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ABSTRACT

During September of 1984 – January of 1985, a cultural resources literature search and records review of the Camp Ripley Military Reservation in Morrison County, Minnesota was conducted by the author.

The purpose of the records and literature search was to locate and evaluate existing information on known prehistoric and historical/architectural sites located within the boundaries of the camp and to assess the potential impact of planned mobilization development on the cultural resources data base. From the site information compiled during the study, a phased program for future cultural resources studies at Camp Ripley as well as recommendations to enhance the management and interpretation of the cultural resources data base were developed.

Site specific information on 64 prehistoric archaeological and historical/architectural sites located at Camp Ripley was compiled during the course of the records and literature search. In addition, all site locations were plotted on base maps of the study area.

Authorization for this cultural resources investigation and report (Contract No. DACW37-84-M-1964) represents partial fulfillment of the obligations of the St. Paul District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regarding the management and protection of cultural resources mandated by federal legislation.
This literature search and records review for cultural resources at the Camp Ripley Military Reservation in Morrison County, Minnesota was authorized by the St. Paul District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers as part of its master plan for full mobilization development of this installation. The objectives of the investigation were to: (1) conduct a comprehensive review of existing records as well as published and unpublished literature; (2) provide an evaluation of reported cultural resources located within the study area; (3) assess the known and predicted impacts of full mobilization development on cultural resources; (4) develop a phased program for future cultural resources studies at Camp Ripley; (5) identify the interpretive potential of any significant cultural resources located within the boundaries of the camp; and (6) prepare a detailed technical report.

As the cultural resources baseline data was compiled, additional tasks included the establishment of narrative frameworks of the culture history and environmental setting of the region and consultations with various individuals familiar with the project and the cultural resources of the region.

In all 59 historical/architectural sites were identified and inventoried during the literature search and records review. Only 5 prehistoric archaeological sites were identified from existing records, regional literature, and other sources.

From the recorded site information, recommendations for future cultural resources studies at Camp Ripley were developed. These recommendations include steps to survey several areas of proposed mobilization and future development, randomly-selected sample survey units, as well as targeted areas with a high potential for yielding prehistoric and historic materials. Consideration of methods for enhancing public understanding and appreciation of cultural resources at Camp Ripley were also prepared.
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SECTION 1
INTRODUCTION

Study Objectives

This report is the summation of an extensive cultural resources literature search and records review of the Camp Ripley, Major General Ellard A. Walsh Training Center in Morrison County, Minnesota. The research was conducted between September 26, 1984 and January 15, 1985 by the author.

The literature and records search was undertaken as a part of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District's efforts to prepare a Mobilization Master Plan (MMP) for the installation. The plan outlines what steps are necessary in order to bring the Minnesota National Guard camp and training program to full mobilization capability in the event of a declaration of war or some other national emergency (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District 1984). Several projects are planned which would enlarge the training program and bring the installation to full mobilization capability. These projects have been placed into three groups based upon construction priority during peacetime and range from the construction of new camp facilities to the winterization of existing buildings. Additional information on these projects is presented in Tables 6-1 through 6-3 in the 1984 Camp Ripley Installation Support Book.

More specifically, the purpose of the literature search and records review was to: (1) locate existing information on known prehistoric and historical/architectural sites located within the boundaries of Camp Ripley; (2) evaluate the significance of reported cultural resources located in the study area; (3) assess the known and predicted impacts of proposed full mobilization development on cultural resources at Camp Ripley; (4) develop a phased program for future cultural resources studies at Camp Ripley; and (5) identify the interpretive potential for any significant cultural resources located at the camp.

The literature search and records review and report represents partial fulfillment of the St. Paul District's legislative and regulatory obligations toward the management, protection, and preservation of cultural resources as required by Sections 106 and 110 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (Public Law 89-665), the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Public Law 91-90), the Protection and Enhancement of the Cultural Environment (Executive Order 11593), the Advisory Council's Procedures for the Protection of Historic and Cultural Properties (36 CFR Part 800), and the Preservation of Historic and Archaeological Data 1974 (Public Law 93-291).

Notification of the contract award for this project and report (Contract No. DACW37-84-M-1964) was received on September 28, 1984 from Mr. R. C. Lindberg of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District.
Project Area

Camp Ripley is located in the central portion of the state of Minnesota in Morrison County, approximately 125 miles northwest of Minneapolis/St. Paul (Figures 1 and 2). The nearest communities to Camp Ripley include Little Falls, the county seat and largest town in Morrison County located about 7 miles to the south; Randall, about 8 miles to the west; and the small hamlet of Fort Ripley, located opposite the camp on the east side of the Mississippi River about 7 miles to the northeast. Brainerd, the county seat and largest town in Crow Wing County, is located about 22 miles to the north.

The camp covers 53,000 acres in six adjacent townships including Clough, Darling, Green Prairie, Motley, Rail Prairie, and Rosing. The military reservation is approximately 18 miles north to south and from 2-7 miles east to west. The camp is bordered on the east by the Mississippi River, on the north by the Crow Wing River, and on the south and west by a rural mixture of farmland, marshes, lakes, and woodlands, and, in part, by portions of County Highway 1 and State Highway 115.

More specific camp boundaries are shown in Figure 3 and on various topographic maps of the region. Table 1 presents the various United States Geological Survey topographic quadrangles that cover the study area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
<th>USGS QUADRANGLES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:24,000 (7.5 Minute Series)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baxter</td>
<td>Fort Ripley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belle Prairie</td>
<td>Motley SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belle Prairie NW</td>
<td>Pillager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cushing</td>
<td>Randall East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:50,000 Series</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Ripley &amp; Vicinity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:250,000 Series</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brainerd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cantonment Area: The cantonment area is located in the southeastern corner of Camp Ripley (Figure 4). The cantonment area covers about 1,955 acres and is laid out in a rectangular-shaped grid that is oriented north and south. The main gate enters on Walsh Drive at its junction with State Highway 115. The main entrance to Camp Ripley is ornamented with a stone wall and castellated turrets made of black granite secured from stone quarries located six miles east of the camp grounds and near Freedhem in Morrison County (Kasperek 1943:2).
Figure 1
Project Location in Minnesota
Figure 2
Camp Ripley and Vicinity
Figure 3
Camp Ripley Boundaries
Most of the buildings in the cantonment area are constructed in a very uniform fashion off the roads, which run north and south or east and west. There are a large number of unheated metal buildings (hutments) on the east and west sides of the cantonment which are used as temporary barracks during the summer months. The remaining permanent buildings are primarily cement block or masonry construction with cement floors and tile or asphalt shingle roofing. A few World War II-type, two-story wood frame barracks are also located in the area.

Airfield: The relatively level terrain of the cantonment area has made it ideal for building purposes and for Camp Ripley’s airfield which measures 5,000 x 75 feet, with 25-foot shoulders. Associated with the airfield are hangars, a control tower, and parking apron. A fire and rescue station is currently under construction.

Training and Maneuver Areas: The areas north and west of the cantonment area are used for various types of training. This includes range firing, tactical maneuver exercises, as well as winter and engineer training. Existing on-post maneuver acres total 47,035, while off-post maneuver acres total 1,672. Approximately 40 acres of land used for bridge crossing exercises are located on the west side of the Mississippi River in Crow Wing County, immediately north of and adjacent to the Morrison County line. Additional scattered parcels of military reservation land are located from 1-4 miles along the west camp boundary line in the townships of Clough, Motley, and Rail Prairie. Approximately 8,600 acres of leasable property suitable for military purposes are available west of and adjacent to the reservation boundary. Several islands in the Mississippi River, although not located within the camp boundary, are also available for training.

Recreation Areas: Several designated recreation areas used for picnicking, swimming, fishing, and other day use activities are located by lakes and along the Mississippi River at Camp Ripley. The camp also has several softball diamonds, basketball, volleyball, and tennis courts, a physical combat proficiency course, ski-hills, and an Olympic quality biathlon course. During the winter and fall deer archery season, many bow hunters are allowed on the reservation.

Outline of Present Report

The following report is composed of seven parts. Section 1, of which this is a part, presents general background data on the mobilization project. Information on the natural environment and culture history of the region is summarized in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. The methods employed during the course of the research and a brief outline of available reference materials are presented in Section 4. In Section 5, the results of the study are discussed. Section 6 describes the known and predicted impacts of past and future development at the camp. Recommendations for managing and interpreting the cultural resources data base as well as implementing a phased program for future cultural resources studies at Camp Ripley is presented in Section 7.
A bibliography as well as the scope of work (Appendix A), vita of the principal investigator (Appendix B), and draft report review comments and responses (Appendix C) are presented at the end of the report.

Individual data sheets and the distribution maps for all reported cultural resources are presented in a supplemental volume.
SECTION 2
THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

Topography and Geology

Camp Ripley is located in the north central part of Morrison County in the Central Lowland-Western Lake physiographic region (Schwartz and Thiel 1954:13). The area is characterized by numerous lakes, marshlands, and steep wooded hillsides which are the result of glaciation that occurred ca. 60,000-12,000 years ago.

Geologically, Camp Ripley and vicinity is underlain by granite, schist, and other Pre-Cambrian igneous and metamorphic rocks (Borchert and Gustafson 1980:11). As a consequence of glaciation, bedrock in the region is overlain by unsorted debris laid down by the glaciers and by outwash, that is, sorted sands, silts, clays, and gravel deposited by melt waters. The glacial outwash at Camp Ripley extends from about 50 feet to over 200 feet in thickness (Allison 1932:130; Jones, Akin, and Schneider 1963:A10).

The terrain of Camp Ripley is dominated by the rugged St. Croix moraine which rises approximately 100-400 feet above the surrounding outwash plains and marks the western terminus of the Superior and Rainy lobes of the Patrician ice sheet which advanced south from Canada into north-eastern and central Minnesota during the Cary substage of the Wisconsin glaciation about 20,500 years ago (Schneider 1961:7-8; Wright 1972b:570). The moraine extends from its junction with the Itasca Moraine near Walker in Cass County south to St. Cloud where it is interrupted by more recent glacial drift. The St. Croix moraine ranges from 3-8 miles wide and is broken by the Pillager Gap, an erosional valley which carried meltwater and outwash west into the Mississippi River as the glacial ice retreated from the region. The southern end of this prominent feature lies within the northernmost part of Camp Ripley. The Crow Wing River flows through this gap today.

Most of the remaining camp area is characterized as a pitted outwash plain. Both the nature of the underlying rock and the land modifying effects of the glaciers produced a level to gently rolling topography in the areas bordering the Crow Wing and Mississippi Rivers and in the vicinity of Round Lake located in the southwestern part of the reservation. The outwash contains numerous lakes and large, level swampy areas which formed when buried ice blocks melted following glacial recession (Zumberge 1952).

Various ice-contact features composed of unsorted deposits of sand and gravel are located at Camp Ripley and in the region. Glaciofluvial features located on the reservation include kames (N 1/2, SE 1/4, NW 1/4, Section 6, T. 130 N., R. 29 W.), eskers (NE 1/4, NE 1/4, NE 1/4, Section 31, T. 131 N., R. 29 W.), and steep-walled kettles (NW 1/4, NW 1/4, NW 1/4, Section 14, T. 131 N., R. 30 W.).
The effects of continental glaciation are apparent in the surrounding region as well. Large, fan-shaped drumlin fields are located on the east side of the Mississippi River near Pierz, about 15 miles southeast of Camp Ripley; south of Randall; and in the Brainerd and Wadena areas to the north (Schneider 1961:7; Wright 1972b:569). The outwash plain on the east side of the Mississippi River, opposite the camp, is interrupted by the Nokasippi River which occupies a sharp erosional tunnel valley carved by the rush of glacial meltwater through subglacial streams (Birk 1979:13). Several raised sediment deposits that mark the course of subglacial streams through stagnant ice are also present in the region. One of the most dramatic and meandering of these glacial features is the famous Ripley esker located about 3 miles northwest of Camp Ripley Junction (Sansome 1983:168-169).

Maximum relief at Camp Ripley is approximately 415 feet, with a maximum elevation of about 1,535 feet above sea level at the site of a former fire lookout tower located west of Bass Lake within the St. Croix Moraine and a minimum elevation of about 1,120 feet along the outwash plain bordering the Mississippi River, east of the cantonment area.

For additional information concerning the Pleistocene geology of central Minnesota and the complex sequence of glacial events in the region, the reader is directed to the comprehensive works by Winchell (1878), Winchell and Upham (1888), Hall (1903), Harder and Johnston (1918), Allison (1932), Leverett (1932), Stauffer and Thiel (1933), Cooper (1935), Zumberge (1952), Schwartz and Thiel (1954), Wright (1955), Schneider (1961), Bray (1962), Jones, Akin, and Schneider (1963), and Wright (1972a, 1972b, 1974).

Soils

Soils at Camp Ripley are classified as Milaca-Brainerd-Hibbing and Menahga sand (Schneider 1961:17-18). These sandy soils were deposited during the advance and retreat of the last ice sheet which covered the region. The Milaca-Brainerd-Hibbing series is a gray-brown podzolic soil which developed under deciduous or mixed hardwood and pine forests and is associated with the St. Croix morainic system in the region. According to Schneider (1961:18), the main distinction among these series is that of different parent materials: the Milaca soils are derived from red sandy till of Cary age, those of the Brainerd series from brown sandy till of Cary age, and those of the Hibbing series from red clayey Valders till. These soils are predominantly sandy, and stony, although some portions contain deposits of clay.

In contrast, the Menahga series is a brown podzolic soil which developed on deep pebbly sands under the influence of Jack and red pine. This soil series is associated with the excessively drained glacial outwash plains adjacent to the Crow Wing and Mississippi Rivers in the northern and eastern parts of the reservation.

Soils in the region are fairly rich and adapted to grazing and grain crops. Most of the farms that operate in the county today are concerned with dairying and livestock raising (Borchert and Gustafson 1980:98).
Vegetation

Prior to the period of initial white settlement, the vegetative cover of the study area, like most of central Minnesota, consisted principally of northern hardwood (maple, birch, aspen, elm, oak, basswood), white, red, and jack pine forests, and scattered prairies (Marshner 1930, 1974; Trygg 1964, 1967, 1969). The presence of immense prairies, particularly along the Crow Wing and Mississippi River corridors, are mentioned in the accounts of early travelers in the region (e.g. Trowbridge, as cited in Brown 1942:336) and recalled by place names such as Green Prairie, Long Prairie, and Belle Prairie. Green Prairie, which bordered the Mississippi River and includes the cantonment area, was originally about three miles long and nearly a mile wide (Upham 1969:352).

The vegetative cover of Camp Ripley and vicinity has changed dramatically over the last 150 years. From the 1840s through the 1920s, large-scale logging operations depleted the vast timber resources of the region, several wetlands in the area were drained, and many areas were cleared for farms. The construction of dams, roads, bridges, churches, and schools in the area, occasional fires, as well as the development of Camp Ripley beginning in the 1930s has further altered the natural landscape.

Plant communities at Camp Ripley today include large open grassy fields (abandoned farmland), brush, sedge marshes, and mixed hardwood, fir, and pine forests.

Water Resources

Camp Ripley lies within the Mississippi River watershed and is drained by a number of small streams which flow eastward to the Mississippi River or northward to the Crow Wing River. The confluence of these two major waterways is located along the northeastern boundary of the reservation. The southwestern part of the reservation is drained by Hay Creek, a tributary of the Little Elk River which empties into the Mississippi River about 2 miles above Little Falls.

Other surface water features at Camp Ripley include numerous small lakes, ponds and wetlands (Table 2). Lakes are particularly abundant in the western and northern parts of the reservation within the St. Croix Moraine. Wetlands are located throughout the reservation, but tend to be concentrated in the outwash plains bordering the Mississippi and Crow Wing Rivers. The largest wetland at Camp Ripley is Hole-in-the-Day Marsh located between East Boundary Road and Bennet Road on the Green Prairie outwash plain, about 3 miles north of the cantonment area.

