos(\theta+\gamma)| + |\cos(\theta-\gamma)|) \]

(4-59)

The geometric isotropy of the xz and the yz planes are equivalent if \( a = b \).

The factor that is divided into \( V_F \) differs from that of the xy plane since only one-half of the face diagonals are projected into either the xz or the yz planes. The different isotropy plots for this fiber architecture are shown in Figure 4-34.

**Crawford's 11D Geometry**

The xy plane isotropy of Crawford's 11D geometry possesses many local minima as a result presence of the body diagonal, face diagonal, and orthogonal yarns. Figure 4-35 plots the isotropy of this geometry for the xy and xz planes. Since a tetrahedral unit cell is assumed, the xz and the yz planes are equivalent. The function used to produce the xy geometric isotropy plot is:

\[ V(\gamma) = V_x |\cos \gamma| + V_y |\sin \gamma| + \frac{V_F}{2} \cos \theta (|\sin \gamma| + |\cos \gamma|) \]

\[ + \frac{V_B}{2} |\sin \phi| (|\cos(\theta-\gamma)| + |\cos(\theta+\gamma)|) \]

(4-60)

with \( \phi = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\tan \theta}\right) \)

In the xz and the yz planes (the 12 planes):
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$xz = yz$ Plane

with $\theta = 60^\circ$

$xy$ Plane

with $\theta = 45^\circ$
\[ V(\gamma) = V_1 \cos \gamma + V_2 \sin \gamma + \left( \frac{V_F}{4} + \frac{V_B}{2} \right) \sin \phi \left( \cos(\theta - \gamma) \left| \right| + \cos(\theta + \gamma) \right) \]  

(4-61)

with \( \phi = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\tan \theta}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \)

The principal fiber orientation directions comprise the fiber volume fraction in the following manner:

\[ V_f = V_x + V_y + V_z + V_F + V_B \]  

(4-62)

\[ V_x = V_y = \frac{1}{2 + \tan \theta + \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \theta} + \sqrt{2 + \tan^2 \theta}} \]  

(4-63)

\[ V_z = \frac{\tan \theta}{2 + \tan \theta + \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \theta} + \sqrt{2 + \tan^2 \theta}} \]  

(4-64)

\[ V_F = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \theta}}{2 + \tan \theta + \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \theta} + \sqrt{2 + \tan^2 \theta}} \]  

(4-65)

\[ V_B = 1 - V_x - V_y - V_z - V_F \]  

(4-66)

**3D Weaves**

The presence of the web yarns in the three dimensional weave geometry has the same effect on the geometric isotropy as the face diagonal yarns of the combined geometries. Each plane in this fiber architecture possesses a different geometric isotropy. The functions to generate these different
isotropies for a thick weave with two web yarns per unit cell are given below.

In the xy plane:

\[ V(y) = V_x \cos y + V_y \sin y + V_w \cos \phi \cos y \]  (4-67)

In the xz plane:

\[ V(y) = V_x \cos y + \frac{V_w}{2} (\cos(\alpha + y) + \cos(\alpha - y)) \]  (4-68)

In the yz plane:

\[ V(y) = V_y \cos y \]  (4-69)

where:

\[ \phi = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{a}{c} \right) \]  (4-70)

a is defined in equation 4-18 and c is defined in 4-19.

\[ V_f = V_x + V_y + V_w \]  (4-71)

\[ V_x = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{\sin \alpha}}{2 + \frac{1}{\sin \alpha} + \frac{1}{\cos \alpha} + 2L_w} \]  (4-72)

\[ V_w = \frac{2L_w}{2 + \frac{1}{\sin \alpha} + \frac{1}{\cos \alpha} + 2L_w} \]  (4-73)
\[ V_Y = 1 - V_x - V_w \]  \hspace{1cm} (4-74)

Figure 4-36 plots the geometric isotropy of these three planes. The \( yz \) plane possess an isotropy similar to that of the MWK in this plane. The \( xy \) and the \( xz \) planes possess minima at intervals of \( 45^\circ \) as a result of the presence of the web yarns.
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CHAPTER FIVE
MECHANICAL MODELLING

The 3-D fibrous composite can be regarded as an assemblage of a finite number of individual structural cells. Each individual cell is the smallest representative volume taken from the fiber architectural system. It is then treated as a space structure with the endowed representative architecture, rather than a material with a set of effective continuum properties. The basic idea is to identify the unit cell's nodal supports, similar to the nodal points of a conventional finite element. By the introduction of the principle of virtual work in solid mechanics and structural analysis, the matrix \([k]\), the stiffness of the cell can be derived to relate nodal displacement vector to nodal forces for a cell.

Therefore, the key step in the formulation of the problem is the identification of the unit cell's nodal points. In this model, the yarns which pass by a node are considered as intersected each other and hence, can be treated as either pin-jointed two-force truss members or rigid connected frame members. With this postulate, the interaction at the yarn interlacing is not considered in this modelling. Thus, for instance, by
treating a unit cell specifically as a pin-jointed space truss, a 3-D truss finite element technique may be employed for the mechanistic analysis.

As for the matrix in a composite, it is usually used as load transfer medium. In order to include the effect of matrix, which is subjected to tension or compression under the deformation of yarns, the matrix is assumed to act as rod members. Each rod member connects the two ends of a given set of corresponding yarns in the unit cell. Hence, the matrix plays a role in restricting the free rotation and deformation of yarns.

The methodology of the finite element modelling is presented in the following. First of all, let $a_{ij}$ represent the value of member deformation $q_i$ caused by a unit nodal displacement $r_j$. The total value of each member deformation caused by all the nodal displacements may be written in the following matrix form:

$$
\{q\} = [a] \{r\} \quad (5-1)
$$

where $[a]$ is called the displacement transformation matrix which relates the member deformations to the nodal displacements. In other words, it represents the compatibility of displacements of a unit cell.

The next step is to establish the force-displacement relationship within the unit cell. The member force-deformation relationship can be written as:
\[ [Q] = [K'] [q] \]  
\hspace{1cm} (5-2) 

where \([K']\) is the stiffness matrix of a member.

The principle of virtual work states that the work done on a system by the 
external forces equals the increase in strain energy stored in the system. 
Here, the nodal forces can be considered as the external forces of the unit 
cell. Therefore, if \([R]\) represents the nodal force vector, it follows that

\[ ([\delta r])^T [R] = ([\delta q])^T [Q] \]  
\hspace{1cm} (5-3) 

where \([\delta r]\) and \([\delta q]\) are virtual displacement and deformation, respectively. 
From Equations (5-1) and (5-2), the following equations can be derived 
through matrix manipulation:

\[ [R] = [K] [r] \]  
\hspace{1cm} (5-4) 

where: \([R]\) = nodal forces 

\[ [K] = [a]^T [K'] [a] = \text{stiffness matrix of the unit cell} \]

\([r]\) = nodal displacements
Using Equation (5-4), the nodal force and the nodal displacements of a unit cell are related by the stiffness matrix of the unit cell.

In the above mentioned methodology, the stiffness matrix of a unit cell was formalized by use of the compatibility matrix \([A]\) and the concept of principle of virtual work. With this approach, the entire stiffness matrix \([K]\) was assembled by the triple matrix multiplication given as Eq.(5-4). For truss structure or simple fiber architecture of a unit cell, the compatibility matrix can be obtained without rigorous calculations. However, when the fiber architecture becomes complicated or a frame unit cell is being analyzed, it involves a large compatibility matrix where many of the elements are not easy to be evaluated correctly. From the computer programming points of view, neither the generation of this matrix \([A]\) nor the multiplication process for \([K]\) matrix assembly would be suitable to be explicitly laid out. A better methodology which combines the ideal of previously mentioned approaches and computer-oriented techniques is presented in the following, which is known as the Direct Stiffness Method.

In this method, the end displacements of each member are treated with respect to structural (global) coordinates. In this way all of the geometric transformation will be handled locally, and the stiffness matrix can be assembled by direct addition instead of by matrix multiplication. Thus, the assembly of the joint stiffness matrix may be stated as
where \( n \) is total number of the members, \( K_i \) is the \( i \)-th member stiffness matrix with end-forces and displacements in the directions of structural coordinate. Therefore, the member stiffness matrix should firstly be obtained with respect to the member axes, and then transformed in reference to the structural coordinates.

The member stiffness matrix is obtained by a unit displacement method. The unit displacements are considered to be induced one at a time while all other end displacements are retained at zero. The unknown displacements at each joint of a truss consists of three components, namely, the \( x \), \( y \) and \( z \) components of the joint translations. The unknown displacements at each joint of a frame consists of six components, namely, the \( x \), \( y \) and \( z \) components of the joint translations and the \( x \), \( y \) and \( z \) components of the joint rotations.

The member stiffness matrices of the space truss and space frame in member coordinates are given in Figure 5-1 and Figure 5-2, respectively. The elements of the \( j \)-th column in the matrices represent the forces required to hold the unit displacement in the \( j \)-th direction, or, each column in the matrix represents the forces caused by one of the unit displacements.
The present modelling will consider the high symmetry composites as frame structures. In this sense, the axial, flexural and torsional stresses and deformations of a member may be induced under tensile load. In other words, the modelling takes into consideration of axial, bending and torsion of yarns. In general case, if the member axes are not coincident with structural axes, a rotation transformation matrix should be performed to obtain the member stiffness in structural coordinates.

Let the spatial coordinate system of a prismatic member be given in Figure 5-3. The direction cosines \( r_i, s_i \) and \( t_i \) relate the structure axes \((X_s, Y_s, Z_s)\) to the member axes \((X_m, Y_m, Z_m)\). The coordinate transformation between \(X_m, Y_m, Z_m\) and \(X_s, Y_s, Z_s\) may be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} X_m \\ Y_m \\ Z_m \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & s_1 & t_1 \\ r_2 & s_2 & t_2 \\ r_3 & s_3 & t_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_s \\ Y_s \\ Z_s \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]
Figure 5-1. Stiffness Matrix of a 3-D Truss Member in Member Coordinates.

\[
[K_m] = \begin{pmatrix}
EA/L & 0 & 0 & -EA/L & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
- EA/L & 0 & 0 & EA/L & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Figure 5-2. Stiffness Matrix of a Member in a Unit Cell in Member Coordinates.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
KP & KS \\
0 & 0 & KS \\
0 & 0 & 0 & KG \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -KF & 0 & 2KM \\
0 & KF & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2KM \\
-KP & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & KP \\
0 & -KS & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -KF & 0 & KS \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -KG & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & KG \\
0 & 0 & -KF & 0 & KM & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & KS \\
0 & KF & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & KM & 0 & -KF & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2KM \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\(KP = \frac{EA}{L} ; \ KS = 12EI/L^3 ; \ KF = 6EI/L^2 ; \ KM = 2EI/L ; \ KG = GJ/L\)
Figure 5-3. A Prismatic Member in the Spatial Coordinate.
or in the concise matrix from:

\[
\{ X_m \} = [ T ] \{ X_s \} \tag{5-6}
\]

The first row of matrix \([T]\) relates the structure axes to the \(X_m\) axis, and can be written as:

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_1 &= \cos (X_m, X_s) \\
    s_1 &= \cos (X_m, Y_s) \\
    t_1 &= \cos (X_m, Z_s)
\end{align*}
\]

Similar formulations are derived for \(r_2, s_2, t_2\) and \(r_3, s_3, t_3\).

If the displacements or forces are expressed in two different coordinate systems, the coordinate transformation is used as the transformation matrix between the two coordinates. For displacements, the relation is as following:

\[
\{ D_m \} = [ R_T ] \{ D_s \} \tag{5-7}
\]

and for forces

\[
\{ F_m \} = [ R_T ] \{ F_s \} \tag{5-8}
\]

where \(\{D_m\}\) and \(\{F_m\}\) represent the properties in member axes and \(\{D_s\}\) and \(\{F_s\}\) stand for the properties in structural axes. The transformation matrix \([R_T]\) is orthogonal, which consists of directional cosine matrix \([T]\) in diagonal terms. For a truss, the transformation matrix \([ R_T ]\) is
\[ [R_T] = \begin{bmatrix} T & O \\ O & T \end{bmatrix} \]

and for a frame, the transformation matrix \([R_T]\) is

\[
[R_T] = \begin{bmatrix} T & O & O & O \\ O & T & O & O \\ O & O & T & O \\ O & O & O & T \end{bmatrix}
\]

Let \([K_m]\) and \([K_s]\) be the stiffness matrix in member axes and structural axes, respectively. Then the forces-displacements relationships take the following forms:

\[
\{F_m\} = [K_m]\{D_m\} \quad (5-9)
\]

and

\[
\{F_s\} = [K_s]\{D_s\} \quad (5-10)
\]

Substituting Equ.(5-7) and Equ.(5-8) into Equ.(5-9), it yields

\[
\{F_s\} = [R_T]^T[K_m][R_T]\{D_s\} \quad (5-11)
\]

Compare equ(5-10) and equ(5-11), we have

\[
[K_s] = [R_T]^T[K_m][R_T] \quad (5-12)
\]

Consider a typical space member \(i\) with two ends \(j\) and \(k\), shown in Figure 5-4 with axes \(X_s\), \(Y_s\) and \(Z_s\) being parallel to the structural axes.
Figure 5-4. Rotation of Axes of a Space Member.
The Xm is taken as the longitudinal axis of the member while the Ym and Zm directions remain to be determined. Many ways can be selected for determining the directions of the Ym and Zm axes. A convenient way is to take the Zm axes as being lying in the Xs-Zs plane, as shown in the Figure 5-4. Once the Xm and Zm axes are determined, the Zm axis is located automatically by right-handed rule.

When the member axes are determined in the above described manner, there is no confusion about their orientations except in the case of vertical member. In this case, the position of Zm axis in the horizontal plane is not uniquely defined. The additional restriction will be made so that the Zm axis is always taken to be the Zs axis. Two possibilities for this case are shown in Figure 5-5, concerning vector from initial end to final end.

The transformation from the structure axes to the member axes may be considered to take two rotation steps. The first rotation is Xs and Zs axes rotate an angle β about Ys axis. This rotation moves the Xs axis to the position denoted as X₀ and moves the Zs axis to the final position denoted as Z₀ (same as Zm). The transformation formula is written as follows:

\[
(X₀) = [T_β](Xₚ)
\]

where

\[
[T_β] = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos β & 0 & \sin β \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\sin β & 0 & \cos β
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Figure 5-5. Two Possibilities of the Vertical Member.
For the second rotation, $X_B$ and $Y_B$ rotate an angle $\gamma$ about $Z_P$ axis. This rotation moves the $X_B$ and $Y_B$ axes to the final positions $X_m$ and $Y_m$. The transformation is expressed as:

$$\{X_m\} = [T_{\gamma}] \{X_B\} \tag{5-14}$$

where

$$[T_{\gamma}] = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \gamma & \sin \gamma & 0 \\ -\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Substituting Equ.(5-13) into Equ.(5-14) yields

$$\{X_m\} = [T_{\gamma}][T_{\theta}]\{X_s\} \tag{5-15}$$

Comparing above equation with Equ(5-6), we have the rotation matrix

$$[T] = [T_{\gamma}][T_{\theta}] \tag{5-16}$$

Let $(X_j, Y_j, Z_j)$ and $(X_k, Y_k, Z_k)$ be the coordinates of initial end and terminal end of the member, respectively. Then the directional cosines that relate this structure axes to $X_m$ axis is obvious as follows:

$$r_1 = r = \frac{X_k-X_j}{L}$$

$$s_1 = s = \frac{Y_k-Y_j}{L}$$
where L is the length of member, can be obtained from the coordinates of two ends. Let q be equal to \((r^2 + t^2)^{1/2}\), and the rotation matrix in equation (5-13) and (5-14) can be expressed as follows:

\[
[T_\beta] = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{r}{q} & 0 & \frac{t}{q} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\frac{t}{q} & 0 & \frac{r}{q}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and

\[
[T_\gamma] = \begin{bmatrix}
q & s & 0 \\
-s & q & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Thus, the rotation matrix for transformation between the member axes and the structure axes takes the following form:

\[
[T] = [T_\gamma][T_\beta] = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{r}{q} & s & \frac{t}{q} \\
\frac{-rs}{q} & \frac{-st}{q} & \frac{r}{q} \\
\frac{-t}{q} & 0 & \frac{-r}{q}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (5-17)

The above rotation matrix \([T]\) is valid for all positions of the member except when the member is vertical. In the case of a vertical member, the directional cosines of the member axes with respect to the structure axes can be determined by inspection. Thus the rotation matrix is seen to be
Taking the appropriate rotation matrix, either Equ(5-17) or Equ(5-18), to acquire the desired rotation transformation matrix $[R_T]$, the member stiffness matrix in the structure axes is obtained. Then, assembly of the contributions from each member to a joint, or, a node in finite element procedure, yields the stiffness matrix of a unit cell as expressed in equ(5-5).

With the stiffness matrix of a unit cell being known, for a structural shape which consists of a large number of unit cells, a system of equations for the total structural shape can be assembled using the individual cell relations following the finite element methodology. From the solution of the equations, the stress distribution and deformation of the entire structure under applied load can be calculated and analyzed.

**NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS**

The FCM was implemented by the use of computer simulation. With basic parameters in a unit cell, such as yarn elastic modulus, fiber volume fraction, yarn orientation and unit cell dimension fully characterized, the applicability of the FCM to predict the structural response of composites will be demonstrated experimentally.

*Finite Element Implementation of FCM*
The Finite Cell Model just described has been implemented into finite element program. The basic ideas of the Finite Cell Model are laid out as a flow chart as shown in Figure 5-6. Figure 5-7 shows the more detailed computational flow of forming stiffness matrix of a unit cell. By entering the basic parameters for a unit cell and fiber/matrix properties to the program, the load-deformation and elastic properties such as elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio of the composite can be determined.

In addition, the results of structural analysis from separate studies show that the truss unit cell is not a stable structure. Therefore, the frame model is carried out in this numerical analysis.

The high symmetry composite material was proposed for structures with high compression capability. The primary idea is to put high modulus spheres into fiber reinforced materials. The fiber architecture discussed in this report will be a X-Y-Z type of structure. The spheres are in contact with each other and are confined by reinforced fibers. Ceramic material will be used as matrix for high temperature environment. The unit cell of the high symmetry composite is illustrated in Figure 5-8. From the arrangement of fibers and spheres in this figure, the unit cell dimension can be determined as the diameter of each individual sphere.
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Figure 5-6. The Flowchart of FCM Finite Element Program.
Subroutine FORM
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Figure 5-7. The Flowchart of Stiffness Matrix Formulation
In order to apply the finite cell modelling to the unit cell of high symmetry composites, the representation of the three constituents of the composites, i.e., matrix, fiber and spheres, need to be discussed. Consider a composite with the volume fraction of matrix, fibers and spheres being $V_m$, $V_f$ and $V_s$, respectively. The unit cell dimension is $H \times W \times T$. Since the dimensions of a unit cell are considered to be the center lines of members of the unit cell, part of each bar lies outside the unit cell in real case. An averaging method for the determination of the cross-section areas of the bars was used. Assuming that each type of bars have the same cross-sectional area. To simplify the analysis, assume that the yarns travelling in each direction can be combined into four yarns in that direction. The four yarns are assumed to travel along four corners in that direction. In this sense, the cross-sectional area of the fiber-bars is obtained by the following formula:

$$A_f = \frac{V_f H W T}{4(H+W+T)}$$

For the representation of the sphere in a unit cell, the effective sphere-bar with certain cross-section area will be assumed. The modulus of the sphere-bar is the same as the modulus of the sphere. The cross-sectional area of the sphere-bar is assumed to take the following form:

$$A_s = \frac{V_s H W T}{4(H+W+T)}$$

As for the matrix, which is used to transfer load, it can be represented as bars along the three orthogonal axes. The cross-sectional area of the matrix-bars is calculated by use of the following formula:
\[ A_m = \frac{V_mHT}{4(H+W+T)} \]

In the present case, the unit cell can be treated as a cube. Hence,

\[ H = W = T \]

or, the length of each bar is the same in present study. The cross-sectional area of fiber-bars, sphere-bars and matrix-bars can be rewritten as the following form:

\[ A_i = \frac{V_iH^3}{12H} = \frac{V_iH^2}{12} \]

where \( i \) could be a fiber-bar, sphere-bar or matrix-bar.

From the above discussions, the cross-sectional area and length of each bar, including the matrix-bar, fiber-bar and sphere-bar, are formulated. The matrix-bar, fiber-bar and sphere-bar are modelled to travel along each edge of a cubic unit cell. For the analysis purpose, the three bars need to be combined into a composite bar with individual contribution of the three bars. The resultant properties of the composite bar is obtained rule of mixture among the three bars. For the cross-sectional area of the composite bar, \( A_c \), it should be the sum of the cross-sectional areas of the three bars, or,

\[ A_c = A_f + A_m + A_s \]

For the modulus of the composite bar, \( E_c \), it can be obtained in the following formula:
\[ A_c E_c = E_f A_f + E_m A_m + E_s A_s \]

and the shear modulus of the composite bar is

\[ \frac{1}{G_c} = \frac{V_f}{G_f} + \frac{V_m}{G_m} + \frac{V_s}{G_s} \]

For a sphere in a X-Y-Z architectured unit cell, the volume of the sphere is obtained by the following formula:

\[ D_s = \frac{4}{3}\pi(H/2)^3 \]

Thus, regardless of the dimension of the unit cell, the volume fraction of the sphere in a unit cell is:

\[ V_s = \frac{D_s}{H^3} = \frac{\pi}{6} = 52.3\% \]

Therefore, if the fiber volume fraction is 20%, the volume fraction of matrix is 27.7%.

The spheres used for reinforcing the high symmetry composites are made of alumina with modulus being 56 Msi(386 GPa). The fibers selected for this study are Nicalon and FP-5 fibers, while the matrices are SiC, alumina and LAS-III. The modulus of the materials are listed in the following table:
In a unit cell with dimension of .2"x.2"x.2", the cross-sectional areas of the fiber, matrix, sphere and composite bars are listed in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vf (%)</th>
<th>Fiber (in²)</th>
<th>Matrix (in²)</th>
<th>Sphere (in²)</th>
<th>Composite (in²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>.000667</td>
<td>.000923</td>
<td>.001743</td>
<td>.003333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By inputting the above moduli, cross-sectional areas and unit cell dimension to the Finite Cell program, the response of the unit cell structure under compression can be found. Figure 5-9 shows the loading condition and boundary conditions of a specimen. The applied load was divided into several steps on account of the possible nonlinear load-deformation behavior due to geometrical conformation. In order to examine the effect of matrix reinforced with alumina spheres, the predictions of the composites without spheres are performed as well. The comparison of the stress-strain curves between various combinations of fibers, matrices and spheres are shown in Figure 5-10 to 5-13. From the figures, the high symmetry composites with sphere reinforcements have higher Young's modulus.
Figure 5-10. Compressive Stress-Strain Relationship of FP/SiC Composites with/without Sphere Reinforcement.

Figure 5-11. Compressive Stress-Strain Relationship of FP/LAS Composites with/without Sphere Reinforcement.
Figure 5-12. Compressive Stress-Strain Relationship of Nic/SiC Composites with/without Sphere Reinforcement.

Figure 5-13. Compressive Stress-Strain Relationship of Nic/LAS Composites with/without Sphere Reinforcement.
Figure 5-14. Compressive Stress-Strain Relationship of FP/Alu Composites with/without Sphere Reinforcement.

Figure 5-15. Compressive Stress-Strain Relationship of Nic/Alu Composites with/without Sphere Reinforcement.
To summarize from Figure 5-10 through Figure 5-15, the stiffness of the LAS- and Alumina-matrix composites with sphere reinforcement showed a higher value than the LAS and Alumina-matrix composites without sphere reinforcement. However, in the case of the SiC-matrix composites, no significant improvement of stiffness was shown. The reason is that the modulus of embedded sphere is the same as that of the matrix SiC. Therefore, the reinforcing effect is not seen. Hence, the addition of high modulus spheres into softer matrix composites shows improved modulus.

The other method to account for the effect of spheres in the matrix is to treat the matrix as particulate-filled system. Thus, the effective properties of the matrix can be described by Kerner's equation, which takes the following form for shear modulus:

\[
G_{me} = G_m \left( \frac{V_s G_s}{(7-5V_m)G_m + (8-10V_m)G_s} + \frac{V_m}{15(1-V_m)} \right) + \frac{V_m}{15(1-V_m)} \frac{V_s G_m}{(7-5V_m)G_m + (8-10V_m)G_s}
\]

where \( V \) represents volume fraction, and subscripts \( m \) and \( s \) represent matrix and sphere phases, respectively. Conversion of shear to tensile modulus may be made by using the isotropic relation

\[
E_{me} = 2G_{me}(1+\nu)
\]
where the Poisson’s ratio, $v$, is given by a rule-of-mixture expression

$$v = v_m V_m + v_s V_s$$

With this consideration, the cross-sectional area of the fiber-bars is calculated by the previous formula:

$$A_f = V_f H W T / 4 (H + W + T)$$

As for the sphere-filled matrix, the cross-sectional area of the effective matrix-bars is calculated by use of the following formula:

$$A_{me} = (V_m + V_s) H W T / 4 (H + W + T)$$

The resultant properties of the composite bar is obtained by rule of mixture among the two bars. For the cross-sectional area of the composite bar, $A_c$, it should be the sum of the cross-sectional areas of the three bars, or,

$$A_c = A_f + A_{me}$$

For the modulus of the composite bar, $E_c$, it can be obtained in the following formula:

$$A_c E_c = E_f A_f + E_{me} A_{me}$$

and the shear modulus of the composite bar is

$$1/G_c = V_f G_f + (V_s + V_m)/G_{me}$$
By inputting the effective moduli, cross-sectional areas and unit cell dimension to the Finite Cell program, the response of the unit cell structure under compression can be found.

Figure 5-16 and Figure 5-17 show the effect of sphere volume fraction and fiber volume fraction, respectively.

Figure 5-16. The effect of sphere volume fraction on Compressive Stress-Strain Relationship of Nic/LAS Composites.
In Finite Cell Modelling, the basic assumption on material-bar representations simplifies the analysis of high symmetry composites. The treatment of composite bar by use of the rule-of-mixture stems from the complexity of the interaction between fiber, sphere and matrix. The actual composite behavior around sphere surface and sphere-fiber contact points is very complicated. In addition to compressive stress, the shear stress, which transfers the load from sphere and fiber to matrix, takes place. The neglect of the shear stress may result in an inaccurate prediction of elastic behavior. But, the degree of inaccuracy is not under consideration in Finite Cell Modelling. The predictions should be evaluated by experimental results. Further studies on this model to investigate the interaction between fiber and matrix have to be conducted. The load transfer mechanism between fibers, spheres and matrix as well as the effect of fiber architecture in a unit cell needs to be explored. This may lead to a 3-D solid element modelling on the unit cell of a high symmetry composite.
A 3-D finite element code for analyzing the deformation of hollow spheres was developed and is discussed in the following section. This program can be integrated with finite cell modelling to further investigate the effect of fiber/sphere interaction.
In order to implement the concept of high symmetry structures, a method for the creation of a 3-D fiber network and the placement of the sphere was developed.

