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Several polyformals of novel fluorodiols and fluoronitrodiols provided by Fluorochem, Inc. were prepared. The previously prepared polyformal of tetranitrodioxatridecanediol was obtained with a molecular weight up to 10,000. This soft block has a $T_g$ of $-11^\circ C$. The synthesis of poly(azidoacetals) as potential soft blocks was initiated. The first example successfully synthesized was the polyazidoacetal of 1,6-hexanediol.

New monofunctional, isocyanate-terminated hard blocks with estimated melting points of 50-100$^\circ C$ were synthesized, including the 1:1 adduct of docosanol and toluene diisocyanate (TDI).
heneicosyl isocyanate, and the 1:1 adduct of eicosafluoroundecanol and TDI. Several energetic (nitro) diisocyanates for use in \((AB)_N\) polymer synthesis were prepared. Carbonyl chloride terminated nitro- and nitraminediols were prepared by reaction of the diols with triphosgene/pyridine for use as block linking agents.

A large number of segmented polymers containing alternative fluoro- and nitrosubstituted polyformal soft blocks and urethane hard blocks have been synthesized by the block linking approach combining -OH and -NCO terminated blocks. The properties of these \((AB)_N\) polymers depend strongly on the structure of A and B. Several of these materials were characterized by rheometric measurements (at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst) which show them to be highly viscous liquids at room temperature with quasielastic properties which gradually disappear on heating. The polymers exhibit no sharp melting transitions by DSC analysis. In contrast, a series of ABA polymers synthesized by the same approach and containing a short hydrocarbon \((C_2\) \() hard (A) block and a nitropolyformal soft (B) block show well-defined melting/crystallization transitions in the DSC. Elastomeric properties in the temperature range of 0-45°C were exhibited by these polymers when the \(M_N\) of the soft block was about 10,000 or higher. The melt viscosities of the polymers increased with increasing molecular weight of the soft block, especially when TDI was used for chain extension of the soft block before the block linking step. End-capping of poly(AMMO) \((M \approx 6200)\) with docosanol/TDI and eicosafluoroundecanol/TDI hard blocks was demonstrated, but the resulting ABA polymers showed no elastomeric properties.

The previously developed method for molecular weight determination, based on gel permeation chromatography/viscometry, was validated using well characterized commercial polymers ranging in molecular weight from 1,000 to 160,000, as well as polymer mixtures. This method can now be used to accurately determine the \(M_N\) of any polymer, copolymer, or polymer blend.

Specific impulse calculations show that the \((AB)_N\) block copolymers prepared in this work have specific impulses near 220.
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SYNTHESIS OF ENERGETIC SINGLE PHASE AND MULTI-PHASE POLYMERS

INTRODUCTION

The work described in this report has several objectives. One is to synthesize and characterize energetic single phase polymers (homo- and copolymers), primarily hydroxy terminated fluoro- and nitro-substituted polyformals, which may be useful as binders for cast-curable energetic compositions, and as components for multi-phase segmented (block) copolymers. The major objective is to establish the chemistry for the synthesis of block copolymers from such polydiols and other difunctional energetic polymers, and to provide methods for the characterization of the block copolymers produced. The block copolymers to be synthesized are desired to be elastomers in the temperature range of about -10⁰ to +70⁰C and liquids at temperatures above about 70-90⁰C. Low melt-viscosities are desired. It is believed that such properties, if they can be achieved, will permit and facilitate continuous extrusion processing of propellants which use these polymers as binders. The first objective is supported primarily by the Office of the Chief of Naval Research, while research toward the second major objective is supported primarily by the SDI, Office of Innovative Science and Technology. The two efforts are closely related, and funding support overlaps in the area of copolymer synthesis. The results are therefore presented together.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Homopolyformals and -acetals.- Some further efforts were conducted to prepare new polyformals of potential interest as soft or hard blocks for block copolymers, or to improve/scale up the preparation of polymers of demonstrated interest for this use.

The previously investigated¹ and unsuccessful condensation of fluoronitrodiols ₁ and ₂ with trioxane was revisited and conditions were identified which allowed the preparation of low molecular weight oligoformals

$$\text{HOCH}_2\text{C(NO}_2\text{)}_2\text{CH}_2\text{(CF}_2\text{)}_n\text{CH}_2\text{C(NO}_2\text{)}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$$

₁ : n = 4
₂ : n = 6

with the use of sulfolane and BF₃ etherate. The highest Mₙs for ₁ were about 1000 (82% yield), and for ₂ about 1400 (73% yield). GPCs are shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

Two new, long-chain fluorodiols were obtained from Fluorochem, Inc. It was hoped that these diols (₃ and ₄) would form higher molecular weight polyformals and copolyformals than the shorter hexane- and heptanediols which we usually work with. This appears to be the case at least for homopolyformal
Fig. 1 GP Chromatogram of a Poly{octafluorotetranitrododecane-1,10-diol (1) formal}
HOCH₂CH₂(CF₂)ₙCH₂CH₂OH

3 : n = 7
4 : n = 13

formation: Diol 3 under the usual conditions with trioxane/sulfolane and BF₃ etherate or SnCl₄ gave polyformal resins with Mᵦ 8000-9000, while 4 formed partially insoluble materials in at least the same molecular weight range. GPCs are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. It appears that particularly diol 4 may be useful for the preparation of copolyformals with nitrodiols with sufficiently high molecular weight to be useful as soft blocks for ABA polymers.

In collaboration with the NSWC 6.2 Explosives Block, the synthesis of monomers 5 and 6 was scaled up to obtain starting material for the preparation of larger quantities of block copolymers for testing. About 5 lbs of 5 was prepared at Rocketdyne, while 6 is being synthesized (1 lb) in house.

HO(CH₂)₃C(NO₂)₂CH₂OCH₂OCH₂C(NO₂)(CH₂)₃OH  5

HO(CH₂)₂N(NO₂)CH₂C(NO₂)₂CH₂OCH₂OCH₂C(NO₂)₂CH₂N(NO₂)(CH₂)₂OH  6

The procedure for the preparation of the high M polyformal (= 10,000) of the tetranitrotridecanediol 5 was optimized further to make it reproducible and provide a polymer free of low molecular weight impurities. The improved procedure was scaled up to the 60g level and is ready for production of this polymer on a larger scale. The yield of purified polymer at the 60g level was 80%; the GPC is shown in Fig. 5.

Several attempts were made to increase the Mₙ of the polyformal of 5 beyond the directly attainable 10,000: a) by further reaction of the isolated polymer with CH₂O/SnCl₄; these attempts failed, not unexpectedly, because of the reversibility of the formal reaction; b) by chain extension with phosgene (in the form of triphosgene) and base; although this reaction worked with low Mₙ polymer, it failed with the material of Mₙ ~ 10,000. Chain extension with disocyanates is possible, but alternatives not involving urethane formation are being sought.

To further decrease the glass transition temperature of polyformal soft blocks than has been possible by copolymerization of diols, the synthesis of polyacetals of nitrodiols such as 5 and 6 seemed desirable. To avoid dilution of the energy content, it was decided to attempt the synthesis of azidoacetals. As a model reaction, the polycondensation of 7 and 8 was studied. Polymer formation occurred readily in the presence of BF₃ etherate in vacuo (to remove ethanol); the GP chromatogram is shown in Fig. 6. The reaction will be studied further and applied to nitrodiols. Since 7 is readily accessible from commercially available bromoacetaldehyde acetal,
Fig. 4 GP Chromatogram of Poly[hexacosfluoroheptadecane-1,17-diol (4) formal]
polyazidoacetals should be a practical class of energetic polymers.

