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NOMENCLATURE

\( \alpha \) : Constant defined as \( \alpha = \sqrt{r^2+1} \)

\( b \) : Constant defined as \( b = \sqrt{r^2-1} \)

\( B(\omega, \xi) \) : Integral defined in (8.4)

\( c \) : Chord of the hydrofoil

\( C(\alpha) \) : Camber distribution of the foil and cavity (see Figure 1)

\( C \) : Constant defined in (9.5)

\( C_D \) : Drag coefficient

\( C_L \) : Lift coefficient

\( C_{L_i} \) : Lift coefficient at \( \alpha = \alpha_i \)

\( C_M \) : Moment coefficient

\( D \) : Constant defined in (9.5)

\( f_{\text{max}} \) : Maximum camber of the hydrofoil

\( f(\theta) \) : Function defined in (10.3)

\( F(\xi) \) : Integral defined in (B.5)

\( F_{\text{c}}(\xi) \) : Integral defined in (B.6)

\( G \) : Constant defined in (B.4)

\( h(x) \) : Cavity thickness distribution (see Figure 1)

\( h_i \) : Discrete cavity thickness distribution (see also C.1)

\( I(\eta) \) : Integral defined as \( I(\eta) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{\xi-\eta}{\xi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\xi} \cdot \frac{1}{\xi-\eta} \cdot d\xi \)

\( \bar{I}(\xi) \) : Integral defined in (6.2)

\( J(\eta) \) : Integral defined in (5.9)

\( K \) : Number of uniform intervals to apply Simpson's rule

\( \bar{K}(\xi) \) : Integral defined in (6.6)
\( \ell \): Cavity length (see Figure 1)

\( p_\infty \): Free stream pressure (see Figure 1)

\( P(z) \): Integral defined in (A.21)

\( q(x) \): Cavity source distribution on the cavitating hydrofoil (see Figure 1)

\( \tilde{q}(x) \): Defined as \( \tilde{q}(x) = q(x) / (\sigma \cdot U_\infty) \)

\( Q \): Quantity depending linearly on the solution \( \sigma, \gamma(x), q(x) \) (i.e. \( \sigma, \gamma(x), q(x), \bar{\eta}(x), \psi, \psi_c, \psi_m, \sqrt{\sigma} \))

\( \Gamma \): Constant defined as \( \Gamma = (\ell / (\ell - 1))^{1/4} \) or \( \Gamma = (1 + \ell^2)^{1/4} \)

\( R(\omega, z) \): Integral defined in (6.7)

\( S(z) \): Integral defined in (A.20)

\( t \): Constant defined as \( t = 1 / \sqrt{\ell - 1} \)

\( t_{\text{max}} \): Maximum thickness of the hydrofoil

\( u \): \( x \)-component of the perturbation velocity (see Figure 1)

\( U_\infty \): Free stream velocity (see Figure 1)

\( v \): \( y \)-component of the perturbation velocity (see Figure 1)

\( \bar{V}(x) \): Induced velocity in wake by the vorticity distribution \( \gamma(x) \)

\( \tilde{V}(x) \): Defined as \( \tilde{V}(x) = v(x) / (\sigma \cdot U_\infty) \)

\( \bar{V} \): Cavity volume (including the foil)

\((x, y)\): Coordinate system for the hydrofoil (see Figure 1)

\( \chi_c(x) \): Camber distribution of the hydrofoil (see Figure 1)

\( \chi_t(x) \): Thickness distribution of the hydrofoil (see Figure 2)

\( \bar{Z} \): Transformed \( x \) defined as \( \bar{Z} = \sqrt{\frac{x}{\ell - x}} \); \( 0 \leq \bar{Z} \leq t \)
\( \alpha \): Angle of attack

\( \alpha_i \): Ideal angle of attack

\( \delta(x) \): Vortex distribution on the cavitating hydrofoil

(see Figure 1)

\( \delta(x) \): Defined as \( \delta(x) = \gamma(x) / (\sigma \cdot \omega) \)

\( \Delta \alpha \): Increment in the angle of attack \( \alpha \)

\( \varepsilon \): Similarity parameter

\( \eta \): Transformed \( \xi \) defined as \( \eta = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi}} \); \( 0 \leq \eta \leq \pi \)

\( \bar{\eta}(x) \): Distribution of the lower surface of the hydrofoil

(see Figure 1)

\( \varphi \): Transformed \( \eta \) defined by the relationship:

\[
\eta = \pi \cdot \sin^2 \left( \frac{\varphi}{2} \right) ; \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq \pi
\]

\( \Phi(x) \): Defined as \( \Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{\eta}}{\partial x} \)

\( \xi \): Same as \( x \)

\( \rho_c \): Radius of curvature at the leading edge of the hydrofoil

\( \sigma \): Cavitation number; \( \sigma = (\rho_c - \rho_v) / (\rho_c \cdot \omega^2) \)

\( \rho \): vapor pressure

\( \rho \): density of the fluid

\( \Phi(\eta, \omega) \): Integral defined in (6.4)

\( \phi \): Transformed \( \xi \) defined by the relationship:

\[
\phi = \pi \cdot \sin^2 \left( \frac{\phi}{2} \right) ; \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq \pi
\]

\( \omega \): Same as \( \eta \)
Subscripts

\( C \) : Refers to camber problem (see Figure 3)

\( t \) : Refers to thickness problem (see Figure 3)

\( \alpha \) : Refers to flat plate problem at angle of attack \( \alpha \)

(see Figure 3)
\( \sigma = \frac{4\sqrt{2}\cdot r^{-4}}{\pi \cdot (r^2+1)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{1-\eta} \cdot \left( a+b \cdot \eta \right) \cdot \left( -\frac{3\sqrt{r}}{x} \right) \cdot d\eta \)   \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

where:
\[
\nu^4 = 1 + \nu^2
\]
\[
\nu^2 = \frac{1}{(c-1)}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.10)

Equation (4.9) gives \( \sigma \) in terms of an integral of the slope \( \rho \eta \) of the lower surface of the hydrofoil and functions of \( \nu \). The numerical implementation of (4.9) for a general shape hydrofoil is described in §10. Equivalent expressions for \( \sigma \) but also for \( C_L \), \( C_M \) and \( C_D \) have first been given by Hansoka in [1].

In this work, we focus more on the cavity shape than on the hydrodynamic characteristics of the cavitating hydrofoil. Thus we proceed by giving general expressions for the cavity volume \( V \) and the cavity source distribution \( q(x) \).

5. CAVITY VOLUME \( V \)

The cavity volume \( V \) will be given by the expression
\[
V = \int_{0}^{\ell} h(x) \cdot dx = x \cdot h(x) \big|_{0}^{\ell} - \int_{0}^{\ell} \frac{dh}{dx} \cdot dx =
\]
\[
= -\int_{0}^{\ell} \frac{q(x)}{V_{\infty}} \cdot dx = -\sigma \cdot \int_{0}^{\ell} \frac{q(x)}{V_{\infty}} \cdot dx
\]  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

where we have made use of (1.4), (1.10), (3.1)

Now plugging (3.6) in (5.1), by changing the order of integration and by using A.11, A.12 and (4.4), we get:
\[
\frac{V}{\sigma} = \int_{0}^{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{x}{x-\xi}} \cdot dx - \frac{\ell}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{x}{x-\xi}} \cdot \frac{\xi}{x-\xi} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\xi}}{x} \cdot d\xi =
\]
\[
= \frac{3n.\ell^2}{8} - \frac{\ell}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\ell} \frac{q(\xi)}{v_{\infty}} \cdot d\xi \cdot \sqrt{\frac{x}{x-\xi}} \cdot \left\{ \int_{0}^{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{x}{x-\xi}} \cdot \frac{1}{x-\xi} \cdot dx \right\} =
\]
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or \[-\frac{n}{2} + \int_0^t \frac{2}{(1+\eta^2)^2} \cdot \bar{\gamma}(\eta) \cdot d\eta = 0, \quad (4.5)\]

using the transformation (3.8).

