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Preface

The purpose of this investigation was to determine the effect flow mixing would have on the thrust augmentation of a 4.4 inch diameter circular ejector. This was accomplished by changing the angle of the primary nozzle tips with respect to the inlet surface of the ejector. The angle change was studied in an effort to promote flow mixing or swirling. Also studied was the effect primary flow pulsation has on the thrust augmentation ratio. This study was a continuation of studies previously done by Captains Reznick, Unnever, Lewis, and Uhuad.
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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to determine the effect flow mixing has on the thrust augmentation of an ejector. The experimental studies were divided into four phases. The four phases were baseline verification, a nozzle tip inclination study, a primary flow pulsing study, and a study of the quality of the ejector.

The baseline verification study showed thrust augmentation is dependent upon the injection angle and height of the primary nozzles. The nozzle tip inclination study investigated the effects of having the tips inclined from the inlet surface of the ejector. The nozzle tips were inclined in four different configurations. The different configurations established a baseline or attempted to promote flow mixing and swirling. The best thrust augmentation was achieved when the nozzle tips were parallel to the inlet surface of the ejector. For the third phase, the primary air was pulsed at frequencies up to 15 hertz. The flow pulsing of the primary air enhanced flow mixing and increased thrust augmentation. The ejector efficiency study determined an approximate quality or efficiency value of the thrust ejector. When compared to an efficiency value achieved by Quinn, the ejector used in this study had four times the losses of his ejector. However, his ejector was four times longer.
AN EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF FLOW MIXING ON
THRUST EJECTOR EFFICIENCY

1. Introduction

Background

Theodore von Karman stated, "... considerations clearly show the necessity for more systematic experimental and theoretical investigations." of a thrust augmenting ejector (1:461-468). He had just explained the differences in calculating the thrust augmentation ratio of the traditional center blowing ejector (Fig. 1) to the then "new" method of blowing primary air next to the inlet wall (Fig. 2). Since then, the references in the bibliography show research has been conducted on the effects the primary to inlet area ratio, mixing chamber length, diffuser to mixing chamber cross sectional area ratio, and flow mixing have on the thrust augmentation ratio.

Much of this research was conducted for the purpose of integrating a workable concept into a vertical or short takeoff aircraft (V/STOL). To date, the only U.S. military aircraft designed for V/STOL and placed in operation is the AV-8 A/B Harrier (2:117, 281). The AV-8 obtains its vertical takeoff by direct thrust vectoring at a cost of high fuel consumption and low range and endurance.
Figure 1. Center Blowing Thrust Ejector

Figure 2. Wall Blowing Thrust Ejector
It is very desirable to design a V/STOL aircraft with thrust augmentation to allow sizing of the engine for proper cruise performance. So, even today, we still need to investigate all aspects of thrust augmentation.

Basic Ejector Principles

Propulsion devices develop thrust by imparting momentum to a fluid stream. A turbojet engine draws air from the atmosphere and adds energy in the form of heat by combustion. The thermal energy of a hot gas is converted to kinetic energy by accelerating it through an exhaust nozzle. A thrust augmenting ejector also adds energy useful to propulsion to air drawn from the atmosphere by the direct transfer of kinetic energy from the primary nozzle. Bevilaqua states that the mechanism of energy transfer is the turbulent mixing of the two fluid streams (3:475-481). Figure 3 shows that when a jet passes through a region where the static pressure is $\Delta P$ less than atmospheric pressure, both the primary and secondary fluids accelerate upon entering this low pressure region. The final thrust due to

\[
\left( \dot{m}_0 + \dot{m}_1 \right) V_{\text{mixed}}
\]

Figure 3. Jet Passing Through $-\Delta P$ Region
mixed flow is larger than the thrust due to the nozzle flow only and thrust augmentation is achieved. In an ejector, the low pressure region is produced by passing a jet through the inlet shroud. The entrained flow must accelerate and the pressure will drop even further. This low pressure region in the mixing chamber entrains the amount of secondary fluid required to assure all necessary equations of motion are met. The diffuser decreases the velocity and increases the pressure. This increase in pressure pushes against the ejector. When the mixed flow exits the ejector, it returns to ambient pressure and the overall thrust is increased.

**Previous AFIT Studies**

This study continues previous work done on thrust augmentation by AFIT students. Reznick studied the effects of changing the primary to inlet area ratio, diffuser exit to mixing chamber area ratio, and primary flow injection angle on thrust augmentation. He studied these effects on both a rectangular and several circular thrust ejectors (4:1-52). He showed that the thrust augmentation ratio increased as the diffuser to mixing chamber area ratio (β) increased. Reznick stated the thrust augmentation ratio peaked at $\phi = 2.07$ for $\beta = 2.6$. Unnever looked at the effect of changing the number of primary nozzles and varying the diffuser to mixing chamber area ratio (5:1-66). He showed that eight primary nozzles were superior to twelve or sixteen nozzles. He concluded the atmospheric flow
entrainment was disrupted as the number of nozzles increased. Unnever achieved a thrust augmentation ratio of $\phi = 1.85$ for eight nozzles with the diffuser to mixing area ratio set at $\beta = 2.7$.

Lewis designed the current thrust augmentation test facility and data acquisition system (6:1-50). Lewis got a maximum thrust augmentation ratio of $\phi = 1.5$ using the thrust ejector configured the same as the current experiment. Lewis also showed a maximum mass augmentation ratio of $M = 10.0$ and that the mass augmentation can actually increase after the ejector has stalled and the thrust augmentation ratio is decreasing. Uhuad investigated how changes in the primary nozzle injection angle affects the thrust augmentation ratio (7:1-89). Uhuad found the optimal injection angle and height of combined for a maximum thrust augmentation ratio of $\phi = 1.6$. Uhuad found that alternating the spacing between the primary nozzle and inlet surface tends to decrease the thrust augmentation ratio. He also found diffuser blowing and suction had little effect on thrust augmentation.

**Objectives**

The goal of this study was to place emphasis on the relationship between mixing and thrust augmentation. An attempt was made to account for the losses in the primary nozzles, inlet, mixing chamber, and diffuser. Also attempted was a measure of the quality of the ejector. The
quality of the ejector is a dimensionless measure defined later. The specific objectives of this study were:

1. Confirm the baseline established in previous studies.
2. Determine the effects of changing the inclination angle of the primary nozzle tips with respect to the inlet surface on the thrust augmentation ratio.
3. Determine the effect of primary air flow pulsing on the thrust augmentation ratio.
4. Investigate Quinn's model to account for losses and provide a measure of the effectiveness of the ejector.

Scope of Experimental Work

Testing was done with the 4.4 inch diameter circular thrust ejector previously used. The thrust ejector was tested in the thrust augmentation test facility designed by Lewis (61-50) and the original primary nozzles were used for baseline verification. For baseline verification, three ejector variables were changed. These variables were the nozzle injection angle (α), nozzle height (h), and nozzle exit location (θ). Figure 4 shows these ejector variables. Eight new primary nozzles with tips that could be inclined to the inlet surface were built to determine their effect on thrust augmentation. These nozzle tips were studied in four different configurations. Figure 5 highlights these four configurations. A method of injecting pulsed flow into the primary nozzles was also developed and its effect was investigated. The primary flow was pulsed at frequencies up to 15 hertz (Hz). Several computer programs were
Figure 4. Thrust Ejector Variables

Figure 5. Four Nozzle Configurations
developed to calculate the necessary values used to account for losses and determine ejector efficiencies.

The total pressure of the primary nozzle was about 1.14 times the atmospheric pressure. The exit velocity of the primary nozzles was about 380 fps. Incompressible flow was assumed for all thrust augmentation calculations except for computing the nozzle efficiency and mass flow rate of the pulsing flow. The room ambient temperature varied between 66 and 75 °F and the variation had little effect on all calculations.
II. Theory Development

Theory Development Without Losses

In reference 1, von Karman used the basic equations of conservation of mass (continuity), momentum, and Bernoulli's equation to formulate his theory for thrust augmentation. He also assumed the flow at the exit of the mixing chamber was uniform and the fluid was incompressible. Using the conservation of mass between the entrance of the ejector and the exit of the mixing chamber for a thrust ejector without a diffuser results in the following equation:

\[ V_x (A_2 - A_0) + V_o A_0 = V_2 A_2 \]  

(1)

See Fig. 6 for the control volume used in eqs. (1) and (2).