Copolyformals. In an effort to prepare fluorine-free polyformal soft blocks for TPE synthesis, the copolymerization of the hexanitropentadecanediol 6 with hexane-1,6-diol (8) and butane-1,4-diol (9) was pursued. A 70/30 copolyformal of 6 and 8 was readily prepared; M was \( \approx 2400 \) (GPC, Fig. 7). Similarly, with 9 a 70/30 copolymer was obtained with M < 2000. The synthesis of higher molecular weight copolymers is being attempted. In addition, heptane-1,7-diol will be tried as comonomer for this purpose.

Energetic Diisocyanates and Other Difunctional Block Linkers. The energetic diisocyanate precursor 10 was synthesized. It can be converted in situ by heating to the corresponding diisocyanate which was intended for use in making (AB)\(_n\) block copolymers, in which it functions as the hard block.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HOOCCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{COOH} & \quad \text{1. SOC}_2 \quad \text{2. Me}_3\text{SiN}_3 \\
\text{H} & \quad \text{O} \quad \text{NO}_2 \quad \text{NO}_2 \quad \text{O} \\
\text{N}_3\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CN}_3 & = \text{10; MP 79-81°C}
\end{align*}
\]

By reaction of the corresponding diols with triphosgene and pyridine, the chlorocarbonate-pyridine complexes 11 and 12 were obtained. Reaction of 11 with hexanediol gave a polyester, indicating that 11 and 12 should also be useful for making (AB)\(_n\) block copolymers.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HO-R-OH} + (\text{Cl}_3\text{CO})_2\text{CO} & \quad \text{pyridine} \quad \text{2 Cl}^- \\
\text{11: R} = \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2 & \\
\text{12: R} = \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CCH}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2 & \quad \text{NO}_2 \quad \text{NO}_2 \quad \text{NO}_2 \quad \text{NO}_2 \quad \text{NO}_2 \\
\text{11} + \text{HO(CH}_2\text{)_6OH} & \quad \text{pyridine} \quad \text{HO(CH}_2\text{)_6OH} \quad \text{pyridine} \quad \text{H}
\end{align*}
\]
Monofunctional Hard Blocks.- Several isocyanate terminated, monofunctional "hard blocks" were prepared by reaction of monofunctional alcohols with excess TDI. These non-energetic "blocks" are intended to be used in the synthesis of ABA tri-block polymers with B being an energetic soft block such as the polyformal of 5 or poly(AMMO). Alcohols used initially were docosanol (R = CH₃(CH₂)₂₁) which has a melting point of 65-72°C, and eicosofluoroundecanol (R = HCF₂(CF₂)₉) with a melting point of 95-97°C. The isocyanate 13 was isolated as a white solid, mp 84-50°C, and stored over P₂O₅ without decomposition. 13 was reacted with excess methanol to give the expected urethane 14, mp 94-6°C, whose ¹H NMR spectrum (Fig. 8) integrated correctly for this structure. 13 was also reacted with 5 (2:1 ratio) to give 15, mp 117-119°C, whose ¹H NMR spectrum (Fig. 9) again integrated correctly for this structure. 14 and 15 showed only one peak in the GPC. Thus, the structure of 13 as a monofunctional, isocyanate terminated "block" seems well established.

The isocyanate 16 was similarly prepared and characterized by ¹H NMR and GPC after reaction with methanol.
Fig. 8: 1H NMR Spectrum of Urethane 14
The GPC of 17 (Fig. 10) shows a small peak of higher molecular weight, but the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum (Fig. 11) integrates satisfactorily.

The structurally related isocyanate precursor 18 without the additional internal urethane moiety was prepared from docosanoic acid via the acid chloride:

$$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{20}\text{COOH} \xrightarrow{\text{SOCl}_2} \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{20}\text{COCl} \xrightarrow{(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{SiN}_3} \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{20}\text{CON}_3 18$$

The synthesis of the energetic "hard block" 19 has been initiated. Initial results indicate that the melting point of 18 is too high (>150°C), but similar structures with lower melting points can be envisioned.

\(\text{(AB)}_N\) Block Copolymer Synthesis. - In the previous work,\textsuperscript{2} we reported the synthesis of \((\text{AB})_N\) block copolymers by chain extension of various polyformal "soft blocks" with diisocyanates. Some of these polymers exhibited quasi TPE properties. Additional examples were prepared this year, by chain extension of polyformals of 5 with different molecular weights, to complete a study of properties of the resulting "block copolymers" as a function of isocyanate structure. The new polymers prepared are listed in Table 1. Prof. Chien from the University of Massachusetts arranged to have rheological characterization performed on several of these and other \((\text{AB})_N\) polymers prepared by us.
Fig. 10 GP Chromatogram of Urethane 17
Fig. 11. $^1$H NMR Spectrum of Urethane 17.
Table 1. (AB)\textsubscript{N} Polymers Prepared from Polyformals of 5 by Chain Extension with OCN-R-NCO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\bar{M}_n$ of 5 Polyformal</th>
<th>OCN-R-NCO</th>
<th>$\bar{M}_n$ of Product</th>
<th>Appearance at r.t.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4500</td>
<td></td>
<td>71,000 (GPC)</td>
<td>tough elastomer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7600</td>
<td></td>
<td>123,000 (GPC)</td>
<td>tough elastomer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500</td>
<td>IPDI</td>
<td>50-60,000 (est'd)</td>
<td>soft elastomer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7600</td>
<td>IPDI</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>soft elastomer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7600</td>
<td>HMDI</td>
<td>$\leq$ 30,000 (est'd)</td>
<td>soft elastomer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some results of these tests are shown in Figures 12-14. They corroborate previously reported DSC data which show no sharp melting transitions for these polymers. It can be concluded that most, if not all, of the (AB)\textsubscript{N} polymers prepared by us are not phase separated. On warming, they gradually soften and lose their elastic properties. Therefore, further work with this type of (AB)\textsubscript{N} structure is being deemphasized.

A sample of difunctional poly(AMMO), obtained from R. Wardle (Thiokol Corp.) and having a reported OH equ. wt. of 3125, was also chain-extended with TDI to give a polymer of $\bar{M}_n = 25,000 - 30,000$ (GPC, Fig. 15). This material was a resin devoid of elastic properties.

Specific impulse calculations for several of the (AB)\textsubscript{N} "block copolymers" prepared by chain-extension of polyformal soft blocks were made and are shown in Table 2. The data indicate that the fluorocomonomer used for lowering of $T_c$ has no adverse (or beneficial) effect on performance. Also included are data for GAP azide and GAP nitrate, two potential non-volatile plasticizers for energetic soft blocks in (AB)\textsubscript{N} or ABA block copolymers.
Fig. 12  Viscosity vs. Temperature of Some (AB)\textsubscript{N} Block Polymers
Fig. 13 Recovered vs. Applied Strain at 30°C for Some \((AB)_N\) Block Copolymers
Fig. 14 Recovered vs. Applied Strain at 50°C for Some (AB)_N Block Copolymers
Fig. 15 GP chromatogram of Poly(PMMA), $M_n = 3125$, chain extended with TDI
Table 2. Specific Impulse Calculations (PEP Code) for Some Polyformal/Urethane Block Copolymers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPOSITION*</th>
<th>Hp** (cal/g)</th>
<th>D** (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Isp</th>
<th>D-Isp</th>
<th>Pj</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLYFORMAL/TDI</td>
<td>-196</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>222.5</td>
<td>300.3</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLYFORMAL/DNPDI</td>
<td>-207</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>224.2</td>
<td>302.6</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNPD DIOL/2 COPOLYFORMAL/TDI</td>
<td>-487</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>215.8</td>
<td>280.5</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNPD DIOL/2 COPOLYFORMAL/DNPDI</td>
<td>-493</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>220.9</td>
<td>287.1</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNPD DIOL/OFH DIOL COPOLYFORMAL/TDI</td>
<td>-679</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>219.8</td>
<td>334.1</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNPD DIOL/OFH DIOL COPOLYFORMAL/DNPDI</td>
<td>-682</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>225.2</td>
<td>342.3</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAP NITRATE (500)</td>
<td>+182</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>219.7</td>
<td>285.6</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAP AZIDE (500)</td>
<td>+555</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>220.1</td>
<td>275.1</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*DNPD = 3,3-Dinitropentane-1,5-diisocyanate
HNPD DIOL = Hexanitrodioxidiazapentadecanediol (6)
OFH DIOL = Octafluoro-1,6-hexanediol