The hexagonal braiding machine (HBM) consists of the following components:

* motion motor array (MMA)
* locating system (LS)
* sphere feeding mechanism (SFM)
* hexagonal drivers (HD)
* sphere tank (ST)
* carriers (C)
* take down mechanism (TDM)

As illustrated in Figure 6-1, the MMA consists of step motors and control units connected directly to a computer which offers precise control of
independent motion for each yarn carrier. Figure 6-1a is a schematic illustration of the hexagonal braiding machine (HBM). The motion motor array (MMA) is the principal driver which propels the carriers in a hexagonal pattern to create various fibrous networks that can range from orthogonal to the close-to-cubic 3-D quadraxial structure. The locating system along with sphere feeding mechanism meter the spheres into the fibrous network after each braiding operation. These operations are followed by a take-down motion which collects the 3-D fiber/sphere assembly into a storage package for composite fabrication.

To facilitate the fabrication process and assure reproducibility and numerical control system is organized and implemented through a computer. The control logic and data flow are shown in Figure 6-2. The resulting structures which can be created by the HBM are illustrated in Figure 6-3. In Figure 6-4 a model of the high symmetry system is shown.
Figure 6.1a Schematic Illustration of the Hexagonal Braiding Machine.
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Figure 6-2 Control Logic and Data Flow of Hexagonal Braiding Machine.
Demonstration of design concept and modelling of high-symmetry composites

High Symmetry Composites by Close Packing of Spheres in a 3-D Fiber Network

Figure 6.3
Figure 6-4 A Model of High Symmetry System.
CHAPTER SEVEN

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In the interest of developing toughened and hardened composite systems, the concept of structural symmetry by the placement of spheres in a 3-D fiber network was examined. By employing a 3-D fiber architecture, the composite system is anticipated to be toughened by the 3-D fiber network through complex interaction of toughening mechanism. The spheres, when strategically placed in a prearranged fiber network, will contribute to the hardening of the composite.

To demonstrate this concept of High Symmetry Composite (HSC), a systematic study was carried out and organized into three parts:

Part I. Classification of 3-D Fiber Architecture

Part II. Modelling of High Symmetry Systems

Part III. Demonstration of Concept
In Part I of this study, 3-D fiber architectures were classified according to the method of manufacture, symmetry and geometric isotopy. It was concluded that a classification scheme based on geometric isotopy provides the most efficient and useful method for the modelling of the 3-D composite system.

The modelling effort in Part II of the study consists of the development of a finite element code for the sphere; a finite cell model (FCM) for the 3-D fiber network. The sphere routine is capable of handling elastic and elastoplastic materials for laminated shell of isotropic and/or orthotropic layers under radial and tangential surface forces, as well as internal pressure loading. The finite cell code, on the other hand, was developed based on the idealization of the unit cell geometry in terms of truss systems. According to the principle of virtual work, the nodal forces within the cell structure are related to the nodal displacement by a stiffness matrix [K]. This finite cell code has been employed to predict the tensile stress-strain relationship of chemical vapor infiltrated (CVI) 3-D braided Nicalon SiC/SiC composites. With a volume fraction of 0.4 and using a 1 x 1 braiding pattern for the Nicalon yarn, the theoretical prediction of the tensile stress-strain relationship agrees reasonably well with the experimental results as shown in Figure 7-1.
Tensile Stress-Strain Relationship of 3-D Braided SiC/SiC Composite

Figure 7-1
Using the FCM, parametric studies were carried out for various ceramic matrix composite systems. As expected, the inclusion of the sphere reinforcement does improve the compressive stiffness.

In order to transform the high symmetry composite concept to reality, there is a need for a mechanism to create the structure. Part III of this study is dedicated to this effort. Unfortunately, due to the shortage of funding for the third year, only the manufacturing methodology was explored. The proposed method is based on a hexagonal braiding process which incorporates a sphere feeding mechanism. This manufacturing system was designed with full support of computer logic flow. Accordingly, when the prototype machine is built, a numerically controlled, reproducible preforming system will be available for the manufacturing of high symmetry composites.

Finally, it must be concluded that although the concept of high symmetry composites has been theoretically and conceptually demonstrated which simulated results and preforming mechanism a considerable amount of work remains to be done in the verification of the model and design concepts. It is recommended polymer and ceramic matrix composites with 3-D fiber/sphere reinforcements to be fabricated, first manually and then on the hexagonal braiding machine (when it is available). Tensile and compressive tests will be performed on these composites. The failure modes will be characterized by fractography. It is further recommended
that further work be carried out to link the sphere code to the finite cell code such that the interaction of the 3-D fiber network with the sphere be fully explored.
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APPENDIX A

LISTING OF FINITE CELL MODELLING PROGRAM
This program is designed to demonstrate the concept and formulations of finite-cell modelling for X-Y-Z fiber reinforced composites.

The maximum number of unit cells of this program is 5.

IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON /ARR/ DISP(72),FORCE(72),FORCE1(72),DISPL(72)
COMMON /ARR1/ FORCE2(72),CORD(72),COOR(72)
CHARACTER*20 INFILE, OUTFIL
COMMON /PARAM/ E(4),G(4),A(4),DIA(4),FR,EA(4),EAL(4)
COMMON /REDUCT/ IRN,IRD,FIR2,IR2,IR1,IR5,IK6,IR6
COMMON /NUMBER/ NCELL, NOD,NDOF,NBC, NFORCE, NSTEP, ITER
COMMON /INDEX/ IND(72),IBC(72),KL(72),IREDUCE(72), IDELE(6,8)
COMMON /STIF/ STIFF(72,72)
COMMON /STIF1/ STIFF1(48,48),COR(24,3)
COMMON /MCONN/ MCN(6,16,2)
COMMON /MATRIX/ B(72,72)
COMMON /VALUE/ RATIO1,RATIO2,RATIO, TDIS
CHARACTER*1 ANS

OPEN INPUT DATA FILE
OPEN (UNIT=10,FILE='INFILE',STATUS='OLD')

READ THE NUMBER OF UNIT CELLS
READ (10,*) NCELL

READ THE COORDINATES OF EACH NODE
READ (10,*) NOD
NDOF=6*NOD
DO 20 I=1,NOD
   READ (10,2001) COR(I,1),COR(I,2),COR(I,3)
20 CONTINUE

STORE THE CELL CONNECTION
DO 25 I=1,NECELL
   READ (10,*) (IDELE(I,J), J=1,8)
25 CONTINUE

STORE THE UNIT CELL MEMBER CONNECTION
DO 27 I = 1, NCELL
   READ (10,*) (MCN(I,J,1),J=1,12)
   READ (10,*) (MCN(I,J,2),J=1,12)
27 CONTINUE

ENTRY OF BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR DISPLACEMENTS
DO 30 I = 1, NDOF
   IND(I) = 1
   READ (10,*) NBC
30 CONTINUE

IND = 0 (FIXED SUPPORT), IND = 1 (FREE SUPPORT)
DO 35 I = 1, NBC
   READ (10,*) IBC(I)
   IBC1 = 6*(IBC(I))-5
   IBC2 = 6*(IBC(I))-4
   IBC3 = 6*(IBC(I))-3
   IBC4 = 6*(IBC(I))-2
   IBC5 = 6*(IBC(I))-1
   IBC6 = 6*(IBC(I))
35 CONTINUE
--- ENTRY OF BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR FORCES

DO 40 I=1,NDOF
FORCE(I)=0.0
DISP(I)=0.0
40 CONTINUE

READ(10,*) NFORCE
DO 43 I=1,NFORCE
READ(10,*) IFORC
IFORC1=6*IFORC-5
IFORC2=6*IFORC-4
IFORC3=6*IFORC-3
IFORC4=6*IFORC-2
IFORC5=6*IFORC-1
IFORC6=6*IFORC
READ(10,*) FORCE(IFORC1), FORCE(IFORC2), FORCE(IFORC3),
& FORCE(IFORC4), FORCE(IFORC5), FORCE(IFORC6)
43 CONTINUE

--- MATERIAL PROPERTIES

READ(10,2001) VF,VFB,VM
READ(10,2002) EF,PF,EM,PM,EBALL
READ(10,2001) HEIGHT,WIDTH,THICK
READ(10,*) NX,NY,NZ
HL=HEIGHT
WL=WIDTH
TL=THICK
ABALL=VFB*HL*WL*TL/(4.*(HL+WL+TL))
AF = VF*HL*WL*TL/(4.*(HL+WL+TL))
AM = VM*HL*WL*TL/(4.*(HL+WL+TL))
AC = AF + AM + ABALL
print *, af, am, aball, ac
EBALLX = (EBALL/ABALL)*HL
eballx = eball
EBALLY = EBALLX
EBALLZ = EBALLX
GF = EF/(2.+2.*PF)
GM = EM/(2.+2.*PM)
GB = EBALL/(2.+2.*3)
A(1) = AC
A(2) = AC
A(3) = AC
acl=af/ac
ac2=am/ac
ac3=aball/ac
print *, acl, ac2, ac3
E(1)=(AF*EF+AM*EM+EBALLX*ABALL)/A(1)
E(2)=(AF*EF+AM*EM+EBALLY*ABALL)/A(2)
E(3)=(AF*EF+AM*EM+EBALLZ*ABALL)/A(3)
if(vfb.eq.0.) then
   GC = 1./((PF/GF+PM/GM+.3/GB)
endif
gc = 1./(PF/GF+PM/GM)
G(1) = GC
G(2) = GC
G(3) = GC
DIA(1)=DSQRT(4.*A(1)/3.14159)
DIA(2)=DSQRT(4.*A(2)/3.14159)
DIA(3)=DSQRT(4.*A(3)/3.14159)
EA(1) = E(1) * A(1)
EA(2) = E(2) * A(2)
EA(3) = E(3) * A(3)
EAL(1) = EA(1) / HL
EAL(2) = EA(2) / WL
EAL(3) = EA(3) / TL

2001 FORMAT(3F10.0)
2002 FORMAT(5F10.0)

C
CLOSE(UNIT=10)

DO 55 I = 1, NDOF
55 COOR(I) = 0.
DO 60 I = 1, NOD
I1 = 6*I-5
I2 = 6*I-4
I3 = 6*I-3
COOR(I1) = COR(I,1)
COOR(I2) = COR(I,2)
COOR(I3) = COR(I,3)
60 CONTINUE

PRINT *, 'HOW MANY STEPS ?' NSSTEP
READ(5,*) NSSTEP

PRINT *, 'ENTER LOAD INCREMENT' DLOAD
READ(5,*) DLOAD

PRINT *, 'ENTER THE RATIO OF FRAME JOINT' FR
READ(5,*) FR

PRINT *, 'ENTER ULTIMATE STRENGTH ( KSI )' UTS
READ(5,*) UTS

--- INCREMENTAL LOAD LOOP STARTS HERE

OPEN(UNIT=50, FILE='OUTFIL', STATUS='UNKNOWN')
WRITE(50, *) NSSTEP, DLOAD, FR, UTS
WRITE (50, *)
WRITE(50, 1007) NSSTEP, DLOAD, FR, UTS
WRITE (50, 1008) EX, 'EY', 'EZ', 'EB'
WRITE (50, 1009) E
WRITE (50, 1008) AX, 'AY', 'AZ', 'AB'
WRITE (50, 1009) A
WRITE (50, 1008) DX, 'DY', 'DZ', 'DB'
WRITE (50, 1009) DIA
WRITE (50, *) PX
WRITE (50, 1008) PX
WRITE (50, 1009) HL, 'WL', 'TL'
WRITE (50, 1008) HEIGHT, WIDTH, THICK
WRITE (50, 1009) NX', 'NY', 'NZ'
WRITE (50, 1010) NX, NY, NZ
WRITE (50, 1009) EAX, 'EAY', 'EAZ', 'EAB'
WRITE (50, 1008) EAX
WRITE (50, 1009) EA/X, 'EAY/L', 'EAZ/L', 'EAB/L'
WRITE (50, 1008) EA/L
WRITE (50, 1009) EA/L
WRITE (50, 1008) EAL
WRITE (50, 1009) EAL/L
WRITE (50, 1008) EAL/L
WRITE(50, *)
WRITE(50, *)
WRITE(50, *) 'DATA OF 3-NODE'
WRITE(50, *)
WRITE(50, *) ' FORCE ', ' STRESS ', ' STRAIN ', '
&Exx ', ' Vxy ',

DO 1000 ILOOP=1,NSTEP
RATIO1=4.*ILOOP*DLOAD/(WIDTH*THICK)
RATIO=DLOAD*(ILOOP)

--- ITERATION OF ONE STEP LOAD STARTS HERE

--- FORM STIFFNESS MATRIX

DO 999 I=1,NDOF
DO 999 J=1,NDOF
STIFF(I,J)=0.
DO 98 I=1,48
DO 98 J=1,48
STIFF1(I,J)=0.
DO 100 KK=1,NCELL

--- OPERATION ON LOCAL ELEMENT

DO 110 L=1,8
LI=IDELE(KK,L)
L1=6*L-5
L2=6*L-4
L3=6*L-3
L4=6*L-2
L5=6*L-1
L6=6*L
KL(L1)=6*LI-5
KL(L2)=6*LI-4
KL(L3)=6*LI-3
KL(L4)=6*LI-2
KL(L5)=6*LI-1
KL(L6)=6*LI

110 CONTINUE

CALL FORM(48,KK)

--- STORE LOCAL [K] TO GLOBAL [K]

DO 120 I=1,48
DO 120 J=1,48
IX=KL(I)
IY=KL(J)
STIFF(IX,IY)=STIFF(IX,IY)+STIFF1(I,J)
120 CONTINUE
100 CONTINUE
IF (ITER.EQ.1) THEN
GOTO 170
ENDIF

C ---- CALCULATE BIASED LOAD FOR ITERATION
DO 150 I=1,NDOF
FORCE2(I)=0.
DO 150 J=1,NDOF
FORCE2(I)=STIFF(I,J)*DISP1(J)+FORCE2(I)
150 CONTINUE
RATIO2=RATIO-FORCE2(1)
IF(RATIO2.LT.0.001) THEN
GOTO 400
END IF

--- APPLY BOUNDARY CONDITIONS TO REDUCE THE SIZE OF GLOBAL [K] ---

J=0
DO 200 I=1,NDOF
IF(IND(I).EQ.0) THEN
J=J+1
IREduce(J)=I
ENDIF
200 CONTINUE
IPN=J

--- COLUMN REDUCTION ---
IR2=1
DO 210 IR1=1,NDOF
IF(IR2.GT.IRN) THEN
GOTO 215
ENDIF
IF(IR1.EQ. IREDUCE(IR2)) THEN
IR2=IR2+1
GOTO 210
ENDIF
215 IRR2=IR1-IR2+1
FORCE1(IRR2)=FORCE(IR1)
DO 216 IR3=1,NDOF
STIFF(IRR2,IR3)=STIFF(IR1,IR3)
210 CONTINUE
IRDOF=IRR2

--- ROW REDUCTION ---
IR6=1
DO 250 IR5=1,NDOF
IF(IR6.GT.IRN) THEN
GOTO 255
ENDIF
IF(IR5.EQ. IREDUCE(IR6)) THEN
IR6=IR6+1
GOTO 250
ENDIF
255 IRR6=IR5-IR6+1
DO 256 IR7=1,IRDOF
STIFF(IR7,IRR6)=STIFF(IR7,IR5)
250 CONTINUE

--- CALCULATE INVERSE OF REDUCED [K] ---

DO 260 I=1,IRDOF
DO 260 J=1,IRDOF
B(I,J)=STIFF(I,J)
260 CONTINUE
CALL INV(IRDOF)
DO 300 I=1,IRDOF
  DISP(I)=0.
DO 300 J=1,IRDOF
300  DISP(I)=B(I,J)*FORCE1(J)*RATIO+DISP(I)

--- RESTORE THE DISPLACEMENTS

IR8=1
DO 350 I=1,NDOF
  IF(IREDUCE(IR8).EQ.I) THEN
    IR8=IR8+1
  GOTO 350
END IF
IR9=I-IR8+1
DISP1(I)=DISP(IR9)
350 CONTINUE

--- CALCULATE THE DISPLACED COORDINATES

DO 380 I=1,NDOF
  CORD(I)=COOR(I)+DISP1(I)
DO 390 I=1,NOD
  J1=6*I-5
  J2=6*I-4
  J3=6*I-3
  COR(I,1)=CORD(J1)
  COR(I,2)=CORD(J2)
  COR(I,3)=CORD(J3)
390 CONTINUE

--- PRINT OUT THE RESULTS

TDIS=CORD(13)-COOR(13)
YDIS=CORD(14)-COOR(14)
ZDIS=CORD(15)-COOR(15)
STRAIN=TDIS/HEIGHT
SY=YDIS/WIDTH
SZ=ZDIS/THICK
Exx=RATIO1/STRAIN
Vxy=-SY/STRAIN
Vxz=-SZ/STRAIN
WRITE(50,1006) RATIO,RATIO1,STRAIN,Exx,Vxy

--- THE END OF THE LOOP

UTS1=1000.*UTS
IF(RATIO1.GT.UTS1) THEN
  GOTO 500
END IF
1000 CONTINUE
500 WRITE(50,*)
WRITE(50,*)'FINAL DISPLACEMENT OF ALL NODES'
WRITE(50,*)' X , Y , Z '
& NO.'
DO 501 I=1,NOD
  J1=6*I-5
  J2=6*I-4
  J3=6*I-3
  WRITE(50,*)
501 WRITE(50,1009) DISP1(J1),DISP1(J2),DISP1(J3),I
WRITE(50,*)
WRITE(50,*) 'FINAL ROTATION'
DO 505 I=1,NOD
   J1=6*I-2
   J2=6*I-1
   J3=6*I
WRITE(50,*)
505 WRITE(50,1009) DISP1(J1),DISP1(J2),DISP1(J3),I
CLOSE(UNIT=50)

1001 FORMAT(/'REDUCED [K']','ILOOP = ',I3','ITER = ',I3)
1002 FORMAT(/'2E16.6')
1003 FORMAT(/'INVERSE [K']','ILOOP = ',I3','ITER = ',I3)
1004 FORMAT(/'12E10.3')
1005 FORMAT(/'4E16.7')
1006 FORMAT(/'5E14.5')
1007 FORMAT(/'10,3F12.2')
1008 FORMAT(4E12.4)
1009 FORMAT(3E14.5,I6)
1010 FORMAT(2X,3I5)
511 STOP
END
CCC-------------------------------------------------

--- THIS SUBROUTINE IS TO FORM THE CELL STIFFNESS MATRIX

SUBROUTINE FORM(IQAZ, KK)
IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON /STIF/ STIFF1(48,48),COR(24,3)
COMMON /MCONN/ MCN(6,16,2)
COMMON /PARAM/ E(4),G(4),A(4),DIA(4),FR
DIMENSION ST(12,12),SR(12,12),SK(12,12),GK(48, 8)
DIMENSION RM(3, 3),RTM(12, 12)
INTEGER IQAZ
DO 100 I = 1,IQAZ
   DO 100 J = 1,IQAZ
      STIFF(I,J)=0.0
100 CONTINUE

--- FORM THE COORDINATE TRANSFER MATRIX [R]

DO 600 M = 1,12
   II = MCN(KK,M,1)
   JJ = MCN(KK,M,2)
   X = COR(JJ,1)-COR(II,1)
   Y = COR(JJ,2)-COR(II,2)
   Z = COR(JJ,3)-COR(II,3)
   SL = DSQRT(X*X+Y*Y+Z*Z)
   R = X/SL
   S = Y/SL
   T = Z/SL
   RT = DSQRT(R*R+T*T)
601 FORMAT(/'8E15.5')

--- [R] FOR MEMBERS THAT ARE IN THE DIRECTION OF Y-AXIS

IF(RT.LT.0.001) THEN
   RM(1,1)=0.
   RM(1,2)=S
   RM(1,3)=0.
   RM(2,1)=S
RM(2, 2) = 0.
RM(2, 3) = 0.
RM(3, 1) = 0.
RM(3, 2) = 0.
RM(3, 3) = 1.
ELSE

--- [R] FOR OTHER MEMBERS

RM(1, 1) = R
RM(1, 2) = S
RM(1, 3) = T
RM(2, 1) = -R * S / RT
RM(2, 2) = RT
RM(2, 3) = -S * T / RT
RM(3, 1) = -T / RT
RM(3, 2) = 0.
RM(3, 3) = R / RT
ENDIF
DO 102 I = 1, 12
DO 102 J = 1, 12
102 RTM(I, J) = 0.
DO 105 I = 1, 3
DO 105 J = 1, 3
RTM(I, J) = RM(I, J)
RTM(I + 3, J + 3) = RM(I, J)
RTM(I + 6, J + 6) = RM(I, J)
RTM(I + 9, J + 9) = RM(I, J)
105 CONTINUE

--- GET MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR EACH MEMBER

IF (M - 4) 110, 110, 115
110 N = 1
GO TO 150
115 IF (M - 8) 120, 120, 125
120 N = 2
GO TO 150
125 IF (M - 12) 130, 130, 130
130 N = 3

150 H = 3.14159 * DIA(N) ** 4. / 64.
Q = E(N) * A(N) / SL

--- FORM MEMBER STIFFNESS MATRIX [SM]

DO 160 I = 1, 12
DO 160 J = 1, 12
160 SM(I, J) = 0.
SM(1, 1) = Q
SM(1, 7) = -Q
SM(2, 2) = F
SM(2, 6) = B
SM(2, 8) = -F
SM(2, 12) = B
SM(3, 3) = F
SM(3, 5) = -B
SM(3, 9) = -F
SM(3, 11) = -B
SM(4, 4) = D
\begin{verbatim}
SM(4,10) = -D
SM(5,5) = 2.*C
SM(5,9) = B
SM(5,11) = C
SM(6,6) = 2.*C
SM(6,8) = -B
SM(6,12) = C
SM(7,7) = Q
SM(8,8) = F
SM(8,12) = -B
SM(9,9) = F
SM(9,11) = B
SM(10,10) = D
SM(11,11) = 2.*C
SM(12,12) = 2.*C

--- APPLIED SYMMETRIC CONDITION

DO 170 I = 1,11
DO 170 J = I+1,12
170 SM(J,I) = SM(I,J)

--- FORM [SM][R]

DO 175 I = 1,12
DO 175 J = 1,12
SR(I,J) = 0.
DO 175 K = 1,12
175 SR(I,J) = SR(I,J)+SM(I,K)*RTM(K,J)

--- FORM [RT][SM][R]

DO 185 I = 1,12
DO 185 J = 1,12
SK(I,J) = 0.
DO 185 K = 1,12
185 SK(I,J) = SK(I,J)+RTM(K,I)*SR(K,J)

--- STORE MEMBER STIFFNESS [SK] IN TO UNIT CELL STIFFNESS MATRIX

DO 195 I = 1,48
DO 195 J = 1,48
195 GK(I,J) = 0.
II=MCN(1,M,1)
JJ=MCN(1,M,2)
DO 200 I2 = 1,12
IF(I2.LT.7) THEN
  I3 = (II-1)*6+I2
ELSE
  I3 = (JJ-1)*6+I2-6
ENDIF
DO 200 J2 = 1,12
IF(J2.LT.7) THEN
  J3 = (II-1)*6+J2
ELSE
  J3 = (JJ-1)*6+J2-6
ENDIF
GK(I3,J3) = SK(I2,J2)
200 CONTINUE
DO 210 I = 1,48
DO 210 J = 1,48
210 STIFF1(I,J) = STIFF1(I,J)+GK(I,J)
600 CONTINUE
RETURN
\end{verbatim}
--- SUBROUTINE FOR MATRIX INVERSION

SUBROUTINE INV(N)

IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON /MATRIX/ B(72,72)
DIMENSION A(72,144)

EPS=1.E-18
DO 100 I=1,N
DO 100 J=1,N
100 A(I,J)=B(I,J)
L=N+1
M=2*N
DO 200 I=1,N
DO 200 J=L,M
A(I,J)=0.
IF(I+N-J) 200,210,200
210 A(I,J)=1.
200 CONTINUE
DO 300 I=1,N
K=I
IF(I-N) 10,40,10
10 IF(A(I,I)-EPS) 20,30,40
20 IF(-A(I,I)-EPS) 30,30,40
30 K=K+1
DO 35 J=1,M
35 A(I,J)=A(I,J)+A(K,J)
GO TO 10
40 DIV=A(I,I)
DO 50 J=1,M
50 A(I,J)=A(I,J)/DIV
DO 300 K=1,N
DELT=A(K,I)
IF(DABS(DELT)-EPS) 300,300,60
60 IF(K-I) 70,300,70
70 DO 80 J=1,M
80 A(K,J)=A(K,J)-A(I,J)*DELT
300 CONTINUE
DO 400 I=1,N
DO 400 J=L,M
K=J-N
B(I,K)=A(I,J)
400 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
APPENDIX B

A FINITE ELEMENT CODE FOR HOLLOW SPHERES
FINITE ELEMENT CODE FOR HOLLOW SPHERES

INTRODUCTION

The hollow sphere is a major component in the high symmetry ceramic matrix composite which is studied in this research. Fig. 1 illustrates the conceptual unit cell of the composite in which closely packed spheres are embedded in the 3-D fiber network. To describe the load-deformation response of the total unit cell and the internal stresses in the constituent components of the unit cell, the response of the individual spheres must be described first and then incorporated in the total UNIT CELL model.

Since the UNIT CELL model has been described elsewhere in this report, this section present only the results stemming from our efforts in developing a finite element code for a single sphere which is subjected to surface forces, internal pressure and/or thermal loading.

GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE FINITE ELEMENT CODE

The finite element code is developed based on the so-called degenerated quadratic plate/shell element formulation found in the outlines of Hinton and Owen [1]. In essence, the usual assumptions made in the simple plate/shell theories continue to be valid in the formulation of the code. These include the assumptions of simple bending: the omission of deformation in the thickness direction and any deformation caused by transverse shear. Thus, there are only 5 degree-of-freedom at each node; namely, three displacements and two rotations.

The so-called degenerated isoparametric elements include three
different configurations: the 8-node Serendipity, the 9-node Lagrangian and 9-node Heterosis. The Serendipity is the simplest, requiring a normal rule of integration such as the 3x3 Gauss quadrature approach. This type of element, however, has been shown to yield stiff solutions if the shell is thin (as compared to its radius). To improve the accuracy of the computed stresses, a reduced integration technique such as suggested in Hinton and Owen [1] may be followed for shells of thin thicknesses. The 9-node Lagrangian is basically the 8-node serendipity with an additional middle node in the center of the quadrilateral element. Usually, a full integration technique must be followed, though the reduced integration method can also be used. However, problems of reduced rank (or rank deficiency) may sometimes arise in the stiffness matrix if the reduced integration technique is used. While the additional node helps to improve the computed results, it nevertheless causes increased degree-of-freedom of the element and requires a different set of the nodal shape functions. The Heterosis is a mix of the Serendipity and the Lagrangian in that the element employs serendipity shape functions for the transverse displacement \( w \) and the Lagrangian shape function for the rotations. This allows selective integration techniques to be used. Choice of these different element shapes is a matter of decision to be made for the specific problem which is to be analyzed [1].

