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\( \mathcal{D}(\rho) \) = density of single particle states
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\( \rho \) = the angle between the incident photon and electron in the lab frame

\( \alpha_s \) = the scattered photon energy in the lab frame divided by the rest energy of an electron

\( \delta \) = independent variable representing the doppler shift between rest and lab frames

\( \xi \) = independent variable representing upscatter and downscatter

\( \delta \) = angle of coordinate rotation

\( r = \cos \phi \)

\( \Gamma_{min} \) = the intersection in \( r \) with the surface defined by the scattered photons
\( g_0 \) = polynomial in \( r_{\text{min}} \)

\( g_1 \) = polynomial in \( r_{\text{min}} \)

\( h_0 \) = polynomial in \( r_{\text{min}} \)

\( d(\alpha) \) = differential Compton cross section

\( \alpha' \) = the incident photon energy in the rest frame divided by the rest energy of an electron

\( \alpha'' \) = the scattered photon energy in the rest frame divided by the rest energy of an electron

\( R \) = ratio of atomic separation distance to thermal DeBroglie wavelength
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Abstract

Compton scattering in dense electron gases is reviewed. The processes of Compton scattering along with a scattering inhibition factor are incorporated into the development of an analytical expression for the scattering kernel. This kernel is then used to compute angle averaged Compton cross sections for electron gases of various temperatures and number densities.
I. Introduction

Background

This report approaches the treatment of Compton scattering when the electrons are described by a degenerate distribution. In such a distribution, the electrons are at a low enough temperature and/or at a sufficiently high density so that the number of final energy states available to the scattered electrons is limited. There are a number of physical systems where the degeneracy effect is important. Electron gases present in laser fusion reactors, fusion weapons, and white dwarf stars are degenerate (4:225). The development of Compton cross sections, which could be computed quickly, for such systems would be of great use. Radiation transport computer codes that use either the $P_n$ or $S_n$ method require knowledge of angle averaged Compton cross sections.

Present methods of determining Compton cross sections involve interpolation from data tables acquired through numerical computations or from methods such as the Fokker-Planck approximation (5:1). The drawback to the Fokker-Planck method is that it breaks down in the relativistic regime. Although recent work indicates that an extension to relativistic distributions may be possible (8).
Current research by Dr. George Nickel of Los Alamos National Laboratory has yielded an analytic formalism for the Compton scattering kernel which describes the scattered photon distribution. The intent of this research is to extend Dr. Nickel's work to Compton scattering in degenerate electron gases, and to compute differential Compton cross sections.

Goals and Discussion

The goal of this project was to develop an analytical form of the chemical potential, incorporate it into an electron vacancy factor, and finally develop a computer code that would compute the cumulative scattering probability for degenerate gases. Time permitted the goal to be extended to the computation of angle averaged Compton cross sections. The main thrust of this research is aimed at developing accurate Compton cross sections which could be computed quickly. Therefore, it was necessary to develop as many approximations and analytic solutions as possible. These analytic expressions will eliminate lengthy numerical computations. This will hopefully decrease run times in computer codes where the Compton cross sections are used. In addition, variable transformations were used throughout the development to simplify integration. These transformations greatly simplified the surface of integration. The validation of this work is essential. Presently, no work
in the area of angle averaged Compton cross sections for degenerate systems exists and the results listed in this paper stand alone. To ensure that the computer code which generated the results was working correctly, the electron gas was treated as nondegenerate and the results compared to existing data (5:12-13). The presence of this development should be a 'tool' of considerable value to the radiation transport community. The computer code "CSP" could easily be adapted into existing codes.

Scope

This analysis is concerned with developing angle averaged Compton cross sections for degenerate electron gases and validation of the code. A particular area of application was never specifically addressed, rather it was intended that the development be generalized and its usage left to others.
II. The Electron Distribution

Fermi Distributions

The understanding and subsequent development of various parameters associated with a Fermi gas was critical in this research. The Fermi gas is described through the use of statistical mechanics. A Fermi gas receives its name because the gas consists of fermions. Fermions are a class of particles which have half integer spin and include such particles as neutrons, protons, and electrons. In this study only the electrons are examined. An area of particular interest is the distribution of fermions in the gas.

Fermions are treated as being identical and indistinguishable particles. Because of this, any fermion has an equal probability of being in any energy state. Also, fermions obey the Pauli exclusion principle which states there can only be zero or one fermion in any single particle state given by a set of quantum numbers. An equation which addresses the probability of a state being occupied can be derived by using the partition function for a grand canonical distribution, applying the above constraint, and summing over the energy states (3:197-199). The distribution function for single particle energy states is given by,
\[ n(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{e^{(\epsilon - \mu) / kT} + 1} \]

where

- \( \epsilon \) = kinetic energy of the Fermion
- \( k \) = Boltzmann's constant
- \( T \) = equilibrium temperature of the Fermi gas
- \( \mu \) = chemical potential

The chemical potential is also referred to as the Fermi energy, \( \epsilon_f \), in the limit as \( T \to 0 \). The chemical potential can be thought of as a mass transfer parameter and will be discussed in more detail later in this paper. At absolute zero if \( \epsilon > \mu \) \( n(\epsilon) \) goes to zero while if \( \epsilon < \mu \) the term in the exponential goes to zero and \( n(\epsilon) \to 1 \). When most of the energy levels \( \epsilon < \mu \) have an occupation of 1 and most of the energy levels \( \epsilon > \mu \) are unoccupied, the Fermi gas is said to be degenerate. Figure 1 shows \( n(\epsilon) \) versus energy when the temperature is equal to zero.

![Fig. 1. Occupation of States at T=0](image-url)
If $T \gg 0$ then the number of energy states available spreads out over a wider range and maximizes the total energy content of the system. Figure 2 shows $n(\epsilon)$ versus energy at temperatures much greater than zero.

![Fig. 2. Occupation of States at $T>>0$](image)

Figure 3 shows the occupation of energy states as a function of temperature and speed. As will be shown later in this paper, the chemical potential is also a function of electron number density and temperature and takes on large negative values as the temperature is increased. Therefore, the exponential term in $n(\epsilon)$ goes to infinity and $n(\epsilon)$ goes to zero in the high temperature regime. Equipped with the knowledge of the occupation of energy states, the next step is to determine the Fermi distribution function.

In order to obtain the distribution of fermions in a gas, the number of available states in the system is multiplied by the probability of the energy state being occupied. The number of energy states available in a Fermi gas can be described by the quantum mechanical
Fig. 3. Probability of Electron Energy State Occupation vs. Velocity and Temperature
results for a particle in a box. The number of states available \( D(p) \) is,

\[
D(p) = \frac{4\pi r_0^2 \sqrt{p^2}}{\hbar^3}
\]  

where

- \( g \) = the spin degeneracy \( g=2s+1 \) (\( s=1/2 \) for Fermions)
- \( h \) = Planck's constant
- \( p \) = momentum

The distribution of Fermions is now

\[
f(p) = \frac{4\pi r_0^2 \sqrt{p^2}}{\hbar^3} \frac{e^{-\frac{p^2}{2\hbar^2}}}{e^{\frac{\mu}{kT}}+1}
\]

In order to understand the Fermi distribution, the behavior in the degenerate and nondegenerate limit is studied.

First, the effects of the variation of the chemical potential on the electron distribution are examined. In the case when the chemical potential is negative (non-degenerate limit), \( f(p) \) reverts back to a Maxwellian distribution. In the other limit, when the chemical potential is positive and greater than most of the kinetic energies, the gas becomes degenerate. In a degenerate gas, the accessibility to higher energy states is extremely limited. This behavior is examined in a Fermi gas as it is brought to low temperatures \( (T \to 0) \) or to high particle densities.
A parameter that arises from the derivation of the average energy of a Fermi gas \(1.439\) and is helpful in determining degeneracy is the ratio

\[
R = \frac{N_0 h^2}{v^2 (2\pi m^2 kT)^{3/2}}
\]

(4)

where \(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2\pi m^2 kT}}\) is the thermal DeBroglie wavelength and \(\frac{(\frac{v}{N})^3}{h}\) is the atomic separation distance. If the wavelength is greater than the separation distance of the particles, the wave nature is expected to be apparent. Therefore, when \(R\) is greater than or equal to one, the electron gas is degenerate.

