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The Microstructural and Phase Characterization of RST Al-Ti-X Alloys (Unclassified)

Powder Metallurgy Al-4 wt. % Ti and Al-6 wt. % Ti alloys have demonstrated potential for elevated temperature (200-300 °C) aircraft applications. These materials derive their excellent strength, ductility, and creep resistance from their fine grain structure, oxide dispersion, and Al-Ti intermetallics. Inert gas atomization and mechanical alloying have been used to produce the binary alloy powders; however, because of the large solidification range (1200-665 °C), large quantities of primary Al3Ti were produced.

In this study, Al-Ti, Al-Ti-Ce, and Al-Ti-V alloy powders were produced by melt spinning. The alloys were fully characterized in order to assess the effect of composition and processing conditions on microstructure and phase stability. Optical and electron microscopy was used to study the morphology and distribution of second phase dispersoids. X-ray diffraction, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), and selected area electron diffraction (SAD) techniques were used to establish the crystallography of the phases present.

The microstructure of the melt spun Al-Ti-X alloys have a higher volume fraction of finely dispersed submicron aluminides than did the previously studied inert gas atomized alloy powders. The major phases included Al1 (fcc), Al3Ti (I4/mmm), and Al13Ti (I4/mmm).
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Introduction

Rapid Solidification Technology (RST)

Unique structures, morphologies, and metastable phases are associated with RST-produced alloys. The distinct microstructures observed are related to the alloy's response to rapid cooling from the melt. Freezing is necessarily associated with the heat of fusion; recalescence retards the rate at which the solid-liquid interface moves across the particle and tends to coarsen the previously solidified structure. (1,2) RST powders exhibit three distinct morphologies: microcrystalline, cellular, and dendritic. (3,4) The microcrystalline region is the most rapidly solidified structure which consists of an ordered arrangement of atoms and a uniform chemical composition. It is homogeneous, resists chemical attack, and is the slowest to coarsen. The cellular and dendritic microstructures correspond to slower cooling rates. Grant (5) has correlated cooling rate with secondary dendritic arm spacing (DAS) and powder size. Atomization results in cooling rates between $10^{-2}$-10$^{-3}$K/s, DAS of 0.8-8.0 microns, and particle sizes of 20-250 microns in diameter; melt spinning produces cooling rates of 10$^{-2}$-10$^{-3}$K/s. The compositional gradients exist across the cellular and dendritic structures can serve as nucleation sites for possibly deleterious intermetallic compounds.

RST has been successful in producing alloys with mechanical properties which are substantially more resistant to thermal exposure than current wrought aluminum, e.g., 2219 (Al-6.3Cu-0.3Fe-0.2Si). In large part, the success stems from alloy additions of elements with high liquid state solubility, low solid state solubility and low solid state diffusion rates. High liquid solubility permits significant alloy additions. The low solid state solubility of the element in the matrix results in a high volume fraction of intermetallic compounds; the low diffusivity of the alloy addition decreases the rate of particle coarsening at service temperatures.

The current generation of elevated temperature RST aluminum alloys is based on transition elements, e.g., Al-Fe-X. Screening studies conducted by Sanders and Hildeman (6), Adams et al. (7) and Griffith et al. (8) have shown this composition to be an excellent compromise between ease of production and superior mechanical properties. The various materials producers have decided to pursue different ternary and quaternary compositions, e.g., Alcoa: Al-Fe-Ce, Alcan: Al-Cr-Zr, Allied: Al-Fe-V-Si, and Pratt and Whitney: Al-Fe-Mo.

Aluminum-Titanium System

Phase Equilibrium: The Al-Ti binary phase diagram is characteristic of alloys of widely differing melting points: Al-660°C, Ti-1660°C. There are several peritectic phase transformations and high melting point intermetallics, e.g., Al$_3$Ti. A peritectic phase transformation occurs at 665°C and 1.15 wt.% Ti: Liquid + Al$_3$Ti transform to Al$_3$Ti. The exact wt.% Ti contained in the first solid to form during the peritectic decomposition is...
reported to be between 1.15-1.3\%.\textsuperscript{(9,10,11)} At the transformation temperature, titanium solubility in the liquid is 0.12 wt.\%.

