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ABSTRACT

An infrared study of the methanation of \( \text{CO}_2 \) on supported Rh catalysts has been performed. This reaction has been shown to occur over these catalysts with higher turnover frequency at a given temperature and Rh loading than does the methanation of \( \text{CO} \). A support effect has been demonstrated for the reaction over the supported Rh catalysts (\( \text{TiO}_2 > \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 > \text{SiO}_2 \) in reactivity). A key surface intermediate during the methanation reaction is a carbonyl hydride species, as evidenced by a prominent infrared band in the 2020–2040 cm\(^{-1}\) region which shifts by 5–10 cm\(^{-1}\) when \( \text{D}_2 \) is substituted for \( \text{H}_2 \) in the reaction mixture. This carbonyl hydride species can be produced in the absence of \( \text{H}_2 \) by migration of \( \text{H} \) from the support to Rh. The dissociation of \( \text{CO}_2 \) on supported Rh has been shown to be enhanced by the presence of \( \text{H}_2 \) and impurity boron.

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.
I. Introduction

Although there has been a tremendous amount of research interest in recent years in catalytic methanation, most of this interest has been focused upon the hydrogenation of CO over various noble metal catalysts. The hydrogenation of CO\(_2\) over these catalysts is at least of equal importance as a reaction relevant to international energy needs; however, there have been considerably fewer detailed studies of this reaction. Such recent studies have included CO\(_2\) hydrogenation over Ni(100)\(^1\), supported Ni\(^2-7\), supported Pd\(^8,9\), supported Pt and Ir\(^8\), supported Ru\(^7,8,10-13\), polycrystalline Rh\(^14,15\), and supported Rh\(^8,10,16-22\). From prior work on CO\(_2\) hydrogenation several generalizations can be made concerning the reaction.\(^1-22\)

1. CO\(_2\) hydrogenation is much more selective toward product formation than is CO hydrogenation, with CH\(_4\) generally being the only hydrocarbon product for the former.

2. The activation energy for CO\(_2\) hydrogenation is generally lower than that for CO hydrogenation under analogous conditions.

3. The apparent rate of CH\(_4\) formation from CO\(_2\) hydrogenation is generally higher than that from CO hydrogenation under analogous conditions, with CH\(_4\) generally being formed at lower temperatures for the CO\(_2\) reaction.

4. CO\(_2\) hydrogenation is effectively poisoned by the presence of small amounts of gas-phase CO.
(5) CO₂ hydrogenation is generally thought to proceed via dissociation to some form of adsorbed CO and then along the same reaction pathway as that of CO hydrogenation.

In this paper we shall report on recent infrared studies of the hydrogenation of CO₂ over supported Rh catalysts with emphases on a carbonyl hydride intermediate and the dissociation of CO₂ over the catalysts under varying reaction conditions.

II. Experimental

Supported Rh catalyst films were prepared by procedures used here previously for infrared studies of CO adsorbed on supported Rh²³⁻²⁵ and the hydrogenation of CO over supported Rh.²⁶ Briefly, sufficient RhCl₃·H₂O (Alfa products, Inc.) was dissolved in one part triply distilled water and added to nine parts spectroscopic-grade acetone containing support material to produce catalysts having final weight percentages of Rh of either 0.5 or 1%. The support materials used were alumina (Degussa Aluminum Oxide C, 100 m²g⁻¹), titania (Degussa Titanium Oxide P25, 50 m²g⁻¹), and silica (Cabot Cab-O-sil M-5, 200 m²g⁻¹). The slurry mixture of RhCl₃·3H₂O, support, water, and acetone was carefully sprayed using a specially designed atomizer onto a 25 mm CaF₂ infrared window held at a temperature of ca. 360 K. The solvents rapidly vaporized leaving thin films of RhCl₃·3H₂O/support adhered tightly to the window typically at ca. 4 mg cm⁻². The window containing the catalyst was then mounted in a specially designed Pyrex infrared cell (Figure 1), and the cell was evacuated at 298 K over night. The sample was heated under vacuum (2x10⁻⁶ Torr using an ion pump) for 1 hr at 460 K and generally subjected to hydrogen reduction.
cycles at 470 K. The reduction cycles consisted of exposure to successive doses of H\textsubscript{2} or D\textsubscript{2} at 70 Torr for 5, 5, 10, and 20 min, with each cycle being followed by evacuation to at least 10\textsuperscript{-5} Torr. The reduced samples were then heated at 460 K under vacuum for an additional 30 min and evacuated to a base pressure of 1x10\textsuperscript{-6} Torr.