Since, the treatment of a relativistic distribution arises in this study a relativistic form of \(f(p)\) in terms of velocity was derived (Appendix E).

\[
f(v) = \frac{2\pi v m_0^3}{h^3} \frac{v^5 v^2}{e^{\left[\frac{mv^2}{2kT}(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\right] + 1}}
\]

(5)

Figures 4 and 5 show plots of this distribution \(F(v/vo)\) versus \(v/vo\) where \(vo\) is the most probable velocity associated with the distribution. A number density of \(10^{25}\) electrons per cubic centimeter was chosen for all cases. It can be seen that the electron distribution shifts as the temperature decreases and finally exhibits the behavior of a step function characteristic of a degenerate fermi gas. When the degeneracy is nonexistent equation 5 reverts.
Fig. 4. Relativistic Fermi and Maxwellian Distributions
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Fig. 5. Relativistic Fermi and Maxwellian Distributions
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to the relativistic Maxwellian. As a comparison, the plots for relativistic Maxwellians were placed adjacent to the plots of the fermi distributions. The fermi distribution was in close agreement with the relativistic Maxwellian in the nondegenerate limit. The relativistic Maxwellian distribution is given by

$$f(v) = \frac{m_0 \gamma^5}{4\pi^2 c kT} e^{-\frac{m_0 c^2}{kT}} K_2\left(\frac{m_0 c^2}{kT}\right)$$

where

$$K_2\left(\frac{m_0 c^2}{kT}\right)$$

is the second order Bessel function of the second kind and can be found in reference (9). Next, an analytical form of the chemical potential is derived.

**Chemical Potential**

As has been shown, the chemical potential is a parameter that largely influences the behavior of the electron gas. The ability to easily compute the chemical potential presents a problem. The chemical potential can be found numerically by solving the equation,

$$N = \int_0^\infty \frac{8m_0^2 \gamma^5}{h^3} \frac{e^{2dp}}{e^{(E(p)-\mu)/kT}+1}$$

which states that the total number of particles is equal to the distribution of fermions integrated over all
momentum. This is both tedious and impractical for usage in computer codes where quick computations are a necessity. Instead, the limits in which \( \mu \) is positive and negative have been examined and an analytical representation developed for each regime. When the gas is degenerative \((\mu > 0)\), the chemical potential (Appendix B) is given by

\[
\mu = \epsilon_f \left[ 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \left( \frac{T}{T_f} \right)^2 + \frac{\pi^4}{720} \left( \frac{T}{T_f} \right)^4 \right]
\]  

(8)

where \( \epsilon_f \) is the Fermi energy and is the value of the chemical potential at \( T=0 \). Also, the Fermi temperature is defined by the equation

\[
\epsilon_f = k T_f
\]  

(9)

In the other limit the chemical potential is

\[
\mu = -k T \log \left[ \frac{3V}{N} \left( \frac{m_0 k T}{2 \pi \hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \right]
\]  

(10)

Development of equations 8 and 10 is contained in Appendix C. In order to determine the accuracy of equations 8 and 10, numerical solutions were computed using equation 7. Accurate values of the numerically computed \( \mu \) were obtained by doing a variable transformation and selecting a variable grid spacing for integration. Table 1 shows numerical and analytical results are in close agreement as the temperature
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number Density $/\text{cm}^3$</th>
<th>Temperature $^\circ\text{K}$</th>
<th>Chemical Potential Joules</th>
<th>Error %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^{27}$</td>
<td>$10^0$</td>
<td>$5.8552 \times 10^{-20}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{27}$</td>
<td>$10^1$</td>
<td>$5.85519 \times 10^{-20}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{27}$</td>
<td>$10^2$</td>
<td>$5.8525 \times 10^{-20}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{27}$</td>
<td>$10^3$</td>
<td>$5.877 \times 10^{-20}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{27}$</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>$-2.1675 \times 10^{-19}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{27}$</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>$-6.933 \times 10^{-18}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{27}$</td>
<td>$10^6$</td>
<td>$-1.17 \times 10^{-16}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{27}$</td>
<td>$10^7$</td>
<td>$-1.6466 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{27}$</td>
<td>$10^8$</td>
<td>$-2.12 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{27}$</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>$-2.599 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I

COMPARISON OF NUMERICALLY AND ANALYTICALLY COMPUTED VALUES OF THE CHEMICAL POTENTIAL (CONSTANT NUMBER DENSITY)
is varied. Table 2 shows the same comparison yet with various number densities and temperature extremes. In table 2, the high temperature results do not agree as closely as do the low temperature results. At high temperatures the distribution becomes relativistic and equation 10 breaks down. Any discrepancies that do arise lead to only minute effects on the distribution. Also, as the chemical potential approaches zero the analytical results start to diverge away from the numerical results. This appears to be an additional area in which the analytical representation breaks down. The parameter space of interest that this report addresses leads to values for the chemical potential which do not lie in this region.

A plot of $\frac{\mu}{\epsilon_F}$ versus $\frac{T}{T_F}$ is presented in figure 6 showing, as had been earlier stated, that as the temperature gets large relative to the Fermi temperature the chemical potential goes negative. The break in the curve at $\mu$ equal zero arises because of assumptions made in the development of the chemical potential. To further grasp the behavior of the chemical potential, a three-dimensional plot has been constructed. Figure 7 presents the sensitivity of the chemical potential to increases in temperature and number density. As temperature is increased and the number density held constant, the chemical potential goes to larger and larger negative values. But when the temperature is
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number Density #/cm$^3$</th>
<th>Temperature °K</th>
<th>Chemical Potential Joules</th>
<th>Error %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Analytic</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{19}$</td>
<td>$10^0$</td>
<td>$2.7119 \times 10^{-21}$</td>
<td>$2.7133 \times 10^{-21}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{19}$</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>$-3.2354 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$-3.267 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{25}$</td>
<td>$10^0$</td>
<td>$2.7177 \times 10^{-17}$</td>
<td>$2.7177 \times 10^{-17}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{25}$</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>$-1.3289 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$-1.3662 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{28}$</td>
<td>$10^0$</td>
<td>$2.7177 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>$2.7177 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^{28}$</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>$-3.7563 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$-4.10 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 6. Chemical potential (analytical) for $T < T_f$ and $T > T_f$.
Fig. 7. Chemical Potential (Analytical) vs. Temperature and Density
large and the number density increased, the chemical potential increases. At the low temperatures, the chemical potential slightly varies with increasing number density.
III. Scattering Kernel

The Compton cross section can be decomposed into three parts.

$$\sigma_C = N_3 \mu s \ K(\nu, \nu')$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where

- $N_3 =$ the number of scattering centers per unit volume
- $\mu s =$ collision cross section per unit scatter
- $K(\nu, \nu') =$ the scattering kernel

The kernel is the portion of the cross section which describes the probability a photon of energy $\nu$ will scatter to some final energy $\nu'$. It is the dominate term in the cross section. The scattering kernel integrated over all possible scattered photon energies and angles is equal one. Therefore, a cumulative distribution function was chosen to represent the kernel.

The methodology used to develop the Compton scattering kernel for a degenerate electron gas parallels Nickel's recent work (5), but changes have been incorporated which eliminate some previous difficulties. Compton scattering is defined in terms of an incident photon scattering from an electron at rest (see figure 8).
The Compton scattering formula,

$$h\nu' = \frac{h\nu}{1 + (1 - \cos \theta)h\nu/m_0c^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

exhibits the characteristic that photons cannot gain energy upon scattering. But if scattering occurs between a photon and moving electron, the electron can impart some or all of its energy to the photon and increase the photon's energy. This is defined as inverse Compton scattering. The scattering kernel developed is for the case of photon scattering from a degenerate gas of free electrons.