**calculated

ABA Block Copolymer Synthesis. In an initial reaction scheme for the synthesis of ABA triblock copolymers, a polyformal of the tetranitrotridecanediol 5 (Mn = 9000) was used as soft block, and was end-capped with excess TDI. The product was subsequently reacted with (a) methanol, (b) a monofunctional Poly(dioxolane/trioxane) copolymer (PDT) provided by Dr. Lillya from the University of Massachusetts, and (c) docosanol.

The product of the reaction with methanol gave a 1H NMR spectrum (Fig. 16) supportive of the expected structure. Docosanol and especially PDT reacted more slowly and the initial products obtained contain unreacted starting material, as indicated by GPC and 1H NMR analysis before and after solvent extraction. Since they exhibit some degree of elasticity, some triblock formation must have occurred, however.

5 Polyformal + TDI (excess) →

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3 & \quad \text{NHCO} \\
\text{OCN} & \quad 5 \text{ Polyformal} \quad \text{OCNH} \quad \text{NCO} \\
\end{align*}
\]
Fig. 16. 1H NMR Spectrum of a Poly(5 formal) after End-capping with TDI and Reaction with Methanol
The docosanyl end-capped polymer melted in the range of 70-80°C, the polymer with PDT end blocks at 110-120°C.

Also investigated was the reverse approach, reacting a polyformal of 5 with isocyanate terminated hard blocks such as 13 and 16.

Using the reaction conditions established for the preparation of 15, 13 was reacted with several polyformals of 5 in a ratio of 2:1 in an attempt to prepare ABA polymers of the general structure 20. In several attempts, the 5 polyformal was extended with TDI prior to reaction with 13. This leads to polymers of higher molecular weight, but also to the incorporation of additional urethane moieties. The polymers prepared are listed in Table 3.
Table 3. ABA Polymers by Reaction of 13 with Polyformals of 5; Ratio 2:1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer Number</th>
<th>Polyformal of 5 (M_n)*</th>
<th>Wt% of 13 in final product</th>
<th>M_n **</th>
<th>T_G(°C)</th>
<th>T_M(°C)</th>
<th>Characteristics (at room temp.)</th>
<th>GPC/DSC No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9000 (11,500)</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>elastic; not tough</td>
<td>17,18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7600 (7800)</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>(8,600)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>elastic; tough</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7600 extended w. TDI</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>16,500</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>elastic; tough</td>
<td>20,21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4460</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>(5500)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>plastic; tough</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4460 extended w. TDI</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>elastic; tough</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4460 extended w. TDI</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>(11,500)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>elastic; tough</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4460 extended w. TDI</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>(18,500)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>elastic; not tough</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Estimated from the GP chromatogram; values in parentheses determined by GPC/viscosimeter method

**No. 1 and 3 determined by GPC/viscosimeter method, others estimated from the GP chromatogram

† by DSC

Visual inspection indicated that all polymers in Table 3 melted in the range 60-70°C, while the DSCs for polymers 1 and 3 (Figs. 18 and 21) show endothermic transitions over a wider and lower temperature range. Polymers 1, 2, and 4, which have soft blocks without additional urethane moieties, have low melt viscosities and flow readily when molten. The others are more viscous and resemble more the (AB)_N polymers prepared earlier by chain-extension of soft blocks with diisocyanates. Thus, it appears that the presence of many urethane groups in the polymer may be undesirable from viscosity considerations. The polymers prepared are being characterized more fully.
Fig. 18  DSC Traces for ABA Block Polymer from Poly(5 formal), $M_n = 9000$, and Isocyanate 13; (A) heating, (B) cooling
Fig. 19 GP Chromatogram of ABA Block Polymer from Poly(5 formal), $\bar{M}_n \approx 7600$, and Isocyanate 13
Method: LOW TEMP WARM UP  
Comment: NORMAL DSC RAMPED FROM -50 TO 150°C AT 5°/MIN  
Run Date: 7-Jul-89 09:56

Comment: NORMAL DSC RAMPED FROM -50 TO 150°C AT 5°/MIN  
Run Date: 7-Jul-89 10:39

Method: COOLING CURVE

Fig. 21 DSC Traces for ABA Block Polymer from Poly(5 formal), $M_n$ 7600, TDI, and Isocyanate 17; (A) heating, (B) cooling
Fig. 23  GP Chromatogram of an ABA Block Polymer from Poly(5 formal), $\bar{M}_n \approx 4460$, TDI, and Isocyanate 13 (10% 13)
Fig. 24 GP Chromatogram of an ABA Block Polymer from Poly(5 formal), $\bar{M}_n \approx 4460$, TDI, and Isocyanate 13 (8.5% 13)
Fig. 25 GP Chromatogram of an ABA Block Polymer from poly(S formal), $M_n \approx 4460$, TDI, and Isocyanate 12 (5,4%, 12)
Using analogous reaction conditions, 16 was reacted with the polyformal of 5 of $M_n = 9000$ (ratio 2:1) to give a soft resin which contained unreacted 16 by GPC. Further characterization is in progress.

In a similar fashion, PDT was reacted with TDI to the isocyanate 21. It was in turn reacted with the polyformal of 5 at 70°C in sulfolane. The product, a soft, slightly elastomeric material which does not melt below 120°C, is currently being characterized.

In order to assess possible effects of changes in the hard block structure on the ABA polymer properties, 5 polyformal ($M_n = 7600$) was reacted with octadecyl isocyanate to the ABA polymer. This polymer (GPC, Fig. 26) was a resin with no elastomeric properties. Also, docosanoic azide (18) was heated in the presence of 5 polyformal to generate the isocyanate and the corresponding ABA polymer (22) (GPC, Fig. 27). This polymer was less elastomeric and softer than the corresponding polymer made with the docosanol/TDI adduct 13 as hard block. This indicates that the additional urethane groups in the polymer containing TDI contribute to its properties.

The hard blocks 13 and 16 were also reacted with the difunctional poly(AMMO) sample of OH equ. wt. 3125 obtained from Thiokol Corp. With 13, the product (GPC, Fig. 28) was initially waxy but on storage became somewhat elastomeric. The $^1$H NMR spectrum (Fig. 29) indicates the presence of about 80% of the expected amount of docosyl groups in the polymer. With 16, reaction occurred also to give a polymer resin with no elastic properties. Characterization of this material is incomplete.

The above results indicate that a useful approach to prepare ABA block copolymers consisting of the linking of preformed hard and soft blocks has been identified. However, the properties of the polymers produced are not yet what is desired in terms of melt behavior, viscosity, and elasticity. It is believed that higher molecular weight hard and soft blocks are required to achieve acceptable properties. This will be the goal of our future research.

