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stand is weakly heated, and only a small transient temperature change results. An estimate of the smoke produced by an exchange involving the U.S. missile fields shows that the burning of live vegetation only slightly increases the total nonurban smoke production.
This effort continues Pacific-Sierra Research Corporation's (PSR's) study of the effects of fire generated by nuclear weapons. In this report, we develop a first theory for the ignition of live vegetation by a nuclear explosion. Our first nuclear winter study on smoke production was published in a previous PSR report [Bush and Small, 1985]. This report represents the first in a series on nuclear winter source-term studies.
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CONVERSION TABLE

Conversion factors for U.S. customary to metric units of measurement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To Convert From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Multiply by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>acre</td>
<td>meter² (m²)</td>
<td>4.046 × 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British thermal unit foot/second (Btu/ft/s)</td>
<td>watt/meter (W/m)</td>
<td>3.459 × 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degree Celsius</td>
<td>degree Kelvin (K)</td>
<td>tₖ = t°C + 273.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degree (angle)</td>
<td>radian (rad)</td>
<td>1.745 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foot</td>
<td>meter (m)</td>
<td>3.048 × 10⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foot/minute (ft/min)</td>
<td>meter/second (m/s)</td>
<td>5.080 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mile (mi)</td>
<td>meter (m)</td>
<td>1.609 × 10⁺³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mile/hour (mp: h)</td>
<td>meter/second (m/s)</td>
<td>4.470 × 10⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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One likely consequence of a general nuclear exchange is widespread ignitions in nonurban areas. Recent estimates of smoke production [Crutzen and Birks, 1982; Turco et al., 1983; Crutzen, Galbally, and Bruhl, 1984; National Academy of Sciences, 1985; Small and Bush, 1985] are based on the burning of available dry or dead matter. Such material is only a small fraction of the biomass; ignitions in the larger fraction consisting of live vegetation were neglected. Recently it has been suggested that appreciable amounts of live vegetation will ignite in areas with a high density of targets such as ICBM fields [Pittock et al., 1986].

The moisture level of live vegetation is generally much higher than that of dead matter. Threshold ignitions in dry tinder materials such as grasses, crop residues, and forest litter have been measured in weapon tests and laboratory experiments. Moisture influences the thermal loading required for ignition, and some simple (see, for example, Bush and Small, 1985) procedures for correcting for moisture have been derived. They are valid for low moisture levels. At higher levels, (as in live vegetation), such corrections are not appropriate.

It is noteworthy that no ignitions of live vegetation resulted from any of the Nevada or Pacific nuclear weapon tests, even though some fires were expected. A coniferous tree stand (transplanted to the Nevada test site), for example, did not ignite despite sufficient energy to desiccate and ignite the canopy. Observers reported the formation of a steam cloud, which apparently shielded the canopy preventing complete desiccation and ignition [Arnold, 1952]. The test data and observations suggest that the ignition threshold is not a simple function of the thermal radiation, but must also depend on the plant architecture, spacing, and moisture distribution.
In this report, we consider the mechanics of live vegetation ignition. Briefly, the incident energy varies with slant range and depth into the stand. Plant characteristics such as leaf area, angle, and density influence the effective transmissivity and energy absorption. In some regions, sufficient energy is available to desiccate and ignite the vegetation; in others only desiccation or heating occurs.

In general, very high thermal loads are required. We thus consider in this analysis near-surface bursts such as might occur in silo fields. Sample results show that for an idealized wheat field, ignitions (but not necessarily sustained flaming) are possible only within 2 km of the fireball center.
SECTION 3
IGNITION AND DESICCATION OF LIVE VEGETATION

To interpret the heat loadings calculated in the previous section in terms of the ignition or desiccation of the vegetation, we make several simplifying assumptions. When determining the vegetation response, we ignore the details of plant structure, and debit the available energy first for heating, then for desiccation, and finally for ignition. This calculation approximates the maximum ignition possible, since we neglect blast effects, possible explosive decomposition, the emission of a shielding layer of steam from the plants, and convective or conduction cooling of the vegetation.

We take the initial temperature of the stand as 20°C, and the moisture content (mass of water per unit mass of dry vegetation) as 100 percent. Such moisture content is typical of live vegetation [Burgan, 1979] although higher levels can occur early in the growth cycle. Initially, the thermal energy heats the plant to 100°C; subsequent energy addition vaporizes the moisture contained in the plant; and any remaining energy heats the plant above 100°C. The specific heat of water is 1 cal/g°C and that for cellulose is 0.3 cal/g°C. About 100 cal/g dry matter are required to bring the plant to 100°C. Approximately 550 cal/g are needed to vaporize water, and 50 cal/g to bring the plant to ignition (~300°C) temperature [Artsybashev, 1984].

