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ABSTRACT

If solar cells are exposed to charged particle radiation, efficiency decreases. Also solar cell efficiency is increased by concentrated solar light. A solar cell concentrator system includes shielding against particle radiation and provides concentrated solar light, with increased efficiency.

A solar cell concentrator system was constructed using a GaAs solar cell. Using a heat pipe, heat was transferred to a radiator. Cell operating temperature was measured. At the operating temperature (77°C) and under concentrated solar light (Concentration Ratio ≈ 130) solar cell efficiency was measured. Observed efficiency was 18.18 ± 0.18 (%). These results were used to calculate the performance of an array, consisting of small concentrators. The performance of the concentrator array was compared with a conventional array, and demonstrated the higher efficiency advantages.
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I. INTRODUCTION

As the missions for space vehicles become more demanding, additional electrical power is needed from solar cell arrays. That means bigger, lighter, more efficient solar cells are required; further, these arrays must operate over longer periods of time. One way of meeting this demand is to build a solar cell concentrator system. A need exists for a solar cell array which consists of modular concentrator systems. According to the NASA Lewis Research Center studies, the economical region for unit concentrator system is between $X_{20}$ and $X_{200}$ (AM). More detail is given by Dennis [Ref. 1:p. 7]. In this thesis, $C = 130$ (AM) was chosen as a concentration level. A decision was made to use an reflector type concentrator. Because of the reflection losses, it is decided to reflect the light directly just one time on to the solar cell. In order to avoid the shadow of the cell on the mirror, an off-axis type reflector was selected for this particular design. To transfer the heat from the solar cell to a radiator, a heat pipe was purchased. The radiator is located on the back side of the solar cell array. In order to protect the cell from the flux of charged particles due to the space environment, a shield was added to the unit system. A combination of theory and experiments gave the overall total efficiency of this kind of concentrator solar cell array.

Research was conducted at Naval Postgraduate School Solar Cell Laboratory. This laboratory already had a wide variety of instruments useful for solar cell research. All these devices are described in detail.
in Gold [Ref. 2: p. 10]. To build the concentrator system, an off-axis parabolic mirror (Melles Griot Part Number 02P0A027), a Noren 3 Watts Copper-Water Heat-Pipe, and 6 Ga-As Concentrator Solar Cells (Applied Solar Energy Corporation) were purchased. A picture of the solar cell is in Figure 1. The active area is the dark circle which has a diameter of 4 mm; please note the metric scale. Later a radiation shield for the system was constructed.

Chapter Two is an explanation of the software programs and measurement equipment which were used to obtain solar cell performance. The third chapter discusses the heat problem and its solution. Also Chapter Three gives the operating temperature of the concentrator system. Chapter Four is a discussion of test results and possible errors. Recommendations are included in Chapter Five.

Figure 1. Photograph of the Concentrator Solar Cell
II. TEST SYSTEM

A. SOFTWARE

In order to derive the Current-Voltage curves known as the I-V curves and other characteristics of solar cells for X1 and X130(AM0), two different software programs were written. Software program El was written for unconcentrated solar light while software program ECON was written for concentrated solar light. Both programs are part of a computer controlled measurement system, and both programs are written in IBM Basica Language.

A third software program was written for conversion of linear I-V curves to logarithmic scale. With a logarithmic scale, the observer can easily compare the I-V curves for different concentration levels. The data are collected automatically under computer control and are either stored on a diskette for later computation or processed immediately and displayed on a hard copy plotter. The desired program is loaded into computer from hard disk, the cell connected with test block and the test is started by typing El.BASICA or ECON.BASICA. From this point on, the interactive program asks for choices of user and displays the messages and data on monitor screen.

1. Software El

This program is used to measure the cell conversion efficiency and I-V curves at X1(AM0). In this measurement, the solar cell to be tested is connected to test probe. A Kratos solar light simulator is set to AM0 (1353 W/m²) based upon measured I_{SC} (Short circuit current) for
calibrated GaAs cell. Next the computer applies a short circuit condition to the cell and measures both the current in the circuit and the voltage directly across the solar cell. Due to the series resistance in the cell, the self bias of the cell is slightly forward. The computer decreases the voltage applied to the cell in steps of approximately 2mV and records the voltage at each step until it gets the first negative value. The short circuit current is computed by interpolating between currents obtained at slightly negative and slightly positive voltages. The computer then increases the applied voltage in positive 2mV steps recording current and voltage at each point. The open circuit voltage \( V_{oc} \) is found by interpolating between the voltages obtained at slightly positive and slightly negative currents.

