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Abstract

The design of the POSTGRES data model is described. The data model is a relational model that has been extended with abstract data types, data of type procedure, and attribute and procedure inheritance. These mechanisms can be used to simulate a wide variety of semantic and object-oriented data modeling constructs including aggregation and generalization, complex objects with shared subobjects, and attributes that reference tuples in other relations.

1. Introduction

This paper describes the data model for POSTGRES, a next-generation extensible database management system being developed at the University of California [StR86]. The data model is based on the idea of extending the relational model developed by Codd [Cod70] with general mechanisms that can be used to simulate a variety of semantic data modeling constructs. The mechanisms include: 1) abstract data types (ADT's), 2) data of type procedure, and 3) rules. These mechanisms can be used to support complex objects or to implement a shared object hierarchy for an object-oriented programming language [Row86]. Most of these ideas appeared elsewhere [Sto85, Sto86a, Sto86b, Sto].

* This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant DCR-8507256 and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DoD), Arpa Order No. 4871, monitored by Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command under Contract N00039-84-C-0089.
We have discovered that some semantic constructs that were not directly supported can be easily added to the system. Consequently, we have made several changes to the data model and the syntax of the query language that are documented here. The changes include providing support for primary keys, inheritance of data and procedures, and attributes that reference tuples in other relations.

The major contribution of this paper is to show that inheritance can be added to a relational data model with only a modest number of changes to the model and the implementation of the system. The conclusion that we draw from this result is that the major concepts provided in an object-oriented data model (e.g., inheritance, union type attributes, and support for shared subobjects) can be cleanly and efficiently supported in an extensible relational database management system. The features used to support these mechanisms are abstract data types and attributes of type procedure.

The remainder of the paper describes the POSTGRES data model and is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the data model. Section 3 describes the attribute type system. Section 4 describes how the query language can be extended with user-defined procedures. Section 5 compares the model with other data models and section 6 summarizes the paper.

2. Data Model

A database is composed of a collection of relations that contain tuples which represent real-world entities (e.g., documents and people) or relationships (e.g., authorship). A relation has attributes of fixed types that represent properties of the entities and relationships (e.g., the title of a document) and a primary key. Attribute types can be atomic (e.g., integer, floating point, or boolean) or structured (e.g., array or procedure). The primary key is a sequence of attributes of the relation, whose values when taken together, uniquely identify each tuple.

A simple university database will be used to illustrate the model. The following command defines a relation that represents people:

```sql
create PERSON (Name = char[25], Birthdate = date,
    Height = int4, Weight = int4, StreetAddress = char[25],
    City = char[25], State = char[2])
```

This command defines a relation and creates a structure for storing the tuples.

The definition of a relation may optionally specify a primary key and other relations from which to inherit attributes. A primary key is a
combination of attributes whose values together uniquely identify each tuple. The key is specified with a key-clause as follows:

    create PERSON (...)  
    key (Name)

Tuples must have a value for all key attributes. The specification of a key may optionally include the name of an operator that is to be used when comparing two tuples. For example, suppose a relation had a key whose type was a user-defined ADT. If an attribute of type box was part of the primary key, the comparison operator must be specified since different box operators could be used distinguish the entries (e.g., area equals or box equality). The following example shows the definition of a relation with a key attribute of type box that uses area equals (AE):

    create PICTURE(Title = char[25], Item = box)  
    key (Item using AE)

Data inheritance is specified with an inherits-clause. Suppose, for example, that people in the university database are employees and/or

---

Figure 1: Person, employee, and student relation hierarchy.
students and that different attributes are to be defined for each category. The relation for each category includes the PEOPLE attributes and other attributes that are specific to the category. These relations can be defined by replicating the PEOPLE attributes in each relation definition or by inheriting them for the definition of PEOPLE. Figure 1 shows the relations and an inheritance hierarchy that could be used to share the definition of the attributes. The commands that define the relations are shown in figure 2.

A relation inherits all attributes from its parent(s) unless an attribute is overridden in the definition. For example, the EMPLOYEE relation defined in figure 2 inherits the PERSON attributes Name, Birthdate, Height, Weight, StreetAddress, City, and State. Key specifications are also inherited so Name is also the key for EMPLOYEE.