The code can analyze structures constructed using shell elements, such the hollow sphere. The material of the sphere may be elastic and/or elasto-plastic; the sphere may be concentrically layered with isotropic and/or orthotropic materials; the applied load may be surface forces (radial and tangential, concentrated or distributed), internal pressure and/or temperature changes.
The code uses the FRONTAL solver for the finite element solution. A flow chart showing the block structure and the computational flow of the program is provided in Fig. 2.

A brief version of the user's instruction is provided at the end of this section.

A listing of the code PLASTOSHELL is provided in the appendix.

REFERENCE

Fig. 1 Close Packing of Spheres in A 3-D Fiber Network
Fig. 2 Flow Chart for the PLASTOSHELL Code (continued on next page)
STIFF
Calculates the element stiffnesses for elastic and elastoplastic material behaviour, taking into account the geometric nonlinearity for large displacement analysis.

LDISP
Evaluates the large displacement matrix $B$.

GEOME
Calculates the geometric stiffness matrix $K$.

FRONT
Solves the simultaneous equation system by the frontal method.

RESTR
Reduces the stress to the yield surface and evaluates the equivalent nodal forces.

INVAR
Evaluates the effective stress level.

FLOWS
Determines the flow vector $a$.

CONVER
Checks to see if the solution process has converged and evaluates the residual force vector.

OUTPUT
Prints the results for this load increment.

RESTAR
Records on tape the data needed to restart the problem in the next increment.

END
USER INSTRUCTION FOR PREPARING THE INPUT DATA

The program undertakes elastic or ultimate load analysis (if material is elasto-plastic) of thin, thick and layered plates and/or shells, including the full sphere. To execute a specific problem, element mesh must be generated first. This code assumes that the element mesh has already been generated and that the coordinates of each node are all known. Thus, the required input data format described below does not include mesh generation.

The general order of the input data is as follows:
- characterization of elements
- specification of material(s) and shell thickness structure
- nodal coordinate connections
- specification of boundary conditions
- specification of loading
- output instruction

Card Set 1 - Title Card (12A6) one card
Card Set 2 - Control Card (1215) One card

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cols.</th>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>NPOIN</td>
<td>Total number of nodal points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>NELEM</td>
<td>Total number of element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15</td>
<td>NVFIX</td>
<td>Total number of points where one or more degrees of freedom are prescribed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>NNODE</td>
<td>Number of nodes per element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8 - for 8 node Serendipity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9 - for Heterosis and 9 node Lagrangian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25</td>
<td>NMATS</td>
<td>Total number of different materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>NGAUS</td>
<td>Number of Gauss points per element</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
31-35 NGAUZ  Number of Gauss points per element (Shear)
NGAUS=3, NGAUZ=3 - Normal integration rule
NGAUS=3, NGAUZ=2 - Selective integration rule
NGAUS=2, NGAUZ=2 - Reduced integration rule

36-40 NCOLA  Set the constraints for the Lagrangian 9 node element:
=0 9 node Lagrangian element (no constraints)
=1 Heterosis - constrain the 9th node displacements (u,v,w)

41-45 NALGO  Nonlinear solution process indicator:
=1 initial stiffness method is used
=2 tangential stiffness method is used
=3 stiffness matrix is recalculated in the first iteration of each increment
=4 stiffness matrix is recalculated in the second iteration of each increment and also when there are one or more unloaded integration points in the previous iteration

46-50 NINCS  Total number of load increments

51-55 NLAYR  (i) Total number of layers through the thickness (PLASTOSHELL)
(ii) Total number of layer patterns in the structure (CONSHELL)

56-60 LARGE  Large deformation parameter
=0 Geometrically linear analysis
=1 Geometrically nonlinear analysis

61-65 NREST  Restart facility parameter
=0 to start the analysis
=1 to restart the analysis from the last previously converged load increment
I

CARD SET 3 (SF10.5) One Card  
Cols. 1-10 GRAVI(1) Gravitational acceleration in the  
x-direction  
11-20 GRAVI(2) Gravitational acceleration in the  
y-direction  
21-30 GRAVI(3) Gravitational acceleration in the  
z-direction  
31-40 ANVEL Angular velocity (referred to the z axis)  

(1) PLASTOSHELL  
CARD SET 4 - ELEMENT CARDS (SF10.5) One or two Cards  
for each element  
Cols. 1-5 NUMEL Element number  
6-10 MATNO(NUMEL) Material property number for each  
\( (NUMEL,1) \) layer, ILAYR from Bottom to Top  
36-60 MATNO(NUMEL, \( (case \text{ of } NLAYR = 10) \) NLAYR),  
61-65 LNODS(NUMEL,1) Element node numbers (anticlockwise)  
\( (INODE) \)  
106-110 LNODS(NUMEL, \( (Case \text{ of } NNODE = 9) \) NNODE).  

(11) CONSHELL  
CARD SET 4 - ELEMENT CARDS (SF10.5) One Card for each element  
Cols. 1-5 NUMEL Element number  
6-10 MATNO(NUMEL) Element layer pattern number  
11-15 LNODS(NUMEL,1)  
16-20 LNODS(NUMEL,2) Element node number (anticlockwise)  
\( \)  
46-50 LNODS(NUMEL,8)  
51-55 LNODS(NUMEL,9) \( (Case \text{ of } NNODE = 9) \)  
CARD SET 5 NODAL COORDINATE CARDS (SF10.5)  
Two Cards for each node whose coordinates must be  
input - finishing with the last node. (Coordinates  
of the central 9th node and also mid-side nodes  
whose coordinates are obtained by a linear inter-  
polation of the corresponding corner nodes need not  
be input).
First Card
Cols. 1-5 IPOIN
Node number
6-20 COORD(IPOIN,1) Top x coordinate
21-35 COORD(IPOIN,2) Top y coordinate
36-50 COORD(IPOIN,3) Top z coordinate
51-65 COORD(IPOIN,4) Top pressure

Second Card
Cols. 6-20 COORD(IPOIN,5) Bottom x coordinate
21-35 COORD(IPOIN,6) Bottom y coordinate
36-50 COORD(IPOIN,7) Bottom z coordinate
51-65 COORD(IPOIN,8) Bottom pressure

CARD SET 6 RESTRAINED NODE CARDS (15,5X,15,5X,5F10.6) One Card for each restrained node. (Total of NVFIX Cards)
Cols. 1-5 NOFIX
Restrained node number
11-15 IFPRE Condition of the degree of freedom: restrained (=1)
otherwise (=0)
position 11 - u displacement (x-direction)
12 - v displacement (y-direction)
13 - w displacement (z-direction)
14 - $\theta_1$ rotation
15 - $\theta_2$ rotation
21-30 PRESC(IVFIX,1) - The prescribed value of the nodal variables (u,v,w,$\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ respectively)
31-40
41-50
51-60
61-70 PRESC(IVFIX,5)

(1) PLASTOSHELL
CARD SET 7 MATERIAL CARDS Four Cards for each different material (Total number of cards = 4*NMATS)
First card (15)
Cols. 1-5 NUMAT Material identification number
Second card (7F10.5)
Cols. 1-10 PROPS(NUMAT,1) $E_1$ Young's modulus in 1 direction
Cols. 11-20 PROPS(NUMAT,2) $\nu_{12}$ Poisson’s ratio ($\nu_{12}/E_1 = \nu_{21}/E_2$)
21-30 PROPS(NUMAT,3) $t_\zeta$ Layer thickness expressed in the normalised $\zeta$ coordinate
31-40 PROPS(NUMAT,4) $\rho$ Material density
41-50 PROPS(NUMAT,5) $\alpha$ Coefficient of thermal expansion
51-60 PROPS(NUMAT,6) $\sigma_{01}$ Uniaxial yield stress (1 direction)
61-70 PROPS(NUMAT,7) $H'$ Hardening parameter (1 direction)

Third card (7F10.5)
Cols. 1-10 PROPS(NUMAT,8) $E_2$ Young’s modulus in 2-direction
11-20 PROPS(NUMAT,9) $G_{12}$ Shear modulus in $\overline{12}$ plane
21-30 PROPS(NUMAT,10) $G_{13}$ Shear modulus in $\overline{13}$ plane
31-40 PROPS(NUMAT,11) $G_{23}$ Shear modulus in $\overline{23}$ plane
41-50 PROPS(NUMAT,12) $\sigma_{02}$ Uniaxial yield stress (2 direction)
51-60 PROPS(NUMAT,13) $\sigma_{03}$ Uniaxial yield stress (3 direction)
or $\sigma_{0e}$ Uniaxial yield stress (At 45° to 1 direction)
61-70 PROPS(NUMAT,14) $\tau_{012}$ Shear yield stress ($\overline{12}$ plane)

Fourth card (7F10.5)
Cols. 1-10 PROPS(NUMAT,15) $\tau_{013}$ Shear yield stress ($\overline{13}$ plane)
11-20 PROPS(NUMAT,16) $\tau_{023}$ Shear yield stress ($\overline{23}$ plane)
21-30 PROPS(NUMAT,17) $\theta$ Angle between the reference system and the material system in the layer plane (anticlockwise - in radians)

NOTE: The 1,2,3 axes are the principal material axes, with 1,2 being in the plane of the layer.

(iii) CONSHELL
CARD SET 7-A CONCRETE AND STEEL DISCRETIZATION PATTERN Two Cards for each layer pattern
First card (1615)
Cols. 1-5 NCLAY(ILAYR) Number of concrete layers
6-10 NSLAY(ILAYR) Number of steel layers (ILAYR = Layer pattern identification number)

Second card (1615)
Cols. 1-5 MACON(ILAYR,ICONL)
ICONL - Material identification
number for each concrete layer from bottom to top

MASTE(ILAYR,ISTEL)

ISTEL - Material identification number for each steel layer

CARD SET 7-B MATERIAL CARDS - Three Cards for each different material

First card (15)
Cols. 1-5 NUMAT Material identification number

Second card (7F10.5) FOR CONCRETE MATERIAL ONLY
Cols. 1-10 PROPS(NUMAT,1) \( E_c \) Young's Modulus
11-20 PROPS(NUMAT,2) Poisson's ratio
21-30 PROPS(NUMAT,3) \( t \) Layer thickness expressed in the normalized coordinate
31-40 PROPS(NUMAT,4) \( \rho \) Material density
41-50 PROPS(NUMAT,5) \( f'_t \) Concrete ultimate tensile strength
51-60 PROPS(NUMAT,6) \( f_c \) Concrete ultimate compressive strength
61-70 PROPS(NUMAT,7) \( \varepsilon_u \) Concrete ultimate compressive strain

Second card (7F10.5) FOR STEEL MATERIAL ONLY
Cols. 1-10 PROPS(NUMAT,1) \( E_s \) Young's Modulus
11-20 PROPS(NUMAT,2) \( E'_s \) Elasto-plastic Young's Modulus
21-30 PROPS(NUMAT,3) \( t \) Layer thickness expressed in terms of the normalized coordinate
31-40 PROPS(NUMAT,4) \( \rho \) Material density
41-50 PROPS(NUMAT,5) \( f_y \) Steel yield stress
51-60 PROPS(NUMAT,6) \( s \) Layer position in terms of the normalized coordinate
61-70 PROPS(NUMAT,7) \( \zeta \) Angle between the reinforcement and the \( x' \)-axis (measured anticlockwise in radians with \( -\pi/2 < \zeta < \pi/2 \))
Third card (7F10.5) - FOR CONCRETE MATERIAL ONLY

Cols. 1-10 PROPS(NUMAT,8) Tension stiffening parameter
     11-20 PROPS(NUMAT,9) Tension stiffening parameter

Third card (7F10.5) - FOR STEEL MATERIAL ONLY

Blank card

CARD SET 8 LOAD CARDS At least one card for each element

First card (3I5)

Cols. 1-5 NPRES Distributed load indicator
     =0 no distributed loads on this element
     =1 distributed loads to be input

6-10 NUCLO Number of concentrated loads on this
     element (=0, no concentrated loads)

11-15 NBODY Body load indicator (gravity and/or centri-
     fugal)
     =0 no body loads on this element
     =1 body loads to be input

Second card (I5,F5.1,2F15.5) [Only exists if NPRES=1]

Cols. 1-5 KPRES Distributed load type indicator
     =0 Uniformly distributed load
     =1 Hydrostatic load
     =2 Load specified as nodal values (See Card Set 5)

6-10 CFACE = +1.0 Pressure is on top surface
             = -1.0 Pressure is on bottom surface

11-25 PREVA Uniformly distributed load if KPRES = 0
     Maximum value of hydrostatic load if
     KPRES = 1

26-40 SURFA Z coordinate of zero pressure if KPRES = 1

Third set cards (2I5,F10.5) [Only exists if NUCLO > 0]

Number of cards to be input equals NUCLO

Cols. 1-5 LPOIN Local node number (in the range 1-8) at
     which the load is applied

6-10 LDOFN Nodal variable number corresponding to the
     applied load
     =1 - x displacement
     =2 - y displacement
=3 - z displacement
=4 - \( \theta_1 \) rotation
=5 - \( \theta_2 \) rotation

11-20 CARGA  Concentrated load value

CARD SET 9  LOAD INCREMENT CONTROL CARDS (2F10.5,315)  One Card
for each load increment (total of NINCS cards)

Cols.  1-10 FACTO  Applied load factor for the current
increment

11-20 TOLER  Convergence tolerance factor

21-25 MITER  Maximum number of iterations allowed

26-30 NOUTP(1)  Control output parameter of the unconverged
results after the first iteration

=1 - Print the displacements only
=2 - Print displacements and nodal
     reactions
=3 - Print displacements, reactions and
     stresses

31-35 NOUTP(2)  Control output parameter of the converged
results

=1 Print the final displacements only
=2 Print displacements and nodal reactions
=3 Print displacements, reactions and
     stresses.
LISTING OF PLASTOSHELL
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SUBROUTINE ALGOR(FIXED, KITER, IITER, KRESL, MTOTV, NALCO, NNODE, NPROP, NTOTV, KUNLO, KINCS)
-----
THIS SUBROUTINE SETS EQUATION RESOLUTION INDEX, KRESL


SUBROUTINE BGMAT(COORD, DICOS, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MLAYR, MMATS, MPOIN, M3POI, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NGAUZ, NLAYR, NNODE, NPROP, POSGP, PROPS, THICK, WEIGP)
-----
THIS SUBROUTINE COMPUTES BMATX AND GMATX (THE LATTER FOR LARGE DISPLACEMENT ANALYSIS). THESE MATRICES ARE STORED ON TAPE 8 FOR LATER SELECTIVE INTEGRATION (TRANSVERSE SHEAR TERMS) CAN BE ACCOUNTED FOR.

REWRITE 8
LGAUS = NGAUS - NGAUZ
LGAUS = 0 FOR NORMAL OR REDUCED INTEGRATION RULE,
LGAUS = 1 FOR SELECTIVE INTEGRATION RULE
WRITE(5, *) 'NELEM IN BGMAT=', NELEM
DO 100 IELEM = 1, NELEM
WRITE(5, *) IGAUZ = 'I, IELEM
WRITE(5, *) IELEM = ', IELEM
IF(LGAUS.EQ.0) GO TO 25
NBORP = 0

REDUCED INTEGRATION IS USED TO SET UP THE TRANSVERSE SHEAR TERMS OF
THE [B] MATRIX. FIRSTLY THESE TERMS ARE STORED IN BDUMY MATRIX

CONS1 = 1.0/POSGP(4)
CONS2 = -CONS1
ZETSP = POSGP(1)
KGAUZ = -1
DO 20 IGAUZ = 1, NGAUZ

100 CONTINUE

20 CONTINUE

END
DO 20 JGAUZ = 1, NGAUS
KGAUZ = KGAUZ + 1
EXISP = POSGP(3+JGAUZ)
ETASP = POSGP(3+JGAUZ)
CALL SFR1(SHAPE, EXISP, ETASP)
CALL FUNC(BMATX, SHAPE, THICK, NBORP, NNODE, ZETSP, MELEM, Coord, DICOS, LNODS, IELEM, MPQIN, M3POI, GMATX)

DO 15 IEVAB = 1, NEVAB
DO 15 IPOSI = 1, 2
JPOSI = 2*KGAUZ+IPOSI
DBDUMY(JPOSI, IEVAB) = GMATX(IPOSI, ZEVAB)
CONTINUE

SET UP [G] MATRIX, AND [G] MATRIX FOR NORMAL OR REDUCED INTEGRATION

NBORP = 1
DO 50 IGAUS = 1, NGAUS
DO 50 JGAUS = 1, NGAUS
WRITE(5, *) 'I GAUS ..., JGAUS ..., IGAUS, JGAUS
EXISP = POSGP(IGAUS)
ETASP = POSGP(JGAUS)
WRITE(20, *) 'POSGP'S IN BGMAT'
WRITE(20, 777) (SHAPE(III, JJJ), JJJ = 1, 9), III = 1, 3)
777 FORMAT(1X, 3F14.7)
ZETSP = -1.0
DO 45 ILAYR = 1, NLAYR
LPROP = MATNO(IELEM, ILAYR)
DZETA = PROPS(LPROP, 3)
ZETSP = ZETSP+DZETA/2.0
CALL FUNC(BMATX, SHAPE, THICK, NBORP, NNODE, ZETSP, MELEM, Coord, DICOS, LNODS, IELEM, MPQIN, M3POI, GMATX)
WRITE(5, 'F U N C ENDS """"""""", LGAUS
PAUSE
DVOLU = DJACB*WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)*DZETA
IF(LGAUS.EQ.0) GO TO 40

SET UP THE INTERPOLATION FUNCTIONS TO REFORM THE SELECTIVE INTGN.

FUNCTION(1) = 0.25*(1.0+CONS1*EXISP)*(1.0+CONS1*ETASP)
FUNCTION(2) = 0.25*(1.0+CONS2*EXISP)*(1.0+CONS2*ETASP)
FUNCTION(3) = 0.25*(1.0+CONS2*EXISP)*(1.0+CONS1*ETASP)
FUNCTION(4) = 0.25*(1.0+CONS2*ETASP)

INTERPOLATE THE TRANSVERSE SHEAR TERM OF BMATX FROM 4 TO 9 G.P

DO 30 IEVAB = 1, NEVAB
DO 30 IDOFN = 4, 5
BMATX(IDOFN, IEVAB) = 0.0
DO 30 INTPO = 1, 4
IGASH = 2*INTPO+IDOFN-5
BMATX(IDOFN, IEVAB) = BMATX(IDOFN, IEVAB)+FUNCTION(INTPO)*DBDUMY(IGASH, IEVAB)
CONTINUE
WRITE(5, *) BMATX, GMATX, DVOLU
ZETSP = ZETSP + DZETA/2.0
CONTINUE

WRITING BGMAT FOR TEST ON UNIT 30
WRITE(30, *) 'IELEM = ', IELEM
WRITE(30, 666) (BMATX(I, J), J = 1, 45), I = 1, 5)
CONTINUE
RETURN

SUBROUTINE CHECK1, THIS CHECKS THE MAIN CONTROL DATA

SUBROUTINE CHECK1(NDOFN, NELEM, NGAUS, NMAT6, NNODE, NPQIN, MMATS, NVFIX, NGAUZ, NLAYR)
DIMENSION NEROR(20)
DO 10 IEROR = 1, 4
  NEROR(IEROR) = 0

CREATE THE DIAGNOSTIC MESSAGES
IF(NPOIN.LE.0) NEROR(1) = 1
IF(NELEM.NQ=0) NEROR(1) = 1
IF(NVFIX.LT.2.OR.NVFIX.GT.NPOIN) NEROR(3) = 1
IF(NNODE.LT.6.OR.NNODE.GT.9) NEROR(4) = 1
IF(NDOFN .NE. 5 OR. NLAYR. GT. 10) NEROR(5) = 1
IF(NMATS. LT. 1. OR. NMATS. GT. MMATS) NEROR(6) = 1
IF(NGAUS. LT. 2. OR. GHAUS. GT. 3) NEROR(7) = 1
IF(NGAUZ. LT. 2. OR. NGAUZ. GT. 3) NEROR(8) = 1

EITHER RETURN, OR ELSE PRINT THE ERROR DIAGNOSIS
IF(KEROR.EQ.0) RETURN
IF(KEROR.EQ.0) RETURN
CONTINUE
ELSE
WRITE (6, 900) IEROR
900 FORMAT(///31H, ***DIAGNOSIS BY CHECKI, ERROR. 13)
END

---------------
SUBROUTINE CHECK2(COORD, IFIX, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MFRON, MPOIN, NDFRO, MPOIN, MTOTV, NVFIX, NDFRO, NDOFN, NELEM, NMATS, NNODE, NPOIN, NVFIX, NLAYR)
---------------
THIS SUBROUTINE CHECKS THE REMAINDER OF THE INPUT DATA
---------------
CHECK AGAINST TWO IDENTICAL NONZERO NODAL DISPLACEMENTS
DO 5 IEROR = 9, 20
  NEROR(IEROR) = 0
DO 10 IELEM = 1, NELEM
  NDFRO(IELEM) = 0
DO 50 IPOIN = 2, NPOIN
  KPOIN = IPOIN - 1
DO 10 IELEM = 1, NELEM
  DO 50 IDIME = 1, 3
    IF(COORD(IPOIN, IDIME).NE.COORD(JPOIN, IDIME)) GO TO 30
  CONTINUE
  NEROR(9) = NEROR(9) + 1
  CONTINUE
CHECK THE LIST OF ELEMENT PROPERTY NUMBERS
DO 50 IELEM = 1, NELEM
  DO 50 ILAYR = 1, NLAYR
    IF(MATNO(IELEM, ILAYR).GT.NMATS) NEROR(1O) = NEROR(1O) + 1
CHECK FOR IMPOSSIBLE NODE NUMBERS
DO 70 IELEM = 1, NELEM
  DO 60 INODE = 1, NNODE
    IF(LNODS(IELEM, INODE).EQ.0) NEROR(11) = NEROR(11) + 1
    IF(LNODS(IELEM, INODE).LT.0. OR. LNODS(IELEM, INODE).GT.NPOIN)
      NEROR(12) = NEROR(12) + 1
    CONTINUE
CHECK FOR ANY REPETITION OF A NODE NUMBER WITHIN AN ELEMENT
DO 140 IPOIN = 1,NPOIN
KSTAR = 0
DO 100 IELEM =1,NELEM
KZERO = 0
DO 90 INODE =1,NNODE
IF(LNODS(IELEM, INODE).NE. IPOIN) GO TO 90
KZERO = KZERO + 1
IF(KZERO.GT.1) NEROR(13) = NEROR(13) +1

SEEK FIRST, LAST AND INTERMEDIATE APPEARANCES OF NODE IPOIN
IF(KSTAR.NE.0) GO TO 80
KSTAR = IELEM

CALCULATE INCREASE OR DECREASE IN FRONTWIDTH AT EACH ELEMENT STAGE
NDFRO(IELEM) = NDFRO(IELEM) + NDOFN
CONTINUE

AND CHANGE THE SIGN OF THE LAST APPEARANCE OF EACH NODE
KLAST = IELEM
NLAST = INODE
CONTINUE

IF(KSTAR.EQ.0) GO TO 110
IF(KLAST.LT.NELEM) NDFRO(KLAST+1) = NDFRO(KLAST+1) - NDOFN
LNODS(KLAST,NLAST) = -IPOIN
GO TO 140

CHECK THAT CO-ORDINATES FOR AN UNUSED NODE HAVE NOT BEEN SPECIFIED
WRITE(6,900) IPOIN
FORMAT(//15HCHECK WHY NODE, 14, 14H NEVER APPEARS)
NEROR(14) = NEROR(14) +1
SIGMA = 0.0
DO 120 ITIME = 1,3
SIGMA = SIGMA + ABS(COORD(IPOIN,IDIME))
IF(SIGMA.NE.0.0) NEROR(15) = NEROR(15) +1

CHECK THAT AN UNUSED NODE NUMBER IS NOT A RESTRAINED NODE
DO 130 IVFIX =1,NVFIX
IF(NOFIX(IVFIX).EQ.IPOIN) NEROR(16) = NEROR(16) +1
CONTINUE

CALCULATE THE LARGEST FRONTWIDTH
NFRON = 0
KFRON = 0
DO 150 IELEM =1,NELEM
NFRON = NFRON + NDFRO(IELEM)
IF(NFRON.GT.KFRON) KFRON = NFRON
WRITE(6,905) KFRON
FORMAT(//33H MAXIMUM FRONTWIDTH ENCOUNTERED = , I5//)
IF(KFRON.GT.MFRON) NEROR(17) =1

CONTINUE CHECKING DATA FOR THE FIXED VALUES
DO 170 IVFIX =1,NVFIX
IF(NOFIX(IVFIX).EQ.IPOIN) NEROR(18) = NEROR(18) +1
CONTINUE

KOUNT = 0
NLOCA = (NOFIX(IVFIX)-1)*NDOFN
DO 160 IDOFN = 1,NDOFN
NLOCA = NLOCA +1
IF(IPFX(NLOCA).GT.0) KOUNT =1
IF(KOUNT.EQ.0) NEROR(19) = NEROR(19) +1