The computer calculates the power at each data point and searches for the maximum power \( P_{max} \). Fill Factor (FF) is determined from

\[
FF = \frac{P_{max}}{I_{SC} \cdot V_{OC}}
\]

(1)

The voltage and current at maximum power point gives \( I_{max} \) and \( V_{max} \) values. The computer plots the complete I-V curve using a HP 7475A plotter and displays the relevant parameters including \( I_{SC}, V_{OC}, P_{max}, V_{max}, I_{max} \), efficiency(\( \eta \)), FF, date, concentration level \( (C) \) and cell name. Also the data are recorded to the user's floppy disk.

2. Software ECON

This software is slightly differ from El. Measurements are made under concentrated solar light. The concentration ratio is determined by measuring \( I_{SC} \) under concentrated light and \( I_{SC0} \) of the same cell under one sun light. The concentration ratio is then given by
\[
C = \frac{I_{SC}}{I_{SC0}}
\]  
(2)

The concentration ratio is changed by controlling the distance of solar cell to the solar light source. The efficiency is computed from

\[
\eta = \frac{P_{\text{max}} \cdot I_{SC0}}{P_i \cdot A_c \cdot I_{SC}}
\]  
(3)

In this equation, \(A_c\) represents the cell surface area, and \(P_i\) is the power of the \(X1(AM0)\) sun light for unit area.

3. Software LOGS

This particular software is used to convert the linear scale I-V curve plots to logarithmic scales. By using this software, an observer can easily see the effect of concentrated solar light on the solar cell FF, \(I_{SC}\) and \(V_{oc}\).

B. EQUIPMENT SET-UP

A high concentration test facility that provides concentrated solar light at variable intensities (up to X200 AM0) for a solar image 0.5 cm. in diameter was developed. The Kratos 2500 light source is used as a solar light simulator. The temperature controlled test block is specially designed to accommodate solar cells with different shape and dimensions. For details, see Gold [Ref. 2:p. 161]. The test block provides three things: first, holds the cell; second, provides electrical connections; and, third, provides a heat sink for the solar cell. A thermocouple is mounted to the test block very near to the cell to measure the cell temperature. The temperature is controlled by the cooling water. Cooling water is obtained from a CH/P temperature control circuit at fixed flow rate. One IBM digital/analog (D/A) converter is assigned to measure the
cell output voltage. Current is measured by a HP-3478A multimeter. The sink power supply is a combination of HP-59501B and HP-6825A; these units function as a variable impedance. The computer is an IBM-PC/XT, with a 10 MB hard disk. An HP-7475A is used as a plotter. More details are given by Gold (Ref. 2: pp. 20-26).
III. UNIT CONCENTRATOR SYSTEM

A. EFFECT OF CONCENTRATION

Using software E1, GaAs concentrator cells were tested. The temperature was set to 28°C. Results are summarized in Table 3-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 3-1</th>
<th>MEASURED CELL EFFICIENCY AT T=28°C AND C=1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CELL NAME</td>
<td>CELL1 CELL2 CELL3 CELL4 CELL5 CELL6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFFICIENCY</td>
<td>16.3 16.9 16.5 16.6 16.6 17.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean efficiencies of these cells is $\bar{\eta} = 16.68$ with a standard deviation $\pm 0.32$. I-V curves of these cells are included in Appendix A.

Using software ECON, the second test of the cell with concentrated flux were obtained. Temperature was set to 28°C, and the concentration of light was derived from Equation (2). $I_{sc0}$ is the cell's short circuit current for $X1(AM0)$ at the same temperature. For more details, see James [Ref. 3:p. 241]. Table 3-2 contains these cells and their efficiencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 3-2</th>
<th>MEASURED CELL EFFICIENCIES AT T=28°C AND C=130</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CELL NAME</td>
<td>CELL1 CELL2 CELL3 CELL4 CELL5 CELL6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean efficiencies of these cells is $\bar{\eta} = 19.82$ with a standard deviation $\pm 0.23$. I-V curves of these cells are included in Appendix A.
Because of the concentration of light, efficiencies are improved. The I-V curves of the same cell under different concentration levels are plotted on the same plot with a logarithmic scale. These plots are included in Appendix A.