**Polymer Characterization.** To further validate the new GPC method to determine absolute number average molecular weight ($M_n$) and intrinsic viscosity using the differential viscometer, the $M_n$ of several additional standard samples of varying chemical structure and molecular weights ranging from 10,000 to 200,000 were determined. The results which are presented below compare favorably with the expected values.
Fig. 26 GP Chromatogram of ABA Block Polymer from Poly(5 formal), $M_n \approx 7600$, and Octadecyl Isocyanate
Table 4. Comparison of Reported and Measured Molecular Weights for Some Standard Polymer Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$\bar{M}_n$ reported</th>
<th>$\bar{M}_n$ measured</th>
<th>$[\eta]$ (mL/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>polystyrene</td>
<td>PS-ALD</td>
<td>119,500</td>
<td>123,000</td>
<td>83.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-NBS</td>
<td>136,500</td>
<td>133,000</td>
<td>86.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td>PMMA-ALD</td>
<td>46,400</td>
<td>52,700</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMMA-PSc1</td>
<td>63,000</td>
<td>66,897</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMMA-PSc2</td>
<td>166,500</td>
<td>167,931</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly(butadiene)</td>
<td>PBD-PSc</td>
<td>139,500</td>
<td>138,428</td>
<td>219.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, the $\bar{M}_n$ s of several mixtures of polystyrene, PMMA, poly(vinyl acetate), and poly(butadiene) were measured and compared with expected values. The results are presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Comparison of Calculated and Measured Molecular Weights for Some Polymer Mixtures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer Ratios</th>
<th>Ratios</th>
<th>$\bar{M}_n$ expected</th>
<th>$\bar{M}_n$ measured</th>
<th>$[\eta]$ (mL/g) expected</th>
<th>$[\eta]$ (mL/g) measured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PS homopolymer</td>
<td>137,000</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA homopolymer</td>
<td>46,400</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVAC homopolymer</td>
<td>89,900</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBD homopolymer</td>
<td>139,500</td>
<td>225.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS:PMMA 70:30</td>
<td>85,400</td>
<td>65,500</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30:70</td>
<td>57,100</td>
<td>59,700</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS:PVAC 50:50</td>
<td>108,000</td>
<td>112,000</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA:PVAC 50:50</td>
<td>61,300</td>
<td>62,800</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS:PBD 50:50</td>
<td>137,000</td>
<td>144,000</td>
<td>157.0</td>
<td>148.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PS is polystyrene, PMMA is poly(methyl methacrylate), PVAC is poly(vinyl acetate), and PBD is poly(butadiene). $\bar{M}_n$ s of the homopolymer samples were supplied by the vendor.

The demonstrated ability to accurately measure the $\bar{M}_n$ s and the intrinsic viscosities of polymer mixtures with differing chemical compositions, ratios, and molecular weight distributions confirms the universal application of the method. As a result, it is now possible to accurately determine the absolute $\bar{M}_n$ and intrinsic viscosity of any polymer, copolymer, polymer blend or mixture. Mark-Houwink constants, chemical structure, chemical composition, and $dn/dc$ values are not needed.
EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Melting points are uncorrected. Temperatures are in °C. Microanalyses are by Galbraith Laboratories, Knoxville, Tennessee. NMR spectra were obtained in part on a Varian EM-390 spectrometer, in part on a Varian XL-200 NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts are in ppm relative to TMS internal standard. Silica gel was EM Kieselgel 60, 70-230 mesh, throughout.

Gel Permeation Chromatography, General Procedure.- Analyses of homopolymers and copolymers were performed using a Waters Model 6000A solvent delivery system, Model U6K injector, Model 440 ultraviolet (UV) absorbance detector, and Model R-401 refractive index detector. A Toyo Soda Micropak H-series guard column, 7.5 cm in length and 0.75 cm in diameter and three Toyo Soda Micropak TSK 3000H size exclusion columns, each 30 cm in length, with inside diameters of 0.75 cm, and packing pore sizes of 1500 Å were used. The eluant was deaerated Burdick & Jackson tetrahydrofuran with water content less than 0.01% in order to maximize peak resolution. Solvent flow was nominally 1.0 mL/min. Chart speed was 1.0 cm/min. Data were collected by a DIGITAL MINC microcomputer using a Chromatix CMX-10 dual channel interface module. Data reduction was performed with the Chromatix GPC2 software package. The sample (25-50 mg) was dissolved in 5 mL of deaerated tetrahydrofuran, and approximately 100 μL aliquot was filtered through a Millipore 0.5μ filter and injected into the instrument. Calibration curves were constructed, whenever possible, using the peak positions and molecular weights of the resolved oligomers of each sample.

Some GPC experiments, and measurements of intrinsic viscosities using the newly developed methods, were carried out using a Waters model 6000A solvent delivery system connected to a Molytek Thermalpulse II flowmeter, and a Waters U6K manual injector. The detector used was a Viscotek model 100 Differential viscometer. The eluant was unstabilized tetrahydrofuran. The flow rate was nominally 1 mL/min. Intrinsic viscosities were determined both with GPC columns connected and without columns connected. GPCs were carried out using Toyo Soda, TSK, H series columns which were 30 cm in length. For polymers whose \( M_\text{n} \) were below 5000, three columns, each with packing pore size of 1500 Å (TSK-3000H) were used. For polymers whose \( M_\text{n} \) were above 5000, four columns with packing pore sizes of 1500 Å (TSK-3000H), 10^4 Å (TSK-4000H), 10^5 Å (TSK-5000H), and 10^6 Å (TSK-6000H), respectively, were used.

Data were collected using an IBM PC/AT microcomputer equipped with a Data Translation DT-22805 data acquisition board, and an 80287 math coprocessor chip. The data collection and data reduction software was written in-house using the ASYST version 2.10 scientific programming language. Number average molecular weights were determined using the new algorithm described earlier.³

Poly(2,2,9,9-tetranitro-4,4,5,6,6,7,7-octafluorodecane-1,10-diol formal).- Under a \( N_2 \) atmosphere, .890 g of diol 1 (1.79 mmol) was stirred with 2.8 mL of dry sulfolane (4Å sieves) and .46 mL of BF_3 etherate until homogeneous. A solution of .054g of trioxane (1.8 mmol) in .5 mL of dry CH_2Cl_2 (4Å sieves) was added and the mixture was stirred at 22°C for -20 hrs. The reaction was quenched with 20 mL of water and stirred, after addition of 20 mL of ether, for 1 hr. The organic phase was separated and evaporated at 30°C/20 Torr.
The crude polymer was triturated with water at 40°C, adding a few mL of CH₂Cl₂ when necessary for stirring, until no sulfolane could be detected in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The polymer was dissolved in ether, the solution was stirred overnight with -0.25 g of silica gel, filtered, and stripped at 40°C/20 Torr. The polymer had a Mₙ = 1000 with a yield of 82%.

Poly(2,2,11,11-tetranitro-4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,9,9-dodecafluorododecane-1,12-diol formal).- Under a N₂ atmosphere, 0.98 g of diol 2 (1.6 mmol) was stirred with 2.0 mL of dry sulfolane (4A sieves) and 0.39 mL of BF₃ etherate until homogeneous. A solution of 0.05 g of trioxane (1.6 mmol) in 0.5 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂ (4A sieves) was added and the mixture was stirred at 22°C for -20 hrs. The reaction mixture was quenched with 20 mL of water and 20 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and was stirred for 1 hr. The organic phase was separated and evaporated at 60°C/20 Torr. The polymer had an Mₙ = 1500 with a yield of 73%.