KVFIX = IVFIX -1
DO 170 JVFIX = 1,KVFIX
IF((IVFIX.NE.1) .AND. (NOFIX(IVFIX).EQ.NOFIX(JVFIX)))
NEROR(20) = NEROR(20) +1
KEROR = 0
DO 180 IEROR = 9,20,
IF(NEROR(IEROR).EQ.0) GO TO 180
KEROR = 1
WRITE(6,910) IEROR, NEROR(IEROR)
FORMAT(/25HDIAGNOSIS BY CHECK2 ERROR, I3,6X,9HASSTD NO., I5) }
CONTINUE
IF(KEROR.NE.0) GO TO 200
RETURN
ALL NODAL CONNECTION NUMBERS TO POSITIVE VALUES
DO 190 IELEM = 1, NELEM
DO 190 INODE = 1, NNODE
LNODS(IELEM, INODE) = IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE))
RETURN
CALL ECHO
END
SUBROUTINE CONVER
SUBROUTINE CONVER(ELoad, IITER, LNODS, MELEM, MEVAB, MTOTV, NCHEK,
NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NNODE, NTOTV, STFOR,
TLOAD, TOFOR, TOLER)
THIS SUBROUXTINE CHECKS FOR CONVERGENCE OF THE ITERATION PROCESS
DIMENSION ELOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), LNODS(MELEM, 12), STFOR(MTOTV),
TOFOR(MTOTV), TLOAD(MELEM, MEVAB)
NCHEK = 0
RESID = 0.0
RETOT = 0.0
REMAX = 0.0
DO 5 ITOTV = 1, NTOTV
STFOR(ITOTV) = 0.0
TOFOR(ITOTV) = 0.0
CONTINUE
DO 40 IELEM = 1, NELEM
KEVAB = 0
DO 40 INODE = 1, NNODE
LOCNO = IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE))
DO 40 IDOFN = 1, NDOFN
KEVAE = KEVAB + 1
NPOSI = (LOCNO-1)*NDOFN + IDOFN
STFOR(NPOSI) = STFOR(NPOSI) + ELAD(IELEM, KEVAB)
TOFOR(NPOSI) = TOFOR(NPOSI) + TLOAD(IELEM, KEVAB)
DO 50 ITOTV = 1, NTOTV
REFOR = TOFOR(ITOTV) - STFOR(ITOTV)
RESID = RESID + REFOR*REFOR
RETOT = RETOT + TOFORCITOTY) *TOFOR(ITOTV)
AGASH =ABS(REFOR)
IF(AGASH.GT.REMAX) REMAX = AGASH
DO 10 IELEM = 1, NELEM
DO 10 IEVAB = 1, NEVAB
ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) = TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) - ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)
RESID = SQRT(RESID)
RETOT = SQRT(RETOT)
RATIO = 100.0*RESID/RETOT
IF(RATIO.GT.TOLER) NCHEK =1
IF(IITER.EQ.1) GO TO 20
IF(RATIO.GT.PVALU) NCHEK = 999
PVALU = RATIO
WRITE(6,30) NCHEK, RATIO, REMAX
FORMAT(/10.3X,17HCONVERGENCE CODE=,I4,3X,29HNORM OF RESIDUAL SUM M
RATIO =.14, 6.3X,18HMMAXIMUM RESIDUAL =, E14. 6) RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE DIMEN(MBUFA, MELEM, MEVAB, MFRON, MMATS, MPIN, MSTIF, MTOTQ,
MTOTV, MVFIX, NDOFN, NPROP, NSTRE, M3POI, MLAYR)
THIS SUBROUTINE PRESETS VARIABLES ASSOCIATED WITH DYNAMIC
DIMENSIONING
MBUFA = 10
MELEM = 20
MFRON = 75
MLAYR = 10
MMATS = 5
MPOIN = 100
M3POI = 3*MPOIN
NDOFN = 5
NSTRE = 5
MEVAV = NDOFN*9
MSTIF = (MFRON+1)*MFRON/2
MTOTG = MELEM*MLAYR*9
MTOTV = MPOIN+NDOFN
MVFIX = 36
NPROP = 17
WRITE(5,*) 'SOME DATA IN DIMENSION'
WRITE(5,*) rIFRON, MLAYR, MMATS, MPOIN, M3POI, NDOFN, NSTRE, MEVAV,
MSTIF, MTOTG, MVFIX
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE ECHO

IF DATA ERRORS HAVE BEEN DETECTED BY SUBROUTINES CHECK1 OR
CHECK2, THIS ROUTINE READS AND WRITES THE REMAINING DATA CARDS

DIMENSION NTITL(80)
WRITE(6, 900)
FORMAT//5OH NOW FOLLOWS A LISTING OF POST-DISASTER DATA CARDS ) /
READ(15, 905) NTITL
FORMAT(50A1)
WRITE(6, 910) NTITL
FORMAT(20X, 50A1)
GO TO 10
END

SUBROUTINE FLOWS(ABETA, AVECT, DVECT, LPROP, LLPROP, MMATS, NPROP, PROPS,
SG, A, DMATT)

THIS SUBROUTINE CALCULATES THE FLOW VECTOR -AVECT- AND COMPUTES
-DVECT- AND -ABETA-

DIMENSION AVECT(5), DMATT(5,5,MMATS), DVECT(5),
PROPS(MMATS, NPROP), SC(S), A(9, MMATS)

SET UP MATERIAL PROPERTIES
HARDS = PROPS(LPROP,7)

COMPUTES THE VECTOR AVECT
L = LLPROP
AFUNC = (A(1,1)*SG(1)+2.0*A(2,L)*SG(1)*SG(2)+2.0*A(3,L)*
SG(1)*SG(3)+A(4,L)*SG(2)*SG(3)+A(5,L)*SG(2)*SG(3)+
A(6,L)*SG(3)*SG(3)+A(7,L)*SG(4)*SG(4)+2.0*A(8,L)*SG(4)*
SG(5)+A(9,L)*SG(5)*SG(5))/0.5
AVECT(1) = (A(1,L)*SG(1)+A(2,L)*SG(2)+A(3,L)*SG(3))/AFUNC
AVECT(2) = (A(2,L)*SG(1)+A(4,L)*SG(2)+A(5,L)*SG(3))/AFUNC
AVECT(3) = (A(4,L)*SG(1)+A(5,L)*SG(2)+A(6,L)*SG(3))/AFUNC
AVECT(4) = (A(7,L)*SG(4)+A(8,L)*SG(5))/AFUNC
AVECT(5) = (A(8,1)*SG(4)+A(9,L)*SG(5))/AFUNC
WRITE(6, 910) AVECT
FORMAT(8H AVECT =, 5E15.6)

COMPUTE DVECT = DMATT*AVECT

DO 10 I = 1,5
   DVECT(I) = 0.0
DO 10 J = 1,5
   DVECT(I) = DVECT(I) + DMATT(I,J,LPROP)*AVECT(J)
WRITE(6, 920) DVECT
FORMAT(8H DVECT =, 5E15.6)

DENOM = HARDS
DO 20 ISTRE = 1,5
   DENOM = DENOM + AVECT(ISTRE)*DVECT(ISTRE)
   ABETA = 1.0/DENOM
   WRITE(6, 930) ABETA

10 CONTINUE
20 CONTINUE
SUBROUTINE FRAME(N1, N2, N3, NOPN)

*****************************************************************************
MULTIPLE VECTOR AND/OR MATRIX MANIPULATIONS

NOPN = 1, CREATE UNIQUE ORTHOGONAL AXES IN MATRIX N1 INCLUDING VEC
NOPN = 2, SCISSORS ON OTHER TWO VECTORS IN N1, THEN N2 MADE ORTHO
NOPN = 3, BEST ORTHOGONAL APPROXIMATION TO GIVEN NON-CARTESIAN FRA
NOPN = 4, N2 BECOMES N1*N2*N1 USING N3 = GASH
NOPN = 5, N2 BECOMES N1*N2*N1T USING N3 = GASH
*****************************************************************************

COMMON WORMX(3, 24), QVAL, DJACOB
WRITE(5, *) 'ENTERING FRAME WITH N1, N2, N3, NOPN AS'
WRITE(5, *) N1, N2, N3, NOPN
M3 = N1 + 2
I2 = N2 - 1
WRITE(5, *) 'M3, I2 IN FRAME.....', M3, I2
IF(I2 GE N1) GO TO 10
I2 = I2 + 3
I1 = N1 + N1 + 3 - N2 - I2
WRITE(5, *) 'SINCE I2 GE N1, I1=', I1, N1, N2, I2
GO TO (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, NOPN)
WORMX(1, I1) = WORMX(3, N2)
WRITE(5, *) '==--==--==II', I1
WORMX(2, I1) = 0.0
WORMX(3, I1) = -WORMX(1, N2)
IF(WORMX(1, I1).EQ.0.0 AND. WORMX(3, I1).EQ.0.0)
WORMX(1, I1) = -WORMX(2, N2)
CALL VECT(N2, I1, I2, 4)
GO TO 14
2 CALL MATM(I1, I2, 0, 7)
CALL VECT(I1, I2, N2, 4)
3 WRITE(5, *) 'CALLING MATM'
4 CALL MATM(N1, N1, 0, 6)
RETURN
3 I1 = N1 + 1
I2 = I3
DO 11 I = 1, 50
DO 11 N = 1, M3
CALL MATM(I1, I2, 0, 7)
I1 = 12
I2 = N
RETURN
4 CALL MATM(N1, N2, N3, 2)
NLPN = 3
CALL MATM(N3, N1, N2, NLPN)
RETURN
5 CALL MATM(N1, N2, N3, 3)
CALL SINGOP(N3, 3)
CALL MATM(N1, N3, N2, 3)
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE FRONTAL

*****************************************************************************
THIS SUBROUTINE UNDERTAKES EQUATION SOLUTION BY THE
FRONTAL METHOD.
*****************************************************************************

DIMENSION ASDIS(MTOTV), ELOAD(ELEADM, MEVAB), EGRHS(MBUFA),
EQUAT(MFROIN, MBUFA), ESTIF(MELEM, MEVAB), FIXED(MTOTV),
GLOAD, GSTIF, IFIX, IICNS, IITER, KRESL,
LOCL, LNOCS, MBUFA, MELEM, MEVAB, MFROIN,
MSIF, MTOVT, MVFIX, NACVA, NAMEV, NDEST,
NDFOF, NELEM, NEVAB, NNODE, NOFIX, NPIVO,
NPON, NTOVT, TDISP, TLOAD, TREC, VECRV)
*****************************************************************************

RETURN
NFUNC (I, J) = (J*J - J) / 2 + I
WRITE (50, *) 'VALUE OF KRESL AT BEGINING', KRESL
WRITE (50, *) 'VALUE OF NDOFN, NPOIN IN BEGIN OF FRONT', NDOFN, NPOIN
WRITE (50, *) 'VALUE OF NTOTV AT BEGINIG OF FRONT', NTOTV
WRITE (50, *) 'VALUE OF IIRSL AT BEGINING', IIRSL
WRITE (50, *) 'VALUE OF NELEM, NEVAB
WRITE (50, *) 'VALUE OF ELOAD IN FRONT BEGINING'
WRITE (50, *) 'CHANGE THE SIGN OF THE LAST APPEARANCE OF EACH NODE
WRITE (50, *) 'START BY INITIALIZING EVERYTHING THAT MATTERS TO ZERO'
WRITE (50, *) 'AND PREPARE FOR DISC READING AND WRITING OPERATIONS'
WRITE (50, *) 'ENTER MAIN ELEMENT ASSEMBLY-REDUCTION LOOP'
WRITE (50, *) 'READ (1) ESIF
DO 170 INODE = 1, NNODE
DO 170 IDOFT4 = 1, NDOFN
LOCNO = (INODE-1)*NDOFN+IDOFT4
IF (LOCNO .LT. 0) LOCNO = (LOCNO+1)*NDOFN-IDOFT4
IF (LOCNO .GT. 0) LOCCEL(NPOSIF) = (LOCNO-1)*NDOFN+IDOFT4
IF (LOCNO .GT. 0) LOCCEL(NPOSIF) = (LOCNO+1)*NDOFN-IDOFT4

CONTINUE

START AT LOOKING FOR EXISTING DESTINATIONS

WRITE(5,*) 'START BY LOOKING FOR EXISTING DESTINATIONS'
DO 210 IEVAB = 1, NEVAB
NIKNO = IABS(LOCEL(IEVAB))
KEXIS = 0
WRITE(25,*) 'NIKNO AT AFTER KEXIS = 0.0', NIKNO, IABS(LOCEL(IEVAB))
WRITE(5,*) 'NIKNO = ', NIKNO
DO 180 IFRON = 1, NFRON
WRITE(25,*) 'IFRON, NIKNO, NACVA IN 180', IFRON, NIKNO, NACVA(IFRON)
IF(NIKNO .NE. NACVA(IFRON)) GO TO 180
KEVAB = KEVAB + 1
KEXIS = 1
NDEST(KEVAB) = IFRON
CONTINUE
IF(KEXIS .NE. 0) GO TO 210

WE NOW SEEK NEW EMPTY PLACES FOR DESTINATION VECTOR

DO 190 IFRON = 1, NFRON
WRITE(25,*) 'NACVA AT 190 FIRST', NACVA(IFRON)
IF(NACVA(IFRON) .NE. 0) GO TO 190
NACVA(IFRON) = NIKNO
WRITE(25,*) 'NACVA, NIKNO IN 190 SECOND', NACVA(IFRON), NIKNO
KEVAB = KEVAB + 1
NDEST(KEVAB) = IFRON
GO TO 200
CONTINUE

THE NEW PLACES MAY DEMAND AN INCREASE IN CURRENT FRONTWIDTH

IF(NDEST(KEVAB) .GT. NFRON) NFRON = NDEST(KEVAB)
CONTINUE
WRITE(5,*) 'COMES OUT OF WE NOW SEEK EMPTY PLACES......'
WRITE(7) LOCEL, NDEST, NACVA, NFRON
WRITE(5,*) 'WRITES ON TO UNIT 7'
DO 190 IFRON = 1, NFRON
GO TO 400

WRITE(5,*) 'START ASSEMBLING ELEMENT LOADS'

ASSEMBLE ELEMENT LOADS
WRITE(5,*) 'ELEMENT STIFFNESSES BUT NOT IN RESOLUTION'
WRITE(5,*) 'ELEMENT NO. = ', IELEM
WRITE(20,*) 'ELEMENT NO. = ', IELEM
WRITE(45,*) 'VALUE OF NGASH, NGISH, GSTIF, IDEST, JDEST, IEVAB, JEVAB

CONTINUE

IF(IIRSL .GT. 1) READ(7) LOCEL, NDEST, NACVA, NFRON

WRITE(5,*) 'START ASSEMBLING ELEMENT LOADS'

ASSEMBLE ELEMENT LOADS
WRITE(5,*) 'ELEMENT STIFFNESSES BUT NOT IN RESOLUTION'
WRITE(5,*) 'ELEMENT NO. = ', IELEM
WRITE(20,*) 'ELEMENT NO. = ', IELEM
WRITE(45,*) 'VALUE OF NGASH, NGISH, GSTIF, IDEST, JDEST, IEVAB, JEVAB

CONTINUE

RE-EXAMINE EACH ELEMENT NODE, TO ENQUIRE WHICH CAN BE ELIMINATED
WRITE(5,*) 'RE-EXAMINE ELEMENT NODE.'
WRITE(5,*) 'IIRSL, KRESL'
WRITE(5,*) 'VALUE OF NEVAB =', NEVAB
WRITE(50,*) 'ELEMENT NO. =', IELEM
WRITE(50,*) 'VALUE OF GSTIF(406) INITIAL', GSTIF(406)
DO 310IEVAB = 1, NEVAB
WRITE(5,*) 'VALUE OF IEVAB AT 310', IEVAB
NIKNO = -LOCEL(IEVAB)
WRITE(5,*) 'NIKNO = AT 310', NIKNO
IF(NIKNO LE 0) GO TO 310
FIND POSITIONS OF VARIABLES READY FOR ELIMINATION
WRITE(5,*) 'NFRON', NFRON
DO 300IFRON =1,NFRON
WRITE(5,*) '++++VALUE OF IFRON=',IFRON
WRITE(5,*) 'VALUE OF NBUSA IF NBUSA GT MBUSAF, NBUSA, IIRSL
IF(IIRSL GT 1) GO TO 408
WRITE(2) EQRHS, NPIVO, NAMEV
CONTINUE
WRITE(5,*) 'NBUSA, MBUSF', NBUSA, MBUSF
IF(NBUSA LE MBUSF) GO TO 406
NBUSA = NBUSA + 1
WRITE(5,*) 'NBUSA=',NBUSA, 'NBUSA=', NBUSA
WRITE EQUATIONS TO DISC OR TO TAPE
WRITE(5,*) 'WRITE EQUATIONS TO DISC OR TO TAPE'
WRITE(5,*) 'NBUSA, MBUSF', NBUSA, MBUSF
IF(NBUSA LE MBUSF) GO TO 406
NBUSA = 1
WRITE(5,*) 'NBUSA, MBUSF', NBUSA, MBUSF
WRITE(5,*) 'NBUSA=1, NBUSA=1, IFRON
IF(IFRON GE JFRON) NLOCA = NFUNC(IFRON, JFRON)
EQRHS(NBUSA) = GLOAD(IFRON)
EQRHS(NBUSA) = 0.0
CONTINUE
AND EXTRACT THE CORRESPONDING RIGHT HAND SIDES
WRITE(5,*) 'EXTRACT CORRESPONDING RHS'
EQRHS(NBUSA) = GLOAD(IFRON)
GLOAD(IFRON) = 0.0
NAMEV(NBUSA) = NIKNO
NPIVO(NBUSA) = IFRON
DEAL WITH PIVOT
WRITE(5,*) 'NOW START DEALING WITH PIVOT'
WRITE(5,*) 'EQRHS(IFRON, NBUSA), IFRON, NBUSA', EQRHS(IFRON, NBUSA), IFRON, NBUSA
EQRHS(3,3) = 1.0
PIVOT = EQRHS(IFRON, NBUSA)
WRITE(5,*) 'PIVOT = EQRHS(IFRON, NBUSA), IFRON, NBUSA, PIVOT'
WRITE(5,*) 'PIVOT = EQRHS(IFRON, NBUSA), IFRON, NBUSA, PIVOT'
WRITE(5,*) 'NIKNO, PIVOT', NIKNO, PIVOT
IF(PIVOT GT 0) GO TO 235
WRITE(5,900) NIKNO, PIVOT
900 FORMAT(1H0,3X,51HNEGATIVE OR ZERO PIVOT ENCOUNTERED FOR VARIABLE LE N
0.14,10H OF VALUE ,E17.6)
STOP
CONTINUE
EQRHS(IFRON, NBUSA) = 0.0
ENQUIRE WHETHER PRESENT VARIABLE IS FREE OR PRESCRIBED

IF(IFIX(NIKNO).EQ.0) GO TO 250

DEAL WITH A PRESCRIBED DEFLECTION

DO 240 JFRON = 1, NFRON
   GLOAD(JFRON) = GLOAD(JFRON) - FIXED(NIKNO)*EQUAT(JFRON, NBUFA)
GO TO 280

ELIMINATE A FREE VARIABLE - DEAL WITH THE RIGHT HAND SIDE FIRST

DO 270 JFRON = 1, NFRON
   GLOAD(JFRON) = GLOAD(JFRON) - EQUAT(JFRON, NBUFA)*EGRHS(NBUFA)/PIVOT

NOW DEAL WITH THE CO-EFFICIENTS IN CORE

IF(IIRSL.GT 1) GO TO 418
IF(EQUAT(JFRON, NBUFA).EQ. 0, 0) GO TO 270
NLOCA = NFUNC(0, JFRON)
CUREQ = EQUAT(JFRON, NBUFA)
DO 280 LFRON = 1, JFRON
   NGASH = LFRON+NLOCA
      GSTIF(NGASH) = GSTIF(NGASH) - CUREQ*EQUAT(LFRON, NBUFA)/PIVOT
      CONTINUE
   CONTINUE
   EQUAT(IFRON, NBUFA) = PIVOT

RECORD THE NEW VACANT SPACE, AND REDUCE FRONTWIDTH IF POSSIBLE

NACVA(IFRON) = 0
GO TO 290

COMPLETE THE ELEMENT LOOP IN THE FORWARD ELIMINATION

CONTINUE
   IF(NACVA(NFRON).NE. 0) GO TO 310
   NFRON = NFRON - 1
IF(NFRON.GT 0) GO TO 290
CONTINUE
   CONTINUE
   EQUAT(3, 2) = 1.0
IF(IIRSL.EQ.1) WRITE(2) EQUAT, EGRHS, NPIVO, NAMEV
BACKSPACE 2
   WRITE(5, *) 'ENTERS BACK-SUBSTITUTION PHASE . . . .
ENTER BACK-SUBSTITUTION PHASE, LOOP BACKWARDS THROUGH VARIABLES
   WRITE(50, *) 'KELVA=', KELVA, NBUFA
DO 340 IELVA = 1, KELVA
READ A NEW BLOCK OF EQUATIONS - IF NEEDED
IF(NBUFA.NE. 0) GO TO 412
BACKSPACE 2
READ(2) EQUAT, EGRHS, NPIVO, NAMEV
BACKSPACE 2
NBUFA = NBUFA
IF(IIRSL.EQ. 1) GO TO 412
BACKSPACE 4
READ(4) EGRHS
BACKSPACE 4
CONTINUE

PREPARE TO BACK-SUBSTITUTE FROM THE CURRENT EQUATION

IFRON = NPIVO(NBUFA)
NIKNO = NAMEV(NBUFA)
PIVOT = EQUAT(IFRON, NBUFA)
IF(IFIX(NIKNO).NE. 0) VECRV(IFRON) = FIXED(NIKNO)
IF(IFIX(NIKNO).EQ.0) EQUAT(IFRON, NBUFA) = 0.0
WRITE(50, *) 'IFRON, NIKNO, PIVOT, VECRV(IFRON), FIXED(NIKNO)'
WRITE(50, *) 'IFRON, NIKNO, PIVOT, VECRV(IFRON), FIXED(NIKNO)'
BACK-SUBSTITUTE IN THE CURRENT EQUATION
DO 330 JFRON = 1, MFRON
   EQRHS(NBUFA) = EQRHS(NBUFA) - ECRV(JFRON) * EQUAT(JFRON, NBUFA)
   CONTINUE

PUT THE FINAL VALUES WHERE THEY BELONG

IF(IFFIX(NIKNO). EQ. 0) ECRV(IFRON) = EQRHS(NBUFA)/PIVOT
IF(IFFIX(NIKNO). NE. 0) FIXED(NIKNO) = -EQRHS(NBUFA)
NBUFA = NBUFA - 1
ASDIS(NIKNO) = ECRV(IFRON)

WRITE(5,*) 'ADD DISPLACEMENTS
ADD DISPLACEMENTS TO PREVIOUS TOTAL VALUES
WRITE(50,*) NTOTV, NDISP(IFRON)
DO 345 ITOTV = 1, NTOTV
   TDISP(ITOTV) = TDISP(ITOTV)+ASDIS(ITOTV)
WRITE(50,*) NTOTV, TDISP, ASDIS
WRITE 3C?,*INTO Pw, (TDISP(IT), IT=1, NTOTV)
STORE REACTIONS FOR PRINTING LATER
KBOUND = 1
DO 370 IPOIN = 1, NPOIN
   NLOCA = (IP0IN-1)*NDOFN
   DO 350 IDIDN = 1, NDOFN
      NGUSH = NLOCA+IDIDN
      IF(IFFIX(NGUSH). EQ. 0) GO TO 360
   CONTINUE
   GO TO 370
   DO 510 IDIDN = 1, NDOFN
      NGUSH = NLOCA+IDIDN
      TREAC(KBOUND, IDIDN) = TREAC(KBOUND, IDIDN) + FIXED(NGUSH)
      WRITE(5, *) IDIDN, TREAC(KBOUND, IDIDN)
WRITE 5C?,*INTO Pw, (TREAC(KBOUND, IT), IT=1, NTOTV)
KBOUND = KBOUND + 1
CONTINUE

ADD REACTIONS INTO THE TOTAL LOAD ARRAY
WRITE(5, *) 'ADD REACTIONS'

DO 700 IPOIN = 1, NPOIN
   DO 710 IELEM = 1, MELEM
   DO 710 INODE = 1, NNODE
      NLOCA = IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE))
      IF(IPOIN. EQ. NLOCA) GO TO 720
   CONTINUE
   DO 730 IDIDN = 1, NDOFN
      MGASH = (IP0IN-1)*NDOFN+IDIDN
      WRITE(5, *) IDIDN, MGASH, IELEM, NGUSH
      CONTINUE
   WRITE(5, *) 'START RETURNING FROM FRONT',
   GO TO 333
3 RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE FUNC
SUBROUTINE FUNC(SMATX, SHAPE, THICK, NBORP, NNODE, ZETA, MELEM,
   COORD, DICOS, LNODS, IELEM, IPOIN, M3POI, GMATX)

SETS UP THE [B] MATRIX AND JACOBIAN, BEING THE MOST CHARACTERISTIC
SUBROUTINE OF THIS ELEMENT

COMMON WORMX(3, 24), GVALU, DJACB
DIMENSION BMATX(5, 45), SHAPE(3, 9), THICK(MPOIN), GMATX(2, 45),
   COORD(MPOIN, 8), DICOS(3, M3POI), LNODS(MELEM, 9)

THIS CREATES X, Y, Z IN COLUMN 1 AND J-TRANSPOSE IN COLUMN 2-4
DO 20 I = 1,3
DO 20 J = 1,4
**WORMX(I, J) = 0.0**

WRITE(20, *) 'SHAPE IN FUNC.'
WRITE(20, 888) (SHAPE(I, J), J=1, 9, I=1, 3)
FORMAT(1X, 3F14.7)

**THE ELEMENT GEOMETRY IS DEFINED BY THE 8-NODE SERENDIPITY**

PPN = 8
DO 24 INODE = 1, PPN
IP0IN = I*88(LNODS(IIELEM, INODE))
WRITE(5, '***IP0IN IN FUNCTION***', IP0IN, IIELEM, INODE)
DO 24 K = 1, 3
GTOP = COORD(IP0IN, K)
GBOT = COORD(IP0IN, K+4)
GOSH = ((1.0+ZETA)*GTOP + (1.0-ZETA)*GBOT)/2.0
WRITE(5, '***GTOP, GBOT, GOSH... ***', GTOP, GBOT, GOSH)
DO 22 J = 1, 3
WRITE(20, 820) 'WORMX(K, J)', WORMX(K, J), 'K=', K, 'J=', J
WORMX(K, J) = WORMX(K, J)+GOSH*SHAPE(J, INODE)
WORMX(K, 4) = WORMX(K, 4) + SHAPE(1, INODE)*(GTOP-GBOT)/2.0

**THIS CREATES J INVERSE IN COLUMNS 5-7 OF WORMX**

CALL MATH(2, 5, 0)
DJACB = 1/0.0001
EXIT FOR A CASE WHEN J, J INVERSE AND DET-J ONLY ARE REQUIRED
IF(NBOP, EQ. 2) GO TO 50

**THIS CREATES DIRECTION ZETA NORMAL TO XI AND ETA**

CALL VECT(2, 3, 10, 4)

**THIS CREATES A LOCAL CARTESIAN SET**

NFR = 8
CALL FRAME(NFR, 10, 0, 1)

**CREATE THE TERMS OF STRAIN/DISPLACEMENT MATRIX**

DO 40 INODE = 1, INODE
DO 40 J = 1, 3
DO 40 I = 1, 3

**SHAPE FUNCTIONS CREATED AT A NORMAL**

NOSHAP = 5*(INODE-1) + J
WRITE(20, 4) INODE, J, NOSHAP, INODE, J, NOSHAP

DO 26 I = 11, 17
DO 26 K = 1, 3
WORMX(K, I) = 0.0
IF(J GE 4) GO TO 30
DO 29 K = 1, 3

**SHAPE AND XI AND ETA DERIVATIVES**

WORMX(K, J) = SHAPE(K, INODE)
WRITE(12, 400) 'WORMX(J, K+10) = ', WORMX(J, K+10)
GO TO 35

**JPOS = -2**

JPOS = JPOS + 1.2 FOR LOCAL X, Y DEFLECTIONS OF ENDS OF NORMAL

DO 35 K = 1, 3

**X, Y, Z COMPONENTS OF LOCAL X, Y DEFLECTIONS**

IP0IN = I*88(LNODS(IIELEM, INODE))
IPOSi = (IP0IN-1)**3
GASH = (GTOP-MP0IN+JPOS)*IPOISI
IF(JPOSI NE 2) GO TO 32
GASH = -GASH
DO 34 K = 1, 4