B. SOLUTION TO HEAT PROBLEM

The efficiency increase because of the concentration of sun light is an advantage of the concentrator system. But at the same time, the heat collected on the solar cell increases considerably. The energy carried by photons which cannot be converted to electric energy is converted to heat. In space the heat is transferred by radiation. If energy collected on the solar cell is not removed and radiated to space, the solar cell becomes very hot. If we assume both sides of the cell radiates to space ($T_s = 0^\circ K$) as a black body under a concentration level X130(AM0), the temperature of solar cell will reach to $T_c = 778^\circ C$ according to equation

$$T_c^4 = \frac{P_i * C * A_c}{\sigma * A_r} \quad \text{(4)}$$

$\sigma$ is the Stephan-Boltzman constant and is equal to an experimental value of $5.73 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/(m}^2\text{.K}^4)$. $A_r$ is the total radiation area and, in this case, equals

$$A_r = 2 * A_c \quad \text{(5)}$$

It is a necessity to provide an operating temperature for the solar cell which cannot damage the cell. A safe operating temperature can be achieved by carrying the excessive heat from the solar cell to a large radiation area. In this particular design, heat is carried by a heat pipe to the array back surface and radiated to space. At $C = 130$ and AM0 conditions, the solar energy which is incident on the solar cell is
\[ W_i = C \times P_i \times A_c \quad (6) \]
\[ W_i = 130 \times 0.1353 \times 0.1256 \quad (7) \]
\[ W_i = 2.21 \text{ Watts} \quad (8) \]

The cross section area of paraboloid mirror for a $C = 130$ must be equal to

\[ A_m = C \times A_c \quad (9) \]
\[ A_m = 16.33 \text{ cm}^2 \quad (10) \]

According to the Melles-Griot [Ref. 4:p. 193], the reflection coefficient for the mirror used in these experiments is $\rho = 0.8$ for solar light. That means the cross sectional area of the mirror for $C = 130$ should be equal to

\[ A_{mr} = A_m / \rho \quad (11) \]
\[ A_{mr} = 20.41 \text{ cm}^2 \quad (12) \]

If small concentrator systems are assembled to build a bigger solar array, the packaging factor (P.F.) should be calculated. As a special case orthogonal close packing is considered. In this case, P.F. $= 0.907$. More detail is provided in Appendix B. Because of the P.F. $= 0.907$, every unit has a radiation area of

\[ A_r = A_{mr} / \text{P.F.} \quad (13) \]
\[ A_r = 22.5 \text{ cm}^2 \quad (14) \]

The radial variation in temperature in the thermally-radiating disc located on the back surface of the mirror is analyzed in Appendix B. The radiation efficiency of the array back surface is sufficient to allow an assumption that $\Omega = 1$ as a very good approximation. That means the back surface radiates as if the temperature were uniform and equal to the $T_i$. 
Emittance of radiator is taken $\varepsilon = 0.96$ for an oil paint. It is assumed the radiator radiates to $T_s = 0 \, \text{K}$. Actually $T_s \equiv 3 \, \text{K}$. With these assumptions $T_i$ can be calculated from,

$$
\frac{T_i^4 - P_i}{\sigma \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \kappa \cdot \Omega} = (1 - \bar{\eta})
$$

(15)

Figure 2 is the plot of $T_i$ vs. $\bar{\eta}$. From Equation (15), $T_i$ is a function of $\bar{\eta}$. But the same time $\bar{\eta}$ is a function of $T_{op}$ (Cell operating temperature). Figure 3 is a plot of $T_{op}$ vs. $\bar{\eta}$. $T_{op}$ is calculated from

$$
T_{op} = T_i + \Delta T
$$

(16)

Where $\Delta T$ is the temperature difference between the solar cell and the radiator. Equation (15) must be solved by iteration since $\bar{\eta}$ is a function of $T_{op}$ by Equation (16), and of $T_i$. Assume $T_{op} = 28^\circ \text{C}$ and $C = 130$; $\bar{\eta}$ is taken as 0.20. Then $T_i$ is obtained from Figure 2 for $\bar{\eta} = 0.20$. Figure 3 gives an $\bar{\eta}$ for the $T_{op}$ which is calculated from Equation (16). This $\bar{\eta}$ gives a new $T_i$ in Figure 2. This process is repeated until $\eta$ is the same value in Figure 2 and Figure 3. The condenser section of the heat pipe is put into water which serves as the heat sink. The temperature of the heat sink is taken equal to $T_i$. Next, the evaporator section of heat pipe is attached to the solar cell. The solar cell is exposed to light. The heat pipe body is insulated by an insulator material in order to prevent further heat loss by convection to the air. Also the heat pipe is placed horizontal in order to compensate for the effect of gravity. $\Delta T$ is found by experiments to equal to $2^\circ \text{C}$. The heat sink and the heat pipe were photographed in Figure 4. After one series of repetition of these measurements, efficiency is found to be $\bar{\eta} \equiv 18.18$. Operating temperature was measured using Chromel-Alumel thermocouple and was found to be
T_{op} = 77^\circ C. The I-V curves of solar cells are in Appendix A. Table 3-3 gives the cells efficiencies at T_{op} = 77^\circ C and C=130.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CELL NAME</th>
<th>CELL1</th>
<th>CELL2</th>
<th>CELL3</th>
<th>CELL4</th>
<th>CELL5</th>
<th>CELL6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EFFICIENCY</td>
<td>18.25</td>
<td>18.01</td>
<td>18.24</td>
<td>18.47</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td>17.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean efficiencies of these cells is 18.18 with a standard deviation \pm 0.18.