By plugging (3.10) in (4.5) and changing the order of integration we get:

\[-\frac{n}{2} + \int_0^t \frac{2}{n} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{t-z}{z}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+z^2)^2} \cdot \frac{\eta}{t-\eta} \cdot \left[ \frac{\eta-z}{2} \cdot \bar{\gamma}^* \right] \cdot \frac{d\eta}{(1+\eta^2)(z-\eta)} = 0\]

\[-\frac{n}{2} + \frac{2}{n} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{d\eta}{1+\eta^2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{t-\eta}} \cdot \left[ \frac{\eta-z}{2} \cdot \bar{\gamma}^* \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{\eta-z}{2} \cdot \bar{\gamma}^* \right] \cdot (z-\eta) = 0\]

\[= -\frac{n}{2} - \frac{2}{n} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{d\eta}{1+\eta^2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{t-\eta}} \cdot \left[ \frac{\eta-z}{2} \cdot \bar{\gamma}^* \right] \cdot I(\eta) = 0\]

Where:

\[I(\eta) = \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{t-z}{z}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+z^2} \cdot \frac{1}{z-\eta} \cdot d\eta\]

But: \[I(\eta) = -\frac{n}{12\cdot(1+\eta^2)} \cdot (a + b \cdot \eta)\]

(see A.17)

with: \[a = \sqrt{1+t^2} + 1, \quad b = \sqrt{1+t^2} - 1\] \( (4.7)\)

Therefore the closure condition (4.6) becomes:

\[\frac{n}{2} - \sqrt{2} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{\eta}{t-\eta} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+\eta^2)^2} \cdot \left[ \frac{\eta-z}{2} \cdot \bar{\gamma}^* \right] \cdot (a + b \cdot \eta) \cdot d\eta = 0\]

\[ (4.8)\]

And by using equations A.5, A.6 and 3.1 we finally get:
Kutta-condition (3.4).

By plugging (3.8) in (3.6) we get:

\[
\bar{q}(z) = -z + \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{z(1 + z^2)}{(z^2 - \eta^2)(1 + \eta^2)} \int_0^\tau \frac{\bar{\sigma}(\eta)}{(z^2 - \eta^2)(1 + \eta^2)} d\eta
\]  

(3.11)

The expressions (3.10) and (3.11) give the formal solution to our problem as soon as we determine the corresponding cavitation number \( \sigma \).

4. CAVITATION NUMBER \( \sigma \)

The closure condition (3.5) by using the expression of \( \bar{q}(x) \) from (3.6), becomes:

\[
-\int \left[ \int \frac{x}{\sqrt[3]{1 - x}} \cdot dx \right] \left. \frac{d}{d\eta} \int \frac{x}{\sqrt[3]{1 - x}} \cdot \int \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{x}}} {\sqrt{1 - \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{x}}}{x}} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}(\xi)}{\sqrt{x - \xi}}} \right] dx = 0
\]

(4.1)

But:

\[
\int \frac{x}{\sqrt[3]{1 - x}} \cdot dx = \frac{\pi \cdot e}{2} \quad \text{ (see A.10)}
\]

Therefore:

\[
- \frac{\pi \cdot e}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{x}{\sqrt[3]{1 - x}} \cdot dx \cdot \int \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{x}}} {\sqrt{1 - \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{x}}}{x}} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}(\xi)}{\sqrt{x - \xi}}} dx = 0
\]

(4.2)

or:

\[
- \frac{\pi \cdot e}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int d\xi \cdot \sqrt{\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}}{\xi} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}(\xi)}{\sqrt{x - \xi}}} \left( \int \frac{x}{\sqrt[3]{1 - x}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{x - \xi}} \cdot dx \right) = 0
\]

(4.3)

by changing the order of integration in the double integral of (4.2).

But:

\[
\int \frac{x}{\sqrt[3]{1 - x}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{x - \xi}} \cdot dx = \pi \quad \text{ (see A.13a)}
\]

Thus:

\[
- \frac{\pi \cdot e}{2} + \int d\xi \cdot \sqrt{\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}}{\xi} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}(\xi)}{\sqrt{x - \xi}}} = 0
\]

(4.4)
Using similar procedures as in [1] and [2] we derive the solution to the system of singular integral equations (3.2)-(3.5) as follows:

Inverting first (3.2) we get:

\[
\bar{q}(\varepsilon) = -\sqrt{\frac{e-x}{e-\varepsilon}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{e-\varepsilon}} \cdot \left\{ \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \bar{\delta}(\varepsilon) \cdot \frac{d\varepsilon}{e-\varepsilon} \right\}
\]

Notice that this is the unique solution which behaves like \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{e-x}} \) at the trailing edge of the cavity (T.Y. Wu's singularity).

Plugging (3.6) in (3.3) we finally get:

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int\left( \frac{\sqrt{e-x}}{x} + \frac{\sqrt{e-\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \right) \cdot \bar{\delta}(\varepsilon) \cdot \frac{d\varepsilon}{x-\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} - \bar{\delta}^*(x) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{e-x}{x}}
\]

Using the transformation:

\[
x^2 = \frac{e-x}{e-\varepsilon} \quad \gamma^2 = \frac{\varepsilon}{e-\varepsilon} \quad t = \frac{1}{e-\varepsilon}
\]

(3.7) becomes:

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma-\varepsilon}^{\gamma+\varepsilon} \bar{\delta}(\gamma) \cdot d\gamma = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon^2} - \frac{\bar{\delta}^*(x)}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^2}
\]

Inversion of the (3.9) gives:

\[
\bar{\delta}(\varepsilon) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{(\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2)} \cdot \left[ \sqrt{\frac{e-x}{x}} \cdot \left\{ \frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \right\} \right] \frac{d\gamma}{(\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2)(\varepsilon-\eta)}
\]

Notice that (3.10) gives the unique solution which satisfies also the
cavity length (see Fig. 3a).

b) **Affine camber meanlines and thickness forms**

\[ Q_f = e \cdot Q_{f_0} \]  

(2.8)

where \( Q_f \) and \( Q_{f_0} \) correspond to camber/chord ratios \( f \) and \( f_0 \) respectively and zero angle of attack (see Fig 3b).

A similar relationship is also true for affine thickness forms.

Therefore for a given camber or thickness series we need to solve the problem for one value of the similarity parameter and one angle of attack.

The decomposition described above can also be done when the cavity does not start at the leading edge of the foil. In that case both the leading edge and the trailing edge of the cavity have to remain fixed for each elementary problem.

3. **SOLUTION TO THE GENERAL SUPERCAVITATING PROBLEM**

It is convenient to non-dimensionalize equations (1.6)-(1.9) by dividing by \( (\sigma \cdot U_f) \) and calling

\[
\bar{\sigma}(x) = \frac{\sigma(x)}{\sigma \cdot U_f} \quad \bar{\eta}(x) = \frac{\eta(x)}{\sigma \cdot U_f} \quad \bar{\eta}(x) = \frac{x}{\sigma} \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{\eta}}{\partial x} \quad (3.1)
\]

\[
\bar{\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_o^e \frac{e \cdot \bar{\eta}(x) \cdot d\xi}{\xi - x} = \frac{1}{2} \quad ; \quad 0 < x < e \quad (3.2)
\]

with \( \bar{\sigma}(x) = 0 \) for \( 1 < x < e \)
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Figure 3

CHANGE IN THE ANGLE OF ATTACK OR IN THE SCALE
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a) Camber problem with \( \overline{\gamma}(x) = Y_c(x) \)

b) Thickness problem with \( \overline{\gamma}(x) = -Y_t(x) \)

c) Flat plate at angle of attack \( \alpha \)

The solution \( \sigma, \gamma(x), q(x) \) will be the linear superposition of the solutions of those problems:

\[
\sigma = \sigma_c + \sigma_t + \sigma_\alpha \tag{2.3}
\]

\[
\gamma(x) = \gamma_c(x) + \gamma_t(x) + \gamma_\alpha(x) \tag{2.4}
\]

\[
q(x) = q_c(x) + q_t(x) + q_\alpha(x) \tag{2.5}
\]

Furthermore, for each quantity \( Q \) which depends linearly on the solution, we will have:

\[
Q = Q_c + Q_t + Q_\alpha \tag{2.6}
\]

For example \( Q \) can be: \( \sigma, \gamma(x), q(x), L(x), c(x), V \), \( C_L, C_M, \sqrt{C_D} \)

Some particular cases can then be examined:

a) **Change of angle of attack**

\[
Q = Q' + Q(\alpha - \alpha') \tag{2.7}
\]

where \( Q' \) corresponds at angle of attack \( \alpha' \).