For a typical kinetics experiment the infrared cell was dosed with 66 Torr of a 1:4 mixture of CO\textsubscript{2}:H\textsubscript{2} and rapidly heated to a prescribed temperature. The cell temperature was monitored by means of the measured voltage across the heating coils; calibration under reaction conditions was checked frequently with a chromel-alumel thermocouple. The production of methane during the reaction and the formation of surface intermediates were followed by infrared spectroscopy. The spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer model 983 with Perkin-Elmer data station) was operated at a resolution of 4.5 cm\textsuperscript{-1} in the 2000 cm\textsuperscript{-1} region. The infrared beam was blocked from the sample except during a data scan to minimize the additional heating effect of the beam on the sample. The yield of CH\textsubscript{4} produced at any given time in the reaction was determined by means of a calibration curve based upon the integrated intensities of the 3014 and/or 1304 cm\textsuperscript{-1} infrared bands for methane as a function of partial pressure of CH\textsubscript{4}. The calibration curve was developed for each sample by evacuation of the cell at the end of the experiment followed by the introduction of CH\textsubscript{4} at known pressures in the presence of the supported Rh catalyst (MKS Baratron capacitance manometer) and associated infrared scans; an example of such a curve is shown in Figure 2.

For experiments involving isotopic labeling, dissociation of CO\textsubscript{2}, introduction of impurity boron, etc., the procedures differed slightly from
the kinetics experiments and will be discussed later in the text. All gases employed in these experiments (H₂, D₂, CO₂, and CH₄) were of the highest purity obtainable from Matheson; all except CO₂ were passed through liquid nitrogen traps before use.

III. Results

Typical infrared spectra corresponding to the hydrogenation of CO₂ over 10% Rh/X (X=TiO₂, Al₂O₃, SiO₂) and 0.5% Rh/X (X=TiO₂, Al₂O₃) are shown in Figures 3-7. The bands of greatest interest in all of the spectra are those in the 2020-2040 cm⁻¹ region which must be assigned to some form of an adsorbed CO species in that they are not removed by evacuation of the cell and the sharp bands at 1304 and 3014 cm⁻¹ removed by evacuation of the cell which represent the accumulation of gas-phase CH₄. The CO band generally occurred at lower frequency for Rh/Al₂O₃ and Rh/SiO₂ than for Rh/TiO₂. The broad band of low intensity in Fig. 3c is representative of a bridged carbonyl species.²³-²⁷ The extensive band structure in the 1600 cm⁻¹ region of spectra 3b,c corresponds to the presence of gas-phase H₂O, while the broader bands near 1600 cm⁻¹ which occur at 298 K (spectrum 3a) are indicative of a hydrated support and possibly also to the presence of carbonates and bicarbonates on the support. The bands in the 1400-2000 cm⁻¹ region of spectrum 5a for 10% Rh/SiO₂ are present also for a film containing only SiO₂. It should be noted that none of the support materials as films catalyzed the production of measurable amounts of CH₄ under the reaction conditions employed in the experiments unless Rh was present. Blank support samples gave only bands characteristic of hydration, carbonates, and bicarbonates; no bands attributable to adsorbed
CO species were ever present.