Wildlife

The environment of Morrison County supported and still supports a wide variety of wildlife. The riverine and woodland resources of the region provide excellent habitat for white-tailed deer, beaver, muskrat, rabbit, fox, squirrel, and other small species of mammals as well as a variety of year-round nesting birds and migrating waterfowl. The lakes and
Table 2  Named Lakes, Ponds, and Wetlands Located Within the Boundaries of Camp Ripley, Minnesota

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Civil Township</th>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mud Lake</td>
<td>Rosing</td>
<td>133N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>36 SW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>1 NW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamarack Lake</td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>11 SW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frog Lake</td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>14 SE 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23 NE 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bass Lake</td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>22 SW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fosdick Lake</td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>22 NE 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23 NW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coon Stump Lake</td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>23 SW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cockburn Lake</td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>26 NW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Lake</td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>27 NE &amp; SE 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Ericson</td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>27 NW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer Lake</td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>27 SW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28 SE 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Still Lake</td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>27 SW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28 SE 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick Lake</td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>33 NE 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34 NW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Alott</td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>33 SE 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34 NW &amp; SW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mud Lake</td>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>34 SW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep Lake</td>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>2 SW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 NE 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11 NW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskrat Lake</td>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>3 NW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 NE 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson Lake</td>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>7 SW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallard Lake</td>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>9 NE 1/4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Civil Township</th>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miller Lake</td>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>22 NE 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23 NW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holden Lake</td>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>22 NE 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23 NW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prentice Pond</td>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>24 NW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose Lake</td>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>26 SW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35 NW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Lake</td>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>27 NW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrell Lake</td>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>35 NE &amp; SE 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(formerly Clough Lake)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagen Pond</td>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>29W</td>
<td>19 SW 1/4 &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30 NW 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hole in the Day Marsh</td>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>29W</td>
<td>18, 19, 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>12, 13, 24, 25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Foote, Plat Book of Morrison County, Minnesota (Minneapolis, 1892); U.S. Geological Survey Topographic Quadrangles (Baxter and Pillager 1954; Belle Prairie, Belle Prairie NW, Fort Ripley, and Randall 1956).
streams of the area are also rich in aquatic life, including several important species of fish.

Climate

The continental climate of Morrison County is marked by wide extremes of temperature, both within and between seasons. At Camp Ripley, the annual mean temperature is 44°F, but may range from 46°F below zero to 108°F above zero. The coldest month is January which has a mean daily maximum temperature between 16 and 20°F and a mean daily minimum of −1°F. The hottest month is July, with a mean daily maximum temperature between 82 and 86°F and a mean daily minimum of about 59°F.

The average annual rainfall is about 25 inches a year, while annual snowfalls average about 45 inches. Approximately 60% of the precipitation in the county falls from May through September. The annual growing season is relatively short, ranging between 120 and 140 frost-free days (Borchert and Gustafson 1980:42).
SECTION 3
SUMMARY OF REGIONAL PREHISTORY AND HISTORY

Prehistoric Cultural Development

The prehistoric cultural sequence of Minnesota, like that of North America, has been divided by archaeologists into four major temporal-cultural periods or traditions: Paleo-Indian, Archaic, Woodland, and Historic. The periods, for the most part, represent an uninterrupted sequence of culture history dating back some 10,000 to 12,000 years.

The Paleo-Indian period is the earliest tradition for which there is irrefutable evidence of man’s presence in the region. This period was the time of big game hunters whose subsistence derived from hunting and trapping large animals such as the wooly mammoth, the mastodon, and a large form of bison, now all extinct, that traversed the area possibly 10,000 years or so ago. Hunters during this period lived in small nomadic bands which roamed the region in search of the big game animals. The big game hunters made distinctive, expertly chipped projectile points, many of which were fluted for hafting on spear shafts. The earliest projectile points from this period are termed Clovis and Folsom.

Surface finds of projectile points from this period have been found in plowed fields and along gullies and riverbanks throughout the state (Johnson 1969; Caine 1974; Steinbring 1974). Fragments of human skeletons associated with Paleo-Indian lithic materials in Minnesota have been uncovered in gravel pits at Browns Valley and West Union, and in a road cut near Pelican Rapids in the western part of the state (Johnson 1962:158-159, 1969:6-8). The Browns Valley Man Site is the only excavated Paleo-Indian site in the state (Streiff 1972:35).

Although Paleo-Indian materials would be expected to occur within the study area, none have been reported thus far. Evidence of Paleo-Indian occupation, however, is known from private collections in nearby Crow Wing County, although no diagnostic materials have been observed in the Nokasippi Valley (Birk 1979:100).

During the next oldest tradition - the Archaic period (6000 to 1000 B.C.) - hunting, gathering, and fishing replaced big game hunting as the basic subsistence activity in response to increasingly warmer climatic conditions following the retreat of the glaciers. These changes in subsistence strategies accompanied the replacement of the boreal forest with more temperate deciduous species and the subsequent disappearance of the megafauna from the natural landscape. The period witnessed the development of new human adaptations with seasonally scheduled and regulated movement within distinct territories (Caldwell 1958). The Archaic period is characterized by the appearance of fluted point styles, a dramatic increase in tool diversity, the presence of scrapers, stemmed and notched forms of projectile points and ground and polished woodworking tools such as axes and gouges, and a strong reliance on gathering wild plant foods.
A unique cultural manifestation of the Late Archaic period is the Old Copper Culture (3000 to 1000 B.C.). Indians during this time made tools, weapons, and ornaments from copper imported from the copper fields near Lake Superior. No native copper artifacts are reported from the study area or recently surveyed areas located nearby (e.g. Birk 1971, 1979; Lane 1975; Brew and Yourd 1979).

The Archaic period in central Minnesota is represented by a number of small seasonal base camps and worksites. Some local examples include the much publicized "ancient" quartz workshop of "primitive man" at Little Falls (Winchell 1878, 1911; Lewis 1887; Brower 1902; Holmes 1919; Swanson 1931) and several habitation areas in the Nokasippi Valley in Crow Wing County (Birk 1979).

While surface finds dating to the Archaic period are common throughout the state (Johnson 1969), only a few Archaic sites in northern Minnesota have been excavated (Streiff 1972). Two notable examples include Petaga Point located along the Rum River in Mille Lacs-Kathio State Park in Mille Lacs County (Bleed 1969) and the Itasca Bison Kill Site on Nicollet Creek in Itasca State Park in Clearwater County (Shay 1971). Petaga Point was the first Old Copper habitation site in Minnesota to be excavated (Johnson 1969:11). The Itasca Bison Kill Site is a particularly important site since it has yielded bison bones in direct association with Archaic artifacts and produced the skeleton of a dog— the earliest documented remains of its kind in the state (Johnson 1969:12).

The Woodland tradition (1000 B.C. to 1600 A.D.) is generally considered to begin with the introduction of pottery and the bow and arrow in the Eastern United States. The period saw the beginning of earthen burial mound construction and the adaptation of a semi-sedentary hunting-fishing and gathering mode of existence at seasonally occupied villages located along rivers and lakeshores. According to Johnson (1969:13), Woodland populations in Minnesota remained sparse until the adoption of wild rice which provided them with a more abundant food supply. This dietary staple was supplemented with meat obtained from bison, moose, deer, raccoon, rabbit, beaver, and muskrat.

Numerous surface finds and burial mounds dating to the Woodland Cultural Tradition are known in central Minnesota and throughout the state (Lothson 1967). The earliest known Woodland occupation in Minnesota is from a small conical mound at the Morrison Mound Group located at the outlet of Ottertail Lake, about 65 miles west of the study area (Johnson 1969:18; Wilford, et. al. 1969:21-22).

There are several burial mounds located in the central and northern areas of Minnesota. Morrison County, for example, originally contained a total of 111 individual mounds located at 13 different locations (Anfinson 1983). Approximately 63% of the reported mounds in the county, however, are no longer present, having been destroyed by plowing and land development.
Many Woodland burial mounds and habitation sites have been excavated in Minnesota (Wilford, et. al. 1969; Streiff 1972:35-36). The nearest excavated site in the area is the Gull Lake Dam site located at the outlet of Gull Lake in Cass County, about 7 miles north of Camp Ripley. Portions of 5 conical and 2 linear mounds were excavated here by the University of Minnesota in 1968 and 1969 (Johnson 1971).

Two primary types of ceramic wares are associated with Woodland sites in central Minnesota: Malmo phase ceramics (800 B.C. to 200 A.D.) (Middle Woodland) and Kathio/Blackduck phase ceramics (800 to 1400 A.D.) (Late Woodland). The Malmo phase ceramics appear closest in manufacturing technique and decoration to Middle Woodland Havana ceramics in Illinois (Anfinson 1984:21). The use of Havanoïd ceramics dates from about 200 B.C. to 300 A.D. A number of transitional Woodland ceramic series such as Brainerd (net-impressed) and St. Croix/Onamia (stamped/stick impressed) are also reported from burial and habitation sites in the region (Anfinson 1979). Several Woodland ceramics present in the state are noticeably absent from the region. Laurel ceramics, for example, are restricted to the northern third of Minnesota (Stoltman 1973; Anfinson 1984:Figure 9). Other ceramic sequences exist, but will not be described here due to their limited distribution.

It is uncertain whether an Early Woodland occupation exists in the region since diagnostic materials such as La Moille ceramics have yet to be found. This period is generally considered to share many similarities with the preceding Late Archaic and, in all likelihood, probably developed out of it with only limited outside influence. Early Woodland ceramics are known from only a few habitation sites in southeastern Minnesota (Anfinson 1984:19).

During the historic and protohistoric times, the area was occupied by a number of Indian tribes including the Dakota and Chippewa. During the eighteenth century, the Chippewa, an eastern tribe had moved into the region from both north and south of Lake Superior, eventually dominating the northern areas of the region. These tribes fought repeatedly for possession of the valuable rice lakes and natural resources of the region—a war which lasted well into the nineteenth century. A fierce battle between the Dakota and Chippewa took place at the confluence of the Mississippi and Crow Wing Rivers in the year 1768 (Warren 1895:227-232). The rifle pits which the Chippewa used to surprise a Dakota war party are located on a high bank along the Mississippi River in Crow Wing State Park across from Camp Ripley.

Further research by historians and anthropologists regarding the archaeological identification of ethnographically named Indian groups residing in a given area at specified times is needed in Minnesota and throughout the Upper Mississippi River basin. Some initial progress has already been made in this area. A review of Le Sueur's trading contacts among the Historic Dakota Sioux in southern Minnesota (Wedel 1974) and a study of Woodland mounds with intrusive Historic Dakota burials in the state (Anfinson 1984) are two notable examples. Much remains to be done, however. Further comparative studies of recovered artifact assemblages,
particularly ceramic materials and trade items, as well as efforts focused on identifying additional known Historic Indian site locations are needed. It is only by scholarly research, accompanied by archaeological excavations, that the ethnic identities as well as technological and subsistence changes of historic and protohistoric peoples can be properly reconstructed and understood.

**Historic Cultural Development**

The period of European contact in the Upper Mississippi region begins around 1650 when Sieurs Grosseilliers and Radisson pushed their way into the unknown country designated the Great Northwest. The first white man to travel above the Falls of St. Anthony, however, was probably the missionary Louis Hennepin who in 1680 was taken captive by a party of Sioux warriors as he traveled in the region. Hennepin was taken as far north as Mille Lacs Lake but was subsequently freed but only through the intervention of Du Luth (Cross 1938). During the 1700s and 1800s, numerous French-Canadian, British, and, later, American fur traders moved into the region in search of beaver pelts. Missionaries, explorers, and soldiers soon followed, traveling extensively throughout the land.

The area at the strategic junction of the Mississippi and Crow Wing ("Raven's Plume" or "River du Corbeau") Rivers witnessed much of this activity as Indians and trappers used these important waterways as access points and transportation routes for the profitable fur trade. The trader James McGill, for example, is known to have wintered there as early as 1771 (Nute 1930:372). Other fur trading posts were established on Crow Wing Island and along the east bank of the Mississippi, opposite the camp grounds, as late as 1848 (Nute 1930:355, 372-373).

During the 1820s a considerable overland trade developed between St. Paul and the Red River country of the north (Penman 1859; Nute 1925). Hundreds of large wooden ox-carts would head south carrying the year’s supply of furs and pelts, then return with fresh supplies of salt pork, flour, and other goods. By 1857 over 500 carts were making the annual trip (Baker 1901:20-21).

During the years several branches of Red River Trails were blazed through the forests and prairies of the region. The Woods Trail extended northward from St. Cloud along the east side of the Mississippi and crossed the river at the mouth of the Crow Wing (Coleman et al. 1967). Sections of the ox-cart trail are preserved today in Crow Wing State Park.

The area also witnessed the passage of several government and private expeditions to the region. In 1805, Lieutenant Zebulon M. Pike, accompanied by twenty men, was ordered north from St. Louis to explore the newly acquired territory in the Louisiana Purchase, negotiate treaties with the Indians, secure conformity with the laws of the United States by fur traders in the region, and select potential sites for American forts (Pike 1811; Coues 1895; Jackson 1966). Although Pike failed in getting British traders to comply with government policies regarding the fur trade, he successfully negotiated a treaty with the Dakota for a large tract of land immediately west of the Falls of
St. Anthony and at the strategic confluence of the Mississippi and St. Peter's (Minnesota) Rivers for the establishment of military outposts. During the upriver journey, Pike and his men ran into cold and rough water and built a log fort on the west side of the Mississippi River at the mouth of the Swan River about six miles below present-day Little Falls, using it as a base camp until leaving the country in the spring of 1806. Pike and a small contingent of his men passed through the area (Coues 1895:316-318) enroute to Leech Lake, which they mistakenly concluded was the source of the Mississippi River. The remains of Pike's fort were uncovered and excavated during the summer of 1984 when river water levels were lowered for repair of the Blanchard Dam (Doug Birk, personal communication, January 11, 1985).

Several expeditions organized to discover the source of the Mississippi River passed through the area, including those led by Lewis Cass in 1820, Giacomo Beltrami in 1823, and Henry Schoolcraft in 1832. During the latter trip, Schoolcraft, who had earlier accompanied Cass on his expedition, successfully located the true source of the Mississippi at Lake Itasca.

During the 1830s and 1840s extensive scientific and geological surveys were conducted in the Upper Mississippi Valley. The earliest geological survey in the region was conducted by the geographer Joseph N. Nicollet, who traveled extensively throughout the region between 1836 and 1839 while preparing an illustrative map of the hydrographical basin of the Upper Mississippi region. Important surveys were also performed by the geologist David Dale Owen who conducted a three-year survey of the mineral deposits of Wisconsin, Iowa, and Minnesota, beginning in 1848, and by Captain John Pope who explored the territory of Minnesota in 1849.

The government survey reports and maps prepared by the early topographers and geologists (Pike 1811; Schoolcraft 1821, 1834, 1855; Beltrami 1828; Nicollet 1843; Norwood 1848, 1852; Owen 1848, 1852; Pope 1850) were widely circulated and consulted by later surveyors, settlers, and travelers. The works of Schoolcraft and Nicollet are particularly significant since they contain some of the first truly scientific ethnographic notes on Native American groups residing in the Upper Mississippi region. Nicollet's 1843 map is also an important document for it shows an established portage route between the west bank of the Mississippi River and Lake Alexander. Part of this route passes through the study area.

The influx of traders, soldiers, and farmers into the Upper Mississippi Valley between the 1820s and 1850s led to the accelerated cession of lands by various Native American groups residing within the region and undoubtedly contributed to the outbreak of Chippewa hostility in 1857 (Pomeroy 1966) and the Sioux uprising in 1862 (Carley 1976). In 1849, the Territory of Minnesota was organized for civil, political and judicial purposes. Morrison County, named in honor of William and Allen Morrison, was established in 1856 (Upham 1969:350). The first government land surveys within the study area were conducted in 1858 by Oscar Taylor and R. D. Lancaster, and, later, in 1870, by Walker, Allen, and Partmann (United States General Land Office 1858, 1870). In 1859,
Minnesota attained statehood following a decade which saw the population of the region skyrocket by over 2730% (Clark 1914:213). The establishment of individual townships located within the present boundaries of the Camp Ripley study area is presented in Table 3.

