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A. BAYLISS, B.J. MATKOWSKY AND M. MINKOFF

Bifurcation and pattern formation in combustion

1. INTRODUCTION

In our research program we employ a combination of analytical and numerical methods to determine the behavior of solutions of combustion problems. Specifically we consider highly nonlinear time-dependent systems of partial differential equations which model the behavior of both solid and gaseous fuel combustion. In gaseous fuel combustion we are particularly interested in the transition from laminar to turbulent combustion, including a description of the intermediate stages of this transition. These stages often occur as a sequence of bifurcations, as critical parameters of the problem are varied, with each successive step exhibiting more and more complex spatial and temporal behavior, often leading to spatial and temporal pattern formation. The solutions frequently exhibit very steep gradients, in both time and space, thus naturally calling for adaptive gridding techniques. We have developed an adaptive pseudo-spectral method which is both very accurate and very efficient. Our algorithm allows us to describe the solution on bifurcation branches, well beyond the region where analytical methods work well. We have however taken advantage of the analytical results that we first obtain, to aid us in choosing appropriate parameter values and initial conditions for the numerical computations. In addition the analytical results serve as benchmarks for our computations. The computations reveal new and interesting behavior, not otherwise obtainable. Below we discuss two problems, involving solid and gaseous fuel combustion respectively.

2. CONDENSED-PHASE COMBUSTION

We first consider a problem in gasless condensed-phase combustion. This type of combustion is characterized by a highly exothermic reaction occurring in

* This research was supported in part by the Applied Mathematical Sciences subprogram of the Office of Energy Research, U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38 and Grant DEFG02-87ER25027, and N.S.F. Grant DMS87-01543.
the solid fuel itself without the prior formation of a gaseous phase. Thus the solid itself burns, and is transformed directly into a solid product. Owing to the exothermic reaction a combustion wave propagates from the high-temperature combustion products toward the cold unburned fuel. Typically the activation energies of the reaction are large and the reaction is significant only in a narrow region, called the reaction zone, whose width is inversely proportional to the activation energy. In the limit of infinite activation energy the reaction zone shrinks to a propagating surface, termed a reaction front. It has been observed that this process is often accompanied by the melting of the reactant [5], so that a melting front propagates ahead of the reaction zone or front. Upon reacting, the heat of fusion is released, and the product is in the solid phase.

This process is currently being employed as a method of effectively synthesizing certain ceramic and metallic alloys. Rather than employing an external source of energy, the process, referred to as SHS (for self-propagating, high-temperature synthesis), employs the energy of the reaction to convert reactants to products which are specially hard, are impervious to extreme temperatures and have other desired characteristics [6, 14]. It has been observed that the product is not always uniform in composition, but rather there are zones of varying concentrations in the synthesized sample. This is due to pulsations in the velocity of the reaction front. Planar pulsating modes of propagation have been observed, which are sometimes referred to as "auto-oscillatory" combustion, characterized by striations in the synthesized product. Helical modes of propagation, referred to as spin combustion, have also been observed, in which a spiraling motion of a non-uniform front occurs (one or more luminous points, corresponding to hotspots, are observed to move in a helical fashion on the surface of a cylindrical sample) [9, 15].

Mathematically this process can be described by a reaction-diffusion system for the temperature and concentration of a limiting component of the reaction. The reaction is modeled by first-order irreversible Arrhenius kinetics. Typically the mass diffusivity is assumed to be zero in both the solid and liquid phase.

Analysis of a model in which melting was not accounted for, in the limit of infinite activation energy, revealed that auto-oscillatory combustion was due to a Hopf bifurcation when a parameter $\lambda$ exceeded a critical value $\lambda_c$ [13].
The bifurcation parameter is \( \lambda = N(1 - \sigma)/2 \), where \( N \) is an appropriately non-dimensionalized activation energy and \( \sigma = T_u/T_b \), where \( T_u \) (\( T_b \)) is the temperature of the unburned (burned) solid. This model was extended in [10] to account for melting of the fuel prior to the reaction. Again a similar Hopf bifurcation was found when a parameter \( \mu = \lambda/(1 - \mu_c) \) exceeded a critical value \( \mu_c \). The parameter \( N \) accounts for the effect of melting and is defined below. In [17], the model, without melting, in a cylindrical geometry, was suggested as a description of spin combustion. However, in the absence of melting, the resulting bifurcation is subcritical and unstable and therefore may not be able to account for the phenomenon. In [11] it was found that spin combustion could be explained as a supercritical, stable Hopf bifurcation. These analytical studies were based on the limit \( N \to \infty \), where the reaction zone shrinks to a reaction front and the reaction term becomes asymptotically a \( \delta \) function on the front, whose strength, as a function of \( T \) and of \( \mu \), was determined by the method of matched asymptotic expansions.

Bifurcation analysis is necessarily a local theory, valid only in a neighborhood of the bifurcation point. The behavior of solutions far from this neighborhood must be obtained numerically. A related model of gasless condensed-phase combustion was studied numerically in [16]. Sinusoidal oscillations were computed, which took on the character of relaxation oscillations as the activation energy increased. As the activation energy increased further an additional spike in the temperature was observed and the solution appeared to have doubled in period. Upon further increasing the activation energy, additional spikes in the temperature were computed and the pulsation became increasingly complex. In [1], another related model was studied. Again a sinusoidal oscillation was found beyond a critical value of a parameter related to the activation energy. As the parameter was increased further, the authors exhibited oscillations with complex structure, and claimed to have found two transitions, in each of which the period approximately doubled, before the computations had to be stopped due to computational difficulties.

In [3] the model of [10] for finite \( N \) was studied numerically. A sinusoidal oscillation in the solution was found very close to the analytically predicted Hopf bifurcation point. There followed a progressive sharpening of the peaks leading to relaxation oscillations. A period-doubling transition was found, and evidence clearly indicated that the transition was due to a period-
doubling secondary bifurcation. This model was studied further in [19]. In view of the results in [1, 16] it might have been expected that additional period-doubling bifurcations would be found, with a possible transition to chaos. However, we showed that this is not the case for the parameter range studied. Our results are illustrated in figure 1a and can be summarized as follows: There is a very rapid growth and sharpening of the pulsation along the period-doubled solution branch. Beyond a certain value of \( p \), stable period-doubled solutions can no longer be computed. There is an interval of bistability in which singly and doubly periodic solutions are both stable, each with its own domain of attraction. No additional period doublings were found along the period-doubled solution branch for the range of parameter values considered, though they may occur for other parameter values.

We solve a model which is a generalization of the one employed in [13] in that it accounts for melting [10]. The reaction term is governed by global, one-step, irreversible Arrhenius kinetics, which is cut off at a certain distance ahead of the melting front.

To describe the model we let a tilde (\( \tilde{\cdot} \)) stand for a dimensional quantity, assume the front propagates in the \( -\tilde{x} \) direction and denote the location of the melting front by \( \tilde{x} = \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t}) \). If \( \tilde{T} \) and \( \tilde{C} \) respectively denote the temperature and concentration of a limiting component of the reactant, the model is described by the reaction-diffusion system

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\tilde{T}}{\tilde{t}} &= \lambda \tilde{\nabla} \tilde{T} + \left( \frac{\tilde{B}}{\alpha(\tilde{B} + \tilde{\gamma})} \right) \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta} \exp(-\tilde{E}/\tilde{R}\tilde{T}) \\
\frac{\tilde{C}}{\tilde{t}} &= -\left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta} \tilde{\gamma} \exp(-\tilde{E}/\tilde{R}\tilde{T}),
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.1)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{x} &= a, & \tilde{x} < \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t}) \\
\tilde{x} &= b, & \tilde{x} > \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{t}).
\end{align*}
\]

In (2.1) \( \lambda \) is the thermal conductivity, \( \tilde{\alpha} \) the rate constant, \( \tilde{\beta} \) the heat of reaction, \( \tilde{E} \) the activation energy, and \( \tilde{R} \) the gas constant. Because the fuel melts, the rate constant is multiplied by a factor \( \alpha > 1 \), due to the increased surface-to-surface contact in the liquid phase. Upon melting, the
heat of fusion $\gamma$ is absorbed by the fuel, but is released during the reaction so the product is in the solid phase. Thus behind the melting front we take the heat released to be $\tilde{\rho} + \tilde{\gamma}$. The function $g = g(\tilde{x} - \tilde{\delta}(\tilde{t}))$ cuts off the reaction term at some point ahead of the melting front. This is employed because the Arrhenius model for the reaction term does not vanish far ahead of the front, while in practice no significant reaction occurs prior to melting. In the computations we use

$$g(\tilde{x} - \tilde{\delta}(\tilde{t})) = \begin{cases} 1, & \tilde{x} - \tilde{\delta}(\tilde{t}) > Z_c \\ 0, & \tilde{x} - \tilde{\delta}(\tilde{t}) < Z_c \end{cases},$$

where $Z_c = -3$. We have found that the behavior of the solution is not sensitive to $Z_c$ in this range although the location of the period-doubled bifurcation point varies slightly with $Z_c$ [3]. In addition, no significant effect is found if a smoother functional form is used for the function $g$.

Across the melting front there is a jump in the heat flux, due to the absorption of the heat of fusion necessary to cause melting. The velocity $\tilde{\phi}_x$ of the melting front satisfies

$$\tilde{\phi}_x = \frac{-\chi}{\gamma_c m} [\tilde{T}_x],$$

where $\tilde{C}_m$ is the concentration at the melting surface and $[\tilde{T}_x]$ denotes the jump in $\tilde{T}_x$ across this surface. The boundary conditions for the system are given by

$$\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}_u, \tilde{T} = \tilde{T}_u, \text{ as } \tilde{x} \rightarrow -\infty,$$

$$\tilde{C} \rightarrow 0, \tilde{T} \rightarrow \tilde{T}_b, \text{ as } \tilde{x} \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where the subscripts $u$ and $b$ refer to unburned and burned, respectively. We observe that the burned temperature $\tilde{T}_b$ is derivable from the time-independent solution of the problem as $\tilde{T}_b = \tilde{T}_u + B \tilde{C}_u$.

We nondimensionalize by introducing
The reference velocity $\tilde{U}$ is the velocity of the uniformly propagating front in the asymptotic limit $N \gg 1$. We also introduce the moving coordinate system

$$z = x - \phi(t),$$

so that the position of the melting front is fixed at $z = 0$.

In terms of the nondimensionalized quantities, the system (2.1) becomes

$$\begin{align*}
C_t &= \phi_t C_z + \phi_z \left( \frac{1}{\alpha(1 + \gamma)} \right) gAC \exp \left( \frac{N(1 - \sigma)(\theta - 1)}{\sigma + (1 - \sigma)\Theta} \right), \\
C_t &= \phi_t C_z \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) gAC \exp \left( \frac{N(1 - \sigma)(\theta - 1)}{\sigma + (1 - \sigma)\Theta} \right),
\end{align*}$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$C + 1, \Theta \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad z \to -\infty,$$

$$C \to 0, \Theta \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad z \to +\infty.$$  

(2.5)

Note that the boundary condition $C \to 0$ as $z \to +\infty$ follows from (2.4). At the melting surface $z = 0$, the temperature $\Theta$ is fixed at $\Theta_m$, and the velocity of the surface is obtained from

$$[\Theta_z] + \gamma C(0) \phi_t = 0.$$  

(2.6)

The quantity $A = \lambda^2/\tilde{U}^2 \exp(-\tilde{E}/R\tilde{T}_b)$ is unknown and depends on the (unknown) velocity $\tilde{U}$. It can be determined by finding the solution corresponding to the steadily propagating front. An asymptotic ($N \gg 1$) expression for $A$ was derived in [10].

The solution of our problem will be shown to exhibit bifurcation phenomena as we vary the parameter $\nu = \Delta/2(1 - M)$, where $\Delta = N(1 - \sigma)$ and
\( M = \left[1 - \frac{1 + \gamma}{\alpha}\right] \exp[\Delta(\Theta - 1)]. \)

In order to have a model which is amenable to numerical computation, it is necessary to reduce the problem defined on an infinite domain to one on a finite domain. We introduce finite boundary points \( Z_L < 0, Z_R > 0 \) and require boundary conditions to impose at these points. Highly nonlinear waves are generated near the melting front \( (z = 0) \) and it is not obvious how to obtain a boundary condition which is absorbing with respect to these waves. We therefore require that the boundaries be placed sufficiently far away so as not to affect the dynamics of the solution. The numerical results were obtained with \( Z_R = Z_L = 12 \) and we verified that the solution was insensitive to further increases in these values.

At \( Z = Z_L \) we imposed the boundary conditions

\[
C(Z_L) = 1, \quad \Theta(Z_L) = 0. \tag{2.7}
\]

At \( Z = Z_R \) only a boundary condition on \( \Theta \) is required. We tested two boundary conditions. The first was

\[
\Theta(Z_R) = 1. \tag{2.8}
\]

The second boundary condition was an absorbing boundary condition using the dispersion relation at the analytically predicted Hopf bifurcation point. The analysis in [10, 13] showed that the temperature in the burned region had the form

\[
\Theta = 1 + e^{\frac{\lambda z}{\epsilon}} e^{i \omega t} \tag{2.9}
\]

where \( \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - (1 + 4i\omega)\right] \) and \( \epsilon \) is a measure of the deviation from the bifurcation point. At the bifurcation point \( \omega_0 = 1.029 \) and \( \lambda = -0.30902 - 0.63602i \). Guided by (2.9) we can derive a boundary condition by assuming the functional form

\[
\Theta = 1 + e^{\frac{\lambda z}{\epsilon}} f(\omega t + \frac{\lambda z}{\epsilon}),
\]

where \( f \) is an arbitrary function and \( \lambda = \ell_1 + i\ell_2 \). Differentiating this
expression, we obtain

\[ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\omega}{k_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{k_1 \omega}{k_2} (\theta - 1) \right). \tag{2.10} \]

For the boundary location \( Z_R = 12 \) we found that (2.7) and (2.10) gave virtually identical results. Using (2.10) we found that \( \theta \) oscillated around unity at \( Z = Z_R \) but typically the oscillation was of the order \( \pm 0.003 \). The computations presented here were obtained using (2.10).

The numerical procedure is based on an adaptive pseudo-spectral method introduced in [3]. For completeness we briefly describe this method. A more complete description of the pseudo-spectral method can be found in [4].

Consider the model equation

\[ u_t = au_{xx} + bu_x, \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1. \tag{2.11} \]

In the pseudo-spectral method the approximate solution \( u \) is expanded as a finite sum of Chebyshev polynomials

\[ u \sim u_j(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{J} a_n(t)T_n(x) \] \tag{2.12}

where

\[ T_n = \cos(n \cos^{-1} x) \]

is the \( n \)th Chebyshev polynomial. The expansion coefficients \( a_n \) are obtained by requiring (2.12) to solve (2.11) exactly at the collocation points

\[ x_j = \cos \left( \frac{j\pi}{J} \right), \quad j = 0,1,\ldots,J. \tag{2.13} \]

The implementation of the collocation procedure proceeds by observing that

\[ \frac{\partial^2 u_j}{\partial x^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{J} a_n(t)T_n(x) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{J} b_n(t)T_n(x), \tag{2.14} \]

where the coefficients \( \{b_n\} \) are related to the coefficients \( \{a_n\} \) by a well-known recursion relation. Further details can be found in [4].
In the computations, the intervals \([Z_L, O]\) and \([O, Z_R]\) are each mapped onto \([-1, 1]\) and the solutions are updated in time within each interval. The velocity of the melting front \(\phi_t\) is determined from (2.6). To determine \(\theta, C\) and \(\phi_x\), a semi-implicit time differencing scheme is used, which is described in detail in [3].

The temperature field exhibits a very rapid variation in a small region behind the melting front. This is the reaction zone in which the reaction term is significant, and outside of which it is not. For the pulsations considered here both the location and width of the reaction zone can vary dynamically.

In order to improve the effectiveness of the pseudo-spectral method behind the melting front, an adaptive procedure was developed in [3]. In this method we introduce a family of coordinate transformations

\[ x = q(s, a) : [-1,1] \rightarrow [-1,1], \quad (2.15) \]

where \(a\) is a parameter vector chosen so that in the new coordinate system the weighted second Sobolev norm

\[ I(q) = \int_{-1}^{1} w(s) (A |u_s| + B |u_s'| + C |u|) \, ds \quad (2.16) \]

is minimized. Here \(w(s) = (1-s^2)^{-1/2}\) is the Chebyshev weight function and for our specific computation we have taken \(A = B = 1, C = 0\). The functional (2.16) is minimized whenever \(\phi_t\) changes by more than a prescribed amount, and the solution interpolated to the new coordinate system where the integration proceeds. For the computations presented here the coordinate transformation

\[ q(s, a) = 4\pi \tan^{-1}(\alpha \tan \frac{\pi}{4} (s-1)) + 1, \quad (2.17) \]

with \(\alpha > 0\), is sufficient, although more general transformations can be used (see [2]). For this problem, (2.16) was used as an indicator of the numerical errors. A different functional, which appears to be more effective, was developed and implemented in [2] for a problem of gaseous combustion. Finally we note that adaptive finite difference methods for combustion problems are presented in [7, 8, 18].
We now describe the behavior of the solutions to the model (2.4)-(2.6), obtained from our numerical computations. The computed pulsating solutions were obtained by solving the time-dependent equations until a steady-state solution was achieved. As a result only stable solutions can be computed. The following parameters were fixed for all of the computations: $N = 50$, $\alpha = 1.7$, $\gamma = 0.5$ and $\phi = 0.8$. The bifurcation parameter $\mu$ was changed by varying $\sigma$. We have considered values of $\sigma$ in the range $0.8222 < \sigma < 0.8355$. This corresponds to a variation in $\mu$ between $4.208 < \mu < 4.535$. The analytically predicted bifurcation, using a $\delta$ function reaction term, occurs at $\mu = 4.236$. For the Arrhenius reaction term with the above parameters, sinusoidal oscillations first appear at a value of $\mu$ between 4.270 and 4.281.

Figure 1(a) Solution branches: $\Theta_{\text{max}}$ plotted against $\mu$. 
In the bifurcation diagram given in figure 1a, we summarize the different solution branches that have been found. In this bifurcation diagram the maximum value of \( \hat{0} \) over one cycle is plotted against \( \mu \). Three solution branches are indicated. On the first branch, corresponding to \( \mu < \mu_1 \), \( \hat{0}_{\text{max}} = 1 \) and there is no pulsation. This branch corresponds to uniformly propagating reaction waves. On the second branch, corresponding to \( \mu_1 < \mu < \mu_2 \), the solution is periodic with period \( T(\mu) \) and \( \hat{0}_{\text{max}} \) increases as indicated. On the third branch corresponding to \( \mu_2 < \mu < \mu_3 \), the solution has become doubly periodic with period \( 2T \). For \( \mu > \mu_3 \) solutions are again \( T \) periodic. These solutions can be continued to values of \( \mu \) \( < \mu_3 \). Thus
there is a value \( \mu^* \) with \( \mu_2 < \mu^* < \mu_3 \) such that \( \mu^* < \mu < \mu_3 \) is an interval of bistability in which the \( T \) periodic and 2\( T \) periodic solutions stably coexist, each with its own domain of attraction. We conjecture that the \( T \) periodic branch which exists for \( \mu^* < \mu \) is a continuation of the \( T \) periodic branch which exists for \( \mu_1 < \mu < \mu_2 \) and that the portion of this branch (represented by the broken curve in figure 1a) which exists for \( \mu_2 < \mu < \mu^* \) corresponds to unstable \( T \) periodic solutions. This is a conjecture since our numerical method can only compute stable branches of solutions.

A detailed illustration of the various solution types is presented below. We first discuss the nature of the transitions between the different branches. In general the solution near transition points is difficult to compute, since the equilibration time, i.e. the time for transients to decay, becomes very long. In addition numerical computations may not exhibit sharp bifurcation points even though such points may be present in the underlying analytical model. This is due to perturbations inherent in the numerical discretization which lead to the effect of imperfect bifurcation [12]. Therefore we did not attempt to determine the exact numerical values of the transition points. Our results are based on solutions which were validated by increasing the number of collocation points, i.e. increasing the resolution of the calculation.

Our computational results lead to the following conclusions about the nature of the transitions. The transition between the first two branches corresponds to a Hopf bifurcation at a value \( \mu_1 \) with \( 4.270 < \mu_1 < 4.281 \). Such a bifurcation was suggested by the linear stability analysis in [10] with \( \mu = 4.236 \) for a model with a \( \delta \) function reaction term. For \( \mu \) close to \( \mu_1 \), with \( \mu > \mu_1 \), the solution is nearly sinusoidal. As \( \mu \) increases the pulsations develop sharp and narrow spikes so that they take on the character of relaxation oscillations.

The transition between the second and third branches appears to occur via a supercritical period-doubling secondary bifurcation at \( \mu = \mu_2 \) with \( 4.456 < \mu_2 < 4.459 \). We conclude this because the solutions appear to approach the singly periodic solutions continuously as \( \mu \to \mu_2 \) from above. In addition the equilibration times become very long as \( \mu \to \mu_2 \).

We now describe the return to the \( T \) periodic branch. Stable period-doubled solutions are not found for \( \mu > \mu_3 \), with \( 4.521 < \mu_3 < 4.523 \). Stable \( T \) periodic solutions exist for \( \mu > \mu^* \) with \( 4.515 < \mu^* < 4.518 \) and they persist
for $\mu > \mu_3$. As stated above, we conjecture that these solutions are part of
the same solution branch as the original $T$ periodic solution for $\mu_1 < \mu < \mu_2$, and
that the portion of this branch given by $\mu_2 < \mu < \mu^*$ corresponds to
unstable solutions. We observe that there is a region of bistability for
$\mu^* < \mu < \mu_3$ where both singly and doubly periodic solutions are stable, each
having its own domain of attraction. A blow-up of this region is shown in
figure 1b. The $T$ periodic branch has been followed up to $\mu = 4.53516$. No
additional period doublings or other transitions have been found in this
region, though they may occur for other parameter values.

We now give a detailed description of the solutions that have been computed.
We found sinusoidal oscillatory solutions near $\mu = \mu_1$, which developed into
relaxation oscillations as $\mu$ increased. These relaxation oscillations were
characterized by a slow movement of the reaction zone followed by a very
rapid movement during which the temperature spiked over a very short time
interval. As $\mu$ is increased further the spikes occur over progressively
shorter intervals in time during which the temperature at the spike increases
dramatically.

We illustrate this behavior in figures 2a-2g where we plot $\rho$ as a function
of $t$ at a fixed value $z(z)$. For each value of $\mu$, $z(z)$ is chosen to be close
to the point where the maximum temperature in both space and time occurs.
We note that, by evaluating the global Chebyshev expansion at the given
point, we can compute the solution at any given value of $z$, even though the
collocation points adaptively change in time. We observe that the reaction
zone moves closer to the melting surface ($z = 0$) as $\mu$ increases.

In figure 2a we consider the case $\mu = 4.2597$. In this case there is no
pulsation and the solution is exhibited at the arbitrarily chosen point
$z = 0.5$ for 20 time units. In figures 2b and 2c we consider $\mu = 4.294$
($z = 0.5$) and $\mu = 4.454$ ($z = 0.2$). These are singly periodic solutions.
The growth of the temperature spike is apparent as is the narrowing of its
duration.

In figures 2d and 2e we consider $\mu = 4.466$ ($z = 0.123$) and $\mu = 4.51918$
($z = 0.026$). The figures illustrate the extremely rapid growth that occurs
along the doubly periodic solution branch. At $\mu = 4.51918$ there is
bistability and a stable singly periodic solution also exists. This solution
is shown in figure 2f ($z = 0.026$). Finally in figure 2g we illustrate the
case $\mu = 4.5352$ ($z = 0.026$) along the second stable part of the singly
periodic solution branch.
Figure 2(a) \( \theta \) at \( z = 0.25, \mu = 4.260 \), steady branch.
TEMPERATURE AT FIXED Z - SINGLY PERIODIC BRANCH

\[ \mu = 4.29416 \]

Figure 2(b) at \( z = 0.5, \mu = 4.294 \), singly periodic solution branch.
Figure 2(c) $\Theta$ at $z = 0.20$, $\mu = 4.454$, singly periodic solution branch.
TEMPERATURE AT FIXED Z - DOUBLY PERIODIC BRANCH

\[ \mu = 4.46631 \]

Figure 2(d) @ at \( z = 0.12, \mu = 4.466 \), doubly periodic solution branch.
TEMPERATURE AT FIXED Z - DOUBLY PERIODIC BRANCH

mu = 4.51918

Figure 2(e) @ at z = 0.026, u = 4.51918, doubly periodic solution branch.
TEMPERATURE AT FIXED Z - SINGLY PERIODIC BRANCH

$\mu = 4.51918$

Figure 2(f) @ at $z = 0.026$, $\mu = 4.51918$, singly periodic solution branch.
TEMPERATURE AT FIXED Z - SINGLY PERIODIC BRANCH

\[ \mu = 4.53516 \]

Figure 2(g) \( \Omega \) at \( z = 0.026, \mu = 4.5352 \), singly periodic solution.
The figures illustrate the rapid growth of the temperature spike as $\mu$ increases, and its extremely short duration. In figure 2g the temperature rises from $\theta = 1.97$ to $\theta = 2.51$ over a time interval of $1.6 \times 10^{-5}$. Very high numerical accuracy was required to resolve these spikes and to verify the periodicity of the pulsation.

The velocity $\dot{\phi} = \phi_t$ of the melting front undergoes a pulsation similar to that of the temperature. We illustrate this for $\mu = 4.51918$ for the doubly periodic solution (figure 3a) and the singly periodic solution (figure 3b). It can be seen that $\dot{\phi}$ increases by more than two orders of magnitude over a cycle. The temperature spike occurs slightly before the spike in $\dot{\phi}$. After the temperature spike, there is a rapid diffusion of heat into the colder material. This surge of heat then results in a rapid movement of the location of melting, as illustrated in figures 3a and 3b.

**VELOCITY OF MELTING FRONT - SINGLY PERIODIC BRANCH**

$\mu = 4.51918$

Figure 3(a) $\dot{\phi}, \mu = 4.51918$, doubly periodic solution branch.
VELOCITY OF MELTING FRONT - DOUBLY PERIODIC BRANCH

\[ \mu = 4.51918 \]

Figure 3(b) \( \phi, \mu = 4.51918 \), singly periodic solution branch.
In figures 4a-4d we plot spatial profiles of the temperature. In figure 4a, where there is no pulsation, the solution is shown at the final time of the computation. In figures 4b-4d the solution is shown at the time that $\phi$ spikes. The figures illustrate the localized nature of the reaction zone and the extremely rapid spatial variation of the solution. There is a sharpening of the temperature profiles, and a rapid growth of the maximum temperature, as $\mu$ increases along the bifurcation branches. We observe that the basic structure of the solution persists for all the values of $\mu$ considered. During the slow part of the pulsation, the temperature varies much more gradually.

**TEMPERATURE - STEADY BRANCH**

$\mu = 4.25972$

Figure 4(a) Spatial profile of $\Theta$, $\mu = 4.260$, no pulsation.
Figure 4(b) Spatial profile of $\theta$ when $|\dot{\theta}|$ is maximum, $\mu = 4.454$, singly periodic solution branch.
Figure 4(c) Spatial profile of $\theta$ when $|\phi|$ is maximum, $\mu = 4.51918$, doubly periodic solution branch.
Figure 4(d) Spatial profile of $\Theta$ when $|\phi|$ is maximum, $\mu = 4.51918$, singly periodic solution branch.
Figure 5 illustrates temperature profiles for a singly periodic solution at four different times. In the figure, $t_3$ is close to the time of the temperature spike, $t_1$ corresponds to the minimum of $|\psi|$, while $t_2$ and $t_4$ are points at which $|\psi| = 1$. A corresponding graph for a doubly periodic solution is similar.

Figure 5 Spatial profiles of $\varnothing$ at four different times, $\mu = 4.51918$, singly periodic solution branch.
3. GASEOUS FUEL COMBUSTION

We now consider the behavior of cellular flames stabilized by a line source of fuel [20]. Experimental observation of laminar flames show that in certain gaseous mixtures smooth flames often break up into cells [24]. Cellular flames, sometimes referred to as wrinkled flames, are characterized by periodic pointed crests along the flame front pointing in the direction of the combustion products. The pointed crests are connected by smooth troughs that are convex toward the fresh fuel. The temperature is higher at the troughs which therefore appear brighter and lower at the crests which appear darker. It is believed that the development of cellular flames is a stage in the transition from laminar to turbulent flame propagation.

In typical combustion problems the activation energies are large. As a result the reaction terms in the governing equations are significant only in a small region, termed the reaction zone. In this region the fuel is consumed and the products of combustion are formed. Ahead of the reaction zone the temperature is too low to sustain the reaction, while behind the reaction zone the fuel is essentially depleted and no reaction can occur. The extent of the reaction zone is $O(1/N)$ where $N$ is an appropriately non-dimensionalized activation energy. In the limit of infinite activation energy the reaction zone shrinks to a surface called the flame front. Across the flame front temperature and concentration are continuous but have discontinuous normal derivatives [26]. Thus cellular flames appear as a wrinkling of the flame front.

We consider problems where the reaction is governed by a deficient component which is consumed in the reaction zone. The specific problem studied here is that of a flame stabilized by a line source of fuel of strength $2\kappa L$. This problem was analyzed in [25] where a time-independent, axisymmetric solution valid in the limit of infinite activation energy was found. This solution is referred to as the basic solution. The analysis revealed that stationary cellular flames arose as bifurcations from the basic solution, when the Lewis number $L$, the ratio of heat conductivity to diffusivity of the limiting component of the reaction, was less than a critical value $L_c < 1$. In that case there was a value $\kappa_c(L)$ such that for $\kappa > \kappa_c(L)$ stable, stationary cellular flames existed.

Solutions on the cellular solution branches as $\kappa$ is increased further into the more fully nonlinear regime are studied by numerical computations. The
results of these computations, for a finite value of the activation energy, are presented here. Numerical studies of this problem appear in [20,21,22]. In [21] the transition from an axisymmetric solution to a cellular solution was illustrated as a bifurcation phenomenon as $L$ was decreased with $\kappa$ fixed. The nonlinear growth of these cells, as $L$ was further decreased, was also illustrated.

In [22] it was shown that for fixed $L$, as $\kappa$ increased, transitions could occur between cellular flames of different mode number. A bistable region was found where stable cellular flames of different mode number coexisted at the same parameter values, each with its own domain of attraction. A more complete numerical study of these transitions is presented in [20].

Our results can be best illustrated by referring to figures 6 and 7. In these figures we plot the maximum difference between the temperature and concentration of the cellular solution and the corresponding axisymmetric solution. We find that as $\kappa$ is increased beyond the first bifurcation point there is a transition to stable, stationary cellular flames of increasing mode number. We have computed stationary cellular solutions with mode numbers increasing from 3 to 6. Around each transition we find an interval of bistability.

Our numerical method is based on integrating the time-dependent equations of the model until a time-independent solution is obtained. As a result, we generally compute stable solutions and cannot give a precise characterization of the mechanism of the transition. In certain circumstances we can compute unstable solutions and illuminate the nature of the transition.

Typically modal transitions occur when cells of one mode number become unstable to angular perturbations of an adjacent mode number. Thus if the original modal solution branch continues beyond the transition point, these solutions can be computed using a time-dependent formulation, provided the computational domain is restricted to exclude the unstable modes. By restricting the angular domain to an angular sector we have been able to compute unstable modal solutions. Based on these computations, we conjecture that the transitions occur via subcritical bifurcations connecting the different unimodal solution curves. In the parameter range considered here, we do not find stable mixed mode solutions, although it is probable that unstable mixed mode solutions exist. For the parameter range considered, we have not found more than two stable cellular solutions coexisting at given
parameter values.

To describe the mathematical model, we let a tilde (\(\tilde{\cdot}\)) denote a dimensional quantity. We consider the following dimensional quantities: \(\tilde{T}_u, \tilde{T}_b\), the temperatures of the unburned mixture and the adiabatic flame temperature respectively; \(\tilde{C}_u\), the concentration of the deficient component of the unburned reactant (fuel); \(\tilde{E}\), the activation energy; \(\tilde{R}\), the gas constant; \(\tilde{\lambda}\), the thermal diffusivity; and \(\tilde{A}\), the rate constant. Nondimensional temperature and concentration are defined by

\[
C = \frac{\tilde{C}}{\bar{C}_u}
\]

\[
\Theta = \frac{(\tilde{T} - \tilde{T}_u)/(\tilde{T}_b - \tilde{T}_u)},
\]

where \(\tilde{T}\) is the temperature and \(\bar{C}\) is the concentration of the deficient component. The nondimensional activation energy is defined by

\[
N = \frac{\tilde{E}}{(\tilde{R}\tilde{T}_b)}.
\]

The spatial and temporal variables are nondimensionalized by

\[
t = \frac{\tilde{x}^2}{\tilde{v}}, \quad x_i = \frac{\tilde{x}_i}{\tilde{\lambda}},
\]

where \(\tilde{v}\) is the planar, adiabatic flame speed. Assuming an appropriately nondimensionalized flow field \(\tilde{U}\) and one-step irreversible Arrhenius kinetics, the equations of the diffusional thermal model of combustion are [26]

\[
\Theta_t = \Delta \Theta - \nabla \cdot \nabla \Theta + \Lambda C \exp\left[\frac{H(1-\sigma)}{\sigma + (1-\sigma)\Theta}\right]
\]

\[
C_t = \frac{\Lambda C}{\tilde{C}} - \nabla \cdot \nabla C - \Lambda C \exp\left[\frac{H(1-\sigma)}{\sigma + (1-\sigma)\Theta}\right].
\]

Here \(L\), the Lewis number, is the ratio of thermal conductivity to the diffusivity of the deficient component. The quantity \(\Lambda\) is called the planar flame speed eigenvalue and depends on the unknown reference velocity \(\tilde{v}\). In the limit \(M = N(1-\sigma)\) large the asymptotic expansion of \(\Lambda\) is known [23]:

30
\[
\Lambda = \mathcal{N}^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2L} + O(\frac{1}{M}) \right].
\] (3.2)

In our computations we use the leading order term in (3.2) to approximate \( \Lambda \) in (3.1). A different value of \( \Lambda \) will alter the spatial and temporal scales but will not change any patterns found for the solutions. The external flow field \( \mathcal{U} \) is taken as that of a line source of fuel of strength \( 2\pi \kappa \), i.e.

\[
\mathcal{U} = \frac{\kappa}{r} \hat{r},
\] (3.3)

where \( \hat{r} \) is a radial unit vector. The boundary conditions are

\[
\Theta = O(1), \quad r = 0, \quad C = 1 + O(1) - 0(1), \quad r = \infty.
\] (3.4)

It is characteristic of combustion problems that the activation energies are large and the reaction terms are important only in a narrow region called the reaction zone. In the limit \( N \gg 1, \ (1-\sigma) \ll 1, \ M \gg 1 \), the reaction zone shrinks to a surface \( r = \gamma(\phi) \), where \( \phi \) is the polar angle, called the flame front, across which the normal derivatives of \( \Theta \) and \( C \) are discontinuous with derived jump conditions [26]. In this limit the axisymmetric, stationary solution

\[
\Theta = \left( \frac{r}{\kappa} \right)^\kappa + O(1), \quad r \leq \kappa
\]

\[
\Theta = 1, \quad r \geq \kappa
\]

\[
C = 1 - O(1) + O(1/M)
\]

\[
\Psi = \kappa
\]

exists. The effect of the fuel source is to stabilize the front location at \( r = \kappa \). The solution (3.5) is called the basic solution.

The stability of (3.5) was analyzed in [25]. The basic solution is stable for \( L \) near unity. There exists a critical value of \( L \), \( L_c = 1 - O(1/M) \), such that if \( L < L_c \) the basic solution is unstable to angular perturbations for \( \kappa \).
sufficiently large, \( \kappa > \kappa_c(L) \). A weakly nonlinear analysis showed that small disturbances evolved into stationary cellular flames.

The behavior of the model (3.1) as the parameters move into the more fully nonlinear regime is the subject of this paper. The computations are for finite activation energy. In the numerical computations the boundary conditions (3.4) must be applied at artificial boundary points \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \).

We apply the boundary conditions

\[
\Theta(r_2) = C(r_1) = 1
\]

\[
\Theta(r_1) = C(r_2) = 0.
\]

In the computations presented here we chose \( r_1 = 2.4, r_2 = 30.4 \). The reaction zone was sufficiently far from the boundaries so that no significant sensitivity to the boundary location was observed.

The numerical method is based on an adaptive Chebyshev-Fourier pseudospectral discretization. In our work the radial coordinate system is varied adaptively to enhance resolution of the regions of rapid variation [2,3].

The interval \([r_1, r_2]\) is first mapped into the interval \([-1,1]\) by the linear transformation

\[
s = \frac{2r - (r_1 + r_2)}{r_2 - r_1},
\]

We then introduce the collocation points

\[
s_j = \cos \left( \frac{\pi j}{I} \right) \quad (j = 0, \ldots, J)
\]

\[
\phi_k = \frac{2\pi k}{K} \quad (k = 0, \ldots, K - 1)
\]

and approximate the solution by the Chebyshev-Fourier expansion

\[
\Theta(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{J} \sum_{|\ell| \leq K/2} \hat{\Theta}_{j,\ell} T_j(s) e^{i\ell\phi}
\]

with a similar expansion for \( C \). Here \( T_j(s) \) is the jth Chebyshev polynomial
The coefficients $\Theta_{j,k}$ in (3.8) are obtained by collocation, that is by requiring equations (3.1) to be exactly satisfied at the collocation points. In the pseudo-spectral method $\Theta_{j,k}$ are the unknowns; the expansion (3.8) is used only to compute derivatives at the collocation points.

In order to improve the resolution of the regions of rapid variation near the reaction zone, we adaptively transform the radial coordinate by a coordinate transformation

$$s = q(s;\alpha)$$

where $\alpha$ is a two-element vector. The specific form of the transformation is

$$q(s;\alpha) = \frac{4}{\pi} \tan^{-1}[\alpha_1 \tan(\frac{\pi}{4}(s'-1))] + 1$$

$$s' = \frac{\alpha_2 - s}{\alpha_2 s - 1}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2); \alpha_1 > 0$ and $-1 < \alpha_2 < 1$. The two parameters $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ provide the flexibility to move regions of rapid variation to the boundary ($\alpha_2$) and then expand these regions ($\alpha_1$). Other choices of coordinate transformation are possible.

The vector $\alpha$ (equivalently the radial coordinate system) is chosen adaptively so as to minimize a functional of the solution which measures the spectral interpolation error. This functional was introduced and described in [2]. For a function $f$ which is a weighted combination of $\Theta$ and $C$, we have

$$I(f) = \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1-s^2}} \left[ \Theta(1-s^2) \frac{3}{a_3} \right]^2 f \right\}^{1/2}.$$

The analysis and computations presented in [2] demonstrate that (3.9) is an effective measure of the spectral interpolation error. Owing to the angular dependence in the reaction zone, it would be more effective to choose $\alpha$ for
each value of $\phi$. Such a procedure is currently under development.

The equations are integrated in time until a stationary solution is achieved. The time marching procedure is a semi-implicit scheme using the backward Euler scheme with approximate factorization. To describe the time differencing we consider the model equation

$$ U_t = U_{xx} + U_{yy} + r(U). $$

Using a superscript to denote the time levels and the symbols $D_x$, $D_y$ to denote the approximate second derivative operators in the $x$ and $y$ directions respectively, we have

$$ \frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\Delta t} = D_x U^{n+1} + D_y U^{n+1} + r(U^n) $$

or if $\delta = U^{n+1} - U^n$,

$$ [I - \Delta t D_x - \Delta t D_y] \delta = \Delta t [D_x U^n + D_y U^n + r(U^n)]. \quad (3.10) $$

The matrix on the left-hand side of (3.10) can be approximately factored (up to $O(\Delta t^2)$) as

$$ [I - \Delta t D_x - \Delta t D_y] = [I - \Delta t D_x] [I - \Delta t D_y] + O(\Delta t^2), \quad (3.11) $$

and the solution is updated in time by inverting the factored matrix on the right-hand side of (3.11). Convergence to a steady state is monitored by examining the maximum of the residual over the grid, i.e. $\max_{j,k} [D_x U^n + D_y U^n + r(U^n)]$. Typically we require the maximum residual to decrease by 6-9 orders of magnitude. Convergence can be very delicate near a modal transition but is fairly rapid away from transition points.

In all of the calculations presented here the following parameters were held fixed:

$$ N = 20, \sigma = 0.615, L = 0.44, r_1 = 2.4, r_2 = 30.4. $$

Solution branches were computed with $\sigma$ as the parameter that was varied.
The first cellular transition was obtained by using as initial data the axisymmetric solution with an angular perturbation. Subsequent solutions were obtained by varying $\kappa$ using cellular data with a nearby value of $\kappa$ as initial data.