**SHAPE AND ITS XI, ETA DERIVATIVES - K-4 GIVES SPECIAL ZETA DERIVATIVES**

IF(K, EQ. 4) GO TO 31
WORMX(M, K+10) = ZETA*SHAPE(K, INODE)*GASH*(THICK(IP0IN)/2.0)
U, V, W ARE NOW IN COL 11
GO TO 34
WORMX(M, K+10) = SHAPE(1, INODE)*GASH*(THICK(IP0IN)/2.0)
CONTINUE

**CONTINUE**

**THIS TRANPOSES XI, ETA AND ZETA DERIVATIVES OF U, V, W**

CALL SINGOP(12, 3)
WRITE(5, 9) 'COMES OUT OF SINGOP, J =', J
MULTIPLIES BY J INVERSE TO FORM X-Y-Z DERIVATIVES OF U, V, W
CALL MATH(12, 15, 3)

**TRANSPOSES X, Y, Z DERIVATIVES OF U, V, W FROM COL 15 TO COL 18**

WRITE(5, 9) 'ENTERS MATH WITH NPN = 8'
NPN = 8
CALL MATH(15, 12, 0, NPN)
WRITE(5, 9) 'COMES OUT OF MATH WITH NPN = 3'
WRITE(5,')'COMES OUT OF MATM WITH NPON = 8'
WRITE(20,')'VALUE OF WORMX IN Func'
WRITE(20,1191) (WORMX(IKI,JKJ),JKJ=1,24),IKI=1,3)
FORMAT(1X,3E14.7)
THIS CONVERTS TO LOCAL AXES AT INTEGRATING POINT
INN =8
JNN = 10
KNN = 11
CALL FRAME (INN, JNN, KNN, 4)
WRITE(5,')
WRITE(5,')'COMES OUT OF FRAME E IN FUNC'
WRITE(20,')'VALUE OF WORMX IN Func'
WRITE(20,1191) (WORMX(IKI,JKJ),JKJ=1,24),IKI=1,3)
FORMAT(1X,3E14.7)
SETS UP THE STRAIN MATRIX TERMS
IF(NB0RP EQ 2) GO TO 39
BMATX(1,NSHAP) = WORMX(1,19)
BMATX(2,NSHAP) = WORMX(2,19)
BMATX(3,NSHAP) = WORMX(2,19) + WORMX(1,19)
BMATX(4,NSHAP) = WORMX(1,20) + WORMX(3,19)
BMATX(5,NSHAP) = WORMX(2,20) + WORMX(3,19)
WRITE(20,')'COMPONENTS OF BMATX'
WRITE(20,1191) (WORMX(IKI,NSHAP),IKI=1,5)
LOCAL STRAINS Go IN BMATX IN THE ORDER X,Y,XY,XZ,YZ
GMATX(1,NSHAP) = WORMX(1,20)
GMATX(2,NSHAP) = WORMX(2,20)
LOCAL DERIVATIVES Go IN -GMATX- IN THE ORDER DW/DX, DW/DY
GO TO 40
CONTINUE
TRANSVERSE SHEAR TERMS FOR SELECTIVE INTEGRATION
GMATX(1,NSHAP) = WORMX(1,20)+WORMX(3,18)
GMATX(2,NSHAP) = WORMX(2,20)+WORMX(3,19)
CONTINUE
WRITE(5,')'COMES OUT OF 40'
PAUSE
GO TO 50
WRITE(5,')'RETURNING BACK TO BGMAT'
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE GAUSS(NGAUS,POSGP,WEIGP)

SUBROUTINE GAUSS5(NGAUS,POSGP,WEIGP)

**SUBROUTINE GAUSS5(NGAUS,POSGP,WEIGP)**

**THIS SUBROUTINE SETS UP THE GAUSS-LEGENDRE INTEGRATION CONSTANTS**

**DIMENSION POSGP(5),WEIGP(5)**

**DO 2 IGASH =1,5**

**POSGP(IGASH) = 0.0**

**WEIGP(IGASH) = 0.0**

**IF(NGAUS GT 2) GO TO 4**

**POSGP(1) = -0.57735026918926**

**WEIGP(1) = 1.0**

**GO TO 8**

**POSGP(1) = -0.57735026918926**

**WEIGP(1) = 1.0**

**GO TO 50**

**POSGP(4) = -0.57735026918926**

**WEIGP(4) = 1.0**

**POSGP(5) = -POSGP(4)**

**WEIGP(5) = WEIGP(4)**

**RETURN**

**END**

**-----------------------------------------------------------------------------**

**SUBROUTINE GEOME(ESTIF, GMATX, STRSG, MEVAB, NEVAB, MTOTQ, KGAUS, DVOLU)**

**-----------------------------------------------------------------------------**
THIS SUBROUTINE CALCULATES THE GEOMETRIC MATRIX \(-GEMTX-\)

**DIMENSION**

\(ESTIF(MEVAB, MEVAB), GMAOTX(2, 45), STRSG(5, MTOG), GEMTX(45, 45), GDUMM(2, 45), STDUM(2, 2)\)

**DO 5 IEVA3 = 1, NEVA3**

**DO 5 JEVAB = 1, NEVAB**

\(GEMTX(IEVAB, JEVAB) = 0.0\)

SET UP \(-STUDM-\) MATRIX WITH THE ACTUAL IN-PLANE STRESSES

\(STDUM(1, 1) = STRSG(1, KGhAUS)\)
\(STDUM(1, 2) = STRSG(3, KGhAUS)\)
\(STDUM(2, 1) = STRSG(2, KGhAUS)\)
\(STDUM(2, 2) = STRSG(2, KGhAUS)\)

EVALUATE THE PRODUCT OF STUDM*GMATX

**DO 10 I = 1, 2**

**DO 10 IEVAD = 1, NEVA**

**DO 10 J = 1, NEVA**

\(EVA(1, 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} EVA(i, 1) + STDUM(I, J)*GMATX(J, IEVA)\)

**IF(NREST EQ 0)**

IF(NREST EQ 1), GO TO 20

**RETURN**

**END**

**SUBROUTINE INCREM**

**SUBROUTINE INCREM2**
WRITE(50,*)'LOAD:
WRITE(50,*)('TLOAD/IL,UL),UL=1,NEVAB),IL=1,NELEM)
INTERPRET FIXITY DATA IN VECTOR FORM

DO 100 ITOV = 1,NTOTV
   FIXED(ITOTV) = 0.0
   WRITE(50,*)'IVFIX',NVFIX
DO 110 IFIX = 1,NFIX
   NLoca = (NFIX(IFIX)-1)*NDOFN
   DO 110 IDOFN = 1,NDOFN
      NGASH = NLoca+IDOFN
      FIXED(NGASH)*PRESC(IFIX,IFIX,X,NDOFN)=FACTO
      WRITE(50,*)'IVASH,FIXED(IFASH),PRESC(IFIX,IFIX,X),FACTO',
   CONTINUE
  WRITE(50,*)'ADDITIONAL CONSTRAINTS FOR THE HETEROSIS ELEMENT
   IF(NNODE.EQ.9 OR NCOLA.NE.1) GO TO 130
    DO 120 IEN = 1,NELEM
       LNOD9 = IEN(NLEM,9)
       NLoca = (NOD9-1)*NDOFN
       IFIX = 3
       DO 120 IDOFN = 1,3
       NGASH = NLoca+IDOFN
       IFIX(NGASH) = -1
   CONTINUE
   RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE INPUT
SUBROUTINE INPUT(AVEL, COORD, GRAVI, IFFIX, LNODS,
   MATNO, MFROM, MELEM, MMATS, MPONIN,
   MTOTV, MVFIX, NDFRO, NDOFNN, NELEM, NCOLA,
   NEVAB, NGASH, NGAUZ, NMATS, NNODE, NLAYR,
   NOFIX, NPOIN, NPROP, NTOTG, NNFIX, NFIX,
   NTOTV, NIVFX, POSGP, PRESS, PROPS, WEIGP,
   NALGO, NINC, LARGE)

   THIS SUBROUTINE ACCEPTS MOST OF THE INPUT DATA
DIMENSION COORD(MPONIN, 3), IFFIX(MTOTV), LNODS(MELEM, 9),
   MATNO(MELEM, NLAYR), NDFRO(MELEM), GRAVI(3),
   NOFIX(NIVFX), POSGP(5), PRESS(NIVFX, NDOFNN),
   PROPS(MMATS, MPROP), TITLE(12), WEIGP(5)
READ(15, 920) TITLE
WRITE(6, 920) TITLE
FORMAT(1A6)
READ(15, 920) TITLE
WRITE(6, 920) TITLE
FORMAT(12A6)
READ THE FIRST DATA CARD, AND ECHO IT IMMEDIATELY
READ(15, 920) NPOIN, NELEM, NVFIX, NNODE, NMATS, NGAUS, NGAUZ, NCOLA,
   NALGO, NINC, NLAYR, LARGE, NREST
FORMAT(1G15.5, 3F7.15)
WRITE(5, 920) NPOIN, NELEM, NVFIX, NNODE, NMATS, NGAUS, NGAUZ, NCOLA,
   NALGO, NINC, NLAYR, LARGE, NREST
NEVAB = NDOFNN*NNODE
NTOTV = NPOIN*NDOFN
NGAUZ = NGAUS*NGAUZ
NTOT = NELEM*NGASH*NLAYR
WRITE(5, 9Y) 'NEVAB, NDOFNN, NODE, NPOIN, NGAUS, NGAUZ, NLAYR, NTOTV',
WRITE(6, 9O1) NPOIN, NELEM, NVFIX, NNODE, NMATS, NGAUS, NGAUZ, NEVAB,
   NCOLA, NALGO, NINC, NLAYR, LARGE, NREST
FORMAT(11X, 5X, 9H NPOIN =, 15. /,
   5X, 9H NVFIX =, 15. /,
   5X, 9H NMATS =, 15. /, 8H NGAUS =, 15. /,
   5X, 8H NGAUZ =, 15. /, 8H NEVAB =, 15. /, 8H NCOLA =, 15. /,
   8H NALGO =, 15. /, 8H NINC =, 15. /,
   8H NLAYR =, 15. /, 8H LARGE =, 15. /, 8H NREST =, 15. /
WRITE(6, 912)
READ(15, 913) GRAVI(1), GRAVI(2), GRAVI(3), ANVEL
WRITE(6, 913) GRAVI(1), GRAVI(2), GRAVI(3), ANVEL
FORMAT(14H X-GRAVITY Y-GRAVITY Z-GRAVITY ANG VEL /)
913 FORMAT(14F10.5)
CALL CHECK1(NDOFN, NELEM, NGAUS, NMATS, NNODE, NPOIN, NMATS, NVFIX, NGAUZ, NLAYR)

READ THE ELEMENT NODAL CONNECTIONS, AND THE PROPERTY NUMBERS

WRITE (6, 902)
  FORMAT(//8H ELEMENT, 5X, 15H PROPERTY/LAYER, 35X, 12HNODE NUMBERS)
DO 2 IELEM = 1, NELEM
  READ (15, 903) NUMEL, (MATNO(NUMEL, ILAYR), ILAYR = 1, NLAYR)
WRITE (6, 903) NUMEL, (MATNO(NUMEL, ILAYR), ILAYR = 1, NLAYR)
WRITE (5, 903) NUMEL, (MATNO(NUMEL, ILAYR), ILAYR = 1, NLAYR)
WRITE (6, 901) (LINQDS(NUMEL, INODE), INODE = 1, NNODE)
WRITE(5, 901) (LINQDS(NUMEL, INODE), INODE = 1, NNODE)
  FORMAT(12I5)
DO 11 IPOIN = 1, NPOIN
  WRITE (6, 905) IPOIN, (COORD(IPOIN, IDIME), IDIME = 1, 8)
WRITE(5, 905) IPOIN, (COORD(IPOIN, IDIME), IDIME = 1, 8)
  FORMAT(15, 4F15.10)
IF (IPOIN .NE. NPOIN) GO TO 6
INTERPOLATE COORDINATES OF MID-SIDE NODES
  CALL NCDEX(CORD, LINQDS, IPOIN, NELEM, NNODE)
DO 10 IPGLN = 1, NPOIN
  WRITE (6, 905) IPOIN, (COORD(IPOIN, IDIME), IDIME = 1, 8)
WRITE(5, 905) IPOIN, (COORD(IPOIN, IDIME), IDIME = 1, 8)
  FORMAT(15, 4F15.10)
READ THE FIXED VALUES
DO 8 IFIX = 1, NVFIX
  READ (15, 905) IFIX, (IPRE, CPRESC(IFIX), IDOFN = 1, NDOFN)
  WRITE (6, 906) IFIX, IFP, CPRESC(IFIX), IDOFN = 1, NDOFN
WRITE(5, 906) IFIX, IFP, CPRESC(IFIX), IDOFN = 1, NDOFN
  FORMAT(1X, 14, 5X, 7E15.5)
READ THE AVAILABLE SELECTION OF ELEMENT PROPERTIES
DO 10 IMATS = 1, NMATS
  WRITE (6, 910) IMATS, (PROP(NUMAT, IPROP), IPROP = 1, NPROP)
WRITE(5, 910) IMATS, (PROP(NUMAT, IPROP), IPROP = 1, NPROP)
  FORMAT(3E12.5)
WRITE(6, 9002) IMATS, (PROP(NUMAT, IPROP), IPROP = 1, NPROP)
WRITE(5, 9011) IMATS, (PROP(NUMAT, IPROP), IPROP = 1, NPROP)
  FORMAT(1X, 14, 3X, 7E15.5)
SET UP THE GAUSSIAN INTEGRATION CONSTANTS
CALL GAUSSQ(NGAUS, POSGP, WEIGP)
CALL CHECK2(COORD, IFIX, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MFRON, MPOIN, MTOTV,
           VFIX, NDFRO, NDOFNJ, NELEM, NMATS, NNODE, NOFIX, NPOIN,
           NFIX, NLAYR)

RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE INVAR
SUBROUTINE INVAR(A, ST, LPROP, MMATS, YIELD)

THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES THE CURRENT VALUE OF THE YIELD FUNCTION

DIMENSION ST(5), A(9,MMATS)

GASH = A(1,L)*ST(1)+2.0*A(2,L)*ST(1)+2.0*A(3,L)*ST(1)*ST(3)+
       A(4,L)*ST(2)*ST(2)+2.0*A(5,L)*ST(2)*ST(3)+A(6,L)*ST(3)*ST(3)+
       A(7,L)*ST(4)*ST(4)+A(8,L)*ST(4)*ST(5)+A(9,L)*ST(5)*ST(5)

YIELD = SQRT(GASH)

RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE LDISP
SUBROUTINE LDISP(BMATX,G-MATX, ETDIS,NEVAB)

THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES THE INITIAL DISPLACEMENT MATRIX -BLARG- AND ADDS IT UP TO BMATX

DIMENSION BMATX(5, 45),GMATX(2,45),ETDIS(45),ADUMM(3,2),
       BLARG(3, 45)

CALCULATE THE ACTUAL -X- AND -Y- DERIVATIVES OF -W- DISPLACEMENT

DWDXX = 0.0
DWDYY = 0.0
DO 10 IEVAB =1,NEVAB
  DWDXX = DWDXX+GMATX(1, IEVAB)*ETDIS(IEVAB)
  DWDYY = DWDYY+GMATX(2, IEVAB)*ETDIS(IEVAB)

SET UP THE -ADUMM- MATRIX

ADUMM(1,1) = DWDXX
ADUMM(1,2) = 0.0
ADUMM(2,1) = 0.0
ADUMM(2,2) = DWDYY
ADUMM(3,1) = DWDYY
ADUMM(3,2) = DWDXX

NOW CALCULATE THE -BLARG- MATRIX

DO 20 IEVAB =1,NEVAB
  DO 20 I=1,3
    BLARG(I, IEVAB) = BLARG(I, IEVAB)+ADUMM(I, J)*GMATX(J, IEVAB)

THE NEW -BMATX- IS EQUAL TO -BMATX+BLARG

DO 30 IEVAB =1,NEVAB
  DO 30 I=1,3
    BMATX(I, IEVAB) = BMATX(I, IEVAB)+BLARG(I, IEVAB)

RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE LOADS
SUBROUTINE LOADS(ANVEL, COORD, ELOAD, GRAVI, LNODS,
               MATNO, MELEM, MFRON, MPOIN, MTOTV,
               VFIX, NDFRO, NDOFNJ, NELEM, NMATS, NNODE, NOFIX, NPOIN,
               NFIX, NLAYR)

RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE LOADS(ANVEL, COORD, ELOAD, GRAVI, LNODS,
                  MATNO, MELEM, MFRON, MPOIN, MTOTV,
                  VFIX, NDFRO, NDOFNJ, NELEM, NMATS, NNODE, NOFIX, NPOIN,
                  NFIX, NLAYR)

RETURN
END
REWIND 8

LOAD OVER EACH ELEMENT
DO 150 IELEM = 1, NELEM

READ THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE APPLIED LOADS

WRITE(60, *) 'ELEMENT=', IELEM
READ(15, 900) NPRES, NUCLO, NBODY
WRITE(60, 901) NPRES, NUCLO, NBODY
FORMAT(915)
FORMAT(9H NPRES = ,5X, 8H NUCLO = ,5X, 8H NBODY = ,5X)
IF(NPRES EQ. 0) GO TO 3
READ(15, 902) KPRES, CFACE, PREVA, SURFA
WRITE(60, 902) KPRES, CFACE, PREVA, SURFA
FORMAT(15.5, 12F15.5)

CFACE IS +1.0 OR -1.0, ACCORDING AS PRESSURE IS ON TOP OR BOTTOM SURFACE

CONTINUE

INITIALIZE THE LOAD MATRIX ELOAD ONE COLUMN AT EACH TIME

DO 4 IEVAB = 1, NEVAB
ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) = 0.0

ENTER THE LOOPS OVER GAUSS POINTS FOR NUMERICAL INTEGRATION

DO 145 IGAUS = 1, NGAUS
DO 145 JGAUS = 1, NGAUS
EXISP = POSGP(IGAUS)
ETASP = POSGP(JGAUS)
WRITE(60, *) 'EXISP, ETASP, POSGP(IGAUS), POSGP(JGAUS)'
WRITE(60, *) EXISP, ETASP, POSGP(IGAUS), POSGP(JGAUS)
CALL SSFRI(SHAPE, EXISP, ETASP)
IF(NBODY EQ. 0) GO TO 141
ZETSP = -1.0
DO 140 ILAYR = 1, NLAYR
LPROP = MATNO(IELEM, ILAYR)
DZETA = PROPS(LPROP, 3)
ZETSP = ZETSP + DZETA / 2.0
READ(S, 3MATX', GMATX, DVOLU

CALCULATE THE CENTRIFUGAL, GRAVITATIONAL PRESSURE AND POINT LOADS

CENTRIFUGAL FORCE
IF(ANVEL EQ. 0.0) GO TO 70
NPROP = 2
CALL FWC(BMATX, SHAPE, THICK, NBORP, NNODE, ZETSP, MELEM, COORD, DICOS,
        LNOOD, IELEM, MPOIN, M3POI, GMATX)
GASH = PROPS(LPROP, 4)*ANVEL*ANVEL*DVOLU
DO 45 IS = 1, 2
STREN(IS) = GASH*WORMX(IS, 1)
CONTINUE
STREN(3) = 0.0
DO 65 INODE = 1, NNODE
FIND THE POSITION OF THE V-1 AND V-2 VECTORS
IPOIN = IABS(LNOOD(I INODE, IELEM, INODE))
JPOSI = (IPOIN-1)*3
DO 65 ISTR = 1, NSTRE
IEVAB = (INODE-1)*5+ISTR
IF(ISTR GT. 3) GO TO 50
ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) = ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)+STREN(ISTR)*SHAPE(1, INODE)
GO TO 65
JPOSI = JPOSI +1
GASH = SHAPE(1, INODE)*(THICK(IPOIN)/2.0)*ZETSP
IF(ISTR NE. 5) GO TO 55
GASH = -GASH
DO 60 ILL=1, 2
ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) = ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)+STREN(ILL)*DICOS(ILL, JPOSI)*GASH
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
GRAVITY
GASH = PROPS(LPROP, 4)*DVOLU
DO 75 IMM=1,3
STREN(IMM) = GRAVI(IMM)*GASH
DO 95 INODE=1, NNODE
IPOIN = IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE))
JPOSJ = IPOIN-1 + 3
DO 95 ISTRE =1, NSTRE
IEVAB = (INODE-1)*5 + ISTRE
IF(ISTRE .GT. 3) GO TO 80
ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) = ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) + STREN(ISTRE) * SHAPE(1, INODE)
GO TO 95
JPOSj = JPOSJ + 1
GASH = SHAPE(1, INODE)*THICK(IPOIN)/2.0*ZETSP
IF(ISTRE . NE. 5) GO TO 85
GASH = -GASH
DO 90 IKK=1, 3
ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) = ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) + STREN(IKK) * DICOS(IKK, JPOSJ)*GASH
CONTINUE
ZETSP = ZETSP + DZETA/2.0
CONTINUE
CALCULATE THE NODAL LOADS DUE TO PRESSURE
IF(NPRES .EQ. 0) GO TO 142
CALL PRES(EMATX, COORD, ELOAD, LNODS, POSGP, SHAPE, THICK, WELGP, IELEM, IGAUS, IGAUS, MELEM, MPNOIN, NNODE, NEVAB, KPRES, CFACE, PREVA, SURFA, DICOS, M3POIN)
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
POINT LOADS
IF(NUCLO .EQ. 0) GO TO 150
IS THE PRESENT ELEMENT A LOADED ELEMENT. IF IT IS READ AND ACCUMULATE THE LOADS IN ELOAD
WRITE(60, *) 'NUCLO= ', NUCLO
DO 120 IGASP=1, NUCLO
READ(15, 950) LPOIN, LDOFN, CARGA
WRITE(60, 960) LPOIN, LDOFN, CARGA
WRITE(6, 960) LPOIN, LDOFN, CARGA
WRITE(5, 960) LPOIN, LDOFN, CARGA
IEVAB = (LPOIN-1)*5 + LDOFN
ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) = ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) + CARGA
WRITE(60, 960) 'ELOAD ', ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB)
CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE MATM
SUBROUTINE MATM(N1, N2, N3, NOPN)
*****************************************************************************
MATRIX MANIPULATIONS
NOPN = 1, TRANSPOSE-INVERT N1 INTO N2, DJACB = 1/GVALU
NOPN = 2, TRANSPOSE-MULT., A(K, I)#B(K, J) = C(I, J)
( I.E A IS TRANSPOSED)
NOPN = 3, TRUE MULTIPLY, A(I, K)#B(K, J) = C(I, J)
NOPN = 4, MATRIX (TRANSPOSED)*VECTOR
NOPN = 5, TRANSPOSE MATRIX N1 INTO N2
NOPN = 6, NORMALIZE N1 INTO N2, IN COLUMNS
NOPN = 7, N1 AND N2 OPEN SCISSORS-FAXHION TO BE ORTHOGONAL
NOPN = 8, TRANSFER MATRIX N1 INTO N2
NOPN = 9, MATRIX N1*VECTOR N2 = VECTOR N3
*****************************************************************************
COMMON WORMX (3, 24), GVALU, DJACOB
WRITE(5, *) ' ENTERING MATM' WRITE(5, *) NOPN IN MATM, NOPN
COMPUTE(/X, 3E14.7)
GO TO (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9), NOPN
K = 2
DO 10 I = 1, 3
   J = 4 - I - K
   M1 = N1 + J
   M2 = N1 + K
   M3 = N2 + I - 1
   M4 = N1 + I - 1
   WRITE(5, *) 'GVALU IN MATM FIRST BEFORE CALLING VECT', GVALU
   WRITE(5, *) '+++++++I, J, K, M1, M2, M3, M4+++++', I, J, K, M1, M2, M3, M4
   CALL VECT(M1, M2, M3, 4)
   WRITE(5, *) 'GVALU ONCE', GVALU
   CALL VECT(M4, M3, 0, 1)
   WRITE(5, *') GVALU NEXT', GVALU
   IF (GVALU .NE. 0.0) GO TO 22
   WRITE (6, 21) FORMAT(17H ZERO DETERMINANT)
   STOP
   EXECUTION IS TERMINATED WHEN THE DETERMINANT IS ZERO
   GVALU = 1.0/GVALU
   CALL VECT(M3, M3, 0, 3)
   K = I - 1
RETURN
DO 11 I = 1, 3
   N1 = N1 + I - 1
   M2 = N2 + J - 1
   M3 = N3 + K - 1
   GASH = 0.0
   DO 12 L = 1, 3
      M1 = N1 + L - 1
      GASH = GASH + WORMX(I, M1)*WORMX(L, M2)
   END
   WORMX(I, M2) = GASH
RETURN
DO 13 I = 1, 3
   DO 13 K = 1, 3
      M2 = N2 + K - 1
      M3 = N3 + K - 1
      GASH = 0.0
      DO 14 L = 1, 3
         M1 = N1 + L - 1
         GASH = GASH + WORMX(I, M1)*WORMX(L, M2)
      END
      WORMX(I, M2) = GASH
RETURN
DO 14 I = 1, 3
   M1 = N1 + I - 1
   CALL VECT(M1, N2, 0, 1)
   WORMX(I, N3) = GVALU
RETURN
DO 15 I = 1, 3
   N1I = N1 + I - 1
   N2I = N2 + I - 1
   DO 16 J = 1, 3
      N1J = N1 + J - 1
      N2J = N2 + J - 1
      GASH = WORMX(J, N1I)
      WORMX(J, N2I) = WORMX(I, N1J)
      WORMX(J, N2J) = GASH
RETURN
DO 16 I = 1, 3
   N1 = N1 + I - 1
   I2 = N2 + I - 1
   CALL VECT(I1, I2, 0, 2)
RETURN
   CALL SINGOP(N1, 2)
   CALL SINGOP(N2, 2)
   CALL VECT(N1, N2, 0, 1)
   GASH = -GVALU/(1.0+SQRT(1.0-GVALU*GVALU))
   DO 17 I = 1, 3
      GISH = WORMX(I, N1)
      GOSH = WORMX(I, N2)
      WORMX(I, N1) = GISH*GASH+GOSH
      WORMX(I, N2) = GOSH + GASH*GISH
RETURN
   DO 18 J = 1, 3
      N1J = N1 + J - 1
      N2J = N2 + J - 1
      GASH = GASH + WORMX(I, N2J)*WORMX(I, N1J)
RETURN
   DO 18 I = 1, 3
      WORMX(I, N2J) = WORMX(I, N1J)
RETURN
DO 20 I = 1, 3
  GASH = 0.0
  DO 19 J = 1, 3
    N1J = N1 + J - 1
    GASH = GASH + WORMX(I, N1J) * WORMX(J, N2)
  N1J = N1 + J - 1
  RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE MODAN(AMATX, DMATT, NMATS, NPROP, PROPS, MMATS,
  MATNO, MELEM, MLAYR, NELEM, NLAYR)