By the Treaty of 1847, a large tract of Indian land lying south of the Crow Wing River between the Mississippi and Long Prairie Rivers (Royce Area 268) and extending into what is now Morrison, Todd, Douglas, and Stearns counties, including the study area, was ceded by the Chippewa to the federal government for the purpose of establishing a reservation for Winnebago being removed from northeastern Iowa (Royce 1900:780; Winchell 1911:622). In compliance with a treaty made with the Winnebago the preceding year, the United States government sent General M. Brooke from St. Louis to locate a site for a fort to protect the Winnebago and to keep peace between the Sioux and Chippewa (Jones 1848; Baker 1971:11). The site selected was located on the west bank of the Mississippi opposite the mouth of the Nokasippi River within the study area (Derby 1848a, 1848b; Todd 1850). Construction of the fort in 1848 and 1849 preceeded under the supervision of Captain Dana, although most of the actual work was done by Jesse H. Pomroy and other civilian workers (Pomroy MSS; Stearns MSS).

As constructed, the fort consisted of some sixteen frame buildings forming three sides of a square whose opening faced the Mississippi (Ludlow 1880). The barracks occupied the rear and part of the south side of the quadrangle, which also contained officers quarters, a chapel, and a hospital. Officers quarters and a settlers store were located on the north side. Log blockhouses with portholes were built at the northwest and southeast corners of a log stockade which enclosed the other buildings and a parade ground. A blacksmith shop, sawmill, carpenter shop, ice house, granary, mule corral, and horse stables were located outside of the stockade. A military reservation one mile square was maintained about the fort, while across the river a large reservation containing 57,618 acres was established to provide space for gardens, supply forage and wood for the garrison, and keep settlers from moving in too close to the fort (Ludlow 1880).

The fort replaced Fort Snelling as the northernmost post on the Mississippi River and was first garrisoned by Company A of the 6th Infantry Regiment which arrived in April of 1849 under the command of Captain John B. S. Todd (Anonymous 1914; Thomas 1949:179). The fort was originally known as Fort Marcy and later as Fort Gaines. The name of the fort was changed to Fort Ripley on November 4, 1850 in honor of General Eleazer W. Ripley, a Portland, Maine lawyer, who distinguished himself in the War of 1812 and later served in Congress (Baker 1971:29).

To reach the fort a ferry with an 800 foot cable had to be installed (Baker 1971:67). Access to the ferry was made possible by the construction of a military road which extended northward from Point Douglas to Crow Wing along much of the same route as the Red River ox-cart trail (Simpson 1851, 1854, 1855; Larsen 1940; Singely 1974). The ferry remained in service for many years being run by Grant Hand and, after Fort Ripley was abandoned, by D. S. Mooers until a bridge was built here, presumably during the early 1900s. The bridge was swept away by a flood several years ago and never replaced. Ferry service between Morrison and Crow Wing Counties was established for a brief time in the ca. 1950s.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Year Organized</th>
<th>Named For</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Prairie</td>
<td>1868</td>
<td>Charles H. Green, a native of Glenn's Falls, NY who settled in Section 5 in 1855, enlisted in the Third Minnesota Regiment, 1861 and was killed in the battle of Murfreesboro, July 13, 1862.</td>
<td>Originally part of Belle Prairie Township; Motley, Scandia Valley, Darling, Clough, and Parker Townships later organized from it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motley</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>A village founded in 1874 along the Northern Pacific Railroad.</td>
<td>Reduced to its present limits in 1895.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>Case Rails, a pioneer farmer who settled in Section 18.</td>
<td>Also called Rails Prairie.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>David Marston Clough, lumberman and former governor of Minnesota (1895–1899).</td>
<td>Originally part of Motley Township; contains the ruins of Ft. Ripley in Section 7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darling</td>
<td>1891</td>
<td>William L. Darling, of St. Paul, chief engineer of the Northern Pacific Railroad.</td>
<td>Formerly called Randall Township; name changed to Darling in October, 1907.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosing</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>Leonard A. Rosing, the 1902 Democratic candidate for governor of Minnesota.</td>
<td>Originally part of Motley Township; formerly called Crow Wing Township; name changed to Rosing on Sept. 1, 1902.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Fuller, History of Morrison and Todd Counties, Minnesota (Indianapolis, 1915); Upham, Minnesota Geographic Names, Their Origin and Historic Significance (St. Paul, 1969); Fisher, The Land Called Morrison, a History of Morrison County with Brief Sketches of Benton, Crow Wing and Todd Counties (Little Falls, 1972).
The fort’s location on the west bank of the river proved most inconvenient and problems with the transportation of supplies, reluctant mail carriers, and several drownings are reported (Baker 1971:21, 37, 44, 67, 68, 143). The fort, nevertheless, was centrally located. The village of Little Falls was located 16 miles to the south, while the Long Prairie Agency of the Winnebago was some 30 miles to the west. Seven miles to the north was the village of Crow Wing. A mile above dwelt the troublesome Chippewa Chief, Hole-in-the-Day. Three miles up the Crow Wing, where Gull River enters, was the Chippewa Indian Agency. Eleven miles beyond, on the east shore of Gull Lake, was St. Colombo Mission established by James Lloyd Beck.

Fort Ripley became a vanguard of early settlement in the region and fostered a period of much activity (Prucha 1953a:182). The fort furnished a considerable amount of business to farmers and traders in the area. One of the first residents to settle in the area was S. B. Olmstead who raised vegetables, grew oats and hay, and provided meat under government contract to the garrison at the fort. His farm was located opposite the fort on the east bank of the Mississippi River (Baker 1971:21). Other farmers in the area, including Frederick Ayer, missionary and teacher to the Chippewa at Belle Prairie, sold provisions to the fort.

Fort Ripley, like many other frontier outposts, also served as one of the first political and judicial centers in the newly created Minnesota Territory (1849) (Enberg 1940:381; Prucha 1953a:76, 95-96) as well as a post office where, in 1853, regular monthly mail service was begun to Fort Garry at Winnipeg (Anonymous 1917:21; McWilliams 1928:308; Patterson 1966:83). The fort was also used as a polling place during elections (Downs 1945:196) and, for a brief time, was the site for publishing a newspaper (McMurtie 1934:22-23; Hage 1967:139; Baker 1971:45-46). The presence of the military, particularly the officers as well as chaplains and their families, also helped set the social tone of frontier life in the region by promoting education and religion and by hosting and attending social dances and gatherings (Manney MSS; Tanner 1905; Fuller 1915:172; Heilbron 1935:380; Gamble 1959; Baker 1971:39, 85).

In 1855, because of an economic depression and the belief that troops were no longer needed to keep the 20-year peace, Fort Ripley was evacuated but was soon reactivated after the Chippewa pillaged the surrounding area. Following the outbreak of the Civil War, Minnesota Volunteers replaced federal troops at the fort (Doud MSS; Washburn MSS; Whitefield MSS; Board of Commissioners on Publishing of History of Minnesota in Civil and Indian Wars 1890, 1893; Hicks 1918; Newson 1934; Imholte 1963; Hubbs 1965). During the Sioux outbreak in 1862 settlers took refuge in the fort (Fuller MSS). The fort played only a minor role during the uprising, however, being used mainly for housing troops to guard the stage route between St. Cloud and Fort Abercrombie (Babcock 1963:280).

After the Civil War, Fort Ripley was again garrisoned by regular army troops. In 1870 a garrison from the fort was ordered across the river to quell a workers' riot on the Northern Pacific Railroad which was under construction (Baker 1971:153). In 1868, 1870 and again in 1877, a few months before the fort was abandoned, fires destroyed many of the buildings.
The fire of 1868 was particularly catastrophic, since it claimed the lives of Ordnance Sergeant Frantzkey and his four children (Baker 1971:150). The fort was last garrisoned by Company G of the 20th Infantry Regiment which left for Fort Snelling on July 11, 1877. In 1880 the fort and reservation were sold. During the following year 49 bodies from the fort cemetery were shipped to the National Cemetery at Rock Island, Illinois for reburial with those from other abandoned military posts (Gibbs 1881). The ruins of the stone powder magazine and several cellar depressions are the only visible reminders of the fort today.

Land within the original military reservation, for the most part, was purchased for farming and raising livestock. In 1877, the rail line at St. Cloud was extended northward through the eastern part of the reservation to Brainerd where part of the main east-west line of the Northern Pacific Railroad had been constructed six years earlier. The coming of the railroad to the area was an important boost to the local economy as logs, lumber, agricultural products, and other commodities were shipped from Brainerd, Pillager, and Motley to distant market places. While the arrival and expansion of the railroad brought new economic prosperity for some towns, which became centers for shipping grain and lumber and supplying the lumber camps, it spelled economic doom and disaster for others. Crow Wing, for example, which in the 1860s was a thriving town of 600, was abandoned when the railroad bypassed it to the north (Zapffe 1948:10; Wheeler, n.d.:5). By 1880 nearly all of the buildings at Crow Wing had been moved to Brainerd, Little Falls, or adjoining villages and farms (Folsom 1888:479; Coleman et al. 1967:3).

Following the Civil War, economic activity in the region shifted to lumbering as Minnesota's great pinery was cut down to build houses and factories in the Midwest and the Great Plains. The logging industry generated economic opportunity and fostered the settlement of northern Minnesota by "Yankees" from New England along with European immigrants who came to work in the vast stands of white pine. Initially, lakes and streams in the region were used to transport the pine logs to saw mills. In later years, railroads allowed further exploitation of the timber resources, particularly hardwoods, and provided cheap means for hauling logs to mills and lumber to market.

The first logging in the area was conducted in 1847-1848 by Daniel Stanchfield and Caleb D. Dorr who traveled up the Mississippi as far as Crow Wing to cut logs for a boom, dam, and necessary buildings at the Falls of St. Anthony (Loehr 1943:129). Dorr's group is reported to have cut 50 sticks of lumber for which they paid Chief Hole-in-the-Day two hundred dollars. Interestingly, Dorr sold the sawmill that he had purchased in the East to Franklin Steele who later installed it at Fort Ripley where mule power was used to run it while shingles were made for the fort buildings (Loehr 1943:132-133). It appears that an "old saw mill" shown on the west side of the Mississippi River, about four miles below the mouth of the Crow Wing, on the original government land survey of 1858 within the study area refers to an early logging site, possibly the winter camp of Daniel Stanchfield, rather than the sawmill at the fort (Ludlow 1880; Stanchfield 1901:335-338; Trygg 1967, Sheet 12).
The first sawmill in Morrison County was built at Little Falls by James Green in 1849 (Kasperek MSS 1937). During the 1850s, 1860s, 1870s, and 1880s sawmills were erected along many of the streams, lakes, and rivers in the county and at just about every village and town.

The logging era was colorful but short-lived. By the first quarter of the twentieth century most of the virgin timber in the region had been cut. Following the depletion of the timber, attempts were made to farm parts of the cut-over region. Lured by land speculators, many people were attracted to the region in search of new economic opportunities and bountiful harvests.

Farming the cut-over region, however, proved to be an economic disaster because of poor soil, harsh winters, and low farm prices. Despite hard work, most people failed. As tax delinquent land expanded, slash fires spread across the region consuming thousands of acres every year. The creation of county and state forests, as well as fire control and reforestation programs over the last 50 years, have resulted in the present condition of the "North Woods" region. Commercial logging, agriculture, tourism, and recreation are the leading economic industries of the region today.

The land that now includes the cantonment area at Camp Ripley was acquired by the State of Minnesota and by the United States government in 1929 and 1930 from the original homesteaders and land owners in Green Prairie township in order to replace inadequate facilities of the National Guard camp at Lake City, Minnesota (Bettenburg and Miller 1936:129). Subsequent land acquisitions over the years have increased the acreage of the reservation to its present size.

The development of Camp Ripley during the 1930s and 1940s provided hundreds of jobs to unemployed workers during the depression years. Through their efforts, timber was cleared, roads graded, and buildings constructed. Actual construction of the camp was begun in November of 1930, with the first troops occupying the camp in June of 1931 (Bettenburg and Miller 1936:130). In 1941 there were about 600 men employed under various W.P.A. projects at the camp (Kasperek 1943:1).

In 1936 purchase of the site of Fort Ripley was authorized by the State Executive Council for both historical and recreational purposes (Kinder 1937:32). Although a proposed W.P.A. project for the reconstruction of the fort site was approved in 1935, through the efforts of Adjutant General Ellard A. Walsh, and condemnation proceedings for acquisition of the land were filed in 1937, restoration work was never carried out as planned.

Camp Ripley is currently used by the National Guards of Minnesota as well as many states in the region (Kunz 1958:186-187). Thousands of troops undergo field training there each year.
Epilogue

The culture history of Camp Ripley is a microcosm of discovery, exploration, and settlement in the Upper Mississippi Valley under the flags of four nationalities—French, British, Spanish, and American. Beginning in the 1840s and, particularly since the 1930s, the camp grounds have been transformed from a natural landscape in the wilderness into a highly technical modern military installation. The future history of the region will undoubtedly be the future history of the camp. Its destiny no longer belongs to those who shaped its past.
SECTION 4

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

During the first two months of the project, efforts were focused on locating published and unpublished documentary sources directly or indirectly relating to Camp Ripley and its history and compiling background environmental information of the region. The research for this phase of the study began at the State Historical Society of Wisconsin and the University of Wisconsin Geological Library in Madison where numerous sources known to contain pertinent information relating to the archaeology, history, and geology of the area were reviewed. The bulk of these sources included publications of the Minnesota Historical Society (MHS), State Historical Society of Wisconsin (SHSW), and Minnesota Geological Survey (MGS) as well as government documents, county histories, and maps. Several professional archaeological journals, including relevant issues of The Minnesota Archaeologist, were reviewed in their entirety for general background information on cultural resources located in the region.

After all basic data available in Madison was consulted, site records and inventory files housed at the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) located at the Fort Snelling History Center in St. Paul were examined and all pertinent site information was recorded. Copies of several reports pertaining directly to archaeological and historical research in Minnesota were also reviewed.

During November of 1984, additional reference materials housed at libraries and museums in St. Paul, St. Cloud, Little Falls, and at Camp Ripley were investigated. Sources of information examined include scholarly books, archaeological, historical, and scientific journals, magazine and newspaper articles, manuscripts, documents, pamphlets/brochures, photographs, and a wide assortment of maps. Particularly useful were the extensive collections of the MHS reference and audiovisual libraries and archives research center and the research files of the Morrison County Historical Society in Little Falls. In all 3 museums, 6 libraries, and 2 archives in Minnesota and Wisconsin were visited.

Government Land Office surveyor’s maps and field notes on file in the County Recorder’s Office at the Morrison County Courthouse in Little Falls were also examined. Local government documents such as deeds, mortgages, land records, tax rolls, court records and censuses are available, but were not reviewed during the course of the investigations.

Consultations with representatives of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District, the Minnesota SHPO, and the Minnesota Department of Military Affairs as well as reference librarians, archaeologists, historians, architectural historians, and others familiar with the cultural resources of the region were conducted during the "field" research. In addition, several letters requesting site specific information were sent
to various individuals and local governmental agencies, including the Morrison and Todd County Clerks as well as representatives of the Mississippi Headwaters Board, Minnesota Power and Light Company, and Minnesota Department of Natural Resources.

A working bibliography was maintained throughout the course of the study in order to provide a basic reference guide to the cultural and natural history of the region. All references listed in the bibliography, unless specified otherwise, were personally examined by the author.

As the cultural resources data was compiled, all pertinent information was recorded on single-page site inventory forms designed specifically for this purpose. Adequate space was allotted for recording geographical and legal descriptions, identified cultural components, and bibliographic and map references. The recorded site information as well as the bibliography represent the majority of effort expended during the course of the investigation. The individual site data sheets compiled during the study are presented in a supplemental volume.

Once the baseline data had been compiled and organized, the final weeks of the project were spent plotting each recorded site's location on USGS topographic base maps of the study area, preparing a technical report describing the results of the investigation, evaluating the recorded site information for biases and gaps, and developing recommendations for the future management and interpretation of cultural resources located at Camp Ripley.

While the compilation of data presented in this report is reasonably complete, it is by no means exhaustive. It would be erroneous to assume that all known cultural resources located on the Camp Ripley Military Reservation have been identified during this study. Time and budget constraints, for example, prevented the author from tracking down and contacting previous land owners for possible artifact collections and reference materials. This and the lack of any previous systematic archaeological recovery work at the camp is unfortunate given the high level of information that usually results from these types of activities (e.g. Fay 1978; Birk 1979; Overstreet et al. 1982).