All of the computed results presented here were obtained with a grid of 141 radial collocation points and 128 angular collocation points. Clearly the effective angular resolution is less for cells of higher mode numbers. These computations were validated by computing solutions on coarser grids and verifying that there was very little change as the grid was coarsened. Generally the most difficult computations occur near transition points where a cellular solution is very weakly unstable to perturbations of an adjacent mode number. Near these points the amplitudes of all modes must be monitored carefully to ensure that there is not a weak instability which could lead to a modal transition if the solution was computed for a sufficiently long time. We have concentrated on localizing the transition points to intervals outside of which we are confident that we have a stable cellular solution. Our procedure is to follow a particular modal solution branch by varying $\kappa$. All other modes are monitored to ensure that they are not growing. When we come to a value of $\kappa$ where another mode shows persistent growth, so that the given modal solution can be judged unstable, we take that value of $\kappa$ as an upper (or lower) bound on the stable region of the solution branch.

In discussing the solution branches we refer to figures 6 and 7. In these figures we plot the maximum norm difference of the computed temperature (figure 6) and concentration (figure 7) between the cellular and the axisymmetric solution. The computed values are indicated by full circles in the figures. The unstable axisymmetric solution was computed using an axisymmetric version of the computer program so that angular perturbations were not present. The curves in the figure represent five solution branches, the axisymmetric branch and cellular solutions branches of mode numbers 3-6, respectively.
Figure 6 Maximum norm difference of between the computed cellular solution and the axisymmetric solution. Full circles represent actual computed values. Open circles correspond to unstable solutions.
Figure 7  Maximum norm difference of $C$ between the computed cellular solution and the axisymmetric solution. Full circles represent actual computed values. Open circles correspond to unstable solutions.
The axisymmetric solution branch is stable for \( k < k_1 \) where \( 8.3 < k_1 < 8.4 \). For \( k > k_1 \) with \( k - k_1 \) small, the solution with arbitrary initial data evolves to a stationary three-cell. This solution can be computed for \( k_1 < k < k_2 \) where \( 11.25 < k_2 < 11.70 \). At \( k = 11.70 \) initial data from a lower value of \( k \) evolved to a stationary four-cell. The four-cell solution branch can be continued for the range \( k_1 < k < k_3 \) where \( 10.0 < k_1 < 10.4 \) and \( 14.8 < k_3 < 15.2 \). The region \( k_1 < k < k_1 \) is a region of bistability in which both three- and four-mode cellular flames coexist, each with its own domain of attraction.

For \( k > k_2 \) initial data from a nearby solution branch evolved to a five-cell solution. The five-cell solution branch can be computed for \( k_2 < k < k_3 \) where \( 12.2 < k_2 < 12.7 \) and \( 17.1 < k_3 < 17.5 \). A six-cell solution branch exists and has been computed in the range \( 15.6 < k < 17.6 \). An upper limit for this branch has not been determined. It can be seen from the figures that the graphs of these branches are similar. We have not found values of \( k \) where more than two stable solutions coexist.

In figures 8, 9 and 10 we present perspective plots of \( \theta \) along the different solution branches. Each figure is for a value of \( k \) in a region of bistability. In figure 8 we plot the three-cell and four-cell solution at \( k = 11.0 \). In figure 9 we plot the four-cell and five-cell solution at \( k = 14.8 \). In figure 10 we plot the five-cell and six-cell solution at \( k = 15.7 \).
Figure 8 Bistable cellular flames; $\kappa = 11.0$.\[\textit{Figure 8 Bistable cellular flames; \(\kappa = 11.0\).}\]
Figure 9  Bistable cellular flames; $\kappa = 14.8$. 
Figure 10 Bistable cellular flames; $\kappa = 15.7$. 
The figures show a small region over which \( \theta \) drops rapidly from unity to zero. In the limit of infinite activation energy this region would shrink to the flame front. The subregion where the temperature begins to drop is called the reaction zone and is the region where the reaction term has the greatest effect on the solution. It is apparent from the figures that the primary effect of the cellular solution is to cause a wrinkling of the reaction zone. The wrinkling increases in spatial complexity with increasing mode number of the cell. In addition, the amplitudes of the wrinkles increase with increasing \( \kappa \), consistent with the behavior shown in figures 6 and 7 and the generally destabilizing effect of increasing \( \kappa \) found in the analysis of [25]. We point out that as \( \kappa \) increases the curvature of the flame front decreases. Other examples of a destabilizing effect of decreasing curvature have been found for other problems in combustion (for example, [23]).

We next consider the spatial behavior of a specific cellular solution. The analysis for the flame front model demonstrated that, along the flame front, the harmonic would combine with the fundamental in a manner that would produce a pattern of peaks and troughs. The peaks point in the direction of the burned region, i.e. toward the products of combustion, while the relatively flat troughs point toward the cold region, i.e. toward the fuel. This behavior is also present in experimentally observed cellular flames [24].

The computations exhibit a similar behavior. In figures 11a-e we plot temperature and concentration as a function of the polar angle \( \phi \) for various \( r \) locations. The results are for a six-cell with \( \kappa = 15.6 \). The figures show a large sinusoidal oscillation just ahead of the reaction zone. The characteristic crests and troughs of cellular flames appear only in a localized region where the overall oscillation is considerably reduced. This is consistent with the analysis in [25] which predicted a faster decay rate away from the front for the harmonics.
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Figure 11(a) θ and C as a function of ϕ. Six-cell solution, κ = 15.6, r = 11.11.
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Figure 11(b) $\circ$ and $\mathcal{C}$ as a function of $\phi$. Six-cell solution, $\kappa = 15.6$, $r = 11.78$. 
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Figure 11(c) \( \theta \) and \( C \) as a function of \( \phi \). Six-cell solution, \( \kappa = 15.6 \),
\( r = 12.92 \).
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Figure 11(d) $\Theta$ and $C$ as a function of $\phi$. Six-cell solution, $\kappa = 15.6$, $r = 13.88$. 
Figure 11(e) $\Theta$ and $C$ as a function of $\phi$. Six-cell solution, $\kappa = 15.6$, $r = 14.63$. 
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Lastly we consider the conclusions that can be inferred about the nature of the transitions. In view of the fact that the stationary cells are computed from a time marching algorithm, only stable solutions can be computed. The convergence to a stationary solution can be very deceptive. In figure 12 we plot the logarithm of the residual of the equation for \( \theta \) for the case of a transition between a four-cell and a three-cell at \( \kappa = 9.25 \). The initial data were a four-cell at a larger value of \( \kappa \). The horizontal axis is the maximum residual.

![Graph showing convergence history](image)

Figure 12 Convergence history for the transition from a four-cell to a three-cell at \( \kappa = 9.25 \).

The nature of the transition is clarified by noting that modal solutions can be computed beyond the transition points provided the computational domain is restricted to exclude the unstable angular perturbation. Specifically, we restricted the computation to the sector \( 0 \leq \phi \leq \frac{2\pi}{3} \) and...
enforced periodicity at $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = 2\pi/3$. In this way we were able to compute three-cell solutions beyond the transition point $\kappa_2$, at $\kappa = 11.70$ and 11.85. These points are indicated on figures 6 and 7 and demonstrate the continuous extension of the solution branch beyond the point at which it loses stability. Similarly we were able to compute four-cell solutions for values of $\kappa$ below the value $\kappa_1$ where the four-cell loses stability. Although we have not done this for the other solution branches, we believe that the behavior is similar. Since the solution branches exist beyond the points at which they lose stability, it appears that the modal transitions occur via subcritical bifurcations connecting the different modal solution branches.
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Two-parameter eigencurve theory

This lecture presents a survey of some recent results in two-parameter spectral theory developed by P.A. Binding and the author. Examples of eigenvalue problems in ordinary differential equations with two spectral parameters exist in the classical literature — the Mathieu equation is a well-studied case which readily comes to mind. The first part of the lecture contains an overview of properties of eigencurves in abstract problems, while the second part shows the realization of these theories when applied to second-order ordinary differential equations.

1. THE ABSTRACT PROBLEM

Let $H$ be a separable Hilbert space and in it consider operators $T$, $R$, $S$ as follows:

(i) $T: \text{dom}(T) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ : self-adjoint, bounded below and with compact resolvent,


The eigenvalue problem under consideration is that of finding $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ so that there is a nontrivial solution $y \in H$ of

$$(T - \lambda S + \mu R)y = 0.$$ 

There is no a priori guarantee that eigenvalues $(\lambda, \mu)$ exist, so we assume $R$ (or some linear combination of $R$ and $S$) to be strictly positive definite. It then is possible to assume without loss of generality that $R = I$ and so the eigenvalue problem becomes that of finding $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ so that there is $y \neq 0$ with

$$(T - \lambda S + \mu I)y = 0.$$ 

* Research supported in part by a grant from the NSERC of Canada.
Equivalently, given \( \lambda \) we seek \( \mu \) so that \( \mu \in \sigma(\lambda S - T) \). For any \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \), \( \sigma(\lambda S - T) \) is nonempty and consists of a sequence of eigenvalues \( \mu^n(\lambda) \) of finite multiplicity accumulating only at \( -\infty \). We index these eigenvalues variationally and thus have

\[
\mu^0(\lambda) \geq \mu^1(\lambda) \geq \mu^2(\lambda) \geq \ldots \tag{1}
\]

These are the so-called eigencurves we wish to study and this lecture details some of their basic properties.

The \( \mu^n(\lambda) \) are piecewise analytic: According to Kato's analytic perturbation theory, \( \lambda S - T \) has, for varying \( \lambda \), a sequence \( \mu^n_\alpha(\lambda) \) of analytic eigenvalues with a corresponding set of orthonormal eigenvectors \( y^n_\alpha(\lambda) \) also analytic in \( \lambda \). These curves may not have the desired ordering (1) but if we take the pointwise maximum and then the maximum but one and so on, we generate our \( \mu^n(\lambda) \) with the desired ordering. The points where our \( \mu^n(\lambda) \) fail to be analytic are the points where two or more of the \( \mu^n_\alpha \) cross. At points of analyticity

\[
\frac{d}{d\lambda} \mu^n(\lambda) = (y^n_\alpha(\lambda), y^n_\alpha(\lambda))
\]

with corresponding formulae for right- and left-hand derivatives holding for all values of \( \lambda \).

\( \mu^0(\lambda) \) is convex: i.e., \( \{ (\lambda, \mu) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \geq \mu^0(\lambda) \} \) is convex.

**DEFINITION:** \( \lambda \) is a critical point and \( (\lambda, \mu^i(\lambda)) \) a critical pair for \( \mu^i \) if

\[
(\mu^i)^+(\lambda)(\mu^i)^-(\lambda) \leq 0,
\]

and is degenerate if \( (\mu^i)^' = 0 \) is some neighbourhood of \( \lambda \).

The nondegenerate critical points for \( \mu^i \) have no finite accumulation.

**DEFINITION:** The form domain \( D(t) \) of \( T \). Select \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) large enough so that \( P = (T + \alpha)^{1/2} \) exists. Define \( D(t) = D(P) \) and, for \( x \in D(t) \),
t(x) = \|Px\|^2 - \alpha.

Alternatively, if \( T = \int \text{sd}(s) \), where \( E \) is the resolution of the identity for \( T \), then

\[
D(t) = \{ x \mid \int \text{sd}(E(s)x,x) < \infty \},
\]

\[
t(x) = \int \text{sd}(E(s)x,x).
\]

Note that \( t(x) \) is an extension of \((Tx,x), x \in D(T)\).

**DEFINITION:** \( D := N(S) \cap D(t) \), where \( N(S) \) is the null space of \( S \).

Condition \( N \) is said to hold if \( D = \{ 0 \} \).

If \( N \) holds there are no degenerate critical points, and if \( \gamma \) is real, the number of critical points \((\lambda, \mu)\) with \( \mu \geq \gamma \) is finite.

**ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES**

**DEFINITION:** \( u^n \) has \( (1,\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) as an asymptotic direction \((1,\alpha)\) as \( \lambda \to \infty \) if \( \alpha \) is an accumulation point of \( u^n(\lambda)/\lambda \) as \( \lambda \to \infty \).

If \( u^n \) has an asymptote of slope \( \alpha \), then \((1,\alpha)\) is the asymptotic direction for \( u^n \), but \( u^n \) may have an asymptotic direction without having an asymptote.

**DEFINITION:** If \( E \) is the resolution of the identity for \( S \) (i.e. \( S = \int \text{sd}(s) \)), define

\[
\alpha_+^i = \inf \{ \gamma \mid \text{dim } E(\gamma,\infty) \geq i \}.
\]

For example, if \( S \) has numerical range \([a,b]\) and \( b \) is a point of continuous spectrum then \( \alpha_+^i = b \) for all \( i \), while if \( b \) is an isolated eigenvalue of multiplicity \( k \), then \( \alpha_+^i = b \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, k-1 \).

(i) \( u^i \) has \((1,\alpha_+^i)\) as its (unique) asymptotic direction as \( \lambda \to \infty \).
We now investigate the problem of characterizing the case in which \( \mu^0 \) has an asymptote as well as that of finding the equation of the asymptote.

It is convenient to use new (skew) coordinates \((\widetilde{\lambda},\widetilde{\mu})\) related to \((\lambda,\mu)\) via

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\widetilde{\lambda} \\
\widetilde{\mu}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\sec \theta & 0 \\
-t\tan \theta & 1
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\lambda \\
\mu
\end{bmatrix},
\]

which corresponds to rotating the \(\lambda\)-axis through an angle \(\theta\). Then

\[
T - \lambda S + \mu I = T - \lambda S(\theta) + \mu I
\]

where

\[
S(\theta) = (\cos \theta)S - (\sin \theta)I.
\]

We intend using \(\vartheta = \tan^{-1}(\alpha_i^j)\). We then see that without loss of generality we can return to the case

\[(T - \lambda S + \mu I)y = 0, \quad \alpha_i^j = 0.\]

Thus the problem now is to find conditions under which

\[
\mu^i(\lambda) \to \text{constant as } \lambda \to \infty
\]

and to determine the constant.

With \(S = \int sdE(s)\) we put \(N_+(S) = E(0,\infty)H, N(S) = \text{null space of } S,\)

\(N_-(S) = E(-\infty,0)H, D_+ = N_+(S) \cap D(t), \dim N_+ = d_+, D = N(S) \cap D(t).\) The conditions we require are given in terms of the dimensions of these spaces.

(i) If \(\dim N_+(S) > i\) then \(\mu^i(\lambda) \to \infty\) as \(\lambda \to \infty\). (This is true for general values of \(\vartheta\) but with \(\alpha_i^j = 0\) we have \(\dim N_+(S) \leq i\).)

(ii) If \(\dim N_+(S) \leq i\) and \(\dim (D \cup N_+) \leq i\), then \(\mu^i(\lambda) \to -\infty\) as \(\lambda \to \infty\).

(iii) If \(\dim N_+(S) \leq i\) and \(\dim (D \cup N_+) > i\) then \(\mu^i(\lambda) \to \text{constant as } \lambda \to \infty\).

Thus result (iv) characterizes the case in which an asymptote exists.
DEFINITION:

\[ \tau^j = \min \{ \max \{ t(u) \mid \|u\| = 1, u \in F \} \mid F \subset D, \dim F = j + 1 \} \]

\[ = \max \{ \min \{ t(u) \mid \|u\| = 1, u \in E^\perp \cap D \} \mid \dim E = j, E^\perp \cap D \neq \{0\} \}. \]

These quantities are defined for \( j = 0, \ldots, \dim D - 1 \). They are "stationary" values of \( t \) restricted to \( D \).

If \( \dim N_+(S) \leq i \) and \( \dim (E \oplus N_+) > i \), then

\[ \mu^i_\lambda \to -\tau \text{ as } \lambda \to \infty. \]

This gives the equation of the asymptote.

In terms of the original \( (\lambda, \mu) \) coordinates we require \( S \) to have \( \alpha^i_\lambda \) as an eigenvalue with eigenspace \( E^i_\lambda \). The asymptote has equation

\[ \mu = \alpha^i_\lambda - \tau \text{ of } E^i_\lambda. \]

2. ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF SECOND ORDER

Here we take \( H = L^2([a,b]) \),

\[ D(T) = \{ y \in H \mid y' \in AC, y'' \in H, \text{ and boundary conditions are satisfied} \} \]

\[ Ty = -y'' + qy, \]

where \( q \in L^\infty([a,b]) \) is given. We are also given \( s \in L^\infty([a,b]) \) and define

\[ (Sy)(x) = s(x)y(x). \]

The eigenvalue problem is

\[ -y'' + qy - \lambda sy + \mu y = 0. \]

Various boundary conditions can be used:
(S) \ y(a) \cos \alpha + y'(a) \sin \alpha = 0, \ 0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi ,
\ y(b) \cos \beta + y'(b) \sin \beta = 0, \ 0 < \beta \leq \pi ,

(P) \ y(a) = y(b), \ y'(a) = y'(b),

(A-P) \ y(a) = -y(b), \ y'(a) = -y'(b),

With S \ defined \ as \ above \ we \ have
\ a^0_+ = \alpha = \text{ess sup } \ s(x), \ \forall i.

vi. \ x \in [a,b]

All eigencurves \mu_i^j\ have \ the \ same \ asymptotic \ direction \ (1,\omega) \ and \ further,\ either \ \forall i \ \mu_i^j \ have \ asymptotes \ or \ none \ do.

\mu_i^j \ has \ an \ asymptote \ if, \ and \ only \ if, \ s \ achieves \ its \ essential \ supremum \ on \ some \ interval(s). \ The \ key \ set \ is \ the \ "essential \ interior" \ of \ s^{-1}(\alpha) \ given \ by \ \Omega = \{x \mid s(\omega) = \alpha \ for \ almost \ all \ \omega \ \in \ some \ neighbourhood \ of \ x\}.

This \ set \ is \ open \ and \ can \ be \ written \ as \ a \ union \ of \ disjoint \ intervals
\ \Omega = \bigcup_i (a_i, b_i).

A \ characterization \ of \ the \ form \ domain \ D(t) \ for \ \mathcal{T} \ has \ been \ given \ by \ Hinton [3] \ as \ well \ as \ a \ formula \ for \ the \ quadratic \ form \ t(y), \ y \in D(t). \ This \ quadratic \ form \ consists \ of \ the \ usual \ Dirichlet \ integral \ plus \ a \ term \ arising \ from \ the \ boundary \ conditions. \ We \ use \ standard \ variational \ theory \ applied \ to \ the \ minimax \ process \ for \ finding \ the \ quantities \ \tau^j_i. \ It \ turns \ out \ that \ to \ calculate \ the \ \tau^j_i \ one \ solves \ the \ eigenvalue \ problem
\ -y'' + qy = \tau y

on \ the \ intervals \ (a_i, b_i) \ in \ \Omega \ subject \ to \ boundary \ conditions \ as \ follows:

(a) \ We \ use \ a \ Dirichlet \ condition \ at \ any \ a_i, b_i \neq a, b, \ and \ in \ addition
(b) \ we \ retain \ (S) \ if \ \mathcal{T} \ had \ been \ given \ via \ (S).
(c) \ We \ use \ y(a) = y(b) \ if \ \mathcal{T} \ had \ been \ given \ via \ (P).
We use $y(a) = -y(b)$ if $T$ had been given via (A-P).

**EXAMPLE:** Consider Hill's equation

$$-y'' - \lambda \psi + \mu y = 0 \text{ on } [-1,1]$$

with periodic boundary conditions $y(-1) = y(1)$, $y'(-1) = y'(1)$. We take $s(x)$ as

$$s(x) = 0 \text{ on } [-1,-1/2] U [1/2,1]$$

$$s(x) = 1 \text{ on } (-1/2,1/2).$$

Clearly $\nu = 1$ and $\Omega = (-1/2,1/2)$. Thus the eigencurves have asymptotes and we calculate the $\gamma^i$ by

$$-y'' = \gamma y \text{ on } [-1/2,1/2]$$

subject to Dirichlet conditions to give

$$\gamma^i = (j+1)^2 \pi^2, \ j = 0,1,2,\ldots.$$  

Thus the asymptotes for the eigencurves are

$$\omega = \lambda - (j+1)^2 \pi^2.$$  

Other examples can be given.

Details of these and further results can be found in [1,2].
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On eigenvalue problems associated with fractal domains

It has been known for more than two centuries (Euler, Lagrange) that the vibrations of a membrane $\Omega$ are described by the wave equation

$$\partial_t^2 u(x,t) = c\Delta u(x,t), (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

with some limit conditions. Therefore, the determination of the characteristic frequencies of $\Omega$ leads to the following eigenvalue problem:

$$(P_0) \quad \begin{align*}
-\Delta u &= \lambda u \text{ in } \Omega \\
u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}$$

Problem $(P_0)$ arises also if we study the evolution of temperature in a medium, described by the heat equation.

When $\Omega$ is a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, $(P_0)$ has an infinite number of eigenvalues:

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_j \leq \lambda_{j+1} \leq \ldots \text{, } \lambda_j \xrightarrow{j \to \infty} +\infty.$$

Here, each eigenvalue is repeated according to its (algebraic) multiplicity.

An analogous result holds for the Neumann problem:

$$(P_1) \quad \begin{align*}
-\Delta u &= \lambda u \text{ in } \Omega \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}$$

Problems $(P_0)$ and $(P_1)$ are considered in their variational form; in other words, we say that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $(P_0)$ (resp. $(P_1)$) if there exists a nonzero $u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ (resp. $H^1_0(\Omega)$) satisfying $-\Delta u = \lambda u$ in the distributional sense.

Here $H^1(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space of order 1, and $H^1_0(\Omega)$ is the completion of $C^0_0(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm of $H^1(\Omega)$. 
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1. WEYL'S FORMULA

We are interested in the asymptotics of \( \lambda_j \) as \( j \) tends to \(+\infty\), or what is equivalent, in the asymptotics, as \( \lambda \) tends to \(+\infty\), of the "counting function" \( N(\lambda) \), also denoted more precisely by \( N_1(\lambda, \partial \Omega) \) (\( i = 0 \) or \( 1 \) according to the boundary conditions), the number of eigenvalues of \( (P_i) \) less than \( \lambda \):

\[
N(\lambda) = N_1(\lambda, \partial \Omega) = \#\{\lambda_j \leq \lambda\}.
\]

This problem was introduced by Lorentz and Jeans in the study of electromagnetic radiation theory.

It was proved in Weyl (1911) that, for \( \partial \Omega \) smooth enough,

\[
N_1(\lambda, \partial \Omega) \sim (2\pi)^{-n} \omega_n |\Omega|^{1/n}, \text{ as } \lambda \to +\infty,
\]

where \( \omega_n \) denotes the volume of the unit ball in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), and \( |\cdot|_n \) stands for the \( n \)-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

1.1 A COUNTEREXAMPLE: Indeed, it was proved in Hetivier (1976, 1977) that (1) always holds for the Dirichlet problem \( (P_0) \) when \( \partial \Omega \) is bounded. For the Neumann problem, (1) holds only when the boundary \( \partial \Omega \) is not "too long"; it holds in particular if \( \Omega \) satisfies the "strong cone property". Moreover, the necessity of such a condition is established by the following counterexample (Fleckinger and Hetivier, 1973):

For a given positive number \( s \), let us define

\[
\Omega_s = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \in (0,1); 0 < y < 1 + \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}} j^{-s} 1_{I_j}(x)\}
\]

where \( \{I_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \) is an infinite sequence of disjoint open intervals in \((0,1)\) and where \( 1_{I_j} \) denotes the defining function of the set \( I_j \):

\[
1_{I_j}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in I_j, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin I_j. \end{cases}
\]

For this set, when \( 0 < s < 1/2 \), we have

\[
N_1(\lambda, \partial \Omega_s) \approx \lambda^{1/2s}, \text{ as } \lambda \to +\infty.
\]
The symbol $\asymp$ means that there exist two positive constants $c'$ and $c''$ such that

$$c'^{1/2s} \leq N_1(\lambda_s-\Delta,\Omega_s) \leq c''^{1/2s}, \text{ for all } \lambda \text{ large enough.}$$

Therefore, in this example, Weyl's formula does not hold and $\lambda^{-1}N(\lambda) \not\to \infty$ as $\lambda \to \infty$.

1.2. A RECTANGLE: When $\Omega$ is a rectangle $(0,a) \times (0,b)$, (1) is very easy to establish, since, in this case, the positive eigenvalues of $(P_i)$ are known:

$$\lambda_{p,q} = p^2 \pi^2 a^{-2} + q^2 \pi^2 b^{-2}, \text{ with } (p,q) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^*.$$ 

Hence, $N_1(\lambda_s-\Delta,\Omega)$ is the number of pairs of integers which are inside a quarter ellipse; it is an old result of number theory (Gauss, 1876) that this number is proportional to the area of this quarter ellipse, and, therefore, (1) holds when $\Omega$ is a rectangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

This can be extended to a hyperrectangle in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

1.3. EXTENSIONS: When $\Omega$ is a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, it is possible to prove (1) by the "Weyl-Courant method" which consists of cutting $\mathbb{R}^n$ into cubes and approximating $\Omega$ by a union of cubes (see e.g. Courant and Hilbert (1953) or Reed and Simon (1978)). Throughout the proof, the following two results are used:

$$N_0(\lambda_s-\Delta,\Omega) \geq N_0(\lambda_s-\Delta,\Omega') \text{ if } \Omega \supseteq \Omega'. \quad (2)$$

If $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ are two disjoint open sets in $\Omega$, with $\Omega = \overline{\Omega_1} \cup \overline{\Omega_2}$, then

$$N_0(\lambda_s-\Delta,\Omega_1) + N_0(\lambda_s-\Delta,\Omega_2) \leq N_0(\lambda_s-\Delta,\Omega) \leq N_1(\lambda_s-\Delta,\Omega)$$

$$\leq N_1(\lambda_s-\Delta,\Omega_1) + N_1(\lambda_s-\Delta,\Omega_2).$$

This formula is known as "Dirichlet-Neumann bracketing" by physicists.
2. INFLUENCE OF THE BOUNDARY AND APPLICATIONS IN INDUSTRY

When (1) is established, two questions arise naturally.

(i) Is it possible to estimate the "remainder term" $N_i(\lambda_+,\Delta,\Omega) - \phi(\lambda,\Omega)$ where $\phi(\lambda,\Omega)$ denotes "Weyl's term":

$$\phi(\lambda,\Omega) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{|\Omega|^{\frac{n}{2}}} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

(ii) Since the volume $|\Omega|^n$ can be deduced from the knowledge of the spectrum, are other geometrical attributes available with this knowledge?

This was summarized in a famous paper (Kac, 1966) entitled: "Can one hear the shape of a drum?" Indeed, it is impossible to determine $\Omega$ completely just from knowledge of the eigenvalues since there exist isospectral drums in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 4$, which are not isometric (Urakawa, 1982). Nevertheless, the asymptotics of the eigenvalues determine the "length" of the boundary (or more generally its measure, $|\partial \Omega|_{n-1}$), the number of angles, the number of "holes",... (see e.g. Mckean and Singer (1967) and Sleeman and Zayed (1983)). Note that the asymptotics of the eigenvalues are derived from the asymptotics of the counting function $N(\lambda)$ as well as from the asymptotics of $Z(t)$ as $t \to 0$, where

$$Z(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-\lambda_j t}.$$

The estimates on $Z(t)$ are derived from the estimates on the heat kernel associated with our problems ($P_i$).

If $\partial \Omega$ is smooth enough, the following estimate holds (Seeley, 1976; Ivrii, 1980; Hörmander, 1985):

$$N_i(\lambda,\Delta,\Omega) = \phi(\lambda,\Omega) + \gamma_i |\partial \Omega|_{n-1} \lambda^{(n-1)/2} + o(\lambda^{(n-1)/2}), \text{ as } \lambda \to +\infty,$$

where $\gamma_i$ is a number which depends only on $n$ and $i$ ($i = 0$ or $1$). This result is usually established by a Fourier transform of the spectrum and by use of the Fourier-integral operators.

This result, which is of course very important from a mathematical point of view, also has important applications in industry. It is obvious that a "body" $\Omega$ has the same volume $|\Omega|^n$ when it is cracked, but it then has a more
important boundary \( \partial \Omega \). Therefore, any variation in the vibratory response of a body - e.g. the driving shaft of a power plant - indicates a variation in the geometry of the body and hence, it is possible, just by sending vibrations, to detect any crack.

Indeed, it is possible to guess, by use of the counterexample exhibited above, that in some problems the boundary can play an important role, even more important in the counting function than Weyl's term. In the following we will be concerned with "fractal boundaries", which are precisely such that \(|\partial \Omega|_{n-1} = +\infty\).

3. **ASYMPTOTICS OF THE EIGENVALUES OF THE DIRICHLET LAPLACIAN ON AN OPEN SET WITH FRACTAL BOUNDARY**

In 1979, the British physicist M. Berry, studying the scattering of waves by "fractals", suggested substituting in the second term of (5) the Hausdorff measure and dimension of the boundary \( \partial \Omega \) for its Lebesgue measure and for \((n-1)\) (Berry, 1979, 1980). This measure was introduced in 1919 by Hausdorff in the following way.

For \( h \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \), we set

\[
H(\partial \Omega, h, \epsilon) = \inf \sum_{i \in I} r_i^h,
\]

where the infimum is taken over the set of coverings of the boundary \( \partial \Omega \) by balls \( \{B_i\}_{i \in I} \) with radii \( r_i < \epsilon \).

The function \( \epsilon \to H(\partial \Omega, h, \epsilon) \) decreases with \( \epsilon \), and the Hausdorff \( h \)-dimension of \( \partial \Omega \) is

\[
H_h(\partial \Omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} H(\partial \Omega, h, \epsilon).
\]

Moreover, \( h_0 = h_0(\partial \Omega) \) defined by

\[
H_h(\partial \Omega) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } h < h_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } h > h_0 \end{cases}
\]

is called the Hausdorff dimension of \( \partial \Omega \).

This Hausdorff dimension has been widely studied since (Mandelbrot, 1982) and it seems to be the most popular dimension among mathematicians. Neverthe-
less, it was proved in Brossard and Carmona (1966), with the help of a counterexample consisting of a union of cubes, that Berry's conjecture may fail with the Hausdorff dimension, and they suggested replacing it by Minkowski's one.

This Minkowski dimension has been introduced in different ways by many authors, and therefore it has different names such as "Cantor-Minkowski dimension", "ordre dimensionnel" (by Bouligand), "Bouligand dimension", "logarithmic density", "box counting dimension", "Kolmogorov entropy", .... These definitions are equivalent (Bouligand, 1928; Tricot, 1981). We give here some of them.

For a given positive number \( c \), we set

\[
\Omega_c = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid d(x, \partial \Omega) < c \}
\]

where \( d(x, \partial \Omega) \) denotes the Euclidian distance of \( x \) to the boundary \( \partial \Omega \). We then consider the positive numbers \( d \) such that

\[
\lim_{c \to 0} c^{-(n-d)} |\Omega_c| = 0,
\]

and we define \( \delta := \delta(\partial \Omega) \), the Minkowski dimension of \( \partial \Omega \), as

\[
\delta = \sup \{ d \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid \lim_{c \to 0} c^{-(n-d)} |\Omega_c| = 0 \}.
\]

We also have (Bouligand, 1928)

\[
\delta = \inf \{ d > 0 \mid \lim_{c \to 0} \sup c^d n(c, \partial \Omega) = 0 \},
\]

where \( n(c, \partial \Omega) \) denotes the minimal number of balls with radius \( c \) which are necessary to cover \( \partial \Omega \). Therefore \( \delta \) can also be introduced as an "entropy":

\[
\delta = \lim_{c \to 0} \sup c^{-\ln n(c, \partial \Omega)} \ln c.
\]

Let us consider a "grid" in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) where the cubes \( (Q_c)_{c \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \), for a given integer \( N \), are such that
A "maille utile" for Bouligand is a cube $Q_{\zeta}$ which intersects the boundary $\partial \Omega$, and, if $m(N^{-1}, \partial \Omega)$ denotes the number of "mailles utiles", we have

$$\delta = \lim_{N \to +\infty} \frac{\ln m(N^{-1}, \partial \Omega)}{\ln N}.$$ 

Equivalently, in computer science, the "pixels" are defined by $A_{\zeta} = (\zeta_k/N)_{k=1,...,n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, when the associate cubes $Q_{\zeta}$ intersect the boundary.

Other definitions of the Minkowski dimension are possible (see e.g. Tricot, 1981). We also mention the following inequality which was used in Brossard and Carmona (1986); with the above notations, we have

$$h_0(\partial \Omega) \leq \delta(\partial \Omega).$$

In Lapidus and Fleckinger-Pellé (1987, 1988), the following result is established:

**THEOREM 1:** If $\Omega$ is bounded and if $\partial \Omega$ is fractal, with Minkowski dimension $\delta \in (n-1,n)$, we have

$$N_0(\lambda, -\Delta, \Omega) = (2\pi)^{-n} \omega_n |\Omega|_n \lambda^{n/2} + O(\lambda^{1/2}), \text{ as } \lambda \to +\infty.$$ 

This result has been extended in Lapidus (1988) to more general elliptic operators and to Neumann boundary value problems.

Here we shall prove:

**THEOREM 2:** If $\Omega$ is bounded and if $\partial \Omega$ is $\delta$-Minkowski measurable, with $\delta$-Minkowski measure $\mu$ satisfying

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} c^{-(n-\delta)} |\Omega|_n = \mu,$$ 

then there exist two positive numbers $\lambda_0$ and $c(n,\delta)$ such that

$$|N_0(\lambda, -\Delta, \Omega) - \phi(\lambda, \Omega)| \leq c(n,\delta) \mu \lambda^{\delta/2}, \text{ for all } \lambda \geq \lambda_0.$$
In the following we will introduce a positive number \( \epsilon_0 \) such that, for all \( \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0) \),
\[
\epsilon^{-(n-5)}|\Omega_{\epsilon}| \leq \Lambda_1. \tag{10}
\]

Then, we choose \( p_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that
\[
2^{-p_0} \leq \epsilon_0. \tag{11}
\]

4. PROOF

We proceed as in M étivier (1976, pp. 36-37), M étivier (1977, pp. 197-199) or Lapidus and Fleckinger (1987).

For each integer \( p \), we consider as in Courant and Hilbert (1953) a tesselation of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) into congruent and non-overlapping cubes \( (Q_{\epsilon_p})_p \epsilon_p \mathbb{Z}^n \) with side \( \eta_p = 2^{-p+p_0} \). We define by induction
\[
A_0 = \{ \epsilon_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid Q_{\epsilon_0} \subset \Omega \} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_0 = \bigcup_{\epsilon_0 \in A_0} Q_{\epsilon_0}; \quad \Omega_0^p = \sqrt{\eta_0} Q_0.
\]
\[
A_1 = \{ \epsilon_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid Q_{\epsilon_1} \subset \Omega_0^p \} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_1 = \Omega_0^p \cup (\bigcup_{\epsilon_1 \in A_1} Q_{\epsilon_1}); \quad \Omega_1^p = \sqrt{\eta_1} \Omega_0^p.
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
A_p = \{ \epsilon_p \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid Q_{\epsilon_p} \subset \Omega_{p-1}^p \} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_p = \Omega_{p-1}^p \cup \bigcup_{\epsilon_p \in A_p} Q_{\epsilon_p}; \quad \Omega_p^p = \sqrt{\eta_p} \Omega_{p-1}^p.
\]

We also define for each integer \( p \)
\[
B_p = \{ \epsilon_p \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid Q_{\epsilon_p} \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset; \quad Q_{\epsilon_p} \cap \Omega_p = \emptyset \} \quad \text{and} \quad R_p = \bigcup_{\epsilon_p \in B_p} Q_{\epsilon_p}.
\]

We first make some simple observations.

**Remark 1:** \( \Omega_p^p = \Omega_{\epsilon_p} \) with \( \epsilon_p = \sqrt{\eta_p} \eta_p = 2^{-p+p_0} \); the set \( \Omega_{\epsilon} \) has been defined by (6). Moreover
\[
R_p \subset \Omega_{\epsilon_p}.
\]
REMARK 2: Since $\sqrt{n} \eta_p \leq c_0$ for all integer $p$, we deduce from (10) and (11) and from Remark 1 that

$$\# A_p \eta_p^n \leq |\Omega_{p-1}| n \leq |\Omega_{p+1}| n \leq 2\nu n(n-\delta)/2(\eta_{p-1})^{n-\delta}.$$  

Hence

$$\# A_p \eta_p^n \leq \gamma_1 \mu_{-\delta}$$  

with

$$\gamma_1 = 2 (2\nu n)^{(n-\delta)}.$$  

An analogous calculation shows that

$$\# B_p \eta_p^n \leq \gamma_1 \mu_{-\delta}^{-\delta}.$$  

REMARK 3: Since the positive eigenvalues of $(P_2)$ on a cube $Q_{c_p}$ with side $\eta_p$ are $\pi^2 \eta_p^2 (q_1^2 + q_2^2 + \ldots + q_n^2)$, with $q_j \in \mathbb{N}$, for any integer

$$p \geq p := \max(q \in \mathbb{N} \mid \pi^2 \eta_p^2 < \lambda) = \max(q \in \mathbb{N} \mid 2^{q+p_0} < \pi^2 \lambda^{1/2}),$$

we have

$$N_i(\lambda, -\Delta, Q_{c_p}) = 0.$$  

During the proof, we also make use of the following estimate (Courant and Hilbert, 1953, Section VI.4, or Reed and Simon, 1978, Proposition 2, pp. 266-267).

PROPOSITION 1: There exists a positive constant $c'$, depending only on $n$, such that, for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, with side $n$, and for all $\lambda > 0$

$$|N_i(\lambda, -\Delta, Q) - \phi(\lambda, Q) | \leq c'[1 + (\lambda n^2)^{(n-1)/2}].$$
It follows from (2), (3) and Remark 3 that

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{p} \sum_{c_k \in A_k} N_0(\lambda, -\Delta, \zeta_{c_k}) \leq N_0(\lambda, -\Delta, \Omega) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{p} \sum_{c_k \in A_k} N_1(\lambda, -\Delta, \zeta_{c_k}) + \sum_{c_p \in B_p} N_1(\lambda, -\Delta, \zeta_{c_p}). \]

Then, by subtracting "the Weyl's term" \( \phi(\lambda, \Omega) \), we obtain

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{p} (# A_k)[N_0(\lambda, -\Delta, \zeta_{c_k}) - \phi(\lambda, \zeta_{c_k})] - \phi(\lambda, \Omega) \]

\[ \leq N_0(\lambda, -\Delta, \zeta) - \phi(\lambda, \zeta) \]

\[ \leq \sum_{k=0}^{p} (# A_k)[N_1(\lambda, -\Delta, \zeta_{c_k}) - \phi(\lambda, \zeta_{c_k})] + (\# B_p)[N_1(\lambda, -\Delta, \zeta_{c_p}) - \phi(\lambda, \zeta_{c_p})] \]

\[ + \delta(\lambda, \zeta_{c_p}) + (\# B_p) \phi(\lambda, \zeta_{c_p}) - \phi(\lambda, \Omega). \]

We first examine the "interior term":

\[ T_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{p} (# A_k) [N_1(\lambda, -\Delta, \zeta_{c_k}) - \phi(\lambda, \zeta_{c_k})]. \]

By use of Proposition 1, we obtain

\[ |T_1| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{p} (# A_k)[1 + (\lambda \eta_k)^{2(n-1)/2}]. \]

Then, by Remarks 2 and 3, we have

\[ |T_1| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{p} \gamma_1 w_k \gamma_{c_k} [1 + (\lambda \eta_k)^{2(n-1)/2}] \leq \gamma_1 \mu \left( \frac{1}{2 \delta - 1} + \frac{1}{2 \delta - (3-\eta)^{n-1}} \right) \delta'^{1/2}. \]

An analogous calculation for the "boundary term"

\[ T_2 = (\# B_p) [N_1(\lambda, -\Delta, \zeta_{c_p}) - \phi(\lambda, \zeta_{c_p})]. \]
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gives a similar estimate:

\[ |T_2| \leq \gamma_1 \mu_1 \delta/2 \left( P_0 + 1 \right) \]

We consider now

\[ T_3 = \phi(\lambda, \Omega) - \phi(\lambda, \Omega_p^m) = \phi(\lambda, \Omega_p^m). \]

By definition of \( \phi(\lambda, \Omega) \) (equation 4), by definition of \( P \) (Remark 3) and by Remark 1, it follows easily that

\[ |T_3| \leq (2^n - n) \mu_1 \gamma^{n/2} |\Omega_p| \Omega_p \leq \mu_1 \gamma^{n} \delta/2. \]

For the same reason, we still have

\[ T_4 = (\# B_p) \sigma(\lambda, \Omega_p) \leq \mu_1 \gamma^{n} \delta/2. \]

Hence, by combining (15) to (19), we obtain Theorem 2.
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Initial-boundary value problems in elasticity

During the last decade much work has been done in elasticity and especially in thermoelasticity. One wants to solve initial-boundary value problems first, and afterwards one tries to get more specific knowledge of the solutions obtained. For instance, one enquires about their regularity, or about their asymptotic behaviour for large times. Meanwhile linear problems are fairly well understood whereas many nonlinear problems are still open.