This development begins in the laboratory frame where, the incident photon makes an angle $\phi$ with the incident electron (see figure 9). A transformation is made to the electron rest frame and Compton scattering is applied. The angles $\theta$ and $\tau$ represent a polar and azimuthal change of the scattered photon. The quantities $\alpha'$ and $\alpha''$ are the
incident and scattered photon energies in the rest frame in units of electron rest mass energy (see figure 10).

Next, by transforming back to the lab frame one has,

$$\frac{\alpha_s}{\alpha} = \frac{\gamma(1-B\cos\Psi) + B^2 \cos\Theta (\cos\Psi - B) + B \sin\Theta \sin\gamma \sin\Psi}{1 + \gamma(1-B\cos\Psi)(1-\cos\Theta)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

where $\alpha_s$ is now the scattered photon energy in the lab frame. The development of equation 13 is contained in
Appendix D. Equation 13 represents a surface in \( \psi, \tau, \Theta \) space and is used to determine the limits of the cumulative distribution function. The cumulative distribution function is defined as the product of the three angular distribution functions.

\[
F(\alpha, \alpha_s, \beta) = \int f(\tau)d\tau \int f(\theta)d\theta \int f(\psi)d\psi
\]  

(14)

\( F(\alpha, \alpha_s, \beta) \) is the probability a photon of energy \( \alpha \) will scatter to \( \alpha_s \) by interacting with an electron of speed \( \beta \).

Introducing the variables \( 3, \xi, \alpha \) where

\[
3 = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[ \frac{\alpha_s}{\alpha} - 1 \right]
\]  

(15)

\[
\xi = \frac{\alpha_s}{\beta} - \beta
\]  

(16)

\[
\alpha = \frac{\alpha_s}{\beta}
\]  

(17)

further simplifies equation 13, resulting in:

\[
-3 = (1 - \cos \Theta)(1 - \alpha) \cos \psi + (1 - \cos \Theta) \xi + \frac{1}{2} \sin \Theta \sin \tau \sin \phi
\]  

(18)

Note, that this transformation further reduces the number of independent variables from seven to five. The angular distribution functions over \( \psi, \tau, \Theta \) were selected to be
Equation 19 assumes the polarization of the photon is unimportant. Equation 20 is the Klein-Nishina formula in the electron rest frame. A \( \psi \) dependence arises in the normalization factor \( 'A' \) because of the \( \alpha' \) term. The incident photon energy in the rest frame, \( \alpha' \), can be defined in terms of the incident photon \( \alpha \) in the lab frame by the equation

\[
\alpha' = \alpha \gamma (1 - \beta \cos \psi) \quad (22)
\]

Thus, the \( \psi \) dependence is seen. Lastly, equation 21 implies that the angle between the incident photon and electron is distributed isotropically. Next, let

\[
r = (1 - \cos \Theta) \quad (23)
\]

and \( 'A' \) can be easily computed.

\[
'\mathcal{A} = \int_0^\theta \int_0^\phi \frac{d(\cos \psi)}{2} \frac{2 - 2(1 - \alpha' r + (1 - 2(\alpha' + \alpha^2)) r^2 + 3 \alpha' r^3}{[1 + \alpha' r]^3} \quad (24)
\]
The integrals over $\varphi, \tau, r$ are weighted by the respective probabilities and can be regarded as the integral over a sphere of radius '$r$', polar angle '$\varphi$', and azimuthal angle '$\tau$' (see figure 11).

![Figure 11. Scattering Sphere over $\varphi, \tau, r$ Space](image)

The integration is still difficult. Although the distribution function is now independent of $\tau$, the boundary surface is not. A variable transform to angles $\varphi'$ and $\tau'$ is made and the coordinate system is rotated by angle $\delta$ about the axis $\varphi = \pi/2$ and $\varphi = 0$ (see figures 12 and 13).

![Figure 12. Geometry Prior to Rotation](image)
The terms for \( \cos \varphi \) and \( \sin \varphi \sin \tau \) are substituted by making use of the identity (5:6)

\[
\cos \varphi = \cos \varphi' \cos \delta - \sin \varphi' \sin \tau' \sin \delta
\]

\[
\sin \varphi \sin \tau = \cos \varphi' \sin \delta + \sin \varphi' \sin \tau' \cos \delta
\]

where \( \sin \delta \) and \( \cos \delta \) are chosen as

\[
\sin \delta = \frac{\sqrt{2r-r^2}}{\delta \sqrt{2r(1-\beta^2) + r^2(\beta^2-\alpha^2)}}
\]

\[
\cos \delta = \frac{r(1-\alpha)}{\sqrt{2r(1-\beta^2) + r^2(\beta^2-\alpha^2)}}
\]

Equation 18 reduces to

\[
-\gamma = \sqrt{2r(1-\beta^2) + r^2(\beta^2-\alpha^2)} \cos \varphi' + r \eta
\]

The surface is simplified but the distribution function

\( F(\alpha, \sigma, \beta) \)

is complicated. Fortunately, some of the
integrals contain odd functions integrated over even intervals and are eliminated. The transformations known as 'shearing transformations' used to obtain equation 29 greatly simplify integration. Figure 14 shows the surface in space prior to and after the shearing transformation. Next, the value of $r$ at the intersection of the surface at $\theta = 0$ or $\pi$ is defined as 'rmin'. $R_{min}$ is found by solving equation 13 for its minimum value.

$$R_{\text{min}} = \frac{(1-B-\frac{1}{3})^2 - \sqrt{1+B^2-\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{2}{3}(B^2-\frac{1}{3})}{\alpha^2+\frac{1}{3}B^2} [\alpha^2+\frac{1}{3}B^2 \neq B^2]$$

$$R_{\text{min}} = \frac{\frac{2}{3}}{\alpha^2+\frac{1}{3}B^2} [\alpha^2+\frac{1}{3}B^2 \neq B^2]$$

In addition, the photons can scatter over the range specified by solving the quadratic in equation 13 for $\alpha$. $\alpha_{\text{min}}$ and $\alpha_{\text{max}}$ are given by

$$\alpha_{\text{min}} = \frac{1}{(1-B^2+2\gamma^2\alpha)} \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{1-B^2}{1+B^2+2\gamma^2\alpha}} \right)$$

The limits on $\cos \phi_1$ and $\cos \phi_2$ when $z<0$ are

$$\cos \phi_1 = \frac{\sqrt{V_1}}{\sqrt{V_2}}$$

$$\cos \phi_2 = \frac{\sqrt{V_2}}{\sqrt{V_1}}$$
Fig. 14. Integration Geometry Prior To and After the Shearing Transformation (reprinted with permission of G. Nickel; Graphs by Douglas Weiss)
and when \( z \geq 0 \)

\[
\cos \varphi_z' = 1 \tag{35}
\]

\[
\cos \psi_i' = -\left(3 + r_i^3\right) / \sqrt{2r(1 - \beta^2) + r^2(\beta^2 - \alpha^2)} \tag{36}
\]

The final form of the cumulative distribution function can now be written. When \( z < 0 \) the cumulative distribution function is,

\[
F(3, \xi, q_i) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\gamma}{2\pi} \int_{r_{\min}}^{2} \frac{dr}{A} \int_{\varphi_i'}^{\psi_i'} d(\cos \psi) \left[ z - Z(1 - \alpha')r + (1 - Z\alpha' + \alpha^2)r^2 \right. \\
\left. + \alpha' r^3 \right] / (1 + \alpha' r)^3 \tag{37}
\]

and for \( z > 0 \)

\[
F(3, \xi, q_i) = 1 - \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\gamma}{2\pi} \int_{r_{\min}}^{2} \frac{dr}{A} \int_{\varphi_i'}^{\psi_i'} d(\cos \psi) \left[ \frac{z - Z(1 - \alpha')r + (1 - Z\alpha' + \alpha^2)r^2 + \alpha' r^3}{(1 + \alpha' r)^3} \right] \right\} \tag{38}
\]

An approximation can be made to further simplify the integral. For electrons and photons with kilovolt energies, \( \alpha \approx 10^{-2} \) making \( \beta \approx 10^{-1} \) and \( \alpha' \) on the order of \( 10^{-2} \). Thus an \( \alpha' \) can be ignored as a lowest order approximation to
equations 37 and 38. Since the $\alpha'$ term arises only in the Klein-Nishina formula, a numerical integration was done to determine the normalization constant when $\alpha'$ was small. The results were compared to analytical results obtained by ignoring $\alpha'$, and were in close agreement. Thus, this approximation to the scattering kernel is fairly good.