**Microstructure:** The equilibrium phases present at room temperature are fcc aluminum and bct Al\textsubscript{3}Ti. Numerous authors have investigated the solidification behavior of aluminum-titanium alloys under near-equilibrium conditions \textsuperscript{12,13,14,15,}. St. John\textsuperscript{12} describes three types of Al\textsubscript{3}Ti morphologies and classifies them as Type A, B, and C. The Type A morphology is dendritic with all arms in one plane and mutually perpendicular. This structure was observed when the alloys were solidified under conditions of low-temperature gradients or at low solidification rates and high temperature gradients. Type B particulates are described as "star-like" and/or "petal-like" in appearance. This morphology was found only in dilute alloys or under very high growth rate conditions. The Type C Al\textsubscript{3}Ti particles are dendritic; primary, secondary, and tertiary arms growth occurs in a variety of directions but is confined to one crystallographic plane. Type C morphology was observed for alloys containing 3.5 to 5.0 wt.\% Ti and at all solidification rates. Cisse et al.\textsuperscript{15} studied the effect of solidification rate (1 to 100\(^{\circ}\)Cs\textsuperscript{-1}) on the morphology Al\textsubscript{3}Ti. A plate-like structure was observed at slow cooling rates; however, as the solidification rate was increased, the Al\textsubscript{3}Ti particles became progressively more petal-like in appearance.

**Crystallography:** The structure of aluminum is face-centered cubic with a lattice parameter of 0.405 nm. Mondolofo \textsuperscript{10} reports Al\textsubscript{3}Ti has a body-centered tetragonal (bct) structure, space group I4/mmm, 8 atoms/unit cell with a = 0.3851 nm and c = 0.86 nm.; density of 3.37 g/cm\textsuperscript{3}. In the precipitation of Al\textsubscript{3}Ti from a supersaturated solid solution, an intermediate metastable coherent phase has been reported Al\textsubscript{3}Ti'. This phase is believed to be similar to the cubic Al\textsubscript{3}Zr' phase which has a space group Pm\textsubscript{3}m and 4 atoms/unit cell.\textsuperscript{(10)} The Al\textsubscript{3}Zr' forms as round particles changing to rods with a fan shaped pattern. The matrix-particle orientation is (001)\textsubscript{p}//(001)\textsubscript{Al} [100]\textsubscript{p}//[100]\textsubscript{Al}.

Hashimoto et al.\textsuperscript{16} and Kobayashi et al.\textsuperscript{17} have studied the crystallography governing the nucleation of aluminum on Al\textsubscript{3}Ti. The orientation relationships discovered can be classified into two categories: those involving semicoherent interfaces and those of the "so-called" pseudo(near)-coincidence interface for which Coincidence-Site Lattice (CLS) analysis applies. The most consistent with the pseudo (near)-coincidence model is (111)\textsubscript{Al} /// (112)\textsubscript{Al\textsubscript{3}Ti}, [011]\textsubscript{Al} /// [110]\textsubscript{Al\textsubscript{3}Ti}. However, under relatively fast cooling conditions an orientation more consistent with the semicoherent interface model is frequently observed, i.e., (001)\textsubscript{Al} /// (001)\textsubscript{Al\textsubscript{3}Ti}, [100]\textsubscript{Al} /// [110]\textsubscript{Al\textsubscript{3}Ti}. Note, this is the same relationship previously described for the Al-Al\textsubscript{3}Zr interface.

**Non-equilibrium Solidification:** Metastable single phase aluminum can be obtained by rapidly cooling some Al-Ti alloys. The required cooling rate for partitionless solidification is a function of composition. Thus, for an alloy of 0.15 wt.\% Ti, the required cooling rate is about 1\(^{\circ}\)Cs\textsuperscript{-1} and for a 0.7 wt.\%
Ti alloy, it is 150°C s⁻¹. Extrapolating data obtained from Kerr et al. to cooling rates obtained during gas atomization, it is found that 1.4 wt.% Ti (3.5 vol.% Al₃Ti) could be trapped in solid solution. However, other workers have reported that rapid quenching from the liquid, up to 5 wt.% Ti can be held in solution.

An interesting point is that with hyperperitectic alloys, increasing the cooling rate increases the nucleation temperature. An approximation of the nucleation temperature and composition of the first solid to form can be obtained by extending the liquid-Al line upward into the Liquid-Al₃Ti phase region. Rapid solidification may suppress the formation of peritectic Al₃Ti, and hence, prevent the peritectic transformation.