Initial-boundary value problems play an important role in mathematical physics. We only remind the reader of the wave equation, the Maxwell equations, the Schrödinger equation, or the system of equations of elasticity. In the linear case all these problems lead to a self-adjoint operator such that the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions and the existence of wave operators can be obtained by means of spectral theory. In linear thermoelasticity, however, the underlying operator is not self-adjoint owing to the coupling of a hyperbolic with a parabolic equation. Although solutions can be obtained with the aid of semigroup theory, it is more difficult - and presumably more interesting - to derive their asymptotic behaviour.

The lecture is organized as follows. We start by treating initial-boundary value problems of linear elasticity, and indicate the existence of wave operators. The main part of the lecture (section 2) is concerned with linear thermoelasticity. We solve initial-boundary value problems, give some asymptotic expansions as $t \to \infty$, and especially describe the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the free-space problem. In the third section, finally, we deal with some nonlinear questions. We are particularly interested in obtaining solutions global in $t$ for small smooth data.

1. LINEAR ELASTICITY

We start by presenting a brief survey of results obtained in linear elasticity. The underlying equation of state is Hooke's law (stress-strain relation)

\[
\tau_{jk} = \sum_{m,n=1}^{3} c_{jkmn} u_{mn}, \quad j,k = 1,2,3.
\]  

(1.1)
\( \tau_{jk} \) is the stress tensor, \( U_{mn} := (a_m U_n + a_n U_m)/2 \) the strain tensor, and \( U := (U_1, U_2, U_3) \) the elastic displacement vector. It is defined in an open and connected domain \( \Omega \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). The elastic moduli \( C_{jkmn} \) are real-valued, bounded and measurable functions on \( \Omega \). From physical considerations they display the following symmetry relations

\[ C_{jkmn} = C_{mnjk} = C_{kjm} \]

Furthermore

\[ \exists c_1 > 0 \quad \forall \xi_{ij} \in \xi, \xi_{ij} - \xi_{ji} \quad \forall x \in \Omega \quad \xi_{jk} C_{jkmn}(x) \xi_{mn} \geq c_1 \sum_{j,k} |\xi_{jk}|^2 \]

holds. For exterior domains \( \Omega \) (domains with bounded complement) we assume the existence of a sufficiently large constant \( \varepsilon \) such that the \( C_{jkmn} \) are constants in \( \Omega_\varepsilon := \{x \in \Omega \mid |x| > \varepsilon\} \).

I prefer rewriting Hooke's law using Sommerfeld's terminology. Let

\[ \alpha_1 = \tau_{11} \quad \alpha_2 = \tau_{22} \quad \alpha_3 = \tau_{33} \quad \alpha_4 = \tau_{23} \quad \alpha_5 = \tau_{31} \quad \alpha_6 = \tau_{12} \]

\[ \varepsilon_1 = U_{11} \quad \varepsilon_2 = U_{22} \quad \varepsilon_3 = U_{33} \quad \varepsilon_4 = 2U_{23} \quad \varepsilon_5 = 2U_{31} \quad \varepsilon_6 = 2U_{12} \]

Using the generalized gradient symbol

\[
D := \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_3 \\
0 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_2 \\
\alpha_3 & 0 & \alpha_1 \\
\alpha_2 & \alpha_1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Hooke's law then reads

\[ \alpha = S \cdot DU \]

(1,2)
where $S$ is a positive definite six-row matrix containing the elastic moduli, and $DU$ represents the strain tensor.

The elastic medium may show certain symmetries. Special anisotropic media are monoclinic media (one axis of symmetry), rhombic media (two axes), or cubic media (three axes). For details compare Sommerfeld (1949, p. 278f) or Leis (1986, p. 201f). The isotropic medium is given by

$$
S = \begin{bmatrix}
\nu & \kappa & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\kappa & \nu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\kappa & \kappa & \nu & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \mu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
$$

where $\mu$ and $\kappa$ are the Lamé constants, $\mu > 0$, $2\mu + 3\kappa > 0$, and $\nu := 2\mu + \kappa > 0$.

Using this notation potential and kinetic energy are given by

$$
(U_{\alpha})_{L^2(\Omega)} \text{ and } (U_t, MU_t)_{L^2(\Omega)}
$$

where $M$ is the positive definite density matrix, and for short we write $L^2$ instead of $(L^2)^6$ or $(L^2)^3$ respectively. Thus the equations of linear elasticity read

$$
MU_{tt} - D'SDU = 0. \quad (1.4)
$$

$U^0 := U(0)$ and $U^1 := U_t(0)$ are the initial values.

When $\Omega$ is equipped with a boundary $\partial \Omega$, we may set boundary value problems. For simplicity we take $M = \text{id}$ and formulate the Dirichlet problem. Let

$$
E : D(E) \subset L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)
$$

$$
U \rightarrow -D'SDU
$$

where

$$
D(E) := (U \in \hat{H}_1(\Omega) | D'SDU \in L^2(\Omega))
$$
(DU, SDU) ≥ p|U|\(^2\) with p > 0 then easily follows, and E is a self-adjoint operator (in the case of the Neumann problem one has to use the second Korn's inequality). Thus we can define \(E^{\frac{1}{2}}\) with \(D(E^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \tilde{H}_1\). Assuming \(U^0 \in D(E^{\frac{1}{2}})\) and \(U^1 \in L^2\) we obtain a "weak solution with finite energy", \(U \in C(R^+_0, D(E^{\frac{1}{2}})) \cap C_1(R^+_0, L^2)\), of
\[
U_{tt} + EU = 0
\]
through
\[
U(t) := \cos(E^{\frac{1}{2}}t)U^0 + E^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin(E^{\frac{1}{2}}t)U^1,
\]
which has to be interpreted by the spectral formula for self-adjoint operators. Then
\[
\|E^{\frac{1}{2}}U\|^2 + \|U_t\|^2 = \text{const}
\]
is the energy.

Let \(\Omega\) be bounded. Using Rellich's selection theorem one can then easily prove the existence of a countable number of eigenvalues of E, and one obtains a representation of U in terms of standing waves, which is typical for such equations.

More interesting is the case of exterior domains. So let \(\Omega\) be an exterior domain now. For simplicity we also assume the medium to be isotropic. Only a few anisotropic media have so far been dealt with. In this case a solution \(U\) of \((E-\lambda)U = 0\) can be decomposed in \(\Omega_e\) into a solenoidal and a potential component. Both components solve Helmholtz equations with different wave-numbers. Applying Rellich's estimate and the principle of unique continuation (Meck 1969), one can conclude that E has no point eigenvalues. Here we have to assume that the coefficients \(C_{ijkm}\) are differentiable. The spectrum of E is continuous, and \(\sigma(E) = R^+\). It is also possible to formulate radiation conditions for each component of U, and to solve exterior boundary value problems
\[
(E-\lambda)U = F, \ \lambda \in R^+
\]
For $F$ with finite support ($F \in \mathcal{H}^F$). For details compare Leis (1970), or Leis (1986, p. 217). Thus one can prove the limiting absorption principle, which says that one obtains an outgoing or incoming solution ($U^+$ or $U^-$) of the exterior boundary value problem by taking the limit in $\mathcal{H}_{1,\rho}^F$ ($\mathcal{H}_{1,\rho}^F$ with weight $\rho(x) := 1/(1 + |x|)$)

$$U^\pm(\lambda) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} [E - (\lambda \pm i\epsilon)]^{-1}F.$$  

Stone's formula then provides the spectral family of $E$

$$(P(\lambda) U, V) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{\lambda} ([E - (\mu + i\epsilon)]^{-1}U - [E - (\mu - i\epsilon)]^{-1}U, V) d\mu,$$

and one concludes that the spectrum of $E$ is absolutely continuous saying that $(P(\lambda)U, U)$ is absolutely continuous for all $U \in L^2$.

This result is strong enough to yield asymptotic statements for $U(t)$ as $t \to \infty$. Using the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma we can easily show "local energy decay" saying that for all $r \text{ and } \Omega_r := \{x \in \Omega \mid |x| < r\}$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{\Omega_r} \{|E^t U|^2 + |U^t|^2\} = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Thus one expects that, for large $t$, $U$ behaves like a free-space solution. Let $C_0,ijkl := C_{ijkl}^l|_{\Omega}^\perp$ and $E_0$ be the corresponding operator defined in $R^3$.

It is relatively easy then to discuss the solutions of $\partial_t^2 U_0 + E_0 U_0 = 0$ using the Fourier transform. Knowing that the spectrum of $E$ is absolutely continuous we can apply perturbation methods originated by Kato (1976), Belopolskii and Birman (1968), Pearson (1978) and others. Assuming $U^1 \in D(E^{-3})$ and using complex notation, $H := U^0 + iE^{-1}U^1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, we can show that wave operators

$$W^\pm : L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(R^3), \text{ unitary}$$

$$W^\pm := s-lim_{t \to \infty} e^{itE_0^\perp} J e^{-iE^t}$$

exist. $J : L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(R^3)$ is defined by
\( (Jg)(x) := \begin{cases} j(x)g(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \)

where \( j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \) with \( j(\{x \mid |x| \leq e\}) = 0 \) and \( j(\{x \mid |x| \geq e + 1\}) = 1 \). Because of local energy decay the \( W^\pm \) do not depend on the special choice of \( j \). Let \( J_0 : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \to L^2(\Omega) \) be the adjoint of \( J \), namely

\[ (J_0g)(x) = j(x)g(x) \text{ for } x \in \Omega. \]

The crucial part of the existence proof for the wave operators is to show

\[ (EJ_0 - J_0E_0)P_0(M) \in B_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), L^2(\Omega)), \]  

(1.8)

a nuclear map from \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \) to \( L^2(\Omega) \). \( P_0 \) is the spectral family of \( E_0, M \subset \mathbb{R} \) a bounded interval, and \( D := E_0 - J_0E_0 \) is a linear first-order differential operator with \( C_0^\infty \) coefficients supported in \( K \subset \{x \mid e < |x| < e + 1\} \). Equation (1.8) follows either from explicit representation of \( P_0(M)U \) by means of the Fourier transform, or by exploiting the fact that, for all \( n \), \( V := P_0(M)U \) belongs to \( \mathcal{D}(E_0^n) \), and that

\[ \| (E_0^n V) \| \leq c(n) \| V \| \]

holds. Using standard regularity theorems it then follows that \( V \in H_5(K) \), and that

\[ DP_0(M) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathcal{H}_4(K) \]

is a bounded operator. The inclusion map

\[ i : \mathcal{H}_4(K) \to L^2(K) \]

is nuclear (cf. Yosida 1974, p. 279). So

\[ (EJ_0 - J_0E_0)P_0(M) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \to L^2(\Omega) \]

\[ (EJ_0 - J_0E_0)P_0(M) = iDP_0(M) \in B_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), L^2(\Omega)). \]
Therefore we obtain

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \| U(t) - U_0^+(t) \| = 0.$$ 

The $U_0^+(t)$ are free-space solutions with initial values $H_0^+ := W^+ H$. $S := W^+(W^+)^*$ is the scattering operator. Figure 1 illustrates this. For more details compare Leis (1986, p. 112f).
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Finally let us remark that we could have put the elasticity equations into a system first-order in $t$, namely

$$\begin{pmatrix} SDU \\ U_t \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D \\ D' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} SDU \\ U_t \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (1.9)$$

We shall use this notation in the next section to be able to apply semigroup theory.

2. LINEAR THERMOELASTICITY

We use the notation of section 1. In addition to that let $T$ be the temperature, $\theta = T - T_0$ the temperature difference, $c$ the specific heat, $L = (l_{ij})$ the
heat conductivity tensor, and \( G = \{g_{ij}\} \) the stress-temperature tensor. The latter describes the coupling between elasticity and thermodynamics.

For the coefficients we make the usual assumptions. Let them be real-valued, bounded and measurable functions on the domain \( \Omega, \, l_{ij} = l_{ji}, g_{ij} = g_{ji}, \) and

\[
\exists \xi_1 \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega \quad \xi_1 |l_{ij}(x)| \xi_j \geq l_1 |\xi|^{2},
\]

\[
\exists c_1 \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega \quad c(x) \geq c_1.
\]

For exterior domains in addition to this we assume the existence of positive constants \( l_0, c_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) and \( g_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that

\[
\forall x \in \Omega, \quad 1_{ij}(x) = 1_{0} \delta_{ij}, \quad g_{ij}(x) = g_{0} \delta_{ij}, \quad c(x) = c_0.
\]

For simplicity we assume \( T_0 = 1. \)

The difference between linear elasticity and thermoelasticity is that we have to replace Hooke's law by the law of Duhamel-Neumann saying

\[
\tau_{jk} = C_{jkmn} U_{mn} - g_{jk} G_{ij},
\]

and that we have to add a heat equation. Let us denote \( \Gamma := (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_6)^T \) where

\[
\gamma_1 := g_{11}, \quad \gamma_2 := g_{22}, \quad \gamma_3 := g_{33}, \quad \gamma_4 := g_{44}, \quad \gamma_5 := g_{31}, \quad \gamma_6 := g_{12}.
\]

The linear system of thermoelasticity then reads

\[
\begin{align*}
M U_{tt} - D' DU + D' \Gamma \Phi &= 0, \\
C \Phi_{tt} - \nabla' \Gamma \nabla \Phi + \Gamma ' DU_t &= 0. \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
\]

For \( \Gamma = 0 \) these equations decouple into the equations of linear elasticity and the heat equation.

We are looking for solutions with finite total energy (for its definition
compare the papers of Carlson (1972) and Biot (1956))

\[ E(\cdot) := (DU, SDU) + (U_t, MU_t) + (\Theta, c\Theta). \]

For that reason and because we want to put the equations into a first-order system, we set

\[
V := \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \\ V_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V^0 := \begin{pmatrix} SDU \\ U_t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
Q := \begin{pmatrix} S^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -D & 0 \\ -D' & 0 & D' \Gamma \\ 0 & \Gamma'D & -\Gamma'LV \end{pmatrix},
\]

and choose the Hilbert space \( H(\omega) \) to become \( (L^2(\omega))^{10} \) with scalar product

\[
(V, W)_H := (V, QW)_{(L^2(\omega))^{10}}.
\]

We then get \( E = \|V\|_H^2 \). To formulate the Dirichlet problem let

\[
A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H
\]

\[
V \rightarrow Q^{-1}N V
\]

where

\[
D(A) := \{ V \in H \mid V_2 \in H_1 \land V_3 \in H_1 \land NV \in H \}.
\]

Then we are looking for a \( V \in C(R_0^+, H) \) which is a weak solution of

\[
V_t + AV = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad V(0) = V^0. \tag{2.3}
\]

This means that for all \( \phi \in \tilde{C}(R, D(A)) \subset C_1(R, H) \)

\[
\int_{R_0^+} (V, -\phi_t + A^*\phi)_H = (V^0, \phi(0))_H.
\]
shall hold. $A^*$ is the adjoint operator, $A^* = Q^{-1}N'$, where

$$N' := \begin{bmatrix}
0 & D & 0 \\
D' & 0 & -D' \Gamma \\
0 & -\Gamma 'D & -\Gamma 'LV
\end{bmatrix},$$

and $D(A^*) = D(A)$.

To derive some properties of $A$ we start with

$$(AV, V) = (V_3, L^2V_3) + 2i \text{Im}((V_1, D'V_2) + (D'V_2, V_3))$$

yielding

$$\text{Re}(AV, V) = (V_3, L^2V_3) \geq 1_1V_3^2 \geq 0.$$  

Furthermore

$$N(A) = D_0^U \times 0 \times 0$$

where $D_0^U := \{ U \in (L^2(\Omega))^5 \mid D'U = 0 \}$ and $N(A^*) = N(A)$. $A$ is a closed operator and

$$H = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \ominus N(A^*) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \ominus N(A)$$

$$\mathcal{R}(A) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \ominus (L^2)_3 \ominus L^2.$$  

$\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ and $N(A)$ reduce $A$. Thus it is possible to restrict ourselves to $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ when dealing with (2.3).

Let $\lambda \in C$ with $\text{Re} \lambda < 0$ and $(A-\lambda)V = 0$. Then we get

$$(\overline{V_3}, L^2V_3) - \text{Re} \lambda \| V \|^2 = 0$$

and thus $V = 0$. By the same argument $N(A^* - \lambda) = 0$. Therefore $(A-\lambda)^{-1}$ exists and $H = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A-\lambda)}$. Let $(A-\lambda)V = F$. Then again
\((\forall V_3, L^V V_3) - \Re \lambda \|V\|^2 \leq (V, F)\)

or

\[\|(A-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\Re \lambda}.\]

Thus we have proved

\[\mathcal{L}^\circ := \{\lambda \in \mathcal{C} \mid \Re \lambda < 0\} \subset \rho(A),\]

and from semigroup theory we get the existence of a family \(H(t), t \geq 0\), of bounded linear operators such that:

1. \(H(0) = \text{id}, H(s+t) = H(s)H(t);\)
2. \(\|H(t)\| \leq 1;\)
3. \(H(t)A = AH(t);\)
4. \(\forall V \in \mathcal{H}, t \to H(t)V\) is continuous;
5. \(\forall V \in \mathcal{D}(A), t \to H(t)V\) is differentiable;
6. \(\forall V^0 \in \mathcal{D}(A), V(t) := H(t)V^0 \in \mathcal{C}(R^+_0, \mathcal{D}(A)) \cap \mathcal{C}_1(R^+_0, H)\) is the unique solution of \(V_t + AV = 0\) with \(V(0) = V^0\).

Thus we have solved the Dirichlet problem. Other initial-boundary value problems can be treated similarly. Some immediate conclusions are possible

\[\forall t \|V(t)\| \leq \|V^0\|\]

is obvious. \(\|VV_3(t)\| \to 0\) as \(t \to \infty\) also holds. Let

\[I := \{V \in \mathcal{D}(A) \mid \forall t \geq 0 \quad \|H(t)V\| = \|H^*(t)V\| = \|V\| \}\]

where \(H^*(t)\) is the semigroup generated by \(A^*\). It is the adjoint of \(H(t)\). \(I\) is a closed subspace of \(\mathcal{D}(A)\) with respect to \(\|\cdot\|_A := (\|\cdot\|^2 + \|A\cdot\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\) so that

\[\mathcal{D}(A) = I \oplus I^\perp.\]  

(2.4)
I and $I^-$ are invariant under $H(t)$ and $H^*(t)$. Let $V^0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, $V(t) = H(t)V^0$ and

$$V^0 = V_1^0 + V_2^0$$

according to (2.4). Then

(i) $\forall t \geq 0 \|H(t)V_1^0\| = \|H^*(t)V_1^0\| = \|V_1^0\|$

(ii) $\forall r > 0 \lim_{t \to \infty} \|V(t) - H(t)V_0\|_{\Omega_r} = 0$.

For details compare Racke (1987). These results describe the asymptotic behaviour of $V(t)$ for bounded domains $\Omega$. The problem remains to characterize $I$. It can be shown that $I$ is the $\| \cdot \|_A$-closure of the span of eigenfields belonging to purely imaginary eigenvalues. These eigenvalues give rise to undamped vibrations. It is interesting to note that they exist iff

$$M^{-1}D'SDU + \lambda U = 0 \text{ with } I'DU = 0$$

has nontrivial solutions $U \in \mathcal{H}_1$. An example for the existence of such eigenfunctions has been given where $\Omega$ is the unit circle (in $\mathbb{R}^2$).

In the following let $\Omega$ be an exterior domain. In that case from (ii) we get local energy decay

$$\forall r > 0 \lim_{t \to \infty} \|V(t)\|_{\Omega_r} = 0.$$

To obtain further results we first discuss the corresponding free-space problem assuming an isotropic medium. Thus let $M = \text{id}$, $L = \text{id}$, $c = 1$, $g_{ij} = \gamma \delta_{ij}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$D'SD = -\mu \text{rot rot} + \nu \text{grad div}, \quad D'T = \gamma \text{grad}$$

where $\mu, \nu$ are positive constants. Furthermore let $H_0 := (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^{10}$ and $A_0$ be defined in analogy to $A$. We already know

$$H_0 = R(A_0) \oplus H(A_0) = (SDH_1 \times (L^2)^3 \times L^2) \oplus (D'_0 \times \emptyset \times \emptyset).$$
Both subspaces reduce $A_0$. To get a further reduction we define

$$H_0^S := SD(H^*_0 \cap D_0) \times D_0 \times 0$$

$$H_0^D := SD(H^*_0 \cap R_0) \times R_0 \times L^2$$

where

$$(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3 = D_0 \oplus R_0$$

$$R_0 = \{ U \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3 | \text{rot } U = 0 \} = \overline{\nabla H^*_1}$$

$$D_0 = \{ U \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3 | \text{div } U = 0 \}.$$  

We then obtain a decomposition into solenoidal and potential fields, precisely

$$H_0 = H_0^S \oplus H_0^D \oplus \mathcal{N}(A_0),$$  

(2.5)

all subspaces reducing $A_0$. Therefore our equations (2.2) decompose into

$$U^{S}_{tt} + \mu \text{rot rot } U^S = 0$$  

(2.6)

and

$$U^{D}_{tt} - \nu \text{grad div } U^D + \gamma \text{grad } \phi = 0$$

$$\Theta_t = \Delta \phi + \gamma \text{div } U^D_t = 0.$$  

(2.7)

Equations (2.6) are the Maxwell equations. Their solutions are undamped vibrations and may be discussed in analogy to section 1. For details compare Leis (1986, p. 146f). The coupling constant $\gamma$ only appears in (2.7), which are the more interesting equations.

To discuss the spectrum of $A_0$ and the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of $V_t + A_0 V = 0$ one can use the Fourier transform, and after straightforward but lengthy calculations obtains detailed results. Let $\Lambda_l := i\mathbb{R}$ and
Then we get for the spectrum \( \Lambda \) of \( A_0 \)

\[
\Lambda = \Lambda^5 \cup \Lambda^p(\gamma) \cup \{0\}
\]

where \( \lambda = 0 \) is the point eigenvalue originating from \( \mathcal{N}(A_0) \). \( \Lambda^5 = \Lambda_1 \) due to (2.6). For \( \Lambda^p \) we obtain

\[
\Lambda^p(\gamma) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \exists q \in \mathbb{R}^3 \Delta(\lambda, q, \nu, \gamma) = 0 \}
\]

\[
= \Lambda_2 \cup \Lambda_3(\gamma)
\]

where \( \Lambda_3(0) = \Lambda_1 \) and

\[
\Delta(\lambda, q, \nu, \gamma) := -\lambda^3 + |q|^2\lambda^2 - (\nu + \gamma^2)|q|^2\lambda + \nu|q|^4.
\]

The characteristic polynomial of \( \hat{A}_0 \), the Fourier transform of \( A_0 \), reads

\[
0 = \hat{A}_0^3(\hat{A}_0^2 + \mu |q|^2) \cdot \Delta(\hat{A}_0, q, \nu, \gamma).
\]

(2.8)

It is interesting to give the analogous polynomials for the corresponding problems in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( \mathbb{R}^1 \). They read

\[
0 = \hat{A}_0^3(\hat{A}_0^2 + \mu |q|^2) \cdot \Delta(\hat{A}_0, q, \nu, \gamma) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2
\]

(2.9)

\[
0 = \Delta(\hat{A}_0, q, \nu, \gamma) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^1.
\]

(2.10)

Thus in \( \mathbb{R}^1 \) we neither get a null space nor a vibrating component.

Figure 2 shows some \( \Lambda_3(\gamma) \) for \( \nu = 1 \) in the upper half-plane. The curves are symmetric with respect to the x-axis. For more details compare Leis (1980, 1981, 1986, p. 231f). Also remark that Racke (1986) introduced generalized eigenfunctions and that he gave an eigenfunction expansion.

Knowing the spectrum of \( A_0 \) we can also calculate the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of \( V_t + A_0 V = 0 \). Let

\[
V_0 = V_{0s} + V_{0p} + V_{00}
\]

86
according to (2.5). Then

\[ V(t) = H(t)V^0_s + H(t)V^0_p + V^0_0 \]

where

(i) \( V^0_0 = H(t)V^0_0 \) is stationary;
(ii) \( H(t)V^0_s \) is an undamped vibration of Maxwell type - the asymptotic behaviour is similar to that given in section 1;
(iii) let \( \gamma = 0 \) - then the first two components of \( H(t)V^0_p \) are undamped vibrations also and the third component is a solution of the heat equation and thus vanishes as \( t \to \infty \);
(iv) let \( \gamma \neq 0 \) - then \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \|H(t)V^0_p\| = 0 \).

The asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of only a few boundary value problems has been given so far. Assuming an isotropic medium these are boundary conditions which are compatible with the decomposition into
solenoidal and potential fields. In that case we obtain results similar to those we got for the free-space problem. Such boundary conditions are

\[(n \times U)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \wedge (\text{div } U)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \wedge \partial |_{\partial \Omega} = 0\]

or

\[(n \cdot U)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \wedge (n \times \text{rot } U)|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \wedge \partial \partial |_{\partial \Omega} = 0,

where U is the elastic displacement vector again.

3. SOME NONLINEAR PROBLEMS

In this last section I want to indicate some results on the existence of solutions of nonlinear problems global in t for sufficiently small and smooth data. Let us start with the free-space problem of elasticity (homogeneous isotropic medium in $\mathbb{R}^3$), and write the linear system as a first-order system, cf. (1.9); symbolically

\[V_t + AV = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad V(0) = V^0. \tag{3.1}\]

Let the nonlinear problem be given by

\[V_t + AV = F(V, VV) \quad \text{with} \quad V(0) = V^0, \tag{3.2}\]

and let P be the projector on $\mathcal{N}(A)$. Then naturally we assume $PV^0 = 0$ and $PF(V, VV) = 0$ for all $V, VV$.

We consider (3.2) to be a perturbation of (3.1) and assume

\[|F(V, VV)| \leq c(|V| + |VV|)^3 \tag{3.3}\]

for $|V| + |VV|$ small. Then Klainerman (1982) and Klainerman and Ponce (1983) proved that for sufficiently small and smooth $V^0$ a solution of (3.2) global in t exists, and that asymptotically it behaves like a solution of the linear system (3.1). In this case, therefore, wave operators can be introduced again (cf. (3.14)).

The idea of the proof is to combine the usual local existence theorem with
an a priori estimate of the solution. One starts by deriving sharp $L^p - L^q$ estimates concerning the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the linear equation (3.1). Let

$$V(t) := e^{-At} v^0$$

be the solution of (3.1). Then

$$\|V(t)\|_{L^2} = \|v^0\|_{L^2}$$

immediately follows. From explicit knowledge of the fundamental solution of (3.1) one also obtains

$$\|V(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_\infty (1 + t)^{-1} \|v^0\|_{L^1},$$

(3.4)

(derivatives up to the third order have to be taken on the right-hand side). The general $L^p - L^q$ estimate then is a consequence of the usual interpolation inequalities between these extreme cases. It reads

$$\|V(t)\|_{L^q} \leq c_q (1 + t)^{-1+2/q} \|v^0\|_{L^{p,N}}$$

(3.5)

where $q \geq 2$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $3(2-p)/p \leq N_p \leq 3$.

Next a local existence theorem is used to obtain a solution $V \in C([0,T],H_s) \cap C^1([0,T],H_{s-1})$ of the nonlinear equation (3.2) in an interval $[0,T]$, $T > 1$. Then

$$\forall t \in [0,T] \quad \|V(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|VV(t)\|_{L^\infty} < 1$$

follows, and $\|V^0\|_s$ is assumed to be small ($\|\cdot\|_s$ denotes the $L^2$-norm of all derivatives up to the order $s$, $s \geq 3$). To prove the local existence theorem one first estimates the solutions of the linearized problem, and their derivatives, and then applies the contraction principle. Details can be found in Klainerman (1980, p. 94f).
In the third step "high-energy estimates" are derived by elementary but tricky partial integration and applying Gronwall's lemma. This means that one can estimate high derivatives of the local solution (a represents any derivative, ∂ₜ, ∂ₓ¹, ∂ₓ², or ∂ₓ³)

\[ \|V(t)\| \leq c_s \|V_0\| \exp(c_s \int_0^t (\|V(r)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\partial V(r)\|_{L^\infty}^2) dr). \]  

(3.6)

The fourth step is essential. Defining with \( T := 7 + N_{6/5}, \rho := \tau + N_{6/5}, \) and \( \sigma := 2 + \rho \)

\[ M_\tau(T) := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} (1 + t)^{2/3} \|V(t)\|_{L^6_T} \]

one shows that a constant \( M_0, \) not depending on \( T, \) exists such that

\[ M_\tau(T) \leq M_0 \]  

(3.7)

holds for small \( V_0 \in L^2_{t,\sigma} \cap L^{6/5}_{x,\rho} \). To derive this estimate one starts from

\[ V(t) = e^{-\lambda t} V_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda (t-r)} F(V,V') (r) dr. \]  

(3.8)


\[ \|F(V,V')\|_{L^{6/5}_{x,\rho}} \leq c \|V\|_{L^2_{t,\sigma}} \|V\|_{L^6_{x,T}}^2 \]  

(3.9)

and

\[ \|V\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial V\|_{L^\infty} \leq c \|V\|_{L^6_{x,T}} \]  

(3.10)

which follows from Sobolev's inequality and the differential equation, one obtains from (3.8) and (3.5) with \( q = 6, p = 6/5, \) and (3.6)

\[ x \leq c \delta(1 + x^2 e^{c \lambda^2}) \]  

(3.11)

where \( x := M_\tau(T) \) and \( \|V_0\|_{L^2_{t,\sigma}} + \|V_0\|_{L^{6/5}_{x,\rho}} \leq \delta \) is small. This yields (3.7).
Estimate (3.7) then leads to the a priori estimate for the local solution \( V(t) \), we wanted to prove, namely

\[ \exists K_0 \text{ independent of } T \forall t \in [0,T] \| V(t) \|_\sigma \leq K_0 \| V^0 \|_\sigma, \]  

(3.12)

assuming \( \| V^0 \|_{L^2} + \| V^0 \|_{L^{6/5}} \) to be sufficiently small.

Thus we can reapply the local existence theorem to obtain the desired global solution \( V \in C([0,\infty),\mathcal{H}_\sigma) \cap C_c([0,\infty),\mathcal{H}_{-1}) \) for

\[ \| V^0 \|_{L^2} + \| V^0 \|_{L^{6/5}} \leq \delta \]

sufficiently small. From (3.7) and (3.10)

\[ \| V(t) \|_{L^6} + \| V(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| \partial V(t) \|_{L^\infty} = O(t^{-2/3}) \]  

(3.13)

as \( t \to \infty \) follows. Defining

\[ V^+(t) := V(t) + \int_t^\infty e^{-A(t-r)} F(V, \partial V)(r) dr, \]

\( V^+ \) is a solution of the linear equation \( V_t + AV = 0 \), and the previous results immediately lead to

\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \| V(t) - V^+(t) \|_{L^6} = 0. \]  

(3.14)

It should be remarked that similar statements hold in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( n \geq 2 \). When \( n \geq 6 \) quadratic terms on the right-hand side of (3.3) are allowed. In the case of the wave equation \( n \geq 4 \) suffices to obtain that result. This has been shown by Klainerman (1985) who improved the estimate (3.4) by replacing the \( L^1 \)-norm on the right-hand side by \( L^2 \)-norms of \( IV^0 \). It consists of differential operators which leave solutions of the wave equation invariant (cf. also Christodoulou 1986, John 1987). In \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), and with quadratic behaviour in (3.3), global smooth solutions of the wave equation may exist when a "null condition" is fulfilled (Klainerman 1986); an example can be found in Klainerman (1980, p. 45). John (1981) has shown, however, that generally

The next problem is to treat initial-boundary value problems. So far this has only been done by Shibata and Tsutsumi (1986) for the wave equation with constant coefficients and "nontrapping" domains with Dirichlet boundary condition. Here also the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of the linear equation has to be given first, and therefore the "nontrapping" condition is used.

Let us now switch to thermoelasticity. We again write the underlying equations (cf. (2.2)-(2.3)) in the form

$$V_t + AV = F(W) \quad \text{with} \quad V(0) = V^0. \tag{3.15}$$

$W$ is a vector composed of $V$ and certain derivatives of $V$, $F := (0, f_2, f_3)'$, where $f_2 = f_2(BV_1, \nabla V_1, \nabla^2 V_1, \nabla^3 V_1)$ and $f_3 = f_3(BV_1, \nabla V_1, \nabla^2 V_1, \nabla^3 V_1, \nabla^3 V_1, \nabla^3 V_1)$. The medium again is assumed to be homogeneous and isotropic, and

$$B : \mathcal{M}_{L^2} \to L^2, \text{ bounded}$$

$$U \to \nabla \cdot (SD)^{-1}U.$$

Let us first deal with the corresponding problem in $\mathbb{R}^1$. From (2.10) we know that in the linear case we do not get vibrations. The coupling term appears isolated so that a study in $\mathbb{R}^1$ should help to understand the general situation in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Since there are no vibrations in $\mathbb{R}^1$ we expect that heat dissipation is strong enough to prevent a solution from blowing up at least for small and smooth data. This was proved by Zheng and Shen (1987). On the other hand Dafermos and Hsiao (1986) gave an example with blow-up for large and smooth data.

For bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^1$ Slemrod (1981) showed the existence of global solutions for small and smooth data and special boundary conditions, namely $U|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$ and $\phi|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$ or $U|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$ and $\phi|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$ (here again $U$ denotes the elastic displacement vector). The Dirichlet initial-boundary value problem was treated by Racke (1988a,b). He is able to show local existence for bounded and exterior domains. High-energy estimates, however, are still missing, and hence the question whether global solutions exist is still open.

An exterior initial-boundary value problem in $\mathbb{R}^1$, again $U|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$ and
\[ \Theta_{\partial_0} = 0, \] has been treated by Jiang (1988). He uses the Fourier sine and cosine transform to obtain \( L^p - L^q \) estimates for the linear problem. He also proves high-energy estimates, and he is able to show the existence of solutions global in \( t \) for small and smooth data. Jiang is also capable of treating the boundary condition \( U_1{\partial_0} = 0 \) and \( \Theta_{\partial_0} = 0 \).

In \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) the situation is more difficult since we anticipate vibrations (cf. (2.8)-(2.10)). On the other hand we already know that global solutions exist for the hyperbolic part. So we again expect the existence of solutions global in \( t \). Local existence follows from a result of Kawashima (1983), whereas Racke (1988c) showed the existence of global solutions for small and smooth data essentially assuming

\[ F(W) = F_1(W) + F_2(BV_1, V_2) \]

where

\[ F_1(W) = \mathcal{O}(|W|^3) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(BV_1, V_2) = \mathcal{O}(|\nabla V_1|^3 + |V_2|^2) \]

for small \( |W| \). The term \( F_2 \) only appears in the heat equation. To do so he also derived \( L^p - L^q \) estimates for the linear equation and gave high-energy estimates. Initial-boundary value problems have not been dealt with as yet.

Let me end up by indicating some open problems. So far we have assumed a homogeneous and isotropic medium. Little is known for inhomogeneous or anisotropic media. In any case it would be most important to prove global existence and possible uniqueness of weak solutions for large data.

In \( \mathbb{R}^1 \), as we know, the parabolic component dominates. Systems of conservation laws in \( \mathbb{R}^1 \) have been studied and solved using Glimm's difference scheme (Glimm 1965; cf. Smoller 1983). It should be looked at whether this method works in thermoelasticity also.

In \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), however, we meet with a parabolic and a hyperbolic component. The existence of certain weak solutions for parabolic equations has been proved (cf. von Wahl 1985). Solutions of hyperbolic equations with large data, however, generally develop singularities at a time \( t = T_0 \), the life span, which was proved by John (1961); cf. Najda (1984). \( T_0 \) depends on the largeness of the initial data; \( T_0 = \infty \) for small and smooth data and cubic non-linearity, as we have seen before. Generally the existence of global weak
solutions has not yet been shown, and so far one does not have a clear idea of what happens in nonlinear thermoelasticity.
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The long-time behaviour of solutions of reaction-diffusion equations in unbounded domains: a survey

1. **INTRODUCTION**

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a domain with a piecewise smooth boundary, or else $D = \mathbb{R}^N$.

There has been, it is fair to say, more than a passing interest in positive solutions of the problem:

$$u_t = \Delta u + u^P \quad \text{in} \quad D \times (0,T), \quad (p > 1)$$

(P) \quad u(x,t) = 0 \quad (x,t) \in \partial D \times (0,T)

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x) \quad x \in D.$$

It is known that when $D$ is a bounded domain, not all solutions are global, a result due to Kaplan [11] and, as a consequence of more general considerations, to Levine [13], who showed that if

$$E(u_0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_D |\nabla u_0|^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_D u_0^{p+1} \, dx < 0, \quad (1.1)$$

then the solutions (P) cannot be global.\* (D need not be bounded.) More recently several authors have examined the precise nature of how the solution fails to be global. Beginning with Ball [2], several authors [4,5,0,19,20,21], to cite just a few, have studied the pointwise blow-up of solutions of (P) for large initial data.

In the study of pointwise blow-up, these authors restricted $p$ to satisfy

\* This research was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant No. AFOSR 88-0031. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes not withstanding any copyright notation therein.

\+ That is, the solution cannot remain in $H_0^1(D) \cap L^{p+1}(D)$ for all time.
whereas the (weaker) global nonexistence results of [13] do not require this restriction. (In some cases \( p = (N + 2)/(N - 2) \) is included in the study of single point blow-up [8].)

On the other hand, for any \( p > 1 \), when \( D \) is bounded, (P) can have nontrivial global solutions for any initial values \( u_0 \) for which \( \Delta u_0 + u_0^p \leq 0 \). These global solutions will decay to zero if the set

\[
S = \{ f \in H^1_0(D) \mid \Delta f + f^p = 0 \text{ in } D, \; f = 0 \text{ on } \partial D, \; f \geq 0 \}
\]

is either \( \{0\} \) or if

\[
u_0(x) \leq \inf(f(x) \mid f \in S) \quad x \in D
\]

with strict inequality on an open subset of \( D \). If (1.2) holds, it can be shown that \( S \) is not trivial. See [18] for example.

Fujita [6] was perhaps the first to examine (P) on all of \( \mathbb{R}^N \). He proved the following interesting result.

**THEOREM 1 [6]:** (a) If \( 1 < p < 1 + \frac{2}{N} \), then (P) does not possess nontrivial global solutions. (b) If \( p > 1 + \frac{2}{N} \), then there are global positive solutions of (P).

Case (a) is often called the blow-up case while (b) is called the global existence case. (In [1,10,12] and later in [20], it was established that \( p = 1 + \frac{2}{N} \) belonged to the blow-up case.) Such a result may be called a "Fujita-type" blow-up theorem. It is the purpose of this talk to discuss other such Fujita-type results for parabolic equations.

It should perhaps be remarked that John, Glassey and others [26-34] have obtained partial "Fujita-type" results for hyperbolic problems such as
\[ u_{tt} = \Delta u + |u|^p \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T) \]

\[
\begin{align*}
(H) \quad u(x,0) &= u_0(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\
u_t(x,0) &= v_0(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{align*}
\]

although the results are not as complete as they are for (P) (as is to be expected). For example, in [31] it was shown that if \( 1 < p < p_0(N) \) where \( p_0(N) \) is the larger root of \((N - 1)p^2 - (N + 1)p - 2 = 0\) then (H) has no nontrivial global solutions. On the other hand, Glassey [26,27] (when \( N = 2 \)) and John [28] (when \( N = 3 \)) have shown that for \( p > p_0(N) \) small data, nontrivial, global solutions exist. Schaeffer [30] showed that both \( p_0(2) \) and \( p_0(3) \) belong to the blow-up case. More recently, in [32,33] it is shown that if \( N = 2,3,4,... \)

\[ p > p_1(N) := \frac{N^2 + 3N - 2}{N(N - 1)}, \]

then small data, nontrivial global solutions of (H) do exist. Thus, to our knowledge, there remains a gap for (H) when \( N > 3. \)

We turn now to a discussion of (P) in other unbounded domains.

2. **The First Results of Meier**

In [16], Meier considered (P) when, for fixed \( k \in [1,N], k \) an integer,

\[ D_k = \{ x | x_1 > 0,..., x_k > 0 \}. \]

The results of Meier are somewhat more general than we present here in that he considers the equation

\[ u_t = \Delta u + t^q u^p \text{ in } D_k \times [0,\infty) \]

where \( q \geq 0, p > 1 \). However, we shall state them here only in the case of \( q = 0 \) for purposes of comparison with the results of Fujita et al. for (P). Let \( p(k,N) = 1 + 2/(N + k) \).

**Theorem 2** [16]: (a) If \( 1 < p < p(k,N) \), (P) has no nontrivial global solutions

\[ \text{I am told that Sideras has closed this gap but the work is unpublished.} \]
(b) If $p > p(k,N)$ there are global, bounded solutions of (P) which decay uniformly to zero on $D_k$ as $t \to +\infty$.

It is not known whether or not $p(k,N)$ falls into the blow-up case for $k > 0$.