Figure 2. Radiator Temperature as a Function of Cell Efficiency
Figure 3. The Cell Efficiency as a Function of Cell Temperature.

Figure 4. Photograph of the Heat Sink and Heat Pipe.
IV. DISCUSSION OF TEST RESULTS

A. CONCENTRATOR ARRAY PERFORMANCE

In Table 4-1 the results which were measured until now, are compared to a flexible planar array. Planar array performance is found in Patterson [Ref. 5:p. 5]. From Table 4-1 it can be seen that using small unit concentrator systems in order to build an array, greater $\text{W/m}^2$ can be achieved. A picture of the unit concentrator is in Figure 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 4-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMPARISION OF PLANAR AND CONCENTRATOR ARRAYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARRAY TYPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CELL $T_{\text{op}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{max}}/A$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPTIC EFF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARRAY POWER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. ERROR ANALYSIS

Kratos spectral quality was discussed in Gold [Ref. 2:p. 38] and was found that there is an average of 1% difference between measurements made in NPS Laboratory and the ASEC values supplied with the solar cells. The close agreement was considered to be good. However, changes of Kratos supply voltage affects the light intensity of the Kratos lamp and
consequently the curve of the cell. In order to decrease the effect of sudden and short durational voltage changes, every data point in the I-V curve is measured 20 consecutive times. An average of these 20 measurements gives the data point itself. For perturbations which are not small and last for a long period of time, a necessity exists for repeating all tests from the starting point.

Resolution is 2.5 mV for the A/D converter and 2 mV for the power supply. All temperature measurements have an error of ±0.5 K. Temperature measurements of the cell were recorded by a thermocouple, which is located very near to the cell on the test block. Thermal contact between the test block and the thermocouple is good.

The computation of cell performance is based on a Space Environment temperature of $T_s = 0$ K; but this is not the case always. The value of $T_s$ can change depending on the position of the space vehicle and time.

Figure 5. Photograph of the Unit Concentrator
V. RECOMMENDATIONS

One advantage of a concentrator system is shielding against radiation of charged particles and space dusts (micrometeoroid erosion). The GaAs solar cells tested in this thesis should be irradiated with high energy electrons. The cells should be located within the solar concentrator to validate the level of shielding which is anticipated.

In this thesis, because of the low reflectivity of the mirror (0.8), light was reflected only one time. If durable mirrors with higher reflectivity are available, a Cassegrain type small concentrator is more attractive because of economy and the ease of the design. Reference to Figure 6 shows that for a Cassegrain optical arrangement a heat pipe will not be necessary. Further, it can be assumed that, a Cassegrain system will give more radiation protection because of the geometry.

![Figure 6. Cassegrain Type Modular Concentrator](image-url)
APPENDIX A

CELL CURRENT-VOLTAGE CURVES

Table 3-1 reports the efficiency for the various cells at $C = 1$. Figure 7 to 12 report current-voltage (I-V) curves for cells 1 to 6 for $T = 28^\circ C$. The information provided by the curves includes $I_{sc}$, $V_{oc}$, $P_{max}$, $V_{max}$, $I_{max}$, FF and efficiency. Similar data are shown in Figure 13 to 18 for same conditions except $C = 130$. Figures 19 to 24 show I-V curves for $T = 77^\circ C$ and $C = 130$. The same data from Figures 7 to 12 and from Figure 13 to 18 are replotted using a semi-log scale for comparison purposes. A very large change in current occurs. The charge in voltage ($V_{oc}$) is minor.
Figure 7. I-V Curve from CELL1