Reference Materials

There is considerable documentary and published material on the prehistory and history of Camp Ripley and the surrounding region. Approximately 300 bibliographic references (excluding maps) containing pertinent information on the area were identified during the course of the literature search and records review. As one would expect, there is no one source which summarizes the environmental, archaeological, and historical/architectural data of the region. Schneider's overview of the Pleistocene geology of the Randall region in central Minnesota (1961), Fridley's thematic guide to localized history in Minnesota (1966), Birk's report of an archaeological survey of the Nokasippi River Valley (1979), and Murphy's study of the architecture of Minnesota Department of Military Affairs' buildings at Camp Ripley (1984) were perhaps the most comprehensive works that were reviewed.
This section of the report has been prepared to give the reader a better understanding of the diversity of available reference materials that were consulted during the course of study. For sake of brevity, only the major categories and a few examples of the types of available sources of information examined during the study will be outlined.

Archaeological Sources: Several sources of information relating to Minnesota archaeology were consulted during the project. They include:

1. Minnesota Archaeological Site Files;
2. Minnesota County Archaeological Report Files;
3. Morrison County field notes (Wilford 1945b);
4. Publications and reports of the Minnesota Historical Society (Johnson 1969; Wilford, Johnson and Vicinus 1969; Streiff 1972; Anfinson 1983);
5. Relevant issues of *Minnesota History* (Wilford 1944a, 1944b, 1945a), *The Minnesota Archaeologist* (Macgowan 1947, Fiske 1966, Johnson 1971, Gornoe 1980), and other archaeological/scientific journals, including *American Antiquarian* (Lewis 1887) *American Antiquity* (Wilford 1941, 1955), and *Bulletins of the Bureau of American Ethnology* (Holmes 1919); as well as
6. Several 19th and early 20th century descriptive works (Richardson 1880; Brower 1898, 1902; Winchell 1883, 1911).

Information on the archaeology of the region is also documented in a number of archaeological survey reports prepared for local and state agencies within recent years. Reports examined include those by Birk (1971, 1979), Lane (1975), and Brew and Yourd (1979).

Few private and local museum artifact collections from prehistoric and historic archaeological sites in Morrison County, particularly the Camp Ripley area, have been photographed or recorded. This is unfortunate, but not surprising given the limited amount of archaeological investigations conducted in the county. Only 44 known archaeological sites have been reported in Morrison County to date (Anfinson 1983). Of this total, 18 are numbered sites, 17 are unnumbered sites (the site of old Fort Ripley is an example), and 9 are unconfirmed sites. No archaeological site materials from Morrison County are in the collections of the Evelyn Payne Hatcher Museum of Anthropology at St. Cloud State University (Dr. Richard B. Lane, personal communication, November 8, 1984) or at the Carnegie Public Library in Little Falls (Ann Rebischke, personal communication, November 8, 1984). The Morrison County Historical Society museum in Little Falls has a limited collection of Indian artifacts, but none of this material is from the study area (Jan Warner, personal communication, November 9, 1984).
Historical/Architectural Sources: Extant sources of information on the history of the region are even more diverse than the archaeological records and published site materials. They include:

(1) Pre-20th century descriptive works:
- Exploration and survey (Pike 1811; Beltrami 1828; Nicollet 1843; Owen 1848; Pope 1850; Schoolcraft 1855)
- General histories (Neill 1858; Minnesota Historical Company 1881; Folsom 1888);

(2) 20th-century descriptive works:
- Regional histories (Upham 1920; Folwell 1908; Brown 1948; Blegen 1975)
- County histories (Ogle 1904; Fuller 1915; Fisher 1972)
- Local histories (Caswell 1932; Bettenburg and Miller 1936; Kinder 1937; Kasperek 1943; Heilbron 1943; Kraywinkle 1972; Carley 1976);

(3) 19th century travel accounts (Lanman 1847; Glazier 1891);

(4) 19th century commercial directories and travelers’ guides (LeDuc 1852; Parker 1857; Edwards, Greenough & Deved 1866; McClung 1870);

(5) Letters of correspondence (Gibbs 1881; Conley 1936);

(6) Personal reminiscences (Stearns 1872; Andrews 1928; Newson 1934);

(7) Publications of the Minnesota Historical Society (Collections of the Minnesota Historical Society; Minnesota History; Minnesota History News; Gopher Historian);

(8) Publications of various state historical societies and research institutions (South Dakota Historical Collections and Reports, Utah Historical Quarterly, Collections of the State Historical Society of Wisconsin, Wisconsin Magazine of History);

(9) Scholarly books (McWilliams 1928; Prucha 1953a; Kunz 1958; Bray 1970; Baker 1971; Singley 1974; Kane, Holmquist and Gilman 1978; Merritt 1979);

(11) State government documents (Board of Commissioners on Publications of History of Minnesota in Civil and Indian Wars 1890-1893; Minnesota Geological Survey bulletins);

(12) Local government documents (Morrison Soil and Water Conservation District 1971; Mississippi Headwaters Board 1981);

(13) Popular tourist guidebooks (Holmquist and Brookins 1972; Olsenius 1982);

(14) Intensive architectural and historic building survey reports (Murphy 1984; Roberts and Jenkinson, in press);

(15) Indexes and reference guides (Wheelhouse 1928; Blegen and Heilbron 1931; Nute and Ackermann 1935; Jerabek 1936; Fridley 1966; Pestana 1972; Brook 1974; Treder 1980; Brook and Rubenstein 1983; Katz 1983);


(17) Theses and dissertations (Prucha 1947a);

(18) Iconographic collections (Camp Ripley Military Reservation, ca. 1931-52, in possession of the Minnesota Historical Society);

(19) Minnesota newspapers (Bomb Shell; Brainerd Daily Dispatch; Little Falls Daily Transcript; Little Falls Herald; Minneapolis Tribune; Muzzle Blast);

(20) Pamphlets and brochures (Welcome to Camp Ripley);

(21) Maps (Mississippi River Commission 1898, 1906; Foote 1892).

Although these reference materials are of uneven quality and reliability, together they comprise a wealth of information which is of particular value to the culture historian interested in reconstructing and understanding life in central Minnesota during the last 350 years.

Curation

All research notes, maps, forms, letters of correspondence, and other documentation resulting from this cultural resources literature and records search will be curated by Old Northwest Research, Madison, Wisconsin.
SECTION 5
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Project Data

In all, 5 prehistoric and 59 historic/architectural sites were identified and recorded during the literature search and records review for cultural resources at Camp Ripley. Table 4 presents the compiled data arranged by site type and township. Approximately one-sixth of the recorded sites are located within the cantonment area of the camp. The only National Register property within the study area is the site of old Fort Ripley. The ruins of the fort are located on the west bank of the Mississippi River along East Boundary Road in Section 7, T. 131 N., R. 29 W., Town of Clough, about 7 miles north of the main gates at Camp Ripley.

Of the five known prehistoric archaeological sites at Camp Ripley, three are habitation areas, one is a mound group, and one is a cemetery/village. All of the prehistoric sites are located on glacial outwash plains bordering the Crow Wing and Mississippi Rivers in the Towns of Rosing, Rail Prairie, and Clough, outside of the cantonment area. There are no prehistoric resources currently reported adjacent to or near any of the morainic lakes or streams in the west part of the reservation. None of the prehistoric sites have been recently field checked to determine site boundaries, mapped, or assigned a state archaeological site number.

Interestingly, only one of the five prehistoric sites at Camp Ripley (i.e. a Woodland phase habitation component at the site of Fort Ripley) is currently recorded in the site files of the Minnesota Historical Society (Anfinson 1983). The existence of two other habitation areas at the camp, in fact, has yet to be confirmed by actual field checks. The lack of any information on the mound group at Camp Ripley is somewhat surprising, however. The five conical mounds along the Mississippi River are marked by a wooden interpretive sign which reads "Burial Site, Indian Mounds." The marker was erected along East Boundary Road by Camp Ripley personnel several years ago.

The historic resources at Camp Ripley are more numerous and more diverse than the prehistoric archaeological resources identified and recorded during the literature search and records review. The fifty-nine historic sites were grouped into seventeen categories. The historic site inventory includes 12 schoolhouses, 9 farmsteads, 8 cemeteries/graves, 5 ferry crossings, 3 trail crossings or fords, 3 bridges, 2 churches, 2 sawmills, 2 fire lookout towers, 1 military fort, 1 post-office, 1 town hall, 1 portage route, 1 townsite, 3 logging camps, and 1 dam and reservoir. In addition, 4 buildings and/or other features associated with Camp Ripley development during the 1930s and 1940s were identified for their architectural and/or historical significance.

Approximately one-half of the recorded historic resources at Camp Ripley are the former sites or ruins of buildings (farmhouses, barns, schools, churches, town halls) relating to rural settlement in Morrison County during the mid to late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. The
Table 4 Prehistoric and Historical/Architectural Sites Located at Camp Ripley, Minnesota

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Type</th>
<th>Motley</th>
<th>Rosing</th>
<th>Rail Prairie</th>
<th>Clough</th>
<th>Darling</th>
<th>Green Prairie</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Habitation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Mound group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cemetery/Village?</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical/Architectural</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>***Camp Ripley buildings</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cemetery/grave</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dam and Reservoir</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmstead</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferry crossing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire lookout tower</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military fort</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portage route</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>****Saw-mill</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoolhouse</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Hall</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Townsite</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logging Camp</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>(59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(21)</td>
<td>(18)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>(64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*includes two unconfirmed habitation sites
**includes five conical mounds
***includes four architecturally significant buildings at Camp Ripley: the Main Entrance development (1934), Governor's Lodge (Valhalla) (ca. 1934), Armory (1937), and Nelson Hall (1940, 1949)
****includes the possible winter lumber camp of Daniel Stanchfield, 1847-48
cemeteries, gravesites, and most of the transportation-related resources such as bridges and ferry crossings date to this period as well. The earliest historic resources identified during the study include a portage route (ca. 1843) between Lake Alexander and the Mississippi River, the ruins of old Fort Ripley (1848-77), the site of an old sawmill along the Mississippi River (ca. 1840's-1850's), and the former site of the District No. 12 schoolhouse (1868).

The Sylvan dam and reservoir constructed in 1913 on the Crow Wing River about 3 1/2 miles upstream from its confluence with the Mississippi River was included in the historic site inventory even though it is located outside of the boundaries of Camp Ripley. The dam has changed the natural course and flow of this waterway and impacted several features of the cultural landscape located within or immediately adjacent to the study area including the sites of former river crossings as well as canoe routes used during prehistoric and historic times.

Data Organization

As mentioned earlier, the prehistoric and historic site data sheets compiled during the literature search and records review as well as site distribution maps of the study area are presented in a supplemental volume.

For mapping purposes, each recorded site was assigned a two-part number. Each site's number appears in the upper right hand corner on the data sheet. The first digit in the code was determined by sequentially numbering the prehistoric and historic resources according to their order of appearance in the site inventory. As a result, numbers 1-5 were assigned to the prehistoric sites, while numbers 6-58 were assigned to the historic sites. The second digit refers to the base map which shows the site's location. Thus, a recorded site having a number of "1-9" means that the location of site number "1" in the inventory appears on "Figure 9" in the report. Figure 7 provides a key to the base maps covering the study area as they have been arranged in the report. The site distribution maps appear as Figures 8-15. The discrepancy in the total number of inventoried sites (N=64) versus the map code numbers (N=58) is attributable to the fact that three ford and ferry crossings, one ferry crossing and bridge, one church and cemetery, and one church and school were given combined site designations for mapping purposes.

Biases and Gaps

Needless to say, many parts of the Camp Ripley Military Reservation have been investigated very intensely while others have scarcely been studied at all. As a result, several gaps and biases in the cultural resources data base exist.

Prehistoric archaeological resources, in particular, are usually scarce throughout the entire study area. The paucity of recorded prehistoric archaeological sites (a total of five) along the Crow Wing and Missis-
sippi Rivers, particularly at the confluence area (only one), and the fact that there are no known prehistoric sites of any kind whatsoever located within the vast morainic lakes region of the reservation reflects the lack of and need for systematic archaeological survey work at Camp Ripley. As a result, the information recorded in the prehistoric site inventory file should not be construed as a valid representation of actual site densities.

The historical and architectural data base at Camp Ripley, probably more so than the prehistoric resources, reflects what one would expect from systematic survey and reporting. Two factors account for this: (1) intensive architectural inventory work where historical research accompanies the identification and documentation of significant properties has been recently conducted in the cantonment at Camp Ripley by the SHPO as part of a statewide survey of Minnesota Department of Military Affairs buildings (Murphy 1984); and (2) numerous published and documentary sources contain information on cultural resources located within the boundaries of Camp Ripley (e.g. Fuller 1915; Foote 1892; Bettenburg and Miller 1936; Trygg 1967). Information on the history of Fort Ripley, in particular, is readily available (e.g. Kinder 1937; Prucha 1947b; Baker 1971).

This is not to say, however, that there are no biases and gaps in the historical/architectural data base. A closer examination of the historic resources shows that several deficiencies, in fact, do exist. While some resource types such as schoolhouses are well represented in the record (Table 5), others such as logging camps and farmsteads are notably scarce. The number of agricultural-related resources (farmhouses, barns, outbuildings) formerly located at Camp Ripley is particularly low given previous farming activities in the area. A cursory review of available land ownership atlases and plat maps of Morrison County indicates that there were about 40 "named" farms in operation within what is now the camp area between 1892 and 1915 (Table 6). Several additional "unnamed" farms were formerly located within the camp boundary as well.

Another important factor contributing to the gaps and biases in our present knowledge of the prehistory and history of the area stems from a general lack of understanding concerning the effects of dam construction on landforms and associated cultural resources located in the Crow Wing and Mississippi River Valleys. Although the problems of streambank erosion and shifting sands on the floodplain have been known for some time, the effect of these natural agents on cultural resources has not been adequately studied or monitored. Geomorphological studies, in conjunction with archaeological investigations, similar to those carried out in Pools 10, 11, and 12 of the Upper Mississippi River Basin, need to be conducted along the northern and eastern boundaries of the study area if archaeologists, historians, and cultural geographers are to properly reconstruct and understand the culture history of the region.

Despite these deficiencies, the present compilation of cultural resources data represents a sizeable, although not exhaustive, inventory of known prehistoric and historic sites located within the boundaries of Camp Ripley. It is hoped that the results of this initial investigation will
### Table 5  Morrison County District Schools Formerly Located Within the Boundaries of Camp Ripley, Minnesota

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District No.</th>
<th>Year Established</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>1884</td>
<td>Clough Township T131N-R30W-12 NW 1/4</td>
<td>Foote 1892:45, Fuller 1915:99, Randall &amp; Reilly 1919:36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>1886</td>
<td>Rail Prairie Township T132N-R30W-20 NW 1/4</td>
<td>Fuller 1915:99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>1892</td>
<td>Clough Township T131N-R30W-22 NW 1/4</td>
<td>Fuller 1915:100, Randall &amp; Reilly 1919:36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>1896</td>
<td>Rosing Township T133N-R30W-22 SW 1/4</td>
<td>Fuller 1915:100, Randall &amp; Reilly 1919:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>1897</td>
<td>Rail Prairie Township T132N-R30W-12 SE 1/4</td>
<td>Fuller 1915:100, Randall &amp; Reilly 1919:38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>1899</td>
<td>Rail Prairie Township T132N-R30W-26 SW 1/4</td>
<td>Fuller 1915:100, Randall &amp; Reilly 1919:38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>Darling Township T130N-R30W-1 NE 1/4</td>
<td>Fuller 1915:100, Randall &amp; Reilly 1919:34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>Rosing Township T133N-R29W-31 NE 1/4</td>
<td>Fuller 1915:100, Randall &amp; Reilly 1919:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>*Clough Township T131N-R30W-10 SE 1/4</td>
<td>Foote 1892:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Clough Township T131N-R30W-26 SE 1/4</td>
<td>Foote 1892:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Green Prairie Township T130N-R29W-17 NE 1/4</td>
<td>Schneider 1961</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Foote, Plat Book of Morrison County, Minnesota (Minneapolis, 1892); Fuller, History of Morrison and Todd Counties, Minnesota (Indianapolis, 1915); Randall and Reilly, Atlas of Morrison County, Minnesota (Little Falls, 1919?); Schneider, Pleistocene Geology of the Randall Region, Central Minnesota (Minneapolis, 1961).