The Fujita-type results of Fujita, Neier, Weissler and others depend heavily on specific properties of the Green's function for the heat equation in $R^N$ or $D_k$. For example, if $H(x,t)$ denotes the Green's function, then

$$H(0,t) = (2\pi t)^{-N/2} \quad (D = R^N)$$

and

$$\|H(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(R^N)} = 1 \quad (D = R^N),$$

properties which played an important role in the arguments of [16] and [20]. It is the second property, which fails if $D = D_k$, that prevented Neier from extending Weissler's argument to this case.

Because, for most geometries, the Green's function is not readily found, it is desirable to have alternate methods available for investigating asymptotic properties of solutions. For example, the argument of Kaplan [11] for proving blow-up (global nonexistence) depended on the positivity of the first Dirichlet eigenfunction for $\Delta$ on bounded domains. Such an argument, which works also for hyperbolic problems on bounded domains, fails on unbounded domains.

Recently, however, Bandle and Levine [3] have modified Kaplan's argument to obtain blow-up results of Fujita type for other unbounded domains. They have also obtained Fujita-type global existence results for "large" $p$. More recently Levine and Neier [14] have improved upon some of these large $p$ results. The arguments of [3, 14] for large $p$ also avoid the use of the Green's function for the heat equation. We recently learned of some related results of Kavian and others which are obtained by different methods. We turn next to a discussion of these results.

(1) But see Section 6 and the note added in proof.
3. THE RESULTS OF BANDLE AND LEVINE

We consider the case for which $D$ is a cone in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with vertex at the origin (for convenience). That is, we write, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $x = (r, \theta)$ where $r \in (0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in \Omega$ where $\Omega \subset S^{N-1}$ is a submanifold of the unit sphere with boundary, $\partial \Omega$, smooth enough to permit integration by parts. We assume also that $\partial \Omega$ has positive $(N - 2)$-dimensional measure.

Let $\omega_1$ be the smallest Dirichlet eigenvalue for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\Omega$. Let $\gamma_{\pm}$ denote the positive and negative roots respectively of

$$\gamma^2 + \gamma(N - 2) - \omega_1 = 0.$$ 

Explicitly,

$$\gamma_{\pm} = (1/2) \left( 2 - N \pm \sqrt{(N - 2)^2 + 4\omega_1} \right).$$

The following result is given in [3] as Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 7.5.

**THEOREM 3 [3]:**  (a) If

$$1 < p < 1 + 2/(2 - \gamma_+) = \bar{p}$$

then no nontrivial, nonnegative, almost regular solution of (P) can be global in time. (b) Let

$$\bar{p} := \min(1 + 2/N, 1 + 2/(-\gamma_+)).$$

If

$$p > \bar{p}$$

then (P) has nontrivial global, almost regular solutions.

**REMARK:** In [3], a generalization of (a) in which $u^0$ is replaced by $f(u)$ is also given. See also [35].

A solution of (P) is almost regular on $Q_T = D \times (0, T)$ if
(i) \( u \in C^2(Q_T) \cap C^0(\overline{Q_T} - D \times \{T\}) \),

(ii) for all \( k > 0 \) and \( t \in [0,T) \), \( \lim_{r \to \infty} \inf e^{-\kappa r} \int_\Omega (|u| + |u_r|) dS = 0 \),

(iii) there is a sequence \( \{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, r_n \to 0 \) such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_\Omega [r_n^{N-1} |u_r(r_n,\theta,t)| + r_n^{N-2} |u(r_n,\theta,t)|] dS = 0.
\]

Let us write out the conditions (3.1), (3.3). We see that we always have \( p < 1 + 2/N \) unless \( \omega_1 = 0 \) in which case \( p = 1 + 2/N \).

Moreover, \( \tilde{p} = 1 + 2/N \) if and only if \( \omega_1 \geq 2N \), i.e. if and only if the domain is "small". Thus, for fixed \( p > 1 \), in accordance with what is known for bounded domains, small cones are more stable than large ones. In the case \( N = 2 \) and \( \Omega = (0,\gamma)^2 \) we have

\[
p = 1 + 2\gamma/(1 + 2\gamma)
\]

\[
\tilde{p} = \min(2,1 + 2\gamma),
\]

so that if \( \gamma < 1/2 \), the statement (b) is an improvement in the range of \( p \) for which we have global solutions over what we would obtain if we apply the Fujita result.

The idea behind the proof of (a) is the following: Since we cannot apply the argument of Kaplan directly, we let \( \psi(\zeta) \) be the first Dirichlet eigenfunction of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, \( \Delta_\zeta \), with \( \psi > 0 \) on \( \Omega \) and

\[
\int_\Omega \psi(\zeta) dS = 1.
\]

We then let, for \( m,k > 0 \),

\[
\phi(r,\theta) = C^{-1} r^{-m} e^{-kr} \psi(\zeta),
\]

where \( C = k^{-m-N}) \Gamma(m + N) \) so that

\[
\int_D \phi dx = 1.
\]
If
\[(k^2 + \lambda)[m^2 + (N - 2)m - \omega_I] \geq [m + (1/2)(N - 1)]^2 k^2 \quad (3.4)\]

then it is easy to show that
\[\Delta \phi + \lambda \phi \geq 0\]

and that
\[F(t) = \int_D u \phi \, dx\]
satisfies
\[F'(t) \geq -\lambda F(t) + (F(t))^p\quad (3.5)\]
in view of the almost regularity of \(u\). Consequently \(u\) will not be global in time if
\[F(0) > \lambda^{1/(p-1)}.\quad (3.6)\]

Now (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) will simultaneously hold provided
\[m^2 + (N - 2)m - \omega_I > 0 \quad (3.7)\]

\[\beta = \frac{k^2}{\lambda} = \frac{m + \omega_I + (1/4)(N - 1)^2}{m^2 + (N - 2)m - \omega_I} \quad (3.8)\]

and
\[k^{-[2/(p-1)-(m+N)]} \int_0^\infty r^{m+N-1} e^{-kr} \left( \int_\Omega \psi(\varphi)u_0(r, \varphi) dS \right) dr > \Gamma(m+N)^{1/(p-1)}. \quad (3.9)\]

Thus, if
\[2 - N - \gamma^- = \gamma^+ < m < 2/(p - 1) - N\]
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and $\beta$ is fixed, we can choose $k$ (and hence $\lambda$) so small that (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) hold.

Concerning (b), if $\tilde{\rho} = 1 + 2/N$, the result follows from the Fujita result by comparison.

If $\tilde{\rho} = 1 + 2/(-\gamma_\omega)$, the argument is more subtle and depends upon the following sequence of lemmas. (If $p \geq (N + 1)/(N - 3)$, the result again follows from the Fujita result by comparison since $1 + 2/N < (N + 1)/(N - 3)$.) Thus, it suffices to consider only the case $1 + 2/(-\gamma_\omega) < p < (N + 1)/(N - 3)$. The lemmas are of interest in their own right. (They are Theorems 3.2, 7.4, 6.1, 4.6 of [3].)

**THEOREM 4 [3]:** If

$$1 - 2/\gamma_\omega < p < \begin{cases} (N+1)/(N-3) & N > 3 \\ \infty & N = 2,3, \end{cases}$$

then there is a singular stationary solution of the form

$$u_s(r,\omega) = r^{-2/(p-1)}\alpha(\omega)$$

where $\alpha(\omega) > 0$ in $\Omega$ and solves

$$\Delta_\omega \alpha + \nu \alpha + \alpha^p = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$\alpha = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,$$

and $\nu = 2/(p - 1)[2/(p - 1) + 2 - N]$. If $1 < p \leq \tilde{\rho}$, there is no singular solution of the form given in (3.10). When $N = 2$, there is at most one solution of this form.

A regular stationary solution of (P) is a function $w \geq 0$, $w \in C^2(D) \cap C^0(\bar{D})$ such that

$$\Delta w + w^p = 0 \text{ in } D$$

$$w = 0 \text{ on } \partial D.$$
REMARK: The only stationary solutions $w(x)$ of (P) such that
\[ \lambda^{2/(p-1)} w(\lambda x) = w(x) \]
for all $\lambda > 0$, are of the form (3.10).

Then, the remainder of (b) follows from

**Lemma 5 [3]:** If $p$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4 and if
\[ 0 \leq u_0 \leq \min\{r^c, u_s\} \quad (3.11) \]
for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and some solution $u_s$ of the form, then the solution of (P) is global in time.

If $p$ is further restricted, more is true.

**Theorem 6 [3]:** If
\[ 1 - \frac{2}{\gamma_-} < p < \begin{cases} \frac{(N+2)/(N-2)}{N > 2} \\ \infty & N = 2 \end{cases} \]
and if (3.11) holds, then not only is $u$ global but also
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} u(x,t) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega. \]

To prove Lemma 5, one defines
\[ \bar{u}(r, \theta) = \inf\{u_s, \psi\} \]
where $\psi = Ar^m \psi_\alpha$ and $m > 0$ satisfies
\[ m^2 + (N - 2)m - \nu < 0, \]
where $\nu(> \nu_1)$ is given in Theorem 4. The function $\bar{u}$ is a supersolution of (S) in the weak sense. The result then follows by comparison principles.
The proof of Theorem 6 is more difficult because it depends on the following lemma:

**Lemma 7 [3]:** Let \( p \) satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 6. Then there are no nonnegative solutions of (5), \( w(x) \), such that \( w \leq u_g \) in \( D \).

The main idea of the proof of Lemma 7 is to consider the one-parameter family

\[
w(x; \lambda) = \lambda^{2/(p-1)} w(\lambda r, \theta).
\]

One can show (but not easily) that if this family has an envelope on any subcone, then \( w \) is singular at \( r = 0 \). If it fails to have an envelope on any subcone it follows that

\[
G(r, \theta) \equiv w_r + \frac{2}{p - 1} r \geq 0
\]

on the cone with strict inequality somewhere. If we then set

\[
\mathcal{L}(r, \theta) = r^{2/(p-1)} w(r, \theta)
\]

and

\[
L(r) = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}(r, \theta) \alpha(\theta) d\sigma(\theta),
\]

a calculation leads to

\[
L_{rr} + (N - 1 - 2q)L_r \geq 0 \quad (q \equiv 2/(p - 1)).
\]

Whence, for \( r > r_1 \), where \( L_r(r_1) > 0 \)

\[
L(r) \geq L(r_1) + \text{const} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} r^{2q+1-N} & p < (N+2)/(N-2) \\ \ln r & p = (N+2)/(N-2), \end{array} \right.
\]

where the constant is positive. From this, we see that \( L(r) \) is unbounded on \((r_1, \infty)\). On the other hand, since \( w < u_g \),
and obvious contradiction.

In [3], we also prove

THEOREM 8 [3]: If $1 < p < 1 - 2/\gamma$, then there are no regular stationary solutions of (S) except $w \equiv 0$.

4. RELATED RESULTS

The technique of [3] can also be applied to study (P) in domains which are exterior to a bounded region. Let $D^C = \mathbb{R}^N - D$ be a bounded region. Then in [3] we prove the following. (Theorems 8.2, 8.3, of [3].)

THEOREM 9 [3]:

(a) If $1 < p < 1 + 2/N$, (P) has no nontrivial nonnegative global solutions. 
(b) If $p > 1 + 2/N$ there are nontrivial bounded global solutions. If $N \geq 3$, $p > 1 + 2/(N - 2)$, $0 \in D^C$ and

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x) \leq \lambda |x|^{-2/(p-1)}$$

where

$$\lambda = \left[\frac{2}{p-1} \left(\frac{N-2}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}\right)\right]^{1/(p-1)}$$

then $u$ is a global solution of (P). If $N/(N-2) < p \leq (N+2)/(N-2)$, $u(x,t)$ decays to zero pointwise at $t \to \infty$.

Extensions of this result to other problems (including those with convection-like terms) are given in [36]. Theorem 9(a,b) settles an old conjecture of Fujita.

The proof of the nonexistence result is similar to the proof of the result when $D$ is a cone. The first part of (b) follows from Fujita's result and comparison. The second part of (b) follows by arguments similar to those used to prove Theorem 4 and Lemma 5.

In [9], stationary solutions of the problem
\[ u_t = \Delta u + |x|^\sigma u^p \quad \text{in } D \times (0,T) \]

\((P)\) \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D \times (0,T) \]

\[ u(x,0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{on } D \]

(where \(u_0 \geq 0\)) were considered when \(D = \mathbb{R}^N\). In [3], we established the following when \(D\) is a cone and \(\sigma > -2\).

**THEOREM 10** [3]: Let

\[
\tilde{p} = 1 + \frac{(2 + \sigma)(2 - \gamma_\pm)}{(2 - \gamma_\pm)} \\
\tilde{\rho} = 1 + \frac{(2 + \sigma)(-\gamma_\pm)}{(2 - \gamma_\pm)}. \]

(a) If \(1 < p < \tilde{p}\), there are no nontrivial global regular solutions of \((P)\).

(b) If

\[
\tilde{p} < p < \begin{cases} 
\frac{(N+1)/(N-3)}{N} & N = 4 \\
\infty & N = 2,3, \end{cases}
\]

there are singular stationary solutions of \((P)\) of the form

\[ u_s = r^{-\frac{2+\sigma}{p-1}(\rho)}\]

If

\[
\tilde{p} < p < \min \left\{ \frac{N + 1}{N - 3}, \frac{N + 2 + 2\sigma}{N - 2} \right\}
\]

then regular solutions of \((P)\) with \(u(x,0) \leq \min(r^\rho, u_s)\) for some \(c > 0\) are global and decay to zero pointwise as \(t \to \infty\). If

\[
\frac{N + 2 + 2\sigma}{N - 2} < p < \begin{cases} 
\frac{(N+1)/(N-3)}{\infty} & N = 4 \\infty & N = 3 \end{cases}
\]

then regular solutions with \(u(x,0) \leq \min(r^\rho, u_s)\) for some \(c > 0\) are global.
(c) If \( 1 < p < \tilde{p}, \) \((P_o)\) has no stationary solutions except \( u \equiv 0 \) and no singular solutions of the above form.

The proofs of the statements in Theorem 10 are very similar to the proofs of the corresponding statements when \( \sigma = 0 \) except in the case of the first statement. In most of these arguments, the quantity \( 2/(p - 1) \) is replaced by \( (2 + \sigma)/(p - 1) \).

For the statement (a), we let
\[
\delta = \sigma/(p - 1)
\]
and put
\[
u = |x|^{-\delta} v.
\]

Then the differential equation becomes
\[
v_t = r^{-(N-2\delta-1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^{N-2\delta-1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right) + r^{-2} [\Delta_v - \delta(N - 2 - \delta)v] + \nabla^\alpha.
\]
(4.1)

If we set
\[
F(t) = \int_0^t \int_\Omega v r^{m-1} \, dS \, dr
\]
we find that (3.5) holds provided (3.4) holds with \( m \) replaced by \( m + \delta \). The condition that both (3.5) and (3.6) hold for all \( \lambda \) sufficiently small becomes
\[
\gamma_+ - \delta < m < 2/(p - 1) - N
\]
and (a) follows.
5. THE RESULTS OF LEVINE AND MEIER

Bandle and Levine [3] observed that when $D = D_k$,

$p = p(k,N),

so that, in view of Meier's early result [15], $p$ is the cutoff between the blow-up case and the global existence case. Meier then conjectured that this was true for every cone, i.e. that $\bar{p} = \bar{p}$.

In [17] he showed that, when $N = 2$ and $\gamma = 1/n$, $n = 1,2,...$, $p$ is the cutoff between (a) and (b). He also showed there that if $N = 3$ and

$$\Omega = \{(\phi, \theta) \in S^2 \mid 0 < \phi < \pi/n, \ 0 < \theta < \pi\}

$$
or

$$\Omega = \{(\phi, \theta) \in S^2 \mid 0 < \phi < \pi/n, \ 0 < \theta < \pi/2\},

$$

there $p$ is again the cutoff for (a), (b). The values of $p$ for these cases are given in Table 1.

Meier's original arguments were modified in [17] but they also utilized the Green's function which can be constructed for such domains by the method of images.

He also showed there that if $D$ is any domain, there is a critical exponent $p^*$, $p^* \geq 1$, such that if $p > p^*$ there are always nontrivial global solutions of (P) while if $1 < p < p^*$ (when $p^* > 1$) there are no nontrivial global solutions. (When $D$ is bounded, $p^* = 1$.)

Careful inspection of Meier's arguments led him and Levine to conclude that it should be possible to prove that for cones, $p^* = \bar{p}$ in all cases. This they were able to do by showing that if $\nu := \gamma_* + \frac{1}{2}(N-2)$ and $t_0 > 0$

$$w(r, \theta, t) := r^{-(N-3)/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda(t+t_0)}J_\nu(\sqrt{\lambda}r)J_\nu(\sqrt{\lambda})d\lambda d\theta, \quad (5.1)$$
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### Table 1. Summary of Fujita-type results for \((P)\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>(p)</th>
<th>(\tilde{p})</th>
<th>Notes and References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. (\mathbb{R}^N)</td>
<td>1 + 2/N</td>
<td>1 + 2/N</td>
<td>(1) [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. (\mathbb{R}^N - D^c)</td>
<td>1 + 2/N</td>
<td>1 + 2/N</td>
<td>(2,3) [3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((D^c \text{ bounded}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. (D = (0,\infty) \times \Omega)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Omega \subset S^{N-1}, \omega_1 &gt; 0)</td>
<td>1 + 2/(2-\gamma)</td>
<td>\begin{align} \begin{cases} 1 + 2/(\gamma) &amp; \omega_1 \geq 2N \ 1 + 2/2 &amp; \omega_1 \leq 2N \end{cases} \end{align}</td>
<td>(5,6) [3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examples of above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. (N = 2,)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D = (0,\infty) \times (0,\gamma_1))</td>
<td>1 + 2\gamma/(1+2\gamma)</td>
<td>\begin{align} \begin{cases} 1 + 2\gamma &amp; \gamma &lt; 1/2 \ 2 &amp; \gamma \geq 1/2 \end{cases} \end{align}</td>
<td>(2) [3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. (D = (0,\infty) \times (0,\gamma_n))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma = 1/n, n = 1,2,...)</td>
<td>1 + 2/(n+2)</td>
<td>1 + 2/(n+2)</td>
<td>(2) [17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. (N = 3, D = (0,\infty) \times \Omega)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Omega = {(\phi,\theta) \mid 0 &lt; \phi &lt; \pi/n, 0 &lt; \theta &lt; \pi})</td>
<td>1 + 2/(n+3)</td>
<td>1 + 2/(n+3)</td>
<td>(2) [17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. (N = 3, D = (0,\infty) \times \Omega)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Omega = {(\phi,\theta) \mid 0 &lt; \phi &lt; \pi/n, 0 &lt; \theta &lt; \pi/2})</td>
<td>1 + 2/(n+4)</td>
<td>1 + 2/(n+4)</td>
<td>(2) [17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$\bar{p}$</td>
<td>Notes and References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. $\mathcal{D} = {x</td>
<td>x_i \geq 0, ..., x_k \geq 0}$ $1 \leq k \leq N$</td>
<td>$1 + 2/(N+k)$</td>
<td>$1 + 2/(N+k)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. $\mathcal{D}$ bounded</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes.

1. $p$ belongs to the blow up case.
2. $p$ belongs to the blow up case, [22].
3. If $N > 2$, and $p > N/(N-2)$ there is a singular stationary solution in $\mathbb{R}^N - \{0\}$. Solutions starting under this stationary solution are global.
4. $\omega_1$ is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. If periodic boundary conditions are imposed in the angular variables ($\Omega = S^{N-1}$) then $\omega_1 = 0$.
5. Singular stationary solutions exist if

$$1 - 2/\gamma < p < \begin{cases} (N + 1)/(N - 3) & N > 3 \\ \infty & N = 2, 3 \end{cases}$$

Solutions for which $\omega_0 \leq \min(\epsilon \omega_0)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ are global in time.
6. Meier has conjectured that $p$ belongs to the blow up case and is the boundary of the blow up case.
7. $p = 1$ belongs to the no blow up case.
then \( w \) is a positive solution of the heat equation vanishing on the boundary of the cone. Then one can find a function \( \beta(t) \) defined on \([0, \infty)\) such that

\[
\tilde{u}(r, \theta, t) = \beta(t)w(r, \theta, t)
\]  

is a global, positive, supersolution of (P). See [14] for details.

Meier has also conjectured that \( p \) belongs to the blow-up case but this problem remains open (1). (See section 6 below, however.)

The gap between \( p \) and \( p^* \) can be closed for \( P_0 \) as well, at least for \( \sigma \geq 0 \).

We have

**Theorem 11** [14]: Let \( p \) be as in Theorem 10 and \( \sigma \geq 0 \).

(a) If \( 1 < p < p^* \), \( P \) has no nontrivial global solutions.

(b) If \( p > p^* \), then \( P_0 \) has nontrivial global solutions.

The extra condition arises through the construction of a supersolution for \( P_0 \). One has to require that

\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup_{t \geq t_0, \theta \in \Omega} r^{\sigma}w^{p-1}(r, \theta, t) < \infty.
\]

This leads to the condition that \( \sigma \geq 0 \).

We obtain the following corollary (Corollaries 3.2, 3.4 of [14].)

**Corollary 12** [14]: If \( u \) solves \( P_0 \) where \( p > p^* \), \( \sigma \geq 0 \) and if \( u(r, \theta, t) \leq \beta(t)w(r, \theta, t) \) for some \( t_0 \), then for all \( r > 0 \),

\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup_{t \geq t_0, \theta \in \Omega} t^{(N+\gamma+)/(2r^2)} \sup_{\theta \in \Omega} u(r, \theta, t) \leq cr^{-\sigma/(p-1)}
\]

for some constant \( c \) depending only upon \( \beta(0), t_0 \) and geometry.

* The integral can be evaluated. It has the value

\[
(t \cdot t_0)^{-1} \exp[-(1+r^2)/4(t+t_0)] I_v(r/2(t+t_0))
\]

where \( I_v \) is the modified Bessel function of order \( v \). See Watson, A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions, Cambridge University Press (1922), p. 395.
When $\sigma = 0$, we may replace $\text{sup}_\Omega$ by $\text{sup}_D$. In particular, the $L^\infty$ norm of the solution decays faster than $(t + t_0)^{-1/(p-1)-\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

We remark finally that our existence and nonexistence results take place in the space

$$\{f \mid f = 0 \text{ on } \partial D, \int_D e^{-k|x|}(|f(x)| + |\nabla f(x)|)dx < \infty \text{ for all } k > 0\}.$$

This is a larger space than $H^1(D)$ as our singular solution, $r^{-2/(p-1)}(\alpha q)$, belongs to this space if $p > \frac{9}{4} + 2/(N - 1)$ but not to $H^1_0(D)$. (The inclusion follows from Schwarz's inequality.)

6. THE RESULTS OF ESCOBEDO AND KAVIAN

In [22,23,24], the authors examine (P) from the point of view of $L^2$ theory. They introduce the weighted Hilbert spaces

$$L^2(D, K^1) = \{f \mid \int_D |f|^2 K(y)dy < \infty\},$$

$$H^1_0(D, K) = \{f \mid f, \nabla f \in L^2(D, K), f = 0 \text{ on } \partial D\},$$

where $K(y) = \exp(\frac{1}{2}|y|^2)$. On this space they consider the initial-boundary value problem for

$$v_s + Lv = |v|^{p-1}v + (p - 1)^{-1}v$$

where

$$v(s, y) := e^{s/(p-1)}u(e^{s} - 1, e^{s/2}y), \quad (6.1)$$

$u$ solves (P) and where

$$Lf = -\Delta f + \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla f = K^{-1}v \cdot (K\nabla f)$$

denotes the self-adjoint operator which results from the change of variables (6.1). If $\lambda_1$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $L$ on $H^1_0(D, K)$, then [22]

$$\lambda_1 \geq \frac{1}{2N}N/2$$

being the smallest eigenvalue of $L$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$. 
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They treat the problem from the point of view of potential well theory [25]. We quote their principal results for our cones below. (It is assumed that \(u(\cdot,t) \in H^1_0(D)\) unless otherwise specified.) The following result is Theorem 4.5 of [22].

**THEOREM 13** [22]: Let \(1 < p < (N + 2)/(N - 2)\) and \(D\) be a convex cone.

(i) If \(p \leq 1 + 1/\lambda_1\) and \(u(\cdot,0) \geq 0\), then \(u(\cdot,t)\) blows up in finite time.

(ii) If \(p \geq 1 + 1/\lambda_1\) and \(u(0) \in H^1_0(D,K)\)

\[
E(0,K) := \frac{1}{2} \int_D |\nabla u(0)|^2 K(y) dy - \frac{1}{(p+1)} \int_D |u(0)|^{p+1} K(y) dy
- \frac{1}{2(p-1)} \int_\Omega |u(0)|^2 K(y) dy \leq 0, \tag{6.2}
\]

then \(u(t)\) blows up in finite time.

(iii) If \(p > 1 + 1/\lambda_1\), there exists \(u_0 \in H^1_0(D,K)\) \(u_0 \geq 0\), \(u_0 \neq 0\), such that \(u(t)\) is global in time.

(iv) If \(p > 1 + 1/\lambda_1\) and \(u(t)\) is a global solution, then

\[
\limsup_{t \to +\infty} t^{1/(p-1)} \|u(t)\|_{C^0(D)} < \infty.
\]

We see from this that when our cones are convex we have the \(p\) belongs to the blow-up case.\(^{(1)}\) (For all cones, one can show directly that \(\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(N + \gamma_+).\)

Also, as we see from Corollary 12, some solutions do decay more rapidly than \(t^{-1/(p-1)}\). Are there any solutions for which

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{1/(p-1)} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty
\]

is a finite, nonzero number, i.e. solutions which decay exactly like \(t^{-1/(p-1)}\) in \(L^\infty\)?

We see also that the range of \(p\) is somewhat restricted here since \(p < (N + 2)/(N - 2)\) and that the class of solutions for which blow-up occurs is smaller than that considered in [3].

The singular solutions we have constructed here do not belong to the Hilbert space when \(D\) is a cone. Moreover, they exist over a range of \(p\) which...
neither includes nor is contained in \((1, \frac{(N + 2)}{(N - 2)})\) when \(N > 2\). Thus some additional structure is lost when the Hilbert space approach is taken.

The result (ii) holds for any \(p > 1\) when (6.2) holds. This is a consequence of [13] since \(f(v) = |v|^{p-1}v + v(p-1)\) satisfies the structure conditions of [13] and since \(L\) is positive definite on \(H_0^1(D_\Omega)\).

Finally, (iv) does not improve Theorem 6 because of the extra condition that \(u(\cdot) \in H_0^1(D_\Omega)\).
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Added in Proof: After this paper had been written, Meier and the author were able to establish that for any cone, the number \( p \) given in Theorem 3 belongs to the blow up case. The argument is a modification of that of Weissler [20]. By careful scrutiny of Weissler's arguments coupled with judicious use of inequalities we were able to avoid the difficulties to which we alluded in the discussion following Theorem 2. The result will appear in [37].
1. INTRODUCTION

Let \( H \) be a real Hilbert space and \( L : D(L) \subset H \rightarrow H \) a linear self-adjoint operator with compact resolvent. If \( \sigma(L) \) denotes the spectrum of \( L \) (a pure point spectrum \( \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in J} \) with no finite accumulation point), the following result concerning the solvability of the equation

\[
Lu - \lambda u = h
\]

for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( h \in H \) is well-known:

(i) If \( \lambda \notin \sigma(L) \), problem \( (1) \) has a unique solution for each \( h \in H \).

(ii) If \( \lambda = \lambda_i \in \sigma(L) \), problem \( (1) \) has a solution if and only if \( h \in N_i^\perp \), where \( N_i = N(L - \lambda_i I) \).

Moreover, we can describe as follows the set of solutions \( (\lambda, u) \) of \( (1) \) in the neighbourhood of \( \lambda_i \in \sigma(L) \). As \( H = N_i \oplus N_i^\perp \), we can write each element \( u \) of \( H \) in the form \( u = \tilde{u} + \hat{u} \), with \( \tilde{u} \in N_i \) and \( \hat{u} \in N_i^\perp \), and \( (1) \) is equivalent to the system

\[
(L - \lambda_i I)u - (\lambda - \lambda_i)\tilde{u} = \tilde{h},
\]

\[
- (\lambda - \lambda_i)\hat{u} = \hat{h}.
\]

Letting

\[
L_i = (L - \lambda_i I)|_{D(L) \cap N_i^\perp} : D(L) \cap N_i^\perp \rightarrow N_i^\perp
\]

(a bijection), we can write, for \( 0 < |\lambda - \lambda_i| \) sufficiently small, the unique solution \( u(\lambda) \) of \( (1) \) in the form (with \( I_i \) the identity on \( N_i^\perp \))

\[
u(\lambda) = (\lambda_i - \lambda)^{-1}\tilde{h} + (I_i - (\lambda - \lambda_i)L_i^{-1})^{-1}L_i^{-1}\hat{h},
\]
and the second term of the right-hand member has a limit when $\lambda \to \lambda_i$. Therefore, if $\tilde{h} \neq 0$, i.e. if $H \not\subset N_i^\perp$,

$$
\|u(\lambda)\| = (|\lambda_i - \lambda|^{-2} \|\tilde{h}\|^2 + \|[(I - (\lambda - \lambda_i) L_i^{-1}) - L_i^{-1} \tilde{h}^2]^{1/2} + \infty
$$

if $\lambda = \lambda_i$. On the other hand, if $\tilde{h} = 0$, i.e. if $h \in H_i^\perp$, then

$$
u(\lambda) = L_i^{-1} \tilde{h} \in N_i^\perp
$$

if $\lambda = \lambda_i$, and the set of solutions of (1) for $\lambda = \lambda_i$ is given by $L_i^{-1} \tilde{h} + N_i$. Thus, the bifurcation diagram $(\lambda, \|u\|)$ for the solutions of (1) has, for $\lambda$ close to $\lambda_i$, the shape indicated on figure 1.

![Bifurcation Diagram](image)

Figure 1

It may be of interest to study cases where $h$ is replaced by a nonlinear operator $N$ on $H$ and the closest situation to the inhomogeneous problem (1) is that where $N$ is continuous and bounded on $H$, i.e. $\|Nu\| \leq C$ for all $u \in H$ and some $C > 0$. The corresponding nonlinear equation
Lu - \lambda u = Nu \tag{2}

is equivalent, when \lambda \not\in \sigma(L), to the fixed point problem

u = (L - \lambda I)^{-1}Nu,

whose right-hand member is a completely continuous operator on H with bounded range. The Schauder fixed point theorem immediately implies the existence of at least one solution. For the case where \lambda = \lambda_i \in \sigma(L), i.e. for the equation

Lu - \lambda_i u = Nu, \tag{3}

the existence question is more delicate (as for the linear case), but conditions have been introduced in the late 1960s by Lazer and his coworkers [5,6,7] which may be viewed as some type of nonlinear version of the condition \(h \in N^+_i\) in the linear case. The reader may consult [2] or [8] for more recent references to those conditions generally referred as Landesman-Lazer conditions.

Now, when \lambda_i has an odd multiplicity, a result of Krasnosel'skii [3,4], subsequently refined and extended by Stuart [13], Toland [14], Rabinowitz [12] and others, implies for equations (2) with the above assumptions the existence of a continuous branch of solutions going off from \((\lambda_i, u)\) in the sense that for each \(\varepsilon > 0\), there is a ball B centred at zero in H such that, on the boundary \(\Gamma\) of each bounded open neighbourhood of B, there is a solution \((\lambda, u)\) of (2) with \(\lambda \in (\lambda_i - \varepsilon, \lambda_i + \varepsilon)\) and \(u \in \Gamma\). Applied to the linear problem (1), such a result does not distinguish the situations where \(h \in N^+_i\) or \(h \not\in N^+_i\). A striking difference between the two situations seems to be the existence, when \(h \in N^+_i\), of an a priori bound independent of \(\lambda\) for the solutions \(u\) of (1) when \(\lambda \in [\lambda_i - \delta, \lambda_i + \delta] \setminus \{\lambda_i\}\), for some \(\delta > 0\). Following the spirit of some recent joint work with Schmitt [10,11], we shall describe in this paper the use of bifurcation from infinity and of the existence of a priori estimates near \(\lambda_i\) for the solutions of (2) in the obtention of existence and multiplicity results in the nonlinear case.

2. NONLINEAR EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS WITH SUBLINEAR NONLINEARITY

Let \(X\) be a real Banach space, \(L : D(L) \subset X \to X\) a Fredholm linear operator with
index zero, and $N : X \to X$ an $L$-completely continuous nonlinear operator [9] such that 

$$\|Nu\| / \|u\| \to 0 \text{ if } \|u\| \to \infty.$$ 

We shall be interested in the structure of the set $(\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$ of the solutions of the nonlinear equation

$$Lu - \lambda u = Nu \quad (4)$$

near $\lambda = 0$. Other situations can be reduced to this one by translation of $\lambda$.

**THEOREM 1:** Assume that 0 is an isolated eigenvalue of $L$ with odd multiplicity and that there exists $\delta > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that each possible solution $(\lambda, u)$ of (4) with $-\delta \leq \lambda \leq 0$ (resp. $0 \leq \lambda \leq \delta$) is such that $\|u\| < R$.

Then there exists $\eta > 0$ such that the following holds:

(a) equation (4) has at least one solution for $-\delta \leq \lambda \leq 0$ (resp. $0 \leq \lambda \leq \delta$);
(b) equation (4) has at least two solutions for $0 < \lambda \leq \eta$ (resp. $-\eta \leq \lambda < 0$).

**PROOF:** Dealing, say, with the first case, it is easy to show that the degree (see e.g. [9]) $D_{L}(L - \lambda I - N, B(R))$ of $L - \lambda I - N$ on the open ball $B(R)$ of centre zero and radius $R$ in $X$ is well-defined and equal to one in absolute value of all $-\delta \leq \lambda \leq 0$, and hence there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that the same is true for $-\delta \leq \lambda \leq \gamma$. Consequently, there exists a continuum $C_\gamma$ of solutions $(\lambda, u)$ of (4) in $[-\delta, \gamma] \times B(R)$ whose projection on $R$ is $[-\delta, \gamma]$. On the other hand, the results of bifurcation from infinity imply the existence of a continuum $C_\infty$ of solutions $(\lambda, u)$ of (4) bifurcating from infinity at $\lambda = 0$.

More explicitly, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for each $0 < \epsilon \leq \alpha$ there is a subcontinuum $C_\epsilon \subset C_\infty$ contained in $U_\epsilon(0, \infty) = \{ (\lambda, u) \in C_\infty : |\lambda| < \epsilon, \|u\| > 1 / \epsilon \}$, and connecting $(0, \infty)$ to $\partial U_\epsilon(0, \infty)$. Necessarily, for $\delta = \min(1 / \gamma, \alpha)$, we have $C_\delta = \{ (\lambda, u) \in C_\infty : 0 < \lambda < \epsilon \}$, and hence we obtain a second solution with $\|u\| > R$ for $0 < \lambda \leq \eta = \min(\epsilon, \delta)$, with $\delta = \sup \{ \lambda : (\lambda, u) \in C_\epsilon \}$. 

The bifurcation diagrams are sketched on figure 2.
COROLLARY 1: Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold, except that the inequality sign at zero is strict. Then the conclusion (a) of Theorem 1 still holds and either (b) holds or (4) has an unbounded set of solutions for $\lambda = 0$.

REMARK 1: When $\lambda = 0$ is a simple eigenvalue, the results on bifurcation from infinity imply the existence of two different kinds of solutions of large norm, a positive one and a negative one (the sign being that of the projection of the solution on the normalized eigenfunction associated with the zero eigenvalue). The conclusion (b) of Theorem 1 can then be improved to the existence of at least three solutions.

Applications of those results to periodic and Dirichlet boundary value problems can be found in [10] and [11]. For example, in the case of the two-points boundary value problem.
\[-u'' - u + \lambda u = g(u) - h(x),\]
\[u(0) = u(\pi) = 0\]

with \(g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) continuous and bounded, \(n \in \mathbb{N}^*\), \(h \in L^2(0,\pi)\), so that we can take \(X = L^2(0,\pi)\), \(D(L) = H^2_0(0,\pi)\), \(Lu = -u'' - n^2u\), \(\lambda u = g(u(.))\), it has been shown in [10] and [11] that the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold in the following situations, where

\[g_\pm = \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \inf g(t), \quad G_\pm = \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} \sup g(t),\]

(i) \(n \geq 1\), \(G_+ \int_0^\pi (\sin nx)^+dx - g_+ \int_0^\pi (\sin nx)^-dx < \int_0^\pi h(x)\sin nx \, dx\)
\[< g_+ \int_0^\pi (\sin nx)^+dx - G_- \int_0^\pi (\sin nx)^-dx,\]

(ii) \(n \geq 1\), \(G_- \int_0^\pi (\sin nx)^-dx - g_- \int_0^\pi (\sin nx)^+dx < \int_0^\pi h(x)\sin nx \, dx\)
\[< g_- \int_0^\pi (\sin nx)^-dx - G_+ \int_0^\pi (\sin nx)^+dx,\]

(iii) \(n = 1\), \(\int_0^\pi h(x) \sin nx \, dx = 0\) and \(g(u)u > 0\) for \(u \neq 0\),

(iv) \(n = 1\), \(\int_0^\pi h(x) \sin nx \, dx = 0\) and \(g(u)u < 0\) for \(u \neq 0\).

As each eigenvalue \(n^2\) is simple, the conclusion of Remark 1 holds and if nonstrict inequalities hold in condition (iii) or (iv), Corollary 1 is applicable. In the next section, we shall apply Theorem 1 to another example.

3. A GENERALIZED STEKLOV PROBLEM

If \(D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}\) and \(\Gamma = \partial D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = e^{is}, s \in [0,2\pi]\}\), and if \(g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) continuous and bounded, \(h \in L^2(0,2\pi)\) and \(a \in \mathbb{R}\) are given, the generalized Steklov problem [1,15] consists of finding a complex function \(w = u + iv\) which is holomorphic in \(D\), continuous on \(\overline{D}\) and satisfying the conditions
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\[ v(0) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[ \frac{3u}{\partial s}(s) + av(s) = g(u(s)) - h(s), \quad s \in [0, 2\pi], \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where, in the second equation, we write \( u(s) \) and \( v(s) \) for \( u(e^{is}) \) and \( v(e^{is}) \) respectively. If \( w \) is holomorphic on \( D \), continuous on \( \partial D \) and has real value \( u \) on \( \Gamma \), then its imaginary part \( v \) on \( \Gamma \) is given by

\[ v(s) = -Hu(s) + v(0) \]

where \( H \) is the Hilbert transform defined by

\[ Hu(s) = (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{it})\cot \frac{t-s}{2} \, dt. \]

Thus, in (6), because of (5), we can replace \( v(s) \) by \(-Hu(s)\). In terms of Fourier series, if

\[ u(s) \sim a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \cos ks + b_k \sin ks), \]

then \( v \) is given by the conjugate series

\[ v(s) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-b_k \cos ks + a_k \sin ks). \]

Therefore, if we define

\[ D(L) = \{ u \in L^2(\Gamma): u \text{ is absolutely continuous and } \frac{3u}{\partial s} \in L^2(\Gamma) \}, \]

\[ L : D(L) \subset L^2(\Gamma) \to L^2(\Gamma), \quad u \mapsto \frac{3u}{\partial s} - aHu, \]

it is easy to show that \( L \) is a Fredholm linear operator of index zero with

(i) \( N(L) = \text{span}(1) \) if \( a \) is not a positive integer;

(ii) \( N(L) = \text{span}(1, \cos ns, \sin ns) \) if \( a = n \), a positive integer;

(iii) \( R(L) = \{ h \in L^2(\Gamma): \int_0^{2\pi} h(s)y(s) = 0 \text{ for each } y \in N(L) \} \).
Moreover, L has a compact resolvent and its only real eigenvalue is zero, which is simple if $a$ is not a positive integer and has multiplicity three in the other case. Again, we set
\[ g_{\pm} = \lim \inf_{t \to \pm \infty} g(t), \quad G_{\pm} = \lim \sup_{t \to \pm \infty} \sup_{t \pm} \]

THEOREM 2: Assume that one of the following conditions hold:

(i) $a \notin N^*$ and $2\pi G_{-} < \int_{0}^{2\pi} h(s)ds < 2\pi g_{+}$;
(ii) $a \notin N^*$ and $2\pi G_{+} < \int_{0}^{2\pi} h(s)ds < 2\pi g_{-}$;
(iii) $a = n \in N^*$ and
\[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} h(s)y(s)ds < \int_{0}^{2\pi} [g_{+}y^+(s) - G_{-}y^-(s)]ds \quad \text{for all } y \in N(L) \setminus \{0\}; \]
(iv) $a = n \in N^*$ and
\[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} h(s)y(s)ds > \int_{0}^{2\pi} [g_{-}y^+(s) - G_{+}y^-(s)]ds \quad \text{for all } y \in N(L) \setminus \{0\}. \]

Then there exist $\delta > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that each possible solution of
\[ Lu - \lambda u = g(u) - h \quad (7) \]
satisfies $\|u\|_{L^2} < R$ when $-\delta \leq \lambda \leq 0$ if (ii) or (iv) holds and when $0 \leq \lambda \leq \delta$ when (i) or (iii) holds.