Figure 7. I-V Curve from CELL1
Figure 8. I-V Curve from CELL2
Figure 9. I-V Curve from CELL3

- $I_{sc} = 3.88 \text{ mA}$
- $V_{oc} = 890 \text{ mV}$
- $P_{max} = 2.82 \text{ mW}$
- $V_{max} = 774.1 \text{ mV}$
- $I_{max} = 3.64 \text{ mA}$
- $F.F = 0.91$
- $EFF = 16.5\%$

CELL NO: CELL3
DATE: 1986-OCT-12
TEMP (C): 28
Figure 10. I-V Curve from CELL4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_{sc}$</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{oc}$</td>
<td>930.8</td>
<td>mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{max}$</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{max}$</td>
<td>781.9</td>
<td>mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{max}$</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.F.</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFF</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CELL NO: CELL4
DATE: 1986-OCT-12
TEMP (C): 28
Figure 11. I-V Curve from CELL5
Figure 12. I-V Curve from CELL6
Figure 13. I-V Curve from CELL1

CELL NO: CELL1
DATE: 1986-OCT-13
TEMP (C): 28
C: 129

CURRENT (mA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isc</td>
<td>508.5 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voc</td>
<td>1045.6 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmax</td>
<td>441.2 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax</td>
<td>916.9 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imax</td>
<td>481.1 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.F</td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFF</td>
<td>20.06 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 14. I-V Curve from CELL2

CELL NO: CELL2
DATE: 1986-OCT-13
TEMP (C): 28
C: 129

Isc = 503 mA
Voc = 1042.6 mV
Pmax = 433.4 mW
Vmax = 911.1 mV
Imax = 475.7 mA
F.F = .83
EFF = 19.77 %
Figure 15. I-V Curve from CELL3
Figure 16. I-V Curve from CELL4
Figure 17, I-V Curve from CELL5

- CELL NO: CELL5
- DATE: 1986-OCT-13
- TEMP (C): 28
- C: 130

**PARAMETERS**

- **Isc**: 506.7 mA
- **Voc**: 1038 mV
- **Pmax**: 438.4 mW
- **Vmax**: 910.6 mV
- **Imax**: 481.5 mA
- **F.F**: 0.83
- **EFF**: 19.8 %

**CURRENT (mA)** vs. **VOLTAGE (mV)**
Figure 18. I-V Curve from CELL6

CELL NO: CELL6
DATE: 1986-OCT-13
TEMP (C): 28
C: 128

Isc = 502.5 mA
Voc = 1037 mV
Pmax = 434.5 mW
Vmax = 903.7 mV
Imax = 480.0 mA
F.F = .83
EFF = 19.99 %
Figure 19. I-V Curve from CELL1
Figure 20: I-V Curve from CELL2

- Isc = 526.7 mA
- Voc = 951.7 mV
- Pmax = 393.8 mW
- Vmax = 809.1 mV
- Imax = 486.7 mA
- F.F = .79
- EFF = 18.04 %

CELL NO: CELL2
DATE: 1986-NOV-02
TEMP (C): 77
C: 128
Figure 21. I-V Curve from CELL3
Figure 22. I-V Curve from CELL4

- CELL NO: CELL4
- DATE: 1986-NOV-02
- TEMP (C): 77
- C: 129

- Isc = 531.5 mA
- Voc = 959.8 mV
- Pmax = 405.5 mW
- Vmax = 812.1 mV
- Imax = 499.4 mA
- F.F = .79
- EFF = 18.49 %
Figure 23. I-V Curve from Cell 5

- Cell No: Cell 5
- Date: 1986-Nov-02
- Temp (°C): 77
- C: 132

- Isc = 540.2 mA
- Voc = 963.2 mV
- Pmax = 405.1 mW
- Vmax = 818.4 mV
- Imax = 494.9 mA
- F.F = 0.78
- EFF = 18.09 %
Figure 24. I-V Curve from CELL6
Figure 25: Comparison of Cell I-V Curves with Different Concentration Levels

CELL NO: CELL1
TEMP (°C) = 28

C = 129

C = 1

CURRENT (mA)

VOLTAGE (mV)
Figure 26. Comparison of Cell I-V Curves with Different Concentration Levels
Figure 27. Comparison of Cell I-V Curves with Different Concentration Levels
Figure 28: Comparison of Cell I-V Curves with Different Concentration Levels

CELL NO: CELL 4
TEMP (°C) = 28
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Figure 29. Comparison of Cell I-V Curves with Different Concentration Levels