*probably a church-affiliated school
Table 6  Morrison County Named Farms Formerly Located Within the Boundaries of Camp Ripley, Minnesota

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Farm Name</th>
<th>Landowner</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rosing</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>14 fract. WI/2-SW 1/4;</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>Spring Valley Farm</td>
<td>C. Deaver</td>
<td>Foote 1892:51, 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fract. SE-SW 1/4; &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fract. SW-SW 1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*133N</td>
<td>30W 28 NE-NE 1/4;</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Aspen Grove Farm</td>
<td>Wm. N. Franklin</td>
<td>Foote 1892:51, 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE-NW 1/4; &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S 1/2-NE 1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail Prairie</td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W 4 N 1/2, S 1/2</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Pine Grove Farm</td>
<td>Peter Nyman</td>
<td>Foote 1892:48, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W 4 S 1/2, S 1/2</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Forest Home Farm</td>
<td>Jas. Raimey</td>
<td>Foote 1892:48, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W 4 ?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring Valley Dairy</td>
<td>F. L. Swanson</td>
<td>Fuller 1915:92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W 9 NE-NW 1/4; &amp;</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Spring Hill Stock</td>
<td>B. P. Swanson</td>
<td>Foote 1892:48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&amp; N 1/2, S 1/2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fuller 1915:91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W 14 N 1/4, NW 1/4;</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Linwood Stock Farm</td>
<td>H. H. Mann</td>
<td>Foote 1892:48, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE-NE 1/4; &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&amp; NE-SW 1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W 24 W 1/2, W 1/2</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Fernwood Stock Farm</td>
<td>Norman Austin</td>
<td>Foote 1892:48, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W 34 E 1/2, NE 1/4; &amp;</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Forest Home Farm</td>
<td>Samuel Quick</td>
<td>Foote 1892:48, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NE-SW 1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W 34 NW-NE 1/4;</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Hillsdale Farm</td>
<td>Daniel Tough</td>
<td>Foote 1892:48, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S 1/2-SE 1/4; &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SE-SW 1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132N</td>
<td>30W 34 ?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Helendale</td>
<td>Albert R. Long-</td>
<td>Fuller 1915:91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>fellow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W 2 N 1/2-NW 1/4; &amp;</td>
<td>137.55</td>
<td>Valley Stock Farm</td>
<td>Isiah Poston</td>
<td>Foote 1892:45, 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&amp; NW-NW 1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W 2 SW-SW 1/4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Lake Side Farm</td>
<td>Geo. Helfrich</td>
<td>Foote 1892:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W 8 ?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jersey Home Farm</td>
<td>Hugh Pugh</td>
<td>Fuller 1915:91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W 10 NW 1/4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Hazel Hurst Farm</td>
<td>W. S. Hamon</td>
<td>Foote 1892:45, 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W 10 N 1/2, S 1/2</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Greendale Farm</td>
<td>Joseph Quick</td>
<td>Foote 1892:45, 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W 10 S 1/2, N 1/2</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Sunnyside Farm</td>
<td>Frank Johnson</td>
<td>Foote 1892:45, 55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Farm Name</th>
<th>Landowner</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clough</td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>12 N 1/2, NE 1/4; &amp; SE-NE 1/4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Pleasant View Farm</td>
<td>W. S. Woolsey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>12 SW-NE 1/4; W 1/2-SE 1/4; &amp; SE-SE 1/4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Spring Valley Farm</td>
<td>W. S. Mushatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>14 N 1/2-SW 1/4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Oak Ridge Farm</td>
<td>Thomas Kinney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>14 ?;</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Ferndell</td>
<td>A. B. Nichols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>22 S 1/2-SW 1/4; &amp; SW-SE 1/4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Burr Oak Farm and Birchwood Stock Farm</td>
<td>Edward S. Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>24 W 1/2-SW 1/4; NE-SW 1/4; 26 NE 1/4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Fernwood Farm</td>
<td>Thos. J. Rouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>26 S 1/2-NW 1/4; &amp; N 1/2-SW 1/4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Goose Lake Farm</td>
<td>Peter Weutenbach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131N</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>26 SE 1/4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Pine Dell Farm</td>
<td>Adelbert Robinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**131N</td>
<td>29W</td>
<td>18 SE-NW 1/4; NE-SW 1/4; fract. N 1/2-SE 1/4</td>
<td>126.21</td>
<td>Riverside Home Farm</td>
<td>Grant Hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>**131N</td>
<td>29W</td>
<td>31 ?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Red Cedar</td>
<td>Clistie A. Rudolph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darling</td>
<td>**13ON</td>
<td>30W</td>
<td>2 E 1/2-NW 1/4; &amp; W 1/2-NE 1/4</td>
<td>160.47</td>
<td>Jack Pine Stock Farm</td>
<td>Andreas Drelock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Prairie</td>
<td>13ON</td>
<td>29W</td>
<td>6 SE 1/4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Englewood Farm</td>
<td>J. Chadwick &amp; Bros.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13ON</td>
<td>29W</td>
<td>8 N 1/2-SE 1/4; 9 fract. N 1/2</td>
<td>290.34</td>
<td>River Side Stock Farm</td>
<td>A. K. Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13ON</td>
<td>29W</td>
<td>8 E 1/2-NW 1/4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Oakland Home Farm</td>
<td>Frank S. Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13ON</td>
<td>29W</td>
<td>8 W 1/2-SW 1/4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Meadow Lawn Farm</td>
<td>Mary Gammon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13ON</td>
<td>29W</td>
<td>8 S 1/2-SE 1/4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Oak Ridge Home Farm</td>
<td>L. Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13ON</td>
<td>29W</td>
<td>17 E 1/2-NW 1/4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Pine Grove Farm</td>
<td>F.D. &amp; Elizabeth P. Hall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*formerly part of Motley Township
**formerly part of Green Prairie Township
***formerly Randall Township

Sources: Foote, Plat Book of Morrison County, Minnesota (Minneapolis, 1892); Fuller, History of Morrison and Todd Counties, Minnesota (Indianapolis, 1915).
be used to guide future planning strategies, develop interpretive programs and regional research designs, and, ultimately, to protect and preserve significant prehistoric and historic resources located within the study area.
SECTION 6

KNOWN AND PREDICTED IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT
ON CULTURAL RESOURCES AT CAMP RIPLEY

Past Development

The development and expansion of the Camp Ripley Military Reservation has directly impacted several prehistoric and historic sites. Road construction and grading activities, for example, have destroyed parts of one prehistoric habitation area near Hole-in-the-Day Marsh and impacted the eastern margins of the site of Fort Ripley. This is unfortunate since it has resulted in the irretrievable loss of important site data in its previously undisturbed context.

Camp development has also adversely impacted several historic resources relating to rural settlement in Morrison County during the mid-late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. As Camp Ripley expanded, standing structures such as farmhouses, barns, and schools located within the boundaries of the camp, particularly in the training and maneuver areas, were torn down and removed. Needless to say, most of these buildings are no longer available for architectural or comparative study. In some cases, buildings were "saved" for further use by moving them outside of the boundaries of the camp. The District No. 82 schoolhouse (also known as the Franzon School) is perhaps the most notable example. This one-story white clapboard building was constructed in 1896 in Section 22, T. 133 N., R. 30 W., Town of Rosing. It was moved from along Greely Road to Section 29 where it presently serves as the Rosing Town Hall (Maj. Wayne A. Johnson, personal communication, January 7, 1985).

The construction of trails, tank traps, firing and target areas, helicopter pads, bridge crossings, and land development in the cantonment area (roads, buildings, underground utilities and storm drains) has resulted in further alterations of the natural and cultural landscape. The extent of damage to prehistoric as well as historic resources in these areas, however, will not be fully known until intensive site surveys and evaluation studies have been conducted.

The impacts of Euro-American frontier settlement and rural land use prior to camp expansion on prehistoric and historic resources located within the study area are less clearly understood. While it is reasonable to assume that the construction of town roads, farm buildings, schools and churches as well as previous agricultural activities have potentially impacted known as well as unknown cultural resources located within the camp boundaries, the amount and extent of damage to the cultural resource base from past agricultural and rural land use activities has not been adequately studied much less recorded. It will remain so until intensive reconnaissance survey work has been conducted at Camp Ripley.

Mobilization Projects

As currently planned, none of the 43 proposed mobilization projects will have any significant adverse effect upon the known cultural resources at Camp Ripley (Tables 7-9). Most of the projects are located in previously
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instl</th>
<th>Proj</th>
<th>Cat</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>U/M</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>($000)</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>Latest Date</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IM5701</td>
<td>721 11</td>
<td>Winterize EM barrack</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IM5702</td>
<td>722 10</td>
<td>Winterize EM dining facilities</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>14,336</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IM5703</td>
<td>723 24</td>
<td>Winterize detached lavatories</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IM5706</td>
<td>610 21</td>
<td>Winterize engineer admin. building</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>2,162</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-60</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>IM5705</td>
<td>141 82</td>
<td>Winterize brigade HQ building</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>5,488</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-60</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>IM5708</td>
<td>723 30</td>
<td>Winterize administrative and supply</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>49,250</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-60</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>IM5710</td>
<td>442 70</td>
<td>Winterize battalion general storehouse</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>25,987</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>IM5711</td>
<td>721 90</td>
<td>Enlisted barrack with dining and latrine</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>266,500</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>IM5729</td>
<td>812 40</td>
<td>Electrical distribution system</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>281,556</td>
<td>3,742</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>IM5712</td>
<td>724 10</td>
<td>Unaccompanied housing-officers quarters</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IM5714</td>
<td>Cold storage warehouse and meat cutting</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>2,263</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-120</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>IM5715</td>
<td>Vehicle maintenance shop, organizational</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>23,250</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>IM5716</td>
<td>Grease rack, covered</td>
<td></td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-60</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>IM5717</td>
<td>Oil storage house</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-30</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>IM5718</td>
<td>Outdoor cleaning and lubrication facility</td>
<td></td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-60</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>IM5719</td>
<td>Dispatch office</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>1,152</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-60</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>IM5721</td>
<td>Land vehicle fuel dispensing points</td>
<td></td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-60</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>IM5723</td>
<td>Airfield fire and rescue station</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>IM5724</td>
<td>Troop dental office</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>8,058</td>
<td>1,565</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-120</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>IM5725</td>
<td>Liquid fuel storage - bulk (#2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>IM5726</td>
<td>Aviation gas fuel storage facility (JP-4)</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>155,000</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>IM5727</td>
<td>Motor gasoline storage facility</td>
<td></td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>IM5728</td>
<td>Diesel oil storage facility</td>
<td></td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>170,000</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-90</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>IM5730</td>
<td>Winterize vehicle storage buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-60</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>IM5736</td>
<td>Gas chamber</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>M-20</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Camp Ripley, Minnesota Mob Group I Total $27,398
(Total)(Location Factor 0.99) $27,124
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inst</th>
<th>Proj</th>
<th>Cat</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>U/M</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>($000)</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Project Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>IM5705</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>Winterize off std ration din fac</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>6,529</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>M+21</td>
<td>M+7</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>IM5720</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>Fencing</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>7,200</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>M+30</td>
<td>M+8</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>IM5722</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>Winterize aircraft maintenance hanger</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>M+60</td>
<td>M+0</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>IM5751</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>Apron and hardstand lighting with outlets</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>M+30</td>
<td>M+10</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>IM5732</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>Visual approach slope indicator</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>job</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>M+30</td>
<td>M+10</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>IM5733</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>Low frequency non-direct radio beacon</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>M+30</td>
<td>M+10</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>IM5734</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>Aircraft flammable storage buildings</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>M+30</td>
<td>M+10</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>IM5735</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>Fixed laundry and steam plant</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>19,800</td>
<td>2,803</td>
<td>M+180</td>
<td>M+10</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>IM5741</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>Open dining facility, officers</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>M+180</td>
<td>M+10</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>IM5742</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>Open dining facility, NCO</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>1,949</td>
<td>M+180</td>
<td>M+10</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>IM5744</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>Main library building</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>M+180</td>
<td>M+10</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Camp Ripley, Minnesota Mob Group II Total $6,968

(Total)(pocation Factor 0.99) $6,898
### TABLE 9

Group III Full Mobilization Projects
Camp Ripley, Minnesota

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prj</th>
<th>Proj</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>U/M</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>($000)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Latest Start</th>
<th>Mob. Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>IM5738</td>
<td>740 69</td>
<td>Multi-purpose recreation building with swimming pool and bathhouse</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>17,600</td>
<td>2,956</td>
<td>M+120</td>
<td>M+10</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>IM5743</td>
<td>740 76</td>
<td>Theater with dressing room</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>1,202</td>
<td>M+180</td>
<td>M+10</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>IM5746</td>
<td>442 40</td>
<td>Inflammable material storehouse</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>M+180</td>
<td>M+60</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>IM5747</td>
<td>442 61</td>
<td>Lumber and pipe shed, FE</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>5,750</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>M+180</td>
<td>M+90</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>IM5748</td>
<td>219 20</td>
<td>Facilities engineer facility loading platform and fence</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>Job</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>M+180</td>
<td>M+10</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>IM5749</td>
<td>750 12</td>
<td>Basketball courts - exterior</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>M+180</td>
<td>M+90</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>IM5750</td>
<td>750 13</td>
<td>Volleyball courts - exterior</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>M+180</td>
<td>M+90</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Camp Ripley, Minnesota Mob Group III Total $5,209

(Total)(Location Factor 0.99) $5,157

Camp Ripley, Minnesota Mob Group I, II, III Grand Total $39,179
disturbed areas within the cantonment area where development already exists, particularly between East Exchange and East Service Roads in Areas 3, 5 and 7, between West Service and Bodey Roads in Area 11, and in the Utility area.

Furthermore, none of the proposed Group I or II winterization projects will have any adverse impact on barracks, dining facilities, supply and administrative buildings, or other camp structures. Most of these buildings were built during the 1930s and 1940s and are of cement block or masonry construction with tile or asphalt shingle roofing. None of these buildings are architecturally significant or of any historical importance on either the local, state, or national level.

It is difficult to predict what direct or indirect impacts mobilization will have on unknown cultural resources at Camp Ripley until systematic and intuitive archaeological testing of areas has been conducted within the cantonment area and at other places on the reservation. It is expected that the implementation of the proposed sampling strategies outlined in the following section of the report will result in the identification of hitherto unknown cultural resources and, thus, provide a sound, reliable data base for making predictive statements concerning the probable distribution of prehistoric and historic resources in their spatial relation to natural environmental zones.
SECTION 7
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MANAGEMENT OF THE CULTURAL RESOURCES DATA BASE

The following recommendations for the management of the cultural resources data base at Camp Ripley have been developed from the information compiled during the literature search and records review. For sake of continuity, the recommendations have been divided into three categories: identification, evaluation, and interpretation. The recommendations have been arranged on a priority basis within these categories so that future cultural resources studies at Camp Ripley develop in an orderly, planned manner. Cost estimates for implementing the future phased cultural resources research at Camp Ripley are presented in Table 10.

Identification

1. Of the 43 proposed mobilization projects, 10 should be archaeologically surveyed to locate and evaluate any evidence of prehistoric and historic occupation that might be present within the cantonment area. This work is deemed necessary in order to protect and preserve significant aspects of the cultural environment from adverse effects which might otherwise occur during full mobilization and construction-related activities. Archaeological surveys should be conducted in compliance with the established policies and procedures of federal laws and state guidelines on historic preservation.

Mobilization projects at Camp Ripley requiring archaeological survey work are presented in Table 11 according to their installation priority. Figure 5 shows their locations in the cantonment area. Each project area was "field-examined" during a visit to Camp Ripley in November of 1984 in order to determine previous soil disturbances, record current land-use conditions, and assess its potential for yielding cultural materials. The results of surveying these project areas should be used to make further predictions concerning site density and distribution within the cantonment area at Camp Ripley.