The resulting equations from the conservation of momentum and Bernoulli's equation without a diffuser are as follows:

\[ P_x A_1 + P_o A_0 + \frac{1}{2} V_o^2 A_0 + \frac{1}{2} V_1^2 A_1 = P_2 A_2 + \frac{1}{2} V_2^2 A_2 \]  

(2)

\[ P_{tot} A_1 = P_1 + \frac{1}{2} V_1^2 \]  

(3)

McCormick used the above equations to develop an equation to determine \(V_2/V_o\) only in terms of \(A_0/A_2\). In his equation development, \(P_1\) and \(V_1\) were eliminated and density was assumed constant (8:280-288). This resulting equation is as follows:

\[ V_2/V_o = \frac{(-a(1-2a) + (2a-6a^2+6a^3+2a^4)^{1/2})}{(1+2a+2a^2)} \]  

(4)

where \(a \equiv A_0/A_2\).
The thrust augmentation ratio ($\phi$) is defined as the ratio of the measured thrust developed to the isentropic thrust. The isentropic thrust is the thrust the nozzles would produce if the flow were expanded without losses to atmospheric pressure. The resulting equation without a diffuser is

$$\phi = \frac{\rho V_2^2 A_2}{\rho V_0^2 A_0} = (\frac{V_2}{V_0})^2 (1/\alpha)$$

The dashed line in Fig. 7 is the result of changing $\alpha$ in eq. (4), determining the velocity ratio, and then finding the $\phi$ in eq. (5). Fig. 7 shows how the $\phi$ increases as $1/\alpha (A_2/A_0)$ increases if the ejector does not have a diffuser attached to the mixing chamber.
The thrust augmentation ratio can be further increased with the addition of a diffuser. Again, using the equations of continuity and momentum combined with Bernoulli's equation, McCormick develops an equation for $V_3/V_0$. See Fig. 6 for control volume. The developed equation is as follows:

\[
\frac{(V_3/V_0)^2 + (V_3/V_0)((2\alpha\beta(1-2\alpha))/(1-2\alpha+\alpha^2(1+\beta^2)))}{-(2\alpha-3\alpha^2)/(1-2\alpha+\alpha^2(1+\beta^2))} = 0 \tag{6}
\]

The thrust augmentation ratio is now defined as

\[
\phi = \frac{\rho V_3^2 A_3}{\rho V_0^2 A_0} = (V_3/V_0)^2 \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \tag{7}
\]

where $\beta = A_3/A_2$.

The solid line in Fig. 7 shows how the thrust
augmentation ratio increases when $\beta$ is fixed and $1/\alpha$ is increased. Using the fixed parameters of $\alpha = 0.033$ and $\beta = 1.86$ for the thrust ejector used in the experiment, the maximum thrust augmentation ratio that can be achieved is 2.34. The star on the solid line in Fig. 7 denotes the configuration of the thrust ejector used in this experiment. Figure 8 shows how the thrust augmentation ratio varies when $\alpha$ is fixed and $\beta$ is varied. The star on Fig. 8 also denotes the configuration of the thrust ejector used. Notice in Fig. 8 that the maximum thrust augmentation ratio occurs at $\beta = 4.2$ and the ejector used for this experiment may not have been optimal.

![Figure 8. Thrust Augmentation Ratio vs. $\beta$](image-url)
Theory Development With Losses

Quinn states that losses occur in the primary nozzles, inlet, mixing chamber, and diffuser (9:481-486). He developed a method for calculating the thrust augmentation ratio while accounting for these losses. He developed a parameter \( q \) to measure the quality or efficiency of the ejector. He also developed a method to determine the ideal quality value of the ejector based on its geometric properties. The quality value of the ejector increases from this ideal value as losses are incurred. Therefore, the larger the quality of the ejector, the larger the losses.

He divided these losses into the following four parameters: flow skewness of the primary nozzles, primary nozzle efficiency, inlet loss coefficient, and ejector quality or performance. The equations he used to account for the losses are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
(V_1/V_0)^2(2(A_1A_2/A_0^2) - (1+\varepsilon_1)(A_2/A_0)^2-q(A_1/A_0)^2) \\
-2qV_1A_1/(V_0A_0) + 2\beta_0A_2/A_0 - q = 0
\end{align*}
\]  
where \( \varepsilon_1 \) = inlet loss coefficient
\( q \) = quality of ejector
\( \beta_0 \) = flow skewness at primary nozzle exit.

\[
q = \beta_2(2\varepsilon_2+2-C_p-1/\beta_2(A_2/A_3)^2)(V_e/V_3)^2
\]
where \( \beta_2 \) = flow skewness at mixing chamber exit
\( \varepsilon_2 \) = wall friction coefficient
\( C_p \) = pressure coefficient \((2(P_3-P_2)/\beta_2V_2^2)\)
\( V_e \) = velocity of moving ejector.

\[
q = q_1(l+\Delta q/q_1)
\]
where \( q_1 \) = ideal quality of ejector \((1 + (A_2/A_3)^2)\)
\[ \phi = \beta_3 \alpha_0 / \alpha_3 (1 + \beta_1 \alpha_1 / (\alpha_0 \alpha_0))^{2/3} / (1 - \alpha_n^2 - (1 + \alpha_1)(\alpha_1 / \alpha_0)^2)^{1/2} \] (11)

where \( \beta_3 \) = flow skewness at diffuser exit
\( \alpha_n \) = primary nozzle efficiency

For this study the flow skewness at the exit of the ejector (\( \beta_3 \)), nozzle efficiency (\( \alpha_n \)), and thrust augmentation ratio (\( \phi \)) were determined experimentally. These values, along with the geometric properties of the ejector, were used in equation (11) and the velocity ratio of \( \alpha_1 / \alpha_0 \) was determined. The inlet loss coefficient (\( \epsilon_1 \)) and flow skewness of the primary nozzles (\( \beta_0 \)) were assumed to be 0.1 and 1.0, respectively. With these values, equation (8) was used to determine a value for the quality of the ejector (\( q \)). The ideal \( q \) for the ejector used for this study is approximately \( q_1 = 1 + (\alpha_2 / \alpha_3)^2 \) or 1.31. With both the \( q \) and the ideal \( q \) values, \( \Delta q / q_1 \) was determined by using equation (10).

Bevilacqua described how to measure the skewness of the velocity profile (11:349). He defined the skewness of the flow as follows:

\[ \beta_3 = \int \alpha_3 V_3^2 dA_3 / (V_3^3 A_3) \] (12)

where \( V_3 \) = \[ \int V_3 dA_3 / A_3 \] is the average velocity of the flow exiting the thrust ejector.

When the flow is completely mixed, the skewness of the velocity profile is low and \( \beta_3 \) is equal to one. If the flow is not properly mixed, the velocity profile has curvature and \( \beta_3 \) has a value greater than one.
III. Facility Description

General

The experiments were accomplished using a thrust augmenter test stand and automatic data acquisition system developed by Lewis (6:1-50). This equipment provided a fast and accurate method of gathering the important data needed to analyze thrust augmentation parameters. The test stand and data collection system allow calculation of the isentropic thrust of the primary nozzles, net thrust of the ejector, mass flow rate of both the primary and secondary flows, and the exit velocity profile. The data acquisition system also provides a three dimensional velocity plot of the exit plane of the ejector. The thrust augmentation facility consists of four major components; the test stand, air supply, data acquisition system, and the ejector itself.