The cumulative scattering probability becomes

$$ F(\xi_1,3) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{r_{\min}}^{2} \frac{dr}{A} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} d(\cos \psi) (2-2r+r^2) $$

(39)

Further approximations yield

$$ F(\xi_1,3) = \frac{1}{2}(\pm) h_0 + 3g_0 + \xi_1 g_1, \quad \begin{cases} 
3 < 0 \\
3 \geq 0 
\end{cases} $$

(40)

where

$$ g_n = \frac{3}{16\pi} \int_{r_{\min}}^{2} (2-2r+r^2) r^{n-\frac{1}{2}} dr $$

(41)

and

$$ h_0 = \frac{3}{8} r_{\min} - \frac{3}{16} r_{\min}^2 + \frac{1}{16} r_{\min}^3 $$

(42)

The cumulative scattering probability is multiplied by an electron vacancy factor $1 - \eta(\epsilon_{\text{final}})$ and, where $\epsilon_{\text{final}}$ is the final electron energy associated with a scattering event. This diminishes the probability of a scattering event occurring if the final electron state is occupied.
IV. Results

The cumulative scattering probability can be computed through the use of equations 37 and 38. A computer code was written to run on the CDC Cyber which would compute the cumulative scattering probability averaged over the velocities of an electron distribution. In addition, an electron vacancy factor was included to account for final electron energy state occupation when the gas is degenerate. The results for the cumulative scattering probability were then used to find the differential Compton cross section.

Methodology

In order to compute the cumulative scattering probability, a computer code, 'EDIST', was used to compute the one hundred equally probable velocities for an electron distribution. An incident photon energy was chosen and another program 'CSP' used the energy data from 'EDIST' to find the average cumulative scattering probability. In 'CSP', the scattered photon energy bins were first initialized. Then for each electron velocity, the probability of scattering into each energy bin was computed. The probability of scattering into a certain energy bin was multiplied by the electron vacancy factor $1 - n(e)$. Just prior to computing the cumulative scattering probability, the final
electron energy necessary for a photon to scatter into a particular bin was calculated. This energy of the electron was used to compute $n(e)$. The two programs were run for cases of varying number densities, incident photon energies, and electron temperatures.

**Discussion of Results**

Figures 15 and 16 show the behavior of the cumulative scattering probability (equations 37 and 38) for the nondegenerate and degenerate cases. The scattered photon energy versus the probability of scattering into that energy bin is plotted for incident photon energies of 2 and 20 KeV. It can be seen that for a particular incident photon energy, the cumulative scattering probability curves shift toward higher scattered photon energies as the electron temperature is raised. This is due mainly to Doppler shifting between the electron rest frame and the lab frame. The scattered energy states accessible to the incident photon are contained in the region of the cumulative scattering probability curves where the slope is varying. In the degenerate limit, the cumulative scattering probability curves are influenced by the final energy state occupation of the electron. The curves show a decrease in the scattering probability at the higher scattered photon energies. The magnitude of this shift is a function of the electron temperature. At the higher temperatures, the degeneracy
Fig. 15. Nondegenerate and Degenerate Cumulative Scattering Probabilities vs. Final Photon Energy in KeV (hv = 2 KeV)
Fig. 16. Nondegenerate and Degenerate Cumulative Scattering Probabilities vs. Final Photon Energy in KeV (hv = 20 KeV)
is not as pronounced as at the low temperatures. The Compton scattering kernel can now be used to calculate Compton cross sections.

The differential Compton cross section $d(\alpha_c)$ is computed by evaluating

$$d(\alpha_c) = \sigma_{\text{K.N.}} \frac{dF}{d\alpha_s} d\alpha_s$$

where $\sigma_{\text{K.N.}}$ is the Klein-Nishina cross section for small $\alpha_s$,

$$\sigma_{\text{K.N.}} = \frac{\pi n}{3} \rho^2 \left( 1 - 2\alpha' + \frac{26\rho}{5} \alpha'^2 + \ldots \right)$$

and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_s}$ is the cumulative scattering probability differentiated with respect to the scattered photon energy. Since 'F' is a function of 'rmin' and 'rmin' in turn a function of $\alpha_s$, explicit representation of the differentiation was tedious but not impossible. Computer runs using an averaging approach similar to that used in 'CSP' were used to compute $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_s}$. Initial results obtained proved to be inconclusive since they showed that downscatter was dominant in the Compton cross section profiles even at low incident photon energies. At low incident photon energies, the electron can be treated as being infinitely massive and the scattered photon energy is slightly shifted to lower and higher energies. This can easily be shown by evaluating the normal electron rest frame formula for Compton scattering.
Another approach was taken to compute the differential Compton cross section.

Since curves of the cumulative scattering probability versus scattered photon energy can be computed, 
\[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_s} \] can be evaluated by finding the slope at various points on the cumulative scattering probability curves. Differential Compton cross sections were computed for electron temperatures of 1 KeV and 20 KeV, number of densities of \(10^{27}, 10^{31}, 10^{33}, \) and \(10^{35}\) electrons per cubic meter. Also, incident photon energies of 5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 KeV were chosen. Figures 17 and 18 show the differential cross section in millibarns per KeV versus the final scattered photon energy. The solid lines are the cross sections in the nondegenerate limit. These 'tents' show the same general behavior as results found in references (6:188) and (9:16-18).

The cross sections exhibit three distinct characteristics: Compton shift, Doppler shift, and upscatter of the photon in the lab frame. A photon will, upon scattering, have its frequency decreased due to the usual Compton shift associated with scattering of electrons at rest. This effect on the cross sections is more noticeable when the incident photon energy becomes a significant fraction of the electron rest energy. Secondly, there is broadening of the cross sections due to the Doppler effect of scattering from a distribution of moving electrons. Finally, the
Fig. 17. Differential Cross Section vs. Final Photon Energy ($kT = 1$ KeV)
ELECTRON TEMPERATURE = 20 KEV

Fig. 18. Differential Cross Section vs. Final Photon Energy (kT = 20 KeV)
energy of the scattered photon will increase due to the relativistic effect that the photon will appear more intense to an electron moving toward it than away from it. This is the reason that upscatter component of the Compton cross section is seen in the lab frame even though no upscatter occurs in the rest frame. As Pomraning (9:184) points out, this blue shift is needed to balance the Compton red shift.

If a radiation field at a certain temperature scatters from an electron gas at the same temperature, the scattered photons should have the same distribution as the incident photons [9:184].

The Compton cross sections decrease with increasing photon energy because the units are in millibarns per KeV. Thus, the cross sections fall off as one over the energy of the scattered photon. At low incident photon energies, the profile of the Compton cross sections have some characteristic width controlled by Doppler broadening. When the energy of the photon is increased, the profile of the Compton cross sections is driven by the Compton scattering formula which represents a square step function in the electron rest frame. This square step function is broadened at the higher incident photon energies and competes with and begins to dominate the Doppler effect in influencing the shape of the cross sections. Also, figure 18 shows that Doppler broadening of the Compton cross sections becomes more significant as the electron temperature is increased. The expression for \( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha} \) goes approximately...
as $1/\beta$, so the Compton cross section for a particular incident photon energy decreases as the electron temperature is raised.

The dashed lines show the differential cross sections when the photons interact with a degenerate electron gas. The behavior is consistent with theory. The number of final energy states is limited as the gas becomes degenerate. The instances where the electron delivers most or all of its energy are decreased since the lower final energy states required for such an event are filled. If a Compton scattering event does occur, the photon will most likely downscatter to lower energies. Thus, a slight shift to lower photon energies is seen in the 'tents'. This behavior is more noticeable at higher incident photon energies. Also, the peaks of the 'tents' decrease because the cumulative scattering probability decreases in a degenerate electron gas. The general behavior of these degenerate differential Compton cross sections coincides with nondegenerate case outlined above.