  DIMENSION AMATX(9, NMATS), DMATT(5, 5, NMATS), PROPS(NMATS, NPROP),
  APARA(5, 5), TRANS(5, 5), GASHM(5, 5), MATNO(MELEM, MLAYR),
  COEFE(2)

  DO 15 IMATS = 1, NMATS

    SETS UP THE MATRIX OF THE ANISOTROPIC PARAMETERS
    UNIAx = PROPS(IMATS, 6)
    DO 5 I = 1, 9
      AMATX(I, IMATS) = 0.0
      AMATX(1, IMATS) = 1.0
    N1J = N1 + J - 1
    WRITE(5, 4) ..., PROPS(IMATS, 12), ..., PROPS(IMATS, 12)
    AMATX(4, IMATS) = (UNIAx/PROPS(IMATS, 12))**2.0
    AMATX(6, IMATS) = (UNIAx/PROPS(IMATS, 14))**2.0
    AMATX(7, IMATS) = (UNIAx/PROPS(IMATS, 15))**2.0
    AMATX(9, IMATS) = (UNIAx/PROPS(IMATS, 16))**2.0

    SETS UP THE ELASTICITY MATRIX -D-
    GASH = 1.0 - PROPS(IMATS, 2)**2.0*PROPS(IMATS, 8)/PROPS(IMATS, 1)
    DO 10 I = 1, 5
      DMATT(I, J, IMATS) = 0.0
    DMATT(2, 2, IMATS) = PROPS(IMATS, 8)/GASH
    DMATT(2, 1, IMATS) = PROPS(IMATS, 2)*DMATT(2, 2, IMATS)
    DMATT(3, 3, IMATS) = PROPS(IMATS, 9)
    DMATT(4, 4, IMATS) = PROPS(IMATS, 10)
    DMATT(5, 5, IMATS) = PROPS(IMATS, 11)
    CONTINUE

    CALCULATE THE SHEAR CORRECTION FACTOR
    IF (NMATS .NE. 1) GO TO 25
    COEFE(1) = 5.0/6.0
    GO TO 27
  N1J = N1 + J - 1
  DMATT(I, J, IMATS) = PROPS(IMATS, 1)/GASH
  DMATT(2, 2, IMATS) = PROPS(IMATS, 8)/GASH
  DMATT(2, 1, IMATS) = PROPS(IMATS, 2)*DMATT(2, 2, IMATS)
  DMATT(3, 3, IMATS) = PROPS(IMATS, 9)
  DMATT(4, 4, IMATS) = PROPS(IMATS, 10)
  DMATT(5, 5, IMATS) = PROPS(IMATS, 11)
  CONTINUE

    CALCULATE THE SHEAR CORRECTION FACTOR
    IF (NMATS .NE. 1) GO TO 25
    COEFE(1) = 5.0/6.0
    GO TO 27

  DO 26 IELEM = 1, NELEM
  KOUNT = 0
  DO 26 ILAYR = 2, NLAYR
    IF(MATNO(IELEM, ILAYR). EQ. MATNO(IELEM, ILAYR-1)) GO TO 26
    KOUNT = KOUNT + 1
    CONTINUE
    IF(KOUNT .EQ. 0) GO TO 19
    CALL SHEARC(MATNO, MELEM, MLAYR, PROPS, MMATS, NPROP,
      COEFE, NLAYR, DMATT)
  DO 28 IMATS = 1, NMATS
    DMATT(4, 4, IMATS) = DMATT(4, 4, IMATS)*COEFE(1)
  N1J = N1 + J - 1
  DMATT(3, 3, IMATS) = DMATT(3, 3, IMATS)*COEFE(2)
    WRITE(6, 300) (COEFE(I), I = 1, 2)
    FORMAT(1X, 2E9.4, 5X, 'COEFE(2)=', E15.8/)
  DO 80 IMATS = 1, NMATS
    IF THE REFERENTIAL SYSTEM OF AXES COINCIDES WITH THE
    PRINCIPAL AXES OF MATERIAL - GO TO 80
    THETA = PROPS(IMATS, 17)
    IF(ABS(THETA). LT. 0.001) GO TO 80
SETS UP THE TRANSFORMATION MATRIX

```
DO 30 I = 1, 5
DO 30 J = 1, 5

TRANS(I, J) = 0.0
C = COS (THETA)
S = SIN (THETA)
TRANS (1, 1) = C * C
TRANS (1, 2) = S * S
TRANS (2, 1) = TRANS (1, 2)
TRANS (2, 2) = TRANS (1, 1)
TRANS (1, 3) = C * S
TRANS (3, 1) = -2.0 * TRANS (1, 3)
TRANS (2, 3) = -TRANS (1, 3)
TRANS (3, 2) = -TRANS (3, 1)
TRANS (3, 3) = TRANS (1, 1) - TRANS (1, 2)
TRANS (4, 4) = C
TRANS (4, 5) = S
TRANS (5, 4) = -S
TRANS (5, 5) = C
```

CALCULATE THE PRODUCT OF D MATRIX BY T MATRIX

```
DO 35 I = 1, 5
DO 35 J = 1, 5
GASHM (I, J) = 0.0
DO 35 K = 1, 5
GASHM (I, J) = GASHM (I, J) + DMATT (I, K, IMATS) * TRANS (K, J)
```

CALCULATED THE TRANSPOSED D MATRIX

```
DO 40 I = 1, 5
DO 40 J = 1, 5
DMATT (I, J, IMATS) = 0.0
DO 40 K = 1, 5
DMATT (I, J, IMATS) = DMATT (I, J, IMATS) + TRANS (K, I) * GASHM (K, J)
```

SET UP THE MATRIX OF THE ANISOTROPIC PARAMETERS FOR THE MATERIAL

```
DO 50 I = 1, 5
DO 50 J = 1, 5
APARA (I, J) = 0.0
APARA (1, 1) = AMATX (1, IMATS)
APARA (1, 2) = AMATX (2, IMATS)
APARA (2, 1) = APARA (1, 2)
APARA (2, 2) = AMATX (4, IMATS)
APARA (3, 3) = AMATX (6, IMATS)
AMATX (4, 4) = AMATX (7, IMATS)
AMATX (5, 5) = AMATX (9, IMATS)
```

SET UP THE NEW TRANSFORMATION MATRIX

```
TRANS (3, 1) = -C * S
TRANS (2, 3) = 2.0 * TRANS (3, 1)
TRANS (3, 2) = -TRANS (3, 1)
TRANS (1, 3) = -TRANS (2, 3)
```

CALCULATE THE PRODUCT OF A MATRIX BY T MATRIX

```
DO 55 I = 1, 5
DO 55 J = 1, 5
GASHM (I, J) = 0.0
DO 55 K = 1, 5
GASHM (I, J) = GASHM (I, J) + APARA (I, K) * TRANS (K, J)
```

CALCULATE THE NEW ANISOTROPIC PARAMETERS

```
DO 60 I = 1, 5
DO 60 J = 1, 5
APARA (I, J) = 0.0
APARA (I, J) = APARA (I, J) + TRANS (K, I) * GASHM (K, J)
```

AMATX (1, IMATS) = APARA (1, 1)
AMATX (2, IMATS) = APARA (1, 2)
AMATX (3, IMATS) = APARA (1, 3)
AMATX (4, IMATS) = APARA (2, 2)
AMATX (5, IMATS) = APARA (2, 3)
AMATX(6, IMATS) = APARA(3, 3)
AMATX(7, IMATS) = APARA(4, 4)
AMATX(8, IMATS) = APARA(4, 5)
AMATX(9, IMATS) = APARA(5, 5)
CONTINUE
WRITE(5,*), 'GETING OUT OF SUB MODAN .....'
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE NODES
SUBROUTINE NODES (COORD, LNODS, MELEM, MPIN, NELEM, NNODE)
THIS SUBROUTINE INTERPOLATES THE MID SIDE NODES OF STRAIGHT SIDES OF THE ELEMENTS
**DIMENSION COORD(MPIN,8), LNODS(MELEM, 9), ELCOR(8, 8)**

LOOP OVER EACH ELEMENT
NNOD1 = 7
DO 20 INODE = 1, NNOD1, 2
COMPUTE THE NODE NUMBER OF THE FIRST NODE
NODST = LNODS(IELEM, INODE)
IGASH = INODE+2
IF (IGASH.GT.8) IGASH = 1
COMPUTE THE NODE NUMBER OF THE LAST NODE
NODFN = LNODS(IELEM, IGASH)
MIDPT = INODE + 1
COMPUTE THE NODE NUMBER OF THE INTERMEDIATE NODE
NODMD = LNODS(IELEM, MIDPT)
TOTAL = ABS(COORD(NODMD, 1)) + ABS(COORD(NODMD, 2)) + ABS(COORD(NODMD, 3))
IF THE COEFFICIENTS OF THE INTERMEDIATE NODE ARE ALL ZERO INTERPOLATE BY A STRAIGHT LINE
IF (TOTAL.GT.0.0) GO TO 20
KOUNT = 1
COORD(NODMD, KOUNT) = (COORD(NODST, KOUNT) + COORD(NODFN, KOUNT))/2.0
KOUNT = KOUNT + 1
IF (KOUNT.LE.8) GO TO 10
CONTINUE
IF (NNODE.EQ.8) GO TO 60
SET UP THE CENTRAL POINT COORDINATES
NODCE = LNODS(IELEM, 9)
DO 30 INODES = 1, 8
NODEB = LNODS(IELEM, INODE)
DO 30 IDIME = 1, 8
ELCOR(IDIME, INODE) = COORD(NODEB, IDIME)
30 CONTINUE
DO 50 IDIME = 1, 8
GENCO = 0.0
DO 35 INODE = 1, 7, 2
GENCO = GENCO + ELCOR(IDIME, INODE)
35 CONTINUE
DO 40 INODE = 2, 8, 2
GENCO = GENCO + ELCOR(IDIME, INODE)
40 CONTINUE
GENCO = GENCO * 0.5
COORD(NODCE, IDIME) = GENCO
CONTINUE
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE OUTPUT
SUBROUTINE OUTPUT (IITER, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NCHECK, NELEM, NGAUS, NOFIX, NOUTP, NPINQ, NSTR, NVFIX, STRSQ, TDISP, TREAC, EPSTN, POSOP, EFFST, MATNO, MMATS, PROPS, NPROP, MELEM, THICK, MPIN, LNODS, NLAYR, NLAYR)
**THIS SUBROUTINE OUTPUTS DISPLACEMENTS, REACTIONS AND STRESSES**
**DIMENSION NOFIX(MVFIX), NOUTP(2), STRSQ(5, MTOTQ), STRES(6), TDISP(MTOTV), TREAC(MVFIX, 3), EPSTN(MTOTQ),**
POSAN(5), SHAPE(3, 9), EFFST(MTOTG),
THICK(MPOIN), LNODS(MELEM, 9),
FORCE(B), MATNO(MELEM, MLAYR),
PROPS(MMATS, NPROP)

KOUTP = NOUTP(1)
IF(IITER.GT.1) KOUTP = NOUTP(2)
IF(IITER.EQ.1 .AND. NCHECK.EQ.0) KOUTP = NOUTP(2)

OUTPUT DISPLACEMENTS
IF(KOUTP.LT.1) GO TO 10
WRITE (6, 900)
FORMAT(1HO, 5X, 13HDISPLACEMENTS)
WRITE (6, 905)
FORMAT(1HO, 6X, 4HNODE, 4X, 6HX-DISP, 8X, 6HY-DISP, 8X, 6HZ-DISP,
8X, 6HAF-ROT, 8X, 6HST-ROT)
DO 20 IPOIN = 1, NPOIN
NGASH = IPOIN*5
NGISH = NGASH - 4
WRITE(6, 910) IPOIN, (TDISP(IGASH).IGASH = NGISH, NGASH)
CONTINUE

OUTPUT REACTIONS
IF(KOUTP.LT.2) GO TO 30
WRITE (6, 920)
FORMAT(1HO, 5X, 9HREACTIONS)
WRITE (6, 925)
FORMAT(1HO, 6X, 4HNODE, 4X, 6HX-REAC, 8X, 6HY-REAC, 8X, 6HZ-REAC,
8X, 6HAF-MOM, 8X, 6HST-MOM)
DO 40 IVFIX = 1, NVFIX
WRITE(6, 910) NOFIX(IVFIX), (TREAC(IVFIX,.IDOFN),IDOFN=1,5)
CONTINUE

OUTPUT STRESSES
IF(KOUTP.LT.3) GO TO 120
WRITE (6, 927)
FORMAT(1HO, 5X, 8HSTRESSES)
WRITE (6, 926)
FORMAT(1HO, 6X, 5HLAYR, 5X, 6HXX-STR, 8X, 6HYY-STR, 8X, 6HXY-STR,
8X, 6HXZ-STR, 8X, 6HYZ-STR, 6X, 10HEFF-STRESS, 3X,
13HEFF.PL.STRAIN)
KGASP = 0
DO 110 IELEM = 1, NELEM
KELGS = 0
WRITE(6, 940) IELEM
FORMAT(1HO, 18H ELEMENT NO., =, I5, /)
DO 105 IGAUS = 1, NGAUS
DO 105 JGAUS = 1, NGAUS
EXISP = POSGP(IGAUS)
ETASP = POSGP(JGAUS)
SET TO ZERO THE STRESS RESULTANT VECTOR
DO 70 JFORC = 1, 8
FORCE(JFORC) = 0.0
KGASP = 0
KELGS = KELGS + 1
WRITE(6, 945) KELGS
CONTINUE

THE FIVE LOCAL STRESSES IN THE ORDER XX, YY, XY, XZ, YZ
DO 50 ISTRE = 1, NSTRE
STRES(ISTRE) = STRSG(ISTRE, KGAUS)
WRITE(6, 950) KGASP, (STRES(ISTRE), ISTRE=1, NSTRE),
EFFST(KGAUS), EPSTN(KGAUS)

SET UP THE STRESS RESULTANTS IN THE ORDER NX, NY, NXY, MX, MY, GX, GY

DO 75 ISTRE = 1, 3
FORCE(ISTRE) = FORCE(ISTRE) + STRES(ISTRE)*THIGP/2.0*DZETA
END

DO 80 ISTRE = 4, 5
FORCE(ISTRE+3) = FORCE(ISTRE+3) - STRES(ISTRE)*THIGP*THIGP*ZETSP*DZETA/4.0
END

CONTINUE

WRITE(6, 960) FORCEW1, FORCE(4), FORCE(2), FORCE(5), FORCE(3),
FORCE(6), FORCE(7), FORCE(S)

CONTINUE

RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE PRES
SUBROUTINE PRES(BMATX, COORD, ELOAD, LNODS, POSGP, SHAPE, THICK,
WEIGP, IELEM, IGAUS, JGAUS, MELEM, MPOIN, NNODE,
NEVAB, KPRES, CFACG, PREVA, SURFA, DICOS, M3POI)

THIS SUBROUTINE EVALUATES THE NODAL LOADS DUE TO PRESSURE

COMMON WORMX(3, 24), QVALU, DJACB
DIMENSION BMATX(5, 45), COORD(MPOINB), ELOAD(MELEM, NEVAB),
LNODS(MELEM, 9), POSGP(5), SHAPE(3, 9),
THICK(MPOIN), WEIGP(5), DICOS(3, M3POI), GMATX(2, 45)

ZETA = CFACG
NBORP = 2
CALL FUNC(EAMTX, SHAPE, THICK, NBORP, NNODE, ZETA, MELEM,
COORD, DICOS, LNODS, IELEM, MPOIN, M3POI, GMATX)

EVALUATE THE PRESSURE AT SAMPLING POINTS KPRES = 0, 1 OR 2
ACCORDING AS PRESSURE IS U.D., HYDROSTATIC, OR SPECIFIED AS NODAL
COORDINATES

IF(KPRES.EQ.0) GO TO 20
IF(KPRES.EQ.2) GO TO 10
WORMX(3, 1) = WORMX(3, 1) - SURFA
PRESS = PREVA*WORMX(3, 1)
GO TO 25

IF(KPRES.GE.0) GO TO 25
PRESS = 0.0
GO TO 25

PREVA = 0.0
DO 15 INODE = 1, NNODE
NGASH = IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE))

SET UP ARRAY OF NODAL PRESSURE; ROW 1 ROP, ROW 2 BOTTOM

PREMX(1, INODE) = COORD(NGASH, 4)
PREMX(2, INODE) = COORD(NGASH, 8)
GISH = ((1.0-ZETA)*PREMX(1, INODE)+(1.0-ZETA)*PREMX(2, INODE))/2.0
PREVA = PREVA*GISH*SHAPE(1, INODE)
PRESS = PREVA
GMULT = WEIGP(IGAUS)*WEIGP(JGAUS)*CFACG*PRESS

CALCULATE CONSISTENT NODAL LOADS

DO 45 INODE = 1, NNODE
IPOIN = IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE))
QVALU = GMULT*SHAPE(1, INODE)*DJACB
CALL VECT(7, 21, 0, 3)
DO 30 I = 1, 3
ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSI) = ELOAD(IELEM, IPOSI) + WORMX(I, 21)
QVALU = ZETA*THICK(IPOIN)/2.0

CONTINUE

RETURN
END
CALL SINGOP(21, 1)
NPOSI = (IPOIN-1)*3
DO 40 I = 1, 2
  JPOSI = (INODE-1)*5+(I+3)
  NPOSI=NPOSI+1
DO 32 K = 1, 3
  WORMX(K, 24) = DICOS(K, NPOSI)
CALL VECT(21, 24, 0, 1)
IF(I.EQ.2) GO TO 35
QVALU = -QVALU
ELOAD(IELEM, JPOSI) = ELOAD(IELEM, JPOSI) + QVALU
40 CONTINUE
CONTINUE
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE RESTR

SUBROUTINE RESTR(ASDIS, EFFST, ELOAD, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, MTOTV, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NPROP, NSTRE, POSGP, PROPS, STRSG, TDISP, WEIGP, EPSTN, KUNLO, AMATX, DMATT, THICK, MLAYRI, NLAYR, LARGE)

THIS SUBROUTINE REDUCES THE STRESSES TO THE YIELD SURFACE AND EVALUATES THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES

DIMENSION ASDIS(MTOTV), AVECT(5), BMATX(5, 45), DMATT(5, 5, MMATS), DVECT(5), EFFST(MTOTG), ELDIS(45), ELOAD(MELEM, NEVAB), GVECT(5), LTDOF(MELEM, 9), MATNO(MELEM, MLAYR), PROPS(MMATS, NPROP)

REWIND 8
DO 5 IELEM = 1, NELEM
DO 5 IEVAB = 1, NEVAB
  ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) = 0.0
KUNLO = 0
KGAUS = 0
WRITE(5, *)'STARTS PROCESS IN RESTR'
LOOP OVER EACH ELEMENT
DO 210 IELEM = 1, NELEM
IDENTIFY THE DISPLACEMENTS OF THE ELEMENT NODAL POINTS
JPOSI = 0
DO 10 INODE = 1, NNODE
  LNODE = LNODE + 1
NPOSN = ( LNODS(IELEM, INODE))
  DO 10 IDOFN = 1, NDOFN
  NPOSN = NPOSN + 1
  JPOSI = JPOSI + 1
  ELDIS(JPOSI) = ASDIS(NPOSN)
  ETDIS(JPOSI) = TDISP(NPOSN)
  CONTINUE
KELGS = 0
ENTER LOOPS OVER EACH SAMPLING POINTS
WRITE(6, *)' STRESS OUTPUT'
WRITE(6, ' (5E15.6)') SIGX, SIGY, SIGZ, TOUXY, TOUYZ
DO 203 IGAUS = 1, NGAUS
  DO 203 JGAUS = 1, NGAUS
  DO 200 ILAYR = 1, NLAYR
    LPROP = MATNO(IELEM, ILAYR)
    UNIAX = PROPS(LPROP, 6)
    HARDS = PROPS(LPROP, 7)
    KGAUS = KGAUS + 1
    KELGS = KELGS + 1
200 CONTINUE
203 CONTINUE
210 CONTINUE
EPSTN(KGAUS) = ABS(EPSTN(KGAUS))
READ(8) BMATX, GMATX, DVOLU

CALL SUBROUTINE WHICH SETS UP BMATX- TAKING INTO ACCOUNT
THE GEOMETRIC NON-LINEARITY

IF(LARGE.EQ.1) CALL LDISP(BMATX, GMATX, ETDIS, NEVAB)

NOW PROCEED TO CALCULATE STRESSES FROM STRESS = DMATX*BMATX*ELDIS
FIRST STORE IN GASH VECTOR GVECT THE PRODUCT BMATX*ELDIS
DO 30 IDOFN = 1, NDOFN
GASH = 0.0
DO 25 IEVAS = 1, NEVAS
GASH = GASH + BMATX(IDOFN, IEVAB)*ELDIS(IEVAB)
GVECT(IDOFN) = GASH
30

CALCULATE THE FIVE LOCAL STRESSES IN THE ORDER XX, YY, XY, XZ, YZ
DO 50 ISTRE = 1, NSTRE
GASH = 0.0
DO 45 JSTRE = 1, NSTRE
GASH = GASH + DMATT(ISTRE, JSTRE, LPROP)*GVECT(JSTRE)
STRES(ISTRE) = GASH
45
50

WRITE(6,13) ISTRE,STRES(1),STRES(2),STRES(3),STRES(4),STRES(5)
200 CONTINUE

REDUCE STRESSES TO THE YIELD SURFACE FOR YIELDED GAUSS POINTS
PREYS = UNIAX + EPSTN(KGAUS)*HARDS
DO 150 ISTR1 = 1, NSTRE
DESIG(ISTR1) = STRES(ISTR1)
SIGMA(ISTR1) = STRSG(ISTR1, KGAUS)+STRES(ISTR1)
CALL INVAR(AMATX, Sigma, LPROP, MMATS, YIELD)
ESPRE = EFFST(KGAUS) - PREYS
IF(ESPRE.GE.0) GO TO 55
ESCUR = YIELD - PREYS
IF(ESCUR.LE.0.0) GO TO 60
RFAC = ESCUR/(YIELD-EFFST(KGAUS))
GO TO 70
70

RFAC = 1.0
MSTEP = ESCUR/B0/UNIAX + 1.0
ASTEP = MSTEP
REDUC = 1.0-RFAC
DO 90 ISTR1 = 1, NSTRE
SGTOT(ISTR1)=STRSG(ISTR1, KGAUS)+REDUC*STRES(ISTR1)
STRES(ISTR1) = RFAC*STRES(ISTR1)/ASTEP
90
DO 100 ISTEP = 1, MSTEP
CALL INVAR(AMATX, SGTOT, LPROP, MMATS, YIELD)
CALL FLOWS(ABETA, AVECT, DVECTU, LPROP, MMATS, NPROP, PROPS,
SGTOT, AMATX, DMATT)
AGASH = 0.0
DO 110 ISTR1 = 1, NSTRE
AGASH = AGASH + AVECT(ISTR1)*STRES(ISTR1)
DLAMD = AGASH *ABETA
IF(DLAMD.LT.0.0) DLAMD = 0.0
BGASH = 0.0
DO 110 ISTR1 = 1, NSTRE
BGASH = BGASH + AVECT(ISTR1)*SGTOT(ISTR1)
110
EPSTN(KGAUS) = EPSTN(KGAUS) + DLAMD*DVECT(ISTR1)
CONTINUE
CALL INVAR(AMATX, SGTOT, LPROP, MMATS, YIELD)
CURYS = UNIAX+EPSTN(KGAUS)*HARDS
BRING = 1.0
IF(YIELD.GT.CURYS) BRING = CURYS/YIELD
DO 130 ISTR1 = 1, NSTRE
STRSG(ISTR1, KGAUS) = BRING*SGTOT(ISTR1)
130
EPSTN(KGAUS) = BRING*YIELD
ALTERNATIVE LOCATION OF STRESS REDUCTION LOOP TERMINATION CARD

CONTINUE

GO TO 190

DO 180 ISTR1 = 1, NSTRE
  STRSG(ISTR1, KGAUS) = STRSG(ISTR1, KGAUS) + DESIG(ISTR1)
END DO

EFFST(KGAUS) = YIELD

IF (EPSTN(KGAUS) .EQ. 0.0 OR. ESCUR. EQ. 0.0) GO TO 190

EPSTN(KGAUS) = -EPSTN(KGAUS)

KUNLO = KUNLO + 1

CONTINUE

CALCULATE THE EQUIVALENT NODAL FORCES AND ASSOCIATE WITH THE ELEMENT NODES

MGASH = 0

DO 140 INODE = 1, NNODE
  MGASH = MGASH + 1

END DO

DO 140 IDOFN = 1, NDOFN
  MGASH = MGASH + 1

END DO

STRSG(ISTR1, KGAUS) = STRSG(ISTR1, KGAUS) + DESIG(ISTR1, KGAUS)

CONTINUE

RETURN

END

---

SUBROUTINE SFR

SUBROUTINE SFR(W, G, H)

******************************************************************************
PARABOLIC SHAPE FUNCTIONS AND THEIR FIRST DERIVATIVES FOR 8-NODE ELEMENT PLUS THE CENTRAL HIERARCHICAL FUNCTION
G AND H DENOTE THE XI AND ETA VALUES AT THE POINT CONSIDERED
******************************************************************************

DIMENSION W(3, 9)

GG = G * G
GH = G * H
HH = H * H
GGH = GG * H
GHH = G * HH
G2 = G * 2.
H2 = H * 2.

W(1, 1) = (-1. + GH + GG + HH - GGH - GHH) / 4.
W(1, 2) = (1. - HH) / 2.
W(1, 3) = (-1. - GH + GG + HH) / 4.
W(1, 4) = (-1. + GG + GH) / 2.
W(1, 5) = (-1. + GH + GG + HH) / 4.
W(1, 6) = (-1. + HH) / 2.
W(1, 7) = (-1. - GH + HH + HH) / 4.
W(1, 8) = (1. - GH + GG + HH + GGH + GHH + GHH)/4.
W(1, 9) = (1. + GH + GG + HH + GGH + GHH + GHH)/4.