In other words if we change the angle of attack by \( \Delta\alpha \) then the solution for the same cavity length will change by an amount which is the solution to a flat plate at angle of attack \( \Delta\alpha \) and the same
Figure 2

DECOMPOSITION OF THE SUPERCAVITATING HYDROFOIL PROBLEM
The cavity thickness $h(x)$ and the cavity camber $c(x)$ will then be given by the following relationships:

\[ U_\infty \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = q(x) \; ; \; 0 < x < l \]  
(1.10)

\[ c(x) = \bar{\gamma}(x) + \frac{h(x)}{2} \; ; \; 0 < x < l \]  
(1.11a)

\[ U_\infty \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = v(x) \; ; \; l < x < L \]  
(1.11b)

\[ v(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^l \frac{d\gamma(\xi)}{x-\xi} \; ; \; l < x < L \]  
(1.12)

$V(x)$: induced velocity in the wake by the vorticity distribution $\gamma(x)$

2. LINEAR DECOMPOSITION

If the hydrofoil has a camber meanline $\gamma_c(x)$, a thickness distribution $\gamma_f(x)$ and an angle of attack $\alpha$, then the lower surface will be: (see Fig. 2)

\[ \bar{\gamma}(x) = \gamma_c(x) - \gamma_f(x) + \alpha \cdot (1-x) \]  
(2.1)

and

\[ \frac{\partial \bar{\gamma}}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \gamma_c}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \gamma_f}{\partial x} - \alpha \]  
(2.2)

From equations (1.6)-(1.9), it is easily seen that as long as we keep $l$ fixed then the supercavitating general shape hydrofoil problem can be considered as the superposition of the following three elementary problems: (see Fig. 2)
Figure 1

SUPERCAVITATING HYDROFOIL IN LINEAR THEORY
(u, v) finite at x = 1

d) Closure condition

Cavity thickness at the trailing edge of the cavity, \( h(e) = 0 \) (1.4)

e) Condition at infinity

\( u \to 0 \) and \( v \to 0 \) at infinity (1.5)

The boundary conditions in terms of the unknown distributions \( \gamma(x) \) and \( q(x) \), will become:

\[
\frac{\gamma(x)}{2} - \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q(\xi) \, d\xi}{\xi - x} = \frac{\sigma}{2} \cdot v_{\infty} \quad ; \quad 0 < x < 1 \quad (1.6)
\]

with \( \gamma(x) = 0 \) for \( 1 < x < 1 \)

\[
-\frac{q(x)}{2} + \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma(\xi) \, d\xi}{\xi - x} = v_{\infty} \cdot \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \quad ; \quad 0 < x < 1 \quad (1.7)
\]

\( \gamma(1) = 0 \) (1.8)

\[
\int_{0}^{1} q(x) \, dx = 0 \quad (1.9)
\]

The boundary condition (1.5) is automatically satisfied by the use of vortices and sources for the representation of the velocity field. For given \( \ell \) and \( \tilde{x}(x) \), the equations (1.6) - (1.9) give us the unique solution \( \gamma(x) \) and \( q(x) \).
1. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Consider a supercavitating hydrofoil of chord 1. in uniform flow $U_\infty$ and ambient pressure $p_\infty$ (see Fig. 1.). Given the cavity length $\ell$ and the lower surface $\eta(x)$ of the hydrofoil we want to determine the corresponding cavitation number $\sigma$ and the shape of the cavity.

According to the linear theory the perturbation velocity field (components $u$ and $v$) due to the cavity and the foil can be generated by a distribution of vortices $\gamma(x)$ and sources $\varphi(x)$, along the slit from $x=0$ to $x=\ell$ (see Fig. 1.).

The linearized boundary conditions are (throughout our analysis, we assume that the cavity starts at the leading edge of the foil):

a) Dynamic boundary conditions

$$u = \frac{\sigma}{2} U_\infty \quad ; \quad 0 < x < \ell , \quad y = 0^+ \quad (1.1)$$

$$u = \frac{\sigma}{2} U_\infty \quad ; \quad 1 < x < \ell , \quad y = 0^- \quad (1.2)$$

b) Kinematic boundary condition

$$v = U_\infty \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \quad ; \quad 0 < x < 1 , \quad y = 0^- \quad (1.3)$$

c) Kutta condition
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compact than the ones given by the previous authors. He also gave
series representations for his results when the hydrofoil shape could
be expressed in terms of polynomials of the chordwise coordinate.

In this work, the linear problem is stated in a simple way but
equivalent to the one described in [1], in terms of integral equations
of cavity source and vortex distributions. The principle of
decomposition, first introduced by Fabula for a hydrofoil with cut-off
ventilated trailing edge [11] and for a supercavitating hydrofoil at
different angles of attack [12], is extended to our problem which is
divided into the camber, the thickness and the angle of attack
problems. Formulas for the cavitation number, the cavity volume and the
cavity source distribution, are given in terms of integrals of the
lower surface of the hydrofoil weighted by known functions. These
integrals are computed numerically using Simpson's rule with respect to
an appropriate transformation variable, thus avoiding the involved
singularities of the integrands. The cavity shape is also computed
numerically. The results for a flat plate and an elliptic foil, for
which analytical solutions are available, seem to be very accurate
even for a small number of the used intervals in the numerical
integrations. The cavity shape for a flat plate is compared to the
nonlinear result given by Uhlman [5], and is found to be very close
except at the trailing edge of the cavity.

Finally, the proposed method is applied for the NACA a = 0.8
meanline series and the NACA Four-digit Wing Sections thickness forms
for which tables and some cavity plots are given.
INTRODUCTION

The problem of a supercavitating hydrofoil in uniform flow has received a lot of attention in the past three decades, individually as well as a first step towards the understanding of the phenomenon of cavitating marine propellers.

The non-linear formulation of the problem is not unique due to the variety of the cavity termination models. Furthermore, the non-linear problem is very difficult to deal with analytically, especially for general shape hydrofoils.

Linear theory was first applied by Tulin [8] to the problem of a supercavitating flat plate at small angles and arbitrary cavitation numbers. It was subsequently extended by T.Y. Wu [9], Geurst [3], Parkin [10] and Fabula [12] for a supercavitating hydrofoil of general shape. These authors have worked by means of the complex velocity function, and have given expressions for the cavitation number, the hydrodynamic coefficients and the cavity volume, in terms of integrals of known quantities.

Hanaoka [1] stated the linear problem in terms of integral equations of unknown distributions of sources and dipoles on the foil. Inversion of these integral equations produced integral representations for the cavitation number, the hydrodynamic coefficients and the slope of the upper and lower cavity surfaces in terms of the shape of the hydrofoil. His formulas are less complicated and more
\[ V = \frac{n \cdot e^2}{8} - \int_0^L \frac{d^2 \vec{E}}{d \vec{x}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\vec{E} - \vec{F}}}{\vec{E}} \cdot d \vec{E} \]  

(5.2)

Casting (5.2) in terms of (3.8) we have:

\[ \frac{\sqrt{V}}{\sigma} = \frac{n \cdot e^2}{8} + \frac{2 \cdot e^2}{n} \int_0^t \frac{\gamma}{(1 + \gamma)^2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{t - \gamma}{\gamma}} \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\gamma - \gamma^2}}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(\gamma - \gamma^2)} = \]

\[ = \frac{n \cdot e^2}{8} + \frac{2 \cdot e^2}{n} \int_0^t \left[ \frac{\gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \right] \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(\gamma - \gamma^2)} \]  

(5.8)

where

\[ f(\gamma) = \int_0^t \frac{\gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(\gamma - \gamma^2)} \]  

(5.9)

Now \( f(\gamma) \) can be expressed in terms of \( \gamma \) and \( \ell \) as follows

(see A.18)

\[ f(\gamma) = \frac{\gamma}{\ell^2 \cdot r^2} \cdot \int_0^t \left[ \gamma^2 \cdot \gamma \cdot \gamma^2 \right] \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(\gamma - \gamma^2)} \]  

(5.10)

And finally the cavity volume is given in terms of \( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x} \), \( \sigma \) and \( \ell \) as:

\[ V = \ell^2 \left\{ \frac{n \cdot e^2}{8} - \frac{2 \cdot e^2}{n} \int_0^t \left[ \frac{\gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \right] \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \right\} \]  

(5.11)

6. CAVITY SOURCE DISTRIBUTION \( \bar{\gamma}(\vec{z}) \)

Starting from equation (3.11) and plugging in the expression for \( \bar{\gamma} \) from (3.10), we get:

\[ \bar{\gamma}(\vec{z}) = -\bar{z} + \frac{2 \cdot \bar{z}}{n} \cdot \bar{z} \cdot (1 + \bar{z})^2 \cdot \int_0^t \bar{\gamma}(\vec{z}) \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(1 + \gamma^2)} \cdot \frac{d \gamma}{(\gamma - \gamma^2) \cdot (1 + \gamma^2)} \]  

\[ = -22- \]
We call the double integral in (6.1) \( \bar{I}(z) \):

\[
\bar{I}(z) = \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1}{\eta^2 - \eta^2} \cdot \eta \int_0^t \int_0^t \left[ \frac{\omega}{2} - \varphi* (\omega) \right] \frac{1}{1 + \omega^2} \cdot d\omega
d(\eta - \omega) \tag{6.2}
\]

or:

\[
\bar{I}(z) = \int_0^t \frac{d\eta}{\eta - z} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{\Phi(\eta, \omega)}{\omega - \eta} \cdot d\omega \tag{6.3}
\]

where:

\[
\Phi(\eta, \omega) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\eta^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\eta^2 - \eta^2 - \omega - \eta}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{t - \omega} \cdot \left[ \frac{\omega}{2} - \varphi* (\omega) \right]} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \omega^2} \tag{6.4}
\]