A deeper appreciation of this development can be given by noting the reduction of the computational time required to evaluate the Compton cross sections. Dr. Nickel numerically evaluated equations 37 and 38 with an electron vacancy factor for the case in figure 17. His results, while agreeing with those in figure 17, took approximately an hour of CRAY time to compute. The results obtained in
this report required only 2.65 cpu seconds on the CDC Cyber.

Higher incident photon energies were not examined because the approximation to the scattering kernel breaks down as the photon energy approaches the electron rest energy. Earlier work by Nickel (7) shows that as the ratio $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ becomes large, the kernel becomes double valued. The breakdown in the approximation of the kernel might be alleviated by higher order approximations to equations 37 and 38. Further research is needed.
V. Recommendations

Several areas of this investigation were not fully examined but deserve further analysis. A few topics of continued research are listed below.

1. Scattering Kernel. Although the results obtained in figures 15-18 lead to good results for the Compton cross sections, it is necessary to compare these analytical results to exact ones. Therefore, numerical integration of equations 37 and 38 is needed as a yardstick to measure the accuracy of the analytical results. Also, it would be interesting to determine if higher order approximations to equations 37 and 38 lead to a better correlation with the exact results.

2. Compton Cross Sections. As mentioned in the results section, some difficulty was encountered in the explicit evaluation of the differentiated scattering kernel. It is hoped that continued work will present a clean solution to this problem.

3. Computer Implementation. An analysis should be done to find if a marked difference exists between existing codes which use the lengthy methods of determining cross sections and an updated code that used the modified
Nickel formalism. It is hoped that use of this development for Compton cross sections will drastically reduce run times and in turn save money.
VI. Conclusions

The answers obtained for Compton cross sections in the nondegenerate limit for electron and photon energies in the kilovolt regime corresponded to cross sections that exist in current literature. This showed that the computer code was running correctly and that the lowest order approximation to the Compton scattering kernel was a good one. The extension to the degenerate limit yielded Compton cross sections that were lower in magnitude and shadowed by the nondegenerate results. The degenerate results reflected the fact that lower energy states of the electron distribution were filled. Therefore, scattering to these final energy states was inhibited. The most outstanding fact of this development of angle-averaged Compton cross sections is the small amount of computer time required to obtain results. There was a decrease by three orders of magnitude in run times by using an approximation to the kernel. If further investigations of this method can be extended to photon and electron temperatures in the hundreds of KeVs and implemented into weapons or fusion computer codes, then a very significant impact on computer run times may be seen.
A. 'CSP' Listing

The program 'CSP' is used to compute the cumulative scattering probability given an initial photon energy and velocities of an electron distribution. A listing of the code and the variables is contained on the following pages.
PROGRAM CSP

* THIS PROGRAM COMPUTES SCATTERING PROB. WITH THE
* 'NEW' RMIN FOR A DEGENERATE DISTRIBUTION

INTEGER L,Q,NOD(350),TOTAL
LOGICAL FLAG(350)
REAL AA,BB,CC,DD,EE,FF,GG,R,TOT(350,150)
REAL ALPHMI,ALPHMA,VI(300),NE
REAL M,C,K,H,VOL,PI,AL(350),T,N
REAL ALPHI,F,ALPHS,BETA,B1,LA,PROB(350)
REAL VMAX,VMIN,DIFFER,LAPR
PARAMETER(M=9.1E-31,C=3E8,K=1.38E-23)
PARAMETER(H=6.63E-34,VOL=1.,PI=3.14159)

* THE INCIDENT PHOTON ENERGY IS ENTERED

PRINT*, 'ENTER THE INCIDENT PHOTON ENERGY'
READ*, ALPHI
ALPHI=ALPHI/511.

* THE GRID OF SCATTERED ENERGY, ALONG WITH A FLAG
* AND COUNTER ARE INITIALIZED

I=1
DO 11 R=1,6,0.0195
   AL(I)=REAL(R)/511.
   NOD(I)=1
   FLAG(I)=.TRUE.
   TOT(I,1)=0
   I=I+1
11 CONTINUE

* ENTER THE VELOCITY DATA POINTS

PRINT*, 'ENTER THE TOTAL # OF VELOCITY DATA POINTS'
READ*, TOTAL

* READ THE FILE "VEL" CONTAINING THE VELOCITY DATA
OPEN(3,FILE='VEL')
REWIND 3
DO 88 I=2,TOTAL+1
   READ(3,FMT=89)VI(I)
88 CONTINUE
89 FORMAT(E11.3)
CLOSE(3)

* ENTER THE NUMBER DENSITY OF THE SYSTEM
* PRINT*, 'ENTER THE NUMBER DENSITY OF THE SYSTEM'
READ*,N

* ENTER THE TEMPERATURE OF THE ELECTRONS
* PRINT*, 'ENTER THE TEMPERATURE OF THE SYSTEM'
READ*,T
T=T/8.61E-8

* COMPUTE CUMULATIVE SCATTERING PROBABILITY
* CURVES FOR EACH ELECTRON VELOCITY
* DO 5 Q=2,TOTAL+1
V=VI(Q)

* COMPUTE ALPHA AND BETA
* LA=1/SQRT(1-((V/C)**2.))
BL=1-(1/(LA**2.))
BETA=SQR(T(BL))

* DETERMINE THE LIMITS OF THE SCATTERED ENERGY
* BB=(((1/LA)+(2.*ALPHI)**2.)
AA=1+(BETA*BETA)+(2.*LA*ALPHI)
CC=BB-((BETA*ALPHI)**2.)
DD=CC/(LA*LA)
EE=AA**2.
FF=DD/EE
GG=SQR(T(1-FF))
ALPHMA=ALPHI*(AA/CC)*(1+GG)
ALPHMI=ALPHI*(AA/CC)*(1-GG)

* COMPUTE THE PROBABILITY FOR EACH SCATTERING BIN *

DO 1 L=1,257
   IF (AL(L).LT.ALPHMI.OR.AL(L).GT.ALPHMA) THEN
      TOT(L,Q)=0
   ELSE
      ALPHS=AL(L)
      LAPR=ALPHI-AL(L)+LA
      CALL CHEMPO(NE,PI,VOL,M,H,K,C,LAPR,N,T)
      CALL PHOTDI(F,BETA,K,T,M,C,H,ALPHIALPHS,NE)
      TOT(L,Q)=F
      TOT(L,Q)=TOT(L,Q)+TOT(L,Q-1)
   IF (FLAG(L)) THEN
      NOD(L)=NOD(L)
      FLAG(L)=.FALSE.
   ELSE
      NOD(L)=NOD(L)+1
   ENDIF
   PROB(L)=TOT(L,Q)/NOD(L)
1 CONTINUE
5 CONTINUE

* WRITE OUTPUT TO THE FILE "OP" *

L=L-1
OPEN(3,FILE='OP')
REWIND 3
WRITE(3,FMT=101)
DO 10 I=1,L
   IF (I.NE.1) THEN
      IF (PROB(I).LT.PROB(I-1)) THEN
         PROB(I)=PROB(I-1)
      ENDIF
   ENDIF
   WRITE(3,FMT=100)AL(I)*511.,PROB(I)
10 CONTINUE
SUBROUTINE PHOTDI (F, BETA, K, T, M, C, H, ALPHI, ALPHS, NE)
REAL BETA, LAM, M, C, KT, ASQR, BESQR, ZSQR
REAL ALPHI, ALPHS, NE
REAL H01, H02, H03, G01, G02, G03, G04, G05, G06
REAL G11, G12, G13, G14, G15, G16, RMIN2, RMIN3
REAL ZESQR, A, Z, ZETA, RMIN1, RMIN, H0G0, G1, F, SRT
LAM=1/SQRT (1-(BETA**2.))
SRT=2.*.5