Relations may inherit attributes from more than one parent. For example, STUDEMP inherits attributes from STUDENT and EMPLOYEE. An inheritance conflict occurs when the same attribute name is inherited from

```
create PERSON (Name = char[25], Birthdate = date, Height = int4, Weight = int4, StreetAddress = char[25], City = char[25], State = char[2])
key (Name)
create EMPLOYEE (Dept = char[25], Status = int2, Mgr = char[25], JobTitle = char[25], Salary = money)
inherits (PERSON)
create STUDENT (Sno = char[12], Status = int2, Level = char[20])
inherits (PERSON)
create STUDEMP (IsWorkStudy = bool)
inherits (STUDENT, EMPLOYEE)
```

Figure 2: Relation definitions.
more than one parent (e.g., STUDEMP inherits Status from EMPLOYEE and STUDENT). If the inherited attributes have the same type, an attribute with the type is included in the relation that is being defined. Otherwise, the declaration is disallowed. 1

The POSTGRES query language is a generalized version of QUEL [HSW75], called POSTQUEL. QUEL was extended in several directions. First, POSTQUEL has a from-clause to define tuple-variables rather than a range command. Second, arbitrary relation-valued expressions may appear any place that a relation name could appear in QUEL. Third, transitive closure and execute commands [Kue84] have been added to the language. And lastly, POSTGRES maintains historical data so POSTQUEL allows queries to be run on past database states or on any data that was in the database at any time. These extensions are described in the remainder of this section.

The from-clause was added to the language so that tuple-variable definitions for a query could be easily determined at compile-time. This capability was needed because POSTGRES will, at the user's request, compile queries and save them in the catalogs. The from-clause is illustrated in the following query that lists all work-study students who are sophomores:

retrive (SE.name) from SE in STUDEMP where SE.IsWorkStudy and SE.Status = "sophomore"

The from-clause specifies the set of tuples over which a tuple-variable will range. In this example, the tuple-variable SE ranges over the set of student employees.

A default tuple-variable with the same name is defined for each relation referenced in the target-list or where-clause of a query. For example, the query above could have been written:

---

1 Most attribute inheritance models have a conflict resolution rule that selects one of the conflicting attributes. We chose to disallow inheritance because we could not discover an example where it made sense, except when the types were identical. On the other hand, procedure inheritance (discussed below) does use a conflict resolution rule because many examples exist in which one procedure is preferred.
retrieve (STUDEMP.name)
where STUDEMP.IsWorkStudy
    and STUDEMP.Status = "sophomore"

Notice that the attribute IsWorkStudy is a boolean-valued attribute so it does not require an explicit value test (e.g., STUDEMP.IsWorkStudy = "true").

The set of tuples that a tuple-variable may range over can be a named relation or a relation-expression. For example, suppose the user wanted to retrieve all people in the database who live in Berkeley regardless of whether they were students, employees, student employees, or people. This query can be written as follows:

retrieve (P.name)
from P in PEOPLE*
where P.city = "Berkeley"

The "*" operator specifies the relation formed by taking the union of the relations PEOPLE, EMPLOYEE, STUDENT, EMPLOYEE, and STUDEMP. Relation expressions may include other set operators: union (∪), intersection (∩), and difference (−). For example, the following query retrieves the names of people who are students or employees but not student employees:

retrieve (P.name)
from P in (STUDENT ∪ EMPLOYEE)

POSTQUEL also provides set comparison operators and a relation-constructor that can be used to specify some difficult queries more easily than in a conventional query language. For example, suppose that students could have several majors. The natural representation for this data is to define a separate relation:

create MAJORS(Sname = char[25], Mname = char[25])
where Sname is the student's name and Mname is the major. With this representation, the following query retrieves the names of students with the same majors as Smith:

retrieve (M1.Sname)
from M1 in MAJORS
where ((x.Mname) from x in MAJORS where x.Sname = M1.Sname) ⊆ ((x.Mname) from x in MAJORS where x.Sname="Smith")

The expressions enclosed in set symbols ("[..]") are relation-constructors.
The general form of a relation-constructor is
{(target-list) from from-clause where-clause}
which specifies the same relation as the query
retrieve (target-list)
from from-clause
where where-clause

Note that a tuple-variable defined in the outer query (e.g., \texttt{M1} in the query above) can be used within a relation-constructor but that a tuple-variable defined in the relation-constructor cannot be used in the outer query. Redefinition of a tuple-variable in a relation constructor creates a distinct variable as in a block-structured programming language (e.g., PASCAL). Relation-valued expressions (including attributes of type procedure described in the next section) can be used any place in a query that a named relation can be used.

Database updates are specified with conventional update commands as shown in the following examples:

/* Add a new employee to the database. */
append to \texttt{EMPLOYEE(name = value, age = value, ...)}

/* Change state codes using \texttt{MAP(OldCode, NewCode)}. */
replace \texttt{P(State = MAP.NewCode)}
from \texttt{P in PERSON*}
where \texttt{P.State = MAP.OldCode}

/* Delete students born before today. */
delete \texttt{STUDENT}
where \texttt{STUDENT.Birthdate > today()}

Deferred update semantics are used for all updates commands.