2. Four non-mobilization projects which are planned at Camp Ripley over the next two years should also be archaeologically investigated. Three of the projects are located within the cantonment area (Figure 5). The projects include:

2.1 A proposed (storage?) building located on the north side of Leach Ave. in Area 15 of the cantonment area.

2.2 Three proposed bachelor officers' quarters (BOQ) located along the north side of Bettenburg Ave.

2.3 A proposed solid waste disposal site area located south of Argonne Road and south of Ferrell Lake in Section 2, T. 130 N., R. 30 W., Town of Darling; and
Table 10 Cost Estimates for Future Phased Cultural Resources Studies at Camp Ripley, Minnesota

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current Working Estimate ($)</th>
<th>Total ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Identification</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Mobilization Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mob I</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mob II</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mob III</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Future Non-Mobilization Development Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.1 thru 2.4)</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Non-Random Sample Survey Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.1 thru 3.9)</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Random Sample Survey Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Training Areas 1, 5, 8, 18, 21)</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Geomorphological Study</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. Inventory Update</strong></td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>162,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evaluation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Field Evaluations of Existing Data Base</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5 prehistoric and 56 historical/architectural sites)</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. NRHP Nominations</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interpretation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Brochures/Pamphlets</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Interpretive Markers</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Permanent Museum Exhibit</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Traveling Exhibit</strong></td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>37,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>***Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>234,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes the cost of updating the inventory in 5 years.

**Private and public funding sources should be sought to help defray costs.

***Figure does not include the cost of reconstructing Fort Ripley or building an interpretive center.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mob Group No.</th>
<th>Instl Priority No.</th>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cantonment Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>IM5711</td>
<td>Enlisted barracks (2)</td>
<td>W side of Infantry Rd. between Stutz &amp; Collins Rds. across from Military Vehicle Storage Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>IM5712</td>
<td>Officers quarters (3)</td>
<td>Area 23, along Kohout Rd. between Chickamauga Rd. &amp; Ronnigen Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>IM5714</td>
<td>Cold storage warehouse</td>
<td>Utility Area, SE corner of Bettenburg Ave. &amp; Artillery Rd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>12-17</td>
<td>IM5715-IM5721</td>
<td>*Motor park with vehicle maintenance shop, dispatch office, grease rack, oil storage house, outdoor cleaning and lubrication facility, dispatch office, and fuel dispensing points.</td>
<td>East Motor Pool Area along Chickamauga Rd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>IM5724</td>
<td>Troop dental office</td>
<td>Area 15, E of the USPFO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>IM5725</td>
<td>Liquid fuel storage area</td>
<td>SW corner of Artillery &amp; Bodey Rds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>IM5741</td>
<td>Open dining facility, officers</td>
<td>Area 8, NE corner of Aviation &amp; Luce Rds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>IM5742</td>
<td>Open dining facility, NCO</td>
<td>Area 9, SE corner of East Exchange Rd. &amp; Green Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>IM5744</td>
<td>Main library building</td>
<td>Area 8, SW corner of Chickamauga &amp; Infantry Rds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>IM5738</td>
<td>Multi-purpose recreation building</td>
<td>Area 8, NW corner of Aviation &amp; Infantry Rds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes a proposed storm sewer main extending from Chickamauga Road to the Mississippi River.
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2.4 A proposed ammo/range office located west of East Boundary Road along the Mississippi River in the NE 1/4 of Section 5, T. 130 N., R. 29 W., Town of Green Prairie.

Three projects are currently under construction in the cantonment area at Camp Ripley (Figure 5). These projects include an airfield fire and rescue station, a storage building, and an addition to the Visitor Education Center. The fire and rescue station has been identified as a Group I priority project for mobilization. All of the projects are located in previously disturbed areas. As a result, construction does not appear to have had any adverse effects on the cultural resources data base.

It is also recommended that all future development plans at Camp Ripley be reviewed periodically by the SHPO to assess the potential impact of construction and development on known and unknown cultural resources. Any plans for expanding or remodeling the Armory, Nelson Hall, Governor's Lodge, or the Main Entrance development, for example, should be sent to the SHPO for comment and review to ensure that the architectural integrity of the structures are protected and preserved. This phase of the cultural resources management plan will, of course, require the continued cooperation of planners, engineers, architects, historians, and archaeologists to insure proper execution of the conservation preservation process.

3. Besides the mobilization and non-mobilization projects, there are several additional areas at Camp Ripley where prehistoric and historic resources might be expected to be found. The terraces along the Crow Wing and Mississippi Rivers and the sandy ridges and uplands bordering lakes, streams, and wetlands, in particular, appear for one reason or another to be likely spots from which available riverine and woodland resources would have been exploited during both prehistoric and historic times. Rather than recommend extensive shoreline site surveys at Camp Ripley, nine targeted water-related areas of varying potential for yielding archaeological and historic resources were selected for archaeological testing (Figure 6). Eight of the nine targeted survey areas are located along the Mississippi River, while one is located along Round Lake. Two of the targeted survey areas are located along the Mississippi River in the cantonment area (Figure 5). Areas which will be either directly or indirectly impacted by mobilization have been marked by an asterisk (*). The targeted survey areas include:

*3.1 The river crossing bridge site on both sides of the Mississippi River in Section 18, T. 131 N., R. 29 W., Town of Clough, Morrison County, and Section 34, T. 43 N., R. 32 W., Town of Fort Ripley, Crow Wing County.

*3.2 Picnic Area No. 1 in De Parcq Woods along the Mississippi River in the extreme southeastern corner of the cantonment area in Section 16, T. 130 N., R. 29 W., Town of Green Prairie.
*3.3 Picnic Area No. 2 along the Mississippi River and East Boundary Road in Section 30, T. 131 N., R. 29 W., Town of Clough.

*3.4 Round Lake Recreation Area in the southwestern part of the reservation in Section 27, T. 1313 N., R. 30 W., Town of Clough.

3.5 The square mile area around the site of Fort Ripley on the west bank of the Mississippi River, opposite the mouth of the Nokasippi River, in Sections 5, 6, 7, 8, and 18, T. 131 N., R. 29 W. and Section 12, T. 131 N., R. 30 W., Town of Clough, and Section 31, T. 132 N., R. 29 W., Town of Rail Prairie.

3.6 Areas bordering the confluence of the Crow Wing and Mississippi Rivers, opposite Crow Wing Island, in Sections 4 and 5, T. 132 N., R. 29 W., Town of Rail Prairie.

3.7 The sandy terrace along the west bank of the Mississippi River on either side of Engineer Road from a point of land opposite the "Hole-in-the-Prairie" or "Big-Cut-Sandy-Bank," a known geographic feature to the Chippewa located on the east bank of the river, extending south as far as Picnic Area No. 1 in Sections 4 and 9, T. 130 N., R. 29 W., Town of Green Prairie. Portions of this terrace have been disturbed by road construction, utility poles, camp facilities development, and gravel pit and stock-pile operations.

3.8 The west bank of the Mississippi River in the extreme southeast corner of Section 30, T. 131 N., R. 29 W., Town of Clough, opposite a point of land on the east bank of the river where Ben. Baker's trading post of 1831-1832 and a late American Fur Company post of 1832-1835 were located; and

3.9 The mouth of Broken Bow Creek in Sections 19 and 30, T. 131 N., R. 29 W., Town of Clough, near Pipe Island. The creek has been channelized and altered within modern times but the area appears a likely spot for prehistoric and possibly historic Indian habitation areas.

4. Systematic on-the-ground reconnaissance surveys of selected sample units and documentation of all prehistoric and historic components encountered should be implemented at Camp Ripley. The selection of random sampling units poses somewhat of a problem due to extensively disturbed soils (e.g. tank traps) within the training and maneuver areas and the existence of unexploded munitions in range areas. The latter, in particular, would make shovel testing difficult, if not dangerous.

Since Camp Ripley is divided into 22 training areas (excluding the cantonment area, Mississippi River islands, and scattered parcels of state-owned land along the west boundary of the camp) it was decided to use these areas as the basis for the random selection of sample units.
The boundaries of the training areas are delineated by roads, trails, waterways, and other features easily recognizable on the ground. Although the training areas vary in size, they average about 4 square miles. After eliminating 14 training areas which contained field artillery and small arms firing ranges, 5 of the remaining 8 training areas were randomly selected for survey with the aid of a random numbers table (Robbins and Ryzin 1975:380-383, Appendix Table 3). Training areas at Camp Ripley selected for survey included Areas 1, 5, 8, 18, and 21. Area 1 is located in the southwestern part of the reservation, Areas 5 and 18 are located along the west boundary of the camp, Area 8 is situated along the Mississippi River and includes the site of Fort Ripley, while Area 21 is located at the confluence of the Crow Wing and Mississippi Rivers. Training areas accessible for survey work but were not selected for sampling included Areas 2, 19, and 22. The sampling method represents slightly less than a 25% random sample of the total military reservation. The selected survey areas include various environmental zones for predictive modeling purposes. Figure 6 shows the proposed archaeological sampling survey areas at Camp Ripley.

The fact that many of the randomly selected survey areas overlap some of the targeted survey areas is coincidental. Any surveyed portion of a targeted survey area would, of course, be deleted from a random sample survey area if it had already been field-tested.

Archaeological reconnaissance surveys using similar sampling methods, to the author's knowledge, have been successfully developed and implemented for compliance purposes at other military installations in the United States, including Fort Knox, Kentucky (O'Malley et al. 1980), Fort Campbell, Kentucky-Tennessee (O'Malley et al. 1983), and the Badger Army Ammunition Plant, Wisconsin (Salkin 1983). Although the proposed sampling methods for Camp Ripley differ from the rectangular coordinated land division system or "quadrants" currently used for the Minnesota Statewide Archaeological Survey (MSAS) research design, the goals are similar, that is, the formulation of models that predict the probable distribution of archaeological and historical resources (Minnesota Historical Society 1981:x).

5. Consideration should be given to initiating a geomorphological study of the Crow Wing and Mississippi River floodplains. Implementation of such a program would provide the means for determining the relative age of topographic landforms where early prehistoric sites could be expected to occur. The results of such an investigation have important predictive and cost-benefit ramifications for identifying previously unrecorded prehistoric sites, many of which probably lie buried and undetected beneath shifting silt and sand deposits on islands in the Mississippi River and in the floodplain.

6. The current inventory of prehistoric archaeological and historical/architectural sites should be updated on a 5 year basis or as additional site information becomes available. This will require periodic communication with the SHOP and Camp Ripley staff. The inventory, maps, and bibliography presented here should be considered working documents to
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which new baseline data can be easily added with a minimal amount of effort. This will prolong the useful life of the literature search and records review and improve the effectiveness of this report as a long range planning document.

Evaluation

1. Field evaluations of all recorded prehistoric and historic sites at Camp Ripley should be conducted to verify their exact location, record present site conditions, monitor site attrition, and determine their potential for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places. Most of the recorded sites identified during this literature and records review have never been field checked. The prehistoric archaeological sites, in particular, need to be properly recorded and mapped since many of them have never been visited by a professional archaeologist. Although time-consuming, efforts such as this are needed for protecting the cultural, historical, and archaeological resources from misuse, overuse, and vandalism which have occurred under public ownership and for furthering our understanding of central Minnesota prehistory and history. The protection and conservation of cultural resources is one of the state purposes of the Mississippi Headwaters Board's comprehensive management plan and conservation ordinance (Section 3, Part 8) for the river and adjacent lands. Reconstructing the culture and social history and the interactions between human populations and the environment in the Upper Mississippi River Basin are but two of several relevant research topics which the site evaluations could address.

2. Efforts should be made to nominate significant cultural resources located within the study area to the National Register of Historic Places. The Indian burial mounds located along East Boundary Road as well as the Main Entrance development, Governor's Lodge, Armory, and Nelson Hall in the cantonment area, for example, could be nominated individually or, preferably, as part of a Camp Ripley Military Reservation Archaeological and Historic District, multiple resource or thematic group nomination. Possible thematic resources include prehistoric archaeological sites, pioneer farmsteads, rural schools, logging camps, and Camp Ripley buildings.

3. In the event that cultural materials from buried archaeological sites are unearthed during the course of mobilization or future camp development, the State Historic Preservation Officer and the St. Paul District, Corps of Engineers should be notified immediately for assistance in determining the significance of any sites encountered.

4. All human burials and human skeletal remains, both historic and prehistoric, located within the study area should be protected and preserved or removed in accordance with the provisions of the Minnesota Human Burial Law (Minnesota Statutes 1978, Section 307.08, as amended, cited in Gurnoe 1980:153-154).

Interpretation

1. The data compiled during this cultural resources literature search and records review provides the Minnesota Department of Military Affairs with an excellent opportunity to expand its interpretive program at Camp
Ripley and to foster public awareness of the need to preserve and protect not only scenic, scientific, and wildlife areas but archaeological and historical sites as well. While it is not likely that a sophisticated interpretive and educational program will be developed as a result of this study given current funding priorities, it is strongly recommended that some mechanisms for interpreting cultural resources to the public be included in future development and planning strategies.

Efforts should be made to augment the existing public education and interpretive program at Camp Ripley which includes pamphlets, visitors' guides, exhibits, and interpretive markers. A brochure providing background information on the prehistory as well as history of the camp and the surrounding region, for example, is needed and should be developed. The interpretive marker program should also be expanded to include points of interest not already marked. Examples include the former sites of Deaver's Ford on the Crow Wing River, the Green Prairie post-office, the District No. 12 schoolhouse, and several family gravesites and cemeteries.

It is also recommended that a small interpretive exhibit presenting the results of this study and a map showing the locations of known cultural resources at Camp Ripley be prepared and placed in the Camp Ripley Military Museum where information on the history of Fort Ripley as well as the Minnesota National Guard is presented. The museum is open to the public during the summer when most of the troop training is in progress. A traveling panel exhibit could also be prepared for display at area schools, public libraries, hospitals, nursing homes, chambers of commerce, courthouses, museums, and county historical societies.

2. The ruins of old Fort Ripley located on the west bank of the Mississippi River along East Boundary Road provide perhaps the best opportunity for public interpretation and education at Camp Ripley. The area contains known prehistoric and historic archaeological materials as well as several structural features dating to the occupancy of the fort. The latter includes cellar depressions and the ruins of the powder magazine, the only stone structure known to have been constructed at the fort. Many of these features are marked with signs. As a result, it is strongly recommended that no development or training exercises of any sort whatsoever be allowed in or near the ruins of the fort, or within the boundaries of the original square mile military reservation on the west side of the Mississippi River as shown on Figures 12 and 13.

It is also strongly recommended that future plans for the reconstruction of Fort Ripley, accompanied by archaeological and historical documentation, be seriously considered as a long-range goal of this cultural resources management plan. Although funding for such an ambitious project is not currently available, it is, nevertheless, an achievable goal. The reconstruction of this site would compliment the existing statewide historic sites program administered by the Minnesota Historical Society and fulfill the hopes of many Minnesota residents and former camp commanders.
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
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APPENDIX A

Scope of Work
Scope of Work
Literature Search and Records Review
for Cultural Resources of Camp
Ripley, Morrison County, Minnesota

1.00 General

1.01 The contractor will undertake a literature search and records review of Camp Ripley, Minnesota. Based upon these results and coordination with various State and Federal agencies, the contractor will also develop a phased program for future cultural resources studies. All work will be incorporated into a detailed technical report.

1.02 The cultural resources investigation reports serve several functions. The technical report is a planning tool which aids in the preservation and protection of our cultural heritage. It is also a comprehensive, scholarly document that not only fulfills Federally-mandated legal requirements but also serves as a scientific reference for future professional studies. As such, the report's contents should be both descriptive and analytic in nature.

1.03 The investigation and reports represents partial fulfillment of Federal obligations toward cultural resources as required by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91-90); National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (P.L. 89-665); Protection and Enhancement of the Cultural Environment (E.O. 11593); Advisory Council's Procedures for the Protection of Historic and Cultural Properties (36 CFR 800); and Preservation of Historic and Archeological Data 1974 (P.L. 93-291).

2.00 Regional Description

2.01 Camp Ripley is located in the central portion of the State of Minnesota, approximately 125 miles northwest of Minneapolis/St. Paul (see figure 1, attached). Fort McCoy is approximately 250 miles to the southeast of Camp Ripley. The nearest communities to Camp Ripley are Brainerd, 29 miles to the north, and Little Falls, 4 miles to the south (see figure 2, attached). The camp is located entirely within Morrison County.

3.00 Installation

3.01 The camp covers 53,000 acres and is approximately 18 miles north to south and 5-7 miles east to west (see figure 3, attached). The camp is bounded on the east by the Mississippi River and on the north by the Crow Wing River. Land along the south and west boundary of Camp Ripley is a predominantly rural mixture of farmland, marshes, lakes, and woodlands. The topography ranges from flat to hilly and elevations vary from 1,120 feet to 1,520 feet above sea level. Vegetation is generally coniferous, oak, or poplar trees with open areas.