* COMPUTE Z, ZETA, A
* Z=(1/(LAM*LAM*BETA))*( (ALPHS/ALPHI)-1)
ZETA=((ALPHS/(LAM*BETA))-BETA)
A=ALPHS/LAM

* COMPUTE RMIN
*
ASQR=A**2.
BESQR=BETA**2.
ZSQR=Z**2.
ZESQR=ZETA**2.
IF (ASQR+ZESQR.EQ.BESQR) THEN
RMIN=ZSQR/(2.*(1-BESQR-(ZETA*Z)))
ELSE
RMIN1=1-BESQR-(ZETA*Z)
RMIN2=-BESQR+ASQR+ZESQR
RMIN3=BESQR-1+(Z*ZETA)
RMIN=(RMIN1-SQRT((RMIN3)**2.-(ZSQR*RMIN2)))/RMIN2
ENDIF

* COMPUTE G0, G1, H0
*
H01=(3.*RMIN)/8.
H02=(3.*RMIN*RMIN)/16.
H03=(RMIN**3.)/16.
H0=H01-H02+H03

G01=(11./20.)
G02=SQRT(RMIN)
G03=3./(4.*SRT)
G04=RMIN/(4.*SRT)
G05=(3.*RMIN*RMIN)/(40.*SRT)
G06=G02*(G03-G04+G05)
G0=G01-G06

G11=(23./70.)
G12=RMIN**1.5
G13=1./(4.*SRT)
G14=(3.*RMIN)/(20.*SRT)
G15=(3.*RMIN*RMIN)/(56.*SRT)
G16=G12*(G13-G14+G15)
G1=G11-G16

* COMPUTE F(ALPHA,ALPHAS,BETA)
* IF (Z.LT.0) THEN
  F=(.5-H0+(Z*G0)+(ZETA*G1))*(1.-NE)
ELSE
  F=(.5+H0+(Z*G0)+(ZETA*G1))*(1.-NE)
ENDIF
END

SUBROUTINE CHEMPO(NE,PI,VOL,M,H,K,C,LAPR,N,T)
REAL T,M,H,N,C,VOL,EF,PI,KT
REAL VOLS,NE,K,LAPR

* COMPUTE FERMI ENERGY
* KT=K*T
  EF=(H/(M*LAPR))*(H/8.)*(3.*N)/(PI*VOL)**(2./3.)

* DETERMINE THE CHEMICAL POTENTIAL
* IF (((T/(EF/K))**2).GT.(12/(PI**2.))) THEN
  MU=-KT*LOG((2.*VOL/N)*(((2*PI*M*LAPR*T/H)*(K/H))**1.5))
ELSE
  MU=EF*(1-(((KT/EF)**2.)*((PI**2.)/12.)))
ENDIF

* COMPUTE THE PROBABILITY OF THE FINAL ENERGY STATE
* BEING OCCUPIED
VOLS = ((M*C*C*(LAPR-1)) - MU) / KT
IF (VOLS.GT.87) THEN
  NE = 0
ELSE
  NE = 1 / (EXP(VOLS) + 1.)
END IF
END
Variable Listing

A = An independent variable
AA = A parameter used to compute the minimum and maximum scattered energy
AL = An array identifying the scattered energy bins
ALPHI = The incident photon energy
ALPHMA = The maximum energy a photon can scatter to when interacting with an electron of a particular speed
ALPHMI = The minimum energy a photon can scatter to when interacting with an electron of a particular speed
ALPHS = The scattered photon energy
ASQR = The variable 'A' squared
BB = A parameter used to compute the minimum and maximum scattered energy
BESQR = The variable 'BETA' squared
BETA = The speed of an electron divided by the speed of light
C = The speed of light
CC = A parameter used to compute the minimum and maximum scattered energy
DD = A parameter used to compute the minimum and maximum scattered energy
EE = A parameter used to compute the minimum and maximum scattered energy
EF = The fermi energy
F = The cumulative scattering probability equation
FF = A parameter used to compute the minimum and maximum scattered energy
FLAG = A logical flag that determines whether the scattered energy of the photon lies within the actual range of possible scattering energies

G01-G16 = Terms in the cumulative scattering probability equation

GG = A parameter used to compute the minimum and maximum scattered energy

H01-H03 = Terms in the cumulative scattering probability equation

H = Planck's constant
K = Boltzmann's constant
KT = The thermal energy of the electrons
L = A counter used to initialize the scattered energy bins
LA = One over the square root one minus beta squared
LAM = The same as variable 'LA'
LAPR = The same as 'LA' except that the electron speed in beta is that associated with the final energy of the scattered electron
M = The mass of an electron
MU = The chemical potential
N = The number of density of the electrons
NE = The probability of occupation of an electron state
NOD = An array used to identify the number of times the scattering probability was computed for each scattering bin
PI = The constant 'pi'
PROB = An array that divides 'TOT' by 'NOD'
Q = A counter variable
R = A counter variable
RMIN = The variable 'Rmin'
RMIN1 = A parameter used to compute 'RMIN'
RMIN2 = A parameter used to compute 'RMIN'
RMIN3 = A parameter used to compute 'RMIN'
T    = The equilibrium temperature of the electrons
TOTAL = The total number of velocity data points
TOT  = An array that contains the scattering probability at different scattered energy bins
VI   = An array containing the velocities associated with the electron distribution
VOL  = The volume of the system of electrons and photons
VOLS = A parameter used to determine if the exponential term in the occupation equation is too large and prevents overflow errors.
Z    = An independent variable
ZETA = An independent variable
ZESQR = The variable 'ZETA' squared
ZSQR = The variable 'Z' squared
B. 'EDIST' Listing

The computer code 'EDIST' computes the velocity distribution data needed in the program 'CSP'. This data is then used to find the cumulative scattering probability averaged over these velocities. A code and variable listing are contained in this appendix.
PROGRAM EDIST

* GIVEN # DENSITY AND TEMP, THIS PROGRAM DIVIDES THE DIST.
* INTO 100 EQUALLY PROBABLE SECTIONS. THE ENERGIES
* ASSOCIATED WITH THE EQUAL PROBABLE BLOCKS ARE USED TO
* COMPUTE THE SCATTERING PROBABILITY CURVES.

REAL F(10000), EKT, E, DE, B, A, CONST, EN(200)
REAL VI(200), CSQR, NU, MU, W
INTEGER N, L
CSQR=3E8*3E8
PRINT*, 'ENTER THE TEMPERATURE OF THE ELECTRONS'
READ*, EKT
PRINT*, 'ENTER THE NUMBER DENSITY OF THE SYSTEM'
READ*, NU
DE=EKT/1000.
E=DE
CALL CHEMPO (MU, NU, EKT, E)
MU=MU/1.602E-16
W=(E-MU)/EKT

IF (W.GT.87) THEN
  B=0
ELSE
  B=SQRT (E*(E+1022.))*(E+511.)*(1/(EXP(W)+1))
ENDIF

F(1)=.5*DE*B
A=B
DO 1 N=2,10000
  E=E+DE
  CALL CHEMPO (MU, NU, EKT, E)
  MU=MU/1.602E-16
  W=(E-MU)/EKT
  IF (W.GT.87) THEN
    B=0
  ELSE
    B=SQRT (E*(E+1022.))*(E+511.)*(1/(EXP(W)+1))
  ENDIF
  F(N)=F(N-1)+.5*DE*(B+A)
  A=B
  CONTINUE
CONST=F(10000)+B*EKT
PRINT*, EKT, CONST
F(1)=F(1)/CONST
DO 5 N=2,10000

56
F(N)=F(N)/CONST
CONTINUE

WRITE THE EQUALLY PROBABLE VELOCITIES TO THE
FILE "VEL"

OPEN(3,FILE='VEL')
REWIND 3
DO 20 I=1,L-1
   VI(I)=SQRT(CSQR*(1-(1/(EN(I)/511.+1))**2.))
   WRITE (3,FMT=100) VI(I)
20  CONTINUE
100 FORMAT (E11.3)
CLOSE(3)

WRITE THE ELECTRON PROBABILITIES TO THE
FILE "MIKE"

OPEN(3,FILE='MIKE')
REWIND 3
DO 55 I=1,L-1
   WRITE (3,FMT=101) F(I)
55  CONTINUE
101 FORMAT (E11.3)
102 FORMAT (E13.4)
CLOSE(3)

END

*****************************************************************
SUBROUTINE CHEMPO(MU,NU,EKT,E)
REAL T,M,H,NU,C,VOL,MU,EF,PI,KT,LAM,K
PARAMETER(C=3.8E8,M=9.1E-31,H=6.63E-34,VOL=1)
PARAMETER(K=1.38E-23,PI=3.14159)
T=EKT/8.61E-8
LAM=(E/511.)+1

COMPUTE FERMI ENERGY

KT=K*T
EF=(H/(M*LAM))*(H/8.)*((3.*NU)/(PI*VOL))**(2./3.)