Polyformal of Diol 3 (Fluorochem C₁₇₋₁₃ Diol).- To a solution of 5.00 g of diol 3 (11.4 mmol) and 0.341 g of trioxane (11.4 mmol) in 6.60 mL of dry sulfolane (4A sieves), under a N₂ atmosphere, was added 0.706 mL of SnCl₄. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2-3 min. until gelation occurred; then the reaction was stored at room temperature for 24 hrs. The gel was broken up with a spatula, dissolved in 75 mL of ether, and stirred with 75 mL of water for 1 hr. The organic phase was separated and evaporated at 35°C/20 Torr. The crude polymer was dissolved in THF and the solution dripped into 250 mL of vigorously stirred water at -50°C. The polymer precipitated, while the THF was evaporated by means of an air stream. The water was decanted and the precipitated polymer was rinsed with fresh water. The sulfolane-free polymer was redissolved in 50 mL of ether and stirred with 50 mL of 1N H₂SO₄ and 3 mL of 30% H₂O₂ for 3 hrs. The organic phase was separated, stirred with 50 mL of 1% KOH and 1.5 mL of 30% H₂O₂ for 3 hrs, separated, and washed with brine. The ether layer was then stirred with 15 mL of MgSO₄ for -3 hrs, filtered, and stirred overnight with 10 mL of silica gel. The silica gel was filtered off and the solvent was removed by heating at 20-65°C/20 Torr. The polymer had an estimated Mₙ of 8-9000 with a yield of 88%.

Polyformal of Diol 4 (Fluorochem C₁₁₋₉₋₅ Diol).- To a solution of 1.00 g of diol 4 (1.35 mmol) and 0.040 g of trioxane (1.33 mmol) in 1.5 mL of dry sulfolane (4A sieves) under a N₂ atmosphere was added 0.32 mL of BF₃ etherate. The mixture was stirred at 22°C for 24 hrs. The polymer precipitated out of solution and was deposited on the sides of the flask. The liquid was decanted and the flask was rinsed with water. The polymer was dissolved in THF and dripped into 100 mL of vigorously stirred water at 60°C. The THF was evaporated in an air stream. The water was decanted and the remaining polymer was dried under vacuum (1 Torr) at 50°C over P₂O₅. The polymer had an estimated Mₙ of 8000.

Scale up (60g batch) of Poly(4,4,10,10-tetranitro-6,8-dioxatridecane-1,13-diol formal).- Under a N₂ atmosphere, 57.60 g of diol 5 (1.144 mol) and 4.40 g of
trioxane (0.147 mol) was dissolved in 48 mL of dry sulfolane (4A sieves) and 4.5 mL of dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (4A sieves). To the solution, cooled to 18-20°C, was added 8.48 mL of SnCl$_4$. The mixture was stirred at 20-22°C for 20-24 hrs, then quenched with 600 mL of water and 520 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and stirred for 1 hr. The organic phase was separated and stirred with 600 mL of H$_2$SO$_4$ and 28 mL of 30% H$_2$O$_2$ for 3 hrs, separated, then stirred with 600 mL of 1% KOH and 15 mL of 30% H$_2$O$_2$ for 3 hrs. The organic phase was separated and washed with 600 mL of brine, stirred with 45 mL MgSO$_4$ for 0.5 hr, filtered, and evaporated at 45°C/20 Torr. The remaining liquid was triturated with 350 mL portions of water to remove most of the sulfolane. The crude polymer was dissolved in a minimum amount of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and loaded onto a silica gel column. The residual sulfolane, cyclic materials, and some low molecular weight materials were eluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Lastly, the polymer was eluted with 30/70 THF/CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The polymer solution was stirred with 30 mL of silica gel for 48 hrs, filtered, and stripped (60°C/1 Torr). The polymer yield was ~70% with an estimated $M_n$ of 8000.

Poly(hexane-1,6-diol azidoacetal).- A mixture of 1.59 g (10 mmol) of diethylazidoacetal, 1.18 g of hexane-1,6-diol (10 mmol), and 3 drops of BF$_3$ etherate was stirred at room temperature for 24 hr, then heated to 60°C for 24 hr with intermittent application of aspirator vacuum to remove ethanol formed. The mixture was cooled in ice water, and 0.1 mL of BF$_3$ etherate was added dropwise. Then, heating at 60°C and intermittent application of vacuum was continued for 2 days. The polymer was cooled, diluted with dichloromethane, the solution washed with water, and dried (MgSO$_4$). The solvent was removed under vacuum. The product was a resin at room temperature.

Poly(3,5,5,11,11,13-hexanitro-3,13-diaza-7,9-dioxapentadecane-1,15-diol formal-co-hexane-1,6-diol formal), Monomer Ratio 7:3.- Under a N$_2$ atmosphere, 2.128 g of diol 6 (4.092 mmol), 0.207 g of diol 8 (1.75 mmol), and 0.175 g of trioxane (5.83 mmol) were dissolved in 2.0 mL of dry sulfolane (4A sieves) and 1.0 mL of dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (4A sieves) with slight warming. The mixture was cooled to 18-20°C and 0.35 mL of SnCl$_4$ was added. The mixture was stirred overnight at 22°C for 20-24 hrs, then was quenched with 20 mL of water and 15 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and was stirred for 2 hrs. The organic phase was separated, stripped (60°C/20 Torr), and trituted with water until no sulfolane could be detected in the $^1$H NMR spectrum. The copolymer was redissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and stirred with 5 mL of silica gel overnight, filtered, and stripped at 55°C/20 Torr. The copolymer had an estimated $M_n$ of 2400.

Poly(3,5,5,11,11,13-hexanitro-3,13-diaza-7,9-dioxapentadecane-1,15-diol formal-co-butane-1,4-diol formal), Monomer Ratio 7:3.- Under a N$_2$ atmosphere, 2.128 g of diol 6 (4.092 mmol), 0.169 g of diol 9 (1.89 mmol), and 0.176 g of trioxane (5.87 mmol) were dissolved in 3.0 mL of dry sulfolane (4A sieves). The mixture was cooled in an ice bath and 0.6 mL of BF$_3$ etherate was added. After stirring for 20-24 hrs at 20°C, the reaction was quenched with 30 mL of water and 25 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and was stirred for 1 hr. The organic phase was separated and washed with 25 mL of brine. The solvents were evaporated (50°C/20 Torr) and the remaining liquid was triturated with water until no sulfolane could be detected in the $^1$H NMR spectrum. The sulfolane-
free copolymer was redissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$, the solution stirred overnight with 5 mL of silica gel, filtered, and stripped (55°C/20 Torr). The resulting copolymer had an estimated $M_n$ of 1500.

4,7-Dinitro-4,7-diazadecanedioc acid azide. CAUTION: Acyl azides are sensitive explosives and must be handled with appropriate care. A mixture of 10 g of 4,7-dinitro-4,7-diazadecanedioc acid (34.0 mmol) and 60 mL of thionyl chloride was slowly heated to 60°C and stirred for 24 hrs. The reaction was cooled to room temperature. The pale yellow solution was further cooled in a dry ice/acetone bath and hexanes were added to precipitate the acid chloride. The solid was collected by suction filtration and washed with hexanes. The crude material was recrystallized from dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (4A sieves), dried under vacuum, and stored over P$_2$O$_5$. 2.0 g of the acid chloride was again recrystallized in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ under a N$_2$ atmosphere, dried under vacuum, and then, under a N$_2$ atmosphere, dissolved in ~30 mL of dry CH$_3$CN (4A sieves). The solution was cooled in an ice bath, 2.0 mL of trimethylsilyl azide was added, the cooling was removed, and the mixture was stirred overnight at 20°C. The CH$_3$CN was evaporated without heating at 20 Torr to leave an oil which began to crystallize on standing or when seeded. (Seed crystals were obtained by evaporating a small aliquot of the CH$_3$CN solution and inducing the residual oil to crystallization by scratching) CC$_4$ was slowly added and crystallization continued overnight at 0°C. The white solid was collected by suction filtration and washed with a small amount of cold isopropyl ether. The acyl azide was dried under vacuum over P$_2$O$_5$ and stored at 50°C. The melting point was 79-81°C.