Now, to change the order of integration in (6.3) we apply Poincaré-Bertrand's formula:

\[
\bar{I}(z) = \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\Phi(\eta, \omega)}{\eta - z} \cdot \frac{d\eta}{\omega - \eta} \cdot d\omega \quad ; \quad \eta > t \tag{6.5a}
\]

\[
\bar{I}(z) = \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\Phi(\eta, \omega)}{\eta - z} \cdot \frac{d\eta}{\omega - \eta} - \pi^2 \cdot \Phi(\eta, \omega) \quad ; \quad \eta < t \tag{6.5b}
\]

We then focus on the integral:

\[
\bar{I}(z) = \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\Phi(\eta, \omega)}{\eta - z} \cdot \frac{d\eta}{\omega - \eta} \cdot d\omega = \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\Phi(\eta, \omega)}{\eta - z} \cdot \frac{d\eta}{\omega - \eta} \cdot d\omega \tag{6.6}
\]

and we call:

\[
R(\omega, z) = \int_0^t \frac{\Phi(\eta, \omega)}{\eta - z} \cdot \frac{d\eta}{\omega - \eta} \cdot d\omega \tag{6.7}
\]

But \( R(\omega, z) \) can be expressed explicitly as: (see A.19)

\[
R(\omega, z) = -\pi \cdot \left( \frac{t + z}{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad ; \quad z < t \tag{6.8a}
\]

\[
R(\omega, z) = -\pi \cdot \left( \frac{t + z}{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2z \cdot (z + \omega)} \cdot \left( \frac{z - t}{z} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad ; \quad z > t \tag{6.8b}
\]
Plugging (6.5) in (6.1) and using (6.6), (6.8), (A.20) and (A.21), we get:

\[
\bar{q}(z) = -\mathcal{V}(z) + \left(\frac{t+z}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2.12 \cdot r^2} \cdot \left(\sqrt{r^2-1} - z \cdot \sqrt{r^2+1}\right) - \\
- \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot (1+z^2) \cdot \left(\frac{t+z}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{t-w}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+w^2} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{V}^*(w)}{z+w} \, dw
\]

for \( z < t \) \hspace{1cm} (6.9a)

\[
\bar{q}(z) = \left(\frac{t+z}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2.12 \cdot r^2} \cdot \left(\sqrt{r^2-1} - z \cdot \sqrt{r^2+1}\right) - \\
- \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot (1+z^2) \cdot \left(\frac{t+z}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{t-w}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+w^2} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{V}^*(w)}{z+w} \, dw - \\
- \left(\frac{z-t}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2.12 \cdot r^2} \cdot \left(\sqrt{r^2+1} \cdot z + \sqrt{r^2-1}\right) - \\
- \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot (1+z^2) \cdot \left(\frac{z-t}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{\omega^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{t-w}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+w^2} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{V}^*(w)}{z-w} \, dw
\]

for \( z > t \) \hspace{1cm} (6.9b)
7. CAVITY THICKNESS DISTRIBUTION $h(x)$

Integrating (1.10) and using (3.1), we get:

$$h(x) = \sigma \cdot \int_0^x \frac{\bar{q}(\xi)}{x-\xi} \, d\xi$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.1)

For the numerical integration of (7.1) see §4.0.

8. CAVITY CAMBER DISTRIBUTION $c(x)$

The camber meanline of the cavity and foil, $c(x)$, for $0 < x < 1$

is explicitly determined from (1.11a) in terms of the foil
characteristics and the cavity thickness $h(x)$. For $1 < x < \ell$

it is going to be determined from (1.11b) after we first express $V(x)$ in terms

of $\frac{\partial \bar{V}}{\partial x}$ and $\ell$:

From the definition (1.12) we get:

$$\overline{V(x)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_0^T \frac{\tilde{\sigma}(\xi)}{x-\xi} \, d\xi$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1)

where

$$\overline{V(x)} = \frac{\nu(x)}{\sigma \cdot \ell}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.2)

Expressing (8.1) in terms of the transformation (3.8) and then using

(3.10) we get:

$$\overline{V(z)} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \cdot (1 + z^2) \cdot \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{\sigma}(\eta) \cdot \eta \cdot d\eta}{(1 + \eta^2)(z^2 - \eta^2)} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot (1 + z^2) \cdot \int_0^t \frac{\eta}{z^2 - \eta^2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{t-\eta}{\eta}} \cdot d\eta \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{t-\omega}} \cdot \left[ \frac{\omega^2 - \xi^2}{2 \cdot \omega} \right] \cdot \frac{d\omega}{(1 + \omega^2)(\eta - \omega)} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot (1 + z^2) \cdot \int_0^t \left[ \frac{\omega}{t-\omega} \cdot \left[ \frac{\omega}{z} - \frac{\xi}{\eta} \right] \cdot B(\omega, z) \cdot d\omega \right] \hspace{1cm} (8.3)

where

$$B(\omega, z) = \int_0^t \frac{\frac{\eta}{z^2 - \eta^2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{t-\eta}{\eta}}}{\eta - \omega} \cdot d\eta$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.4)
Using now A.22, A.20, A.21, we get:

\[
\overline{V}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{t^2}{z}} \cdot \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{r^2 - z}} \cdot \left( \sqrt{r^2 - 1} - z \sqrt{r^2 + 1} \right) + \\
+ \sqrt{\frac{z - t}{z}} \cdot \frac{1}{4 r^2} \cdot \left( \sqrt{r^2 + 1} \cdot z + \sqrt{r^2 - 1} \right) - \\
- \sqrt{\frac{t + z}{z}} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi} \cdot (1 + z^2) \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{1 - \omega}} \cdot \frac{g(\omega)}{z + \omega} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \omega^2} \cdot d\omega + \\
+ \sqrt{\frac{z - t}{z}} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi} \cdot (1 + z^2) \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{1 - \omega}} \cdot \frac{g(\omega)}{z - \omega} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \omega^2} \cdot d\omega
\]

for \( z \geq t \) \hspace{1cm} (8.5)

and by integrating (1.11b) we get:

\[
c(x) = c(1) + \sigma \int_{1}^{x} \overline{V}(\xi) \cdot d\xi \hspace{1cm} (8.6)
\]

with

\[
c(1) = \overline{\eta}(1) + \frac{A(1)}{2} \hspace{1cm} (8.7)
\]

9. ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR SOME HYDROFOILS

9.1 Flat Plate (see Fig. 4a)

For this case we have

\[
\frac{\partial \overline{\eta}}{\partial x} = - \alpha \hspace{1cm} (9.1)
\]

where \( \alpha \) = angle of attack
Figure 4

SPECIAL CASES: FLAT PLATE AND ELLIPTIC FOIL
and the expressions (4.9), (6.9), (8.5) by using the formulas in Appendix A reduce to: (see also [2] and [3])

\[ \sigma = 2.\xi. \alpha \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.2)

\[ \bar{q}(z) = (c - Dz) \sqrt{\frac{z+t}{2}} \] \hspace{1cm} \text{if } z < t \hspace{1cm} (9.3a)

\[ \bar{q}(z) = (c - Dz) \sqrt{\frac{z+t}{2}} - (c + Dz) \sqrt{\frac{z-t}{2}} \] \hspace{1cm} \text{if } z > t \hspace{1cm} (9.3b)

\[ \bar{v}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{c}{Dz} \sqrt{\frac{z+t}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{c}{Dz} \sqrt{\frac{z-t}{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{c}{Dz} \] \hspace{1cm} (9.4)

where:

\[ C = \frac{4}{r^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2.\xi} \sqrt{1+r^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{r^2-1} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.5)

and:

\[ D = \frac{4}{r^2} \left[ -\frac{1}{2.\xi} \sqrt{1+r^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{r^2-1} \right] \]

Also by using (5.11) and (9.1) we must recover the result by Geurst in [3] for the cavity volume \( V \):

\[ V = \frac{\pi \alpha r^2}{16} \left( 1 - \frac{r^2-1}{r^2} \right)^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.6)