CELL NO: CELLS5
TEMP (C) = 28
Figure 30. Comparison of Cell I-V Curves with Different Concentration Levels
APPENDIX B

SOLUTION TO DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

In Chapter 3, it was calculated that \( A_{mr} = 20.41 \text{ cm}^2 \). Every concentrator has an area of \( A_{mr} \) for the optics which receives solar radiation. The radius of the projected area of the concentrator on the array surface is \( r_m = 2.94 \text{ cm} \), which is calculated from Equation (17).

\[
r^2 = \frac{A_{mr}}{n}
\]

If the concentrators with circular mirrors are packed orthogonally, some parts of the array cannot be covered by circular mirrors because of the geometry. But the back surface area can be used totally as a radiator. Packing geometry of the mirrors is shown in Figure 31. Overall P.F. is equal to the P.F. of the unit area which is the rectangle ABCD in Figure 31. If the centers of the 4 closest circles are connected by lines, the area between these lines is the unit area \( (A_r) \). \( A_r \) can be calculated from

\[
h = \left( 4 r_m^2 - r^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
A_r = h \times 2 r_m \times \cos \alpha
\]

\[
\cos \alpha = \frac{r_m}{2 r_m}
\]

The area of the 4 mirrors in this unit area is \( A_p \). \( A_p \) is calculated

\[
A_p = \pi r_m^2 \left( \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{6} + \frac{2}{6} \right)
\]

\[
P.F. = \frac{A_p}{A_r}
\]

\[
P.F. = \pi / (2 \times 3^{0.5}) = 0.907
\]

Now, every concentrator has a radiation area of \( A_r = 22.5 \text{ cm}^2 \) on the back surface of the array. It is assumed the back surface of the array is coated with oil paint which has a emissivity of \( \varepsilon = 0.96 \). The front surface
of the array is mostly covered (P.F.=0.907) by mirrors. It is assumed that the areas which are not covered with mirrors are coated with very reflective coating material ($\alpha=0$, $\varepsilon=0$). From Figure 31, $r_n$ is the maximum distance between circle centers and equal to

$$r_n = r_m / \cos (\alpha/2) = 3.4 \text{ cm.}$$

The determination of the unknown temperature distribution within the fin requires a coupled solution. The unit radiator disk thickness is $b$ (=0.1cm), inner radius is $r_1$ (=0.5cm), outer radius is $r_0$ ($=r_n$) and thermal conductivity is $k$. Energy is supplied to inner edge from heat pipe of radius $r_1$ that fits the central hole and maintains the inner edge at $T_i$. The exposed annular surface, which is diffuse-gray with emissivity $\varepsilon$, radiates to the environment which at temperature $T_s = 0 \text{ K}$. The disk is thin ($b=0.1 \text{ cm}$) enough so that the local temperature can be taken as constant across the thickness $b$. An energy balance equates the conduction in and radiation and conduction out. The differential equation for heat transfer within the disc is

$$k 2\pi r b \frac{dT}{dr} = \varepsilon \sigma T^4 - 2\pi b r dT \frac{dr}{dr} - k 2\pi r b \frac{dT}{dr} + ( - k 2\pi r b \frac{dT}{dr} ) dr$$

(25)

If $b$ and $k$ are constant, the energy balance becomes,

$$k b \frac{1}{r} \frac{dT}{dr} ( r \frac{dT}{dr} ) - \varepsilon \sigma T^4 = 0$$

(26)

This differential equation was solved in Siegel [Ref.: 2 pp. 390-392] for two boundary conditions $T=T_i$ at $r=r_1$ and $dT/dr=0$ at $r=r_0$. Two parameters were used ($\delta$ and $\gamma$), and Figure 32 was calculated. The equations for the parameters are

$$\gamma = (r_0 - r_1)^2 \varepsilon \sigma T_i^3 / k b .$$

(27)

$$\delta = r_0 / r_1 .$$

(28)
For copper $k$ is equal to $4.01 \text{ W cm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ at $T = 300 \text{ K}$. From Equation (27) and Equation (28), $\gamma 0.5 = 0.04$ and $\delta = 6.8$ were calculated. These values give $\Omega \equiv 1$ from Figure 32.

Figure 31. Orthogonal Packing of Mirrors

Figure 32. Temperature Distribution in a Thin Radiating Disc

(a) Disc Geometry
(b) Portion of Ring Element on Disc
(c) Radiation Fin Efficiency for Disc Radiator

(Reproduced from Siegel [Ref. 6:p. 390])
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