\texttt{POSTQUEL} supports the transitive closure commands developed in \texttt{QUEL*} [Kue84]. A "*" command continues to execute until no tuples are retrieved (e.g., \texttt{retrieve*}) or updated (e.g., \texttt{append*, delete*, or replace*}). For example, the following query creates a relation that contains all
employees who work for Smith:

```
retrieve* into SUBORDINATES(E.Name, E.Mgr)
from E in EMPLOYEE, S in SUBORDINATES
where E.Name = "Smith" or E.Mgr = S.Name
```

This command continues to execute the retrieve-into command until there are no changes made to the SUBORDINATES relation.

Lastly, POSTGRES never deletes or updates data in a relation so that queries can be executed on historical data. For example, the following query looks for students who lived in Berkeley on August 1, 1980:

```
retrieve (S.Name)
from S in STUDENT["August 1, 1980"]
where S.City = "Berkeley"
```

The date specified in the brackets following the relation name specifies the relation at the designated time. The date can be specified in many different formats and optionally may include a time of day.

Queries can also be executed on all data that is currently in the relation or was in it at some time in the past (i.e., all data). The following query retrieves all students who ever lived in Berkeley:

```
retrieve (S.Name)
from S in STUDENT[]
where S.City = "Berkeley"
```

The notation "[]" can be appended to any relation name. The DBMS saves all data unless requested not to by the user. Data can be purged explicitly (e.g., "purge data before a given date") or implicitly (e.g., "purge data over 1 year old").

The query above only examines students who were not student employees. To search the set of all students, the from-clause would be

```
...from S in STUDENT[]...
```

Queries can also be specified on data that was in the relation during a given time period.

The time period is specified by giving a start and end time as shown in the following query that retrieves students who lived in Berkeley at any time in August 1980:
retrieve (S.Name) from S in STUDENT["August 1, 1980","August 31, 1980"]
where S.City = "Berkeley"

Shorthand notations are supported for all tuples in a relation up to some date (e.g., STUDENT["August 1, 1980"]) or from some date to the present (e.g., STUDENT("August 1, 1980").)

Finally, every relation has implicitly defined attributes that represent the time a tuple was appended to the relation (TMIN) and the time it was deleted or replaced (TMAX). These attributes and operators on the date data type can be used to find the names of students who lived in Berkeley less than 30 days:

retrieve (S.Name) from S in STUDENT[1]
where S.City = "Berkeley"
and date(S.TMAX - S.TMIN) < "30 days"

The predefined date function takes a value of the type used to represent time internally in the system and converts it to a date data type.

POSTGRES also supports versions of relations. A version can be created from a relation or a snapshot. A version is created by specifying the base relation as shown in the command

create version MYPEOPLE from PEOPLE
which creates a version, named MYPEOPLE, that is derived from the PEOPLE relation. Data can be retrieved from and updated in a version just like a relation. Updates to a version do not modify the underlying relation but updates to the underlying relation are visible through the version unless the value has been modified in the version. For example, if George's birthdate is changed in MYPEOPLE, a replace command that changes his birthdate in PEOPLE will not be visible in MYPEOPLE.

If the user does not want updates to the base relation to propagate to the version, he can create a version of a snapshot. For example, the following command creates a version of PEOPLE that is derived from the current contents of the relation:

create version YOURPEOPLE from PEOPLE[now()]

The procedure now returns the current date and time.

A merge command is provided that will merge the changes made in a version back into the underlying relation. An example of this command is
merge YOURPEOPLE into PEOPLE

that will merge the changes made to YOURPEOPLE back into PEOPLE. The merge command uses a semi-automatic procedure to resolve updates to the underlying relation and the version that conflict [Gae84].

This section described most of the data definition and data manipulation commands in POSTQUEL. The commands that were not described are the commands for defining rules, utility commands that only affect the performance of the system (e.g., define index and modify), and other miscellaneous utility commands (e.g., destroy and copy). The next section describes the type system for relation attributes.

3. Data Types

POSTGRES provides a collection of atomic and structured types. The predefined atomic types include: int2, int4, float4, float8, bool, char, and date. The standard arithmetic and comparison operators are provided for the numeric and date data types and the standard string and comparison operators for character arrays. Users can extend the system by adding new atomic types using an abstract data type (ADT) definition facility.