Test Stand

Figure 9 shows the thrust augmenter test stand with the ejector installed. The stand has a foundation of three vertical I beams bolted to the floor. The I beams are in a tripod configuration to allow installation of a frame for the pendulum mount and a flat bed for the movement of a pitot-static probe into the exit flow of the ejector. The pendulum is suspended from the vertical frame arms allowing it to swing freely. The air supply line is actually part of the frame and the pendulum. The mass flow meter is mounted
Figure 9. Photograph of Thrust Augmenter Test Stand

in the pendulum and is approximately midway between the horizontal airline and the ejector in Figure 9. The mass flow meter has a one inch orifice plate. The mass flow rate was calculated by measuring the pressure just before and after the orifice plate and using standard ASME procedures (12:156,208,233).

Figure 10 shows the pitot-static probe mounted in the traversing mechanism. The traversing mechanism allows movement in the x direction (up and down), the y direction
(left and right), and the z direction (forward and backward). Movement in the z direction must be done manually just before the test is started. Movement in the x and y directions is done by computer inputs to the traversing motors. The zero values for the x and y directions are adjusted by removing the spline gears on the end of the traversing motor shafts. With the spline gears removed, the pitot-static probe can be moved to the desired position.

The net thrust of the ejector is measured by a cantilevered beam load cell. This load cell is a series of strain gages mounted to a cantilevered beam which, in turn, is mounted to a horizontal bar just below the mass flow meter. Figure 11 shows the cantilevered beam load cell. The strain gages measure the deformation of the cantilevered beam due to the thrust of the ejector and inputs that information to the data acquisition system. For each data point the thrust measurement is taken fifty times and then averaged to get a more accurate thrust value. The reason this averaging was required will be highlighted in the Results and Discussion portion of this report.

**Air Supply**

The primary air was obtained from the building's compressed air supply. The maximum delivery of the system is 1.0 lbm/sec at 55 psia (6:7). The system can also
Figure 10. Photograph of Pitot-Static Probe in Traversing Mechanism

Figure 11. Photograph of Cantilevered Beam Load Cell
deliver up to 100 psia at a lower flow rate. A dome valve is installed upstream of the pendulum tee. The dome valve adjusts the pressure of the air flowing through the primary air nozzles. Figure 9 shows the dome valve upstream of the pendulum tee in the upper left hand corner of the photograph. Since the primary air nozzle pressure was only 14% greater than atmospheric pressure, the air supply easily met the test requirements.

Data Acquisition System

The data acquisition system includes a computer (HP 9845), two floppy disk drives (HP 9885M), an inkjet printer (HP 2225A), a plotter (HP 98725), a digital voltmeter (HP 722), an automatic channel scanner (HP 709), a bridge balance (CEC Type 8-108), and two digital indicators. The data acquisition system is shown in Figures 12 and 13.

Figure 12. Photograph of Data Acquisition System
Figure 13. Schematic of Test Stand and Acquisition System
The computer monitors the inputs from four pressure transducers and the cantilevered beam load cell while controlling the positions of the scanivalve and pitot-static probe. The pressure transducers measure the pressure of the primary air before and after the mass flow orifice, the total and static pressure of the mixed air at the exit of the ejector, and the static pressure of the primary air at the exit of the nozzles. The information on these pressure transducers is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transducers</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Serial #</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Excitation Voltage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CEC-1000-2</td>
<td>6027</td>
<td>0-50 psig</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Statham</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0-25 psig</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Endevco</td>
<td>75BF</td>
<td>0-5 psia</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Scanivalve</td>
<td>136121</td>
<td>0-25 psig</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cantilever</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0-50 lbf</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The scanivalve was used to monitor the static pressure of the eight primary nozzles. The computer program uses the static pressure as the total pressure (of the primary air) because of the maximum 1.14 ratio when compared to atmospheric pressure. The primary nozzles are ¼ inch diameter copper tubes rounded to fit the contour of the inlet torus ring. The exit of the nozzles were pinched to a 0.065 inch by 0.96 inch opening. Each nozzle had two mounting braces soldered to them so the nozzle injection angle, height, and exit location could be adjusted. The scanivalve uses a five volt direct current power supply. The input is channeled through a SCANO solenoid controller, CTRL-S2-S6, to the scanivalve. The data acquisition program
was changed from the program last used by Uhud to make the scanivalve take a reading of the ninth pressure port. This pressure port reads atmospheric pressure and determines the drift in circuit voltage since the last calibration. This change was made to get a more accurate reading of the primary nozzle pressures.

Once the data is acquired, the computer calculates the primary mass flow using inputs from transducers 1 and 2, the thrust augmentation ratio from transducers 4 and 5, the exit velocity from the ambient temperature and transducer 3, and the mass augmentation ratio using the ejector exit velocity and the primary air mass flow. The transducers are numbered for easier referencing.

Mercury manometers were connected to all pressure lines to provide a visual display of the pressure sensed transducers connected to the data acquisition system. In the early phase of the study, manometer readings were taken and compared to the pressure readings of the transducers. This comparison showed the need for the scanivalve to sample the ambient pressure because of voltage drift of the circuit. The mercury manometers were accurate to 0.1 psi.

**Ejector Description**

The mounted ejector with the eight primary nozzles is shown in Figure 14. The ejector cross section is shown in Figure 15. The ejector has a 4.4 inch diameter mixing chamber with a 2 inch radius half torus mounted on the inlet.
Figure 14. Photograph of Ejector With 8 Primary Nozzles

Figure 15. Thrust Ejector Cross Section
to promote smooth secondary airflow. The mixing chamber is 4 inches long. The ejector also has two discrete stages of diffusion. The first stage is 3.5 inches long and is at a 3 degree angle from the ejector centerline. The second stage is 4.5 inches long and is at an 8 degree angle from the ejector centerline. The areas and area ratios are listed in Table I.

**TABLE I. Ejector Cross Sectional Areas and Area Ratios**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREAS</th>
<th>AREA RATIOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_0$ 0.50 in$^2$</td>
<td>$A_1/A_0$ (1/$\alpha$) 30.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_1$ 14.69 in$^2$</td>
<td>$A_2/A_1$ ($\beta$) 1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_2$ 15.21 in$^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_3$ 28.27 in$^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pulsing Mechanism**

Primary flow pulsing was achieved by connecting four ASCO solenoid controlled valves to a power supply, function generator, and relay switch board as shown in Fig. 16. The four valves were connected to four of the primary nozzle air supply lines and pulsed at frequencies up to 15 Hz. The wave form of the pulsed flow was a square wave. Figure 17 shows how the four solenoid control valves were mounted on the thrust ejector. Figure 18 is a schematic of the flow pulsing mechanism. The air pressure upstream of the four valves was 100 psia. The diameter of the valve orifice was
Figure 16. Photograph of Power Supply and Relay Switch Board

Figure 17. Photograph of Solenoid Control Valves Connected to Thrust Ejector
3/32 of an inch and choked flow was assumed. This allowed a mass flow of 0.009 lbm/sec through each valve for a total mass flow of 0.036 lbm/sec. The pulsed flow was about 30% of the total primary flow.

Figure 18. Schematic of Flow Pulsing Mechanism
IV. Experimental Procedures

Computer Programs

Lewis designed the test stand and developed the four computer programs for the present AFIT thrust augmentation study (6:1-50). These programs provide instructions for the computer to collect, reduce, and display the important data needed to analyze thrust augmentation of an ejector. The four computer programs are as follows:

(1) "PDUCER" - creates the calibration data for the four pressure transducers and the cantilevered beam load cell. The program places the y-axis intercept and the slopes of each transducer into a file named "PData". The data reduction program uses these values to compute the actual pressures or thrust after a data acquisition run is completed.

(2) "DATAACQ" - places the scanivalve, pitot-static probe, and relay switches in their required positions to collect data. The data acquisition program asks for the necessary input information. The input information includes the x and y starting point, the x and y spacing, total number of data points, ambient temperature, and data storage file name. The data collection sequence is to sample the inputs from the transducers and move the pitot-static probe to its next position.
(3) "DREDUC" - translates the raw data collected during execution of the data acquisition program into useful information. This program calculates the primary air mass flow rate, thrust augmentation ratio, exit velocity, and mass flow ratio. The calculated information can be displayed in a specified format.