9.2 Elliptic Foil

Consider an ellipse of semi-axes \((a, e)\) (see Fig. 4b) at uniform inflow \( \bar{u} \). According to the linear theory the perturbation velocity on the ellipse will be constant: (see [4])

\[ u = e \cdot \bar{u} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.7)

Therefore, if we consider the lower left part of the ellipse as a supercavitating hydrofoil at cavity length \( 2 \chi \text{ chord} \) then the shape of
the cavity will be the rest of the ellipse (in linear theory always), and we will also get by inspection:

\[ \sigma = 2 \cdot \varepsilon \quad (9.8) \]

\[ \mathbf{V} = n \cdot \varepsilon \quad (9.9) \]

\[ \bar{\eta}(x) = \frac{1 - \varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon} \quad j \quad z > 0 \quad (9.10) \]

\[ \bar{\mathbf{V}}(x) = 0 \quad j \quad z > t \quad (9.11) \]

Equations (9.8)-(9.11) can also be recovered by plugging in (4.9), (5.11), (6.9) and (8.5) the expression for \( \frac{\partial \bar{\eta}}{\partial x} \):

\[ \frac{\partial \bar{\eta}(x)}{\partial x} = \varepsilon \cdot \frac{\omega^2 - 1}{2 \cdot \omega} \quad j \quad 0 < \omega < 1 \quad (9.12) \]

10. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

For general shape hydrofoils we compute the derived formulas in the previous sections numerically. Whenever an integration in \( \eta \) from 0 to \( t \) is involved we make the transformation:

\[ \eta = t \cdot \sin^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \quad (10.1) \]

\[ 0 \leq \eta \leq t \leftrightarrow 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi \]

and then we use Simpson's rule with \( K \) uniform intervals (i.e., \( 2K+1 \)

points).

For example equation (4.9) becomes:

\[ \sigma = \frac{4 \cdot \pi^2 \cdot r^4}{\pi \cdot (r^2 + 1)} \cdot \int_0^n \frac{t \cdot \sin^2 (\theta/2)}{(1 + t^2 \cdot \sin^2 (\theta/2))^2} \left[ a + b \cdot \sin^2 (\theta/2) \right] \left[ \frac{\partial \bar{\eta}}{\partial x} \right] d\theta \quad (10.2) \]
The integrand,

\[ f(\phi) = \frac{t \sin^2(\phi/2)}{(1 + t^2 \sin^4(\phi/2))^2} \cdot \left[ a + b \cdot t \cdot \sin^2(\phi/2) \right] \cdot \left[ -\frac{27}{2x} \right] \]  (10.3)

behaves at the limits of the integration as follows:

at \( \psi = 0 \):

If the foil has leading edge radius \( p \) then:

\[ \eta \sim -\sqrt{\frac{p}{2 \cdot e}} \quad \text{as} \quad \delta \to 0 \]

and

\[ \frac{2 \eta}{\delta x} \sim -\sqrt{\frac{p}{2 \cdot e}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \]

or by using (3.8) and (10.1)

\[ \frac{2 \eta}{\delta x} \sim -\sqrt{\frac{p}{2 \cdot e}} \cdot \frac{1}{\eta} \sim -\sqrt{\frac{p}{2 \cdot e}} \cdot \frac{4}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{\delta^2} \quad \text{as} \quad \delta \to 0 \]  (10.5)

and

\[ f(0) = a \cdot \sqrt{\frac{p}{2 \cdot e}} \]  (10.6)

at \( \psi = \pi \): \( f(\psi) \) is also finite as long as \( \frac{2 \eta}{\delta x} \) is (which is the usual case).

Therefore by working in terms of \( \psi \) we avoid the square root singularities in (4.9).

We apply the same technique for the integrals involved in the formulas (5.11), (6.9) and (8.5).

To find the shape of the cavity we numerically compute the formulas (7.1) and (8.6) by using Simpson's rule in the transformed variable \( \phi \) : (see also Appendix C)

\[ \xi = e \cdot \sin^2 \left( \frac{\phi}{2} \right) \]  (10.7)

\[ 0 < \xi < \ell \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad 0 < \phi < \pi \]
To compute (7.1) we need to know how \( \bar{q}(z) \) behaves at the leading edge \((z=0)\) and trailing edge \((z=\infty)\) of the cavity. Using formulas (6.9) we get the following asymptotic behaviors: (see Appendix B)

at \( z = 0 \):

\[
\bar{q}(z) = -2. \mathcal{G}^*(z)
\]

which states that the cavity for rounded nosed hydrofoils starts tangent to the upper part of the foil.

at \( z = \infty \):

\[
\bar{q}(z) \sim - \left( \frac{r^2+1}{r^2} + \frac{2}{n} \int_0^1 \frac{\omega}{t^2 - \omega} \cdot \frac{1}{t+\omega^2} \cdot \mathcal{G}^* \, d\omega \right) \cdot z
\]

which is the expected square root singularity.

The integral in (10.9) is computed numerically after making the transformation (10.1).

The sensitivity analysis of the involved numerical integrations for the special cases of a flat plate and an elliptic foil is described in Appendix C.

A comparison for the cavity shape of the linear to the non-linear theory as developed in [5] can be seen in Fig 5. We observe that the two shapes are very close, except at the trailing edge of the cavity, which is due to the imposed Riaboushinski model in the non-linear theory.

Finally, the analysis described above is applied to the series of NACA \( \alpha = 0.8 \) meanlines and NACA 00 thickness forms for which tabulated results along with some cavity plots are included in Appendix D.
FIGURE 5

COMPARISON OF THE LINEAR TO THE NONLINEAR THEORY

FLAT PLATE AT $\alpha = 4^\circ$ ($\ell_c = 1.4$)

---

linear

nonlinear (Uhlman, [5])

---
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APPENDIX A

LIST OF INTEGRALS

In this Appendix, a list of integrals used throughout our analysis is given, along with instructions for their derivation.

The first integrals (A.1) - (A.9) have been taken from Appendix A of

\[ r^4 = 1 + t^2 \quad \text{and} \quad t^2 = \frac{1}{(e - 1)} \]

\[
\int_0^t \frac{z}{t - z} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + z^2} \, dz = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{r^2 - 1}}{r^2}
\]

\[
\int_0^t \frac{z}{t - z} \cdot \frac{z^2}{1 + z^2} \, dz = \pi - \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{r^2 + 1}}{r^2}
\]

\[
\int_0^t \frac{1}{(1 + z^2)^2} \cdot \frac{z}{t - z} \, dz = \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{r^2 - 1}}{r^3} - 2 \cdot \frac{\sqrt{r^2 - 1}}{r^5}
\]

\[
\int_0^t \frac{z}{(1 + z^2)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{t - z} \, dz = \frac{2t^2(\sqrt{r^2 + 1} + t(1 - t) \sqrt{r^2 - 1})}{4\sqrt{2}}
\]

\[
\int_0^t \frac{z^2}{(1 + z^2)^2} \cdot \frac{z}{t - z} \, dz = \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{t(3t^2 + 1) \sqrt{r^2 + 1} - (4t^2 + 2)(r^2 - 1)}{r^8}
\]

\[
\int_0^t \frac{1}{1 + z^2} \cdot \frac{1}{z} \, dz = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sqrt{r^2 - 1}
\]

\[
\int_0^t \frac{z}{1 + z^2} \cdot \frac{z}{t - z} \, dz = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sqrt{r^2 + 1} - \pi z
\]

\[
\int_0^t \frac{z^2}{1 + z^2} \cdot \frac{z}{t - z} \, dz = \frac{\pi t}{2} - \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sqrt{r^2 - 1}
\]
The next integrals (A.10) - (A.16) are computed by using the transformation
\[ x = \ell \sin \eta \left( \frac{E}{2} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad z = t \sin \eta \left( \frac{E}{2} \right) ; \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi \]
(see also [6])

\[ \int_0^\ell \sqrt{2-x} \cdot dx = \frac{\pi \ell}{2} \quad \text{(A.10)} \]

\[ \int_0^\ell x \sqrt{2-x} \cdot dx = \frac{3\pi \ell^2}{8} \quad \text{(A.11)} \]

\[ \int_0^\ell x \sqrt{2-x} \cdot \frac{1}{x-\xi} \cdot dx = \pi \left( \xi + \frac{\ell}{2} \right) ; \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq \ell \quad \text{(A.12)} \]

\[ \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{z}{t-z}} \cdot \frac{1}{\eta-z} \cdot dz = -\pi \quad ; \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq t \]
\[ = \pi \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\eta-t}} - \pi \quad ; \quad \eta > t \quad \text{(A.13a)} \]

\[ \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{t-z}{z}} \cdot \frac{1}{\eta-z} \cdot dz = \pi \quad ; \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq t \]
\[ = \pi - \pi \sqrt{\frac{\eta-t}{\eta}} \quad ; \quad \eta > t \quad \text{(A.14a)} \]

\[ \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{t-z}{z}} \cdot \frac{1}{\eta+z} \cdot dz = \pi \sqrt{\frac{\eta+t}{\eta}} - \pi \quad ; \quad z > 0 \quad \text{(A.15)} \]

\[ \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{z}{t-z}} \cdot \frac{1}{\eta+z} \cdot dz = \pi - \pi \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\eta+t}} \quad ; \quad z > 0 \quad \text{(A.16)} \]