All atomic data types are defined to the system as ADT's. An ADT is defined by specifying the type name, the length of the internal representation in bytes, procedures for converting from an external to internal representation for a value and from an internal to external representation, and a default value. The command

```sql
define type int4 is
  (InternalLength = 4, InputProc = CharToInt4,
   OutputProc = Int4ToChar, Default = "0")
```

defines the type int4 which is predefined in the system. CharToInt4 and Int4ToChar are procedures that are coded in a conventional programming language (e.g., C) and defined to the system using the commands described in section 4.

Operators on ADT's are defined by specifying the the number and type of operands, the return type, the precedence and associativity of the operator, and the procedure that implements it. For example, the command

```sql
define operator "+"(int4, int4) returns int4 is
  (Proc = Plus, Precedence = 5, Associativity = "left")
```
defines the plus operator. Precedence is specified by a number. Larger numbers imply higher precedence. The predefined operators have the precedences shown in figure 3. These precedences can be changed by changing the operator definitions. Associativity is either left or right depending on the semantics desired. This example defined an operator denoted by a symbol (i.e., "+"). Operators can also be denoted by identifiers as shown below.
Another example of an ADT definition is the following command that defines an ADT that represents boxes:

```plaintext
define type box is
  (InternalLength = 16, InputProc = CharToBox,
   OutputProc = BoxToChar, Default = "")
```

The external representation of a box is a character string that contains two points that represent the upper-left and lower-right corners of the box. With this representation, the constant

"20,50:10,70"

describes a box whose upper-left corner is at (20, 50) and lower-right corner is at (10, 70). `CharToBox` takes a character string like this one and returns a 16 byte representation of a box (e.g., 4 bytes per x- or y-coordinate value). `BoxToChar` is the inverse of `CharToBox`.

Comparison operators can be defined on ADT's that can be used in access methods or optimized in queries. For example, the definition

```plaintext
Figure 3: Precedence of predefined operators.
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precedence</th>
<th>Operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>not -(unary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>* /</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+ - (binary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt; ≤ &gt; ≥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>= ≠</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>or</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
define operator AE(box, box) returns bool is
(Proc = BoxAE, Precedence = 3, Associativity = "left",
Sort = BoxArea, Hashes, Restrict = AERSelectivity,
Join = AEJSelectivity, Negator = BoxAreaNE)
defines an operator "area equals" on boxes. In addition to the semantic
information about the operator itself, this specification includes information
needed to build indexes and to optimize queries using the operator. For
example, suppose the PICTURE relation was defined by
create PICTURE(Title = char[], Item = box)
and the query
retrieve (PICTURE.all)
where PICTURE.Item AE "50,100:100,50"
was being processed. The Sort attribute of the operator specifies the pro-
cedure to be used if a merge-sort join strategy was selected to implement the
query. It also specifies the procedure to use when building an ordered index
(e.g., B-Tree) on a box attribute in a relation. The Hashes attribute indi-
cates that this operator can be used to build a hash index on a box attribute
in a relation. Note that either type of index can be used to optimize the
query above. The Restrict and Join attributes specify the procedure that is
to be called by the query optimizer to compute the restrict and join selectivi-
ties, respectively, of a clause involving the operator. These selectivity attri-
butes identify procedures to be called that will return a floating point value
between 0.0 and 1.0 that specify the selectivity given the operator. Lastly,
the Negator attribute specifies the procedure that is to be used to compare
two values when a query predicate requires the operator to be negated as in
retrieve (PICTURE.all)
where not (PICTURE.Item AE "50,100:100,50")
The define operator command also may specify a procedure that can be
used if the query predicate includes an operator that is not commutative.
For example, the commutator procedure for "area less than" (ALT) is the
procedure that implements "area greater than or equal" (AGE). More
details on the use of these attributes is given elsewhere [Sto86a].

Type-constructors are provided to define structured types (e.g., arrays
and procedures) that can be used to represent complex data. An array type-
constructor can be used to define a variable- or fixed-size array. A fixed-size
array is declared by specifying the element type and upper bound of the
array as illustrated by
create PERSON(Name = char[25])

which defines an array of twenty-five characters. The elements of the array
are referenced by indexing the attribute by an integer between 1 and 25
(e.g., "PERSON.Name[4]" references the fourth character in the person's
name).

A variable-size array is specified by omitting the upper bound in the
type constructor. For example, a variable-sized array of characters is
specified by "char[]." Variable-size arrays are referenced by indexing the
attribute by an integer between 1 and the current upper bound of the array.
The predefined function size returns the current upper bound. POSTGRES
does not impose a limit on the size of a variable-size array. Built-in func-
tions are provided to append arrays and to fetch array slices. For example,
two character arrays can be appended using the concatenate operator ("+"
and an array slice containing characters 2 through 15 in an attribute named
x can be fetched by the expression "x[2:15]."

The second type-constructor allows values of type procedure to be stored
in an attribute. Procedure values are represented by a sequence of POST-
QUEL commands. The value of an attribute of type procedure is a relation
because that is what a retrieve command returns. Moreover, the value
may include tuples from different relations (i.e., of different types) because a
procedure composed of two retrieve commands returns the union of both
commands. We call a relation with different tuple types a multirelation.
The POSTGRES programming language interface provides a cursor-like
mechanism, called a portal, to fetch values from multirelations [StR86].
However, they are not stored by the system (i.e., only relations are stored).

The system provides two kinds of procedure type-constructors: variable
and parameterized. A variable procedure-type allows a different POST-
QUEL procedure to be stored in each tuple while parameterized procedure-
types store the same procedure in each tuple but with different parameters.
We will illustrate the use of a variable procedure-type by showing another
way to represent student majors. Suppose a DEPARTMENT relation was
defined with the following command:

create DEPARTMENT(Name = char[25], Chair = char[25], ...)

A student's major(s) can then be represented by a procedure in the STUDEN-
T relation that retrieves the appropriate DEPARTMENT tuple(s). The
Majors attribute would be declared as follows:

create STUDENT(..., Majors = postquel, ...)

Data type postquel represents a procedure-type. The value in Majors will be
a query that fetches the department relation tuples that represent the student's minors. The following command appends a student to the database who has a double major in mathematics and computer science:

```sql
append STUDENT( Name = "Smith", ...
    Majors = "retrieve (D.Name)
            from D in DEPARTMENT
            where D.Name = "Mathematics"
            or D.Name = "Computer Science")
```

A query that references the Majors attribute returns the string that contains the POSTQUEL commands. However, two notations are provided that will execute the query and return the result rather than the definition. First, nested-dot notation implicitly executes the query as illustrated by

```sql
retrieve (S.Name, S.Majors.Name)
from S in STUDENT
```

which prints a list of names and majors of students. The result of the query in Majors is implicitly joined with the tuple specified by the rest of the target-list. In other words, if a student has two majors, this query will return two tuples with the Name attribute repeated. The implicit join is performed to guarantee that a relation is returned.

The second way to execute the query is to use the execute command. For example, the query

```sql
execute (S.Majors)
from S in STUDENT
where S.Name = "Smith"
```

returns a relation that contains DEPARTMENT tuples for all of Smith's majors.

Parameterized procedure-types are used when the query to be stored in an attribute is nearly the same for every tuple. The query parameters can be taken from other attributes in the tuple or they may be explicitly specified. For example, suppose an attribute in STUDENT was to represent the student's current class list. Given the following definition for enrollments:

```sql
create ENROLLMENT(Student = char[25], Class = char[25])
```

Bill's class list can be retrieved by the query
retrieve (ClassName = E.Class)
from E in ENROLLMENT
where E.Student = "Bill"

This query will be the same for every student except for the constant that specifies the student's name.

A parameterized procedure-type could be defined to represent this query as follows:

```
define type classes is
    retrieve (ClassName = E.Class)
    from E in ENROLLMENT
    where E.Student = $$.Name
end
```

The dollar-sign symbol ("$") refers to the tuple in which the query is stored (i.e., the current tuple). The parameter for each instance of this type (i.e., a query) is the Name attribute in the tuple in which the instance is stored. This type is then used in the create command as follows:

```
create STUDENT(Name = char[25], ..., ClassList = classes)
```

to define an attribute that represents the student's current class list. This attribute can be used in a query to return a list of students and the classes they are taking:

```
retrieve (S.Name, S.ClassList.ClassName)
```

Notice that for a particular STUDENT tuple, the expression "$$.Name" in the query refers to the name of that student. The symbol "$" can be thought of as a tuple-variable bound to the current tuple.

Parameterized procedure-types are extremely useful types, but sometimes it is inconvenient to store the parameters explicitly as attributes in the relation. Consequently, a notation is provided that allows the parameters to be stored in the procedure-type value. This mechanism can be used to simulate attribute types that reference tuples in other relations. For example, suppose you wanted a type that referenced a tuple in the DEPARTMENT relation defined above. This type can be defined as follows:

```
define type DEPARTMENT(int4) is
    retrieve (DEPARTMENT.all)
    where DEPARTMENT.oid = $1
end
```

The relation name can be used for the type name because relations, types, and procedures have separate name spaces. The query in type
DEPARTMENT will retrieve a specific department tuple given a unique object identifier (oid) of the tuple. Each relation has an implicitly defined attribute named oid that contains the tuple's unique identifier. The oid attribute can be accessed but not updated by user queries. Oid values are created and maintained by the POSTGRES storage system [Sto87]. The formal argument to this procedure-type is the type of an object identifier. The parameter is referenced inside the definition by "$n" where $n is the parameter number.

An actual argument is supplied when a value is assigned to an attribute of type DEPARTMENT. For example, a COURSE relation can be defined that represents information about a specific course including the department that offers it. The create command is:

create COURSE(Title = char[25], Dept = DEPARTMENT, ...)

The attribute Dept represents the department that offers the course. The following query adds a course to the database:

append COURSE(Title = "Introductory Programming",
Dept = DEPARTMENT(D.oid))
from D in DEPARTMENT
where D.Name = "computer science"

The procedure DEPARTMENT called in the target-list is implicitly defined by the "define type" command. It constructs a value of the specified type given actual arguments that are type compatible with the formal arguments, in this case an int.

Parameterized procedure-types that represent references to tuples in a specific relation are so commonly used that we plan to provide automatic support for them. First, every relation created will have a type that represents a reference to a tuple implicitly defined similar to the DEPARTMENT type above. And second, it will be possible to assign a tuple-variable directly to a tuple reference attribute. In other words, the assignment to the attribute Dept that is written in the query above as

... Dept = DEPARTMENT(D.oid) ...

can be written as

... Dept = D ...

Parameterised procedure-types can also be used to implement a type that references a tuple in an arbitrary relation. The type definition is:
define type tuple(charl, int4) is
    retrieve ($1.all)
    where $1.oid = $2
end

The first argument is the name of the relation and the second argument is the oid of the desired tuple in the relation. In effect, this type defines a reference to an arbitrary tuple in the database.

The procedure-type tuple can be used to create a relation that represents people who help with fund raising:

create VOLUNTEER(Person = tuple, TimeAvailable = integer, ...)

Because volunteers may be students, employees, or people who are neither students nor employees, the attribute Person must contain a reference to a tuple in an arbitrary relation. The following command appends all students to VOLUNTEER:

append VOLUNTEER(Person = tuple(relation(S), S.oid))
from S in STUDENT*

The predefined function relation returns the name of the relation to which the tuple-variable S is bound.

The type tuple will also be special-cased to make it more convenient. Tuple will be a predefined type and it will be possible to assign tuple-variables directly to attributes of the type. Consequently, the assignment to Person written above as

... Person = relation(S), S.oid ...

can be written

... Person = S ...

We expect that as we get more experience with POSTGRES applications that more types may be special-cased.

4. User-Defined Procedures

This section describes language constructs for adding user-defined procedures to POSTQUEL. User-defined procedures are written in a conventional programming language and are used to implement ADT operators or to move a computation from a front-end application process to the back-end DBMS process.

Moving a computation to the back-end opens up possibilities for the DBMS to precompute a query that includes the computation. For example, suppose that a front-end application needed to fetch the definition of a form
from a database and to construct a main-memory data structure that the run-time forms system used to display the form on the terminal screen for data entry or display. A conventional relation database design would store the form components (e.g., titles and field definitions for different types of fields such as scalar fields, table fields, and graphics fields) in many different relations. An example database design is shown in figure 4. The query that fetches the form from the database must execute at least one query per table and sort through the return tuples to construct the main-memory data structure. This operation must take less than two seconds for an interactive application. Conventional relational DBMS's cannot satisfy this time constraint.

Our approach to solving this problem is to move the computation that constructs the main-memory data structure to the database process. Suppose the procedure ConstructForm built the data structure given the name of a form. Using the parameterized procedure-type mechanism defined above an attribute can be added to the FORM relation that stores the form representation computed by this procedure. The commands