PROOF: Let us consider, say, the case of condition (iii), the other ones being similar or simpler. Let $u$ be a possible solution of (7) and let us write it $u = \tilde{u} + \bar{u}$, with $\tilde{u} \in N(L)$ and $\bar{u} \in N(L)^\perp$. If $P$ is the orthogonal projector in $L^2(\Gamma)$ onto $N(L)$, we deduce from (7) that
\[ L\tilde{u} - \lambda \tilde{u} = (I-P)(g(u) - h), \]
and hence the boundedness of $g$ and the compact injection of $H^1(\Gamma)$ into $C(\Gamma)$ implies the existence of $\delta > 0$ and $\bar{R} > 0$ such that

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{C(\Gamma)} \leq \bar{R}$$

for each possible solution $u$ of (7) with $|\lambda| \leq \delta$. On the other hand, we have, for each $y \in N(L)$,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} h(s)y(s)ds = \int_{0}^{2\pi} [g(\tilde{u}(s) + 0(s)) + \lambda u(s)]y(s)ds.$$  

(9)

Therefore, if the conclusion of Theorem 2 does not hold, there must exist a sequence $(\lambda_k, u_k)$ in $[0,\delta] \times L^2(\Gamma)$, satisfying (7), (8), (9) and such that $\|\tilde{u}_k\| \to \infty$ if $k \to \infty$. Without loss of generality, we can also assume that

$$y_k = \tilde{u}_k/\|u_k\| - y_0 \in N(L) \cap \partial B(1)$$

uniformly on $[0,2\pi]$. Let $E_\pm = \{s \in [0,2\pi] : y_0(s) \geq 0\}$; clearly, $\|u_k\|y_k(s) \to \infty$ for $k \to \infty$ if $s \in E_\pm$ and $(0,2\pi) \setminus (E_+ \cup E_-)$ has measure zero; finally,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} y_k(s)y_0(s)ds > 0$$

for $k$ sufficiently large. Therefore, one can deduce from (9), the above remarks and Fatou's lemma that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} h(s)y_0(s) + \int_{E_-} \lim\sup_{k \to \infty} g(\|\tilde{u}_k\|y_k(s) + \tilde{u}_k(s))(-y_0(s))ds$$

$$\geq \int_{E_+} \lim\inf_{k \to \infty} g(\|\tilde{u}_k\|y_k(s) + u_k(s))y_0(s)ds,$$

and hence

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} h(s)y_0(s)ds \geq \int_{0}^{2\pi} (g_+y_0^+(s) - G_-y_0^-(s))ds,$$

a contradiction with assumption (iii). ☐
By combining Theorem 2 with Theorem 1 and Remark 1 (in the case where \( a \in \mathbb{N}^* \)), and with Theorem 1 (when \( a \in \mathbb{N}^* \)), one can obtain existence and multiplicity results for (5)-(6) in the neighbourhood of \( \lambda = 0 \).
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Modern Wiener-Hopf methods in diffraction theory

This survey paper is an introduction to the operator theoretical approach to classes of diffraction problems for the Helmholtz equation and a half-plane screen. In contrast to the classical pioneering work of Jones, Noble, Weinstein [24,53,70] and others, problems are now studied in a well-posed Sobolev space setting. For a number of typical reference problems one-to-one correspondence to solutions of Wiener-Hopf systems is proved rigorously and leads to factorization problems for certain non-rational matrix functions. Algorithms for canonical or generalized Wiener-Hopf factorization are developed by combination of algebraic, operator and function theoretic ideas. The explicit representation of the diffracted fields can therefore be analyzed in detail, e.g. by singular expansions near the edge, which are known to be important for numerical treatment.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a famous paper [61] Sommerfeld studied in 1896 an optical diffraction problem with a semi-infinite screen, the so-called "Sommerfeld half-plane problem", which also has interpretations in acoustics and in electromagnetic theory [53]. He considered a nontransparent screen \( \Sigma = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x > 0, y = 0\} \) and a time-harmonic incoming plane wave \( \text{Re}(e^{2\pi i t/\tau} u_{\text{inc}}(x,y,z)) \) with complex amplitude

\[
u_{\text{inc}}(x,y) = e^{ik(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta)} \tag{1.1}
\]

not depending upon \( z \), i.e. the wave propagates perpendicular to the edge \( x = y = 0, z \in \mathbb{R} \) so that we face a two-dimensional problem forgetting about \( z \). The wave number \( k \) is assumed to fulfil \( \text{Re} k > 0 \) and \( \text{Im} k > 0 \) due to a lossy medium.

The diffracted or scattered field \( u_{\text{inc}} \) as well as the total field

\[
u_{\text{tot}} = u_{\text{inc}} + u_{\text{sc}}
\]

then satisfy the Helmholtz equation. Further the argument
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of vanishing electrical components on the banks $\Sigma^\pm$ of $\Sigma$ yields that the limits of $u_{SC}$ are given by the values $g(x)$ of $-u_{inc}(x,y)$ on $\Sigma^\pm$; energy considerations lead to the well-known edge and radiation conditions [6,38,39]. Altogether we have for $u = u_{SC}$

$$(\Delta + k^2)u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 - \Sigma$$

$$u_0^\pm = u|_{y=\pm 0} = g \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma^\pm$$

$$\nabla u(x,y) = o(r^{-1/2}), \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \to 0$$

$$(x,y) \cdot \nabla - ikru(x,y) = o(r^{1/2}), \quad r \to \infty.$$  

This problem can be seen as a special case from the following class of *mixed boundary-transmission problems* shown in figure 1. Those are worth studying in order to illuminate the nature of diffracted wave fields as a function of media and screen properties.

The Helmholtz equation is here replaced by two proper elliptic partial differential equations $L^\pm u = 0$ of second order with constant coefficients holding in the open half-planes $\Omega^\pm : y \geq 0$. The Dirichlet data given before

Figure 1
on $\mathbb{R}^\pm$ are considered as particular linear combinations $V^\pm u$ of the Cauchy data $u^+_0 = u|_{y=\pm 0}$ and $u^-_1 = (au/\partial y)|_{y=\pm 0}$ with constant complex coefficients, which setting includes many physically relevant situations [64,65]. Since the PDE is no more assumed to hold across the "complementary screen" $\Sigma': x < 0, y < 0$ we add other suitable conditions, e.g. vanishing jumps of the Cauchy data. It should be remarked that, for different media in $-\mathbb{R}$, the jump conditions $[u]^0_0 = 0, [\partial u/\partial y]^0_0 = 0$ with piecewise constant $\rho(x,y) = \rho^\pm$ in $\mathbb{R}^\pm$ make more sense ("refraction law" [41]). But then the analysis is not more complicated, if we even admit arbitrary boundary conditions on $\Sigma'$ (from the same class as on $\Sigma$ provided they are reasonable, i.e. of "normal type" [45,63]).

The desire of a well-posed setting and a general formulation of the edge and the radiation condition (which gave rise to discussions in the past [12, 20]) lead us to investigate the following principal questions.

1. **The choice of appropriate function spaces** (which are not proposed by nature) is influenced by: (i) the physical argument to have local finite scattering energy (edge condition) and outgoing scattered waves (radiation condition); (ii) the mathematical desire to obtain (as easy as possible) well-posed problems, i.e. existence and uniqueness of a solution and continuous dependence on the known data. This leads to Sobolev spaces, $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^\pm) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^-)$, so that $V u \in L^2$ holds (due to finite energy of the scattered field) and consequently to the trace spaces $H^{1/2} = H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ for the Cauchy data $u^+_0, u^-_1, u^+_1, u^-_0$ to $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for their restrictions to $\Sigma$, and to the closed subspaces $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ of $H^{1/2}$ functionals supported on $\mathbb{R}_+$ for the jumps $[u]^0_0 = u^+_0 - u^-_0, [\partial u/\partial y]^0_0 = u^+_1 - u^-_1$ (or, more generally, for those data which are assumed to vanish on $\Sigma'$).

2. **The functional analytic framework** is naturally determined by the type of boundary integral equations on $\mathbb{R}^\pm$ [45]. Systems of convolution type operators on $\mathbb{R}_+$, so-called Wiener-Hopf (WH) operators [73]

\[ W : H^r_j(\mathbb{R}_+) \times H^s_j(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow H^r_j(\mathbb{R}_+) \times H^s_j(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad (1.3) \]

appear in the centre of the studies ($|r_j| = |s_j| = 1/2$). Their operator theory is best understood in the sense of pseudodifferential operators (of order $r_j - s_j$) [14,68] and general Wiener-Hopf operators [10,62].
3. The representation of the solution in the numerical sense has been frequently discussed [6,67,71] - mostly for modified geometrical situations like bounded smooth or piecewise smooth screens as obstacles. A question for effective computing (multigrid methods, mesh refinement, s-p or mixed BEM-FEMs [3]) consists of the (additive) splitting of singular terms. Local theory [14,16] tells us roughly speaking that the first term behaviour of the solution near critical points coincides with the analogue of the corresponding canonical problem (with curvilinear geometry). This motivates the investigation of half-plane problems first of all, since explicit analytical solution by means of the Fourier transformation and factorization of the symbol matrix function known from the Wiener-Hopf technique [53] yield a singular expansion of the field [14].

4. Qualitative results are supplemented by the study of the regularity of the solution in the language of $H^s$ spaces [60] and of the far-field behaviour (radiation pattern) [25], which is also based on the explicit solution by Wiener-Hopf factorization. Since factorization methods are known in the scalar case for several classes of decomposing algebras [52] and, in the systems case for rational matrix functions [1,5], much progress has been achieved in recent years for classes of nonrational $2 \times 2$ matrix functions, which typically appear in mathematical physics and have the form

$$G = c_1Q_1 + c_2Q_2,$$

(1.4)

with scalar functions $c_j$ in the Wiener algebra and rational matrix functions $Q_j$ [22,50].

We close this section with an overview in table 1 concerning the principal methods and key classification words in our philosophy for treating a reference problem $P$. 
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Main features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boundary value/ transmission problem</td>
<td>Closed-form solution in a well-posed setting</td>
<td>Weak formulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal-type boundary operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Compatibility conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence</td>
<td>Representation formulae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems of WH equations</td>
<td>Explicit representation of bounded inverse operators</td>
<td>Lifting of $L^2$ by Bessel potential operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fredholm criterion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Modified space setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence</td>
<td>WH operator theory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourier symbol matrix function</td>
<td>Canonical or generalized (ready) factorization</td>
<td>Decomposing algebras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Piecewise continuous matrices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$p - p$ regularity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial indices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>Separation of function theoretic and algebraic aspects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes of non-rational matrix functions</td>
<td>Factorization procedure</td>
<td>Rational matrix functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Commutative algebras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Khrapkov and paired form matrices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rational transformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exponential increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pole cancellation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further conclusions</td>
<td>Fourier integrals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative behaviour</td>
<td>Computation of singularities and far-field pattern</td>
<td>Abel-type theorems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Series expansion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. **THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM**

Considering the first reference problem $P_D$, we look for a function $u \in H^1(\Omega^+) \times H^1(\Omega^-)$, i.e. $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\|\cdot\|_{\Omega^\pm} \in H^1(\Omega^\pm)$, such that

\[(\Delta + \kappa^2)u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega^+ \quad (2.1)\]

\[u_0^\pm = u(-, y)\big|_{y=\pm 0} = g^\pm \quad \text{on } \Sigma \quad (2.2)\]

\[f_0 = u_0^+ - u_0^- = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma' \quad (2.3)\]

\[f_1 = u_1^+ - u_1^- = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma'\]

hold where $\Omega^\pm = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \geq 0\}$ and $\Sigma, \Sigma'$, $\kappa$ are defined as before, $u_0^\pm = \partial u / \partial y|_{y=\pm 0}$ denotes the unknown Neumann data (in the sense of distributions on the full line), and $g^+ = g^- \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma)$ is given (we identify $\Sigma$ with $\mathbb{R} \times (0,\infty)$).

We outline now the steps of the (general) solution procedure mentioned in section 1 referring to [63] for the proofs, although, in this simplest case, we will find only one scalar WH equation.

**THEOREM 2.1:** A function $u \in H^1(\Omega^+) \times H^1(\Omega^-)$ satisfies the Helmholtz equation (2.1), iff it is represented by

\[u(x,y) = F_{x \to u}^{-1} \{ e^{-t(\xi)}\hat{u}_0^{\pm}(\xi)\phi_0^{\pm}(y) + e^{t(\xi)}\hat{u}_0^{\mp}(\xi)\phi_0^{\mp}(y) \} \quad (2.4)\]

for $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with

\[\hat{u}_0^{\pm}(\xi) = F_{x \to u} u_0^{\pm}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} u_0^{\pm}(x) \, dx \quad (2.5)\]

\[t(\xi) = (\xi^2 - \kappa^2)^{1/2}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}.\]

$Fu_0^{\pm}$ are the Fourier transforms of the data $u_0^{\pm} \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ (in the sense of the trace theorem [34]) and $t$ denotes the branch of the square root that tends to $+\infty$ as $\xi \to \pm \infty$ with branch cuts along $\pm k \pm i\omega$, $\omega \geq 0$. 
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For convenience we introduce the column vectors of data of a solution $u$ of (2.1) on the line $y = 0$

$$\begin{align*}
  u_0 &= \left(\begin{array}{c} u^+_0 \\ u^-_0 \end{array}\right), \quad u_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c} u^+_1 \\ u^-_1 \end{array}\right), \\
  f &= \left(\begin{array}{c} f^0 \\ f_1 \end{array}\right), \quad g = \left(\begin{array}{c} u^+_0 - u^-_0 \\ u^+_0 + u^-_0 \end{array}\right).
\end{align*}$$

A simple but important observation follows from the representation theorem, Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2:** (i) The data in (2.6) satisfy

$$\begin{align*}
  u_0 \in H^{1/2} \times H^{1/2}, \quad u_1 \in H^{-1/2} \times H^{-1/2}, \\
  f \in H^{1/2} \times H^{-1/2}, \quad g \in H^{1/2} \times H^{1/2}.
\end{align*}$$

(2) They are in one-to-one correspondence by translation-invariant (convolution or pseudodifferential) operators on the line, in particular there holds

$$\begin{align*}
  f &= B_- u_0 = F^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -t & -t \end{pmatrix} \cdot F u_0 \\
  g &= B_+ u_0 = F^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot F u_0
\end{align*}$$

(with continuous "boundary operators" $B_\pm$ due to $\Sigma = R_+$ and $\Sigma' = R_-)$.

(3) The trace operator $T_0 : u \mapsto u_0$ on the space

$$U = \{ u \in H^1(\Omega^+) \times H^1(\Omega^-) : (\Delta + k^2)u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega^+ \}$$

is continuously invertible by the potential operator $G : u_0 \mapsto u$ given by the formula (2.4).
(4) For a solution of the Dirichlet problem $P_D$ there hold

$$f \in \tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times \tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Sigma), \ g \in \tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{1/2}(R)$$

(2.9)

i.e., $1_+ f = f$ and $1_+ g \in \tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{1/2}(\Sigma)$ with $1_+(x) = 0$ for $x > 0$ and $1_+(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 0$.

The study of the dependence $u \rightarrow u_0 \rightarrow f \rightarrow g$ leads to the following equivalence theorem where we can change over to a scalar notation, since one of the (jump) data in which we represent the solution is known throughout $\Sigma U \Sigma'$ according to

$$g = B + B^- f = F^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot F f.$$  

(2.10)

**Theorem 2.3:** The Dirichlet problem $P_D$, see (2.1)-(2.3), is equivalent to the single WH equation

$$Wf_1 = 1_+ \cdot Af_1 = -(g_+ + g^-)$$

(2.11)

with linear bounded operators

$$W : \tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Sigma)$$

(2.12)

$$A = F^{-1}t^{-1} \cdot F : \tilde{H}^{-1/2} \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{1/2}.$$  

A solution $f$ of (2.11) yields a solution $u$ of (2.1)-(2.3) in the form

$$u = Gu_0 = GB^-_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ W^{-1}(-g_+ - g^-) \end{pmatrix}$$

(2.13)

Thus the correctness of $P_D$ is equivalent to the bounded invertibility of $W$, which is known to be equivalent to a certain factorization of the Fourier symbol function $t^{-1}$ of $A$ [14,63,68].

**Theorem 2.4:** The WH operator $W$ in (2.11)-(2.12) is invertible by
\( u^{-1} = A_+^{-1} A_-^{-1} \) \quad (2.14)

where \( \xi \colon H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(R) \) is any extension (e.g. even extension by reflection [46]) and \( A_{\pm} \) are defined by

\[
A_\pm = F^{-1} t_{\pm}^{-1/2} \cdot F, \quad t_\pm(\xi) = (\xi \pm k), \quad \xi \in R
\]

\( A_+ : H^{-1/2} \rightarrow L^2, \quad A_- : L^2 \rightarrow H^{1/2} \) \quad (2.15)

(so that \( t = t_+ t_-, \quad A = A_- A_+ \) hold).

In the physically most important case where the Dirichlet data coincide on the banks of the screen (\( g^+ = g^- \)) and, as a consequence of (2.3), coincide also on the line (\( u^+_0 = u^-_0 \)), the result can be written in a simpler form as follows.

**COROLLARY 2.5:** The Dirichlet problem \( \mathbb{D} \) is well-posed for any \( g^+ \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \). The solution is given by (2.13) \( u = Gu_0, \quad u_0 = (u^+_0, u^-_0)^T \), and

\[
u^+_0 = \Pi g^+ = A_+ t_+ A_-^{-1} g^+ \in H^{1/2}. \quad (2.16)
\]

The dependence \( g^+ \mapsto u^+_0 \mapsto u, \quad H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(R) \rightarrow H^1(R^2) \) is continuous.

**COROLLARY 2.6:** If \( g^\pm \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \) differ, the Dirichlet problem is solvable iff the compatibility condition

\[
\g^+ - g^- \in \tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Sigma) \quad (2.17)
\]

is satisfied. Then \( u = Gu_0 \) is given by (2.4) and

\[
u^+_0 = \pm \zeta_0 (\g^+ - g^-) + \Pi g^+ (\g^+ + g^-), \quad (2.18)
\]

where \( \zeta_0 \) and \( \zeta_e \) denote zero and even extension, respectively, and \( \Pi = A_- A_+^{-1} \) a projector in \( H^{1/2} \) again. The dependence
\[
\begin{align*}
(g^+ - g^-) &\leftrightarrow (u_0^+ - u_0^-) \leftrightarrow u \\
\tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times \tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Sigma) + \tilde{H}^{1/2}(R)^2 + \tilde{H}^1(\Omega^+) \times \tilde{H}^1(\Omega^-)
\end{align*}
\]

is continuous.

This result follows from (2.13) after replacing the zero jump by \( \xi_0(g^+ - g^-) \).

A direct consequence of the solution formula is the singular behaviour near the "edge" \( x = y = 0 \). For simplicity consider the Neumann data jump given by

\[
f_1 = -\xi^{-1}(g^+ + g^-) = -A_+^{-1}eA_+^{-1}E(g^+ + g^-)
\]

in the case of smooth and rapidly decreasing (physically relevant) data \( g^+ = g^- \in S(\Sigma) \subset H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \). Since \( f_1 \) does not depend on the choice of \( \xi(g^+ + g^-) \) (see [14] Lemma 4.6), one can take a continuation in \( S(R) \), which yields \( \phi = A_+^{-1}E(g^+ + g^-) \in C^\infty(R) \cap L^2(R) \) according to the translation invariance of \( A_+^{-1} \) and order \( A_+^{-1} = 1/2 \). So the singular behaviour of \( f_1 \) near the origin (as a kind of nonsmoothness) is directly connected to the action of the translation-invariant operator \( A_+^{-1} \) on functions \( \phi \) for \( \phi \in S \) according to Abelian theorems for the Fourier transformation, i.e. it depends directly on the increase of the Fourier symbol \( t_+^0(\xi) = (\xi + k)^{1/2} \) of \( A_+^{-1} \) at infinity [63,64]. The representation formula (4) then yields a corresponding behaviour for \( \nabla u \) (whilst \( u \) is bounded).

**COROLLARY 2.7:** The solution of the Dirichlet problem for \( g^\pm \in S(\Sigma) \), \( g^+(+0) = g^-(+0) \), satisfies

\[
\nabla u \sim \text{const} \cdot r^{-1/2}, \ r = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2} \in R^2 - \Sigma.
\]
3. THE D/N SOMMERFELD PROBLEM

Since about 1975 several authors [19,40,56,57,63,64] investigated the problem $P_{\text{D/N}}$ given by (2.1)-(2.3) where (2.2) is replaced by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{u}_0^+ &= \mathbf{u}(.,y)|_{y=0} = \mathbf{g}^+ & \text{on } \Sigma, \\
\mathbf{u}_1^+ &= \mathbf{\partial u/\partial y}(.,y)|_{y=0} = \mathbf{g}^- 
\end{align*}
$$

which are physically motivated by soft/hard-covered or perfectly conducting/nonconducting surfaces.

In our setting it is reasonable to assume $\mathbf{g}^+ \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma)$, $\mathbf{g}^- \in H^{-1/2}(\Sigma)$ as a consequence of Theorem 2.1. The above-mentioned procedure (as well as the classical approach) leads to a "simultaneous system" of WH equations and to the question of factoring a special matrix, which was solved by Rawlins, Heins and Meister [19,40,56,57]. But first we outline results, which are analogous to those about $P_{\text{D}}$ before. The letters $W$, $A$, $B_+$ are used for the corresponding operators and $\mathbf{g}$ for data given on $\Sigma$.

**THEOREM 3.1:** A function $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Sigma^+) \times H^1(\Sigma^-)$ is a solution of problem $P_{\text{P/D}}$ iff it is represented by formulae (2.4) and (2.6a) where $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{f}_0, \mathbf{f}_1)^T \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Sigma)$ is a solution of

$$
\mathbf{Wf} = 1, \mathbf{Af} = \mathbf{g}
$$

with $\mathbf{(B_+)}$ is replaced due to the boundary conditions (3.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{A} &= \mathbf{B_+}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_-^{-1} = \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{F} : H^{1/2} \times H^{-1/2} \rightarrow H^{1/2} \times H^{-1/2} \\
\mathbf{\phi} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ -t & -t \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & t^{-1} \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
\mathbf{g} &= (\mathbf{g}^+, \mathbf{g}^-)^T \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Sigma).
\end{align*}
$$

The problem $P_{\text{D/N}}$ is well-posed (for all data $\mathbf{G}$ and with respect to this topology) iff $W$ is (bounded) invertible.
PROPOSITION 3.2: A (function theoretic) factorization of \( \phi = \phi_+ \phi_- \) into factors, which are continuous on \( \mathbb{R} \), holomorphic in \( \mathbb{C}^\pm = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } \xi \geq 0 \} \), invertible in \( \mathbb{C}^\pm \), and have algebraic growth at infinity, is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 & t^{-1} \\
t & 1
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{(4k)} \begin{pmatrix}
t_{++} & \frac{1}{t} t_{--} \\
\frac{t}{t} & t_{+-}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
t_{++} & -\frac{1}{t} t_{--} \\
t_{+-} & t_{+-}
\end{pmatrix} (3.4)
\]

with \( t_{\pm\pm}(\xi) = \sqrt{(2k) \pm \sqrt{(k \pm \xi)}} \) where the first/second index corresponds to the first/second sign, respectively (the factors \(-1/2\) from (3.3) and \(1/\sqrt{(4k)}\) can be put somewhere).

REMARK 3.3: If the order of a translation-invariant operator \( T \) is denoted by \( s = \text{ord } T \) provided \( T : H^r \rightarrow H^{r-s} \) is continuous for \( r \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( s \) is minimal, we observe (in suggestive notation for the systems case)

\[
\text{ord } A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (3.5)
\]

\[
\text{ord } \tilde{A}_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & -3/4 \\ 5/4 & 1/4 \end{pmatrix}
\]

for \( \tilde{A}_{\pm} = F^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}_ \pm F \). The last assertion implies only

\[
\tilde{A}_{-} \tilde{A}_{+} : H^{1/2} \times H^{-1/2} \longrightarrow H^{1/4} \times H^{-3/4} \longrightarrow H^{0} \times H^{-1} (3.6)
\]

in contrast \( \gamma A : H^{1/2} \times H^{-1/2} \rightarrow H^{1/2} \times H^{-1/2} \). Roughly speaking, all orders of the factor elements are too high by \( 1/4 \).

This phenomenon is possible, because terms of highest increase in (3.4) cancel out \([19]\), e.g.

\[
t_{++}(\xi)t_{++}(\xi) + t_{--}(\xi)t_{--}(\xi) = \sqrt{(4k)}, \xi \in \mathbb{R} (3.7)
\]

instead of \( O(|\xi|^{1/2}) \) as \( |\xi| \rightarrow \infty \). As a functional analytic interpretation, a bounded operator \( A : X \rightarrow X = H^{1/2} \times H^{-1/2} \) is factored into unbounded (densely
defined) operators $\tilde{A}_2 : D(\Lambda_2) \to X$. This becomes clearer after lifting the operator $W$ on the $L^2$ (order-zero) level in order to use the theory of singular integral operators of Cauchy type \cite{52}; see \cite{45}, Prop. 3.1, for details.

**Lemma 3.4:** The WH operator $W$ in (3.2) is equivalent to the (lifted) WH operator

$$W_0 = 1_+ A_0 \in L^2(\Sigma)^2 \in L(L^2(\Sigma))^2$$

with

$$A_0 = \Phi^{-1}_0 \cdot F = \Lambda_- A \Lambda_+ \in L(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$$

$$\Phi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} t^- & 0 \\ 0 & t^- \end{pmatrix} \phi(t^-, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -t/+t_+ & 1 \\ 1 & t_/+t_- \end{pmatrix}. $$

That is, $W$ and $W_0$ are connected by invertible factors:

$$W_0 = T_+ W T_+$$

$$T_+ = 1_+ A_+ \in L^2(\Sigma)^2 \to H^1/2(\Sigma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Sigma)$$

$$T^{-1}_+ = 1_+ \Lambda_+ \in L^2(\Sigma) \times H^1/2(\Sigma) \to L^2(\Sigma)^2$$

$$T^- = 1_+ \Lambda_- \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \to L^2(\Sigma)^2$$

$$T^{-1} = 1_+ \Lambda_- \in L^2(\Sigma)^2$$

where $\ell_0$, $\ell$, $\ell_{\text{odd}}$ denote extensions by zero, as an even or odd functional, respectively. ($\ell_0$ is usually dropped due to the identification of $L^2(\Sigma)$ and $1_+ L^2(\mathbb{R})$; furthermore $1_+$ can be dropped in $T_+$ and the extensions in $T^{-1}_+$ can be replaced by any others, which act into the corresponding space $H^s(\mathbb{R})$.)

According to the holomorphy properties of $t_\pm$, WH factorizations of $\phi$ and $\phi_0$ can be performed into each other. The existence of a standard \cite{5,16} or
(synonymously) a canonical \([15,52]\) factorization of \(\phi_0\) (which involves a bounded operator factorization of \(A_0\) and thus of \(A\)) is disproved \([54]\) by the fact that the symbol matrix function \(\phi_0\) has a discontinuity at \(\infty\) due to \(t_+(\xi)/t_+(\xi) \rightarrow \pm 1\) as \(\xi \rightarrow \pm \infty\). On the other hand, the theory of singular integral equations \([52]\) ensures the existence of a generalized factorization (due to an unbounded operator factorization of \(A_0\))

\[
\phi_0 = \phi_{0-} D \phi_{0+}
\]

(3.11)

with factors in weighted \(L^2\) spaces \(\phi_{0\pm} \in L^2(R, d\rho)^{2 \times 2}\), \(\rho(\xi) = (\xi^2 + 1)^{-1/2}\) holomorphic extensions \(\phi_{0\pm}\) in \(\mathbb{C}^+\) and \(\phi_{0\pm}\) in \(\mathbb{C}^-,\) and a middle term

\[
D(\xi) = \text{diag}\left(\left(\frac{\xi-1}{\xi+1}\right)^{\kappa_1}, \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\xi+1}\right)^{\kappa_2}\right).
\]

This is a consequence of piecewise continuity of \(\phi_0\) (on \(\hat{R} = R \cup \{\infty\}\)) and the Fredholm criterion for \(W_0:\)

\[
\det \phi_0(\xi) \neq 0, \xi \in R
\]

(3.12)

\[
\det[\mu \phi_0(-\infty) + (1 - \mu) \phi_0(+\infty)] \neq 0, \mu \in [0,1].
\]

A generalized factorization of \(\phi_0\) (with \(D = I\)) can now be obtained from (3.4), (3.9) and an additional manipulation with rational matrix functions in the middle by putting

\[
\phi_0 = \phi_{0-} \cdot \phi_{0+}
\]

(3.13)

\[
\phi_0 = \phi_{0-} \cdot \phi_{0+}
\]

see \([64]\), Theorem 4.4. For the corresponding operators \(A_{0\pm} = F^{-1} \phi_{0\pm} \cdot F\) one observes the orders

\[
\text{ord } A_{0-} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & -1/4 \\ 1/4 & -1/4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{ord } A_{0+} = \begin{pmatrix} -1/4 & -1/4 \\ 1/4 & 1/4 \end{pmatrix}
\]

(3.14)
which add up to zero in the composition, i.e. \( A_0 = A_0^- A_0^+ \) represents an unbounded operator factorization in the \( L^2 \) sense but the setting

\[
A_0^- A_0^+ : L^2 \times L^2 \xrightarrow{A_0^+} H^{1/4} \times H^{-1/4} \xrightarrow{A_0^-} L^2 \times L^2 \quad (3.15)
\]

allows an additional interpretation with bounded operators (in remarkable contrast to (3.6)) with intermediate spaces \( H^{\pm 1/4} \) where the projector on \( H^{\pm 1/4}(\Sigma) \) is also bounded; cf. [14]. We obtain the following results.

**THEOREM 3.5:** The WH operator \( W_0 \) in (3.8) is (bounded) invertible by

\[
W_0^{-1} = A_0^+ A_0^- L^2(\Sigma) \quad (3.16)
\]

where \( A_0^\pm = F^{-1} \phi_0^\pm F \) are either interpreted as unbounded \( L^2 \) operators or bijections in the setting (3.15).

**COROLLARY 3.6:** The inverse of \( W \) in (3.2) is given by

\[
W^{-1} = A_+^{-1} A_{-1} |_{H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Sigma)} \quad (3.17)
\]

\[
A_\pm = F^{-1} \phi_\pm \cdot F
\]

\[
\phi_- = \hat{\phi} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -i\xi & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ i\xi & 1 \end{pmatrix} \hat{\phi}_+
\]

with bounded operators, if \( 1_\Sigma \) is considered to act on \( H^{1/4} \times H^{-1/4} \) (and \( \Sigma = \text{diag}(\xi, \xi_{\text{odd}}) \) for instance).

**COROLLARY 3.7:** The solution of \( P_{D/N} \) for \( g \in S(\Sigma)^2 \subseteq H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \) satisfies

\[
u u \sim \text{const.} r^{-3/4}, \quad r = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 - \Sigma. \quad (3.18)
\]
4. PROBLEMS WITH GENERAL FIRST- AND SECOND-KIND TRANSMISSION CONDITIONS

In this chapter we would like to answer the question: "Is the non-square-root singular (3.18) an ordinary or an exceptional phenomenon?" For this purpose we consider a class of diffraction problems $P$ for $u \in H^1(\Omega^+) \times H^1(\Omega^-)$ of the form

$$(\Delta + k^2)u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega^+$$

$$a_0u_0^+ + b_0u_0^- = h_0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma$$

$$a_1u_1^+ + b_1u_1^- = h_1 \quad \text{(4.2)}$$

$$a_0'u_0^+ + b_0'u_0^- = h_0' \quad \text{on } \Sigma'$$

$$a_1'u_1^+ + b_1'u_1^- = h_1' \quad \text{(4.3)}$$

where $a_0, \ldots, b_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ are known constant coefficients and $h_0 \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma), h_1 \in H^{-1/2}(\Sigma), h_0' \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma'), h_1' \in H^{-1/2}(\Sigma')$ are assumed. There are several physically relevant examples in this class of problems; see [64] where also the case of different wave numbers in $\Omega^\pm$ is discussed.

For simplicity we avoid cases that lead to decomposing WH systems, see [63], or matrix WH operators with nonclosed ranges according to a minority of less important (artificial) diffraction problems. $P$ is said to be of normal type [52], if the boundary operators

$$B_\pm : H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})^2 \to H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R})$$

$$B_+ : F^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_0 \\ -a_1t & b_1t \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = F^{-1} \sigma_{B_+} \cdot F$$

$$B_- : F^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_0' & b_0' \\ -a_1't & b_1't \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = F^{-1} \sigma_{B_-} \cdot F$$

have Fourier symbols $\sigma_{B_\pm}$ which are regular on $R$ and stable at $R = [64]$, i.e.
\[
\det \sigma_{B_\pm}(\xi) \neq 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}
\] (4.5)

\[
[\det \sigma_{B_\pm}(\xi)]^{\pm 1} = O(|\xi|^{\pm 1}), \quad |\xi| \to \infty
\]

hold. This obviously is equivalent to the fact that the data combinations
in (4.2)-(4.3) (considered as defined on the full line) are in one-to-one
 correspondence with the Dirichlet data \(u_0\) in the sense of the space setting
(4.4); see Theorem 2.1 for this proof. By analogy with the previous
investigation we obtain the following results [64].

**THEOREM 4.1:** Let \(P\) be of normal type. A function \(u \in H^1(\Omega^+) \times H^1(\Omega^-)\)
represents a solution of problem \(P\), iff (i) \(u\) is of the form (2.4) where \(u_0^\pm\)
are given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    u_0^+ \\
    u_0^-
\end{pmatrix}
= B_+^{-1} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
    v^+ \\
    w^+
\end{pmatrix} + \left( \begin{pmatrix}
    \delta h_0^+ \\
    2_{\text{odd}} h_1^+
\end{pmatrix} \right) \right\}
\] (4.6)

and (ii) \((v_+, w_+)\) is a solution of the WH system

\[
W \begin{pmatrix}
    v^+ \\
    w^+
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
    h_0^+ \\
    h_1^+
\end{pmatrix} - 1_+ B_+ B_-^{-1} \begin{pmatrix}
    \delta h_0^+ \\
    2_{\text{odd}} h_1^+
\end{pmatrix}
\] (4.7)

\(W = 1_+ \cdot F^{-1} \phi \cdot F : H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \to H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Sigma)\)

\(\phi = \sigma_{B_+} \sigma_{B_-}^{-1} \cdot \)

An elementary computation of the symbol yields

\[
\phi = 1 \left( \begin{array}{cc}
    a_0 b_1^* + b_0 a_1^* & (-a_0 b_1^* + b_0 a_1^*) t^{-1} \\
    -a_1 b_1^* + b_1 a_1^* t & a_1 b_0^* + b_1 a_0^*
\end{array} \right)
\] (4.8)

see (3.3) for \(P = P_{D/H^*}\). In brief and after elementary transformation this
can be written as
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iff the system does not decompose. The corresponding reference problems $P_{\lambda}$ obviously form equivalence classes with respect to their functional analytic structure. The number

$$\lambda = \frac{ad}{bc} \in \mathbb{C}$$

is called the characteristic parameter of $P$. We introduce the lifted operators $U_0$, $A_0$ and $\phi_0$ by analogy to Lemma 3.4 and conclude for a normal-type problem:

**PROPOSITION 4.2:** $W$ is a Fredholm operator, iff

$$ad = 0, \ bc \neq 0$$

or

$$ad \neq 0, \ \lambda \notin [1,\infty)$$

holds (in the decomposing or nondecomposing case, respectively).

Thus we know about the existence of a generalized factorization (3.11) of $\phi_0$, if (4.12) is satisfied, as we did in the case $P_{D/H}$ that corresponds to $\lambda = -1$. But the explicit factorization formulae become more complicated now. They are obtained by the method of Khapkov [29] and Daniele [8,9]; see [64] for details.

**PROPOSITION 4.3:** For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $0 \neq \lambda \neq 1$, a function theoretic factorization (in the sense of Proposition 3.2) is given by

$$\sigma = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & t^{-1} \\ \lambda^{-1}t & 1 \end{array} \right) = \sigma \circ \sigma^*$$
\[ \sigma_\pm = (1 - \lambda^{-1})^{1/4} \left\{ \cosh[C \log \gamma_\pm] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sinh[C \log \gamma_\pm] \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^{1/2}/t \\ t/\lambda^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \]

with
\[ C = \frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{\lambda^{1/2} + 1}{\lambda^{1/2} - 1} \] (4.14)
\[ \gamma_\pm(\xi) = \frac{(k \pm \xi)^{1/2} + i(k \mp \xi)^{1/2}}{(2k)^{1/2}} \]

and \( \arg \lambda^{1/2} \in [0, \pi) \), \( \arg \[(\lambda^{1/2} + 1)/(\lambda^{1/2} - 1)\] \( \in [-\pi, 0] \), \( \arg k^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \arg k \).

It turns out that \( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{O} \). \( \mathcal{F} \) suffers from a similar order deficiency as \( \mathcal{A} \) did in (3.5) and that this can be corrected by the same trick; see [64], Chap. 4. We present the result for the reference problem \( \mathcal{P}_\lambda \), since the relation to \( \mathcal{P} \) is obvious from (4.9).

**Proposition 4.4:** For \( \lambda \not\in [1, \infty) \) a generalized factorization (3.11) of the lifted matrix is given by
\[ \sigma_0 = \sigma_{0-} \sigma_{0+} = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \xi/\sqrt{\lambda} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_+ \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \] (4.15)

The corresponding operators \( \mathcal{A}_{0\pm} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \sigma_{0\pm} \cdot \mathcal{F} \) satisfy
\[ \text{ord } \mathcal{A}_{0-} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta) & \frac{1}{2}(c - 1) \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta) & \frac{1}{2}(c - 1) \end{pmatrix} \] (4.16)
\[ \text{ord } \mathcal{A}_{0+} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(c - 1) & \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta) \\ \frac{1}{2}(c - 1) & \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta) \end{pmatrix} \]

with \( c = \Re C \in (0, 1] \).

Therefore we obtain exactly the same interpretation as for the problem \( \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{N}} \) where \( \delta = 1/2 \) holds.
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THEOREM 4.5: Let $P$ be of normal type and nondecomposing ($abcd \neq 0$). The WH operator $\mathcal{W}$ in (4.7) is invertible (and $P$ well-posed) iff the characteristic parameter satisfies $\lambda \notin [1,\infty)$. In this case the inverse has the form of (3.17) with

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_- &= \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d/b \end{array} \right) \sigma_- \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ \varepsilon/\sqrt{\lambda} & 1 \end{array} \right) \\
\varphi_+ &= \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ -\varepsilon/\sqrt{\lambda} & 1 \end{array} \right) \sigma_+ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)
\end{align*}
(4.17)
$$

(and intermediate space $H^{1/2(1-\delta)} \times H^{-1/2(1-\delta)}$).

COROLLARY 4.6: For sufficiently nice data, $(h_0, h_1) \in S(\Sigma)$ say, the singular behaviour of the solution of a problem from the class $P_\lambda(\lambda \notin [1,\infty))$ is described by

$$
\forall u \sim \text{const} \cdot r^{5/2-1}, \quad r \to 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 - \Sigma \cup \Sigma',
(4.18)
$$

with

$$
\delta = \text{Re} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\lambda^{1/2} + 1}{\lambda^{1/2} - 1} \right] \in (0,1].
$$

The factorization (4.15) of $v_0$ is bounded (and thus the related operator factors are bounded with respect to the corresponding spaces), iff $\delta = 1$, i.e. $\lambda \in (0,1)$, is satisfied. These are the only cases where the square-root singularity appears. For all other parameters $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - [0,\infty)$ of well-posed problems, the order of the singularity is higher, namely

$$
\delta/2 - 1 \in (-1,-1/2).
(4.19)
$$

We would like to close this section with a humorous comment. The "mathematicians' answer " to our question at the beginning is that (4.19) represents the "ordinary case" whilst the square-root singularity appears only for a very small parameter set, $\lambda \in (0,1)$, of measure zero in $\mathbb{C} - [1,\infty)$, and is therefore considered "exceptional".
The "physicist's answer" might be the opposite, since most of the problems are as unnatural as $P_{D/N}$, which represents an idealized model (perfect conductance and isolation do not really exist). If one therefore accepts only impedance conditions, one finds nothing but square-root singularities, as we shall see in the next section.