The infrared spectra of 0.5% Rh/TiO₂ and 0.5% Rh/Al₂O₃ (Figures 6 and 7) are somewhat different than those for their 10% analogs. The ambient temperature spectra (6a and 7a) show more band structure indicative of hydration, carbonates, and bicarbonates in the 1400-1700 cm⁻¹ regions than do those in 3a, 4a, and 5a. Furthermore, upon heating the 0.5% Rh/Al₂O₃ catalyst, bands near 1375 and 1586 cm⁻¹ characteristic of a formate species¹⁰,¹⁷,¹⁸,²¹,²² grew in; these bands were not evident under similar conditions for the 10% catalysts or the blank supports. Also, for the 0.5% supported Rh catalysts the band in the 2020-2040 cm⁻¹ region is broader and weaker than the corresponding band for the 10% catalysts.

From reproducible infrared spectra for the various supported catalyst films, turnover frequencies (molecules CH₄/Rh atom/sec) were determined at several temperatures in the range 423-503 K. Comparisons of these turnover frequencies at 483 K for six samples for the hydrogenation of CO²⁶ and CO₂ are shown in Table I. Table I also shows the CO stretching frequencies for the adsorbed CO species for the various catalyst films. Typical Arrhenius plots using the turnover frequencies for 0.5% Rh/TiO₂ and 0.5% Rh/Al₂O₃ are shown in Figure 8. We have only examined the Rh/SiO₂ films at 483 K, and thus cannot report activation energies for Rh/SiO₂ at this time. Activation energies evaluated from Arrhenius plots for 0.5 and 10% Rh/TiO₂ and Rh/Al₂O₃ have been presented in Table II together with prior data reported by other laboratories.

In an effort to identify the species responsible for the infrared bands in the 2020-2040 cm⁻¹ regions of the spectra several other experiments were performed. Figure 9 illustrates the effect of isotopic
substitution on this band. For two analogous experiments in which CO₂ was hydrogenated (9a) and deuterated (9b) over 10% Rh/TiO₂ the band near 2030 cm⁻¹ shifts to lower frequency upon deuteration by 8 cm⁻¹. The corresponding shift for 10% Rh/SiO₂ was 5 cm⁻¹. For 10% Rh/Al₂O₃ bands of comparable intensity for hydrogenation and deuteration were not obtained in the experiments performed and thus the shift was not measured. In spectrum 9b the bands at 2258 and 2199 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to vibrational modes for CD₄ and CH₃D, respectively. Bands corresponding to CHD₃ and CH₂D₂ are masked by broad structure near 2269 and 2255 cm⁻¹. The small band at 2141 cm⁻¹ in spectrum 9b occurs only for deuteration and disappears upon evacuation of the cell and is thus not due to a surface species, but we can not propose an assignment for this band at this time. It should be added that the D₂ + CO₂ reaction clearly proceeded more rapidly than the H₂ + CO₂ reaction over the supported Rh films at a given temperature.

Figure 10 shows the effects of adding H₂ gas and/or boron acid to 10% Rh/TiO₂ catalysts. In experiments 10a and 10b the catalysts were heated for long periods of time at 523 K under vacuum following reduction to remove as much hydrogen from the support as possible; the catalyst corresponding to 10b had been doped with 4.9% H₃BO₃ before treatment. For 10c and 10d standard catalyst preparation was used (except H₃BO₃ was added for 10c). Then CO₂ was introduced alone (10a or 10b) or with an excess of H₂ (10c or 10d). All of the samples were heated at 503 K for 4 hr. From a comparison of the CO species bands near 2030 cm⁻¹, it is evident that H₂ causes an increase in its intensity for nonboron-doped samples (10a and 10d), but a decrease in its intensity for boron-doped samples (10b and 10c). It is also apparent that the impurity boron species cause(s) a
reduction in the intensity of the CH$_4$ band at 1304 cm$^{-1}$. The large bands in the 1200-1600 cm$^{-1}$ regions of spectra 10b and 10c can be attributed to boron oxides$^{29,30}$ which may be located primarily on the support.