Figure 7 delineates the heating, desiccation, and combustion zones in the stand (see Figs. 3a and 3b) for the thermal loadings displayed in Fig. 6. Combustion temperatures occur only at less than 2.5-km ground range. Partial desiccation occurs as far as 5 km, but only near the top of the vegetation. If we use the mass distribution in Fig. 3c instead of that in Fig. 3b (the total mass is the same in each case), the thermal effect on the vegetation becomes more severe near the top of the stand (Fig. 8). Although a greater volume is carbonized, the total carbonized matter is about the same since there is less mass near the top. For comparison, Fig. 9 illustrates thermal effects in a
Figure 7. Thermal effects of 500 KT, 400-m burst height on idealized wheat stand (mass density is shown in Fig. 3b).
Figure 8. Thermal effects of 500 KT, 400-m burst height on idealized wheat stand (mass density is shown in Fig. 3c).
Figure 9. Thermal effects of W = 500 KT, 400-m burst height on vegetation with uniform leaf area (3.54 x 10^-2 cm^2/cm^3) and mass density (1.7 x 10^-3 g/cm^3).
stand with uniform leaf area and mass distribution (total leaf area and mass are the same as Figs. 7 and 8). This distribution tends to minimize the volume of carbonization, but maximize the matter carbonized. Based on these calculations, we estimate that the total amount of matter carbonized should lie in the 8.5 to 11.5 Gg range (regardless of leaf area and mass distribution) for a field with 0.17 g/cm² loading subject to a 500 KT burst at 400 m.
We have omitted several factors that are probably important in the ignition process. It takes about 5 s to emit the first two-thirds of the thermal radiation from a 1/2-MT explosion. In that period, vegetation exposed to the radiation can change its structural and chemical composition, give off clouds of steam that scatter and absorb subsequent radiation, interact with the blast wave, and reemit thermal energy. Chemical and structural changes alter the absorptive properties of the foliage and the penetration of radiation into the stand. Clouds of steam emitted from live vegetation exposed in weapon tests have prevented radiation from penetrating a stand [Kerr et al., 1971; Arnold, 1952; Fons and Sauer, 1953]. Often, the more exposed vegetation would be charred, while even partially protected vegetation could remain unaffected by the thermal radiation; that has been observed at moderate flux levels ($Q_0$ = 25 to 35 cal/cm$^2$) [Arnold, 1952; Fons and Storey, 1955]. Moisture shielding the vegetation can absorb approximately 37 percent of the fireball radiation (roughly the amount of radiation in the NIR of Table 1). If charring occurs and carbon is present in the cloud, then additional (visible) radiation may be absorbed.

Blast/thermal interaction becomes important at moderate ground ranges where an appreciable fraction of the thermal radiation arrives after the shock front has passed (see Fig. 10). At those ranges the blast may uproot vegetation and rearrange material in the stand. Blast effects may either extinguish or enhance ignition, but no models currently exist to quantify its effect on cropland or grassland. Finally, the blast wave also raises dust, which can scatter thermal energy. All of the above effects are more pronounced for higher yield weapons because they emit thermal radiation over a longer period of time.
Figure 10. Thermal radiation fraction incident before shock arrival.
The detonation of more than one weapon in the vicinity of a vegetation stand introduces additional considerations. A multiple burst environment certainly will exist in ICBM silo fields. The precise situation depends on scenario and weapon reliability. Nevertheless, despite such uncertainties, we can make some plausible statements about the behavior of live vegetation in a multiple burst environment. Because targets in U.S. silo fields are spaced about 10 km apart (-1 silo per 100 km²) [Bush and Small, 1985], even for simultaneous bursts of 500 to 1000 KT weapons on each target, desiccation and combustion will not be significantly enhanced. This is evident from the combustion and desiccation zones given in Figs. 7 through 9 (combustion occurs < 2 km, desiccation < 4 km) and from the thermal loadings in Fig. 6 (≤ 20 cal/g beyond 5 km). Hence, silo spacing in the U.S. missile fields should not greatly influence the amount of live vegetation ignited.

Although typical U.S. silo spacing makes only a minor difference, the targeting of two weapons on the same silo could be significant. It has generally been assumed (in global effects studies) that two weapons will be targeted on each silo [National Academy of Sciences, 1985], but no explicit assumptions on the temporal separation of detonations have been made. It appears that two weapons targeted on a silo must arrive at least 10 s apart to avoid fratricidal fireball effects, and less than 1 min or more than 1 h apart to avoid fratricidal nuclear dust cloud effects [Bunn and Tsipis, 1983; McGlinchey and Seelig, 1974]. Such temporal spacings imply that the heat deposited in the vegetation stand by the first burst can dissipate by convective or radiative transfer before heat from the second burst is received. (There will, however, be some residual effects if bursts are spaced only 10 s apart.) Since the first shock wave rearranges material in the stand and possibly covers it with a dust layer, and dust in the atmosphere reduces the transmissivity of subsequent bursts, we do not expect the thermal effects to be simply additive. From Figs. 7 through 9, we see that ignition might occur in the stand top as far as about 3-km ground range due to the reheating of partially desiccated material.
We have demonstrated that the canopy structure and optical properties of live vegetation must be considered in order to calculate the ignition or desiccation by a nuclear weapon. For a near-surface burst of 500 KT over an idealized wheat field, we found partial carbonization or combustion at a ground range of about 2 km and partial desiccation at about 5 km. This implies a total carbonized biomass of $10^{-2}$ Tg/burst and, therefore, roughly $2 \times 10^{-4}$ Tg/burst of smoke.
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