The next integrals are reduced into a linear combination of the previous integrals as follows:

a) \[ I(\eta) = \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{t-z}{z}} \cdot \frac{1}{t+z^2} \cdot \frac{1}{z-\eta} \cdot dz \quad ; \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq t \]

We break the integrand into simpler fractions:
\[ \eta(x) = -0.5 \left( 0.29690 \sqrt{x^3} - 0.12600 \cdot x - 0.35160 \cdot x^2 + 0.28430 \cdot x^3 - 0.10150 \cdot x^4 \right), \]

(D.3)

for \( 0 < x < 1 \)

The results for \( \sigma \) and \( V_c \) are given in Tables 9 and 10 (see also Figures 10 and 11) along with some cavity plots in Figures 7a, 7b, 7c, 8a, 8b, 8c. The values for \( \sigma \) and \( V_c \) have been computed with \( K = 50 \) in order to get the first five decimal places correct (although we get the same accuracy with much smaller \( K \) for larger \( V_c \)) and the cavity plots have been made with \( K = 30 \) points (although the cavity shape has been found very insensitive to \( K \) for a broad range of \( V_c \)). Most of the plots have no physical meaning but if combined with the appropriate angle of attack so that the cavity clears off the upper part of the hydrofoil then they give meaningful solutions to our problem.

In case our hydrofoil consists of a NACA \( a = 0.8 \) meanline of maximum camber \( t_{max} \), a NACA 00 thickness form of maximum thickness \( t_{max} \), and operates at an angle of attack \( \alpha \), then according to Section 2, any quantity \( Q \) can be expressed as: (keeping always the same cavity length)

\[ Q = \frac{t_{max}}{\delta_{max} \cdot C} \cdot Q_{0.8} + \frac{t_{max}}{a_1 \cdot C} \cdot Q_{0010} + \left[ \frac{a - \alpha}{\delta_{max} \cdot 0.0679 \cdot C} \right] \cdot Q_{0} \]

(D.4)

where:

\[ Q_{0.8} : Q \text{ for NACA } a = 0.8, \frac{t_{max}}{C} = 0.0679 \]

\[ Q_{0010} : Q \text{ for NACA } 0010 \]
APPENDIX D

SUPERCAVITATING NACA \( a = 0.8 \) MEANLINES AND NACA 0010 THICKNESS FORMS

The analysis described in the previous sections has been carried out for a NACA \( a = 0.8 \) meanline (with \( h_{mac}/c = 0.0679 \)) and a NACA 0010 thickness form.

For the NACA \( a = 0.8 \) at \( \alpha = \alpha_i \); we put as \( \tilde{\eta}(x) \) the analytical expression from [7]:

\[
\tilde{\eta}(x) = \frac{C_L}{2\pi(a+1)} \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - x)^2 \eta(x) \frac{\eta}{\alpha - x} \right. \right. \\
- \left. \left. \frac{1}{2} (1-x)^2 \frac{\eta}{1-x} + \frac{1}{4} (1-x)^2 - \frac{1}{4} (\alpha - x)^2 \right] \right\} - \\
- x \cdot \frac{\eta}{1-x} + \frac{\eta}{1-x} \right\} + (1-x) \cdot \alpha_i, \quad (D.1)
\]

for \( 0 < x < 1 \)

where:

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha &= 0.8, \quad C_L = 1, \quad \alpha_i = 1.54^\circ \\
g &= -0.009297, \quad h = -0.3039 \quad (D.2)
\end{align*}
\]

For the NACA 0010 thickness form at \( \alpha = 0^\circ \) we also take from [7]:
ELLIPITC FOIL AT $c/l = 2$ ($\varepsilon = 1$)

Table 7

CAVITY THICKNESSES $h_i$'s ($k = 20$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$x/c$</th>
<th>Numerical</th>
<th>Analytical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.012212</td>
<td>0.312869</td>
<td>0.312869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.048943</td>
<td>0.618034</td>
<td>0.618034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.108973</td>
<td>0.907981</td>
<td>0.907981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.192186</td>
<td>1.175571</td>
<td>1.175571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.292083</td>
<td>1.414214</td>
<td>1.414214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.412212</td>
<td>1.618034</td>
<td>1.618034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.534010</td>
<td>1.782013</td>
<td>1.782013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.660222</td>
<td>1.902113</td>
<td>1.902113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.842556</td>
<td>1.975377</td>
<td>1.975377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>2.000000</td>
<td>2.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.135474</td>
<td>1.975377</td>
<td>1.975377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.308617</td>
<td>1.902113</td>
<td>1.902113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.467260</td>
<td>1.782013</td>
<td>1.782013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.597793</td>
<td>1.618034</td>
<td>1.618034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.776717</td>
<td>1.414214</td>
<td>1.414214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.997717</td>
<td>1.175571</td>
<td>1.175571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.211067</td>
<td>0.907981</td>
<td>0.907981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.451057</td>
<td>0.618034</td>
<td>0.618034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.925068</td>
<td>0.312869</td>
<td>0.312869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.698898</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ELLIPITC FOIL AT $\zeta = 2$ ($\epsilon = 1$)

### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$x/c$</th>
<th>$\lambda_i$</th>
<th>$\lambda_i$'s ($K = 5$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.19998</td>
<td>1.175635</td>
<td>1.175571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.29998</td>
<td>1.902217</td>
<td>1.902113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.29998</td>
<td>1.902217</td>
<td>1.902113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.39998</td>
<td>1.175635</td>
<td>1.175571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.39998</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$x/c$</th>
<th>$\lambda_i$</th>
<th>$\lambda_i$'s ($K = 10$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.18943</td>
<td>0.618034</td>
<td>0.618034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.18943</td>
<td>1.175571</td>
<td>1.175571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.18943</td>
<td>1.618034</td>
<td>1.618034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.18943</td>
<td>1.902113</td>
<td>1.902113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.18943</td>
<td>2.00000</td>
<td>2.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.18943</td>
<td>1.902113</td>
<td>1.902113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.18943</td>
<td>1.618034</td>
<td>1.618034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.18943</td>
<td>1.175571</td>
<td>1.175571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.18943</td>
<td>0.618034</td>
<td>0.618034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.18943</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytical</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$\sqrt{\gamma/c^2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K = 20$</td>
<td>2.00000000</td>
<td>3.14159265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K = 10$</td>
<td>2.00000000</td>
<td>3.14159265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K = 5$</td>
<td>1.99999994</td>
<td>3.14159217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K = 3$</td>
<td>1.99866994</td>
<td>3.13764913</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5

**CAVITY SOURCE DISTRIBUTION $\bar{q}(x)$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x/\ell$</th>
<th>Analytical</th>
<th>$K = 20$</th>
<th>$K = 10$</th>
<th>$K = 3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.06474</td>
<td>2.06474</td>
<td>2.06474</td>
<td>2.06206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.33333</td>
<td>1.33333</td>
<td>1.33333</td>
<td>1.33270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.75000</td>
<td>0.75000</td>
<td>0.75000</td>
<td>0.74987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.57735</td>
<td>0.57735</td>
<td>0.57735</td>
<td>0.57727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.31449</td>
<td>0.31449</td>
<td>0.31449</td>
<td>0.31444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.10050</td>
<td>0.10050</td>
<td>0.10050</td>
<td>0.10046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>-0.00005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FLAT PLATE AT $\varphi \zeta = 1.4 $, $ \alpha = 1 \text{ rad}$