Elevated Temperature Al-Ti-X Alloys

Titanium's low solid state solubility and low diffusivity in aluminum make Al-Ti-X alloys attractive for elevated temperature application. Initial attempts, however, to alloy aluminum with titanium proved unsuccessful. Primarily because of the large temperature range over which these alloys solidify, conventional casting and gas atomization techniques produce microstructures consisting of coarse primary Al₃Ti platelets.

Recently, mechanical alloying and RST techniques have been used to produce Al-Ti-X alloys thermally stable to 300°C. These alloys still contain unacceptable quantities of coarse, incoherent, primary intermetallic particles which degrade strength and toughness. This work examines the effect of rapid solidification and ternary alloying additions on particle size, morphology, and crystallography.

Experimental Procedure

Aluminum titanium alloys containing vanadium and cerium additions were prepared via melt spinning. This was done in order to assess the impact of rapid solidification and alloy composition on particle shape, morphology, and crystallography. The description of the experimental work is provided in two sections: (1) Materials Processing and (2) Microstructural Characterization. The materials processing section describes how the alloy powders were produced, consolidated, and extruded. The microstructural characterization section discusses how the structure and morphology of the powder alloys were evaluated.

Materials Processing

Powder Production: Seven different types of Al-Ti-X alloy ribbon, 2 Kg each, were produced by melt spinning at Marko Materials Inc. Prior to consolidation, the ribbons were pulverized into 170-240 micron size particles.
Consolidation: The alloy powders were cold compacted at a pressure of 350 MPa into a 0.052m diameter 6061 aluminum can and vacuum degassed at 430°C for 1.5 hrs. The alloys were then extruded at 400°C and an extrusion ratio of 16.6:1 into 0.0127m diameter round rod.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Ce</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Microstructural Characterization

X-ray Diffraction: X-ray diffraction was utilized to identify the phases present in the powders and wrought alloys and establish the precise lattice parameters of the intermetallic phases. X-ray analysis was performed on a Rigaku DMAX B x-ray unit equipped with a theta/2-theta goniometer and a graphite monochromator. X-rays were generated using a copper tube operating at 50KV and 20ma. The scan rate was 10/° min and data was collected every 0.04 degrees.

Optical Microscopy: The alloy powders were mixed with fine ground dially phthalate powder and consolidated in a Buehler mounting press. The wrought alloys were mounted in a similar fashion. The mounted specimens were hand polished on successively finer grades of abrasive paper, i.e. 220, 320, 500, and 1000 grit. The samples were lapped using a 0.3 and a 0.05 micron alumina slurry. The specimens were observed in the etched(e.g., Keller's) and unetched condition on a Bausch and Lomb Research II metallograph.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM): Thin foils of the materials were examined using a JEOL 100CX II transmission electron microscope operating at an accelerating voltage of 120kv. Samples were prepared for electropolishing by first using a jeweler's saw in order to cut the rod into 0.6mm thick sections. The specimens were hand ground to a thickness of 0.1mm and 3mm diameter disks were punched for electropolishing. Foils were prepared on a Struers twin jet electropolisher in a solution of 30% nitric acid and 70% methanol. The thinning conditions were 12v, 1.5ma, and a bath temperature of -30°C.

Alloy grain size and particle size determinations were made using TEM photographs taken at 50,000 times magnification. The mean grain and particle diameters were calculated by averaging feature length and feature breath.
Thermal Analysis: In order to assess the phase stability of the alloy rod, thermal analysis experiments were conducted using a DuPont 1090 thermal analyzer in conjunction with the differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) module. DSC heating curves were obtained at a heating rate of 10°C/min using a pure aluminum sample as a reference material. A metered flow of dry helium gas kept the cell under a positive pressure.

Results and Discussion

Phase Identification and Crystallography

The x-ray diffraction scans for the Al-4Ti, Al-3Ti-3V, and Al-3Ti-3Ce alloy are presented in Figure 1. The phases found in the Al-4Ti alloy were fcc Al and bct Al\(_3\)Ti. The cerium containing alloy is composed of two or more phases: fcc aluminum, and perhaps Al\(_3\)Ti and orthorhombic alpha-Ce\(_3\)Al\(_11\).