5. PROBLEMS WITH IMPEDANCE AND OTHER THIRD-KIND CONDITIONS

From the physical point of view, third-kind conditions often make more sense than do Dirichlet or Neumann conditions. As a reference problem, we first study the impedance problem $P_{Imp}$ with different face impedances $p^\pm$ on the banks of $\Sigma$ where $u \in H^1(\Omega^+) \times H^1(\Omega^-)$ must satisfy

$$\begin{align*}
(\Delta + k^2)u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega^+ \\
u^+_1 + ip^+_0 = h^+ \\
u^-_1 - ip^-_0 = h^- \\
f_0 = u^+_0 - u^-_0 &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma \\
f_1 = u^+_1 - u^-_1 &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma'.
\end{align*}$$

(5.1)-(5.3)

It is assumed that $\Re p^\pm > 0$, $\Im p^\pm > 0$ hold and $h^\pm$ are given in $H^{-1/2}(\Sigma)$.

In view of the classical approach we refer to [53, 59] for $p^+ = p^-$, and to [9, 21, 36, 37] for $p^+ \neq p^-$. Sobolev space considerations can be found in [13, 43, 65]. In our opinion the most interesting mathematical questions are: How to modify the space setting in order to obtain a well-posed problem? How to find the (function theoretic) WH factorization and to perform it into a canonical or generalized one? Therefore we start with an observation, which is similar to (2.17) [43, 65].

**Lemma 5.1:** A necessary condition for $P_{Imp}$ to be solvable (in the above-mentioned setting) reads

$$h^+ - h^- \in H^{-1/2}(\Sigma)$$

(5.4)
This is a consequence of

\[ h^+ - h^- = 1_+(f^+_1 + ip^+u^+_0 + ip^-u^-_0) \in \tilde{H}^{-1/2} + H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \]  (5.5)

where \( H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow \tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \) is continuously embedded (note that \( \tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \) is a dense but nonclosed subspace of \( H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \)). Before further discussion we look for the equivalent WH system, rewriting the boundary conditions on \( \Sigma \) in the form

\[ u^+_1 - u^-_1 + ip^+u^+_0 + ip^-u^-_0 = h^+ - h^- \]  (5.6)
\[ u^+_1 + u^-_1 + ip^+u^+_0 - ip^-u^-_0 = h^+ + h^- \]

for incorporating (5.4) and getting pleasant-looking formulae, respectively.

**PROPOSITION 5.2:** The impedance problem \( P_{\text{Imp}} \) is equivalent to the WH system

\[ \mathcal{W}\left(\begin{array}{c} f^+_1 \\ f^-_0 \end{array}\right) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\cdot F\left(\begin{array}{c} f^+_1 \\ f^-_0 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} h^+ - h^- \\ -h^+ - h^- \end{array}\right) \]  (5.7)

\[ \mathcal{W} : \tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \times \tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Sigma) \to \tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Sigma)^2 \]

\[ \phi = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 - ipt^{-1} & iq \\ iqt^{-1} & t[1 - ipt^{-1}] \end{array}\right) \]

with \( p = \frac{1}{2}(p^+ + p^-) \), \( q = \frac{1}{2}(p^+ - p^-) \).

This result is analogous to Theorem 3.1. If we continue to study the lifted operator \( U_0 \) (without compatibility condition) as in (3.9), we find

\[ \phi_0 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} t^{-1}_- & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1}_+ \end{array}\right) \phi \left(\begin{array}{cc} t_+ & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1}_+ \end{array}\right) \]

\[ = \left(\begin{array}{cc} t_+/t_- (1 - ipt^{-1}) & iqt^{-1} \\ iqt_-^{-2} & 1 - ipt^{-1} \end{array}\right) \]  (5.8)
This implies that \( \tilde{W} \) is not Fredholm, see (3.12) with \( \mu = 1/2 \); more precisely the range of \( \tilde{W} \) is not closed [52].

**REMARK 5.3:** In order to obtain at least a Fredholm operator \( \tilde{W} \) by space modification, one has different possibilities.

(i) It seems physically most natural to incorporate only the compatibility condition (5.4) according to smooth extendability of the jump datum (5.5) and to stay in the "energy space" \( H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 - \Xi) \) with \( u \). This leads to the study of a more complicated modified WH operator

\[
\tilde{W} : H^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \to H^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Sigma).
\]

(ii) Following the spirit of Eskin's book [14] one may study \( W \) on the scale of Sobolev spaces, say

\[
K_\alpha : H^{\alpha-1}(\Sigma) \times H^{\alpha}(\Sigma) \to H^\alpha(\Sigma)^2
\]

for \( \alpha = 1/2 \notin \mathbb{Z} \) instead of \( \alpha = 1/2 \) [13]. This yields also regularity results and a singular expansion for the solution.

(iii) Another modification consists of the replacement of \( L^2 \) by \( L^p \) and of \( H^s \) by \( H^{s,p} \), \( p \in (1,\infty), p \neq 2 \) (\( p \) has another meaning here); see an analogous discussion in [64].

The idea of treating the WH operator in the first sense (5.9) consists of two steps. First one substitutes one component (where \( H^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \) is replaced by \( H^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \)) by use of the WH operator (2.12) of the Dirichlet problem

\[
W_0 = 1 \cdot \tilde{F}^{-1} \cdot F : H^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \to H^{1/2}(\Sigma)
\]

such that \( \tilde{W} \) in (5.9) is equivalent to another modified WH operator

\[
\tilde{W} : H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \to H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{1/2}(\Sigma).
\]
Secondly one turns over to a (usual) WH operator (in the sense of \((1.3)\))

\[
\tilde{W} : L^2(\Sigma) \times H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Sigma)
\]

\((5.13)\)

by embedding \(H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Sigma)\). Inversion of \(\tilde{W}\) then yields that the subspaces in \((5.12)\) are mapped onto each other because of invariance properties of scalar operators of the type "I+ smoothing". At the end it turns out that all the factorizations (function theoretic [36] as well as operator theoretic [13,65] versions) are connected by relatively simple transformations. We collect the results without technical details.

**THEOREM 5.4:** The modified impedance problem \((5.1)-(5.4)\) is well-posed for any data \(h^+ - h^- \in H^{-1/2}(\Sigma), h^+ + h^- \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma)\). The function \(u\) is given by formulae \((2.4)\), substitution \(u_0 = (u_0^+, u_0^-)^T + (1 + g_0, f_0)^T = (1, u_0^+ + u_0^-), -F^{-1}I_+ \cdot F(u_0^+ - u_0^-)\) and the unique solution of

\[
\tilde{W}_0 \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 + g_0 \\ f_+ \end{array} \right) = 1 + \left( \begin{array}{c} -F^{-1}I_+ \cdot F(h^+ - h^-) \\ F^{-1}I_- \cdot F_{\text{odd}}(h^+ + h^-) \end{array} \right)
\]

\((5.14)\)

where \(\tilde{W}_0 = 1_+ F^{-1} \tilde{\delta}_0 : F : L^2(\Sigma)^2 \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma)^2\) has the Fourier symbol

\[
\tilde{\delta}_0 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 - iqt^{-1} & iqt^{-1}t_+^{-1} \\ iqt_+^{-1} & 1 - iqt^{-1} \end{array} \right)
\]

\((5.15)\)

which admits a bounded factorization \((3.11)\) \(\tilde{\delta}_0 = \tilde{\delta}_0^- \cdot \tilde{\delta}_0^+\), \(\tilde{\delta}_0^\pm \in C(\mathbb{R})^{2x2}\), i.e. there holds

\[
\tilde{W}_0^{-1} = F^{-1} \tilde{\delta}_0^{-1} \cdot F_+ \cdot F^{-1} \tilde{\delta}_0^+ \cdot F_+ \left| L^2(\Sigma)^2 \right.
\]

\((5.16)\)

As mentioned above, this implies

\[
\forall u \sim \text{const}\cdot r^{-1/2}, \ r \rightarrow 0 \ \text{in} \ \mathbb{R}^2 - \Sigma.
\]

\((5.17)\)

The method to obtain \(\tilde{\delta}_0^\pm\) explicitly [13] is similar to the procedure in section 4. We shall come back to it later in a general context.
Other relevant boundary/transmission conditions like reactance conditions [31]

\[ u_0^+ - u_0^- = 0 \]
\[ u_1^+ - u_1^- + ku_0 = h_1 \in \mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+) \]  

and the interest in their mathematical structure motivated us to consider the following class of "screen problems" \( \mathcal{P} \)

\[ (\Delta + k^2)u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega^\pm \]  

\[ \begin{align*}
  a_{11} u_0^- + a_{12} u_0^+ + a_{13} u_1^- + a_{14} u_1^+ &= h_1 \\
  a_{21} u_0^- + a_{22} u_0^+ + a_{23} u_1^- + a_{24} u_1^+ &= h_2 
\end{align*} \]
\[ \begin{cases}
  u_0^+ - u_0^- = 0 \\
  u_1^+ - u_1^- = 0
\end{cases} \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma' \]

for \( u \in \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega^+) \times \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega^-) \) with constant coefficients and \( h_j \in \mathcal{H}^{s_j}(\Sigma) \) where \( s_j = \pm 1/2 \) depends on the type of the problem.

We outline the features of the operator theoretic approach (see [65] for the proofs and section 6 for explicit factoring in some of the most interesting cases). First we observe that the class splits into three subclasses according to

\[ \text{rank} \left( \begin{array}{cc}
  a_{13} & a_{14} \\
  a_{23} & a_{24}
\end{array} \right) = 0, 1, 2. \]  

(5.22)

Rank 0 corresponds to \( \mathcal{P}_D \) and is dropped here. Rank 1 or 2 implies \((s_1, s_2) = (-1/2, +1/2)\) (after suitable linear combination of the two conditions (5.19)) or \((s_1, s_2) = (-1/2, -1/2)\) respectively. So the boundary operators read

\[ B_\pm = F^{-1} \sigma^\pm_0 \cdot F : \mathcal{H}^{1/2} \times \mathcal{H}^{1/2} \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\pm 1/2} \times \mathcal{H}^{\pm 1/2} \]  

(5.23)
\[ \sigma_{B_+} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} + a_{13}t & a_{12} - a_{14}t \\ a_{21} + a_{23}t & a_{22} - a_{24}t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_{B_-} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -t & t \end{pmatrix}. \]

**PROPOSITION 5.5:** Problem \( P \) is equivalent (in the sense of Theorem 3.1) to the WH system

\[
Wf = \begin{pmatrix} B \end{pmatrix}^{-1}f = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}^T
\]

\( W : H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \to H^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \times H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \)

where the Fourier symbol matrix has the form

\[
\sigma = \sigma_{B_+}^{-1} \sigma_{B_-} = \sigma_{pr} + \sigma_{sm}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix} -a_{14}t & a_{13} \\ -a_{24}t & a_{23} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{t} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}t - a_{12} \\ a_{21}t - a_{22} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

In this representation the coefficients \( a_{ij} \) are taken from (5.19) after reformulation in terms of the jumps and sums of the Cauchy data. The first term in (5.24) is called the principal part of \( \sigma \) and corresponds to the highest-order terms of (5.19) - provided we consider the case, where \( \det \sigma_{pr} \neq 0 \) holds. For what follows let \( P \) be of normal type, cf. (4.4), i.e.

\[
\det \sigma(\xi) \neq 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}
\]

\[
[\det \sigma(\xi)]^{\pm 1} = O(\langle \xi \rangle^{3d}), \quad \xi \to \pm \infty
\]

(\( B_- \) is bijective anyway). The cases \( d = -1, 0, 1 \) correspond to the ranks 0, 1, 2, respectively, in (5.21).

Let us first discuss the case \( d = 0 \). We assume \( a_{23} = a_{24} = 0 \) without loss of generality and have the six-parameter family of symbol matrices

\[
\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} - a_{14}t & a_{13} - a_{12}t^{-1} \\ a_{21} & -a_{22}t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Their structure can be discussed as in sections 3 and 4, which already contain many examples. The reactance problem (5.17) also belongs to this class. For a compact formulation of the Fredholm criterion (3.12) we abbreviate

\[ a_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{23} & a_{24} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{22} & a_{21} \end{pmatrix}. \] (5.28)

**PROPOSITION 5.6:** The set of parameters \( a_j \), where \( W \) is Fredholm is characterized by the following three conditions: first

\[ \det a_2 \neq 0, \quad a_1 a_{22} \neq 0 \] (5.29)

secondly

\[ \det a_0 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \det a_2 / \det a_0 \notin \Gamma = \{ \xi = t^{-1}(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R} \} \] (5.30)

and thirdly

\[ a_{13} a_{21} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad a_{13} a_{21} \neq 0, \lambda = \frac{a_{14} a_{22}}{a_{13} a_{21}} \notin [0,1] \]

where the very last condition includes (5.28).

From this result it is clear that the theory splits into many different cases, but all of them allow explicit generalized factorizations by the methods presented in section 7; see [65] for details.

The other class of problems \((d = 1, \text{case of rank } 2, s_2 = -1/2)\) includes the impedance problem \( P_{\text{Imp}} \) and therefore has a rather subtle philosophy. For simplification we premultiply the system by \( a_1^{-1} \) and obtain the four-parameter family of symbols and operators.
\[ \tilde{\sigma} = \alpha_1^{-1} \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha}_{11} & 1 - \tilde{\alpha}_{12} t^{-1} \\ -t + \tilde{\alpha}_{21} & -\tilde{\alpha}_{22} t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \tilde{\omega} = \frac{\alpha_{24} h_1 - \alpha_{14} h_2}{\det \alpha_1} \in H^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \quad (5.33) \]

The last tilde was added, since a compatibility condition

\[ \tilde{h}_1 = \frac{\alpha_{24} h_1 - \alpha_{14} h_2}{\det \alpha_1} \in H^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \]

(instead of \( h^{-1/2}(\Sigma) \)) is obviously necessary for \( P \) to be solvable as it was in Lemma 5.1.

**PROPOSITION 5.7:** If \( d = 1 \) and \( \det \alpha_1 \neq 0 \) are satisfied, the following assertions are equivalent. (i) The operator \( \tilde{\omega} \) defined by (5.31) is a Fredholm operator with index zero. (ii) There holds

\[ \det \tilde{\omega}(\xi) = \frac{\det \sigma(\xi)}{\det \alpha_1} \neq 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R} \quad (5.34) \]

i.e. problem \( P \) is of a normal type. (iii) The characteristic numbers

\[ \lambda_\varphi = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{12} + \tilde{\alpha}_{21}}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\tilde{\alpha}_{12} - \tilde{\alpha}_{21})^2 + 4\tilde{\alpha}_{11}\tilde{\alpha}_{22} \right]^{1/2} \quad (5.35) \]

are not contained in the curve \( \{ \zeta = t(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R} \} \).

The proof [65] is based on the idea presented before Theorem 5.4 and ends with a discussion of the lifted modified symbol matrix

\[ \tilde{\sigma}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha}_{11}/t_+ & 1 - \tilde{\alpha}_{12}/t_+ \\ \tilde{\alpha}_{21}/t - 1 & -\tilde{\alpha}_{22}/t_- \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.36) \]
6. EXPLICIT WH FACTORIZATION OF CERTAIN NONRATIONAL $2 \times 2$ MATRIX FUNCTIONS

The aim of this section is to describe procedures for canonical (standard) or generalized factorization (3.11) \cite{5,52} of $2 \times 2$ matrix functions of the form

$$G = c_1 Q_1 + c_2 Q_2$$

(and related types) with scalar functions $c_j$ in the Wiener algebra $W(R) = \mathbb{C} + FL^1(R)$ or another decomposing or more general subalgebra of $C(R)$, and $Q_j \in R(R)^{2 \times 2}$, i.e. rational matrix functions without poles on $R$.

All the above-mentioned lifted matrices $G = \phi_0$, $\phi_0$ are in the class of piecewise continuous matrix functions on $\hat{R} = R \cup \{\infty\}$ with jumps only at $\infty$. They were assumed to be 2-regular \cite{52} in the sense of (3.12), which is equivalent to the existence of a generalized factorization (3.11) and to the Fredholm property of $\mathcal{H}_0$. On the other hand it is not always clear that they are of the form (6.1), since two different square-roots $t_\pm(\xi) = (\xi \pm k)^{1/2}$ are involved; see e.g. (5.8).

Thus, for algebraic aspects, we also consider the unlifted Fourier symbol matrix functions $\phi \in C(R)^{2 \times 2}$, which are not bounded invertible on $R$ in general but are always of the form (6.1), because they are rational in $\xi$ and $t(\xi)$ (which yields $\phi \in W(R)^{2 \times 2}$, if $\phi(\pm \infty) = \phi(- \infty)$ holds. This is one important reason for considering also the (weaker type of) function theoretic factorization

$$G = G_G^+$$

(6.2)

where $G^\pm_\pm \in C(R)^{2 \times 2}$ have holomorphic extensions in $C^\pm$ and either (i) no growth condition or (ii) algebraic behaviour, respectively, at infinity.

So it becomes clear that the interaction of function theoretic aspects (holomorphy, zeros and poles, asymptotics at $\infty$), operator theoretic features (Fredholm characteristics, order, boundedness and invariance properties of operators, compatibility conditions) and algebraic arguments (decomposing algebras, commutative matrix factorization) - besides physical relevance - yields a high grade of complexity, which means many, many cases. In order to avoid confusion we would like to describe a methodical conception and refer to original papers for examples \cite{13,43,62,64,65}. It is our intention
to obtain a complete factorization procedure for (6.1) by a concept which separates the above-mentioned mathematical aspects (we shall summarize our results in Conclusion 6.9; the objective of ready factorization is rather complicated; see [33]).

The central idea of commutative matrix factorization can be found in several papers; see [8,9,22,26,29,58,72] for instance. The most relevant work in this context was published by Khrapkov [29]; an early source is due to Heins [18] in 1950. Note that the letters f, g, a, ... have a new meaning in what follows orientated by the notation in [22,50,65], which we use for reference.

A matrix $G \in C(R)^{2 \times 2}$ is given in Khrapkov canonical form (K-form), if

$$G = a_1 I + a_2 R$$

(6.3)

$$R(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} -c(\xi) & a(\xi) \\ b(\xi) & c(\xi) \end{pmatrix}, \xi \in R$$

holds, where $I$ denotes the $2 \times 2$ unit matrix, $a_1, a_2 \in C(R)$ and $a,b,c$ are polynomials. If $K \subseteq C(R)$ is an algebra, which contains $R(R)$ (e.g. an $R$-algebra [52]), then the set of K-form matrices (6.3) with a fixed $R$ and $a_j \in K$ forms a commutative algebra $A(R;K)$ of $2 \times 2$ matrix functions.

It is easy to recognize K-form matrices by taking $a_2 R = G - \frac{1}{2} tr G - I$ with trace zero and splitting off a scalar function such that $R$ is a polynomial matrix, e.g. of maximal order and coefficient 1 in the highest-order term of $-det R(\xi)$ for uniqueness in the representation (6.3). In this case $R$ is said to be the deviator polynomial matrix of $G$.

**Theorem 6.1:** Let $G \in C(R)^{2 \times 2}$ be a matrix function of K-form (6.3). Split

$$-det R = c^2 + ab = g^2 f$$

(6.4)

into polynomials such that $g^2$ contains all square factors with $g(\xi) \neq 0$ for $\xi \in R$. Further assume a function theoretic factorization and an additive decomposition, respectively,
\[ (\det G)^{1/2} = (a_1^2 - a_2^2g^2)_{1/2} = \gamma_G \]  
\[ \tau = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} \log \frac{a_1 + a_2g/f}{a_1 - a_2g/f} = \tau_- + \tau_+ \]  

(6.5)

into functions that are holomorphic in \( \mathbb{C}^+ \) and continuous in \( \mathbb{C}^- \), respectively, where consistent branches are chosen. Similarly put \( g = g_g+ \) with \( g_\pm(\xi) \neq 0 \) in \( \mathbb{T}_± \). Then a function theoretic factorization of \( G \) reads

\[ G = G_\pm g_\pm \]  
\[ G_\pm = \gamma_\pm \left( g_\pm \cosh \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(f)\tau_\pm} \right] I + \frac{1}{g_\pm^2} \sinh \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(f)\tau_\pm} \right] R \right). \]

The question how to get from (6.1) to (6.3) was answered in [50].

**LEMMA 6.2:** The matrix function (6.1) can be written in K-form (6.4), iff there exist scalar rational functions \( q_{11}, q_{21} \) with

\[ q_{11}Q_1 + q_{21}Q_2 = I. \]  

(6.7)

Obviously it is easy then to obtain (6.3). Otherwise one can try to factor \( Q_1 \) (or \( Q_2 \)) in order to obtain

\[ G = c_1Q_1 + c_2Q_2 = Q_1(c_1I + c_2\bar{Q})Q_1^+ \]  
\[ = Q_1(\bar{a}_1I + \bar{a}_2\bar{R})Q_1^+ \]

(6.8)

where \( \bar{R} = \bar{Q} - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr } \bar{Q}+ I \) has trace zero. This leads to the question whether the K-form can be simplified by rational transformations. In [50] we proved the following invariance property.

**LEMMA 6.3:** If \( G = a_1I + a_2R \) is a K-form and transformed into (6.8), then the determinants of \( R \) and \( \bar{R} \) coincide up to a factor which is the square of a rational function.

This result can be interpreted as: \( f \) is an (algebraic) invariant under
splitting off rational factors - and becomes most important by the following observation.

COROLLARY 6.4: If \( f = 1 \) and \( a_1 \neq a_2 \) admit function theoretic factorizations with algebraic behaviour at infinity, this holds also for the factors \( G_\pm \), which simplify to

\[
G_\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{g_{\pm}}{g_{\pm}^2} \left[ \mu_\pm + \nu_\pm \right] I + \frac{1}{g_{\pm}^2} \left[ \mu_\pm - \nu_\pm \right] R \right\}
\]

(6.9)

\[ a_1 + a_2 g = \mu = \nu_+ \quad a_1 - a_2 g = \nu = \nu_- \]

REMARK 6.5: The question of algebraic behaviour of \( G_\pm (\zeta) \) for \( \zeta \to \pm \) depends on \( R_\pm \) in (6.5). In general we have exponential behaviour, if the degree of \( f \) is higher than 2. Daniele [9] proposed the following trick for a transformation of a function theoretic factorization \( G = G_+ G_- \) with exponential increase into another one \( G = \tilde{G}_+ \tilde{G}_- \) without. As an ansatz introduce a rational matrix function \( Q = q_1 I + q_2 R \in A(R; R(R)) \) with the same \( R \) and factor it by Khrapkov's formulae (6.6). Determine \( q_j \) such that the behaviour of the factors \( Q_\pm \) coincides with the behaviour of \( G_\pm \) at infinity. Then

\[
G = G_+ Q_-^{-1} Q_-^{-1} G_-
\]

(6.10)

holds according to the commutativity of \( A(R; K) \) and this can be performed into the desired factorization by factoring \( Q = \tilde{Q}_- \tilde{Q}_+ \) classically [5] provided it exists (which question can be answered independently, e.g. by the aim of (3.12)).

In many examples, this trick can also be used to get rid of the poles in (6.6) or (6.9), see [51], but in general not to reduce the order of algebraic increase, which may cause a diagonal middle factor in the generalized factorization (3.11) and is therefore shifted to the very end of the procedure; see [64,65] for example.

We would like to present another method [50] with more detailed results, which works for \( K \)-form matrices in the case \( f = 1 \). This approach has significant applications in elastodynamics [48,49,51] and is more general than the concept of functionally commutable matrix functions [35]. \( G \) is said
to be of paired form [52], if it can be represented as

\[ G = b_1R_1 + b_2R_2 \]

\[ b_j \in C(R), \ R_j \in R(R)^{2 \times 2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.11)

\[ R_1^2 = R_1, \ R_2 = I - R_1. \]

**Lemma 6.6:** For fixed \( R_j \), the matrices \( (6.11) \) form a commutative subalgebra of \( C(R)^{2 \times 2} \).

Further they are always of \( K \)-form, see Lemma 6.2, because of

\[ G = \frac{1}{c}(b_1 + b_2)I + \frac{1}{c}(b_1 - b_2)\tilde{R} = a_1I + a_2R \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.12)

where \( \tilde{R} = R_1 - R_2 \in R(R)^{2 \times 2} \), \( \text{tr}(R_1 - R_2) = 0 \). Conversely there holds

**Lemma 6.7:** The matrix \( (6.1) \) with \( c_j \in C(R) \) can be written in paired form, iff \( (6.7) \) is fulfilled and the corresponding deviator polynomial matrix \( R \) satisfies

\[ - \det R = g^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.13)

with \( g^{\pm 1} \in R(R) \).

**Theorem 6.8:** Let \( G \) be of paired form \( (6.11) \) with \( b_j \in W(R) \) (or in another decomposing algebra) and \( R_1 = O(1) \) at \( \infty \). Then a right canonical factorization of \( G \) exists, iff

\[ b_j(\xi) \neq 0, \ \xi \in \hat{R}, \ j = 1, 2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.14)

holds. It can be obtained explicitly from

\[ G = (b_1 - q_1R_1 + b_2R_2) \cdot \left( \frac{K_1}{q_1} R_1 + \frac{K_2}{q_2} R_2 \right) \cdot \left( b_1 + b_2 + \zeta \zeta \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.15)

by canonical factorization of the middle factor in \( R(R)^{2 \times 2} \) where \( \zeta(\xi) = \frac{1}{
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\((\xi-i)/(\xi+i), \kappa_j\) and \(b_j, b_j^\pm\) are taken from the scalar factorization of \(b_j\)
\[
b_j = b_j \xi^{\kappa_j} b_j^+ \\
b_j^\pm(\xi) = (b(\omega)) \exp[F \pm \xi \ell(x)] F^{-1} \ln[(\xi^2 - \frac{1}{\xi^2})^{\kappa_j} b_j(\xi)]
\]
and \(q_j\) are suitable bounded \(R(R)\) elements.

**CONCLUSION 6.9:** For several important subclasses (loc.cit.) of \(2 \times 2\) matrix functions of the form (6.1) the following procedure leads to a right canonical or generalized factorization:

1. Transformation of \(G\) by splitting rational matrix functions (non-commutative factorization in \(R(R)^2 \times 2\)) in order to get into the commutative subalgebra \(A(R;K)\).
2. Function theoretic factorization of \(K\)-form matrices within \(A(R;K)\) (by scalar factorization of the nonrational coefficients in \(K\)).
3. The Daniele and pole compensation trick (commutative factorization of a rational ansatz matrix within \(A(R;K)\) with exponential increasing or bounded factors, respectively).
4. Canonical factorization of the remaining middle term matrix (non-commutative factorization in \(R(R)^2 \times 2\) again).

**7. SOME RELATED WORK**

Leaving the field of Sommerfeld half-line problems for the Helmholtz equation, we first think of 3D configurations with a screen \(\Sigma\) that represents a special Lipschitz domain [66] in the plane \((x, y, z) \in R^3 : y = 0\). Most of the above results can be extended for genuine half-plane problems where, e.g. \(u_{\text{inc}}\) in (1.1) depends on \(z\) (the Fourier transform variable of \(z\) is a fixed parameter in the WH procedure). But the function space setting \(u \in H^1(\Omega^+) \times H^1(\Omega^-)\) with half-spaces \(\Omega^+ \subset R^3\) is not compatible with the increase of \(u_{\text{inc}}\) for \(z \to \infty\). This trouble does not occur for the quarter-plane
\[
\sigma_1 = \{(x, y, z) \in R^3 : y = 0, x > 0, z > 0\}.
\]
But half-plane problems with "decreasing data" in $H^{1/2}(\Sigma)$ play an auxiliary role for the solution of the quarter-plane problems [44,46]. We assume $\Sigma$ to be Lipschitz in order to have a (continuous) extension operator $\mathcal{E} : H^s(\Sigma) \to H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ [7,66]. Let $P(\Sigma,k,D)$ and $P(\Sigma,k,N)$ be the corresponding Dirichlet problem (2.1)-(2.3) and Neumann problem, respectively. They are governed by (single) WH equations

$$Wf = \chi_\Sigma \cdot Af = g$$

$$A = F^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{1/2} \cdot F$$

$$W : H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \to H^{1/2}(\Sigma)$$

respectively. It was shown in [44] that the unique solution of $P(\Sigma,k,D)$ can be represented in the form

$$u = G\mathcal{E}g$$

with an (arbitrary) extension operator $\mathcal{E} : H^{1/2}(\Sigma) \to H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and the projector $\mathcal{E}$ acting in $H^{1/2}$ onto $H^{1/2}(\Sigma)$ along $H^{1/2}(\Sigma')$. $\Pi$ is orthogonal, iff $k = i$ holds, which idea leads to a series expansion of the solution of $P(\Sigma,1,D)$, $P(\Sigma,1,k,D)$ and $P(\Sigma,1,k,N)$ by analogy.

This simple reasoning yields straightforward results about the correctness of $P$ and interesting relations to general WH operators [10,11,62] with insights about Gabinet's principle and Bessel potential operators (unpublished work of R. Duduchava, R. Schneider and F.-O. Speck). The study of single Lipschitz or polygonal domains can also be seen as a first step for treating multi-media problems [42,55].

Another 2D configuration of traditional interest [2,17,23,26,69] consists of two parallel half-lines (plates) with shift

$$\sigma = \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1$$

$$\Sigma_0 = \{(x,y) : x > 0, y = 0\}, \Sigma_1 = \{(x,y) : x > b, y = a\}.$$

The (unlifted) Fourier symbol matrix functions are $4 \times 4$ sized with three non-rational entries of different behaviour (in contrast to $t_{\Sigma}$), namely
\[ t(\xi) = (\xi^2 - k^2)^{1/2}, \quad e(\xi) = e^{at(\xi)}, \quad \zeta(\xi) = e^{ib\xi}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (7.5) \]

A particular block structure

\[
\Omega = \begin{pmatrix}
R_{11}(t_\pm) & CR_{12}(t_\pm) \\
\xi e^{-1} R_{21}(t_\pm) & R_{22}(t_\pm)
\end{pmatrix}
\] (7.6)

with \(2 \times 2\) submatrices \(R_{j\ell}\), which are rational in \(t_\pm\), makes it possible to discuss the operator theoretic properties of \(P\) and to invert \(W\) as a perturbation of two \(2 \times 2\) systems for the corresponding single plate problems by a fixed point argument [45,47].

According to the physical relevance of waveguides, see e.g. [23], it would be desirable to investigate problems with a modified space setting (non-decreasing solutions between the plates). Also \(N\) plates [28] and periodic configurations [41] are of particular interest.

Another topic with non-Khrapkov symbols are the two- or multi-media problems with different Helmholtz equations (i.e. different wave numbers \(k_1, k_2\)) in \(\Omega^\pm\) [64]. In general it is impossible to transform an elementary (i.e. by multiplication with rational matrix functions) into the form (6.1) for using commutative algebra arguments. So often the fixed point principle is used, if the assumption is reasonable that \(|k_1 - k_2|\) is small [32]. An extension of the idea of paired operators (6.11) to \(N\)-part versions, cf. [4,27,40,42],

\[ G = \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_j R_j \] (7.7)

seems to be artificial. But in fact, there are significant applications in elastodynamics \((N = 3)\) [51].

It is known that elliptic boundary value and transmission problems for PDE systems, which appear in the electromagnetic theory, elasticity and thermo-elasticity, lead to a higher number of coupled equations (up to eight even for the half-line). But a detailed study of the block structure of the symbol matrices makes it possible to reduce the systems for relevant examples [30] and to factor such complicated-looking matrix functions as in the elastodynamic case. Here one finds additional information on the following fact [51]. A decomposition into shear and pressure waves is possible but it
destroys the topological simplicity of the space setting. The reason is that the div and curl operators on $H^1$ yield nonclosed subspaces, which phenomenon corresponds to rational transformations on the symbol level and their interpretation as unbounded operators.
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The main aim of this lecture is to show how extended Poincaré-Bendixson theory can be used to prove the existence of an orbitally stable closed trajectory for a class of autonomous ordinary differential equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the equation

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = F(X)$$ (1)

in which the function $F : S \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies a local Lipschitz condition in open $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that bounded open $D$ has $\partial D \subset S$ and that its boundary $\partial D$ is crossed inwards by all trajectories of (1) which meet it. Suppose furthermore that $D$ contains only one point $K$ such that $F(K) = 0$. To avoid technical complications we also assume that the Jacobian matrix $J(X) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial X}$ exists and is continuous in some neighbourhood of $K$ with $\text{Re} \ z \neq 0$ for all eigenvalues $z$ of $J(K)$.

In the special case $n = 2$ the classical Poincaré-Bendixson theorem shows that if the critical point $K$ is unstable then each trajectory in $D$ converges to a closed trajectory as $t \to +\infty$ and $D$ contains at least one orbitally stable closed trajectory $\Gamma$. If, in addition, $F(X)$ is analytic in $\bar{D}$ then $\Gamma$ is asymptotically orbitally stable and $D$ contains only a finite number of closed trajectories. It is well-known that these results can fail when $n > 2$ because $D$ may contain almost-periodic trajectories or other more complicated chaotic motions. However, these results remain valid when $n > 2$ if we add the following assumption to exclude the possibility of such chaotic motions:

**HYPOTHESIS (H):** Suppose that there exist positive constants $\lambda$, $\varepsilon$ and a constant nonsingular real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $P$ with exactly two negative eigenvalues such that, for all $X$, $Y$ in $S$,

$$(X - Y)^T P [F(X) - F(Y) + \lambda (X - Y)] \leq -\varepsilon |X - Y|^2.$$

(2)

The following result is proved in [7] (see also [8, section 4]):
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THEOREM 1: If \( n > 2 \) and (H) holds then each trajectory of (1) in \( D \) converges either to \( K \) or to a closed trajectory as \( t \to +\infty \). If, in addition, the unstable manifold \( U \) through \( K \) has \( \dim U = 2 \) then \( D \) contains at least one orbitally stable closed trajectory \( \Gamma \). If also \( F(X) \) is analytic in \( 0 \) then \( \Gamma \) is asymptotically orbitally stable and \( D \) contains only a finite number of closed trajectories.

An obvious consequence of this theorem is that \( D \) contains no chaotic motions of (1). To verify that \( \dim U = 2 \) it is sufficient to show that \( \text{Re } z > 0 \) for exactly two eigenvalues \( z \) of \( J(K) \). Orbitally stable closed trajectories are of interest because it is only these which can be observed in practice in a physical or biological system. To apply Theorem 1 we do not need to compute the matrix \( P \) in (2); we only need to know that it exists.

We now describe a useful method for verifying (2). Consider equations (1) which have the feedback control form

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = AX + B\phi(CX),
\]

where \( A, B, C \) are constant real matrices of types \( n \times n, n \times r, s \times n \), respectively, and \( \phi : CS \to R^r \) is a \( C' \) function. Since the \( r \times s \) Jacobian matrix \( \phi'(Y) \) exists for all \( Y \) in the subset \( CS \) of \( R^s \) we can define

\[
\lambda(CS) = \sup|\phi'(Y)| \text{ for } Y \in CS, \quad (4)
\]

where \(| \cdot |\) denotes the spectral norm. If \( A \) has no eigenvalues on the line \( \text{Re } z = -\lambda \) in the complex plane, we can define

\[
\mu(\lambda) = \sup|C(zI - A)^{-1}B| \text{ for } \text{Re } z = -\lambda. \quad (5)
\]

The following result is proved in [5, p. 702]:

FREQUENCY DOMAIN LEMMA: If \( \mu(\lambda)\lambda(CS) < 1 \) and \( A \) has exactly two eigenvalues \( z \) with \( \text{Re } z > -\lambda \) then there exist \( P, \epsilon \) such that (H) holds with

\[ F(X) = AX + B\phi(CX). \]
The hypothesis on the eigenvalues of $A$ ensures that the eigenvalues of $P$ satisfy the requirements of $(H)$. The spectral norm $|M|$ and the Euclidean norm $|M|_e$ of any real or complex rectangular matrix $M = (m_{ij})$ satisfy

$$[|M|_e]^2 = |M|_e \geq |M| = \sup \{|MX|/|X|\}.$$ 

When the spectral norms in (4), (5) are replaced by Euclidean norms we get larger constants $\lambda_\infty(CS)$, $\mu_e(\lambda)$ which are much easier to compute formally. Then $\mu_e(\lambda)\lambda_\infty(CS) < 1$ is a sufficient condition for $\mu(\lambda)\lambda(CS) < 1$.

To illustrate how this lemma can facilitate the application of Theorem 1 we consider the special case of the modified Michaelis-Menten equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$. This is

$$\begin{align*}
dx/dt &= -x + (u + ax)y + (1 - x)h(z), \\
dy/dt &= (x - axy - vy)c, \\
dz/dt &= (y - z)d,
\end{align*}$$

(6)

in which $a, b, c, d, u, v$, are positive parameters and the given function $h : [0,\infty) \to (0,1]$ satisfies

$$\begin{align*}
h(0) &= 1, h(z) \to 0 \text{ as } z \to +\infty, \\
0 &> h'(z) \geq -k \text{ for } 0 < z < \infty,
\end{align*}$$

(7)

where $k$ is a positive constant. This is a rescaled version of some equations arising from a yeast cell model devised by Hahn, Ortoleva and Ross [2, p.516]. Since $x, y, z$ represent scaled chemical concentrations we will confine our attention to solutions of (6) in the positive cone $\mathbb{R}^3_+$. 

**BOUNDEDNESS LEMMA:** If $u < v$ there exists a bounded closed rectangular box $W \subset \mathbb{R}^3_+$ such that every solution of (6) in $\mathbb{R}^3_+$ ultimately enters $W$ and remains in it thereafter. Furthermore $W$ contains only one critical point $K$.

This elementary lemma is proved in [8] by considering the signs of the derivatives $x', y', z'$ on planes parallel to the coordinate planes. For the
special case when $a$ is small and $h(z) = (1 + z^p)^{-1}$ with $p > 1$, Dai [1] used the torus principle to prove that a certain box $W$ contains at least one closed trajectory provided that the unstable manifold $U$ through $K$ has dim $U = 2$. By adding further restrictions which include $u$ small and $p$ large, Dai was able to prove the existence of a unique asymptotically stable closed trajectory in $W$. This result cannot be used to test any numerical set of parameter values because it does not specify how large $p$ must be nor how small $a$, $u$ must be. The following more explicit result is proved in [8]:

**THEOREM 2:** Suppose that $h(z)$ satisfies (7) and that

$$u < v, d > (3/2)(v + a) + 3[1/2(1 + b^2) + 3bck]^{1/2}. \tag{8}$$

Then each trajectory of (6) in the box $W$ converges either to $K$ or to a closed trajectory as $t \to +\infty$. If, in addition, the unstable manifold $U$ through $K$ has dim $U = 2$ then $W$ contains at least one orbitally stable closed trajectory $\Gamma$. If, furthermore, $h(z)$ is analytic in $(0, \infty)$ then $\Gamma$ is asymptotically orbitally stable and $W$ contains only a finite number of closed trajectories.

The following is a brief sketch of the proof in [B]. Equation (6) can be written in the form (3) with

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -g & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -d & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

where $g$, $\gamma$, $p$, $q$, $r$ are auxiliary constants to be chosen later. An elementary calculation gives

$$\mu_e(\lambda) = \sup_{z = -\lambda} |C(zI - A)^{-1}B|_e, \quad \Lambda_e(CW) = \sup_{y \in CW} |\Phi'(y)|_e$$

as functions of $g$, $\gamma$, $p$, $q$, $r$. It is practicable to choose $p$, $q$, $r$, $\gamma$ so as to minimize $\mu_e(\lambda)\Lambda_e(CW)$. We can then choose $g$, $\lambda$ satisfying $0 < g < \lambda < d$ so that (8) implies $\mu_e(\lambda)\Lambda_e(CW) < 1$. This ensures that the eigenvalues of $A$ satisfy the requirement of the frequency domain lemma and that $\mu_e(\lambda)\Lambda_e(CS) < 1$ for some open $S \supseteq W$. Then this lemma shows that (6) satisfies hypothesis (H).
and the conclusions of Theorem 2 follow from those of Theorem 1 by choosing \( D = U \). Since the size of \( \lambda_0(U) \) depends on the size of the box \( U \), it is important to choose \( U \) as small as is consistent with the boundedness lemma.

Theorem 2 shows that extended Poincaré-Bendixson theory can produce new information about stable closed trajectories which seems to be unobtainable by the various other methods discussed in the survey of Li [3]. In [7], Theorem 1 is used in a similar way to obtain explicit conditions for the existence of a stable closed trajectory of Rauch's equation and also of the generalized Goodwin equation.

So far we have considered only an extended version of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem. Several related results for plane autonomous systems have been extended to higher-dimensional equations in [4,6]. To describe one of these we suppose that in (1) the Jacobian matrix \( J(X) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial X} \) exists and is continuous throughout \( S \). Suppose, as before, that \( \bar{D} \subset S \) and \( \partial D \) is crossed inwards by all trajectories of (1), though now we allow the possibility that \( D \) may contain many critical points - even nonisolated critical points. In the special case \( n = 2 \), Bendixson's negative criterion shows that if \( D \) is simply connected and \( 0 > \text{trace} J(X) \) in \( D \) then \( D \) contains no closed trajectories and each trajectory in \( D \) converges to a critical point at \( t + + \infty \). The following generalization of this result is proved in [6, p.249]:

**THEOREM 3:** If \( n > 1 \) and \( D \) is simply connected then each trajectory of (1) in \( D \) converges to a critical point provided that \( 0 > \lambda_1(X) + \lambda_2(X) \) in \( \bar{D} \), where \( \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n \) are the eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix \( J(X)^T + J(X) \).