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x/\zeta$</th>
<th>Analytical</th>
<th>Numerical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.10000000D-02</td>
<td>0.28948538D+01</td>
<td>0.28948538D+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10000000D-01</td>
<td>0.16006528D+01</td>
<td>0.16006528D+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10000000D+00</td>
<td>0.83092970D+00</td>
<td>0.83092969D+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30000000D+00</td>
<td>0.54165536D+00</td>
<td>0.54165536D+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50000000D+00</td>
<td>0.39457754D+00</td>
<td>0.39457754D+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80000000D+00</td>
<td>0.21229725D+00</td>
<td>0.21229725D+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10000000D+01</td>
<td>0.69210140D-01</td>
<td>0.69210140D-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12000000D+01</td>
<td>-0.69955753D+00</td>
<td>-0.69955753D+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.13500000D+01</td>
<td>-0.20259790D+01</td>
<td>-0.20259790D+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.13990000D+01</td>
<td>-0.15589989D+02</td>
<td>-0.15589989D+02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FLAT PLATE AT $\alpha = 1$ rad

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$l/K$</th>
<th>CAVITATION NUMBER $\sigma$</th>
<th>Analytical</th>
<th>Numerical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$K = 20$</td>
<td>$K = 10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.324555</td>
<td>6.324555</td>
<td>6.324560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.651484</td>
<td>3.651484</td>
<td>3.651484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.828427</td>
<td>2.828427</td>
<td>2.828427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.236068</td>
<td>2.236068</td>
<td>2.236068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.000000</td>
<td>2.000000</td>
<td>1.999995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$l/K$</th>
<th>CAVITY VOLUME $V/c^2$</th>
<th>Analytical</th>
<th>Numerical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$K = 20$</td>
<td>$K = 10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.272656</td>
<td>1.272656</td>
<td>1.272668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.327715</td>
<td>1.327715</td>
<td>1.327715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.554475</td>
<td>1.554475</td>
<td>1.554475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.975729</td>
<td>1.975729</td>
<td>1.975729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.288818</td>
<td>2.288818</td>
<td>2.288818</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For a supercavitating flat plate at $\alpha = 4^\circ$ and different cavity lengths, the values for $\sigma$ and $\gamma_c$ are given in Table 8 (see also Figures 10 and 11), and some cavity plots are shown in Figures 6a, 6b, 6c.
APPENDIX C

CONVERGENCE OF THE NUMERICAL INTEGRATIONS

The numerical analysis as described in Section 10 has been tried for the special cases of a flat plate (Tables 1 and 2) and an elliptic foil (Tables 4-7) for which the analytical results are given in Section 9. The results are very good even for small \( K \).

For the computation of the cavity source distribution \( \bar{q}(x) \) for a flat plate \( K = 50 \) has been used for \( 0 < x < 0.1 \) and \( 1.2 < x < 1.2 \), and \( K = 10 \) elsewhere, to give us the excellent results as shown in Table 3.

The cavity thicknesses have been computed using (7.1) and the transformation (10.7) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\kappa_{i+1} &= \kappa_i + \sigma \int_{\Phi_i}^{\Phi_{i+1}} q(x) \frac{dx}{d\phi} \, d\phi \quad ; \quad i = 1, K \\
\kappa_L &= 0 \\
\phi_{i+1} &= \phi_i + \frac{n}{K}
\end{align*}
\]

The integral in (C.1) has been evaluated by using Simpson's rule in one interval between \( \Phi_i \) and \( \Phi_{i+1} \). A check to our numerical integrations should be the closure condition:

\[
\kappa_{K+1} = 0
\]

A similar (if not better) convergence is found for the camber distribution \( C(x) \) in the wake of the cavity.
\[ q(z) \sim \left\{ -\frac{\sqrt{r^2+1}}{\sqrt{z^2-1^2}} - \frac{z}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{\omega^{1/2}}{1+\omega^2} \cdot g(\omega) \, d\omega \right\} z \]  

as \( z \to \infty \) and for both, either sharp or rounded nosed hydrofoils.
\[ F(z) = \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{t-\omega} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\omega^2} \cdot \frac{\vartheta^*(\omega)}{z+\omega} \right) d\omega \]  

(B.5)

Since now \( \vartheta^*(\omega) \sim \frac{G}{\omega} \) as \( \omega \to 0 \) then \( \frac{1}{t-\omega} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\omega^2} \cdot \vartheta^*(\omega) \sim \frac{G}{\sqrt{t^2+\omega^2}} \) as \( \omega \to 0 \) and \( F(z) \sim F_0(z) \) as \( z \to 0 \), where:

\[ F_0(z) = -\frac{G}{\sqrt{t^2+1}} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2-(z+\omega)^2}} = -\frac{2G}{\sqrt{t^2+1}} \cdot \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{t^2}}{\sqrt{z^2}} \right) \]  

(B.6)

But:

\[ F_0(z) \sim -\frac{2G}{\sqrt{t^2+1}} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} = -\frac{\pi G}{\sqrt{t^2+1}} \]  

as \( z \to 0 \)

Thus:

\[ F(z) \sim -\frac{\pi G}{\sqrt{t^2+1}} \]  

as \( z \to 0 \)  

(B.7)

and finally \( \bar{q}(z) \sim -\frac{2G}{z} \) or:

\[ \bar{q}(z) \sim -2 \cdot \vartheta^*(z) \]  

as \( z \to 0 \)  

(B.8)

which means that for round nosed hydrofoils the cavity has the same slope and curvature with the wetted part of the hydrofoil at the leading edge.

b) Sharp nosed hydrofoils

The asymptotic behavior of \( \bar{q}(z) \) will be: (as \( z \to 0 \))

\[ \bar{q}(z) \sim \left\{ \frac{t^{1/2} \cdot \sqrt{1-t^2}}{2 \cdot \sqrt{t}} - \frac{t^{1/2}}{\pi} \cdot \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega^2-(z+\omega)^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\omega^2} \cdot \vartheta^*(\omega) \cdot d\omega \right\} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2}} \]  

(B.9)

since \( \vartheta^*(z) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2}} \) as \( z \to 0 \) (even for NACA \( a = 0.8 \) meanline)

Now at the trailing edge of the cavity (\( z = \infty \) or \( \infty = \ell \))

by using (6.9b) we get the asymptotic behavior of \( \bar{q}(z) \) as:
APPENDIX B

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF \( \tilde{f}(\xi) \) AT THE LEADING AND TRAILING EDGES OF THE CAVITY

First, at the leading edge of the cavity and foil
\( (\xi = 0 \text{ or } x = 0) \) we consider the following cases:

a) Round nosed hydrofoils

If the leading edge radius is \( \rho \) we have
\[
\tilde{f} \sim -\sqrt{2}\rho \cdot x^{-1} \quad \text{as} \quad x \to 0
\]
(B.1)

And by using (3.1) we get:
\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \xi} \approx \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2}}{\sqrt{x}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \quad \text{as} \quad x \to 0
\]
(B.2)

But \( \xi \sim \frac{\sqrt{x^2}}{\rho} \) as \( x \to 0 \), according to (3.8)

Thus
\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \xi} \sim -\frac{G}{\xi} \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to 0
\]
(B.3)

with
\[
G = \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{2\xi}}
\]
(B.4)

To find the asymptotic behavior of (6.9a) as \( \xi \to 0 \) we need first to work on the integral:
\[
\frac{1}{(z^2-\eta^2)(\eta-\omega)} = \frac{A}{z-\eta} + \frac{B}{z+\eta} + \frac{C}{\eta-\omega}
\]

with:
\[
A = \frac{1}{2z(z+\omega)} \quad B = -\frac{1}{2z(z-w)} \quad C = \frac{\omega}{z(z^2-\omega^2)}
\]

and finally we get:
\[
R(\omega, z) = -\frac{\pi}{2z(z+\omega)} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{z^2+\omega^2}{z}} \quad ; \quad 0 \leq z \leq t \quad (A.19a)
\]
\[
R(\omega, z) = -\frac{\pi}{2z(z+\omega)} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{z^2+\omega^2}{z}} - \frac{\pi}{2z(z-w)} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{z^2-\omega^2}{z}} \quad ; \quad z > t \quad (A.19b)
\]