IV. Discussion

General

From Table I it is evident that the turnover frequencies for the catalyzed CO$_2$ hydrogenation reaction are significantly larger than those for CO hydrogenation at a given temperature (483 K) for a given catalyst film with the possible exception of the 10% Rh/SiO$_2$ film. The latter result is probably not significant in that CH$_4$ yields were lower and infrared background interference was greater for the SiO$_2$ samples. In any case these observations are in accord with those of most other laboratories which have compared the rates of the two reactions over supported catalysts. From Table I one further notes that the catalytic activity of supported Rh for the hydrogenation of CO$_2$ depends upon the nature of the support, the order being TiO$_2$ > Al$_2$O$_3$ > SiO$_2$; this observation is also in accord with that elsewhere.$^{17,21}$ The turnover frequencies measured in this work for the 0.5% supported Rh catalyst films are substantially higher than those for the analogous 10% Rh films. This observation can most probably be attributed to the fact that the Rh is more highly dispersed in the 0.5% Rh films. It has been postulated that for a 0.5% supported Rh catalyst film, the active sites are isolated Rh$^+$ ions; while for a 10% supported Rh catalyst film, the active sites are a mixture of isolated Rh$^+$ ions and Rh$^0$ metal clusters.$^{23-27}$ In our calculation of turnover frequencies, we have assumed that all Rh atoms are potentially active sites. The turnover frequencies for the 10% Rh films thus are at best minimum values, and the
The data shown for 0.5% supported Rh are probably more accurate. The data in Table II indicate that while turnover frequencies for Rh/TiO₂ are greater than those for Rh/Al₂O₃ at a given temperature, the reverse is true of the activation energies; the activation energy for hydrogenation of CO₂ over 0.5% Rh determined in this work was 24.5 kcal mol⁻¹ for Rh/TiO₂, but only 15.6 kcal mol⁻¹ for Rh/Al₂O₃. These results, both numerically and as a trend, are in reasonable agreement with prior results from other laboratories on a variety of Rh catalysts.14,16,17 The trend was opposite for our 10% supported Rh catalyst films (21.4 and 20.3 kcal mol⁻¹ for Rh/Al₂O₃ and Rh/TiO₂, respectively). Again this may reflect the error in the measurements of turnover frequencies due to varying active cluster sizes which may change with reaction temperature as discussed earlier for the 10% supported Rh catalysts. The significant support effect on the kinetics of the hydrogenation of CO₂ most likely are due to an electronic effect, in that TiO₂ is an n-type semiconductor, as pointed out by Solymosi and coworkers.17 A partial reduction of the titania surface creating "special active sites" at the Rh-support interface may also be a factor.31

It is interesting that infrared bands characteristic of adsorbed formate were observed only for the 0.5% Rh/Al₂O₃ film following heating above 423 K. Solymosi and coworkers have detected formate in the CO₂ hydrogenation reaction and in the decomposition of formic acid over a variety of supported Rh catalysts even near 300 K; they have shown that the formate species resides on the support rather than on Rh, but that the presence of Rh is necessary for the formation of formate in the hydrogenation of CO₂.10,17,18,22,32,33 It would appear from our data that
catalysts containing highly dispersed Rh on alumina (0.5% Rh/Al₂O₃) favor the formation of formate. Since formate does not form during the heating of Al₂O₃ alone in the presence of CO₂ and H₂, we would postulate that the Rh catalyzes the formation of formate, but in accord with the view of Solymosi, that the formate resides on the support well removed from the Rh-support interface.