(4) "SURFAC" - plots a three dimensional picture of the velocity values at the exit plane of the ejector.

Transducer Calibration

The data acquisition system transducers required calibration for two reasons. The first was to keep the channel voltage from drifting away from its desired or set value. The data acquisition system uses a CEC bridge balance that, over time, allows the system voltage to drift. In some cases the reference voltage drifted from 0.0015 volts to 0.0023 volts in channel 14 (scanivalve transducer). This drift caused about a 35% error in the pressure readings. The second reason for calibration was to determine if the slope of the transducer calibration curve had changed for any reason.

The "PDUCER" computer program calibrated each of the transducers in the following sequence:

(1) Adjust excitation voltage to required value.

(2) Set reference pressure to 0.0 psi (lbf in the case of the cantilevered beam load cell).
(3) Exercise the transducer by increasing and decreasing pressure with the outside air supply regulator shown in Figure 19.

(4) Adjust the reference voltage to 0.0015 volts. This reference voltage was chosen because a lower voltage would almost always provide a 2% or larger change in the y-axis intercept value.

(5) Read and input voltage at zero reference value.

(6) Adjust pressure to maximum stated reference value.

(7) Read and input voltage at maximum reference value.

Figure 19. Photograph of Calibration Air Supply Regulator

PDUCER then calculates the y-axis intercept and slope value from the two data points. PDUCER assumes a linear relationship of pressure to voltage between the zero
reference and maximum reference value. Calibration of the transducers was accomplished to assure they had linear slopes. The calibration graphs for the five transducers are in Appendix A and they were all linear except for experimental error.

Data Acquisition

The data acquisition program requests input parameters, controls the position of the pitot-static probe and the scanivalve, and collects and stores all required data. The program inputs include the number of primary nozzles, the x and y starting positions, x and y spacing, and the number of data points in the x and y direction. Additional inputs include the ambient temperature, current data storage disk number and test run number. The input data is stored in a file named "Dxxtxx" on both a primary disk and a backup disk. The primary traverse direction is determined by the number of x and y data points. If the number of x and y data points are equal, the traverser will move the pitot-static probe in the x direction first. The traverser will move to the maximum x value requested, move over the requested y space, and then move down in the x direction. This pattern will be followed until all data points have been collected. If there are more y direction data points the traverser moves in the y direction first.

Just before the traverser is moved to the starting position the program requests the operator to make sure the
excitation voltage is 11.707 volts and the traverser stepper interface and SCANNER are on. When the traverser has the pitot-static probe in the proper starting position, the data acquisition can be started. The sequence of data collection is mass flow transducer #1, mass flow transducer #2, transducer #3 (pitot-static probe), transducer #4 (primary nozzle static pressure), and transducer #5 (cantilevered beam load cell). The scanivalve is stepped to sample each of the primary nozzle pressures. Figure 20 shows the scanivalve mounted to the test stand and connected to the mercury manometers. The scanivalve also samples an empty port to sample atmospheric pressure before it is homed to its reference position. The thrust measurement of the

![Figure 20. Photograph of Scanivalve and Manometer Board](image-url)
cantilevered beam load cell is sampled fifty times per data point because of vibration of the ejector due to the fluctuation in thrust because of flow separation in the ejector. The fifty samples are then averaged to provide a thrust value. To sample the data for each channel, the voltmeter is internally triggered and entered into the appropriate data storage matrix. This data, as it is stored, is the actual voltmeter reading and will be converted to meaningful values by the data reduction program. The computer program moves the data acquisition equipment through all specified data points and then stores all raw collected data into a file named "TxxR". Again, the raw data is stored on a primary and a backup storage disk.

Data Reduction

The data reduction program reads in the data from the files labeled "DxxTxx" and "TxxR" on the primary storage disk and "PData" from the primary calibration disk. The pressure data reduction program uses the y-axis intercept (in psi units) and slope (in psi/volt units) to convert the raw collected data into engineering units. The thrust measurement is in pounds force for the y-axis intercept and pounds force/volt for the slope. The data reduction program calculates the thrust augmentation ratio (φ), the exit velocity, and the mass augmentation ratio. When data reduction is complete, the engineering data files are stored under "TxxE". Again, primary and backup files are made. The data reduction program allows the choice of printing the
output on the screen, thermal printer, or impact printer. After printing the data the reduction program is complete.

As previously stated in chapter I, the thrust augmentation ratio ($\phi$) is defined as the ratio of the measured thrust to the isentropic thrust. The measured thrust was calculated with readings from the cantilevered beam load cell. The isentropic thrust was calculated using the following equation (6:20):

$$F_t = \frac{(27)/(7-1) A_o P_a}{(P_t/P_a)^{2.85-1}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The thrust augmentation ratio is the ratio of the measured thrust to the isentropic thrust and is as follows:

$$\phi = \frac{F_m}{F_t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The ambient pressure was read while the data acquisition program was running and input into the data reduction program. The total pressure was determined by averaging the primary nozzle pressures for a given data point. The exit velocity was calculated using the ambient pressure, ambient temperature, and the pressure differential of the pitot-static probe. The following equations were used with the perfect gas equation to calculate the exit velocity:

$$V_3 = \left(2(P_{t3}-P_{s3})/\rho\right)^{1/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The primary air mass flow was calculated using the ambient pressure, ambient temperature, primary nozzle pressure, and the pressure readings before and after the
mass flow meter. The following equations were used to calculate the primary mass flow:

$$p_o = \frac{F_a + p_o}{RT_a}$$ (16)

$$m_o = 0.52 \left( \frac{c y d^2 F_a}{(P_x(P_x - P_2)^{\frac{1}{2}})} \right) / (1 - \beta^*)$$ (17)

Equation (17) uses standard ASME methods developed in a handbook on fluid meters (12:156,208,233). The $c$ in equation (17) is defined as the coefficient of discharge ratio and is in units of gravity (ft/s²). The value of $c$ used for this experiment was 0.6062 ft/s². The $y$ in equation (17) is defined as the expansion factor for air and is dimensionless. The value of $y$ for this experiment was 0.970. The $d$ in equation (17) is the diameter of the mass flow orifice and was one inch for this experiment. The $F_a$ in equation (17) is the area thermal expansion factor. The value of $F_a$ was 1.0 and was dimensionless. The $\beta^*$ in equation (17) was the ratio of diameters. The value of $\beta^*$ was 0.48. The pressure $P_x$ is the pressure of the primary flow before the orifice and the pressure $P_2$ is the pressure after the orifice.

The mass augmentation ratio was determined using the following equations:

$$\dot{m}_a = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} f_{\ell} A_{\ell} V_{\ell}$$ (18)

$$\dot{m}_a = \dot{m}_o - \dot{m}_o$$ (19)

$$M = \frac{\dot{m}_a}{\dot{m}_o}$$ (20)
As shown in equation (18), the mass flow at the exit of the ejector is the summation of the incremental flow at each data point. The density of the flow exiting the ejector was assumed to be ambient density. The mass flow rate of the secondary flow is the primary mass flow rate subtracted from the thrust ejector exit mass flow rate. The mass augmentation ratio \( M \) is the ratio of the exit mass flow rate to the primary mass flow rate.