```
create FORM(FormName, ...)  
create FIELDS(FormName, FieldName, Origin, Height, Width, FieldKind, ...)  
create SCALARFIELD(FormName, FieldName, DataType, DisplayFormat, ...)  
create TABLEFIELD(FormName, FieldName, NumberOfRows, ...)  
create TABLECOLUMNS(FormName, FieldName, ColumnName, Height, Width, FieldKind, ...)  
```

Figure 4: Database design for storing form definitions.
define type formrep is
    retrieve (rep = ConstructForm($$.FormName))
end

addattribute (FormName, ..., FormDataStructure = formrep)
    to FORM

define the procedure type and add an attribute to the FORM relation.

The advantage of this representation is that POSTGRES can precompute
the answer to a procedure-type attribute and store it in the tuple. By
precomputing the main-memory data structure representation, the form can
be fetched from the database by a single-tuple retrieve:

    retrieve (x = FORM.FormDataStructure)
    where FORM.FormName = "foo"

The real-time constraint to fetch and display a form can be easily met if all
the program must do is a single-tuple retrieve to fetch the data structure
and call the library procedure to display it. This example illustrates the
advantage of moving a computation (i.e., constructing a main-memory data
structure) from the application process to the DBMS process.

A procedure is defined to the system by specifying the names and types
of the arguments, the return type, the language it is written in, and where
the source and object code is stored. For example, the definition

    define procedure AgeInYears(date) returns int4 is
        (language = "C", filename = "AgeInYears")

defines a procedure AgeInYears that takes a date value and returns the age
of the person. The argument and return types are specified using
POSTGRES types. When the procedure is called, it is passed the arguments
in the POSTGRES internal representation for the type. We plan to allow
procedures to be written in several different languages including C and Lisp
which are the two languages being used to implement the system.

POSTGRES stores the information about a procedure in the system
catalogs and dynamically loads the object code when it is called in a query.
The following query uses the AgeInYears procedure to retrieve the names
and ages of all people in the example database:

    retrieve (P.Name, Age = AgeInYears(P.Birthdate))
    from P in PERSON*

User-defined procedures can also take tuple-variable arguments. For
example, the following command defines a procedure, called Comp, that
takes an EMPLOYEE tuple and computes the person's compensation
according to some formula that involves several attributes in the tuple (e.g.,
the employee's status, job title, and salary):

define procedure Comp(EMPLOYEE) returns int4
   is (language = "C", filename = "Comp1")

Recall that a parameterized procedure-type is defined for each relation
automatically so the type EMPLOYEE represents a reference to a tuple in
the EMPLOYEE relation. This procedure is called in the following query:

   retrieve (E.Name, Compensation = Comp(E))
   from E in EMPLOYEE

The C function that implements this procedure is passed a data structure
that contains the names, types, and values of the attributes in the tuple.

User-defined procedures can be passed tuples in other relations that
inherit the attributes in the relation declared as the argument to the pro-
cedure. For example, the Comp procedure defined for the EMPLOYEE rela-
tion can be passed a STUDEMP tuple as in

   retrieve (SE.Name, Compensation = Comp(SE))
   from SE in STUDEMP

because STUDEMP inherits data attributes from EMPLOYEE.

The arguments to procedures that take relation tuples as arguments
must be passed in a self-describing data structure because the procedure can
be passed tuples from different relations. Attributes inherited from other
relations may be in different positions in the relations. Moreover, the
values passed for the same attribute name may be different types (e.g., the
definition of an inherited attribute may be overridden with a different type).
The self-describing data structure is a list of arguments, one per attribute in
the tuple to be passed, with the following structure

   (AttributeName, AttributeType, AttributeValue)

The procedure code will have to search the list to find the desired attribute.
A library of routines is provided that will hide this structure from the pro-
grammer. The library will include routines to get the type and value of an
attribute given the name of the attribute. For example, the following code
fetches the value of the Birthdate attribute:

   GetValue("Birthdate")

The problem of variable argument lists arises in all object-oriented program-
mimg languages and similar solutions are used.
The model for procedure inheritance is nearly identical to method inheritance in object-oriented programming languages [StB86]. Procedure inheritance uses the data inheritance hierarchy and similar inheritance rules except that a rule is provided to select a procedure when an inheritance conflict arises. For example, suppose that a Comp procedure was defined for STUDENT as well as for EMPLOYEE. The definition of the second procedure might be:

```plaintext
define procedure Comp(STUDENT) returns int4
is (language = "C", filename = "Comp")
```

A conflict arises when the query on STUDEMP above is executed because the system does not know which Comp procedure to call (i.e., the one for EMPLOYEE or the one for STUDENT). The procedure called is selected from among the procedures that take a tuple from the relation specified by the actual argument STUDEMP or any relation from which attributes in the actual argument are inherited (e.g., PERSON, EMPLOYEE, and STUDENT).

Each relation has an inheritance precedence list (IPL) that is used to resolve the conflict. The list is constructed by starting with the relation itself and doing a depth-first search up the inheritance hierarchy starting with the first relation specified in the inherits-clause. For example, the inherits-clause for STUDEMP is