*****************************************************************
DETERMINE THE CHEMICAL POTENTIAL

IF \(((T/(EF/K))^{2}) > (12/(\pi^{2}))\) THEN
  \(\mu = -kT\log\left(\frac{2 \cdot \text{VOL}/\nu}{((2\pi M L \lambda T/H)(K/H))^{1.5}}\right)\)
ELSE
  \(\mu = EF \cdot (1 - \left(\frac{kT}{EF}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{(\pi^{2})}{12})\)
ENDIF
END
Variable Listing

A = The value of the electron distribution at a particular energy, and used as the left boundary in the trapezoidal integration

B = Same as 'A', but used as the right boundary in the trapezoidal integration

BETA = The speed of an electron divided by the speed of light

C = The speed of light

CONST = The numerically determined normalization constant

CSQR = The variable 'C' squared

DE = The energy increments used to integrate the distribution

E = The energy of an electron in the distribution

EF = The fermi energy

EN = The array which contains the hundred equally probable energies of the electron distribution

EKT = Same as 'KT', the thermal energy of the electrons

F = An array which contains the values of the electron distribution at various energies

H = Planck's constant

K = Boltzmann's constant

KT = The thermal energy of the electrons

LAM = The same as variable 'LA'

M = The mass of an electron

MU = The chemical potential

N = The number density of the electrons

NE = The probability of occupation of an electron state
\[\begin{align*}
\text{PI} & = \text{The constant 'pi'} \\
\text{T} & = \text{The equilibrium temperature of the electrons} \\
\text{VI} & = \text{The array containing the velocities associated with the electron distribution} \\
\text{VOL} & = \text{The volume of the system of electrons and photons} \\
\text{W} & = \text{A parameter used to determine if the exponential term in the occupation equation is too large and prevents overflow errors}
\end{align*}\]
C. Derivation of the Chemical Potential

The chemical potential is derived for the cases when $\frac{\mu}{kT}$ is less and greater than zero. The case where $\frac{\mu}{kT} > 0$ is addressed first. The equation that defines the chemical potential is the distribution of the electrons integrated over all possible momentum. This is equal to the number of electrons in the system.

$$N = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{8\pi^2 p^2}{h^3} \frac{e^{(E(p) - \mu)/kT}}{e^{(E(p) - \mu)/kT} + 1} \, dp$$

(c-1)

For ease of calculation, a variable change is made. Let

$$p = \sqrt{2m_0E}$$

(c-2)

$$dp = \frac{m_0}{2E} \, dE$$

(c-3)

the density is now,

$$\rho = a \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{E^{1/2} \, dE}{e^{(E - \mu)/kT} + 1}$$

(c-4)

where 'a' is equal to

$$\frac{g4\pi^{3/2}}{h^3} \left(2m_0 \right)^{3/2}$$

(c-5)
Next define $f(e)$ as

$$a e^{1/2}. \quad (C-6)$$

and using a hint from reference (2:36), the integral can be rewritten.

$$\rho = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(e)de}{e^{(x^2)}kT+1} = \int_0^\mu \frac{f(e)de}{e^x} + \int_0^\mu \frac{f(e)de}{e^{-x}} + \int_0^\mu \frac{f(e)de}{e^{-x}/kT+1} \quad (C-7)$$

A variable change is made in the first integral. Letting $x = \frac{(e-\mu)}{kT}$ and changing the limits the first integral becomes,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{f(u+xt)}{e^{x+1}} kTdx \quad (C-8)$$

Similarly for the third integral let $x = \frac{(e-\mu)}{kT}$ and the limits are changed such that the integral becomes,

$$\int_0^{B\mu} \frac{f(u-xt)}{e^{x+1}} kTdx \quad (C-9)$$

thus,

$$\rho = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(u+xt)}{e^{x+1}} kTdx + \int_0^{B\mu} \frac{f(u-xt)}{e^{x+1}} kTdx + \int_0^\mu \frac{f(e)de}{e^{-x}/kT+1} \quad (C-10)$$

In the limit where $T \rightarrow \infty$ ($\beta \mu \rightarrow \infty$) so that integrals one and three can be gathered under the same limits.
A Taylor series expansion is then performed about $\mu$. The density is now

$$\rho = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(\mu+xkT) + f(\mu-xkT)}{e^x + 1} \, dx + \int_0^\mu f(\epsilon) d\epsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (C-11)

After substituting

$$f'(\mu) = \frac{a}{2} \mu^{-1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (C-13)

$$f''(\mu) = \frac{a^3}{6} \mu^{-5/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (C-14)

into equation C-12 and evaluating the remaining integral the chemical potential is,

$$\mu = \epsilon_f \left[ 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \left( \frac{kT}{\mu} \right)^2 + \frac{\pi^4}{720} \left( \frac{kT}{\mu} \right)^4 \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (C-15)

where $\epsilon_f$ is the fermi energy and defined as

$$\epsilon_f = \frac{h^2}{8m_o} \left( \frac{3N}{\pi^2} \right)^{2/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (C-16)

Further approximations lead to

$$\mu = \epsilon_f \left[ 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \left( \frac{T}{T_f} \right)^2 + \frac{\pi^4}{720} \left( \frac{T}{T_f} \right)^4 \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (C-17)
where

\[ T_f = \text{The Fermi temperature} \]

Since the approximation made was for large \( \frac{\mu}{kT} \), equation (C-17) is valid until \( \mu \) is zero.

In the nondegenerate limit (\( \mu < 0 \)), the energy eigenvalues possible for single particle are,

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m^2} (n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2) \quad (C-18) \]

and the partition function for a single particle in a monatomic gas is

\[ \mathcal{Z}_1 = \sum_{\text{states}} e^{-\beta \varepsilon} = \sum_{n_x} \sum_{n_y} \sum_{n_z} e^{-\beta (n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2)} \quad (C-19) \]

where \( \beta = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m^2} \) and \( n_x, n_y, \) and \( n_z \) can vary from 1 to \( \infty \)

so

\[ \mathcal{Z}_1 = \mathcal{Z}_x \mathcal{Z}_y \mathcal{Z}_z \]

The approximation that the number of energy states is a continuum allows for the replacement of the summation symbol by an integral so that

\[ \mathcal{Z}_x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta \varepsilon} d\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\pi \hbar^2}{m^2} \right)^{1/2} \quad (C-21) \]
Thus

$$Z_1 = g \left( \frac{kT m_0}{2 \pi^2 \hbar^2} \right)^N \quad (C-22)$$

where 'g' has been added to account for the spin degeneracy.

For a system of 'N' indistinguishable particles the partition function (11:155) is,

$$\tilde{Z} = \frac{Z_1}{N!} \quad \text{or} \quad Z = g^N V N^N \left( \frac{m_0 kT}{2 \pi \hbar^2} \right)^{3N/2} \quad (C-23)$$

Taking the natural logarithm of both sides yields

$$\log \tilde{Z} = N \left[ \log(g^N) + \frac{3}{2} \log(V) + \frac{3}{2} \log(\frac{m_0 kT}{2 \pi \hbar^2}) \right] - \log(N!) \quad (C-24)$$

Using Stirling's theorem (10:611) which states

$$\log N! = N \log N - N \quad (C-25)$$

Further simplifies equation (C-24) to

$$\log \tilde{Z} = \left[ \log \left( \frac{g^N}{N!} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \log(V) + \frac{3}{2} \log \left( \frac{m_0 kT}{2 \pi \hbar^2} \right) \right] + 1 \quad (C-26)$$

Now, by definition (10:323)

$$\mu = -kT \left( \frac{\partial \log \tilde{Z}}{\partial N} \right)_{V,T} \quad (C-27)$$
so that the chemical potential in the nondegenerate limit is,

\[ \mu = -kT \log \left[ \frac{3V}{N} \left( \frac{m_0 k T}{2 \pi \hbar^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right] \]  

(C-28)
D. Derivation of the Equation 13

Development of the Compton scattering formula with an angular dependence in the laboratory reference frame is important for determining the limits for the scattered photon distribution. The approach requires that transformations and rotations be made to simplify the final expression.