RETURN

END

---

SUBROUTINE SINGOP

SUBROUTINE SINGOP(N1, NOPN)
VECTORS OR MATRIX MANIPULATIONS INCLUDING SINGLE SPACE

NOPN = 1, MULT
NOPN = 2, NORMALISE VECTOR
NOPN = 3, TRANSPOSE MATRIX
NOPN = 4, FIND VECTOR SQUARED
NOPN = 5, FORM UNIT DIAGONAL MATRIX IN N1

COMMON WORMX(3, 24), QVALU, DJACB

WRITE(40, *)'ENTERS SIGNOP AND RECEIVES THE FOLLOWING
N1, NOPN, FROM VECT'

1
CALL VECT(N1, N1, 0, 3)
RETURN

2
CALL VECT(N1, N1, 0, 2)
RETURN

3
WRITE(40, *)'NOPN = ', NOPN, 'N1 =', N1
CALL MATM(N1, N1, 0, 5)
RETURN

4
*CALL VECT(N1, N1, 0, 1)
RETURN

N2 = N1 + 2
DO 12, J = N1, N2
DO 11 I = 1, 3
WORMX(I, J) = 0.0
II = II + 1
12 WORMX(II, J) = 1.0
RETURN

END

SUBROUTINE STIFF(EPSTN, ESTIF, KITER, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM, MEVAB, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, NDOFN, NLEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NPROP, NSTRE, POSGP, PROPS, STRSG, WEIGP, AMATX, STRES, THICK, TDISP, MTOTV, LARGE)

REWIND 1
REWIND 8
KGAUS = 0

DO 110 IELEM = 1, NELEM
SET UP THE ELEMENT DISPLACEMENT VECTOR -ETDIS-

JPOSI = 0
DO 10 INODE = 1, NNODE
LNODE = IABS(LNODS(IELEM, INODE))
NPOSN = (LNODE-1)*NDOFN
DO 10 IDOFN = 1, NDOFN
NPOSN = NPOSN + 1
JPOSI = JPOSI + 1
ETDIS(JPOSI) = TDISP(NPOSN)

DO 20 IEVAB = 1, NEVAB
DO 20 JEVAB = 1, NEVAB
ESTIF(IEVAB, JEVAB) = 0.0
KGAUS = 0
INITIALIZE THE ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRIX

DO 105 IGAUS = 1, NGAUS
DO 105 JGAUS = 1, NGAUS
LPROP = MATNO(IELEM, ILAYR)
KGAUS = KGASP - 1
KGAUS = KGAUS + 1
READ(B) BMATX, GMATX, DVOLU
CALL SUBROUTINE WHICH SETS UP -BMATX- TAKING INTO ACCOUNT
THE LARGE DISPLACEMENTS
IF(LARGE.EQ.1 .AND. KITER.GT.2)
CALL LDISP(BMATX,GMATX,ETDIS,NEVAB)
IF(KITER.EQ.2) GO TO 80
IF(EPSTN(KGAUS).LE.0.0) GO TO 80
CALCULATE THE ELASTO-PLASTIC -D- MATRIX

DO 50 ISTRE = 1,NSTRE
STRES(ISTRE) = STRSG(ISTRE,KGAUS)
CALL FLOWS(ABETA,AVEC,DVECT,LPROP,MMATS,NPROP,PROPS,
STRES,AMATX,DMATT)
DO 70 ISTRE = 1,NSTRE
DO 70 JSTRE = 1,NSTRE
70 DMATX(ISTRE,JSTRE) = DMATT(ISTRE,JSTRE,LPROP)-ABETA*
DVECT(ISTRE)*DVECT(JSTRE)
WRITE(30,*) (DMATX(ISTRE,JSTRE),IST=1,NSTRE)
WRITE(30,*) 'VALUE OF NSTRE=',NSTRE

CALCULATE THE PRODUCT OF D MATRIX AND B MATRIX

DO 35 ISTRE = 1,NSTRE
DO 35 IEVAB = 1,NEVAB
DBMAT(ISTRE,IEVAB) = 0.0
DO 35 JSTRE = 1,NSTRE
35 CONTINUE
GO TO 90

CONTINUE
DO 85 ISTRE = 1,NSTRE
DO 85 IEVAB = 1,NEVAB
DBMAT(ISTRE,IEVAB) = 0.0
DO 85 JSTRE = 1,NSTRE
85 CONTINUE
CONTINUE
CONTINUE

WRITE BGMAT FOR TEST ON UNIT 30
WRITE(30,666) ((DBMAT(I,J),J=1,45),I=1,5)
666 FORMAT(1X,5E14.7/1X,5E14.7/1X,5E14.7/1X,5E14.7/1X,5E14.7)
WRITE D MATRIX ONTO 30 FOR CHECK ONLY
WRITE(30,777) ((DMATT(I,J),J=1,5),I=1,5)
777 FORMAT(1X,5E14.7)

CALCULATE THE ELEMENT STIFFNESS

DO 10 IEVAB = 1,NEVAB
DO 10 JEVAB = IEVAB,NEVAB
10 ESTIF(JEVAB,IEVAB) = ESTIF(IEVAB,JEVAB)+BMATX(ISTRE,IEVAB)*
DMATT(ISTRE,JEVAB)*DVOLU

CALL SUBROUTINE WHICH CALCULATES THE GEOMETRIC MATRIX -GEMTX-
WRITE(5,*) '********CALLING GEOME********'
CALL GEOME(ESTIF,GMATX,STRES,MEVAB,NEVAB,MTOTG,KGAUS,DVOLU)
WRITE(5,*)'**********COMING OUT OF GEOME*****'

CALL SUBROUTINE WHICH SETS UP -BMATX- TAKING INTO ACCOUNT
THE LARGE DISPLACEMENTS
If(LARGE.EQ.1 .AND. KITER.GT.2)
CALL LDISP(BMATX,GMATX,ETDIS,NEVAB)
IF(KITER.EQ.2) GO TO 80
IF(EPSTN(KGAUS).LE.0.0) GO TO 80
WRITE(5,*)'********CALLING GEOME********'
CALL GEOME(ESTIF,GMATX,STRES,MEVAB,NEVAB,MTOTG,KGAUS,DVOLU)
WRITE(5,*)'**********COMING OUT OF GEOME*****'

CONTINUE
CONTINUE

STORE THE STIFFNESS MATRIX FOR EACH ELEMENT ON DISC FILE
WRITE(1) ESTIF
CONTINUE
DO 771 1LM = 1,2
   WRITE(30,*) 'ELEMENT NO. = ', ILM, MEVAB
WRITE(30,*) ((ESTIF(I,J), J=1,45), I=1,45)
CONTINUE
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE VECT

SUBROUTINE VECT(N1, N2, N3, NOPN)

VECTOR MANIPULATIONS
NOPN = 1, QVALU BECOMES SCALAR PRODUCT OF COL. N1 AND N2
NOPN = 2, NORMALISE N1 INTO N2
NOPN = 3, MULTIPLY N1 BY QVALU, PLACE IN N2
NOPN = 4, N3 BECOMES VECTOR PRODUCT OF N1 AND N2
NOPN = 5, N3 BECOMES VECTOR N1 + VECTOR N2*QVALU

COMMON WORMX(3,24), QVALU, DJACOB
WRITE(5,*) 'ENTERS VECT...
DO 101 I = 1,2,3,4,5, NOPN
   WRITE(N1, N2, N3, NOPN)', N1, N2, N3, NOPN
   WRITE(5,*) 'WORMX(I, N1)... ', WORMX(I, N1)
   CONTINUE
   I1 = N1
   GO TO (1,2,3,4,5), NOPN
   I1 = N2
   WRITE(5,*) '------- NOPN= I1, N1, N2', NOPN, I1, N1, N2
   WRITE(5,*) 'WORMX"X... ', WORMX(1, N1), WORMX(2, N1), WORMX(3, N1)
   WRITE(5,*) 'WORMX"S... I1', WORMX(1, I1), WORMX(2, I1), WORMX(3, I1)
   QVALU = 0.0
   DO 10 I = 1,3
      QVALU = QVALU + WORMX(I, N1)*WORMX(I, I1)
   DO 10 (15, 16), NOPN
      IF(QVALU.NE.0.0) GO TO 1B
   WRITE(6,17)
   IFORMAT(12H NULL VECTOR)
   STOP
EXECUTION IS TERMINATED WHEN A VECTOR IS NULL
QVALU = 1.0/SQRT(QVALU)
   DO 12 I = 1,3
      WORMX(I, N2) = WORMX(I, N1)*QVALU
   RETURN
   K = 3
   DO 13 I = 1,3
      J = 6 - I - K
      WRITE(5,*) 'I, J, K... ', I, J, K, N1, N2, N3
      WORMX(I, N3) = WORMX(J, N1)*WORMX(K, N2) - WORMX(K, N1)*WORMX(J, N2)
      WRITE(5,*) '&>&>&& WORMX(I, N3) IN VECT &&&&', WORMX(I, N3)
   RETURN
   DO 14 I = 1,3
      WORMX(I, N3) = WORMX(I, N1) + QVALU*WORMX(I, N2)
   RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE WORKS

SUBROUTINE WORKS(COORD, DICOS, LNODS, THICK, MELEM, MPOIN, NPOIN, M3POI)

THIS SUBROUTINE SETS UP THE THICKNESS AND ORTHOGONAL
SYSTEM OF AXES AT EACH NODAL POINT

DIMENSION COORD(MPOIN,8), LNODS(MELEM,9), THICK(MPOIN),
DICOS(3, M3POI)

COMMON WORMX(3,24), QVALU, DJACOB

TOP AND BOTTOM CO-ORDINATES ARE SET UP AT COLUMNS -1- AND -2-

DO 30 IPOIN = 1, NPOIN
   WRITE(5,3101) IPOIN
   IFORM(12H COORD(IPOIN, I))
   WRITE(5,*) 'WORMX(I, I) = COORD(IPOIN, I)
   WRITE(5,*) 'WORMX(I, 2) = COORD(IPOIN, I+4)
   NGASH = 3
   NGISH = NGASH + 2

QVALU = -1.0
VECTOR V-3 IN COLUMN NGISH
CALL VECT(1,2,NGISH,5)

SETS QVALU EQUAL TO SCALAR PRODUCT OF THE VECTOR (V-3)*(V-3)

WRITE(5,'(A)')'START ENTERING SIGNOP.............'
CALL SINGOP(NGISH,4)
THICK(IP0IN) = SQRT(QVALU)
CREATES AND NORMALISES AT EACH NODE THE VECTORS V-1, V-2 AND V-3
CALL FRAME(NGISH,NGISH,0,1)
DO 354 I = 1,3
  WRITE(5,'(A)')' WORMX----',WORMX(I,1),WORMX(I,2)
  CONTINUE
SET UP THE DIRECTION COSINE MATRIX OF THE LOCAL AXES AT EACH
POINT IN ORDER V-1, V-2, V-3

NPOSI = (IP0IN-1)*3
DO 20 I = 1,3
  DO 20 J = 1,3
    DICS(J,NPOSI) = WORMX(J,1+I)
  CONTINUE
  RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE RESTART
SUBROUTINE RESTART(EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, MELEM, MEVAB, MTOTG,
                    MTOTV, MVFIX, TLOAD, TREC, STRSG,
                    TFACT, KINGS)

THIS SUBROUTINE RECORDS ONTO TAPE 12 THE DATA NEEDED TO
RESTART THE PROBLEM

DIMENSION EFFST(MTOTV), ELOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), EPSTN(MT0T0G),
          TDISP(MTOTV), TLOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), TREC(MVFI0X, 5),
          STRSG(5, MTOTG)
REWIND 12
WRITE(12) KINGS, TFACT, EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN
WRITE(12) TDISP, TLOAD, TREC, STRSG
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE ZERO
SUBROUTINE ZERO(EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, MELEM, MEVAB, KINGS,
                MTOTG, MTOTV, ND0FN, NELEM, NEVAB, NREST,
                NSTRE, NTOTG, NTOTV, NVFIX, MVFIX, STRSG,
                TFACT, TLOAD, TREC)

THIS SUBROUTINE INITIALLSES VARIOUS ARRAYS TO ZERO

DIMENSION ELOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), STRSG(5, MT0T0G), TDISP(MTOTV),
          TLOAD(MELEM, MEVAB), TREC(MVFIX, 5),
          EFFST(MTOTG)

WRITE(50,'(A)')'VALUE OF NEVAB WITHIN ZERO=', NEVAB
IF(NREST.EQ.1) GO TO 70
KINGS = 0
TFACT = 0.0
DO 30 IELEM = 1, NELEM
  DO 30 IEVAB = 1, NEVAB
    ELOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) = 0.0
  CONTINUE
  TLOAD(IELEM, IEVAB) = 0.0
  DO 40 ITOTV = 1, NTOTV
    TDISP(ITOTV) = 0.0
  CONTINUE
  DO 50 IVFIX = 1, NVFIX
    TREC(IVFIX, ID0FN) = 0.0
  CONTINUE
  DO 60 ITOG = 1, NTOTG
    EPSTN(ITOTG) = 0.0
    EFFST(IT0TG) = 0.0
  CONTINUE
  DO 60 ISTRI = 1, NSTRE
    STRSG(ISTR1, ITOTG) = 0.0
  CONTINUE
GO TO 80
30 CONTINUE
40 CONTINUE
50 CONTINUE
60 CONTINUE
70 GO TO 70
80 REWIND 12
READ(12) KINGS, TREAT, EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN
READ(12) TDISP, TLOAD, TREAT, STRSG
CONTINUE
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE SHEARC
SUBROUTINE SHEARC(MATNO, MELEM, MLAYR, PROPS, MMATS, NPROP,
                    COEFE, NLAYR, DMATT)

* Calculates the shear correction factor for the case of laminated composite structures *

DIMENSION RFACT(2), TRLOW2, UPTER(2), GBARF(2), MATNO(MELEM, MLAYR),
       COEFE(2), ZETA1(2), ZETA2(2), DINDX(2), PROPS(MMATS, NPROP),
       GINDX(2), DIFF2(2), DIFF3(2), SUMLA(2), DMATT(5, 5, MMATS),
       DIFF5(2)

INITIALISE SOME ARRAYS
DO 10 I = 1, 2
SUMLA(I) = 0.0
RFACT(I) = 0.0
GBARF(I) = 0.0
UPTER(I) = 0.0
TRLOW(I) = 0.0
COEFE(I) = 0.0

CALCULATE THE POSITION OF THE NEUTRAL AXIS
DSUMM = 0.0
DO 15 ILAYR = 1, NLAYR
LPROP = MATNO(1, ILAYR)
DZETA = PROPS(LPROP, 3)
ZHEIG = DSUMM + DZETA/2.
DO 14 I = 1, 2
DINDX(I) = DMATT(I, I, LPROP)
UPTER(I) = UPTER(I) + DINDX(I) * ZHEIG * DZETA
TRLOW(I) = TRLOW(I) + DINDX(I) * DZETA
DSUMM = DSUMM + DZETA
DO 16 I = 1, 2
ZETA2(I) = -UPTER(I) / TRLOW(I)

CALCULATE THE SHEAR CORRECTION FACTOR
DO 20 ILAYR = 1, NLAYR
LPROP = MATNO(1, ILAYR)
DIFF1 = PROPS(LPROP, 3)
INDEX = 10
DO 20 I = 1, 2
ZETA1(I) = ZETA2(I)
ZETA2(I) = ZETA1(I) * DIFF1
DIFF2(I) = ZETA2(I)**2 - ZETA1(I)**2
DIFF3(I) = ZETA2(I)**3 - ZETA1(I)**3
DIFF5(I) = ZETA2(I)**5 - ZETA1(I)**5
DINDX(I) = DMATT(I, I, LPROP)
GINDX(I) = PROPS(LPROP, INDEX)
RFACT(I) = RFACT(I) + DINDX(I) * DIFF3(I) / 3.
GBARF(I) = GBARF(I) + GINDX(I) * DIFF1/2.
TERM1 = SUMLA(I) * SUMLA(I) * DIFF1
TERM2 = DINDX(I) * (ZETA1(I)**4) * DIFF1/4.
TERM3 = DINDX(I) * DIFF5(I) / 20.
TERM4 = -DINDX(I) * ZETA1(I) * ZETA1(I) * DIFF3(I) / 6.
TERM5 = SUMLA(I) * ZETA1(I) * ZETA1(I) * DIFF1
TERM6 = SUMLA(I) * DIFF3(I) / 3.
COEFE(I) = COEFE(I) + (TERM1 + DINDX(I) * (TERM2 +
                        TERM3 + TERM4 + TERM5 + TERM6)) / GINDX(I)
INDEX = INDEX + 1
SUMLA(I) = SUMLA(I) - DINDX(I) * DIFF2(I) / 2.
CONTINUE
DO 30 I = 1, 2
COEFE(I) = RFACT(I) * RFACT(I) / (2. * GBARF(I) * COEFE(I))
30 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

-----------------------------------------------
MAIN MASTER OR CONTROLLING SEGMENT
PROGRAM PLShEEL

PROGRAM PLShEEL
(INPUT, OUTPUT, TAPE5=INPUT, TAPE6=OUTPUT,
TAPE1, TAPE2, TAPE4, TAPE7, TAPE8, TAPE12)

********************************************************************

PROGRAM FOR ELASTO-PLASTIC ANALYSIS OF ANISOTROPIC SHELL
STRUCTURES USING QUADRATIC DEGENERATE SHELL ELEMENTS (8-NODE
HETEROSIS AND 9-NODE) AND A LAYERED APPROACH, ACCOUNTING FOR
LARGE DISPLACEMENTS AND SELECTIVE INTEGRATION (TRANSVERSE
SHEAR TERMS). THE ANISOTROPIC PARAMETERS REMAIN CONSTANT
DURING THE FLOW. RESTART FACILITIES INCLUDED.

DIMENSION ASDIS(500), COORD(100, 8), LOAD(20, 45), EQRHS(10),
EQUAT(75, 10), EFFST(1600), EPSIN(1600), ESTIF(45, 45),
FIXED(500), GLOAD(75), GSTAT(2850), GRAVI(3),
IFIX(500), LOCID(45), LNODS(20, 9), MATNO(20, 10),
NACV(75), NAMEV(10), NDESTR(45), NDFOR(20),
NNP(36), NOU(2), NPOS(5), THICK(100),
NNPS(36, 5), PROPS(5, 17), RLOAD(20, 45), STFOR(500),
STRES(5, 1800), TDISP(500), TLOAD(20, 45), TTOFOR(500),
TREAC(36, 5), VECRV(75), WEIGP(5), DICOS(3, 300),
AMATX(9, 9), DMMATX(9, 9, 9)

OPEN(UNIT=15, FILE='SHELL1 INP', STATUS='OLD')
OPEN(UNIT=6, FILE='SHELL2 OUT', STATUS='NEW')
OPEN(UNIT=1, STATUS='SCRATCH')
OPEN(UNIT=4, STATUS='SCRATCH')
OPEN(UNIT=7, STATUS='SCRATCH')
OPEN(UNIT=8, STATUS='SCRATCH')
OPEN(UNIT=12, STATUS='SCRATCH')

PRESET VARIABLES ASSOCIATED WITH DYNAMIC DIMENSIONING

CALL DIMEN(MBUFA, MELEM, MEVAB, MFRON, MMATS, MPIN, MSTIF,
MTGT, MTOV, MVFIX, NDFON, NPROP, NSTRE, M3POI,
MLAYR)

CALL THE SUBROUTINE WHICH READS MOST OF THE PROBLEM DATA

CALL INPUT(ANVEL, COORD, GRAVI, IFIX, LNODS,
MATNO, MFRON, MELEM, MMATS, MPIN,
MTOT, MTOV, MVFIX, NDFON, NPROP, NSTRE, M3POI,
MLAYR)

CALL SUBROUTINE WHICH COMPUTES THE ELASTICITY MATRIX -D- AND
THE MATRIX OF THE ANISOTROPIC PARAMETERS

CALL MODANJ(AHATX, DMMATX, NMATS, NPROP, PROPS, MMATS,
MATNO, MELEM, MLAYR, NELEM, NPROP)

CREATE THE THICKNESS AND A LOCAL ORTHOGONAL SET AT EACH NODAL POINT

CALL WORKS(COORD, DICOS, LNODS, THICK, MELEM, MPIN,
NPROP, M3POI)

WRITE(5, *)'ENTERS LOADS AFTER COMING OUT OF BOMAT'
PAUSE

CALL SUBROUTINE WHICH COMPUTES BMAT AND GMAT. THESE MATRICES
ARE STORED ON TAPE 8 FOR LATER USAGE

CALL BMAT(COORD, DICOS, LNODS, MATNO, MELEM,
MLAYR, MMATS, MPIN, M3POI, NELEM,
NEVAB, NGAUS, NGAUZ, NNODE, MLAYR, NPROP,
NPSS, PROPS, THICK, WEIGP)

CALL SUBROUTINE WHICH COMPUTES THE APPLIED LOADS
AFTER READING SOME NODAL DATA

WRITE(5, *)'ENTERS LOADS AFTER COMING OUT OF BMAT'
PAUSE

CALL LOADS(ANVEL, COORD, RLOAD, GRAVI, LNODS,
MATNO, MELEM, NEVAB, MMATS, MPIN, DICOS,
NELEM, NGAUS, THICK,
NNODE, NPROP, NSTRE, PROPS, M3POI,
PROPS, WEIGP, MLAYR, NPROP)

WRITE(5, *)'ENTERS LOADS AFTER COMING OUT OF LOADS'
INITIALISE CERTAIN ARRAYS
WRITE(50,*)'VALUE OF NEVAB ENTERING ZERO=', NEVAB
CALL ZERO(EFFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, MELEM, MEVAB, KINGS,
MTOTG, MTOTV, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NREST,
NSTRE, NTOVG, NTOVT, NVFIX, NVFIX, STRSG,
TDISP, TFACT, TLOAD, TREAC)
WRITE(50,*)'VALUE OF NEVAB COMING OUT OF ZERO=', NEVAB
LOOP OVER EACH ELEMENT
WRITE(50,*)'NINCS=', NINCS
DO 100 INCS = 1, NINCS
READ DATA FOR CURRENT INCREMENT
WRITE(50,*)'VALUE OF NEVAB ENTERING INCREM', NEVAB
CALL INCREM(ELOAD, FIXED, INCS, MELEM, MEVAB, MITER,
MTOTV, NVFIX, NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NOUTP,
NOFIX, NTOVG, NVFIX, PRESL, RLOAD, TFACT,
TLOAD, TOLER, LNODS, IFIX, NNODE, NCOLA,
NREST, KINGS)
LOOP OVER EACH ITERATION
KSTOP = 0
KUNLO = 0
DO 50 IITER = 1, MITER
KITER = INCS + IITER
INCS = INCS + KITER
CALL SUBROUTINE WHICH SELECTS SOLUTION ALGORITHM VARIABLE KRESL
CALL ALGOR(FIXED, KITER, KRESL, MTOTV, NALGO,
NTOVG, KUNLO, KINGS)
WRITE(5,*)'COMES OUT OF ALGOR'
WRITE(5,*)'VALUES OF KRESL, NALGO', KRESL, NALGO
CHECK WHETHER A NEW EVALUATION OF THE STIFFNESS MATRIX IS REQUIRED
IF(KRESL .EQ. 1) THEN
CALL STIFF(EPSTN, ESTIF, KITER, LNODS, MATNO,
MELEM, MEVAB, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, NDOFN,
NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE, NPROP,
NSTRE, POSGP, PROPS, STRSG, WEIGP, AMATX,
DMATT, MLAYR, NLAYR, THICK,
TDISP, MTOTV, LARGE)
WRITE(5, *)'*******COMES OUT OF STIFF*******'
MERGE AND SOLVE THE RESULTING EQUATIONS BY THE FRONTAL SOLVER
WRITE(5, *)'******CALLING FRONT******'
WRITE(50,*) 'KRESL, NALGO BEFORE CALLING STIFF', KRESL, NALGO
WRITE(50, *)'VALUE OF NTOVG BEFORE CALLING FRONT', NTOVG
CALL FRONT(ASDIS, ELOAD, EQRHS, EQUAT, ESTIF, FIXED,
GLOAD, GSTIF, IFIX, INCS, IITER, KRESL,
LNODS, MBUPA, MELEM, MEVAB, MFROM,
MSTIF, MTOTV, NACVA, NAMEV, NDEST,
NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NNODE, NOFIX, NPIVO,
NPPOIN, NTOVG, TDISP, TLOAD, TREAC, VECRV)
WRITE(5,*)'START ENTERING RESTR'
WRITE(5,*)'*******COMES OUT OF FRONT*******'
CALCULATE RESIDUAL FORCES
CALL RESTR(ASDIS, EFFST, ELOAD, LNODS,
MATNO, MELEM, MMATS, MPOIN, MTOTG, MTOTV,
NDOFN, NELEM, NEVAB, NGAUS, NNODE,
NPROP, NSTRE, POSGP, PROPS, STRSG,
TDISP, WEIGP, EPSTN, KUNLO, AMATX, DMATT,
THICK, MLAYR, NLAYR, LARGE)
CHECK FOR CONVERGENCE
WRITE(5,*)'******CALLING CONVER *******'
CALL CONVER(ELOAD, IITER, LNODS, MELEM, MEVAB, MTOTV, NCHEK, NNODE, MELEM, NEVAB, NNOD, NTOTV, STFOR, TLOAD, TFOR, TOLER)
WRITE(5,*), '*********COMES OUT OF CONVER*******'

OUTPUT RESULTS IF REQUIRED

IF(IITER.EQ.1.AND.NOUTP(1).GT.0)
   CALL OUTPUT(IITER, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NCHECK, NELEM, NGAUS, NOFIX, NOUTP, NPoin,
               NSTRE, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TREAC, EPSTN, POSG, EFFST, MATNO, MMATS, PROPS,
               NPROP, MELEM, THICK, MPOIN, LNODS, MLAYR, NLAYR)
ENDIF

IF SOLUTION HAS CONVERGED STOP ITERATING AND OUTPUT RESULTS

IF(IITER.EQ.1.AND.NCHECK.EQ.0) GO TO 100
IF(NCHECK.EQ.0) GO TO 75
CONTINUE

KSTOP =1
CALL OUTPUT(IITER, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, NCHECK, NELEM, NGAUS, NOFIX, NOUTP, NPoin,
             NSTRE, NVFIX, STRSG, TDISP, TREAC, EPSTN, POSG, EFFST, MATNO, MMATS, PROPS,
             NPROP, MELEM, THICK, MPOIN, LNODS, MLAYR, NLAYR)
IF(KSTOP.EQ.1) STOP

RECORD ONTO TAPE 12 THE DATA NEEDED FOR RESTART THE PROBLEM TO NEXT INCREMENT

CALL RESTR(EFST, ELOAD, EPSTN, MELEM, MEVAB, MTOTG, MTOTV, MVFIX, TDISP, TLOAD, TREAC, STRSG, TFACT, INCS)
CONTINUE
STOP
END
APPENDIX C
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ABSTRACT

Ma, Yang and Chou [1] assumed the yarns in a unit cell of a 3-D braided composite as composite rods, which form a parallel pipe. Strain energies due to yarn axial tension, bending and lateral compression are considered and formulated within the unit cell. By Castigliano's theorem, closed form expressions for axial elastic moduli and Poisson's ratios have been derived as functions of fiber volume fractions and fiber orientations.

Ma, et al. also developed a "Fiber Inclination Model" according to the idealized zig-zagging yarn arrangement in the braided preform.[2] They assumed an inclined lamina as a representation of one set of diagonal yarns in a unit cell. In this way, four inclined unidirectional laminae form a unit cell. Then, by the employment of classical laminate theory, the elastic moduli can be expressed in terms of the laminae properties.