The CO Intermediate

We performed isotopic labeling studies to insure that the infrared bands near 2030 cm⁻¹ for these catalysts did not, in fact, correspond to a Rh-H vibrational mode. The band shifted to 1981 cm⁻¹ when C¹⁸O₂ was hydrogenated over 10% Rh/Al₂O₃ indicating that the band did not correspond to a Rh hydride. Figure 9 also clearly shows that this was not the case, because the band shift upon changing from H₂ to D₂ (typically in the range 5-10 cm⁻¹ for all experiments) was indicative of isotopic substitution two bonds removed from the CO bond. Solymosi and coworkers have suggested that the 2030 cm⁻¹ band is too low in frequency to correspond to a linear CO species, and most probably instead corresponds to a carbonyl hydride species. Iizuka and coworkers, on the other hand, have pointed out that this band appears in the absence of gas-phase H₂ and have suggested that the band thus corresponds to the usual "linear" CO species at low coverage. We concur completely with Solymosi. The band in the 2020-2040 cm⁻¹ region is due to a carbonyl hydride species of the form shown below. The normal "linear" CO band frequency for supported Rh

\[
\begin{align*}
H & \quad Rh \quad CO \\
& \quad Rh
\end{align*}
\]
The hydride ligand acts as an electron donor through the metal into the antibonding * orbital on CO thus weakening the C-O bond and lowering its stretching frequency. The presence of two hydride ligands should enhance the effect and lower the stretching frequency further. The small isotopic shift observed here (5-10 cm\(^{-1}\)) upon switching from H\(_2\) to D\(_2\) gas is in accord with this explanation because the hydride (deuteride) ligand is two bonds removed from the CO oscillator. The two bands at 2036 and 2028 cm\(^{-1}\) in Figure 9 have the same intensity, so the low frequency of the CO moiety can not be due to low coverage; it must be due to the existence of the carbonyl hydride (deuteride). It should be noted that this band does move toward higher frequency as its intensity increases for the 10% Rh catalysts due to a coverage effect. However, it does not shift in frequency as coverage is increased for 0.5% Rh catalysts. This observation is in line with the 0.5% catalysts containing isolated Rh\(^+\) ions, such that increasing coverage does not lead to increased dipole-dipole interaction causing shifts to higher frequency of the CO vibrational mode.\(^{27}\) The existence of a carbonyl hydride band for CO\(_2\) in the presence of supported Rh, but in the absence of gaseous H\(_2\), has been observed here,\(^{36}\) as well as by Iizuka and coworkers.\(^{16,35}\) We believe that this is a result of migration of hydrogen from the support to the Rh, an "inverse spillover effect".\(^{36}\) In support of this hypothesis, we have observed the presence of CHD\(_3\) and CH\(_2\)D\(_2\) over 10% Rh/TiO\(_2\) at 503 K for a mixture of CO\(_2\) and D\(_2\) following reduction by D\(_2\) and extensive heating in vacuum.\(^{36}\) The H necessary for the formation of CHD\(_3\) and CH\(_2\)D\(_2\) must have come from the small amounts of unexchanged H on the support. We have also observed complete exchange of CH\(_4\) in the presence of
D$_2$ over 10% Rh/TiO$_2$ at 503 K to form CH$_3$D, CH$_2$D$_2$, CHD$_3$, and CD$_4$. All of these experiments demonstrate the mobility of H or D on the supported catalysts.

It should be noted that our isotopic labeling studies have demonstrated an inverse isotope effect for the hydrogenation of CO$_2$ over all of the supported Rh films, i.e. the turnover frequencies at a given temperature were larger for the production of CD$_4$ from CO$_2 + D_2$ than for the production of CH$_4$ from CO$_2 + H_2$. This inverse isotope effect has been noted by other laboratories for a variety of supported metal catalysts for CO and CO$_2$ hydrogenation. Wilson and Kellner and Bell have concluded that the overall inverse isotope effect results from a combination of the kinetic and equilibrium isotope effects associated with the various steps of the mechanism.