A sample of the printout of the final data is presented in Figure 21. The mass ratio column shows the summation of the mass augmentation ratio up to that data point. The rest of the information shows the value of the data at the time it was taken.
**TEST RUN #1**

**TOTAL PRESSURE** = \( \text{PSIA} \)

---

**Date of test (D M Y):** 30 9 80  \( \text{Test start time (H M):} \) 12 0

**Label data file name:** DI3TI

**Raw data file name:** TIR

**Engineering units file:** TIE V 8.1

---

**Number of data points:** 4

**Data point array 'X' spacing:** 0.500 \( \text{in} \)

**Number of points in X dir is:** 4

**Number of points in the Y dir is:** 4

---

**Pressure transducer calibration date (D M Y):** 14 9 88

**Room ambient temperature:** 70 \( \text{°F} \)

**Room relative humidity:** 70%

**Ejector inlet:** 4.4 inches

**Number of nozzles:** 8

**Components:** 30 deg 3.5 in 80 deg 4.5 in 0 deg 0.01 in 0 deg 0.01 in

---

**Spacing between the nozzle and the inlet collar is:** 0.000 in

---

**FILE NAME DI3TI**

**VELOCITY DATA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATA</th>
<th>Y cord.</th>
<th>Y cord.</th>
<th>Vel exit</th>
<th>Thrust</th>
<th>Flow</th>
<th>Flow</th>
<th>Mass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRINT</td>
<td>INCHES</td>
<td>INCHES</td>
<td>ft/sec</td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Exit</td>
<td>Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>15.539</td>
<td>1.259</td>
<td>.058</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>- .056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.002</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>29.330</td>
<td>1.223</td>
<td>.058</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>- .052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.604</td>
<td>4.002</td>
<td>36.096</td>
<td>1.259</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>- .047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.998</td>
<td>4.002</td>
<td>29.115</td>
<td>1.215</td>
<td>.058</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>- .044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FILE NAME DI3TI**

**PRESSURE and TEMPERATURE DATA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATA</th>
<th>Ps primary</th>
<th>Pd orifice</th>
<th>P1 exit</th>
<th>P ambient</th>
<th>Thrust</th>
<th>Isen</th>
<th>Thrust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POINTS</td>
<td>Ps1G</td>
<td>Ps1G</td>
<td>Ps1G</td>
<td>PsIA</td>
<td>Lb-F</td>
<td>Lb-F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.675</td>
<td>.449</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>14.406</td>
<td>.604</td>
<td>.492</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.701</td>
<td>.451</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>14.406</td>
<td>.584</td>
<td>.477</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.656</td>
<td>.440</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>14.406</td>
<td>.584</td>
<td>.464</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.745</td>
<td>.453</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>14.406</td>
<td>.592</td>
<td>.487</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FILE NAME DI3TI**

**PRIMARY NOZZLE DATA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATA</th>
<th>Noz 1</th>
<th>Noz 2</th>
<th>Noz 3</th>
<th>Noz 4</th>
<th>Noz 5</th>
<th>Noz 6</th>
<th>Noz 7</th>
<th>Noz 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POINTS</td>
<td>.499</td>
<td>.441</td>
<td>.522</td>
<td>.416</td>
<td>.594</td>
<td>.516</td>
<td>.511</td>
<td>.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.599</td>
<td>.436</td>
<td>.520</td>
<td>.412</td>
<td>.501</td>
<td>.510</td>
<td>.501</td>
<td>.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.408</td>
<td>.425</td>
<td>.504</td>
<td>.399</td>
<td>.485</td>
<td>.494</td>
<td>.488</td>
<td>.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.511</td>
<td>.446</td>
<td>.530</td>
<td>.420</td>
<td>.511</td>
<td>.519</td>
<td>.511</td>
<td>.495</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 21. Sample Printout of Reduced Data
IV. Results and Discussion

General

As stated in chapter I, this study was divided into four phases. The four phases are baseline verification, a primary nozzle tip inclination study, a primary flow pulsing investigation, and an ejector performance investigation. The following details the results and discussion of these four phases.

Baseline Verification

Baseline verification was accomplished to validate the data obtained in this study and duplicate test conditions and configurations. This phase also assured the test stand, data acquisition system, and associated hardware worked properly. In this phase of the study, the injection angle ($\alpha$) and height ($h$) between the nozzle exit and the inlet surface was varied. The third variable for this phase was the primary nozzle exit location ($\theta$). Figure 4 shows how the three variables are defined.

Table II in Appendix B outlines the results of the baseline verification phase. Figure 22 shows the data from reference 7 along with the current study results. The dashed line is result of Uhuad's study (7:27). The solid line is the result of the current study. Both studies show the same general trend. These trends show the thrust augmentation ratio ($\phi$) increases as the injection angle ($\alpha$)
increases until the maximum Φ is reached. After maximum Φ is reached, a further increase in α causes the Φ to drop off rapidly.

One reason for the change in Φ as a function of α is the flow attaches to the inlet surface and remains attached until the flow exits the ejector. If the injection angle (α) is too small, the flow hits the inlet surface and losses occur because of turbulence. If the injection angle (α) is too large, the flow will go to the center of ejector, mix with primary flow from the opposite side of the ejector, and losses occur because of turbulence and lack of flow attachment to the surface of the wall. If the injection angle (α) is optimal, the flow attaches to the surface of the wall and maximum secondary flow entrainment is achieved.

The variation of height works in much the same way as the injection angle. If the nozzle is too close to the wall surface, the expanding flow from the primary nozzle reflects off the wall and creates turbulence. If the nozzle is too far from the wall, the primary flow tends to act as a free jet and goes through the ejector without secondary flow entrainment. The change in the primary nozzle location (θ) tends to increase or decrease the length of the ejector mixing chamber as θ increases. As can be seen in Fig. 22 there is a different optimal injection angle (α) for each different θ location. Also, the maximum thrust augmentation ratio is about the same for each different nozzle location.
This may occur because the optimal secondary flow entrainment due to viscous interaction is constant for a thrust ejector with fixed geometric properties (i.e. \( A_0 \), \( A_1 \), \( A_2 \), \( A_3 \) remain constant). Figure 23 is a plot of the optimal thrust augmentation ratio as a function of the exit nozzle location (\( \theta \)). Figure 23 shows the optimal thrust augmentation ratio is about the same for every exit nozzle location.
Figure 23. Optimal Thrust Augmentation Ratio as a Function of Exit Nozzle Location

Nozzle Tip Inclination

The second phase of this study investigated the effect of changing the inclination angle of the primary nozzle tips with respect to the inlet surface. Figure 5 shows the four configurations of the primary nozzle tips. The purpose of changing the primary nozzle tips in the four configurations was to study their effect on thrust augmentation and exit velocity profile. The primary nozzles were placed at three different nozzle locations. The three nozzle exit locations were $\theta = 70^\circ$, $\theta = 110^\circ$, and $\theta = 130^\circ$. Tables III, IV, and V in Appendix B outline the results of this nozzle tip investigation. Figures 24, 25, and 26
provide a graphical description of Tables III, IV, and V.

Figures 24, 25, and 26 show that the thrust augmentation ratio is highest when the nozzle tips were set at 0° (parallel to the inlet surface of the ejector) and the flow attached to the surface wall. The solid line in Figs. 24, 25, and 26 is the thrust augmentation ratio when the nozzle tips were set at 0°. This again shows having the primary nozzles close enough to the wall to promote flow attachment is the better when compared to having the primary nozzle further from the inlet surface.

Configuration 3 was the next best configuration for the primary nozzle tip inclination. The middle sized dashed line with diamond symbols is the plot of θ verses α with all

Figure 24. Effect of Nozzle Tip Inclination on Thrust Augmentation Ratio (θ = 70°)
Figure 25. Effect of Nozzle Tip Inclination on Thrust Augmentation Ratio \((\theta = 11^\circ)\)

Figure 26. Effect of Nozzle Tip Inclination on Thrust Augmentation \((\theta = 13^\circ)\)
eight nozzle tips inclined at 45° in the same direction. Figures 27, 28, 29, and 30 show exit plane velocity profiles of the four different primary nozzle configurations. Figure 27 shows the exit velocity profile with the primary nozzle set at 0°. The velocity profile shows the flow attached to the diffuser wall surface. Figure 28 shows the velocity profile with four of the primary nozzle inclined at 45° from the inlet surface. The velocity profile shows some attachment to the wall surface and a lower overall velocity average. Figure 29 shows the velocity profile with all eight of the primary nozzles inclined at 45° in the same direction. This velocity profile shows flow attachment to the bottom surface of the ejector. The average velocity in the center of the ejector is about 30 fps. The flow, however, does not attach at the top surface of the ejector and shows flow instability by the oscillating velocity values. Figure 30 shows the velocity profile of configuration 4 with half the primary flow nozzles at +45° and half at -45°. The velocity profile shows a lot of instability and lack of flow attachment at the top surface of the ejector. With this instability, the thrust augmentation ratio drops the most.