3,6-Dinitro-3,6-diazaoctane-1,8-diol bis(chlorocarbonate), pyridine adduct (11). Under a N$_2$ atmosphere, 5.1 g of triphosgene (17.3 mmol) were dissolved in 19 mL of dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (4A sieves). Added were 4.5 g of 3,6-dinitro-3,6-diazaoctane-1,8-diol followed by an additional 10 mL of dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (4A sieves). The mixture was stirred for 10 min., but the diol remained mostly undissolved. The mixture was cooled in an ice bath to -50°C and a solution of 4.2 mL of pyridine (52.0 mmol) in 20 mL of dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (4A sieves) was slowly dripped in over a 45 min. period. When 70-80% of the pyridine solution had been added, the diol was dissolved; then a new precipitate began forming. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and 15 mL of anhydrous hexane was added. The solid was filtered, washed with four 30 mL portions of 50/50 CH$_2$Cl$_2$/hexane, and dried under vacuum over P$_2$O$_5$. The melting point was 77°C.

1:1 Adduct of Docosanol and Toluene-2,4-diisocyanate (13). Under a N$_2$ atmosphere, 5.00 g of docosanol (15.3 mmol) were dissolved in ~25 mL of dry dichloroethane (4A sieves) at 65°C. After the addition of 6.55 mL of TDI (46.1 mmol) and ~50 µL of dibutyltin dilaurate, the mixture was stirred for 48 hrs. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and then further cooled in an ice bath. The solid was collected by suction under a blanket of N$_2$ and was washed several times with dry, chilled dichloroethane. The product was dried under vacuum over P$_2$O$_5$. MP = 84-85°C.

Reaction of Docosanol/TDI adduct 13 with methanol and with 4,4,10,10-tetranitro-6,8-dioxatridecane-1,13-diol. 1. A small amount of 13 was dissolved in a few mL of dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (4A sieves) under a N$_2$ atmosphere. After
the addition of -1 mL of methanol and 2-3 µL of dibutyltin dilaurate, the mixture was warmed to 30°C and stirred overnight. The solvents were evaporated to yield a white solid (14) with a mp of 94-96°C.

2. Under a N₂ atmosphere, .40 g of isocyanate 13 (.80 mmol) and .16 g of diol 5 (.40 mmol) were dissolved in 3 mL of dry dichloroethane (4A sieves) at 50°C. Added were -5 µL of dibutyltin dilaurate and the mixture was stirred for 24 hrs. The temperature was decreased to 35°C, 1 mL of methanol was added, and stirring was continued for 3-4 hrs. The reaction was cooled in an ice bath and the solid was collected by suction filtration and washed with cold dichloroethane. Obtained was a white solid (15) with a melting point of 117-119°C.

1:1 Adduct of 1H,11H,11H-eicosafluoroundecanol and Toluene-2,4-diisocyanate, (16).- 2.0g of alcohol (3.77 mmol) were dissolved in 10 mL of dry dichloroethane (4A sieves) at 65-70°C under a N₂ atmosphere. After addition of 1.60 mL of TDI (11.2 mmol) and -15 µL of dibutyltin dilaurate, the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 hrs. The heating was removed and the reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature. The reaction mixture was further cooled in an ice bath and the white solid was collected by suction filtration under a N₂ blanket. The solid was washed several times with chilled dichloroethane, then dried under vacuum (1 Torr) over P₂O₅. The product (16) had a melting point of 94°C.

The functionality of the adduct was determined by ¹H NMR after reacting the isocyanate with methanol. A small amount of the isocyanate was dissolved in dry dichloroethane (4A sieves) at 35-40°C. After the addition of -.5 mL of methanol and 1-2 µL of dibutyltin dilaurate, the mixture was stirred overnight, then stripped (65°C/20 Torr). Obtained was a white solid (17) with a melting point of 115°C.

Heneicosoyl azide (18).- Thionyl chloride (5 mL) was added to 0.75 g (2.202 mmol) of dosocanoic acid and the mixture was heated to reflux for 3.75 hr. The mixture was allowed to cool and excess thionyl chloride was removed under vacuum. Dichloromethane (5 mL) was added, the mixture was warmed to 35 mL to facilitate dissolution of the acid chloride, and was then returned to room temperature. Trimethylsilyl azide (0.279 g, 2.422 mmol) was added and the mixture stirred at 30°C for 66 hr. Evaporation of volatiles at <25°C gave 0.862 g of a cream colored powder, whose ¹H NMR spectrum indicated the presence of some unreacted acid chloride. Filtration of a dichloromethane solution through a column of silica gel (Kieselgel 60, EM) gave a fraction of 0.126 g of pure 18. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 6 0.90 (s, 3), 1.37 (m, 40), 3.35 (t, 2) ppm.

Chain Extension of Poly(4,4,10,10-tetranitro-6,8-dioxatridecane-1,13-diol formal) with Diisocyanates.- A mixture of 2.24g of the poly(5 formal), M = 4460, (0.502 mmol) and .09g of cubanediisocyanate (0.5 mmol) were dissolved in 6 mL of dry dichloroethane (4A sieves) under a N₂ atmosphere. 1-2 µL of dibutyltin dilaurate was added and the mixture was heated to 35°C and stirred 20-24 hrs. After the addition of 1 mL of methanol, the stirring was continued for -16 hrs. The solvents were evaporated at 60°C/20 Torr.
The following (AB)N block copolymers listed in Table 1 were prepared by the same procedure:

- poly(5 formal) (M<sub>n</sub> = 7600)/cubanediisocyanate
- poly(5 formal) (M<sub>n</sub> = 4500)/IPDI
- poly(5 formal) (M<sub>n</sub> = 7600)/IPDI
- poly(5 formal) (M<sub>n</sub> = 7600)/HMDI

Chain Extension of Poly(AMMO) with Toluene-2,4-diisocyanate. - A solution of 1.030 g of poly(AMMO), M<sub>n</sub> = 6250, (0.1648 mmol) in 3.5 mL of dry dichloroethane (4A sieves) under a N<sub>2</sub> blanket was heated to 35°C. TDI (.027 mL, 0.19 mmol) and 3 μL of dibutyltin dilaurate were added and the mixture was stirred for 24 hrs. After the addition of 1 mL of methanol, stirring was continued overnight. The solvents were evaporated at 60°C/20 Torr.

Endcapping of Poly(4,4,10,10-tetranitro-6,8-dioxatridecane-1,13-diol formal) with Toluene-2,4-diisocyanate. - Under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, 1.530 g of poly(5 formal), M<sub>n</sub> = 6250, (0.245 mmol) were dissolved in 4.0 mL of dry dichloroethane (4A sieves). After the addition of .104 mL of TDI (0.731 mmol) and 2 μL of dibutyltin dilaurate, the mixture was heated to 35°C and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and triturated with 10 mL of anhydrous hexane, keeping a N<sub>2</sub> blanket over the material at all times. To remove any residual unreacted TDI, the viscous resin was triturated 4 times with 8 mL portions of anhydrous hexane, adding 1-2 mL of dry dichloroethane (4A sieves) when necessary for stirring. The isocyanate endcapped resin was stored under N<sub>2</sub>.