The vanadium bearing alloys are composed of two phases: fcc Al and an intermetallic compound, Al\(_3(Ti,V)_{1-x}\), isostructural with bct Al\(_3\)Ti. Figure 2 is a plot of the lattice parameter, c, versus the fraction vanadium, 1-x, found in the intermetallic. The lattice parameter is seen to vary linearly with composition. The lattice parameter, a, behaves in a similar fashion. This enables one to tailor interplanar spacing by adjusting alloy composition.

X-ray diffraction profiles of the Al-3Ti-3Ce alloy ribbon before and after annealing at 600°C for 24 hrs. are shown in Figure 3. Before annealing, the peaks are broad and of low intensity. However, after heat treatment the peaks gain intensity and definition. This behavior is also observed in the other alloys.

These results suggest two possibilities: (1) there is an increase in the size of the intermetallic particles, and (2) there is an increase in the volume fraction of intermetallics. The change in peak width (full width half height maximum) is known to be proportional to the wavelength of the x-ray source and inversely proportional to the \(\cos(\text{angle of the diffraction})\) times the particle diameter. This relationship holds for particles less than 100nm in diameter. Therefore, it may be inferred that these alloys have a population of fine intermetallic particles (less than 100nm) which coarsen upon annealing.

Optical Microscopy

Optical micrographs of the etched alloy powders are presented in Figures 4. Microstructural variations can be observed through the ribbons' thickness. The intermetallic particles are seen as grey specks and increase in size and density. The ternary alloys also exhibit a featureless region resistant to chemical etching near the powders' surface.
The featureless "type A zones" have been observed in many rapidly solidified alloys and are described as microcrystalline or glass-like. These featureless areas are the result of partitionless solidification. As solidification proceeds, the heat of fusion cause the velocity of the solid liquid interface to slow, thus, permitting solute partitioning. The net effect is a microstructural variation through the melt spun ribbon's thickness.

In the microstructures of the alloy rods, prior particle boundaries (PPB) are clearly visible as dark grey grain boundaries elongated along the extrusion direction. The plate-like second phase intermetallic particles are non-uniformly distributed and preferentially aligned in the extrusion direction.

**Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)**

TEM was used to examine the microstructures of the alloy rods. Representative photomicrographs are presented in Figure 5. Results of the quantitative microstructural evaluation, of alloys' grain and particle sizes, are presented in Table II.

The microstructural appearance of alloys Al-4Ti and Al-3Ti-3V are similar, Figures 5a & 5c. Grain boundary triple points are pinned by spherical and cuboidal intermetallic particles. Elongated particles lie preferentially along grain boundaries and fine spherical particles are interdispersed throughout the grain interior.

**Table II. Microstructural Dimensions, microns**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALLOY</th>
<th>GRAIN SIZE</th>
<th>PARTICLE DIAMETER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al-4Ti</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-3Ti-3Ce</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-3Ti-3V</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The microstructure of the Al-3Ti-3Ce is less uniform than the Al-4Ti and Al-3Ti-3V alloys, i.e., grain and particle sizes vary greatly within the same specimen. In addition, there are areas in the material which appear devoid of dispersoids. The larger particles, 1 to 2 microns in diameter, have the appearance of being aggregates of the fine, 0.5 micron diameter, particles.
**Thermal Analysis**

Differential scanning calorimetry thermograms of the alloys are flat to 400°C. This suggests that no phase transformations, e.g., precipitation, recrystallization, grain growth, etc., are occurring below 400°C.

A broad, poorly defined, exothermic reaction peak is observed in the alloys above 400°C. This weak exothermic peak (4-10 J/g) is probably associated with a low energy phase transformation such as Ostwald ripening or grain growth. It is interesting to note that this is the temperature regime in which the materials were degassed and extruded. The DSC results suggest that the thermomechanical processing history of these alloys serves to stabilize their microstructures below 400°C.

**Conclusions**

Al_{3}Ti and Al_{3}V are isostructural (4I/mmm); vanadium substitutes freely for titanium permitting the formation of an Al_{3}(Ti_{x}, V_{1-x}) intermetallic compound. Thus, the lattice parameters of this intermetallic falls between that of Al_{3}Ti and Al_{3}V.

The faster solidification rates associated with melt spinning in comparison to inert gas atomization effect a reduction in the size and quantity of the primary intermetallic phase.

The resistance of the alloy powders to chemical etching varies across the thickness of the powder particles. The size of the intermetallic particles become greater as the distance from the wheel-metal interface increases.

DSC thermograms of the alloys indicate that the alloys are stable below 400°C.
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