Flow Pulsing

The effect of primary flow pulsation on the thrust augmentation ratio was studied at three different heights between the primary nozzles and the ejector inlet surface. The three different heights were h = 0.20, h = 0.31, and
Figure 27. Exit Velocity Profile - Configuration 1

Figure 28. Exit Velocity Profile - Configuration 2
Figure 29. Exit Velocity Profile - Configuration 3

Figure 30. Exit Velocity Profile - Configuration 4
h = 0.50 inches. The flow was pulsed at frequencies of 0, 1, 2, 5, 8, 10, 13, and 15 hertz. Pulsing of the flow did add to the primary air mass flow rate. The addition of this mass flow rate was accounted for by having the same total pressure (i.e. transducer #4 had same value) in the primary nozzles when the frequency was zero. The primary air mass flow when the pulsing mechanism was running was the summation of the mass flow through the pendulum and through the control valves. Tables VI, VII, and VIII in Appendix B show the results of the primary flow pulsing study. Figures 31, 32, and 33 show the thrust augmentation ratio curve as a function of frequency. At a height of h = 0.20 inches, Fig. 31 shows that \( \phi \) dramatically increases when the frequency changes from 0 Hz to 1 Hz. In Fig. 31 the \( \phi \) shows an overall increase up to 15 Hz. The curve also has two dips in \( \phi \) at frequencies of 8 and 13 Hz. A frequency of 15 Hz was not exceeded to prevent failure or overheating of the flow pulsing mechanism. Also the solenoid control valves did not properly function above 20 Hz.

Figure 32 shows the thrust augmentation ratio curve as a function of frequency at a height of h = 0.31 inches. Again, \( \phi \) increases from 0 to 1 Hz. The trend at h = 0.31 inches is much the same as the previous curve. Like Fig. 31, Fig. 32 has two dips in \( \phi \) at frequencies of 8 and 13 Hz. However, the maximum variation in Fig. 32 is less than in Fig. 31.
Figure 33 shows the thrust augmentation curve as a function of frequency at a height of $h = 0.50$ inches. The trend in Fig. 33 is the same as the two previous curves. The $\phi$ shows an increase with flow pulsing and a slight increase as the frequency increases. The curve in Fig. 33 also shows two dips at frequencies of 8 and 13 Hz, but the curve is much flatter than the previous curves. The maximum $\phi$ in Fig. 33 is 1.63 and is lower than the maximum $\phi$ of 1.71 at heights of $h = 0.20$ and $h = 0.31$ inches. This follows the trend that $\phi$ decreases as height increases.

![Figure 31. Thrust Augmentation Ratio vs. Frequency (h = 0.20 inches)](image)
Figure 32. Thrust Augmentation Ratio vs. Frequency ($h = 0.31$ inches)

Figure 33. Thrust Augmentation Ratio vs. Frequency ($h = 0.50$ in)
Ejector Efficiency

Determining the ejector efficiency required the primary nozzle efficiency be determined. Table IX in Appendix B outlines the results of the nozzle efficiency test. Figure 34 shows how the nozzle efficiency varied with total pressure. The plots are centered about a value of 0.90. The original and new nozzles with movable tips were tested by placing the pitot-static probe in the exit flow. The voltage reading was taken and converted to a pressure value. This pressure value was corrected for compressible flow (12:179) with the following equation:

$$C_p = 1 + \frac{M^2}{4} + \frac{M^4}{24}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

The measured pressure value was converted to a velocity value. The ideal velocity value of the nozzles was calculated as if the flow expanded isentropically from the total pressure value of the primary nozzle. The nozzle efficiency is defined as the ratio of the measured kinetic energy to the isentropic kinetic energy and results in the following equation:

$$\eta_n = \frac{V_m^2}{V_i^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where $V_m$ = measured velocity

$V_i$ = ideal velocity.

Using equations (11) and (8), with a measured value of 0.90 for the nozzle efficiency and assumed values of $\epsilon_1 = 0.1$ and $\beta_0 = 1.0$, the value of $q$ was calculated for the flow...
pulsing study. The $\beta_3$ values were determined from the exit velocity profiles. These exit velocity profiles can be found in Appendix C. The $\Delta q/q_i$ value was then determined from equation (10). Table X in Appendix B shows the results of the ejector efficiency study. Figures 35, 36, and 37 are plots of $\Delta q/q_i$ as a function of frequency at heights of $h = 0.20$ inches, $h = 0.31$ inches, and $h = 0.50$ inches. The solid line in Figs. 35, 36, and 37 is the difference in $q$ from an ideal $q$ of 1.31. The $q = 1.33$ value is the $q$ value of equation (8) if no losses were assumed and the maximum thrust augmentation ratio were achieved. In Figs. 35 and 36, the solid line of $\Delta q/q_i$ vary around an average value of 0.185. The values of $\Delta q/q_i$ are much higher than those reported in Quinn's report. He reported values 0.04 for an ejector with an equivalent diffuser angle (10:10). The ejector used in Quinn's study was 50 inches long while the ejector used in this study was 14 inches long.
Figure 34. Nozzle Efficiency vs. Total Pressure

Figure 35. Thrust Ejector Losses as a Function of Frequency (h = 0.20 inches)
Figure 36. Thrust Ejector Losses as a Function of Frequency \((h = 0.31 \text{ inches})\)

Figure 37. Thrust Ejector Losses as a Function of Frequency \((h = 0.50 \text{ inches})\)
VI. Conclusions

The following conclusions were determined from the results of this study:

1. The thrust augmentation ratio is dependent on the primary nozzle injection angle and height. The maximum thrust augmentation value achieved was only 73% of the value that could be achieved if there were no flow losses.

2. The thrust augmentation ratio tends to decrease if the primary nozzle tips are inclined from the ejector inlet surface. Reasons for this decrease in thrust augmentation range from lack of flow attachment to the diffuser walls and losses due to friction.

3. Primary air flow pulsing did provide a higher thrust augmentation ratio and decreased ejector losses. The maximum thrust augmentation achieved with flow pulsing was 1.72 even when the injection angle was not optimal.

4. The average primary nozzle efficiency of both the original and the new nozzles was 0.90. The quality or efficiency of the 4.4 inch diameter ejector was a value of 1.56. The optimal value for the quality of the ejector was 1.31. This value was higher than values obtained by Quinn in another ejector study. However, the ejector used for this study was about four times shorter. According to Quinn, length is an important factor in thrust augmentation because complete mixing.
VII. Recommendations

Future investigations of thrust augmenting ejectors could include studies of data acquisition improvement, how thrust ejector length affects its efficiency, and the effects of primary air flow pulsing.

The improvements in the data acquisition system could include changing the computer to an IBM-PC. The computer currently supports both the thrust ejector test stand and the cascade test facility. Changing the computer to an IBM-PC would eliminate this time sharing and allow greater flexibility in calculating different augmentation parameters. Another improvement in the data acquisition system could include installing a thermocouple in the primary air pressure line for more accurate density calculations. Voltage amplifiers could be installed in the channel circuits to get larger voltages that would remove electronic noise and give greater sensitivity.