The functionality of the above product was determined by 1H NMR after reacting it with methanol: to a mixture of 3 mL of dry CH<sub>3</sub>CN (4A sieves), .1 mL of methanol, and -2 μL of dibutyltin dilaurate at 35°C, was added a small amount of the TDI endcapped polymer. The mixture was stirred overnight 20-24 hrs, then stripped at 60°C/20 Torr.

ABA Block Copolymer by Reaction of TDI-endcapped Poly(5 formal) with 1-Docosanol. - A solution of .515 g of TDI-endcapped poly(5 formal) (0.078 mmol) in 5 mL of dry CH<sub>3</sub>CN (4A sieves) was prepared under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere as described above. The solution was heated to 65-70°C and .056 g of 1-docosanol (0.17 mmol) and 1-2 μL of dibutyltin dilaurate were added. The mixture was stirred for 3-4 days, then cooled to 35°C. Approximately 1 mL of methanol was added and stirring was continued overnight. The solvents were evaporated at 65°C/20 Torr.

ABA Block Copolymer by Reaction of Poly(5 formal) and Isocyanate 13. - Under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, 1.007 g of poly(5 formal), M<sub>n</sub> = 9000, (0.11 mmol) were dissolved in 4 mL of dry dichloroethane at 50°C. Added were .091 g of 13 (0.188 mmol) and -5 μL of dibutyltin dilaurate, and the mixture was stirred for 48 hrs. The temperature was decreased to 35°C, and 1 mL of methanol was added. Stirring was continued overnight, then the solvents were stripped at 65°C/20 Torr.
Two other polymers in Table 3 were prepared using the same procedure:

\[
\begin{align*}
poly(5 \text{ formal}), M^\infty_n &= 7600/\text{Docosanol-TDI adduct (13)} \\
poly(5 \text{ formal}), M^n_n &= 4460/\text{Docosanol-TDI adduct (13)}
\end{align*}
\]

**ABA Block Copolymer by Reaction of Chain extended Poly(5 formal) with Isocyanate 13.** - A solution of 1.5 g of poly(5 formal), \( M^\infty_n = 7600 \) (0.197 mmol) in 5 mL of dry dichloroethane (4A sieves) was prepared at 35°C under a \( N_2 \) atmosphere. 0.008 mL of TDI (0.0562 mmol) and -5 \( \mu L \) of dibutyltin dilaurate were added, and the mixture was stirred overnight. The temperature was increased to 50°C and 0.140 g of isocyanate 13 (0.28 mmol) were added. The stirring was continued for 2-3 days. The temperature was decreased to 35°C, 1 mL of methanol was added, the mixture was stirred for 3-4 hrs, and was then stripped at 65°C/20 Torr.

The following ABA block copolymers from Table 3 were prepared using the same procedure, except the prepolymers were extended with different amounts of TDI:

\[
\begin{align*}
poly(5 \text{ formal}), M^\infty_n &= 4460 \text{ extended with 0.016 mL TDI/g polymer to } \\
M^n_n &\text{9000/Docosanol-TDI adduct (13)} \\
poly(5 \text{ formal}), M^\infty_n &= 4460 \text{ extended with 0.018 mL TDI/g polymer to } \\
M^n_n &\text{10,500/Docosanol-TDI adduct (13)} \\
poly(5 \text{ formal}), M^\infty_n &= 4460 \text{ extended with 0.024 mL TDI/g polymer to } \\
M^n_n &\text{17,500/Docosanol-TDI adduct (13)}
\end{align*}
\]

**ABA Block Copolymer by Reaction of Poly(5 formal) with Isocyanate 16.** - A dry solution of 0.770 g of poly(5 formal), \( M^\infty_n = 8000 \) (0.121 mmol) in 5 mL of dichloroethane (from a stock solution dried over 4A sieves) was heated to 55-60°C under a \( N_2 \) atmosphere. Added were 0.172 g of isocyanate 16 (0.244 mmol) and 2-3 \( \mu L \) of dibutyltin dilaurate. The solution was stirred for 24 hrs. The reaction temperature was decreased to 35°C, 10 drops of methanol were added, and stirring was continued for 3 hrs. The reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature, and the reaction mixture was dripped into -75 mL of methanol. The methanol was decanted from the precipitated polymer and the residual solvents were removed at 60°C/20 Torr.

**ABA Block Copolymer by Reaction of Poly(5 formal) with Octadecyl Isocyanate.** - Poly(5 formal) (\( M^\infty_n = 7600 \), 1.38 g, 0.1816 mmol), 5 mL of dry dichloroethane (4A sieves), octadecyl isocyanate (0.1073 g, 0.364 mmol) and 1 drop of dibutyltin dichloride were heated to 50°C for 3 days. Methanol (0.25 mL) was added and heating was continued for 24 hr. Removal of solvents under vacuum gave the crude polymer.

**ABA Block Copolymer by Reaction of Poly(5 formal) with Heneicosoyl azide.** - Poly(5 formal) (\( M^\infty_n = 7600 \), 2.32 g, 0.305 mmol), dichloroethane (5 mL, dried over 4A sieves), heneicosoyl azide (0.234 g, 0.64 mmol) and 1 drop of dibutyltin dichloride were heated at 60-65°C for 5 days, 0.25 mL of methanol was added and reaction was continued at 40°C for 2 days. The polymer, obtained by removal of solvents in vacuo, was more elastomeric than the polymer of the preceding procedure.
Reaction of Poly(AMM0) with Isocyanate 13.- A dry solution of 0.915 g of poly(AMM0), M = 6250, (0.1464 mmol) in 5 mL of dichloroethane (from a stock solution dried over 4A sieves) was heated to 55°C and 0.160 g of 13 (0.32 mmol) and 3 μL of dibutyltin dilaurate were added. This mixture was stirred for 20-24 hrs, then the temperature was decreased to 35°C. 10 drops of methanol were added, and stirring was continued for 3 hrs. The heating was removed and the reaction mixture was dripped into -75 mL of methanol to precipitate the polymer. The methanol was decanted and the polymer was heated to 60°C/20 Torr to remove any residual solvents.

Reaction of Poly(AMM0) with Isocyanate 16.- A dry solution of 0.915 g of poly(AMM0), M = 6250, (0.1464 mmol) in 5 mL of dichloroethane (from a stock solution dried over 4A sieves) was heated to 55°C under a N2 atmosphere. 0.233 g of 16 and 3 μL of dibutyltin dilaurate were added and the mixture was stirred for 20-24 hrs. The temperature was decreased to 35°C, 1 mL of methanol was added, and stirring was continued for 3 hrs. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was dripped into -75 mL of methanol to precipitate the polymer. The resulting polymer was heated to 65°C/20 Torr to remove any residual solvents.
References


Distribution:

Office of Naval Research  
Code 1132P (R. S. Miller) (2 copies)  
Code 1113 (Chemistry Division) (1 copy)  
Arlington, VA 22217

Leonard H. Caveny  
SDIO/IST  
Pentagon  
Washington, D. C. 20301-7100

Defense Technical Information Center  
Bldg. 5, Cameron Station (12 copies)  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Director  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 2627  
Washington, D. C. 20375

A. L. Slavkosky  
Scientific Advisor  
Commandant of the Marine Corps  
Code RD-1  
Washington, D. C. 20380

Director  
U. S. Army Ballistic Research Lab  
DRXBR-IBD  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