3.02 Cantonment Area: The cantonment area is located in the southeastern corner of Camp Ripley. The main gate enters on Infantry Road (see figure 3 and 4, attached). The cantonment area covers about 260 acres and is laid out in a rectangular shape that is oriented north and south. All of the buildings
are constructed in a very uniform fashion off the roads, which run north and south or east and west. There are a large number of unheated metal building (hutments) on the east side of the cantonment which are used as temporary barracks during the summer months. The remaining permanent buildings are primarily cement block or masonry construction and have tile or asphalt shingle roofing. In area 10 there are also a few World War II-type, two-story wood frame barracks that are winterized. The level terrain and undeveloped space within the cantonment area is ideally suited to building construction.

3.03 Training and Maneuver Area: The areas north of the cantonment area are used for various types of training (range firing, tactical maneuver exercises, winter training, engineer training, etc.). Existing on-post maneuver acres total 47,035. Off-post maneuver acres total 1,672, including some islands in the Mississippi River. Camp Ripley has the advantage of being located in a rural area of low population density without significant encroachment of residential or industrial land uses. Approximately 8,600 acres of leaseable property suitable for military purposes is available along the camp's western boundary.

4.00 Project Description

4.01 The literature search and records review for Camp Ripley is being undertaken as a part of the St. Paul District's effort to prepare a mobilization master plan for the installation. The master plan will outline what steps are necessary in order to support the installation's full mobilization mission. A number of projects have been identified which would bring the installation to full mobilization capability. These have been placed into three groups based upon construction priority during peacetime. Projects range from new construction to winterizing existing structures.

4.02 Mobilization efforts will focus on the cantonment area as described above. While the entire Camp is included in the literature search and records review portion of this cultural resources effort, development of a phased program for future studies will emphasize the work to be done as a result of the St. Paul District's mobilization efforts.

5.00 Definitions

5.01 "Cultural resources" are defined to include any building, site, district, structure, object, data, or other material relating to the history, architecture, archeology, or culture of an area.

5.02 "Literature search" is defined as an examination review of written reports, books, articles, etc., published and unpublished, which are pertinent to the cultural resources investigation to be carried out for a particular project. The purpose of the literature search is to familiarize the contractor with the culture, history, and past investigations which have been carried out in the area of the study, and to provide this information in a summarized form to the agency requesting the search. While the existing data could be extensive, the literature search should be limited, as much as possible, to providing a useable body of data for the purposes outlined above.
5.03 "Records review" is defined as the examination and review of records, files, etc., which are maintained by various local and State agencies. The purpose of the records review is to document the location of known sites which may exist within the project area, their condition, the extent of past work undertaken at the site, and any other information which may be relevant in assessing the significance of the site.

6.00 Study Area

6.01 The areas to be examined as part of this study are those areas within the Camp Ripley boundary as shown on figure 3. Both the cantonment area and the training and maneuver area will be dealt with at the same level of detail for the literature search and records review.

6.02 A number of issues to be addressed in the preparation of the phased program for future studies (discussed below) will require the contractor to be familiar with the history and prehistory of central Minnesota.

7.00 Performance Specifications

7.01 The contractor will utilize a systematic, interdisciplinary approach in conducting the study. The contractor will provide specialized knowledge and skills during the course of the study, to include expertise in archeology and other social and natural sciences as required. Personnel involved with the work under this contract must meet the minimum professional qualifications.

7.02 The extent and character of the work to be accomplished will be subject to the general supervision, direction, control, and approval of the contracting officer.

7.03 Techniques and methodologies used during the investigation shall be representative of the current state of knowledge for their respective disciplines.

7.04 The contractor shall keep standard records which shall include, but not be limited to, research notes, site survey forms, maps, and photographs.

7.05 The contractor shall provide all materials and equipment as may be necessary to expeditiously perform those services required of the study.

Literature Search

7.06 Information and data for the literature search and records review will be obtained from, but not limited to, the following sources:

a. Published and unpublished reports and documents such as books, journals, theses, dissertations, manuscripts, newspapers, W.P.A. reports, surveyors' maps and notes, early atlases, and missionary records.

b. Site files and other information held at the Minnesota Historical Society, the State Archeologist's Office; the University of Minnesota Department of Anthropology and libraries; and materials available from county
and local historical societies.

c. The contractor will obtain from the State Historic Preservation Offices information regarding any cultural resources in the project area that have been nominated or are being considered for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places.

d. Consultation with other professionals familiar with cultural resources in the area.

7.07 A study and evaluation of previous archeological and historical studies of the region, including the date, extent, and adequacy of the past work as it reflects on the interpretation of what has been done in the area should be undertaken and summarized in the report.

7.08 The literature search should include a listing of all sites (historic and prehistoric) identified during the course of the study and an evaluation of the impact of the project upon them.

8.00 Development of a Phased Cultural Resources Program

8.01 The contractor will develop a cultural resources program for Camp Ripley which will identify future research needs on a priority basis. This program should be phased so that future research develops in an orderly, planned manner. Phasing should be developed so that each phase is capable of standing as a distinct unit within the overall plan, for example: an archeological survey of Group I priority projects within the cantonment area or a reconnaissance survey of the training and maneuver area would be acceptable phases - an intensive archeological survey of Camp Ripley would not be acceptable. The contractor should keep in mind that funding for cultural resources will probably be limited, therefore, smaller, less costly phases are likely to be funded sooner than larger, more encompassing phases.

8.02 As part of this phased program, a discussion should be included in the text of the technical report which predicts site density and distribution within the study area. This discussion should be based on the literature search and records review and on the contractor's knowledge of the regional data base. This predictive model will, out of necessity, be intuitive, but it should provide resource managers with general planning guidance. This discussion should also include the limitation of the model as a cautionary statement for its use as a sole planning device. In addition to this discussion, a map showing areas of varying potential for archeological and historic properties will be prepared.

8.03 The contractor will identify areas of past disturbance which may limit the archeological or historic potential of an area. For example, there are areas within the training and maneuver area which have previously been used as tank traps. These traps are excavated ditches which likely destroyed any resources which may have existed in these areas. The contractor shall also identify problems which may make data collection difficult. For example, many unexploded munitions may exist in the range area which would make shovel testing difficult if not impossible. Suggested alternative methods of data
collection or methods of overcoming these problems should also be discussed. Fulfillment of these work tasks will require close coordination with Camp Ripley staff.

8.04 The technical report will discuss known and predicted impacts to the cultural resources of Camp Ripley. All known and predicted impacts should be discussed, however, special attention should be given to those associated with mobilization efforts.

8.05 The contractor will identify the interpretive potential for any sites which may have special or significant interests to the public such as the Fort Ripley historic site. Recommendations for implementing interpretive work is also sought.

8.06 The contractor will provide detailed cost estimates for each phase of work developed to implement future cultural resources research.

9.00 General Report Requirements

9.01 The technical report shall include, but not be limited to, the following sections. These sections do not necessarily need to be discrete sections, however, they should be readily discernable to the reader.

a. **Title Page:** The title page should provide the following information: the type of investigation undertaken; the cultural resources which were assessed (archaeological, historical, and architectural); the project name and location (county and State); the date of the report; the contractors name; the contract number; the name of the author(s) and/or Principal Investigator; the signature of the Principal Investigator; and the agency for which the report is being prepared.

b. **Administrative Summary:** The summary will be a synopsis of the report defining the project area and the level of the cultural resources investigation. It shall summarize the research objectives and problems; methods, numbers, and types of resources identified; the significant recommendations; and any unusual or innovative findings or techniques developed during the course of the investigation. The summary should be as detailed and succinct as possible. Normally the summary will not exceed one typewritten page.

c. **Table of Contents.**

d. **Introduction:** This section should include the purpose of the report; a description of the project; the location of the project including map of the general area; and a project map.

e. **Environmental Setting:** This section should contain a brief description of the environment of the study area, both present and past conditions, and it should be a length commensurate with other sections of supporting type information. The purpose of this section is to document changes in past environmental conditions and the manner in which man has adapted to or attempted to change these conditions. The discussion should
follow a broader habitat approach rather than a listing of faunal and floral species. When possible, specific examples should be provided as supportive evidence of these changes, such as pollen studies and environmental data contained within prehistoric sites.

f. Study Methods: This section should give an explicit statement of the study methods and rationale under which the investigation was completed. It should document the general sources which were sought and the types of data which were expected of these sources. (For example, an archeological journal may provide information on past surveys and excavations which is useful not only for site locations but also for assessing the quality of past work and apparent data gaps which may exist, whereas field notes of General Land Office (GLO) surveys may provide information on the location of prehistoric and early historic sites and early vegetation of an area.)

g. Summary of Regional Prehistory and History: This section should discuss the regional cultural developments in their spatial and chronological position.

h. Investigation Results: This section should describe the historical as well as the prehistoric archeological resources encountered in the literature search and survey, with each site discussed as the separate unit. The site description should include the legal description of the site and the USGS quadrangle map on which it can be found, the size of the site, the type of site (i.e., historic dwelling, prehistoric village, mound group, etc.), the cultural component(s) of the site (if discernable), and any available information on the general nature of the site, to include a general evaluation of impacts of the project upon them. For those sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places, the description shall include a statement of significance and a map showing the boundaries of the site or district. A site map should be included for all other sites described in this study. This section should also contain a brief summary of previous archeological and historical work undertaken at the site including the date, extent, and adequacy of the past work as it reflects on the interpretation of what might be found in the project area. Official site designations should be included for the resources discussed. A means of cross-referencing these sites with the bibliographic entries in which they may be located should also be developed.

i. Future Studies Required: This section will present the phased cultural resources program for future cultural resources investigations at Camp Ripley as outlined in section 8.00 above. Each phase should present the rationale behind the recommended studies (i.e., probability of sites, survey problems, past disturbance, etc.), the phase itself and the costs of implementing the phase.

j. References: American Antiquity format should be used.

k. Appendix: This section should contain the scope of work and the resumes of the Principal Investigator and other major contributors to the study.
9.02 Failure to fulfill these report requirements may result in the rejection of the report by the contracting officer.

10.00 Format Specifications

10.01 Text material will be typed (single-spaced) on good quality bond paper, 8.5 inches by 11.0 inches, with a 1.5-inch binding margin on the left, 1-inch margins on the top and right, and a 1.5-inch margin at the bottom. The report should be reproduced on paper of sufficient weight to allow for printing on both sides of each page.

10.02 Information will be presented in textual, tabular, and graphic forms, whichever is most appropriate, effective, or advantageous to communicate the necessary information.

10.03 All figures must be readily reproducible by standard xerographic equipment.

11.00 Submittals

11.01 The contractor will submit reports according to the following schedules:

a. Draft Final Report: Ten copies will be submitted 212 calendar days after contract award. The contracting officer will provide the contractor with comments on this draft report.

b. Revised Final Report: An original, camera ready copy will be submitted 60 calendar days after receipt of comments by the contractor. This final report will include appropriate revisions in response to the contracting officer's comments.

11.02 The contractor shall submit the photographic negatives for all black and white photographs which appear in the final report.

11.03 The contractor shall not release any sketch, photograph, report, or other material of any nature obtained or prepared under this contract without specific written approval of the contracting officer prior to the acceptance of the final report by the Government.

12.00 Method of Payment

12.01 Requests for partial payment under this fixed price contract shall be made monthly on ENG Form 93. A 10-percent retained percentage will be withheld from each partial payment. Upon approval of the final reports by the contracting officer, final payment, including previously retained percentage, shall be made.
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Vita of Principal Investigator
ROBERT PATRICK FAY

Date and Place of Birth: 16 May 1952, Waukesha, Wisconsin

Marital Status: Married, 1975, to Georgia (Pauly)
One daughter, Erin

Education:

M.A. - Anthropology, University of Kentucky-Lexington, 1980
B.A. - Anthropology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1974

Special Areas of Interest:

North American Prehistory, Eastern United States and Western Great Lakes Region; Historical Archaeology; Problems in Cultural Resource Management; Faunal Analysis.

Present Position:

Self-employed contract archaeologist, Old Northwest Research.

Previous Employment:

1975-1977 Archaeologist/Environmental Reviewer, Anthropology Office, State Historical Society of Wisconsin (full time - 10 months, part-time - 11 months). Reviewed environmental impact statements for the State Archaeologist and State Historic Preservation Officer and maintained the Wisconsin Archaeological Codification Files.

1977-1978 Research Analyst I - Historic Preservation Division, State Historical Society of Wisconsin (7 months). Employed under a Coastal Zone Management grant to compile, systematize, and update an inventory of known Wisconsin archaeological sites in Lake Michigan counties.

1978 Curator II, Anthropology Office, State Historical Society of Wisconsin (4 weeks). Employed under a Wisconsin Department of Transportation, Division of Highways grant to conduct a records search of archaeological sites reported during the Wisconsin Highways Survey Program.

1980-1981 Natural Resource Specialist 2 - Staff Archaeologist, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Parks and Recreation (15 months). Employed under a federal survey and planning subgrant to conduct archaeological field surveys on Department lands and implement a Departmental cultural resource management program.

1982 Research Associate, Great Lakes Archaeological Research Center, Inc., Waukesha, Wisconsin (5 months). Conducted a literature search and records review of historical, historic archaeological, and architectural resources in the Upper Mississippi River Basin.
1982 (cont.)

from the Falls of St. Anthony to Lock & Dam No. 10 at Guttenberg, Iowa. Report submitted to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District.

1982-1983

Research Analyst 2 - Archaeologist/Planner, Historic Preservation Division, State Historical Society of Wisconsin (9 months). Employed under a federal survey and planning grant to develop the historic archaeological component of a state-wide cultural resource management (RP3) plan.

1983-1984

Natural Resource Specialist 3 - Project Archaeologist, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Parks and Recreation (9 months). Conducted archaeological field surveys of proposed developments on Department lands in accordance with federal standards and state guidelines and a cultural resources literature search and records review of the proposed Lower Wisconsin River State Forest.

Fieldwork Experience:

Wisconsin

1972

Eight week field school, Aebischer (Paleo-Indian-Archaic) and Geiser (Woodland) Sites, Calumet County, Wisconsin. University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh, Dr. Alaric Faulkner, Field Director.

1974

Archaeological Site Survey, Proposed Upland Recreation Areas of Lake LaFarge Project, Vernon County, Wisconsin (10 weeks) crew member, State Historical Society of Wisconsin, John Halsey, Project Director.

1974

Test Excavations, Cortois (Pedretti) Village Site (Hopewell), Crawford County, Wisconsin (1 day) field volunteer.

1975

Site Survey and Test Excavations, Proposed Lower Pool of the Lake LaFarge Project, Vernon County, Wisconsin (10 weeks) crew member, State Historical Society of Wisconsin, John Halsey, Project Director.

1975

Aztalan State Park, Proposed Warehouse Site, Jefferson County, Wisconsin (1 day) field assistant, State Historical Society of Wisconsin, Dr. Joan E. Freeman, Project Director.

1975

Lenius Village Site (Woodland), Door County, Wisconsin (3 days) field assistant, State Historical Society of Wisconsin, John Halsey, Project Director.

1976

Highway Survey in Brown, Iowa, Richland, and Washington Counties, Wisconsin (12 weeks) project assistant, State Historical Society of Wisconsin, Dr. Joan E. Freeman, Supervisor.
1976 Archaeological Site Survey, Otter Creek Watershed, Iowa County, Wisconsin, U.S. Department of Agriculture—Soil Conservation Service (10 days) project assistant, State Historical Society of Wisconsin, Dr. Joan E. Freeman, Supervisor.

1976 Test excavations at Rolette House (c. 1840), Prairie du Chien, Crawford County, Wisconsin (1 week) crew member, State Historical Society of Wisconsin, Dr. Joan E. Freeman, Project Director.


1977 Test excavations at Rolette House (c. 1840), Prairie du Chien, Crawford County, Wisconsin (4 weeks) project assistant, State Historical Society of Wisconsin, Dr. Joan E. Freeman, Project Director.

1978 North West Company Wintering Post (c. 1802–1803), Burnett County, Wisconsin (8 weeks) crew member, State Historical Society of Wisconsin, Dr. Joan E. Freeman and Edgar S. Oerichbauer, Project Directors.