The thrust ejector could be changed in several ways. A study of thrust ejectors with different lengths for the same ratio of diffuser exit area to mixing chamber exit area could be accomplished. Different types of primary nozzles that promote hypermixing could also be studied. Further investigations of primary air flow pulsing could also be studied.
Appendix A: Transducer Calibration Graphs
Figure A-1. Mass Flow Transducer #1 Calibration

Figure A-2. Mass Flow #2 Transducer Calibration
Figure A-3. Pitot-Static Probe Transducer Calibration

Figure A-4. Scanivalve Transducer Calibration
Figure A-5. Cantilevered Beam Load Cell Calibration
Appendix B: Tabulated Results
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D11T3</th>
<th>D11T4</th>
<th>D11T9</th>
<th>D11T10</th>
<th>D11T5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>42.00</td>
<td>42.00</td>
<td>42.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>1.436</td>
<td>1.550</td>
<td>1.286</td>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>1.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN #</td>
<td>D11T6</td>
<td>D11T11</td>
<td>D11T15</td>
<td>D11T33</td>
<td>D11T34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>42.00</td>
<td>42.00</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>56.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>36.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>1.556</td>
<td>1.490</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>1.381</td>
<td>1.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN #</td>
<td>D11T14</td>
<td>D11T31</td>
<td>D11T32</td>
<td>D11T13</td>
<td>D11T35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>56.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>37.00</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>1.320</td>
<td>1.657</td>
<td>1.694</td>
<td>1.660</td>
<td>1.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN #</td>
<td>D11T36</td>
<td>D11T27</td>
<td>D11T29</td>
<td>D11T20</td>
<td>D11T28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>71.00</td>
<td>71.00</td>
<td>71.00</td>
<td>71.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>52.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.3125</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>1.505</td>
<td>1.352</td>
<td>1.677</td>
<td>1.598</td>
<td>1.692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN #</td>
<td>D11T22</td>
<td>D11T25</td>
<td>D11T30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>71.00</td>
<td>71.00</td>
<td>71.00</td>
<td>71.00</td>
<td>71.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>54.00</td>
<td>53.00</td>
<td>54.00</td>
<td>54.00</td>
<td>54.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.3125</td>
<td>0.3125</td>
<td>0.3125</td>
<td>0.3125</td>
<td>0.3125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>1.454</td>
<td>1.454</td>
<td>1.510</td>
<td>1.510</td>
<td>1.510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE III. Nozzle Tip Inclination Results (θ = 70°)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration 1</th>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D11T37</th>
<th>D12T1</th>
<th>D12T7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>α</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>φ</td>
<td>1.543</td>
<td>1.665</td>
<td>1.543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration 2</th>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D12T6</th>
<th>D12T5</th>
<th>D12T31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>α</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>φ</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>1.513</td>
<td>1.471</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration 3</th>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D11T38</th>
<th>D12T4</th>
<th>D12T32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>α</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>φ</td>
<td>1.420</td>
<td>1.474</td>
<td>1.469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration 4</th>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D12T2</th>
<th>D12T3</th>
<th>D12T33</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>α</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>φ</td>
<td>1.422</td>
<td>1.471</td>
<td>1.452</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TABLE IV. Nozzle Tip Inclination Results (θ = 11°)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration 1</th>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D12T12</th>
<th>D12T8</th>
<th>D12T35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>17.0°</td>
<td>21.0°</td>
<td>23.0°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ϕ</td>
<td>1.440</td>
<td>1.470</td>
<td>1.490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration 2</th>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D12T13</th>
<th>D12T10</th>
<th>D12T36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>17.0°</td>
<td>21.0°</td>
<td>23.0°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ϕ</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration 3</th>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D12T14</th>
<th>D12T9</th>
<th>D12T37</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>17.0°</td>
<td>21.0°</td>
<td>23.0°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ϕ</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>1.430</td>
<td>1.430</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration 4</th>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D12T11</th>
<th>D12T34</th>
<th>D12T38</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>17.0°</td>
<td>21.0°</td>
<td>23.0°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ϕ</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>1.330</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE V. Nozzle Tip Inclination Results ($\theta = 13^\circ$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration 1</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RUN #</strong></td>
<td><strong>D12T23</strong></td>
<td><strong>D12T24</strong></td>
<td><strong>D12T40</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>21.0$^\circ$</td>
<td>23.0$^\circ$</td>
<td>25.0$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>1.530</td>
<td>1.700</td>
<td>1.570</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration 2</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RUN #</strong></td>
<td><strong>D12T26</strong></td>
<td><strong>D12T25</strong></td>
<td><strong>D12T41</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>21.0$^\circ$</td>
<td>23.0$^\circ$</td>
<td>25.0$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>1.470</td>
<td>1.490</td>
<td>1.440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration 3</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RUN #</strong></td>
<td><strong>D12T39</strong></td>
<td><strong>D12T27</strong></td>
<td><strong>D12T28</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>21.0$^\circ$</td>
<td>23.0$^\circ$</td>
<td>25.0$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>1.570</td>
<td>1.550</td>
<td>1.590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration 4</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RUN #</strong></td>
<td><strong>D12T29</strong></td>
<td><strong>D12T30</strong></td>
<td><strong>D12T42</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>21.0$^\circ$</td>
<td>23.0$^\circ$</td>
<td>25.0$^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>1.490</td>
<td>1.540</td>
<td>1.440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE VI. Primary Flow Pulse Results (h = 0.20 inches)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D13T21</th>
<th>D13T15</th>
<th>D13T9</th>
<th>D13T14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>1.476</td>
<td>1.668</td>
<td>1.697</td>
<td>1.689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D13T20</th>
<th>D13T18</th>
<th>D13T13</th>
<th>D13T16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>1.476</td>
<td>1.656</td>
<td>1.694</td>
<td>1.715</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D13T8</th>
<th>D13T19</th>
<th>D13T11</th>
<th>D13T21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>1.654</td>
<td>1.719</td>
<td>1.614</td>
<td>1.728</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D13T10</th>
<th>D13T20</th>
<th>D13T17</th>
<th>D13T22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>1.639</td>
<td>1.722</td>
<td>1.617</td>
<td>1.717</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VII. Primary Flow Pulse Results (h = 0.31 inches)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D13T18</th>
<th>D13T23</th>
<th>D13T26</th>
<th>D13T24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>1.626</td>
<td>1.680</td>
<td>1.689</td>
<td>1.734</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D13T19</th>
<th>D13T33</th>
<th>D13T30</th>
<th>D13T31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>1.642</td>
<td>1.662</td>
<td>1.695</td>
<td>1.726</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>1.705</td>
<td>1.742</td>
<td>1.702</td>
<td>1.720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D13T29</th>
<th>D13T34</th>
<th>D13T32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>1.705</td>
<td>1.723</td>
<td>1.714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE VIII. Primary Flow Pulse Results (h = 0.50 inches)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D14T16</th>
<th>D14T5</th>
<th>D14T2</th>
<th>D14T4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>1.587</td>
<td>1.569</td>
<td>1.605</td>
<td>1.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN #</td>
<td>D14T17</td>
<td>D14T12</td>
<td>D14T9</td>
<td>D14T11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>1.563</td>
<td>1.550</td>
<td>1.583</td>
<td>1.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN #</td>
<td>D14T7</td>
<td>D14T1</td>
<td>D14T3</td>
<td>D14T6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>1.631</td>
<td>1.632</td>
<td>1.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN #</td>
<td>D14T14</td>
<td>D14T8</td>
<td>D14T10</td>
<td>D14T13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>1.615</td>
<td>1.629</td>
<td>1.610</td>
<td>1.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# TABLE IX. Primary Nozzle Efficiency Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P_o$</th>
<th>Volts</th>
<th>$\Delta P$</th>
<th>Density</th>
<th>Vmeas</th>
<th>Videal</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.39</td>
<td>.0253</td>
<td>.4780</td>
<td>.0024527</td>
<td>235.34</td>
<td>261.49</td>
<td>.810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.39</td>
<td>.0247</td>
<td>.4662</td>
<td>.0024527</td>
<td>232.42</td>
<td>261.49</td>
<td>.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>.0511</td>
<td>.9923</td>
<td>.0025478</td>
<td>331.05</td>
<td>346.09</td>
<td>.915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>.0505</td>
<td>.9815</td>
<td>.0025478</td>
<td>329.24</td>
<td>346.09</td>
<td>.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.53</td>
<td>.0771</td>
<td>1.5117</td>
<td>.0027932</td>
<td>388.39</td>
<td>411.46</td>
<td>.891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.53</td>
<td>.0779</td>
<td>1.5282</td>
<td>.0027932</td>
<td>390.56</td>
<td>411.46</td>
<td>.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.38</td>
<td>.0986</td>
<td>1.9413</td>
<td>.0029302</td>
<td>428.23</td>
<td>456.23</td>
<td>.881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.38</td>
<td>.1002</td>
<td>1.9725</td>
<td>.0029302</td>
<td>431.61</td>
<td>456.23</td>
<td>.895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.51</td>
<td>.1182</td>
<td>2.3320</td>
<td>.0031102</td>
<td>454.62</td>
<td>477.89</td>
<td>.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.51</td>
<td>.1207</td>
<td>2.3832</td>
<td>.0031102</td>
<td>459.62</td>
<td>477.89</td>
<td>.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.40</td>
<td>.1404</td>
<td>2.7762</td>
<td>.0032512</td>
<td>483.67</td>
<td>510.41</td>
<td>.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.40</td>
<td>.1441</td>
<td>2.8508</td>
<td>.0032512</td>
<td>490.10</td>
<td>510.41</td>
<td>.922</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P_o$</th>
<th>Volts</th>
<th>$\Delta P$</th>
<th>Density</th>
<th>Vmeas</th>
<th>Videal</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>.3015</td>
<td>.6012</td>
<td>.002475</td>
<td>260.79</td>
<td>269.85</td>
<td>.934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>.3014</td>
<td>.5999</td>
<td>.002475</td>
<td>260.51</td>
<td>269.85</td>
<td>.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>.0468</td>
<td>.9077</td>
<td>.002608</td>
<td>310.43</td>
<td>325.96</td>
<td>.907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>.0471</td>
<td>.9137</td>
<td>.002608</td>
<td>311.46</td>
<td>325.96</td>
<td>.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.55</td>
<td>.0805</td>
<td>1.5789</td>
<td>.002779</td>
<td>391.85</td>
<td>407.87</td>
<td>.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.55</td>
<td>.0812</td>
<td>1.5943</td>
<td>.002779</td>
<td>393.76</td>
<td>407.87</td>
<td>.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.58</td>
<td>.1112</td>
<td>2.1920</td>
<td>.002942</td>
<td>444.35</td>
<td>471.28</td>
<td>.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.58</td>
<td>.1124</td>
<td>2.1690</td>
<td>.002942</td>
<td>446.85</td>
<td>471.28</td>
<td>.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.51</td>
<td>.1292</td>
<td>2.5520</td>
<td>.003089</td>
<td>465.86</td>
<td>511.35</td>
<td>.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.51</td>
<td>.1310</td>
<td>2.5890</td>
<td>.003089</td>
<td>469.22</td>
<td>511.35</td>
<td>.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>.1584</td>
<td>3.1361</td>
<td>.003245</td>
<td>500.03</td>
<td>539.20</td>
<td>.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>.1599</td>
<td>3.1650</td>
<td>.003245</td>
<td>502.35</td>
<td>539.20</td>
<td>.868</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE X. Ejector Efficiency Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>1.476</td>
<td>1.353</td>
<td>1.295</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>1.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1/V0</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₃</td>
<td>65.65</td>
<td>61.97</td>
<td>59.10</td>
<td>58.38</td>
<td>56.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>1.599</td>
<td>1.591</td>
<td>1.551</td>
<td>1.547</td>
<td>1.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δq/q₁</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D13T20</th>
<th>D13T17</th>
<th>D13T21</th>
<th>D14T18</th>
<th>D13T23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>1.316</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>1.630</td>
<td>1.242</td>
<td>1.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1/V0</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₃</td>
<td>62.33</td>
<td>63.52</td>
<td>58.63</td>
<td>70.37</td>
<td>62.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>1.552</td>
<td>1.562</td>
<td>1.542</td>
<td>1.552</td>
<td>1.614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δq/q₁</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>1.341</td>
<td>1.288</td>
<td>1.326</td>
<td>1.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1/V0</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₃</td>
<td>62.39</td>
<td>67.71</td>
<td>67.27</td>
<td>63.46</td>
<td>63.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>1.586</td>
<td>1.560</td>
<td>1.545</td>
<td>1.557</td>
<td>1.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δq/q₁</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D13T35</th>
<th>D14T17</th>
<th>D14T5</th>
<th>D14T2</th>
<th>D14T11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>1.320</td>
<td>1.209</td>
<td>1.328</td>
<td>1.230</td>
<td>1.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1/V0</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₃</td>
<td>64.30</td>
<td>82.80</td>
<td>72.97</td>
<td>79.56</td>
<td>77.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>1.555</td>
<td>1.560</td>
<td>1.620</td>
<td>1.555</td>
<td>1.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δq/q₁</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN #</th>
<th>D14T7</th>
<th>D14T8</th>
<th>D14T3</th>
<th>D14T6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f (Hz)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>1.268</td>
<td>1.192</td>
<td>1.273</td>
<td>1.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1/V0</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₃</td>
<td>76.94</td>
<td>81.32</td>
<td>76.81</td>
<td>77.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>1.565</td>
<td>1.525</td>
<td>1.565</td>
<td>1.580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δq/q₁</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C: Exit Velocity Plots
Figure C-1. Exit velocity Profiles (h = 0.20 inches)
Figure C-2. Exit Velocity Profiles (h = 0.31 inches)
Figure C-3. Exit Velocity Profiles (h = 0.50 inches)
Bibliography