U. S. Army Research Office  
Chemical & Biological Sciences Division  
P. O. Box 12211  
Research Triangle Park, N. C. 27709-2211

U. S. Army Missile Command  
DRSMI-RKL  
Walter W. Wharton  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898

Johns Hopkins University  
Applied Physics Laboratory  
CPIA (T. W. Christian)  
Johns Hopkins Road  
Laurel, MD 20707
R. Anderson
United Technologies
Chemical Systems Division
P. O. Box 50015
San Jose, CA 94150-0015

Thomas Archibald
Fluorochem, Inc.
680 S. Ayon
Azusa, CA 91702

M. Armstrong
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Room 66-505
Cambridge, MA 01239

M. Barnes
Atlantic Research Corp.
7511 Wellington Road
Gainesville, VA 22065

K. Baum
Fluorochem, Inc.
480 South Ayon Avenue
Azusa, CA 91702

Harry Blemquist
Olin Ordnance
Box 278
Marion, IL 62959

Michael A. Bonanno
U. S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory
Attn: SLCBR-IB-P
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

T. Boggs
Naval Weapons Center
Code 389
China Lake, CA 93555

Jeff Bottaro
SRI International
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Joseph H. Boyer
Univ. of New Orleans
Chemistry Dept.
Lakefront
New Orleans, LA 70148
Robert Brown  
Mass. Inst. of Tech.  
66-352  
Cambridge, MA  02139  

Lawrence Carosino  
Hercules Inc.  
Hercules Research Center  
Wilmington, DE  19894  

Thomas E. Casti  
Hercules Inc.  
Hercules Research Center  
Wilmington, DE  19894  

James C. W. Chien  
Dept. of Polymer Sci. & Engr.  
University of Massachusetts  
Amherst, MA  01003  

C. Coon  
Lawrence Livermore National Lab  
P. O. Box 808  
Livermore, CA  94550  

Richard Costin  
Sartomer Company  
3801 West Chester Pike  
New Towne Square, PA  19073  

John Crissman  
A209 Polymer Bldg.  
National Institute of Standards and  
Technology  
Gaithersburg, MD  20899  

Joseph C. Crowley  
Lockheed Palo Alto  
Research Laboratory  
3251 Hanover Street  
B204/D9350  
Palo Alto, CA  94304  

T. F. Davidson  
Vice President Technical  
Thiokol Corp.  
Aerospace Group  
3340 Airport Road  
Ogden, UT  84405
Roger W. Day
Olin Research Center
350 Knotter Drive
Cheshire, CT 06410

D. Dillehay
Thiokol Corp.
Longhorn Division
Marshall, TX 75670

Philip Eaton
University of Chicago
5735 S. Ellis Avenue
Chicago, IL 60637

R. A. Earl
Hercules, Inc.
Magna, UT 84109

L. C. Estabrook, P. E.
Thiokol Corp.
P. O. Box 30058
Shreveport, LA 71130

John Fisher
Naval Weapons Center, Code 3853
China Lake, CA 93555

Richard Fletcher
Aerojet
P. O. Box 15699C, Bldg. 05025
Sacramento, CA 95812

M. B. Frankel
Rockwell International
Rocketdyne Division
6633 Canoga Avenue
Canoga Park, CA 91303

R. Geisler
AFAL
DY/MS-24
Edwards AFB, 93523

Richard Gilardi
Naval Research Laboratory
Code 6030
Washington, D. C. 20375
W. H. Graham
Thiokol Corp.
Huntsville Division
Huntsville, AL 35807-7501

B. David Halpern
Polysciences, Inc.
Paul Valley Industrial Park
Warrington, PA 18976

C. M. Havlik
0/83-10, B/157-3W
Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., Inc.
P. O. Box 504
Sunnyvale, CA 94086

J. C. Hinshaw
Thiokol Corp./WASATCH
P. O. Box 524
Mail Stop 244
Brigham City, UT 84302

R. A. Hollins
Naval Weapons Center
Code 3853
China Lake, CA 93555

Capt. Mark Husband
AFAL/RKPA
Edwards AFB, CA 93523

Pamela Kaste
SLCBR-IB-P
U. S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

Freddy A. Khoury
A209 Polymer Bldg.
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

C. Sue Kim
Department of Chemistry
California State Univ., Sacramento
Sacramento, CA 95819
M. K. King
Atlantic Research Corp.
5390 Cherokee Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22312

Peter Kirschner
Aerojet Tactical Systems
Sacramento, CA 95813

Robert G. Leclerc
Sartomer Company
3801 West Chester Pike
New Towne Square, PA 19073

Geoffrey A. Lindsay
Naval Weapons Center
Code 3858
China Lake, CA 93555

George A. Lo
Lockheed Palo Alto
Research Laboratory
B204/093-50
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Robert D. Lynch
Atlantic Research Corporation
7511 Wellington Road
Gainesville, VA 22065

Gerald E. Manser
Aerojet Solid Propulsion Co.
Bldg. 05025
P. O. Box 15699C
Sacramento, CA 95813

Gregory B. McKenna
National Institute of Standards & Tech.
Polymers Division
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Dennis G. Murrell
Hercules
Hercules Research Center
Wilmington, DE 19894

Melvin P. Nadler
Naval Weapons Center
Code 3851
China Lake, CA 93555
Boris D. Nahlovsky
Aerojet Tactical Systems
P. O. Box 13400
Sacramento, CA 95813

Arnold T. Nielsen
Naval Weapons Center
Code 38503
China Lake, CA 93555

R. Peters
Aerojet Strategic Propulsion Co.
Bldg. 05025 - Dept. 5400
MS 167
Sacramento, CA 95813

Gene Rothgery
Olin Corporation
350 Knotter Drive
Cheshire, CT 06410

Joseph J. Rocchio
U. S. Army Ballistic Research Lab
SLCBQ-IB-P
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21013

John J. Rusek
AFAL/RKPA
Edwards AFB, CA 93523

David C. Sayles
Ballistic Missile Defense
Advanced Technology Center
P. O. Box 1500
Huntsville, AL 35807

Robert Schmitt
SRI International
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025

R. B. Steele
Aerojet Strategic Propulsion Co.
P. O. Box 15699C
Sacramento, CA 95813

Carlyle B. Storm
M-1 MS C920
Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, MN 87545
E. S. Sutton
Thiokol Corp.
Elkton Division
P. O. Box 241
Elkton, MD 21921

G. Thompson
Thiokol Corp.
Wasatch Division
MS 240 P. O. Box 524
Brigham City, UT 84302

Lee J. Todd
Dept. of Chemistry
Indiana University
Bloomington, IN 47405

R. S. Valentini
United Technologies
Chemical Systems Division
P. O. Box 50015
San Jose, CA 94150-0015

Robert B. Wardle
Thiokol Corp./WASATCH
Box 707 M/S 244
Brigham City, UT 84302

Henry Webster, II
Manager, Chemical Sciences Branch
Code 5063
Naval Weapons Support Center
Crane, IN 47522

J. R. West
Morton Thiokol, Inc.
P. O. Box 30058
Shreveport, LA 71130

John S. Wilkes, Jr.
FJSRL/NC
USAF Academy, CO 80840

Rodney L. Willer
Thiokol Corp.
Elkton Division
P. O. Box 241
Elkton, MD 21971
G. A. Zimmerman
Aerojet Tactical Systems
P. O. Box 13400
Sacramento, CA  95813

P. Lilliya
Dept. of Chemistry
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, MA  01003