Similarly as in the previous sections we can derive:
\[
S(z) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2-z^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+z^2} \cdot \frac{\omega}{z+\omega} \cdot d\omega =
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{1+z^2} \cdot \frac{\pi}{12z^2} \cdot \left[ \sqrt{r^2+1} \cdot z + \sqrt{r^2+1} \right] + \pi \sqrt{\frac{z}{z+t}} \cdot \frac{z}{1+z^2} \quad ; \quad z > 0 \quad (A.20)
\]
\[
P(z) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t^2-z^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+z^2} \cdot \frac{\omega}{z-w} \cdot d\omega =
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{1+z^2} \cdot \frac{\pi}{12z^2} \cdot \left[ \sqrt{r^2+1} \cdot z + \sqrt{r^2-1} \right] + \pi \sqrt{\frac{z}{z-t}} \cdot \frac{z}{1+z^2} \quad ; \quad z > t \quad (A.21)
\]
\[
B(\omega, z) = \int_0^t \frac{t-\eta}{\eta} \cdot \frac{\eta}{(z^2-\eta^2)(\eta-\omega)} \cdot d\eta =
\]
\[
= \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{z+w} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{z^2+w^2}{z}} - \frac{1}{z-w} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{z^2-t^2}{z}} \right] \quad ; \quad z > t \quad (A.22)
\]
\[
\frac{1}{1+z^2} \cdot \frac{1}{z-\eta} = \frac{A z + B}{1+z^2} + \frac{C}{z-\eta}
\]

where:
\[
A = -\frac{1}{1+\eta^2}, \quad B = -\frac{\eta}{1+\eta^2}, \quad C = \frac{1}{1+\eta^2}
\]

and finally by plugging in the known integrals we get:

\[
I(\eta) = -\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{r^2+1}} \cdot (\alpha + b \cdot \eta) \quad \text{(A.17)}
\]

where:
\[
\alpha = \sqrt{r^2+1}, \quad b = \sqrt{r^2-1}
\]

b) \[
J(\eta) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{z^2}{(1+z^2)^2} \cdot \frac{z-\eta}{z} \cdot \frac{1}{z-\eta} \cdot dz = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{z \cdot (z-\eta)}{(1+z^2)^2} \cdot dz ; \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq t
\]

Similarly, we break the integrand:

\[
\frac{z \cdot (t-z)}{(1+z^2)^2} = \frac{A z + B}{1+z^2} + \frac{C z + D}{(1+z^2)^2} + \frac{E}{z-\eta}
\]

where:
\[
A = \frac{\eta \cdot (\eta-t)}{(1+\eta^2)^2}, \quad B = \frac{\eta^2 \cdot (\eta-t)}{(1+\eta^2)^2}, \quad C = -\frac{1+\eta^4}{1+\eta^2}, \quad D = -\frac{(\eta-t)}{1+\eta^2}, \quad E = -\frac{\eta \cdot (\eta-t)}{(1+\eta^2)^2}
\]

and finally:

\[
J(\eta) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{r^2+1}} \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \left[ \frac{\eta \cdot (t-\eta)}{1+\eta^2} \cdot \left( \sqrt{r^2+1} - \eta \cdot \sqrt{r^2-1} \right) - \frac{(\eta-t)}{4 \cdot r^6} \cdot \left[ 2 \cdot t^2 \cdot \sqrt{r^2+1} - t \cdot (t^2-1) \cdot \sqrt{r^2-1} \right] + \frac{(t-\eta)}{4 \cdot r^6} \cdot \left[ t \cdot (t^2+3) \cdot \sqrt{r^2+1} - 2 \cdot \sqrt{r^2-1} \right] \right] \quad \text{(A.18)}
\]

c) \[
R(w, z) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{z-\eta}{\sqrt{\eta}} \cdot \frac{1}{z^2-\eta^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\eta-w} \cdot d\eta ; \quad 0 \leq \omega \leq t, \quad z > 0
\]

We have:
For example, if our hydrofoil is a combination of a NACA \( a = 0.8 \) meanline with \( \frac{h_{\text{max}}}{c} = 0.0679 \) and a NACA 0010 thickness distribution and operates at an angle of attack \( \alpha = 7^\circ \) then:

\[
\sigma = \sigma_{0.8} + \sigma_{0010} + \frac{\alpha - 1.54}{4} \sigma_{4^\circ} \tag{D.5}
\]

\[
V = V_{0.8} + V_{0010} + \frac{\alpha - 1.54}{4} V_{4^\circ} \tag{D.6}
\]

If \( \frac{h'}{h} = 1.4 \) then by substituting in (D.5) and (D.6) the appropriate values from Tables 8, 9 and 10 we get:

\[
\sigma = 0.52946 \quad \text{and} \quad V = 0.21782 \tag{D.7}
\]

Also for the cavity thicknesses we will have:

\[
h(x) = h_{0.8}(x) + h_{0010}(x) + \frac{\alpha - 1.54}{4} h_{4^\circ}(x) \tag{D.8}
\]

which is illustrated in Figure 9.
FLAT PLATE AT $\alpha = 4^\circ$

Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\ell/c$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$V/c^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>0.62443</td>
<td>0.10030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>0.44154</td>
<td>0.08885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.150</td>
<td>0.36051</td>
<td>0.08672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>0.31221</td>
<td>0.08753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>0.27925</td>
<td>0.08972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>0.25492</td>
<td>0.09269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>0.23601</td>
<td>0.09618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>0.22077</td>
<td>0.10003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>0.20814</td>
<td>0.10417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>0.19746</td>
<td>0.10852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.550</td>
<td>0.18827</td>
<td>0.11307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>0.18026</td>
<td>0.11778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.650</td>
<td>0.17319</td>
<td>0.12263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.700</td>
<td>0.16689</td>
<td>0.12761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.750</td>
<td>0.16123</td>
<td>0.13272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>0.15611</td>
<td>0.13793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.850</td>
<td>0.15145</td>
<td>0.14325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.900</td>
<td>0.14718</td>
<td>0.14867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.950</td>
<td>0.14325</td>
<td>0.15418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>0.13963</td>
<td>0.15979</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_l} )</th>
<th>( \sigma )</th>
<th>( \frac{V}{c^2} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>0.39990</td>
<td>-0.00494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>0.32652</td>
<td>-0.00950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.150</td>
<td>0.28970</td>
<td>-0.01045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>0.26552</td>
<td>-0.01005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>0.24774</td>
<td>-0.00886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>0.23373</td>
<td>-0.00715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>0.22226</td>
<td>-0.00503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>0.21258</td>
<td>-0.00257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>0.20422</td>
<td>0.00017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>0.19688</td>
<td>0.00316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.550</td>
<td>0.19037</td>
<td>0.00638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>0.18453</td>
<td>0.00981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.650</td>
<td>0.17925</td>
<td>0.01344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.700</td>
<td>0.17441</td>
<td>0.01725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.750</td>
<td>0.17001</td>
<td>0.02124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>0.16594</td>
<td>0.02540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.850</td>
<td>0.16215</td>
<td>0.02971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.900</td>
<td>0.15864</td>
<td>0.03419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.950</td>
<td>0.15534</td>
<td>0.03879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>0.15226</td>
<td>0.04355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NACA 0010 THICKNESS FORM AT $\alpha = 0^\circ$

Table 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\ell/c$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$V/c^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>0.17643</td>
<td>0.08551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>0.09639</td>
<td>0.08048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.150</td>
<td>0.06359</td>
<td>0.07962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>0.04540</td>
<td>0.07992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>0.03381</td>
<td>0.08068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>0.02580</td>
<td>0.08166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>0.01996</td>
<td>0.08273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>0.01553</td>
<td>0.08385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>0.01207</td>
<td>0.08498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>0.00932</td>
<td>0.08610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.550</td>
<td>0.00708</td>
<td>0.08721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>0.00523</td>
<td>0.08829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.650</td>
<td>0.00369</td>
<td>0.08935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.700</td>
<td>0.00239</td>
<td>0.09038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.750</td>
<td>0.00128</td>
<td>0.09138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>0.00033</td>
<td>0.09234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.850</td>
<td>-0.00048</td>
<td>0.09328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.900</td>
<td>-0.00120</td>
<td>0.09418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.950</td>
<td>-0.00182</td>
<td>0.09505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>-0.00236</td>
<td>0.09590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE 7a

NACA \( \alpha = 0.8 \) \( \left( \frac{h}{c} = 0.0679 \right) \) at \( \alpha = \alpha_i \)

\[ \frac{L}{c} = 1.1 \]

\[ \frac{L}{c} = 1.2 \]

\[ \frac{L}{c} = 1.3 \]
NACA 0010 THICKNESS FORM AT $\alpha = 0^\circ$
NACA 0010 THICKNESS FORM AT $\alpha = 0^\circ$

$\alpha = 1.4$

$\alpha = 1.5$

$\alpha = 1.6$
NACA \( a = 0.8 \) (\( \frac{m}{c} = 0.0679 \))

NACA 0010

FLAT PLATE

NACA \( a = 0.8 \) and NACA 0010

Figure 9
THE THREE ELEMENTARY PROBLEMS
FOR NACA \( a = 0.8 \) COMBINED WITH NACA 0010 AT \( \alpha = 7^\circ \)
Figure 10

CURVES OF $\sigma$ VERSUS $l/c$ FOR SOME HYDROFOILS
Figure 11

CURVES OF $\frac{V}{c^2}$ VERSUS $\frac{L}{c}$ FOR SOME HYDROFOILS