1980-1981 Archaeological Site Surveys and Test Excavations at several Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources properties: Buffalo River State Trail (Buffalo County), Devil's Lake State Park (Sauk County), Dunnville Wildlife Area (Dunn County), Governor Nelson State Park (Dane County), Havenwoods State Forest Preserve (Milwaukee County), Hoffman Hills Recreation Area (Dunn County), Pike Lake State Park (Washington County), and Tower Hill State Park (Iowa County), Principal Investigator.

1982 Salvage Excavations at "Hardscrabble" (c. 1820s–1840s), an early lead mining community near Hazel Green, Grant and Lafayette Counties, Wisconsin (5 days), Principal Investigator.

1983 Test Excavations at the site of Fort Koshkonong (1832), Fort Atkinson, Jefferson County, Wisconsin (1 day), Co-Principal Investigator, Hoard Museum.

1983 Archaeological Site Surveys at several Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources properties: Devil's Lake State Park (Sauk County), Havenwoods Forest Preserve and Nature Center (Milwaukee County), Indian Mounds State Forest Campground and Picnic Area (Oneida County), and Red Cedar Lake Wildlife Production Area (Jefferson County), Principal Investigator.

1984 Archaeological Site Surveys and Test Excavations at several project areas: Whitefish Dunes State Park (Door County), Sokaogon Chippewa Housing Authority, Mole Lake Indian Reservation (Forest County), City of New Lisbon Multi-Housing Parcel (Juneau County), Lake Redstone Protection District Permit Area (Sauk County), CTH bridge replacements (Sauk County), and Jackson Bay Elderly Apartment Parcel (Washington County), Principal Investigator.
**Kentucky**

1978  
Johnson Site (Fort Ancient), Scott County, Kentucky (1 day) field volunteer, Charles Hockensmith, Principal Investigator, Department of Anthropology, University of Kentucky.

1978  
Test Excavations at Liberty Hall (c. 1796), Frankfort, Kentucky (1 week), Principal Investigator. Kentucky Chapter of the National Society of Colonial Dames in America.

1979  
Salvage Excavations, Archaic Burial Site, Logan County, Kentucky (1 day) field volunteer, Department of Anthropology, University of Kentucky.

1979  
Test Excavations at Liberty Hall (c. 1796), Frankfort, Kentucky (12 weeks) Principal Investigator. Kentucky Chapter of the National Society of Colonial Dames in America.

1979  
Archaeological Site Survey, Daniel Boone National Forest, Bath and Menifee Counties, Kentucky (1 day) crew member, Cultural Resource Assessment Program, Department of Anthropology, University of Kentucky.

1980  
Test Excavations at Lee's Tavern (c. 1790), Nugent's Crossroads, Woodford County, Kentucky (4 weeks) Co-Principal Investigator. Woodford County Historical Society, Versailles, Kentucky.

**California**

1980  
Archaeological Site Survey, Tahoe National Forest, Sierra and Nevada Counties, California (2 weeks) field supervisor, Adena Information Management Corporation, Lexington, Kentucky, Dr. Richard Levy, Principal Investigator.

**Publications:**

1977  

1984a  

1984b  

**Technical Reports:**

1975  
A Report on Ceramic Material Recovered from the Preston Rockshelter (Gt-157): An Archaic to Late Woodland Rockshelter in Grant County, Wisconsin. MS. on file, Department of Anthropology, University of Wisconsin, Madison.


1980  The Vertebrate Faunal Remains from Liberty Hall, Frankfort, Kentucky: A Preliminary Report. MS. on file, Department of Anthropology, University of Kentucky.


Papers Presented:


Teaching Experience:

1977 Student Residency, James Madison Memorial High School, Madison, Wisconsin. Natural History Course, Sophomore curriculum, spring semester.

1979 Teaching Assistantship, ANT 121 Human Ancestry, University of Kentucky, spring semester.

Professional Memberships:

Society for Historical Archaeology
The Wisconsin Archaeological Society
Survey and Codification Committee 1976-78
The Wisconsin Archaeological Survey
Aztalan Committee 1981-present
Legislative Committee 1982-83
Public Information Committee 1982-present
Vice-President 1983-present
The Wisconsin Academy of Sciences, Arts and Letters
The National Trust for Historic Preservation
Minnesota Historical Society
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DRAFT REPORT REVIEW COMMENTS AND RESPONSES
June 3, 1985

Environmental Resources Branch
Planning Division

Mr. Robert P. Fay
Old Northwest Research
2310 Rowley Avenue
Madison, Wisconsin 53705

Dear Mr. Fay:

Enclosed are the comments of the St. Paul District, the State Historic Preservation Officer, and the National Park Service on your draft report of February 15, 1985 entitled Cultural Resources Literature Search and Records Review of the Camp Ripley, General E.A. Walsh Training Center, Morrison County, Minnesota.

We look forward to receiving the final report which incorporates the submitted comments. Should you have any questions on any of the comments and how these should be incorporated into the final, please call Mr. David Berwick of my staff at (612) 725-7854.

Sincerely,

Wayne A. Knott
Chief, Environmental Resources Branch
Planning Division
ST. PAUL DISTRICT COMMENTS ON A REPORT ENTITLED
CULTURAL RESOURCES LITERATURE SEARCH AND RECORDS REVIEW
OF THE CAMP RIPLEY, GENERAL E. A. WALSH TRAINING CENTER
MORRISON COUNTY, MINNESOTA

1. We are very pleased with the report. It is a well researched and well written document. The text is clear, concise, and interesting.

2. There are a number of typographical and editorial errors in the document which need to be corrected. Please carefully review the report and make appropriate changes.

3. The "targeted survey area", p. 42, figure 5, is missing the crosshatching in the key of the figure.

4. Figures 8-15 are poorly reproduced. The clarity of these figures should be corrected for the final report. These should be of a quality to be easily reproduced by standard copying equipment.

5. Please contact Mr. David Berwick to discuss the comments of the National Park Service and the Minnesota State Historic Preservation Officer. It may be desirable to contact Mr. John Ebert of Camp Ripley to discuss these comments in relation to their needs; however, first contact Mr. Berwick.
Mr. Wayne A. Knott  
Chief, Environmental Resources Branch  
Planning Division  
U.S. Corps of Engineers  
1135 U.S. Post Office and Custom House  
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101  

Dear Mr. Knott:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the draft report entitled Cultural Resources Literature Search and Records Review of the Camp Ripley, General E.A. Walsh Training Center, Morrison County, Minnesota by Mr. Robert Fay of Old Northwest Research.

The report seems to be a quality report that is well written. The following comments are provided to make it accurate and current:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Para/Line</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Change to Read</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2-7</td>
<td>Trunk Highways 6 and 115</td>
<td>County Highway 1 and State Highway 115</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4-2</td>
<td>Infantry Road 260</td>
<td>Walsh Drive 1955</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4-4</td>
<td>Trunk Highway 115</td>
<td>State Highway 115</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4-8</td>
<td>Freehem Freedhem</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3-9</td>
<td>Towns Townships</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Army Reserve National</td>
<td>Army National</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-5</td>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Trunk Highway 6</td>
<td>County Highway 1</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-78</td>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Infantry Road</td>
<td>Walsh Drive</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Should you have any questions concerning these comments, please contact Mr. John Ebert of my staff at 612-632-6631, extension 447.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

W. A. JOHNSON  
Major, Infantry  
Minnesota Army National Guard  
Facilities Management Officer
Mr. Wayne A. Knott  
Corps of Engineers  
1135 U.S. Post Office & Custom House  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101  

Dear Mr. Knott:

RE: Cultural Resources Literature Search  
and Records Review of the Camp Ripley,  
General E.A. Walsh Training Center,  
Morrison County, Minnesota  

MHS Referral File Number: X-435  
(PLEASE REFER TO THIS NUMBER IN  
ALL FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE)

Thank you for the opportunity to review the above-referenced report.  
It is a well-researched and well written effort. The only disagreement I have is with the proposed random sampling scheme (pp.44-45). I can think of no reason to utilize such a scheme. What would it accomplish? A better course for future investigations would be to identify zones of high-probability for either historic or prehistoric sites, and then examine those areas, prioritizing the work by likely construction or use impacts.

Thank you for undertaking this important cultural resources reconnaissance. We look forward to receiving the final copy.

If you have any questions on our review, please contact Ted Lofstrom, Environmental Assessment Officer, Minnesota Historical Society, Fort Snelling History Center, St. Paul, Minnesota 55111.

Sincerely,

Russell W. Fridley  
State Historic Preservation Officer  

cc: David Berwick, Corps of Engineers
Mr. Wayne A. Knott
Chief, Environmental Resources Branch
Planning Division
Attention: Mr. David Berwick
Department of the Army
St. Paul District, Corps of Engineers
1135 U.S. Post Office & Custom House
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

Dear Mr. Knott:

In response to your request of March 1, 1985, we have reviewed the draft report entitled "Cultural Resources Literature Search and Records Review of Camp Ripley, General E. A. Walsh Training Center, Morrison County, Minnesota." Enclosed please find a copy of the review comments.

The thorough effort in compiling a data base of known resources is noted. However, recommendations for evaluation and management of resources are incomplete. The report does not provide sufficient guidance for implementation of historic preservation needs.

Thank you for allowing us to review this report; we trust that our comments prove useful.

Sincerely,

Jack R Rudy, Chief
Branch of Interagency Archeological Services

Enclosure
Subject report is a draft reviewed at request of the St. Paul District, Corps of Engineers. Purposes of the study are to locate and evaluate archeological and historic sites from existing information, assess potential impacts to resources posed by planned mobilization projects, and develop a phased program for future study and management of resources. The author partially succeeds in meeting these proposes.

Brief summaries of regional environment and culture history are presented for backgrounding, and made pertinent to the study area. Understandably, the historic overview of Fort/Camp Ripley is the more thorough discussion. The "methodology" of the study is described in terms of archival repositories and major types of sources examined, and individuals consulted. The extensive bibliography of examined documents indicates a rather thorough effort, but the author notes that there is no guarantee that all known resources are identified.

The resultant data base consists of five prehistoric sites, only one of which is recorded in state files. Fifty-six historic sites, including standing structures, are identified. These are largely concerned with turn of the century rural development; only a few military sites are present. A detailed inventory of resources and a compilation of site records are included. The author also assesses the data base for biases and gaps.

Expectedly, much of Camp Ripley has been impacted by past training activities, especially the cantonment. Impacts stemming from land use prior to expansion of camp development are not addressed. The forty-three proposed mobilization projects, which are the impetus for this study, are not adequately described. Hence, the reader is unable to determine the full potential for impacts to known or unknown resources. Apparently, most of these projects concern winterization of existing structures. If this is the case, the author may be correct in stating that none of the proposed projects will have significant adverse effect on known sites. The uncertainty here is the presence of unknown resources within presently impacted areas. The author addresses this by recommending systematic archeological survey and testing.

Recommendation for management of the data base center on further identification, evaluation, and interpretation of sites. Intensive survey is recommended for specific project areas ("Targeted Survey"), and sample survey is recommended for the balance of the camp. For the latter effort, the author identifies randomly selected survey tracts that encompass major environmental zones. However, there is no explanation for the sample size that consists of less than 25% of the camp area. The reader is left wondering whether more or
less would suffice. The recommendations for geomorphological study could be expanded to clarify potentially important results. Recommendations for evaluation concern field examination to record known sites, and efforts to nominate significant resources to the National Register of Historic Places. Regrettably, the author does not present any research topics, or design, for supportable application of National Register criteria to individual or collected sites. Management recommendations also fail to consider the following major points (1) Treatment of sites yet to be discovered, including emergency discovery situations, (2) Treatment of human burials, both historic and prehistoric, (3) Identification and consideration of American Indian religious sites under Public Law 95-341, and (4) Historic architectural assessment of standing structures, including engineering works. Recommendations for interpretation are provided per contract terms, although it is difficult to envision the National Guard being in the business of educating the public in local history and archeology.

Summarily, the author has addressed half of the project needs in this study; a data base is provided. However, recommendations for resource evaluation and management are incomplete. As presently written, this report will not provide suitable and economic compliance with historic preservation requirements.
Responses by Old Northwest Research to the Draft Report Review Comments

U.S. Department of the Army, St. Paul District, Corps of Engineers

1. Typographical and editorial errors in the document have been corrected.

2. Crosshatching has been added to "targeted survey area" in the key of Figure 5.

3. Figures 8-15 have been reproduced for clarity.

State of Minnesota Department of Military Affairs, Office of the Architect and Engineer

4. All of the comments listed to make the document accurate and current have been made.

State Historic Preservation Officer, Minnesota Historical Society

5. The development of a sampling strategy to predict site density and distribution within the study area is specified in the scope of work (Section 8.02). As indicated on p. 50, Section 7 of the report, several areas within the camp boundaries where both prehistoric and historic sites might be expected to be found are not available for field survey due to training and maneuver areas and unexploded munition fields. These restricted areas are "off limits" and closed to the general public. The five randomly selected survey areas encompass a variety of environmental zones (river terraces, wetlands, inland lakes, wooded uplands) that are accessible for reconnaissance survey work. It is expected that the results from surveys conducted in these areas will provide much needed data concerning prehistoric site density as well as information on any historic period sites located on the military reservation. The results will also be used to establish additional data sets and cultural resource management recommendations and to make predictions concerning site density in restricted areas of the camp which, more than likely, will never be investigated for archaeological remains. The "proposed random sampling scheme" augments nine "targeted survey areas" (pp. 49-50) that appear to have a high probability for sites. Work in these areas has not been prioritized since it does not appear that mobilization development and use will directly impact any known cultural resources.

U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Rocky Mountain Regional Office

6. Impacts stemming from Euro-American frontier settlement and rural land use prior to the expansion of Camp Ripley are mentioned on p. 11, paragraph 2, and include dams, roads, bridges, churches, schools, and farms. For purposes of clarification, a paragraph summarizing these
impacts on the cultural resource data base within the study area has been prepared and inserted as paragraph 4 under Section 6 on p. 39.

7. The 43 proposed mobilization projects at Camp Ripley are summarized in Tables 7-9 which have been inserted in the report to clarify the statement made on p. 44 that most of the proposed mobilization projects concern the winterization of existing structures or will occur in areas of previous soil disturbances. None of the projects, as currently planned, will adversely effect any known significant cultural resource.

8. A 25% random sample is suggested only as a possible sample size. Alternative sampling procedures obviously exist. However, the use of a large random sample size has several distinct advantages over other sampling methods. For example, it permits the unbiased estimation of site density accompanied by mathematically derived confidence levels, has the potential for identifying large numbers of sites, and facilitates the location of sampling units in the field. Given the opportunity to survey a large tract of public land in a region that is virtually unknown archaeologically, a relatively large random sample was decided upon as a plausible and realistic sampling strategy.

9. It is reasonable to assume that river management practices and natural processes are seriously impacting cultural resources located along the Crow Wing and Mississippi Rivers within the study area. A study of the geomorphology in combination with a reconnaissance survey to locate and identify potentially significant sites possibly impacted by riverbank erosion and ground disturbances or are deeply buried in the area should be conducted so that recommendations for the management of cultural resources located on river islands and in the floodplain within the study area can be properly formulated and implemented.

10. A sentence listing possible research topics and themes has been inserted at the end of paragraph 2, line 16, on p. 53.

11. Paragraphs summarizing the treatment of sites yet to be discovered as well as human burials located within the study area have been inserted as Sections 3 and 4, respectively, on p. 53.

12. An historic architectural survey of standing structures at Camp Ripley conducted by Patricia Murphy of the State Historic Preservation Office, Minnesota Historical Society, identified four architecturally significant structures in the cantonment area (Murphy 1984). No significant engineering works were identified during the survey. Information on the four architecturally significant structures is summarized in Table 4, p. 32, and has been included in the historic sites inventory. The second sentence in paragraph 3, p. 53, has been changed for clarity.

13. Although the Minnesota National Guard is admittedly not in the "business of educating the public in local history and archaeology," the Camp Ripley Military Museum located in the cantonment area already contains some very informative exhibits and displays on the history of Fort
Ripley and the Minnesota National Guard and is open to the general public during the summer months. A small exhibit showing the locations of prehistoric and historic sites at the camp could be easily prepared and installed in the museum, if desirable, and would require a minimal amount of time, effort and money. Such an exhibit would augment the museum's educational function by providing additional enjoyment for the general public.

14. The recommendations for resource evaluation and management have been expanded and clarified to make them more comprehensive for compliance and planning purposes.