```plaintext
... inherits (STUDENT, EMPLOYEE)
```

and its IPL is

```plaintext
(STUDEMP, STUDENT, EMPLOYEE, PERSON)
```

PERSON appears after EMPLOYEE rather than after STUDENT where it would appear in a depth-first search because both STUDENT and EMPLOYEE inherit attributes from PERSON (see figures 1 and 2). In other words, all but the last occurrence of a relation in the depth-first ordering of the hierarchy is deleted.3

When a procedure is called and passed a tuple as the first argument, the actual procedure invoked is the first definition found with the same name when the procedures that take arguments from the relations in the

---

3 We are using a rule that is similar to the rule for the new Common Lisp object model [Boe86]. It is actually slightly more complicated than described here in order to eliminate some nasty cases that arise when there are cycles in the inheritance hierarchy.
ILP of the argument are searched in order. In the example above, the Comp
procedure defined for STUDENT is called because there is no procedure
named Comp defined for STUDEMP and STUDENT is the next relation in
the IPL.

The implementation of this procedure selection rule is relatively easy. 
Assume that two system catalogs are defined:

PROCDEF(ProcName, ArgName, ProcId)
IPL(RelationName, IPLEntry, SeqNo)

where PROCDEF has an entry for each procedure defined and IPL main-
tains the precedence lists for all relations. The attributes in PROCDEF
represent the procedure name, the argument type name, and the unique
identifier for the procedure code stored in another catalog. The attributes in
IPL represent the relation, an IPL entry for the relation, and the sequence
number for that entry in the IPL of the relation. With these two catalogs,
the query to find the correct procedure for the call

Comp(STUDEMP)

is

retrieve (P.ProcId)
from P in PROCDEF, I in IPL
where P.ProcName = "Comp"
and I.RelationName = "STUDEMP"
and I.IPLEntry = P.ArgName
and I.SeqNo = MIN(I.SeqNo by I.RelationName, P.ArgName
where I.IPLEntry = P.ArgName)

This query can be precomputed to speed up procedure selection.

In summary, the major changes required to support procedure inheri-
tance is 1) allow tuples as arguments to procedures, 2) define a representa-
tion for variable argument lists, and 3) implement a procedure selection
mechanism. This extension to the relational model is relatively straightfor-
ward and only requires a small number of changes to the DBMS implemen-
tation.

---

4 This query uses a QUEL-style aggregate function.
5. Comparison to Other Data Models

This section compares the POSTGRES data model to semantic, functional, and object-oriented data models.

Semantic and functional data models [Dae85, HaM81, Mye80, Shi81, SmS77, Zan3.] do not provide the flexibility provided by the model described here. They cannot easily represent data with uncertain structure (e.g., objects with shared subobjects that have different types).

Modeling ideas oriented toward complex objects [HaL82, LoP83] cannot deal with objects that have a variety of shared subobjects. POSTGRES uses procedures to represent shared subobjects which does not have limitation on the types of subobjects that are shared. Moreover, the nested-dot notation allows convenient access to selected subobjects, a feature not present in these systems.

Several proposals have been made to support data models that contain non-first normal form relations [Bae86, Dae86, Sch86]. The POSTGRES data model can be used to support non-first normal form relations with procedure-types. Consequently, POSTGRES seems to contain a superset of the capabilities of these proposals.

Object-oriented data models [CoM84, Mai86] have modeling constructs to deal with uncertain structure. For example, GemStone supports union types which can be used to represent subobjects that have different types [CoM84]. Sharing of subobjects is represented by storing the subobjects as separate records and connecting them to a parent object with pointer-chains. Precomputed procedure values will, in our opinion, make POSTGRES performance competitive with pointer-chain proposals. The performance problem with pointer-chains will be most obvious when an object is composed of a large number of subobjects. POSTGRES will avoid this problem because the pointer-chain is represented as a relation and the system can use all of the query processing and storage structure techniques available in the system to represent it. Consequently, POSTGRES uses a different approach that supports the same modeling capabilities and an implementation that may have better performance.

Finally, the POSTGRES data model could claim to be object-oriented, though we prefer not to use this word because few people agree on exactly what it means. The data model provides the same capabilities as an object-oriented model, but it does so without discarding the relational model and without having to introduce a new confusing terminology.
6. Summary

The POSTGRES data model uses the ideas of abstract data types, data of type procedure, and inheritance to extend the relational model. These ideas can be used to simulate a variety of semantic data modeling concepts (e.g., aggregation and generalization). In addition, the same ideas can be used to support complex objects that have unpredictable composition and shared subobjects.
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