Because the formal calculation of \( \lambda_1(X) + \lambda_2(X) \) is difficult it is sometimes more convenient to use instead the following corollary proved in [6, p. 253]:

**COROLLARY:** If \( n > 2 \) and \( D \) is simply connected then each trajectory of (1) in \( D \) converges to a critical point provided that there exists a continuous function \( \theta : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) and a constant real symmetric positive definite \( n \times n \) matrix \( Q \) such that

\[
J(X)^T Q + Q J(X) + 2 \theta(X) Q \geq 0 \text{ in } \bar{D},
\]

\[
(n - 2) \theta(x) + \text{trace } J(X) < 0 \text{ in } \bar{D}.
\]
Here (9) means that the symmetric matrix on its left-hand side is positive semidefinite. If we put \( \theta(X) = \lambda \), with constant \( \lambda > 0 \), we can sometimes verify (9) by means of a modified frequency domain lemma. This idea is used in [8] to obtain explicit conditions for every trajectory of (6) in the box \( W \) to converge to the critical point \( K \) as \( t \to +\infty \). It is also used in [7] to obtain explicit conditions for every trajectory of the Lorenz equation to converge to a critical point.
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ABSTRACT: In this survey, we give a short history of the study of Hilbert's 16th problem. We emphasize Dulac's problem, the finiteness of the number $H_n(a,b)$, especially for the methods for quadratic systems and for higher-order systems. We pose some outstanding issues and provide the newest advances in researching the problem.

1. PROBLEM AND HISTORY

As is well-known, the so-called Hilbert's problem 16 is "the question as to the maximal number and position of Poincaré boundary cycles (limit cycles) for a differential equation of the first order and degree of the form

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{Y_n}{X_n}$$

or the equivalent system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \sum_{i+j=0}^{n} a_{ij} x^i y^j = X_n(x,y), \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = \sum_{i+j=0}^{n} b_{ij} x^i y^j = Y_n(x,y),$$

where $X_n$ and $Y_n$ are rational integral functions of the nth degree in $x$ and $y$ [1]. Note that $X_n$ and $Y_n$ are relatively prime and at least one of them is of degree $n$. We let $E_n(a,b)$ denote the nth-degree differential system with the coefficients $a_{ij}$, $b_{ij}$, $E_n$ the set of all systems $E_n(a,b)$ and $H_n$ the maximal number of limit cycles of $E_n$ respectively. Thus Hilbert's problem 16 is to find the upper bound

$$H_n = \sup \{H_n(a,b) : a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}, b_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and determine the relative position of limit cycles. $H_n$ is called the Hilbert number of $E_n$. The problem is the second part of the 16th of the 23 problems posed by D. Hilbert at the Second International Congress of
Mathematicians, Paris, 1900. The long history for 88 years of the study of this problem indicates that it has been the most difficult of the 23 problems. Indeed, it is still unsolved even for the simplest case \( n = 2 \). In two books [2,3] all Hilbert's 23 problems are mentioned except the 16th. Hilbert believed that his 23 problems would have a deep significance for the development of mathematics as it entered the 20th century. The 16th problem is so many mathematical models from physics, engineering, biology, chemistry, economics, astrophysics and fluid mechanics are concerned with periodic solutions and limit cycles of polynomial differential systems. Since these are basic questions in the qualitative theory of ODEs, Hilbert's 16th problem has become more and more important and has attracted the attention of many pure and applied mathematicians.

2. THE FINITENESS PROBLEM

The finiteness problem of the number of limit cycles of polynomial differential systems contains the following two parts:

(i) \( H_n(a,b) = \infty \) or \( H_n(a,b) < \infty \) for each fixed \( n \) and given coefficients \( a_{ij}, b_{ij} \);

(ii) \( H_n = \infty \) or \( H_n < \infty \), for each fixed \( n \).

The first important step in the solution of Hilbert's 16th problem is to determine whether \( H_n \) is finite for every given \( n \). For this purpose, it must be known whether \( H_n(a,b) \) is finite for a specific \( n \) and given coefficients \( a_{ij}, b_{ij} \). Suppose that \( H_n(a,b) = \infty \) for a polynomial system \( E_n(a,b) \). Since a limit cycle surrounds at least a singular point and the number of singular points of any polynomial system \( E_n(a,b) \) is finite, there must be at least a next of infinitely many cycles surrounding at least a common singular point. Therefore only the following four cases are possible. If these cycles are enclosed by a circle, then

(1) these cycles accumulate on a closed orbit;
(2) these cycles accumulate at a singular point;
(3) these cycles accumulate on a separatrix cycle. Otherwise,
(4) these cycles tend to infinity.
The case (1) is impossible, for the limit cycles of $E_n(a,b)$ correspond to isolated fixed points of the return map $h$ and the return map (a two-sided analytic function) cannot have a nonisolated fixed point. In 1923, Dulac [4] claimed to have disposed of all the other cases (2), (3) and (4) and so proved that $H_n(a,b)$ (not $H_n$) is finite. But after 59 years, Il'yashenko [38,39] discovered an essential loophole in Dulac's proof and gave a counterexample to Dulac's lemma from which Dulac deduced, together with his theorem, the finiteness of the number of limit cycles of $E_n(a,b)$.

DULAC'S LEMMA: The germ of a semiregular map $f : (\mathbb{R}^+,0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^*,0)$ is either an identical map or has an isolated fixed point, zero.

A so-called semiregular map $f : (\mathbb{R}^+,0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+,0)$ is a map defined on a semi-interval $(0,b)$ of the positive semi-axis $\mathbb{R}^+$ with the origin 0 (on a separatrix cycle or at the singular point) such that $f(x)$ may be approximated by an asymptotic series of the form

$$cx^0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_j (\ln x)x^j$$

(where $c > 0$, $0 < v_0 < v_1 < \ldots$, $v_j \to \infty$ and $P_j$ are polynomials) so that for any natural number $N$ there is a partial sum $s_n(x)$ such that $f(x) - s_n(x) = o(x^N)$.

Il'yashenko's counterexample is that the map

$$f : x \rightarrow \begin{cases} 
  x + e^{-1/x} \sin(1/x) & x > 0 \\
  0 & x = 0 
\end{cases}$$

is semiregular and has a countable number of fixed points $x_k = 1/k^m$ accumulated at zero.

The proof of Dulac for his lemma is as follows; the fixed points of the germ of $f$ are the roots of the equation $f(x) = x$. If the principal term of the asymptotic series (*) of $f$ is not the identical map, then the equation has an isolated zero root. If the principal term is the identical map and $f$ is not the identical map, then the equation $f(x) = x$ is equivalent to the
The equation obtained after dividing (**)
by $x^1$ has no real roots in a sufficiently small deleted right-side neighbourhood of zero. In fact, for the first term $P_1(ln x)$, of the reformed equation

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} P_1(ln x) = \text{finite or } \lim_{x \to 0^+} P_1(ln x) = \infty.$$ 

The sum of the rest of the terms can be written as $o(x^1)$ and so it tends to zero as $x \to 0^+$. Therefore the equation $f(x) = x$ has $x = 0$ as its isolated root.

A mistake in Dulac's argument above is that the equation $f(x) = x$ is not equivalent to the equation (**)) if the semiregular map has the principal term $x$ and is not the identical map and $P_1$ is a nonzero polynomial.

As stated above, the problem of the finiteness of the upper bound on the number of limit cycles of $E_n(a,b)$ is still unsolved and is called Dulac's finiteness problem. Under some additional conditions a few results on this problem have been obtained. In the case when $n = 2$ Chicone and Schafer [6] disposed of the cases (2) and (3) and so proved that all bounded graphs are finite and every quadratic system $E_2(a,b)$ has at most finitely many limit cycles in an arbitrary bounded domain in the plane. Using the work of Chicone and Schafer, Bamón [7] proved that all unbounded graphs are finite and therefore that every $E_2(a,b)$ has a finite number of limit cycles in the plane. So there has been an affirmative answer to Dulac's finiteness problem in the case $n = 2$. However, it is not known whether $H_2$ is finite. Il'yashenko [5] proved that every $E_n(a,b)$ has a finite number of limit cycles if all its (finite or infinite) singular points are not degenerate. This conclusion contains the following result of Sotomayor and Paterlini [41]: In the space of quadratic vector fields there exists an algebraic submanifold such that every field outside the submanifold has only finitely many limit cycles. Il'yashenko [42] has outlined a method for proving Dulac's finiteness theorem.

(i.e., $H_n(a,b) \ll$). First, one should prove that limit cycles of an analytic vector field cannot accumulate on a separatrix cycle or at a singular point.
Secondly, one should use the following theorem of Dulac on semitransversality. A semitransversal to a separatrix cycle of an analytic vector field may be selected so that the corresponding monodromy mapping is either a plane germ, or vertical germ, or semiregular germ.

Very recently the Dulac problem might have been solved by four French mathematicians using non-convergent power series. The basic proof idea may be found in [55], [56], but the full proof is long and has not yet appeared in print (See Zentralblatt für Math., Band 615, 58011; J. Ecalle et al., Non-accumulation des cycles-limites, I, II C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I 304, 375-377, 431-434 (1987).

Up to now nobody has found an upper bound of the number of limit cycles of a general polynomial system. But this kind of upper bound has been found for some special polynomial systems. For instance, the maximum number of limit cycles of the system

\[ \dot{x} = -y + \delta x + \delta x^2 + mxy + ny^2, \quad \dot{y} = x \]

or the system

\[ \dot{x} = -y + \delta x + \delta x^2 + mxy + ny^2, \quad \dot{y} = x(1 + by) \]

is 1. The maximum number of limit cycles of centre-symmetrical quadratic systems [53] is 2. Dilberto defined a limit cycle of \( E_n(a,b) \) as strongly stable (unstable) if \( \text{div}(X_n, Y_n) < 0 \) (\( > 0 \)) on the cycle. He proved that the sum of the number of strongly stable and strongly unstable limit cycles of \( E_n(a,b) \) is smaller than \( \frac{1}{2}(n - 2)(n-3) + 1 \). If these cycles surround a unique singular point, then the sum is smaller than \( \frac{1}{2}(n-1) \). The maximum number of limit cycles of the fifth degree system \( \dot{y} = x, \dot{x} = y + a_0x + a_1x^3 + a_2x^5 \) is two [57].

3. THE LOWER BOUND PROBLEM

It is easy to see that to find an upper bound of the number of limit cycles of a polynomial system is difficult and to find that of infinitely many polynomial systems of the same degree is much harder. On the other hand, comparatively speaking, it is easier to find a lower bound. This is because if only an example of \( E_n(a,b) \) with \( K \) limit cycles is known, then it would follow that \( H_n \geq K \).

The results obtained in this aspect are as follows: \( H_2 \geq 4, H_3 \geq 5, H_3 \geq 11, \) and
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4. SOME METHODS OF PRODUCING LIMIT CYCLES

In order to obtain as many limit cycles as possible of $E_n(a,b)$, people generally adopt the following methods.

(a) Construct a suitable Poincaré-Bendixson annular domain (including such a kind of annular domain whose inner boundary is an asymptotically unstable or stable singular point and whose external boundary is a part of the equator). The famous example of Shi [25] with four limit cycles deals with this case.

(b) Produce limit cycles from a family or a few families of periodic cycles by a small perturbation of the system in question. (This method is used in Li's [32] example with 11 limit cycles of $E_3(a,b)$). (Poincaré bifurcation).

(c) Produce local limit cycles from a separatrix cycle with singular point(s) on it by a small perturbation. (Recently, Joyal [37] obtained a wonderful result. If a polynomial differential system has a loop with only a fine saddle of order $k - 1 \ (k > 1)$, then any perturbation of the system has at most $k$ limit cycles and for any integer $1 \leq k \leq k$, there exists a perturbation with exactly $k$ limit cycles.)

(d) Produce local limit cycles from a fine focus or a centre by small perturbations of coefficients. (Hopf bifurcation).

We will explain the methods (a), (d) and (b) respectively. In 1979 Shi [14] constructed the following quadratic system and proved the existence of four limit cycles of the system by using the method of Poincaré-Bendixson annular domains. Shi's example was

$$
\dot{x} = -10^{200}x - 10x^2 + (5 - 10^{-13})xy + y^2
$$

$$
\dot{y} = x + x^2 + (-25 - 8 \times 10^{-52} + 9 \times 10^{-13})xy. 
$$

(Shi)

The system (Shi) has an unstable rough focus, $M(0,1)$ and a stable rough focus $0(0,0)$, and a straight line without contact, $1 - 25y = 0$. It has a singular point at infinity, saddle. On the Poincaré sphere there are four annular domains. Domain I is bounded by 0 and the contour without contact $\lambda_1$; domain II is bounded by $\lambda_1$ and the contour without contact $\lambda_2$; domain III is bounded
by \( z_2 \), the straight line without contact, and part of the equator; domain IV is bounded by \( M \), the straight line without contact, and part of the equator.

The phase portrait of the system is shown in figure 1. By the Poincaré-Bendixson annulus theorem the system (Shi) has at least four limit cycles, of which one surrounds the rough focus \( M \) and the other three surround the rough focus \( 0 \).

In order to introduce the method (d), let us recall the definition of a weak (fine) focus. A focus \( P \) of the system \( E_n(a,b) \) is said to be fine, if it is a centre of the corresponding linearized system

\[
\xi = \left( \frac{\partial(x_n, y_n)}{\partial(x, y)} \right) \xi, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2
\]

where \( x_n, y_n \) are the right-hand functions of the system \( E_n(a,b) \). From two foci \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) shown in figure 2, we can see that the focus \( F_2 \) is finer than \( F_1 \) or that \( F_2 \) is slower than \( F_1 \). We will characterize this property of foci by means of the concept "order". A fine focus of higher order is finer than one of lower order and is closer to a centre. The order of a fine focus is defined later. (Similar to focus, a limit cycle may be distinguished as rough and fine, even fine of higher order.)
We start with a system having a weak (fine) focus whose order $k (k \geq 1)$ is as high as possible and perturb slightly the coefficient of the system so that the stability of the focus is changed into the opposite. Then, as a result of perturbing each time, a small-amplitude limit cycle bifurcates out of the focus. After successively perturbing the coefficients, we obtain at least $k$ limit cycles around a rough focus. In 1952, Bautin [8] first adopted this method to obtain limit cycles of quadratic systems and proved that at most three limit cycles can appear from a centre or a fine focus with a variation of the coefficients. This method is named Bautin's technique. Since at that time there was no work on two nests of limit cycles [9], Bautin's result led to an incorrect impression that $H_2 = 3$. Hence the papers by Petrovskii and Landis [10,11] appeared early and late in 1955 and in 1957. Although the above papers had to be withdrawn because of a mistake [12], before 1979 it was still hoped that $H_2 = 3$. For example, this may be seen in the book by Ye [13] where some conclusions on various distributions of limit cycles of $E_2(a,b)$ were based on the invalid assertion of Petrovskii and Landis. In 1979, in addition to Shi [14], Chen and Wang [15] constructed quadratic systems with at least four cycles as shown in figure 3 (so $H_2 \geq 4$).
By perturbing two times when $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$

(1,1) distribution of limit cycles

N(0,1) a rough focus

0(0,0) a fine focus of order 2

AB: 1-3y = 0 a straight line without contact

When $0 < \delta_2 < \delta_1 << 1$

(1,3) distribution of limit cycles

N,0 are four foci

Figure 3

By using Bautin's technique Chen and Wang constructed the following quadratic system and proved the existence of a distribution (1,3) of limit cycles:

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= -y - \delta_2 x - 3x^2 + (1 - \delta_1)xy + y^2 \\
\dot{y} &= x(1 + \frac{2}{3}x - 3y).
\end{align*}$$

(Chen-Wang)

In both examples of Shi and Chen-Wang, the same (1,3) configuration of limit cycles is obtained. These remarkable results have renewed interest in Hilbert's 16th problem. After that, many new authors and new results appear. Li [17], Blows and Lloyd [19], Qin, Cai and Shi [16], G. Wanner [18], Andronova [43], Cherkas [45] and Rudenok [46] found more general quadratic systems with (1,3) distribution. Andronova began with a conservative system

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= -y + 2x^2 + my^2, \quad \dot{y} = x + bxy
\end{align*}$$

having exactly two centres and an infinite saddle under the conditions

$$\delta(n + b) > 0, \quad n(n + b) > 0, \quad n(b - \delta) < 0.$$
She constructed the system

$$\dot{x} = -y + ax^2 + [(2\varepsilon + b)/(\varepsilon + n)]axy + ny^2, \quad \dot{y} = x + ax^2 + bxy \quad (0 < a \ll 1)$$

with a rough focus at (0,1/n) and a fine focus of order at least 2 at (0,0) which approximates the conservative system. Using Bautin's technique, she proved the system has (1,3) configuration of limit cycles. In her second article [43] she further proved that in the subspace of parameters of the conservative system one picks out a region, in the neighbourhood of which there is a quadratic system with the property that the number of limit cycles is no less than four, among them no more than three around one focus at the origin and no less than one around the other. This fact is in agreement with the nonexistence of limit cycles around a fine focus of order 3 for any quadratic system [20].

Cherkas constructed a quadratic system with two parameters $\alpha, \gamma$ in the form

$$\dot{x} = (ax - y)(1 + \gamma y) - ax^2 + b_{11}xy + (b_{02} + \alpha a_{02})y^2$$
$$\dot{y} = (x - \alpha y)(1 + \gamma y) - x^2 - \alpha b_{11}xy + (a_{02} + \alpha b_{02})y^2$$

which has exactly a focus, (0,0) and an anti-saddle. He proved the system has at least four limit cycles with (1,3) distribution for $0 < -\alpha \ll 1$ and the corresponding $\gamma$. Dudenok proposed a method of constructing examples of the existence of at least four limit cycles for the system

$$\dot{x} = -y + \lambda x + a_{20}x^2 + 2a_{11}xy + a_{21}y^2, \quad \dot{y} = x + \lambda y + b_{20}x^2 + 2b_{11}xy + b_{02}y^2.$$ 

Blows and Lloyd [19] generally show how to construct quadratic systems with at least four limit cycles and their results complement the findings of many authors, such as Shi [14,47], Chen and Wang [15], Qin, Shi and Cai [48], and Li [17].

It is convenient to use Li's formulae of the focal values for a general quadratic system. The general form of quadratic systems with a fine focus at the origin is
\[ \dot{x} = ax + by + \varepsilon x^2 + mxy + ny^2, \quad \dot{y} = cx - ay + px^2 + qxy + ry^2 \quad (a^2 + bc < 0). \]

Let \( c = (-a^2 - bc)^{1/2} \) and introduce the transformation

\[ x = -\frac{1}{c^2} - \frac{a}{oc}, \quad y = -\frac{1}{o^2} \cdot t = \frac{c}{o^2}. \]

Then the above system is reduced to the form

\[ \dot{x} = -y + a_{20}x^2 + a_{11}xy + a_{02}y^2, \quad \dot{y} = x + b_{20}x^2 + b_{11}xy + b_{02}y^2. \quad (G) \]

Extending Bautin's formulae of the focal values, Li gave the corresponding formulae for the general quadratic system (G).

**THEOREM OF LI:** Let

\[ w_1 = \alpha - BB, \quad w_2 = [\beta (5A - \beta) + \alpha (5B - \alpha)]y, \quad w_3 = (AB + BB)q \quad (W) \]

where

\[ A = a_{20} + a_{02}, \quad B = b_{20} + b_{02}, \quad \alpha = a_{11} + 2b_{02}, \quad \beta = b_{11} + 2a_{20}, \]

\[ \gamma = b_{12}A^2 - (a_{20} - a_{11})AB + (b_{02} - a_{11})B^2 - a_{02}A^2 + a_{02}B^2, \quad \delta = a_{02}^2 + b_{20}^2 + a_{02}A + b_{20}B. \]

Then

(i) the origin is a fine focus of order \( k \) \((k = 1, 2, 3)\) if and only if the condition (k) holds:

1. \( w_1 \neq 0, \)
2. \( w_1 = 0, w_2 \neq 0, \)
3. \( w_1 = 0, w_2 = 0, w_3 \neq 0. \)

(ii) If \( w_k < 0 \), then the origin 0 is stable; if \( w_k > 0 \), then 0 is unstable.

(iii) 0 is a centre if and only if \( w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = 0. \)

The formulae (W) may be replaced by the following
\[ w_1 = A_1 - B_2, \quad w_2 = \begin{cases} \beta(5A - B)\gamma & \text{if } A \neq 0 \\ \alpha(5B - A)\gamma & \text{if } B \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } A = B = 0 \end{cases}, \quad w_3 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A \neq 0 \\ \alpha(5B - A)\gamma & \text{if } B \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } A = B = 0. \end{cases} \]

**COROLLARY 1 OF LI'S THEOREM:** The origin 0 is a centre of (G) if and only if

1. \( A_1 - B_2 = \gamma = 0 \), or
2. \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \), or
3. \( 5A - B = 5B - A = \delta = 0 \).

**COROLLARY 2 OF LI'S THEOREM:** For Ye's form of quadratic system

\[ \dot{x} = -y + \xi x^2 + mxy + ny^2, \quad \dot{y} = x + ax^2 + bxy \quad (Ye) \]

which has a fine focus at the origin, let

\[ \tilde{w}_1 = m(\xi + n) - (b + 2\xi)a \]
\[ \tilde{w}_2 = ma(5a - m)[(\xi + n)^2(\xi + n) - a^2(b + 2\xi + n)] \]
\[ \tilde{w}_3 = ma^2[2a^2 + n(\xi + 2n)][(\xi + n)^2(\xi + n) - a^2(b + 2\xi + n)], \]

then

(i) \((0,0)\) is a fine focus of order \( k \) if and only if the following condition (k) \((k = 1,2,3)\) holds:

1. \( \tilde{w}_1 \neq 0 \),
2. \( \tilde{w}_1 = 0, \tilde{w}_2 \neq 0 \),
3. \( \tilde{w}_1 = \tilde{w}_2 = 0, \tilde{w}_3 \neq 0 \).

(ii) If \( \tilde{w}_k < 0 \) then the focus is stable; if \( \tilde{w}_k > 0 \), then the focus is unstable.

(iii) The origin is a centre if and only if \( \tilde{w}_1 = \tilde{w}_2 = \tilde{w}_3 = 0 \).
Therefore, the origin is a centre for the system $(Ye)$ if and only if one of the following conditions (1)-(4) holds:

1. \( a = \lambda + n = 0, \)
2. \( m(\lambda + n) = a(b + 2\lambda), \quad a[(\lambda + n)^2(n + b) - a^2(b + 2\lambda + n)] = 0, \)
3. \( m = b + 2\lambda = 0, \)
4. \( m = 5a, \quad b = 3\lambda + 5n, \quad 2a^2 + n(\lambda + 2n) = 0. \)

After having constructed a $(1,3)$ distribution, one naturally poses the question: "Are there $(0,4)$ distributions and/or $(2,2)$ distributions of limit cycles of quadratic systems?" It is easily seen that a corresponding example of $E_2^2$ with $(0,4)$ distribution would be obtained at once, if an example with a fine focus of order $k$ ($k = 1,2,3$) enclosed by $4-k$ limit cycles were constructed. But most Chinese mathematicians in the field now believe that there can exist at most $3-k$ limit cycles around a fine focus of order $k$ ($k = 1,2,3$) for $E_2^2$. This conjecture for $k = 3$ has finally been proved by Li [20]. However, it should be noted that the impossibility of $(0,4)$ distribution cannot be derived from the conclusion just mentioned. Concerning $(2,2)$ distribution, Ye [21] proposed a method of proving the impossibility. The present author pointed out in his talk at NIA, University of Minnesota, and at the University of Delaware (see SIAM News 13, March 1985) that workers in the field are confident that there are no more than four limit cycles for $E_2^2$, but a rigorous proof of this assertion continues to elude researchers. (Although Qin [22,44] claimed that he had proved that $H_2 = 4$, Cao [23] pointed out his problem. If Qin's work were right, then papers [6], [7], [20] and [21] mentioned above were all not necessary.)

**BLOWS AND LLOYD'S CONTRIBUTIONS:** Blows and Lloyd [19] extended Bautin's technique of creating small-amplitude limit cycles from a fine focus of $E_2(a,b)$ to higher-order systems and employed symbolic manipulation methods in computing the so-called focal values of nth-degree polynomial systems. This is a great breakthrough over Bautin's method and so it is an important contribution to Hilbert's 16th problem in the case of higher-degree systems. Therefore let us outline the ideas here.
In order to produce limit cycles from a fine focus (at the origin) the corresponding polynomial system should take the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= y + \lambda x + \sum_{i=2}^{n} P_i(x,y) \\
\dot{y} &= -x + \lambda y + \sum_{i=2}^{n} Q_i(x,y)
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

where \( P_i, Q_i \) are homogeneous polynomials of the \( i \)th degree. As is known, a suitable Lyapunov function \( V \) for (1) should be constructed so that the rate of change along trajectories of (1) is

\[
\dot{V} = n_2(x^2 + y^2) + n_4(x^2 + y^2)^2 + n_{2k}(x^2 + y^2)^k + \ldots
\]

where the quantities \( n_2, n_4, \ldots, n_{2k}, \ldots \) are polynomials in the coefficients of \( P_i, Q_i \), and \( \lambda \) and are called the focal values of (1). For (1), the fine focus at the origin is called of order \( k \) if

\[
n_2 = n_4 = \ldots = n_{2k} = 0, \quad n_{2k+1} \neq 0.
\]

The quantities \( n_2, n_4, \ldots, n_{2k}, n_{2k+2} \) must be computed to find the number of small-amplitude limit cycles surrounding the origin. The number \( k \) is the largest integer such that \( n_2 = n_4 = \ldots = n_{2k} = 0 \), but all \( n_{2j+2} \neq 0 \) (\( j = k, k+1, \ldots \)). The ideal generated by the \( n_{2j} \) in the coefficient polynomials has a finite basis which consists of nonzero polynomials \( n_{2k}/\langle n_2, \ldots, n_{2k-2} \rangle \) where \( \langle n_2, \ldots, n_{2k-2} \rangle \) is the ideal generated by \( n_2, \ldots, n_{2k-2} \). If we write the basis as \( B = \{L(0), L(1), \ldots, L(M)\} \) then \( B \) is called the focal basis and the polynomials \( L(0), \ldots, L(M) \) are called the Lyapunov quantities of (1). Suppose the system \( E_n(a,b) \) (or the system (1)) has a fine focus of order \( k \) at the origin. So \( L(0) = L(1) = \ldots = L(k-1) = 0, \quad L(k) < 0 \) (if \( L(k) > 0 \), the discussion is similar) and the origin is a stable fine focus of order \( k \) which is denoted by the symbol \( O_k^+ \). Let \( L \) be a level curve of \( V \) which is close to the origin so that trajectories of (1) are inwardly across \( L \). Now let us perturb (1) so that for the perturbed system \( (P_\delta, Q_\delta) \), \( L(0) = L(1) = \ldots = L(k-2) = 0 \), but \( L(k-1) > 0 \). The origin is now an unstable focus which is denoted by the symbol \( O_k^- \). By the continuity of the vector field, the perturbation of (1) may be so small that the trajectories of \( (P_\delta) \) are still inwardly across \( L \). By the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem there exists a stable limit cycle between \( O_{k-1}^+ \) and \( L \) which is denoted by \( C_{k-1}^+ \).
Obviously, in a small enough inward neighbourhood of \( C_1^+ \) there is a closed curve \( C \) such that the trajectories of the system \((P_1)\) are outwardly across \( C_1 \). Now perturb \((P_1)\) to \((P_2)\) so that \( L(0) = L(1) = \ldots = L(k - 3) = 0, L(k - 2) < 0 \). The origin is now a stable focus of order \( k - 2 \), \( O_{k-2}^+ \). By the continuity of the vector field, the perturbation of \((P_1)\) to \((P_2)\) may be so small that the trajectories of \((P_2)\) are still outwardly across \( C_1 \). By the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem there is an unstable limit cycle of \((P_2)\), \( C_2^+ \), between \( O_{k-2}^+ \) and \( C_1 \). Continuing this process up to the \( k \)th perturbation, we obtain \( k \) limit cycles \( C_1^+, C_2^-, \ldots, C_k^{+} \), and the unstable rough focus \( O_0^- \), if \( k \) is odd. Here \( C_1^{+} \) is a limit cycle of the system \((P_i)\), \( C_2^- \) is of \((P_2)\), \ldots, \( C_k^{+} \) is of \((P_k)\). If \( k \) is even, a stable rough focus \( O_0^+ \) is obtained after the \( k \)th perturbation of \((P_1)\). Let the perturbations \((P_1)\) to \((P_{i+1})\) \((i = 2, 3, \ldots, k-1)\) be small so that \( C_1^- \) is a contour without contact of \((P_i)\). Similarly, in an inward small neighbourhood of \( C_2^- \) there is a contour without contact of \((P_k)\), \( C_2^- \). By the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem there exists an unstable limit cycle, \( C_{k1}^- \), between \( C_1 \) and \( C_2^- \). By similar discussions, \( k \) limit cycles of the final perturbed system \((P_k)\), \( C_{k1}^-, C_{k2}^+, \ldots, C_{kk-1} \), and \( C_k^+ \) are obtained.

Therefore, at most \( k \) small-amplitude limit cycles can bifurcate from a fine focus of order \( k \) under suitable perturbations of the polynomial system \((1)\).

**SLEEMAN'S METHOD:** In his recent research report [49], based on the above work of Blows and Lloyd and using the idea of Hopf-bifurcation and the method of averaging of Show and Mallet-Paret [50], Sleeman posed a new approach to the resolution of Hilbert's 16th problem. His solution to the problem successfully depends on the manipulation of complicated algebraic quantities involving the coefficients \( a_{ij}, b_{ij} \) and leads to an algorithm for determining Lyapunov quantities and certain "averaging maps". Because of a large number of manipulations in the evaluation of integrals of trigonometric polynomials, the algorithm in the averaging process is solved using the symbolic manipulation package MACSYMA [51,52]. Finally, the results obtained are applied to quadratic and cubic systems and an outline for a systematic attack on higher-order systems is given.

**CUBIC SYSTEMS:** First, it is necessary and interesting to compare the known relative positions of cycles of \( E_2 \) with some relative positions of cycles of
E₃. The author [26] pointed out that many properties of cycles of E₃ differ greatly from those of E₂. For example, a closed orbit (a limit cycle) of E₃ may surround more than one critical point (as shown in figure 4); when it surrounds only a singular critical point the latter may not be a focus or a centre. (The Van der Pol equation

\[ \dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = -x + 3(1 - x^2)y \]

has a unique limit cycle surrounding a node.) A centre and a limit cycle of E₃ can coexist. There can exist three cycles of which one encloses the two others, which are separate, or three cycles which separate mutually. The above-mentioned cases are illustrated in figure 4. The cases (a)-(e) of limit cycles and singular points can be realized only for E₃, but not for E₂.

The number of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Centre</th>
<th>One surrounds two singular points</th>
<th>Three separate singular points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4

Each closed orbit of any quadratic system E₂(a,b) encloses a convex region, so that it is cut by any straight line in at most two points. For each closed orbit of any cubic system E₃(a,b), it is cut by any straight line in at most four points [54].
Concerning a lower bound and the relative positions of limit cycles of cubic systems, some results have been obtained. Sibirskii [24] and Shi [25] gave examples of $E_3$ with five concentric limit cycles by perturbing a fine focus. Recently, Blows and Lloyd [19] also constructed a class of such system $E_3$ with five cycles. All the cubic systems given by those authors have no quadratic terms in $x$ and $y$. It follows from these examples that $H_3 \geq 5$. From a viewpoint of relative position of limit cycles, these examples only supply a nest of limit cycles enclosing a focus. Different from that distribution of limit cycles, Il'yashenko [40] also showed that $H_3 \geq 5$. However the corresponding limit cycle may surround more than one singular point.

The author with his co-authors [27] gave a variety of distributions of limit cycles of cubic systems shown in figure 5.

![Distributions of Limit Cycles](image)

**Figure 5**

It should be pointed out that the famous Russian mathematician Arnold [28] obtained such a distribution of cycles of $E_3$ early in 1977 (figure 6).
Figure 6

Li and Li [31] obtained 12 kinds of patterns of limit cycles of cubic systems by perturbing a cubic Hamiltonian system. Let $C_k^m$ denote a kind of pattern. In $C_k^m$ $C$ represents limit cycle, $m$ the number of limit cycles and $k$ the multiplicity of singular points enclosed by the $m$ cycles. The symbol "-" means that cycles separate. The symbol "\Rightarrow" means that one or several cycles enclose another or others. They obtain the following 12 kinds of patterns:

1. $C_1^1$ ($k = 1,3,5,7,9$),
2. $C_2^2$ ($k = 1,3,5,7$),
3. $C_3^1 \Rightarrow C_1^1$,
4. $C_3^1 \Rightarrow (C_1^1 - C_1^1)$,
5. $C_3^2 \Rightarrow C_2^2$,
6. $C_3^2 \Rightarrow (C_1^1 - C_1^2)$,
7. $C_3^2 \Rightarrow C_1^2 - C_2^2$,
8. $C_3^2 \Rightarrow C_1^1$,
9. $C_3^2 \Rightarrow (C_1^1 - C_1^1)$,
10. $C_3^1 \Rightarrow C_1^1 - (C_1^1 - C_1^1)$,
11. $C_3^1 \Rightarrow C_1^1 - (C_1^1 - C_1^1 - C_1^1)$,
12. $C_3^1 - (C_3^1 \Rightarrow C_1^1 - C_1^1) - C_1^1$. 
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For example

Now let us explain the method (b) of producing limit cycles.

Before giving the newest results of Hilbert's 16th problem for $E_3$ we introduce the corresponding new method of producing limit cycles of $E_3$ which is a generalization of continuous variation of coefficients suggested by Hilbert. This method is a wonderful application of Pontryagin [29] and Zhang's [30] method to Hilbert's 16th problem for $E_3$. Moreover, the technique of the application is well-developed by Li and Li [31] in 1985. Li and Huang obtained that $H_3 \geq 11$ [32]. They found a cubic system

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= x(1 + 4x^2 - y^2) + \mu y(x^2 + 0.43y^2 - \lambda) \\
\dot{y} &= y(1 + x^2 - 0.5y^2) + \mu x(x^2 + 0.43y^2 - \lambda)
\end{align*}
$$

(1)$\mu$

where $\lambda, \mu$ are parameters

- $-1.579338 < \lambda < -1.57401,$ 
  $0 < \mu << 1.$

System (1)$\mu$ has at least 11 limit cycles as shown in figure 7.

7 families of closed orbits (non-isolated)

(a) The phase portrait of (1)$\mu=0$

(b) 11 limit cycles of (1) with 4 centres and 3 saddles

- $-1.579338 < \lambda < -1.57401$ for $0 < \mu <<$

Li's example with 11 cycles: (1)$\mu$

Figure 7
Consider the disturbed Hamiltonian system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx}{dt} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} - \mu x[p(x,y) - \lambda] = p(x,y,\mu,\lambda) \\
\frac{dy}{dt} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} - \mu y[q(x,y) - \lambda] = q(x,y,\mu,\lambda)
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

where \(H(x,y), p(x,y), q(x,y)\) are polynomials with \(p(0,0) = q(0,0) = 0, \lambda, \mu\) two parameters with \(0 < \mu < 1\) and \(P(0,0,\mu,\lambda) = Q(0,0,\mu,\lambda) = 0\). Suppose that the following condition holds.

**CONDITION A:** The integral of the system \(H(x,y) = h\) \((h_1 < h < h_2)\) represents a family of closed orbits \(\{x^h\}\) which surround \(C (C \geq 1)\) centres and/or \(s (s > 0)\) saddles, expand when \(h\) increases, and are negatively oriented. Let

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_h &= \text{Interior of } i^h \\
\phi(h) &= \int \int_{i^h} dx \, dy, \quad \psi(h) = \int \int_{D^h} f(x,y) dx \, dy \quad (h_1 < h < h_2) \\
\lambda(h) &= \frac{\psi(h)}{\phi(h)} \quad (h_1 < h < h_2).
\end{align*}
\]

Then the function \(\lambda = \lambda(h)\) is called the detection function of \(\{x^h\}\), corresponding to the family \(\{x^h\}\) and the graph of this function is the detection curve.

Applying Pontryagin and Zhang's method to system \((2)_\mu\) leads to:

**FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM A** (on bifurcations from periodic cycles): For a fixed \(\mu (0 < \mu < 1)\) and a given value \(\lambda_0\) of parameter \(\lambda\), the system \((2)_\mu\) is considered. Suppose that condition A holds. Then

(i) system \((2)_\mu\) with \(\lambda = \lambda_0\) has a unique stable (unstable) limit cycle near the closed curve \(i^{h_i}\), if \(\lambda(h_1) = \lambda_0, \lambda'(h_1) > 0 (< 0)\).

(ii) system \((2)_\mu\) with \(\lambda = \lambda_0\) has no more than \(k\) limit cycles near \(i^{h_i^*}\) \((i \geq 1)\), if \(\lambda_0 = \lambda(h_i^*)\) and \(\lambda'(h_i^*), \ldots, \lambda'(h_i^{k-1}), \lambda'(h_i^k) = 0\) \(, \lambda(k)(h_i^k) \neq 0\).
If the closed orbits \( \{ h \} \) in condition A expand when \( h \) decreases or are positively oriented then the limit cycle mentioned in (i) of the theorem has the opposite stability.

**CONDITION B:**
1. Condition A holds.
2. Integral curves \( H(x, y) = h_i \), \( i = 1, 2 \) are homoclinic orbits or heteroclinic orbits of \( (2)_{\mu=0} \) which surround some orbits of \( \{ h \} \) and are surrounded by some orbits of \( \{ h^\mu \} \) being symmetrical with respect to the x-axis.
3. \( h^\mu \) changes monotonically with \( h \) in each region divided by the homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits.
4. The vector field of \( (2) \) is invariant under a rotation through \( 2\pi/k \) \( (k = 1, 2, \ldots) \).
5. Saddles of \( (2) \) are hyperbolic.
6. \( \lambda(h) \neq 0 \) \( (h_1 < h < h_2) \).

Applying Mel'nikov's [33,34] method to \( (2)_{\mu} \) leads to

**FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM B** (on bifurcations from singular closed orbits): Suppose that conditions A, B hold. If \( \lambda = \lambda(h_2) + o(\mu) \) or \( \lambda = \lambda(h_1) + o(\mu) \), then \( (2)_{\mu} \) has homoclinic or heteroclinic orbits.

By using the two fundamental theorems on bifurcations and starting with a suitably chosen Hamiltonian polynomial system, a variety of distributions of limit cycles may be obtained by perturbing the system. This is the theoretical base of the above-mentioned example with 11 limit cycles.

Concerning \( H_n \) Otpokov [35] obtained in 1954 an estimate

\[
H_n \geq \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2}(n^2 + 5n) - 7, & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \geq 6 \\
\frac{1}{2}(n^2 + 5n) - 13, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n \geq 9.
\end{cases}
\]

Shen [36] recently gave by means of many properties of Chebyshev's polynomials \( T_n(x) \) the following recursive inequality on \( H_n \)

\[
H_n \geq \left(\frac{n+1}{p}\right)^2 H_{p-1}, \text{ if } \frac{n+1}{p} \text{ is an integer.}
\]

Thus
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\[ H_5 \geq \left( \frac{6}{3} \right)^2 H_2 \geq 4 \times 4 = 16 \]
\[ H_7 \geq \left( \frac{8}{4} \right)^2 H_3 \geq 4 \times 11 = 44 \]
\[ H_{11} \geq \left( \frac{12}{4} \right)^2 H_3 \geq 9 \times 11 = 99 \]
\[ \ldots \]
\[ H_n \geq \left( \frac{n + 1}{4} \right)^2 H_3 \geq \frac{11}{16} (n + 1)^2, \text{ if } n + 1 \text{ is divisible by 4}. \]

On the other hand, by Otpokov's estimation, we have
\[ H_{11} \geq 75 \]
\[ \ldots \]

Therefore, Shen's result is better than Otpokov's in the case of \( n = 4k - 1 \)
\((k \text{ is a natural number}).\)
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A homotopy invariant for dynamical systems with a first integral

1. INTRODUCTION
This lecture concerns joint work with Norman Dancer on a degree theory for T-periodic orbits of flows with a first integral where T is fixed a priori.