Starting in the lab frame, the velocity of the electron is chosen to be in the direction of the z-axis and making an angle of $\phi$ with the incident photon.

The photon 4 vector is,

$$\mathbf{p}_\gamma = \frac{h\nu}{c} \begin{bmatrix} \sin \phi \cos \chi \\ \sin \phi \sin \chi \\ \cos \phi \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\chi$ is an arbitrary angle chosen for convenience.

The electron 4 vector is
Next, the symmetrized and normalized Lorentz transformation matrix

\[
P_e = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\frac{v}{m_c \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \\
\frac{v}{m_c}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

is used to transform both electron and photon vectors to the electron rest frame. Under the transformation these vectors become,

\[
P'_\nu = \frac{\hbar \nu}{c} \begin{bmatrix}
\sin \phi \cos \chi \\
\sin \phi \sin \chi \\
\gamma \cos \phi - \beta \\
-\beta \cos \phi + \gamma
\end{bmatrix} \quad (D-4)
\]

\[
P'_e = m_e c \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{bmatrix} \quad (D-5)
\]

Next, the coordinate system is rotated to remove the 'x' and 'y' components in the photon 4 vector. For ease of computation, values of 1 and 0 were chosen for \( \cos \chi \) and \( \sin \chi \) respectively. Thus,

\[
P'_\nu = \frac{\hbar \nu}{c} \begin{bmatrix}
\sin \phi \\
0 \\
\gamma (\cos \phi - \beta) \\
\delta (1 - \beta \cos \phi)
\end{bmatrix} \quad (D-6)
\]
A rotation about the 'y' axis is done using the rotation matrix

\[
R = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \delta & 0 & -\sin \delta & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\sin \delta & 0 & \cos \delta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(D-7)

and the rotated photon 4 vector becomes

\[
\nu' = \begin{bmatrix}
\sin \psi \cos \delta - \gamma (\cos \psi - \beta) \sin \delta \\
\sin \psi \sin \delta + \gamma (\cos \psi - \beta) \cos \delta \\
\gamma (1 - \beta \cos \psi)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(D-8)

The angle \( \delta \) is picked so that the 'x' component is zero. This requires that

\[
\sin \psi \cos \delta = \gamma (\cos \psi - \beta) \sin \delta \quad \text{(D-9)}
\]

and from this \( \sin \delta \) and \( \cos \delta \) are

\[
\sin \delta = \frac{\sin \psi}{\gamma (1 - \beta \cos \psi)} \quad \text{(D-10)}
\]

\[
\cos \delta = \frac{\gamma (\cos \psi - \beta)}{\gamma (1 - \beta \cos \psi)} \quad \text{(D-11)}
\]
so the photon 4 vector is now,

\[
\nu = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\gamma(1 - B \cos \phi) \\
\gamma(1 - B \cos \phi)
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (D-12)

Using the above results, Compton scattering in the electron rest frame becomes,

\[
\nu'' = \frac{\gamma(1 - B \cos \phi) \nu'}{1 + \gamma(1 - B \cos \phi)(1 - \cos \Theta) \frac{\nu'}{m_e c^2}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (D-13)

The scattered photon 4 vector is

\[
\frac{\nu''}{c} = \begin{bmatrix}
\sin \Theta \cos \tau \\
\sin \Theta \sin \tau \\
\cos \Theta \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (D-14)

where \( \Theta \) and \( \tau \) are the polar and azimuthal angles in the electron rest frame. Next, a reverse rotation transformation is done to get,

\[
\frac{\nu''}{c} = \begin{bmatrix}
\sin \Theta \cos \tau \cos \delta + \cos \Theta \sin \delta \\
\sin \Theta \sin \tau \\
-\sin \Theta \cos \tau \sin \delta + \cos \Theta \sin \delta \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (D-15)
Finally, the inverse of the initial Lorentz transform is used to transform to the lab frame. The momentum is

\[
\frac{\hbar v}{c} = \frac{\hbar v''}{c} \left\{ \frac{\gamma B}{\gamma(1-\beta \cos \psi)} \left[ \frac{\gamma \cos \Theta (\cos \psi - \beta)}{\sin \Theta \cos \tau \sin \psi} + \beta \right] \right. 
\]

Substituting the equation D-13 into D-17 and dividing both sides by \( mc \) yields,

\[
\frac{\alpha_s}{\alpha} = \frac{\gamma^2 (1-\beta \cos \psi) + \beta^2 \cos \Theta (\cos \psi - \beta) + \beta \gamma \sin \Theta \sin \tau \sin \psi}{1 + \gamma (1-\beta \cos \psi) (1-\cos \Theta)} 
\]

Where the change in the origin of \( \tau \) changes \( \cos \tau \) to \( \sin \tau \).

This is equation 13. This work was done largely by Dr. Nickel (7) and was presented to give a deeper appreciation of the origin of equation 13.
E. Derivation of the Relativistic Fermi Distribution

When the temperature of a system becomes large enough the distribution of electrons can no longer be described by equation 3. An expression for relativistic fermi distributions is derived to characterize this region. First, begin with the distribution of electrons when the gas is nonrelativistic.

\[ f(p) = \frac{8\pi \gamma^3}{\hbar^3} \frac{p^2 dp}{e^{(E(p)\gamma\mu)/kT} + 1} \quad (E-1) \]

Next, replace momentum and energy with the relativistic equivalents

\[ E = mc^2 (\gamma - 1) \quad (E-2) \]
\[ p = m_0 v \gamma \quad (E-3) \]

The distribution function in terms of velocity is

\[ f(v) = \frac{8\pi m_0^3}{\hbar^3} \frac{v^2 \gamma^5 dv}{e^{(mc^2(\gamma - 1)\mu)/kT} + 1} \quad (E-4) \]

But, \( \gamma \) is a function of velocity so using the substitutions
the distribution of electrons becomes,

\[ f(\gamma) = \frac{\gamma (\gamma^2 - 1)}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}} \frac{d\gamma}{e^{(mc^2(\gamma^2 - 1))/kT + 1}} \]  

Integrating (E-7) from one to infinity yields the total number of electrons in the system.

\[ N = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma (\gamma^2 - 1)}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}} \frac{d\gamma}{e^{(mc^2(\gamma^2 - 1))/kT + 1}} \]  

(E-8)


VITA

Joseph M. Pochkowski was born on the 27th of November, 1961 at Subic Bay Naval Station, Philippine Islands. He graduated from Frank W. Cox High School in 1979 and went on to receive a Bachelor of Science degree in Engineering Physics from the University of Tennessee. He was commissioned through the AFROTC program and assigned to the Air Force Institute of Technology Graduate Nuclear Engineering program. He is a member of Tau Beta Pi and Sigma Pi Sigma.

Permanent address: 111 Carnegie Drive
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830
Title: Angle Averaged Compton Cross Sections for Degenerate Electron Gases

Thesis Chairman: Lt Col William F. Bailey,

Compton Scattering, Plasma, Radiation Transport,
Cross sections, Electron Gas,
Compton scattering in dense electron gases is reviewed. The processes of Compton scattering are incorporated into the development of an analytic expression for the scattering kernel. The kernel is good for electron and photon energies in the KeV regime. The kernel is in turn used to compute angle averaged differential Compton cross sections. The development of this analytic expression for the kernel leads to a decrease of three orders of magnitude in the computational times for the Compton cross sections.
END

FILMED

6-85

DTIC