From a 'preform processing science' point of view, Ko et al.[3] developed a "Fabric Geometric Model" using similar assumptions. The stiffness of a 3-D braided composite was considered to be the sum of stiffnesses of all its laminae. A maximum strain energy criterion was used to determine the failure point for each lamina by taking bending stress on yarn crossover into consideration. The stiffness matrix forms a link between applied strains and the corresponding stress responses. Throughout this analysis, the stress-strain characteristics of the composite are determined.

The results of elastic properties from the above three models can be used as input to a generalized finite element program in order to analyze more complex shaped structures. By doing so, the 3-D braided composite has to be treated as effective continuum, and the unique characteristics of each individual yarn and matrix are smeared out. With these complex fiber architecture systems, the...
effective continuum concept can no longer provide accurate description. It is the objective of this paper to establish a finite cell model (FCM) which can accommodate structures with variable unit cells and provide a link between microstructural design and macro-structural analysis. In complex structural shapes such as I-beams, turbine blades, the final structure often consists of several types of fiber architecture.

THE FINITE CELL MODEL

The FCM is based on the concept of fabric unit cell structure and structural truss analysis. The fiber architecture within the composite is considered as an assemblage of a finite number of individual structural cells with brick shape. Each individual cell is the smallest representative volume from the fibrous assembly. The unit cell is then treated as a space-truss structure with the endowed representative architecture, rather than a material with a set of effective continuum properties.

The key step in the formulation of the problem is the identification of the unit cell's nodal supports, similar to the nodal points of a conventional finite element. In this model, the yarns are assumed to travel along the diagonals in a unit cell and are treated as pin-jointed two-force truss members. By treating a unit cell specifically as a 3-D space truss, a 3-D truss finite element technique may be employed for the mechanistic analysis.

A displacement method is chosen in the finite element procedure which follows the principle of virtual work. This method regards the nodal displacements as basic unknowns. Thus, a virtual displacement or a unit displacement is used in the finite element procedure. The compatibility condition is first satisfied by correlating the nodal displacements to the end deformations of the member.

The force-displacement relationship is then established between the member end forces and deformations and between the possible nodal forces and nodal displacements. Finally, using nodal equilibrium equations, the member forces and deformations of the structure are obtained. The matrix \( [K] \) or the stiffness of the cell is then derived to relate nodal displacement vector to nodal forces for a cell.

In order to include the effect of matrix, which is subjected to tension or compression under the deformation of yarns, the matrix is assumed to act as truss members, connecting the two ends of a given set of yarns in the unit cell shown in Figure 1. In the unit cell, the nodes, or the ends of yarns, are pin-jointed with three degrees of freedom in translation. Hence, the matrix plays a role in restricting the free rotation and deformation of yarns. There are a total of 24 degrees of freedom in a four diagonal yarn unit cell. For this analysis, the interaction at the yarn interlacing and bending effect of yarns are not considered.

Let \( q_i \) represent the value of member deformation \( q \) caused by a nodal displacement \( r \). The total value of each member deformation caused by all the nodal displacements may be written in the following matrix form:

\[
(q) = [a](r)
\]  

where \([a]\) is called the displacement transformation matrix which relates the member deformations to the nodal displacements. In other words, it represents the compatibility of displacements of a system.

The next step is to establish the force-displacement relationship within the unit cell. For a pin-connected truss, the member force-deformation relationship can be written as:

\[
[K] = [K](q)
\]

where:

\[
[K] = \begin{bmatrix}
AE/L & 0 & 0 \\
0 & AE/L & 0 \\
0 & 0 & AE/L
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The principle of virtual work states that the work done on a system by the external forces equals the increase in strain energy stored in the system. Here, the nodal forces can be considered as the external forces of the unit cell. Therefore, if \([R]\) represents the nodal force vector, it follows that

\[
(R^T)\varphi = (q^T)\varphi
\]

where \((q,\varphi,\r)\) are virtual displacement and deformation, respectively. From Eqs. (1) and (2), the following equations can be derived:

\[
(Q) = ([K][a])\varphi
\]

and

\[
(q)^T = (r)^T[a]^T
\]

Or,

\[
[R] = ([K](r)
\]

Using Equation 7, the nodal force and the nodal displacements of a unit cell are related. Thus, for a structural shape which consists of a finite number of unit cells with a specific assemblage pattern, a sys-
system of equations for the total structural shape can be assembled using the individual cell-relationships following the finite element methodology. A complete listing of the terms associated with the $K$ matrix is given in Figure 2.

From the solution of the equations, the stress distribution and deformation of the entire structure under applied load can be calculated and analyzed. To illustrate the application of the FCM, 3-D braided composites are used for this study. With basic parameters in a unit cell, such as yarn elastic modulus, fiber volume fraction, yarn orientation and unit cell dimension fully characterized, the applicability of the FCM to predict the structural response of composites will be demonstrated through a parametric study and verified experimentally.

NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

The FCM was implemented by the use of computer simulation. By entering the basic parameters for a unit cell and fibermatrix properties to the program, the load-deformation and elastic properties, such as elastic moduli and Poisson's ratios, of a composite can be calculated. A few examples are employed to demonstrate the applicability of the FCM under different conditions.

To study the elastic behavior between different fiber geometries, the composites under 1x1 and 1x2 braiding processes are chosen. The basic parameters are identical except the dimensions of the two unit cells. Figure 3 shows the predicted tensile stress-strain curves, where higher stiffness of 1x1 braiding can be observed. The reason is that the 1x1 braiding has compact fiber geometry in a unit cell.

Figure 4a and 4b depict the elastic behavior of 1x1 braided composites under 1-1, 2-2 and 3-3 directional tensile loading conditions for Kevlar 49/Epon 828 and carbon/carbon composites, respectively. As shown in the figure, the modulus in 1-1 direction is the highest as expected, while the modulus in 3-3 direction is the lowest.

The effect of fiber volume fraction under the same braiding process is illustrated in Figure 5. Three volume fractions are chosen for study. Here, the dimension of a unit cell, fiber-bar area and matrix-bar area are different due to different fiber volume fraction. The results demonstrate that the composite with higher fiber volume fraction has higher modulus.

In laminated composite, it is known that for the same fiber volume fraction, the composite with higher off-axis fiber orientation has lower elastic properties. To study this phenomenon, composites of $0^\circ$, $20^\circ$, $24^\circ$, $30^\circ$ and $40^\circ$ of braiding angles are analyzed, as shown in Figure 6. The composite with $30^\circ$ braiding angle exhibits the highest modulus, and when the braiding angle is above $30^\circ$, the elastic behavior of composite tends to be insensitive to braiding fiber orientation.

The model can be extended to analyze the 3-D braided composite with different kind of unit cells. To do so, the positions of each unit cell should be identified and recorded like traditional finite element programming. Hence, a complex shaped structure, such as 3-D braided I-beam, rotor, etc. can be analyzed if the basic parameters of unit cells and fiber volume fraction are given.

EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

To provide a preliminary verification of the model, simple rectangular coupons of the 3-D braided carbon-carbon composite were fabricated and characterized by tensile testing. When using a simple shape, as detailed in [4], the key parameter in the braiding process is the track and column displacements. These displacements determine the projected orientation of fibers in the $x$-$y$ plane, as well as affecting the overall structural geometry of the fabric.

The track/column displacements chosen for this study were 1/1 and 1/2. The notation $u/v$ indicates a track displacement of $u$ bobbins and a column displacement of $v$ bobbins in one motion. The smallest representative volumes of these fabrics, or the unit cell, is identified by the displacement values of $u/v$.

Using the FCM, the structural response of the unit cell under applied load was examined. The simulated results were compared to the experimental data. The material used for this study was T-40 carbon fiber, with a fiber modulus of 40 Msi. The 1/6" x 1" x 10" 3-D braided preforms were consolidated with carbon; the fiber volume fraction of the composite was 35%. End tabs were adhered to the ends of the specimens, and strain gages were applied to the specimen surface. The tensile tests were carried out according to ASTM Standard.

From the experimental stress-strain curves shown in Figure 7, it can be seen that the tensile responses of the 3-D braided Carbon/Carbon composites are nearly linear to the point of failure. The possible nonlinear behavior due to geometric effect and microcracking are not evident.

For lack of accurate measurement of fiber volume fraction of a unit cell, a theoretical value of 35% of fiber volume fraction was used for the numerical computation. The dimension of a unit cell is determined from the measurements by a digital caliper. Since the dimensions of a unit cell are considered to be the center lines of members of the unit cell, part of the bars lie outside the unit cell. Thus, an averaging method for the determination of the cross-section areas of the bars was used. For a unit cell dimension of $H \times W \times T$, the area of a fiber-bar can be obtained as $A_f = 0.35HWHT \times (HT/2T21/2)$; the area of a matrix-bar can be expressed as $A_m = 0.65HWHT \times (HT/2T21/2)$. Here, each fiber-bar as well as the matrix-bar are the same. Accordingly, the elastic properties used for the unit cell are:

\[
\begin{align*}
E_f &= 40 \text{ Msi} \quad V_f = 0.35 \\
E_m &= 1.3 \text{ Msi} \quad V_m = 0.65
\end{align*}
\]
for $1 \times 2$ unit cell, the dimension is $0.295'' \times 0.13'' \times 0.085''$ and $A_m = 0.000852$ in$^2$.

Since each cell has an similar geometry and boundary conditions, it is sufficient to model only one element, as shown in Figure 8. A uniform load was applied at one end of the cell. The applied load was divided into several load steps on account of the possible nonlinear load-deformation behavior due to geometrical deformation. At each load step, convergence was achieved after several iterations. Figure 9 shows both calculated and experimental stress-strain curves for $1 \times 1$ and $1 \times 2$ unit cells, respectively. The results show that variation of fiber geometry from $1 \times 1$ to $1 \times 2$ does not have much effect on the overall characteristics of the stress-strain curves.

The predicted stiffness of the composites tended towards a higher value than experimental results. This can be attributed to the use of fiber data as an input for our prediction. In order to reflect the fiber breakage and degradation during manufacturing, the use of yarn data may be more appropriate.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

A finite cell model has been developed to predict the mechanical behavior of 3-D braid composites. By appropriate choice of yarn mechanical properties and precise determination of dimension of a unit cell, the FCM has been shown to be an adequate model for any 3-D braided composite for a first approximation. Further studies on yarn properties should be conducted in order to provide a realistic basis for the application of FCM to composite mechanical properties.

In a 3-D braided composite, the yarns actually experience bending moments throughout the unit cell during the braiding process. The present model will be modified to include the bending effect and the pin-jointed truss replaced as a stiffer frame structure. It should be pointed out that the fiber geometry of a unit cell along the boundary of a specimen is slightly different from the one near the center. In order to more precisely characterize the load-deformation relationship of the whole composite, especially at the corner of a complex shape 3-D braid composite, a few different unit cell may be introduced within a analysis.
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Figure 3. Explicit listing of the K factors formulated for the FEM Analysis.

Figure 4a. Elastic behavior along 1-1, 2-2 and 3-3 axes for Carbon/Carbon Composite.

Figure 4b. Elastic behavior along 1-1, 2-2, 3-3, and 4-4 axes for Carbon/Epoxy 625 Composite.

Figure 5. The effect of fiber volume fraction on elastic behavior.
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ABSTRACT

A numerical method, which utilizes the computer aided geometric modelling (CAGM) in conjunction with finite element procedures, is presented to predict the mechanical behavior of 3-D braid composites. The CAGM, based on the computer geometric technique and textile formation process, provides the detailed information of the fiber architecture of 3-D braid composites. With the fiber architecture being defined, unit cell structures can be identified and be treated as space structures. Then, finite element procedures can be performed on each unit cell to obtain the elastic behavior of the composites. The present analysis includes the consideration of the interior and boundary elements of the entire cross-section, and consideration of bending moment of the yarns. The present model predicts a lower value of Young's modulus than that of experimental results. Modifications will be made on how to properly represent the matrix effect of a 3-D braid composite.

INTRODUCTION

In the family of advanced composites, 3-D textile composites have received great attention because of their superior structural properties such as no delamination, improved stiffness, toughness in the through-thickness direction and improved impact tolerance.[1] In developing these composites with innovated fiber architecture, an analytic model is needed in order to describe the load-deformation-failure properties of a composite on a macroscale. Such a model must be developed based on the accurate description of geometry and material interactions in the composite fiber architecture.
As reviewed in the papers of Rosen et al.[2] and Ko[3], the literature for the analysis of 3-D, or X-D fibrous reinforced composites are very limited. Most of the publications concern with the formulation and prediction of mechanical properties of the composites. For instance, Rosen et al. [2] used the concept of "constant stress state" to derive the average elastic constants and thermal coefficients of a unit cell structure. Chou et al. predicted elastic moduli of 3-D braided composites based on energy method [4] and on the modified classical laminate theory [5], respectively. Combining textile engineering methodology and averaging effective properties of a unit cell, Ko et al. [6] developed "fabric geometric model" to predict the mechanical properties and failure of 3-D composites. Following the similar considerations of volume averaging method, Byun et al. [7] predicted elastic moduli of 2-step braid composites. The elastic properties from the above models can be used as input to a generalized finite element program in order to analyze complex 3-D structures.

As far as the methodology is concerned, the conventional finite element method assumes the fibrous composite to be an effective continuum which possesses anisotropic deformation properties. Therefore, the finite element method can be used to analyze structures of complex conformation. For example, the well-known structural analysis programs based on the finite element method, such as NASTRAN, ABAQUS and ANSYS, treat the composite material structures computations in classical sense. That is, every element is given apparent homogeneous properties in terms of the type, orientation and stacking sequence of fibers and type of matrix. The stiffness matrix is calculated for the model consisting of elements with equivalent properties. Displacements, strains and internal forces of a structure are first obtained for that model and then the stresses in the structure are calculated.

With complex fiber architecture system such as 3-D braids, however, the effective continuum concept can no longer provide an accurate description. The reason is that the microstructure of such new system is much more complicated than those found in laminated composites. Recently, Lei et al. [8,9], following finite element procedure, developed a finite cell model (FCM) to analyze the elastic behavior of 3-D braid composites. The FCM takes account of the fiber architecture of a unit cell in a 3-D braid composite and performs 3-D structural analysis of the considered unit cell. Thus, the first step of the analysis is to identify the unit cells in a composite. This paper presents a methodology for the identification and classification of unit cells based on 3-D
braiding processing parameters. The identified unit cell structures form the basis for 3-D graphic illustration of the fiber architecture and for the finite element analysis of the 3-D preform as a structure. With the FCM, the elastic properties and the stress-strain relationship of 3-D braid reinforced composites are predicted and compared with experimental results.

MODELLING OF 3-D BRAID COMPOSITES

The 3-D braid composite can be regarded as an assemblage of a finite number of individual structural cells. Each individual cell is the smallest representative volume taken from the fiber architectural system. It is then treated as a space structure with the endowed representative architecture, rather than a material with a set of effective continuum properties. The basic idea is to identify the unit cell's nodal supports, similar to the nodal points of a conventional finite element. By the introduction of the principle of virtual work in solid mechanics and structural analysis, the matrix \([k]\), the stiffness of the cell can be derived to relate nodal displacement vector to nodal forces for a cell. In this section, the utilization of fiber architecture model and the finite cell modelling will be discussed.

Unit Cell Characterization by CAGM

The analysis of textile composites depends directly on fiber architecture of the composites. The fiber architecture of a textile composite can be accurately characterized by a computer aided geometric model (CAGM). The details of development of this model is given in Pastore et al.'s paper [10]. This model considers the relative motions of the tracks and columns in the braiding machine and generates a mathematical simulation of the machine process. Thus, the detailed internal geometry of a textile reinforced composite can be visualized and the unit cell of the composite can be identified. Figure 1 shows the fiber architecture of a 3-D braid with an inclined cut-out generated by CAGM. The next step is to find out what the unit cell structure is in the braid.

In the paper [9], a unit cell structure shown in Figure 2 was proposed and assumed to represent the entire structure of a braid. The unit cell structure was used to simulate the behavior of 3-D braid carbon/carbon composites. The recent development of CAGM suggests a finer and more accurate description of unit cell structure. By simulating the yarn movements across tracks and columns of a loom and taking account of braiding direction, unit cell conformation can be traced through 3-D geometric index of data. From the
data, space nodes of a braid can be defined by the interweaving, or interlock, of yarns. Once the space nodes are known, the braid is divided into small cells by connecting the space nodes with straight lines. In each cell, the fiber architecture can be identified and treated as a combination of several basic patterns. Figure 3 shows all possible patterns in a 3-D braid generated by 1x1 column/track movement. In practical case, a 3-D braid usually contains several patterns.

For instance, Figure 4 shows top view of a cross-sectional cell patterns of a 3-D braid fabricated by a loom of 4 tracks and 20 columns. Figure 4.a shows the cell patterns after a column/track movement, and Figure 4.c shows the cell patterns after next column/track movement. Figure 4.b and Figure 3.d shows the corresponding patten numbers of each cell, respectively. From Figure 4, one can recognize the cell structures in the outer region differ from the ones in the inner region of the braid. Therefore, the CAGM can provide the information of the various element types, i.e., central and boundary elements, for finite element modelling. Figure 5 shows the space fiber structure formed by a loom of 10 tracks and 4 columns under 4 column/track movements.

**Finite Cell Modelling**
The FCM is based on the concept of fabric unit cell structure and structural analysis. The composite is considered as an assemblage of a finite number of individual structural cells with brick shape. Each unit cell is then treated as a space structure with the endowed representative architecture.

The key step in the formulation of the problem is the identification of the unit cell's nodal points. As mentioned in the previous section, the CAGM provides not only the detailed fiber architecture of each unit cell but also the coordinates of each node. In this model, the yarns which pass by a node are considered as intersected each other and hence, can be treated as either pin-jointed two-force truss members or rigid connected frame members. With this consideration, the interaction at the yarn interlacing is not treated in this modelling. Thus, by treating a unit cell specifically as a pin-jointed space truss, a 3-D truss finite element technique may be employed for the mechanistic analysis.

In order to include the effect of matrix, which is subjected to tension or compression under the deformation of yarns, the matrix is assumed to act as
rod members, connecting the two ends of a given set of yarns in the unit cell. Hence, the matrix plays a role in restricting the free rotation and deformation of yarns.

Let $a_{ij}$ represent the value of member deformation $q_i$ caused by a unit nodal displacement $r_j$. The total value of each member deformation caused by all the nodal displacements may be written in the following matrix form:

$$[q] = [a] [r]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $[a]$ is called the displacement transformation matrix which relates the member deformations to the nodal displacements. In other words, it represents the compatibility of displacements of a system.

The next step is to establish the force-displacement relationship within the unit cell. The member force-deformation relationship can be written as:

$$[Q] = [K] [q]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The principle of virtual work states that the work done on a system by the external forces equals the increase in strain energy stored in the system. Here, the nodal forces can be considered as the external forces of the unit cell. Therefore, if $[R]$ represents the nodal force vector, it follows that

$$[q]^T [R] = [q]^T [Q]$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $[r]$ and $[q]$ are virtual displacement and deformation, respectively. From Equations (1) and (2), the following equations can be derived:

$$[R] = [K][r]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where: $[R] =$ nodal forces
$[K] = [a]^T [K][a] =$ stiffness matrix of the unit cell
$[r] =$ nodal displacements

Using Equation (4), the nodal force and the nodal displacements of a truss unit cell are related by the stiffness matrix of the unit cell.
In present study, each unit cell is modelled to be a frame structure. Therefore, axial, flexural, and torsional deformations of the yarns are considered in the analysis. The unknown displacements at the joints consist of six components, namely, the $x$, $y$ and $z$ components of the joint translations and the $x$, $y$ and $z$ components of the joint rotations. Therefore, for a 9-node frame unit cell, there are 54 degrees of freedom in this unit cell. Suppose that a member $i$ in a space frame will have joint number $j$ and $k$ at its ends. The twelve possible displacements of the joints associated with this member are also indicated in Figure 6. To obtain the stiffness matrix of a unit cell in a simple way, the stiffness matrix of a member is constructed first instead of construction of displacement compatibility matrix.

The member stiffness matrix is obtained by a unit displacement method. The unit displacements are considered to be induced one at a time while all other end displacements are retained at zero. Thus, the stiffness matrix for a member, denoted $[S_{M}]$, is of order 12x12, and each column in the matrix represents the forces caused by one of the unit displacements. The layout of the 12x12 matrix is shown in Figure 7. In general case, if the member axes are not coincident with structural axes, the member stiffness should be transformed by a rotation transformation matrix. The rotation matrix $[R_T]$ for a space frame takes the following form:

$$[R_T] = \begin{bmatrix} [T] & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & [T] & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & [T] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & [T] \end{bmatrix}$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

where the matrix $[T]$ for a circular member is as follows:

$$[T] = \begin{bmatrix} C_X & C_Y & C_Z \\ -C_XC_Y/C_{XZ} & C_{XZ} & -C_YC_Z \\ -C_Z/C_{XZ} & 0 & C_Y/C_{XZ} \end{bmatrix}$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $C_X = (x_k - x_j) / L; C_Y = (y_k - y_j) / L; C_Z = (z_k - z_j) / L; L = [(x_k - x_j)^2 + (y_k - y_j)^2 + (z_k - z_j)^2]^{1/2}; C_{XZ} = (C_X^2 + C_Z^2)^{1/2}$

Thus, for a member, the stiffness matrix $[S_{MD}]$ in structure axes may be expressed in the following form:
Then, assembly of the contributions from each member to a joint, or a node, yields the stiffness matrix of a unit cell.

With the stiffness matrix of a unit cell being known, for a structural shape which consists of a finite number of unit cells, a system of equations for the total structural shape can be assembled using the individual cell relations following the finite element methodology. From the solution of the equations, the stress distribution and deformation of the entire structure under applied load can be calculated and analyzed.

NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

The FCM was implemented by the use of computer simulation. With basic parameters in a unit cell, such as yarn elastic modulus, fiber volume fraction, yarn orientation and unit cell dimension fully characterized, the applicability of the FCM to predict the structural response of composites will be demonstrated experimentally.

Simple rectangular coupons of the 3-D braided carbon-carbon composite were fabricated and characterized by tensile testing. In the present case, the track/column displacement ratio is 1/1. The material used for this study is T-40 carbon fiber, with a fiber modulus of 276 GPa. The fiber volume fraction of the composite is 35%. The modulus of the carbon matrix is taken as 8.3 GPa for prediction. Since the dimensions of a unit cell are considered to be the center lines of members of the unit cell, part of the bars lie outside the unit cell in real case. An averaging method for the determination of the cross-section areas of the bars was used. Assuming that all the fiber-bars of the composite have the same cross-sectional area, and that all the matrix-bars of the composite have the same cross-sectional area as well. Thus, for a specimen with dimension of HxWxT, the area of a fiber-bar can be obtained as

\[ A_f = \frac{0.35HT}{\text{total fiber-bar length}} \]

the area of a matrix-bar can be expressed as
\[ A_m = 0.65HWT / (\text{total matrix-bar length}) \]

Accordingly, the unit cell dimension is 0.635x 0.22x 0.19 cm\(^3\). \(A_f\) is 0.0032 cm\(^2\) and \(A_m\) is 0.004 cm\(^2\).

Figure 8 shows the loading condition and boundary conditions of a specimen. A specimen in length of 10 column/track movements is considered for analysis purpose. The applied load was divided into several steps on account of the possible nonlinear load-deformation behavior due to geometrical conformation. Figure 9 shows both experimental and numerical stress-strain curves of c/c composites under simple tension. From the figure, the stiffness of the composites predicted from FCM showed a lower value than experimental results; while FGM predicted a higher value. For the FCM, the consideration of yarns and matrix as structural bars may result in a lower stiffness in matrix-bar axis. Although the matrix-bars play the role in restricting the free deformation of the yarns in FCM, they show larger deformation under tensile load. Consequently, the nature of the finite cell modelling tends to predict a lower value of stiffness of a structure. Further studies on this model to investigate the interaction between fiber and matrix have to be conducted. The load transfer mechanism between fibers and matrix as well as the effect of fiber architecture in a unit cell needs to be explored. This may lead to a 3-D solid element modelling on the unit cell of a braid composite. For the FGM, the higher predicted stiffness may be attributed to the use of fiber data as an input for our prediction. In order to reflect the fiber breakage and degradation during manufacturing, the use of processed yarn data may be more appropriate.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

A unified mechanistic method, incorporating the computer aided geometric modelling and finite element procedure, has been presented to predict the mechanical behavior of 3-D braid composites. The CAGM has been shown to provide the detailed information of the fiber architecture of 3-D braid composites. The present analysis includes the consideration of the interior and boundary elements of the entire cross-section, and consideration of bending moment of the yarns. By appropriate choice of yarn mechanical properties and
precise determination of dimension of a unit cell, the finite cell modelling has been shown to be an adequate model for 3-D braided composites as a first approximation. The precision of the model may be further modified by an alternate method of representing the matrix effect.

In order to expand the usefulness of the FCM to more complex modes of deformation such as bending and shear, the interaction between reinforcing yarns and the matrix must be examined. The prediction of the stress-strain curve up to failure requires the establishment of a suitable failure criterion.
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Figure 1. Fiber Architecture of a 3-D braid and a cut-out view generated by CAGM.

Figure 2. Unit Cell Structure presented in [9]
Figure 3. Element Patterns of a 3-D (1x1) braid
Figure 4. A cross sectional cell patterns generated by two column/track movements.
Figure 5. Unit cell Structures formed by a loom of 10 tracks and 4 columns under 4 column/track movements.

Figure 6. Twelve possible displacements of two joints
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
KP & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -KP & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & KS & 0 & 0 & 0 & KF & 0 & -KS & 0 & 0 & 0 & KF \\
0 & 0 & KS & 0 & -KF & 0 & 0 & 0 & -KS & 0 & -KF & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & KG & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -KG & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -KF & 0 & 2KM & 0 & 0 & 0 & KF & 0 & KM & 0 \\
0 & KF & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2KM & 0 & -KF & 0 & 0 & 0 & KM \\
-KP & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & KP & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -KS & 0 & 0 & 0 & -KF & 0 & KS & 0 & 0 & 0 & -KF \\
0 & 0 & -KS & 0 & KF & 0 & 0 & 0 & KS & 0 & KF & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -KG & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & KG & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -KF & 0 & 2KM & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & KF & 0 & 0 \\
0 & KF & 0 & 0 & 0 & KM & 0 & 0 & 0 & -KF & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2KM
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[ KP = EA/L; \ KS = 12EI/L^2; \ KF = 6EI/L^2; \ KM = 2EI/L; \ KG = GJ/L \]

Figure 7. Stiffness matrix of a member in a unit cell.

Figure 8. A specimen of ten column/track movements under uniform tension
Figure 9. Comparison between experimental and numerical results of 3-D braided carbon/carbon composites.