The familiar Brouwer degree may be regarded as an algebraic count of the number of solutions in an open bounded subset \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) of the equation \( f(x) = p \) when \( f \) is continuous on \( \bar{\Omega} \) (the closure of \( \Omega \)) and \( f(x) \neq p \) when \( x \in \partial \Omega \) (the boundary of \( \Omega \)). It is an integer-valued homotopy invariant. In 1967 Fuller defined a rational-valued degree for dynamical systems which might be regarded as giving an algebraic count of the number of periodic orbits in \( \Omega \), irrespective of their period, of the equation \( \dot{x} = f(x) \) when \( f \) has no zeros in \( \bar{\Omega} \) and no periodic orbit in \( \bar{\Omega} \) intersects \( \partial \Omega \) (see Fuller [4], and Chow and Mallet-Paret [1].) In their respective contexts each of these is a homotopy invariant and has a natural set of applications in bifurcation theory using the methods of Rabinowitz [7]. In particular, each is invariant to small perturbations in \( f \). Therefore if \( \dot{x} = f(x) + \epsilon g(x) \) has no periodic orbits in \( \Omega \) for all \( \epsilon \) sufficiently small, then the Fuller degree for \( \dot{x} = f(x) \) in \( \Omega \), if it is defined, is necessarily zero. A special case when the Fuller degree is always zero arises if there exists a nondegenerate first integral for the equation \( \dot{x} = f(x) \), i.e., when \( \langle V(x), f(x) \rangle = 0 \) for some real-valued functional \( V \). Then \( V \) is constant on trajectories of \( \dot{x} = f(x) \) and \( \dot{x} = f(x) + \epsilon V(x) \) has no periodic solutions in \( \Omega \) for \( \epsilon \neq 0 \). A similar difficulty was encountered by Dancer [2] when he considered the Brouwer degree for \( S^1 \)-invariant functions on \( \mathbb{R}^n \); in this case the Brouwer degree is always zero also. He coped by restricting attention to equivariant mappings which are, in addition, gradients of functionals on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). His approach leans somewhat on the methods of Fuller.

Our purpose in this lecture is to show how to define a degree for all Lipschitz continuous, nonvanishing vector fields defined on \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) (where \( \Omega \) is bounded and open) which are orthogonal to \( V \), \( V \) being a continuously differentiable function on \( \Omega \) with nonvanishing gradient, such that every T-periodic orbit of \( \dot{x} = f(x) \) in \( \bar{\Omega} \) lies in \( \Omega \). If these conditions are
satisfied we say that \((\Omega,f,V)\) is admissible. (We require \(f\) to be defined on \(\overline{\Omega}\) and \(V\) to be defined on \(\Omega\) and \(\langle f(x),\nabla V(x)\rangle = 0\) on \(\overline{\Omega}\).) Here \(T > 0\) is specified a priori, and is fixed.

Our degree function, which will be denoted by \(\text{deg}(\Omega,f,V)\), where its dependence on \(T\) is understood but suppressed for the sake of a convenient notation, can be regarded as an algebraic count of the number of \(T\)-periodic orbits of \(\dot{x} = f(x)\) in \(\Omega\). It is a homotopy invariant in the sense made precise in (II) below.

The approach described here is naïve. The degree is first defined in a smooth nondegenerate situation, and then extended to the general context by approximation. To this end one needs to establish a type of Kupka-Smale generlicity result (see e.g. Palis and de Melo [6]) in the class of vector fields with a first integral. This step requires greatest technical effort and an outline of the details involved is given in section 3. In [3] there is a proof that, when the degree is calculated for a Hamiltonian system whose first integral is the Hamiltonian, then the degree agrees (up to a change of sign) with the abstract degree defined by Dancer [2] for \(S^1\)-invariant gradient mappings once the latter has been calculated for a (gradient) Hamiltonian system. We ignore this aspect of the theory now. Our presentation means that computations are carried out directly with the dynamical system, and not through an abstract formulation as in the case for the \(S^1\)-degree. We return to the observed connection with the \(S^1\)-invariant gradient degree in the later paper when we consider changes of the index and bifurcation theory [3].

This lecture is completely descriptive and deliberately avoids a detailed discussion of the technical mathematical treatment which is being reported upon. Our aim is to give an intuitive account of the degree theory beginning in section 2 with the definition and basic properties. In section 3 there is a nonrigorous descriptive account of the ideas involved in establishing the generic results on dynamical systems with a first integral on which the definitions and proofs of section 2 are based.

2. THE DEGREE

Suppose that \((\Omega,f,V)\) is admissible and that \(\gamma\) is the only \(T\)-periodic orbit in \(\Omega\) of \(\dot{x} = f(x)\) and let \(p \in \gamma\). There is no loss of generality in supposing that \(p = 0\), the origin of \(\mathbb{R}^n\). Then 0 is a fixed point of the time-\(T\)-map \(F\) defined by the flow \(\dot{x} = f(x)\), and indeed 0 is locally a unique fixed point of
$F$ in the hyperplane $f(0)^{\perp} (= H$, say). Let $K = \mathcal{V}(0)^{\perp}$, and let $Q$ be the orthogonal projection in $\mathbb{R}^n$ onto $\mathcal{V}(0)^{\perp}$ and parallel to $\mathcal{V}(0)$ (see figure 1).

Now because $\mathcal{V}(F(x)) = \mathcal{V}(x)$ for all $x$ and $\mathcal{V}(0) \neq 0$, it is easy to see that there exists a neighbourhood $U$ of $0$ such that $F(x) = x, x \in U$, if and only if $Q(F(x)-x) = 0$. Now $\phi = Qo(I-F)$ is function from an open set $U \cap H$ in the $(n-1)$-dimensional space $H$ into the $(n-1)$-dimensional space $K$ which has an isolated zero at $0 \in H$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-2}$ be an arbitrary basis for $H \cap K$ and let $\{\mathcal{V}(0), e_1, \ldots, e_{n-2}\}$ and $\{f(0), e_1, \ldots, e_{n-2}\}$ be bases for $H$ and $K$ respectively. Then with respect to these orientations the Brouwer degree $\deg_B(U \cap H, \phi, 0)$ is defined and is independent of $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{n-2}\}$ since these basis elements are common to the domain and the co-domain. The usual stability of the Brouwer degree means that the value of the degree is locally independent of the choice of the point $p$ on $\gamma$ chosen for the calculation. Since $\gamma$ is connected the calculation is independent of the choice of $p$ on $\gamma$. Hence $\deg_B(U \cap H, \phi, 0)$ depends only on $\gamma, f$ and $\mathcal{V}$. If it is defined and non-zero then $\phi(x) = 0$ has a solution in $U \cap H$ and so there is a $T$-periodic orbit through a point of $U \cap H$. However the following illustrates that, as it stands, this Brouwer degree lacks the stability required of an index for $\gamma$ (see figure 2).
In the example of figure 1 let us suppose that \( \gamma \) has minimal period \( T/3 \), say, and that the calculation above gives \( \deg_B(U \cap H, \phi, 0) = k \neq 0 \). Let us suppose also that after a small perturbation of \( f \) to \( f' \) the \( T \)-periodic orbit \( \gamma \) (which must persist in some form or other because \( k \neq 0 \)) becomes an orbit \( \gamma' \) of minimal period \( T \). Thus \( \gamma' \) has three zeros, \( p_i, i = 1, 2, 3 \), in \( U \cap H \), and if \( U_i \) is an isolating neighbourhood of \( p_i \) then \( \lambda = \deg(U \cap U_i \subseteq \phi', 0) \) is independent of \( i \), since \( p_1, p_2 \) and \( p_3 \) all lie on the same orbit \( \gamma' \). Therefore, by the stability of the usual Brouwer degree, \( \deg(U \cap H, \phi, 0) \), to small perturbations we find in this case that \( 3\lambda = k \). Careful observation of what can happen in circumstances like these leads naturally to the following definition of an index for \( \gamma' \), an isolated \( T \)-periodic orbit. Because it is stable to perturbations, this index leads naturally to a degree theory which enjoys the usual properties.

**Definition:** Suppose that \((\Omega, f, \psi)\) is admissible, that \( \gamma \) is the unique \( T \)-periodic solution of \( \dot{x} = f(x) \) in \( \Omega \), and that \( T/m \) is the minimal period of \( \gamma \). (Such an \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) exists since \( f(x) \neq 0 \) in \( \Omega \).) Let

\[
\text{ind}(\gamma) = \frac{\deg_B(U \cap H, \phi, 0)}{m}.
\]

If there is only a finite set \( \{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k\} \) of \( T \)-periodic orbits of \( \dot{x} = f(x) \) in \( \Omega \), let
We will say that an admissible \((\Omega, f, V)\) is *finite* if there are only a finite number of \(T\)-periodic orbits of \(\dot{x} = f(x)\) in \(\Omega\). In the next section there is a description (omitting details) of the proof that for any admissible \((\Omega, f, V)\) there exists a sequence of finite admissible \((\Omega, f^k, V)\) such that \(f^k + f\) uniformly in \(\Omega\), and \(\deg(\Omega, f^k, V)\) is independent of \(k\) for all \(k\) sufficiently large. This enables us to make a definition of the degree for any admissible \((\Omega, f, V)\):

\[
\deg(\Omega, f, V) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \text{ind } (\gamma_i).
\]

If we show that the right-hand side is well-defined, then the following properties of the degree are immediate from the definition:

\[\text{if } \deg(\Omega, f, V) = M/N, \ (M,N) = 1, \ \text{and } p^{\alpha}|N \text{ where } p \text{ is a prime number}\]

and \(\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\), then \(\Omega\) contains an orbit of period \(T/p^\alpha\).

To see this, note that for all \(k\) sufficiently large the finite admissible \((\Omega, f^k, V)\) has degree \((M/N)\), and it is immediate from the prime factorization theorem and the definition of the degree in the finite admissible case that \(\dot{x} = f^k(x)\) has a \((T/p^\alpha)\)-periodic orbit in \(\Omega\). Since \(f^k + f\) uniformly and \(f\) is Lipschitz, the classical continuous dependence theory (Hartman [5], Ch. 2, Th. 3.2) ensures that \(\dot{x} = f(x)\) also has a \((T/p^\alpha)\)-periodic orbit in \(\Omega\).

Suppose now that a family of finite admissible \((\Omega, f_\lambda, V_\lambda)\), \(\lambda \in [0,1]\), depends continuously on \(\lambda\) in the sense that the \(f_\lambda\)'s are continuous in \(\lambda\) with respect to the metric of uniform convergence on \(\Omega\), and the \(V_\lambda\)'s are continuous with respect to the metric of uniform convergence on compact subsets on \(\Omega\). This will be called a *finite admissible homotopy*. Then because there are only a finite number of \(T\)-periodic orbits of \(\dot{x} = f_\lambda(x)\) in \(\Omega\) for each \(\lambda\), it is an easy matter to infer from the homotopy invariance of the classical Brouwer degree function that \(\deg(\Omega, f_\lambda, V_\lambda)\) does not depend on \(\lambda\). This rather weak version of the homotopy invariance property for finite admissible homotopies is a consequence of our definition and classical continuous dependence theory for initial-value problems in a straightforward fashion.
In the next section we will indicate how to show that if \((\Omega, f^\lambda, V^\lambda)\), \(\lambda \in [0,1]\), is an admissible homotopy in the sense that each \((\Omega, f^\lambda, V^\lambda)\) is admissible and the dependence on \(\lambda\) is continuous in the sense described above, then there exists a sequence of finite admissible homotopies \((\Omega, f^k, V^k)\) such that \(f^k \to f\) uniformly in \(\Omega\) and \(\lambda \in [0,1]\). (For each \(\lambda \in [0,1]\) and \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), \((\Omega, f^k, V^k)\) is finite and admissible.) This approximation theorem is established through a long technical argument. However, once it has been established, we can use it to prove that the above degree function is indeed well-defined, and that it enjoys a strong homotopy invariance property. The argument for well-definedness goes as follows.

Suppose that \((\Omega, f, V)\) is admissible and \(f^k \to f\) uniformly on \(\Omega\) where \((\Omega, f^k, V)\) is finite and admissible. We can show that \(\deg(\Omega, f^k, V)\) is independent of \(k\) sufficiently large as follows. Let \(k\) and \(\lambda\) be natural numbers. Then for \(k\) and \(\lambda\) sufficiently large, \((\Omega, \lambda f^k + (1-\lambda)f^k, V)\) is an admissible homotopy since \(f^k \to f\) uniformly on \(\Omega\). Now we have claimed that any admissible homotopy can be approximated by a finite admissible homotopy and so there exists \(g^m_\lambda + \lambda f^k + (1-\lambda)f^k\) uniformly on \(\Omega\) and \(\lambda \in [0,1]\) as \(m \to \infty\) where \((\Omega, g^m_\lambda, V)\) is finite and admissible. Hence by the homotopy invariance of finite admissible homotopies \(\deg(\Omega, g^m_\lambda, V)\) is independent of \(\lambda\) \(\in [0,1]\). Now \(g^m_0 = f^k\), \((\Omega, f^k, V)\) and \((\Omega, g^m_0, V)\) are both finite and admissible, and so an elementary argument involving only the stability of the Brouwer degree leads to the conclusion that \(\deg(\Omega, g^m_0, V) = \deg(\Omega, f^k, V)\) for all \(m\) sufficiently large; also for all \(m\) sufficiently large \(\deg(\Omega, g^m_0, V) = \deg(\Omega, f^k, V)\) for the same reason. Hence for all \(m\) sufficiently large

\[
\deg(\Omega, f^k, V) = \deg(\Omega, g^m_1, V) = \deg(\Omega, g^m_0, V) = \deg(\Omega, f^k, V).
\]

This shows that if \(f^k \to f\) uniformly and if \((\Omega, f^k, V)\) is finite and admissible, then \(\deg(\Omega, f^k, V)\) is independent of \(k\) for all \(k\) sufficiently large.

Thus provided we can show that admissible homotopies can be approximated by finite admissible homotopies then we can show that the basic definition of the degree function given above makes sense.

It is now easy to see that the approximation of admissible homotopies by finite admissible homotopies leads to the strong homotopy property of the degree function, namely that:
deg(Ω, fₜ, Vₜ) is independent of λ when (Ω, fₜ, Vₜ) is any admissible homotopy. (II)

To see this let fₜ → fₜ uniformly on  over and λ ∈ [0, 1] be such that (Ω, fₜ, Vₜ) is a finite admissible homotopy. Then by definition deg(Ω, fₜ, Vₜ) = deg(Ω, fₜ, Vₜ) for all k sufficiently large (k depending on λ). Now if λ₁ and λ₂ are in [0, 1] we obtain

$$\deg(Ω, f_{λ₁}, V_{λ₁}) = \deg(Ω, f_{λ₁}, V_{λ₁}^k) = \deg(Ω, f_{λ₂}, V_{λ₂}^k)$$

$$= \deg(Ω, f_{λ₂}, V_{λ₂})$$

for some k, where the middle equality follows because the degree function is constant for finite admissible homotopies. Thus the homotopy property is established.

So far we have outlined the definition of our degree functions for T-periodic orbits of admissible flows with a first integral and established a powerful homotopy invariance property provided we know that admissible homotopies can be approximated by finite admissible homotopies. Now it is time to show how this result is obtained. It is to be hoped that the following outline of our method, which omits the technical details, makes the rather tedious step-by-step nature of the proof clear.

3. GENERIC THEORY OF ADMISSIBLE HOMOTOPIES

Suppose that (Ω, fₜ, Vₜ), λ ∈ [0, 1], is an admissible homotopy, i.e.

(i) $λ + fₜ$ is continuous with respect to uniform convergence on $Ω$;

(ii) $λ + Vₜ$ is continuous with respect to $C^1$-convergence on compact subsets of $Ω$;

(iii) $fₜ(x) \neq 0$, $x \in Ω$, $λ \in [0, 1], \forall Vₜ(x) \neq 0$, $x \in Ω$, $λ \in [0, 1];$

(iv) all T-periodic orbits of $x = fₜ(x)$ in $Ω$ lie in $Ω$, $λ \in [0, 1]$.

Because of (iv) we know that there is an open set $U$ with $Ω ⊂ U$ such that all T-periodic orbits in $Ω$ of $x = fₜ(x)$, $λ \in [0, 1]$, lie in $U$. Since $∀V \neq 0$
on $\Omega$ we know that $|\nabla V| \geq \alpha > 0$ on $U$ for some $\alpha$. Since in defining the degree $\Omega$ could clearly be replaced by $U$ there is therefore no loss of generality in assuming throughout that

$$(v) \quad |\nabla V_\lambda(x)| \geq \alpha > 0 \text{ on } \Omega, \lambda \in [0, \lambda].$$

Now our purpose is to indicate how $(\Omega, f_\lambda, V_\lambda)$ can be approximated by a finite admissible homotopy. There is no loss of generality in supposing at the outset (because if necessary we can make smooth approximations and extensions) that

$$(vi) \quad f_\lambda \text{ and } V_\lambda \text{ are jointly infinitely differentiable with respect to } \lambda \in (-\delta, (1 + \delta)) \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ and that } f \text{ and } VV \text{ grow no faster than linearly at infinity}; \text{ in particular for any } \lambda, \text{ solutions of the initial-value problems } \dot{x} = f_\lambda(x) \text{ and } \dot{x} = VV_\lambda(x) \text{ are unique, exist for all time and depend smoothly on the initial data and on } \lambda.$$

One further elementary observation is in order:

$$(vii) \quad \text{there exists } m^* > 0 \text{ such that any } T\text{-periodic solution in } \Omega \text{ of } \dot{x} = f_\lambda(x) \text{ has minimal period no less than } T/m^*.$$  

This is immediate from (iii).

The proof may now be organized as a sequence of steps.

**STEP 1:** First we indicate how to prove that the smooth admissible homotopy $(\Omega, f_\lambda, V_\lambda)$ can be approximated by a smooth admissible homotopy $(\Omega, f_\lambda^k, V_\lambda)$ such that $\dot{x} = f_\lambda^k(x)$ has only finitely many $T/m^*$-periodic orbits in $\Omega$ for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. The proof depends on the following geometrical observation. For each $\lambda$, let $\Omega_{\lambda}$ denote the set of $x$ in $\Omega$ such that $x(t) \in \Omega$ for all $t \in [0, (T/m^*) + \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ if $\dot{x} = f_\lambda(x)$ and $x(0) = x$. Clearly $\Omega_{\lambda}$ is open. Let $F_\lambda : \Omega_{\lambda} \to \Omega$ denote the time-$(T/m^*)$-map for the equation $\dot{x} = f_\lambda(x)$, and let $F_\lambda$ denote its graph: thus $F_\lambda = \{(x, F_\lambda(x)) : x \in \Omega_{\lambda}\}$. Let
\[ F = \bigcup_{\lambda \in (-\delta, 1+\delta)} \{ \lambda \} \times f_\lambda. \]

Now for each \( x \in \Omega_\lambda \), let \( \theta_\lambda(x) \) denote the trajectory through \( x \) of the equation \( \dot{x} = \nabla \lambda(x) \), and let

\[ \Theta = \bigcup_{\lambda \in (-\delta, 1+\delta)} \{ \lambda \} \times \{ x \} \times \{ \theta_\lambda(x) \}. \]

Now \( F \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \) is a smooth submanifold of dimension \((n+1)\) and \( \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \) is a smooth submanifold of dimension \((n+2)\). The first observation, which is not difficult to prove, is that if \( F \not\subset \Theta \) then the intersection is a two-dimensional embedded submanifold of \( \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \) and if \( (\lambda, x, y) \in F \setminus \Theta \), then \( y = F_\lambda(x) = x \) and \( x \) lies on a \((T/m^*)\)-periodic orbit in \( \Omega \) of \( \dot{x} = f_\lambda(x) \). In other words \( F \setminus \Theta \) comprises a manifold of \((T/m^*)\)-periodic orbits of \( x = f_\lambda(x) \). However, \( F \setminus \Theta \) is insufficient to ensure the aim of step 1; in other words, for a fixed value of \( \lambda \) there may be a cylinder of \((T/m^*)\)-periodic orbits of \( \dot{x} = f_\lambda(x) \). To outlaw this possibility a further transversality condition must be satisfied.

Let \( A \) denote the vector bundle with base space

\[ E = \bigcup_{\lambda \in (-\delta, 1+\delta)} \{ \lambda \} \times \Omega_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}^n \]

and such that the fibre over \( (\lambda, x, y) \in E \) is \( L(x) \), which denotes the space of linear operators from \( f_\lambda(x)\perp \) into \( \nabla \lambda(x)\perp \). Then \( A \) is a smooth manifold of dimension \((n^2 + 2)\). Let \( \Sigma \subset A \) be the submanifold defined by

\[ \Sigma = \{ (\lambda, x, y, L) : (\lambda, x, y) \in \Theta, \text{ rank } L = n-2 \}. \]

The \( \Sigma \) has co-dimension 1 in \( A \). Now consider the set

\[ D = \{ (\lambda, x, f_\lambda(x), Q_{(\lambda, x)}(1-d_x f_\lambda(x))|f_\lambda(x)\perp : \lambda \in (-\delta, 1+\delta), x \in \Omega_\lambda \} \]

where \( Q_{(\lambda, x)} \) is the orthogonal projection onto \( \nabla \lambda(x)\perp \). Then \( D \subset A \). If \( D \not\subset \Sigma \), then \( D \cap \Sigma \) is a one-dimensional manifold. In particular, if \( F \not\subset \Theta \), and \( (\lambda, x, F_\lambda(x)) \in \Theta \cap F \), but \( (\lambda, x, f_\lambda(x), Q_{(\lambda, x)}(1-d_x f_\lambda(x))|f_\lambda(x)\perp) \notin \Sigma \),
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then \( x \) lies on an isolated orbit of \( \dot{\lambda} \) = \( f_\lambda(x) \). On the other hand, if 
\( (\lambda, x, \lambda F_\lambda(x)) \in \Sigma \cap F \) and \( (\lambda, x, \lambda F_\lambda(x), Q(\lambda, x)^{\rho (I - d x \lambda F_\lambda(x))f_\lambda(x)}) \in \Sigma \cup D \) then it also follows that \( x \) lies on an isolated orbit of \( \dot{\lambda} \) = \( f_\lambda(x) \) in \( \Omega \).

(This occurs at the points where \( \lambda = \lambda_i \) in figure 3.)

Therefore it will suffice for our purposes to show that \( f_\lambda \) can be perturbed in such a way that \( \Sigma \not\in D \) and \( F \not\in \emptyset \) are assured. This can be done locally in a neighbourhood of a point \((\lambda, p)\) with \( p = F_\lambda(p) \) using perturbations of the form

\[
f_\lambda(x) + \phi(x - p) \left( a \cdot \frac{<a_i, \forall \lambda(x)>}{\|\forall \lambda(x)\|} \forall \lambda(x) \right)
\]

where \( \eta > 0 \), \( \phi \) is a test function with support centred at the origin, for some fixed \( a \in \mathbb{R}^n \). The proof of this result is a straightforward calculation of the derivatives of functions defined by the differential equation, but it involves in an essential way the fact that \( (T/m^*) \) is the minimal period of \( y \).

A standard compactness argument then yields a perturbation for which \( F \in \emptyset \) and \( D \not\in \Sigma \) on \( \Omega \) of the form

\[
f_\lambda(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi_i(x - p_i) \left( a_i \cdot \frac{<a_i, \forall \lambda(x)>}{\|\forall \lambda(x)\|} \forall \lambda(x) \right).
\]

The set \( a_1, \ldots, a_m \) can be chosen so small that the perturbation of \( f_\lambda \) can be made as close to zero as we like. The proof depends crucially on the fact that the orbits of minimal period \( T/m^* \) for \( \lambda \in [-\delta, 1 + \delta] \) form a compact subset of \( [-\delta, 1 + \delta] \times \Omega \).

This perturbation has enabled us to describe in some detail the structure of all the \((T/m^*)\)-periodic orbits in \( \Omega \) for \( \lambda \in (-\delta, 1 + \delta) \). Generically (i.e., after a small perturbation) there is at most a finite set of points \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \in (-\delta, 1 + \delta) \) and all \((T/m^*)\)-periodic orbits for other values of \( \lambda \) lie on curves \( \gamma_\lambda \) parametrized by \( \lambda \). The set \( \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\} \) is characterized by the fact that for \( \lambda_i \) there exists \( p_i \in \gamma_{\lambda_i} \), such that

\[
(\lambda_i, p_i, F_{\lambda_i}(p_i), Q(\lambda_i, p_i)^{\rho (I - d x \lambda F_{\lambda_i}(p_i))f_{\lambda_i}(p_i)}) \in \Sigma \cap D.
\]

Since \( D \not\in \Sigma \) and \( F \not\in \emptyset \), these are turning points of the curves \( \gamma_\lambda \) of \((T/m^*)\)-
periodic orbits mentioned earlier (see figure 3).

**Figure 3**

**STEP 2:** Now we make further perturbations of the vector fields $f_\lambda$ for $\lambda$ in small neighbourhoods of $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ to ensure that $\lambda_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, is not a period-multiplying bifurcation point. This means we must ensure that no integer root of unity, apart from unity itself, is an eigenvalue of $d_x F_\lambda[p]$ when $p = F_\lambda(p)$. To obtain this after perturbation is a matter of arguing locally in neighbourhoods of these critical $(T/m^*)$-periodic orbits. The perturbation is chosen in such a way that on these critical orbits the vector fields are unchanged, and hence the orbits themselves are unchanged. In a deleted neighbourhood of these orbits the vector field is adjusted slightly to ensure that no integer root of unity is an eigenvalue of $d_x F_\lambda[p_i]$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, when $F_\lambda(p_i) = p_i$. Care must be taken to ensure that after perturbation $\langle f_\lambda, \nabla \lambda \rangle = 0$.

**STEP 3:** At this stage the $(T/m^*)$-periodic solutions of $\dot{x} = f_\lambda(x)$ lie on curves parametrized by $\lambda \neq \lambda_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$; the $(T/m^*)$-periodic solutions of $\dot{x} = f_\lambda(x)$ are isolated for each $\lambda_i$, and there is no period-multiplying bifurcation at these critical values of $\lambda$. Let us consider a curve $p(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in (\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1})$, $p(\lambda) \in \gamma_\lambda$, where $\gamma_\lambda$ is a $(T/m^*)$-periodic orbit of $\dot{x} = f_\lambda(x)$ in $\Omega$.

This step is to show that if for some $\lambda \in (\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1})$ period-multiplying bifurcation occurs, then after perturbation we can ensure that it is a period-doubling bifurcation which is not "vertical". Clearly, generically we cannot preclude the possibility of an eigenvalue of $d_x F_\lambda[p_\lambda]$ passing through -1 as $\lambda$ varies. However it is intuitively obvious, and it can be proved, that after
a perturbation no root of unity apart from 1 or -1 is an eigenvalue of 

\[ d_x F_\lambda [p] \]. Moreover the bifurcation equation for solutions of the equation 

\[ x = F_\lambda (x) \] corresponding to period-doubling is a nondegenerate cubic in one 

variable, and so the period-doubling bifurcation is a pitchfork. Because 

of translation invariance of the differential equation this corresponds to 

a "nonvertical" bifurcation of a single branch of \( (2T/m^*) \)-periodic solutions 

of the flow (see figure 4).

**Figure 4**

In particular these bifurcating solutions of period \( (2T/m^*) \) lie on a curve 

which locally intersects \( \lambda = \lambda_1 \) once at the bifurcation point, and which lies 

locally on one side of \( \lambda_1 \) or the other. (The quadratic term in the bifurcation 

equation necessarily is zero, a fact that can be observed from the trans- 

lation invariance of autonomous ordinary differential equations.)

We note also that on the bifurcating branch of \( (2T/m^*) \)-periodic solutions no 

root of unity (apart from unity itself) is an eigenvalue of 

\[ d_x F_\lambda [p] \], and 

hence close to bifurcation there is no further period-multiplying bifurcation.

At this stage we observe that all the properties of the \( (T/m^*) \)-periodic 

orbits established in steps 1-3 above by suitable perturbations are stable 

in the sense that they will continue to hold after further perturbation, 

provided that the further perturbation is sufficiently small. With this 

observation in mind we turn our attention to periodic orbits of minimal 

period \( T/(m^*-1) \) for \( \lambda \in [-\frac{1}{46}, 1 + \frac{1}{46}] \). This set of orbits does not form a 

compact subset of \( [-\frac{1}{46}, 1 + \frac{1}{46}] \times \Omega \).

**STEP 4:** However, because of step 3 we know exactly that the only possible 

points of its boundary which are not in the set are period-doubling points 

with \( \lambda \notin \lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_k \). We have already seen in step 3 that for \( \lambda \) close to the
period-doubling points and in a neighbourhood in $\Omega$ of the period-doubling orbits there are at most a finite number of $(2T/m^*)$-periodic orbits. We can therefore restrict our attention to the compact set of orbits of minimal period $(2T/m^*)$ lying outside open neighbourhoods of the period-doubling bifurcation points of step 3 above.

Now we repeat the argument of step 1, with the time-$(T/(m^*-1))$-map instead of the time $(T/m^*)$-map used earlier. This then ensures that after a small perturbation there are only a finite number of orbits of minimal period $(T/(m^*-1))$ in $\Omega$ for any $\lambda \in [-\frac{1}{4\delta}, 1 + \frac{1}{6\delta}]$, and that these orbits lie on curves parametrized by $\lambda$ except for a finite set of turning points. Now we repeat the argument of step 2 to ensure that the turning points are not period-doubling bifurcation points, and finally we repeat the argument of step 3 to ensure that any period-multiplying bifurcations which might arise are period-doubling bifurcations, and that the bifurcation is a nondegenerate pitchfork on which, locally, no period-multiplying bifurcation occurs.

With this in hand we turn our attention to the set of orbits of minimal period $T/(m^*-3)$.

Now we proceed by induction, and after $m^*$ iterations we arrive at a smooth finite admissible homotopy.

Of course if $(\Omega, f, V)$ is admissible, then it can be thought of as a constant admissible homotopy, and so the approximation result needed the definition of the degree has been obtained in passing.

REFERENCES


J.F. Toland
School of Mathematical Sciences
University of Bath
Claverton Down
Bath BA2 7AY
U.K.
Many tissues of biological origin are able to transmit signals in the form of propagating waves of chemical or electrical activity. The most familiar example is the nerve axon which conducts waves of membrane depolarization along its length [1]. Neural networks, as found in the cerebral cortex, support organized waves of electrical [2] and chemical [3] activity in two spatial dimensions. Heart muscle propagates waves of electrical activity and muscular contraction. The spatial organization of these waves in two and three spatial dimensions is related to cardiac function and dysfunction [4]. Signal transmission is also important in developmental biology where spatial and temporal coordination is essential to proper morphogenesis. A paradigm of such coordination is found in the slime mold Dictyostelium discoideum where traveling waves of cyclic AMP direct the process of aggregation of single-celled amoebae into a multicellular slug [5].

Traveling waves of chemical reaction are also found in nonliving systems. Waves of oxidation are observed in many chemical reactions [6], the most famous of which is the Belousov-Zhabotinskii (BZ) reaction. The BZ reaction involves the oxidation of certain carboxylic acids by bromate ions in the presence of a suitable transition-metal ion catalyst. In the early 1950s Belousov was studying this reaction as an analog of the oxidative decarboxylation of organic acids in living cells when he discovered that the reaction oscillates back and forth between oxidized and reduced states for many cycles [7]. Later Zhabotinskii, Winfree, and others discovered that the BZ reaction would also support spatial waves of oxidation which propagate through thin unstirred layers of reagent [8]. A thin layer need not be spontaneously oscillatory to support oxidation waves. Indeed, Winfree's nonoscillatory recipe [8] is particularly convenient for studying wave propagation in the BZ reaction. When carefully prepared, the medium will remain for a long time uniformly in a reduced state, but if perturbed sufficiently, a single circular wave of oxidation will propagate away from
the point of perturbation until it collides with the boundary of the dish and disappears.

There are many similarities between oxidation waves in the BZ reaction and activity waves in neural, neuromuscular, and developmental biology. All these media may be spontaneously oscillatory or merely excitable. They can propagate waves in one, two, or three spatial dimensions. Wave propagation is self-regenerative, i.e. waves propagate without loss of amplitude or speed. Waves are annihilated on collision with other waves or with boundaries. Periodic traveling waves show dispersion, i.e. the speed of propagation varies with wave frequency. In two spatial dimensions two characteristic patterns of propagating waves are observed: expanding concentric circular waves ("target" patterns) and rotating spiral waves. In a given preparation, target patterns generally come in a variety of temporal periods (with wavespeed and wavelength determined by the dispersion relation), whereas spiral patterns have a unique pitch and rotation frequency. In three spatial dimensions these characteristic patterns generalize to expanding spherical waves and rotating scroll waves.

The similarities among the various examples of wave propagation in excitable media can be traced to a similarity in mathematical description. Each example can be described with reasonable fidelity by a pair of nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= D_1 \nabla^2 u + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f(u,v) \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= D_2 \nabla^2 v + g(u,v).
\end{align*}
\]

In this system of equations \( u \) and \( v \) represent the state of the system (e.g. chemical concentrations, membrane potential, ionic conductance, enzyme activity, etc.) as functions of time and space. The functions \( f(u,v) \) and \( g(u,v) \) describe the local rate of change of \( u \) and \( v \) in the absence of spatial coupling. Typical forms of \( f \) and \( g \) are illustrated in the phase plane in
Figure 1. \( \nabla^2 \) is the Laplacian operator in one, two, or three spatial dimensions, and \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) are diffusion coefficients of \( u \) and \( v \). (Space and time have been scaled so that \( D_1, D_2, f \) and \( g \) are all order-one relative to \( \epsilon \).) The small parameter \( \epsilon \) bespeaks a significant separation in time-scales for \( u \) and \( v \), with \( u \) tending to change much more rapidly than \( v \).

\[
\begin{align*}
g(u,v) &= 0 \\
f(u,v) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 1 Phase plane illustrating the nullclines \( f(u,v) = 0 \) and \( g(u,v) = 0 \).

For the various examples of excitable media discussed so far, we can make the following associations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>( u )</th>
<th>( v )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belousov-Zhabotinskii reaction</td>
<td>Bromous acid</td>
<td>Ferroin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuromuscular tissue</td>
<td>Membrane potential</td>
<td>Ionic conductance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diatrysetelia discoides</td>
<td>Cyclic AMP</td>
<td>Membrane receptor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among these examples only the names of the state variables are changed and certain quantitative details of the kinetic functions \( f \) and \( g \). Qualitative features of the solutions of system (1) carry over directly to all cases, and it is these qualitative features that we now review.
2. WAVES IN ONE AND TWO SPATIAL DIMENSIONS

Qualitative and quantitative information about traveling wave solutions to system (1) in one spatial dimension can be obtained by singular perturbation theory [9]. The basic result is the demonstration of propagating fronts that switch the system, at constant \( v \), from the left-hand branch of \( f(u,v) = 0 \) to the right-hand branch of \( f(u,v) = 0 \). From such fronts (red → blue) one can construct isolated traveling pulses (red → blue → red) and periodic traveling waves (...red → blue → red → blue...). As mentioned, the speed of periodic traveling waves depends on period; a typical dispersion relation is illustrated in figure 2.

![Dispersion relation and curvature relation](image)

Figure 2 Dispersion relation (full curve) and curvature relation (broken curve).

The characteristic periodic patterns in two spatial dimensions, targets and spirals, must satisfy the dispersion relation because sufficiently far from the center of either pattern in a radial direction both targets and spirals are identical to one-dimensional periodic traveling waves. Target patterns need only satisfy the dispersion relation. That is, given any temporal period \( T \) above the minimum period in figure 2, the asymptotic speed \( c \) of propagation is fixed by the dispersion relation and the wavelength is simply \( \lambda = cT \). Spiral waves on the other hand seem to obey another constraint in addition to the dispersion relation because in a given medium the rotation frequencies of all spiral waves are the same.

The additional constraint on spiral waves arises from consideration of the
effects of wavefront curvature on speed of propagation. These effects have been uncovered in a series of papers by Zykov [10], Keener and Tyson [11], and others [12]. These authors show that the normal velocity of a wavefront (N) is equal to the speed of plane-wave propagation (c) adjusted by an amount proportional to the curvation (K) of the front:

\[ N = c + eD_1 K, \]  
\[ (2) \]

For positive curvature (wavefront curved in the direction of propagation) \( N > c \), whereas for negative curvature (wavefront curved away from its direction of propagation) \( N < c \). The curvature relation (2) has been derived by other authors in other contexts (crystal growth, spreading flames) [13].

To see how (2) constrains spiral waves, consider the parametric equations for a rigidly rotating one-armed spiral

\[ x = r \cos(\theta(r) - \omega t) \]
\[ y = r \sin(\theta(r) - \omega t). \]

Here \( \theta(r) \) determines the shape of the spiral (at fixed t) and \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of rotation (\( \omega = 2\pi/T \)). Our problem is to determine both \( \theta(r) \) and \( \omega \). Since \( N \) depends on \( \theta'(r) \) and \( \omega \), and \( K \) depends on \( \theta'(r) \) and \( \theta''(r) \), (2) is really an ordinary differential equation for the unknown function \( \theta(r) \) in terms of two parameters \( c \) and \( \omega \). Applying end conditions (at \( r = 0 \) and \( r = \infty \), say) to this ODE, we obtain a typical eigenvalue problem which determines a unique \( c \) for each value of \( \omega \). A rough-and-ready approximation to this curvature constraint is \( c = (6\pi eD_1/T)^{1/2} \), which is plotted in figure 2. Keener and Tyson [11] have emphasized the point that spiral waves should lie at the intersection of the dispersion relation and the curvature relation, as illustrated in figure 2.

The view of curvature and spiral waves has been tested in a number of ways. Direct experimental confirmation of (2) has been obtained for oxidation waves in the BZ reaction [14]. Keener and Tyson [11] have compared their theory in detail with experimental measurements of spiral waves in BZ reagent and with numerical solutions of PDE (1) with Oregonator kinetics (a reasonable model of the BZ reaction). Furthermore, Tyson and coworkers [15] have compared the
dispersion/curvature theory with numerical solutions of PDE (1) with modified FitzHugh-Nagumo kinetics (a reasonable model of heart tissue) and with Martiel-Goldbeter kinetics (a reasonable model of cyclic AMP waves in *Dictyostelium*). In all cases there is good agreement between theory and numerics, and where available between theory and experimental observations.

3. TRAVELING WAVES IN THREE SPATIAL DIMENSIONS

In three-dimensional space spiral waves become scroll-shaped waves rotating around a one-dimensional filament which threads through the spatial domain, either intersecting the boundary or closing on itself in a ring [16]. During the course of many rotations of the scroll wave around the filament, the filament itself moves through space. If we knew the laws of motion of the filament, we could predict the entire history of the three-dimensional scroll wave, so these laws become the focus of study of wave propagation in three dimensions.

The filament moves because it is pulled about by the rotating scroll wave which at any instant in any local region is attempting to move with normal velocity $N = c + \varepsilon D_1(K_1 + K_2)$, where $K_1$ and $K_2$ are the principal curvatures of the wavefront surface. Keener [17] has used this notion to derive a set of equations describing the motion of the filament. Keener's equations have the form

\begin{align*}
\text{alteration in rotation} & \quad = c_1 \kappa - a_1 W^2 + b_1 \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \\
\text{rate of scroll wave around filament} & \quad = c_2 \kappa - a_2 W^2 + c_2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \\
\text{normal component of velocity of filament} & \quad = b_2 \kappa - a_2 W^2 + c_2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial s} \\
\text{binormal component of velocity of filament} & \quad = c_3 \kappa - a_3 W^2 + c_4 \frac{\partial w}{\partial s}
\end{align*}

where $s = \text{arc length along filament, } \kappa(s,t) = \text{curvature of filament, and } w(s,t) = \text{twist rate of scroll wave around the filament as measured in the laboratory frame of reference. The coefficients } a_i, b_i, c_i \text{ are constants which depend on the matrix of diffusion coefficients and the form of the spiral wave solution to the two-dimensional problem. In the simple case of...
equal diffusion coefficients \( D_1 = D_2 \), \( b_1 = b_2 = D \) and \( c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 0 \).

If, furthermore, the filament is untwisted and untorted \((w = 0)\), then Keener's equations reduce to the simple relation \( n = Dk \), where \( n \) is the normal velocity of the filament in its tangent plane.

The equation \( n = Dk \) is the simplest equation of motion for a scroll wave filament. It has been derived by many people in diverse ways \([10,19]\) and applied primarily to the case of scroll rings. If \( r \) is the radius of a circular filament, then \( n = Dk \) implies that \( dr/dt = -D/r \), or \( r(t) = (r_0^2 - 2Dt)^{1/2} \).

That is, scroll rings should shrink and vanish in finite time. Such behavior is observed in numerical calculations on PDE \((1)\) \([18]\) and in experimental observations of BZ scroll rings \([20]\). Keener and Tyson \([19]\) have also applied \( n = Dk \) to the case of elongated spiral waves and elongated target patterns observed in thick layers of BZ reagent \([16]\), and they found remarkable agreement between theory and experiment.

The remaining challenge is to solve Keener's equations for filament motion for more complicated situations, and to compare theoretical predictions with numerical calculations on three-dimensional excitable media \([21]\) and with yet-to-be-obtained experimental measurements of scroll wave evolution.
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