The data in Table I concerning the carbonyl hydride band frequency for CO and CO$_2$ hydrogenation are suggestive of a monohydride in all cases for Rh/TiO$_2$, but possibly a dihydride for CO$_2$ hydrogenation over Rh/Al$_2$O$_3$ and Rh/SiO$_2$. The role of the carbonyl hydride species in the CO hydrogenation reaction was discussed in more detail elsewhere.

The Dissociation of CO$_2$

Currently there exists a controversy concerning the dissociation of CO$_2$ over Rh at ambient temperature. Somorjai and coworkers have reported that CO$_2$ does dissociate on Rh foil and on several different Rh single crystal surfaces with high probability ($10^{-1}$) at 300 K. However, Weinberg has computed the probability of dissociative adsorption of CO$_2$ on Rh to be only $10^{-15}$ at low pressure and ambient temperature. Goodman and
coworkers have recently measured the activation energy for CO\(_2\) dissociation on Rh(111) to be 17 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and have concluded that the probability of dissociation of CO\(_2\) on Rh at 300 K and 760 Torr is only 10\(^{-11}\). Very recently Solymosi and Kiss have reported that no dissociation of CO\(_2\) takes place on clean Rh at 300 K, but that dissociation does occur in the presence of H\(_2\) or impurity boron.\(^{42}\)

From spectrum 10a it is obvious that CO\(_2\) dissociation does occur on 10% Rh/TiO\(_2\) at 503 K in the absence of H\(_2\) gas, for the carbonyl hydride infrared band at 2032 cm\(^{-1}\) grew to the indicated intensity after 4 hr. The necessary hydrogen for this species migrates to Rh from the support. We have made a similar observation for Rh/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\). Examination of spectrum 10d reveals that the carbonyl hydride band was much more intense under the same conditions when gas-phase H\(_2\) was present. We have shown previously that the carbonyl hydride band grows in intensity with time, even at 300 K, for 10% Rh/TiO\(_2\) when H\(_2\) gas is present.\(^{43}\) Thus there can be no doubt that H\(_2\) assists the dissociation of CO\(_2\) on supported Rh. This is probably accomplished by a combination of two effects – reaction with adsorbed O produced from CO\(_2\) decomposition to free active sites and more facile formation of the carbonyl hydride species.

Spectra 10b and 10c correspond to analogous experiments for 10% Rh/TiO\(_2\) in which H\(_3\)BO\(_3\) was added as in impurity. Comparison of spectra 10b and 10a shows that the presence of the impurity boron species (probably in the form of oxides) caused an accentuation of the carbonyl hydride species when no H\(_2\) was present. This is most probably the result of electropositive boron interacting with the O in CO\(_2\) to enhance dissociation and thus more facile formation of the carbonyl hydride species. On the
other hand, a comparison of spectra 10b and 10c reveals that the carbonyl hydride species is suppressed when impurity boron and gas-phase H₂ are present. Also from spectra 10c and 10d it is evident that impurity boron poisons the production of CH₄. These observations indicate that the impurity boron is poisoning the rate-determining step, probably by blocking active methanation sites. A build-up of carbon on inactive methanation sites due to the presence of H₂ in the reaction cell could then block the formation of additional carbonyl hydride and explain the weak infrared band for the latter.

Unfortunately we can not compute the probability of dissociation of CO₂ over Rh from our data. However, we suspect that the presence of impurities such as H₂, H from a support, or boron are necessary to make this process facile at low temperature.