10. Quinn, Brian P. Recent Developments in Large Area Ratio Thrust Augmentors. Aerospace Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio


VITA

Captain Donald J. Morfitt Jr. was born and graduated from Lakefield High School, Minnesota in and enlisted in the Air Force in August of that year. He attended technical school for jet engine maintenance at Chanute AFB, Illinois. He was assigned to the 63rd Field Maintenance Squadron working in-shop maintenance for TF-33 engines on C-141 aircraft at Norton AFB, California. In 1980, he was accepted to participate in the Airman Education Commissioning Program and attended Texas A&M University. He received a B.S. in Aerospace Engineering in December 1983 and received his commission upon graduation from Officer Training School. He was then assigned to the Ballistic Missile Office at Norton AFB, California to work on the Peacekeeper Missile. In June 1987, he entered the Air Force Institute of Technology Graduate School.
AN EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF FLOW MIXING ON THRUST EJECTOR EFFICIENCY (U)

Donald J. Morfitt, Jr., Capt, USAF

MS Thesis

FROM ______ TO ______

1988 December

88

19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

Thesis Advisor: Dr. Milton E. Franke
Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics

ABSTRACT ON BACK

Dr. Milton E. Franke, Professor
513-255-2362

AFIT/ENY
The purpose of this study was to determine the effect flow mixing has on the thrust augmentation of an ejector. The experimental studies were divided into four phases. The four phases were baseline verification, a nozzle tip inclination study, a primary flow pulsing study, and a study of the quality of the ejector.

The baseline verification study showed thrust augmentation is dependent upon the injection angle and height of the primary nozzles. The nozzle tip inclination study investigated the effects of having the tips inclined from the inlet surface of the ejector. The nozzle tips were inclined in four different configurations. The different configurations established a baseline or attempted to promote flow mixing and swirling. The best thrust augmentation was achieved when the nozzle tips were parallel to the inlet surface of the ejector. For the third phase, the primary air was pulsed at frequencies up to 15 hertz. The flow pulsing of the primary air enhanced flow mixing and increased thrust augmentation. The ejector efficiency study determined an approximate quality or efficiency value of the thrust ejector. When compared to an efficiency value achieved by Quinn, the ejector used in this study had four times the losses of his ejector. However, his ejector was four times longer.