**Mechanism of CO₂ Hydrogenation**

Based upon the data accumulated in these laboratories, we would propose that the mechanism of CO₂ hydrogenation over supported Rh catalyst films involves: (1) the dissociation of CO₂ on Rh assisted by the presence of H₂ gas or H from the support to form the Rh carbonyl hydride species, formate on the support, and adsorbed O on Rh; (2) the removal of adsorbed O by H₂ and H to form H₂O(g) and OH on the support; (3) dissociation of the C-O bond in the Rh carbonyl hydride, to form active C on Rh; and (4) reaction of the active surface C on Rh with H₂ and H(a) to form CH₄(g). This proposed mechanistic scheme is very similar to one suggested earlier by Solymosi and coworkers. Its most novel feature is the proposed carbonyl hydride intermediate.
The reason for CO$_2$ hydrogenation proceeding at an apparent faster rate than does CO hydrogenation, even though the mechanisms are thought to be similar, is that the reactions are negative order in CO; there is a lower steady-state concentration of CO for the CO$_2$ methanation process.\textsuperscript{1} We agree with Goodman and coworkers that for CO$_2$ and CO methanation, there must be a delicate balance of the C(a) formation step and the C(a) surface hydrogen step,\textsuperscript{1} such that either the dissociation of the carbonyl hydride or the hydrogenation of active C may be rate limiting, dependent upon reaction conditions. As for which step was rate determining for our catalyst films, we can only propose that for the impurity boron work, the rate determining step was hydrogenation of active C (see last section).

Conclusions

The following conclusions can be made from this work:

(1) Methane was the only hydrocarbon reaction product observed in these studies.

(2) There is a support effect for CO$_2$ hydrogenation over supported Rh catalyst films. The turnover frequencies at a given temperature are in the order TiO$_2$ > Al$_2$O$_3$ > SiO$_2$. The CO$_2$ hydrogenation turnover frequencies are larger than CO hydrogenation ones under similar reaction conditions.

(3) The activation energy for hydrogenation of CO$_2$ is higher for Rh/TiO$_2$ than for Rh/Al$_2$O$_3$ for sample films containing low weight percent Rh.

(4) A formate species is formed upon heating for catalyst films.
containing low Rh loading on Al₂O₃ indicating the possibility of location on the support well removed from the Rh-support interface. The formate species was not observed for catalyst films containing high Rh loading or on blank supports.

(5) A Rh carbonyl hydride species is formed during CO₂ hydrogenation which is a key observable intermediate in catalytic methanation. This intermediate has been observed for CO hydrogenation over supported Rh films also.²⁶ The carbonyl hydride can be formed as a result of hydrogen migration from the support in the absence of gas-phase H₂.

(6) The presence of H₂, H(a), and impurity boron all enhance the dissociation of CO₂ over supported Rh. However, impurity boron poisons the methanation reaction.
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### TABLE I: Comparison of Turnover Frequencies for Hydrogenation of CO\textsuperscript{a} and CO\textsubscript{2} over Supported Rh Catalyst Films at 483 K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst Film</th>
<th>CO + 3H\textsubscript{2}</th>
<th>CO\textsubscript{2} + 4H\textsubscript{2}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rh(CO)H</td>
<td>TN\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0% Rh/TiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>2045\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0% Rh/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>2045</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0% Rh/SiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>2045</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% Rh/TiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>2043</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% Rh/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>2045</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% Rh/SiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Reference 26.
\textsuperscript{b}Molecules CH\textsubscript{4}/Rh atom/sec x 10\textsuperscript{5}.
\textsuperscript{c}Frequency in cm\textsuperscript{-1}.
TABLE II. Comparison of Activation Energies for Hydrogenation of CO₂ over Supported Rh Catalyst Films from This Work with Selected Values from Other Laboratories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Reaction Conditions</th>
<th>$E_a$ $^a$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5% Rh/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>66 Torr, 1:4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% Rh/TiO₂</td>
<td>CO₂: H₂,</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0% Rh/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0% Rh/TiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh Foil</td>
<td>700 Torr, 1:3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CO₂: H₂, Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% Rh/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>760 Torr, 1:4</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Rh/TiO₂</td>
<td>CO₂:H₂, Flow</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3% Rh/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>75 Torr, 1:4</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CO₂:H₂, Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Kcal mol⁻¹.
Figure Captions

Figure 1. Schematic of the Pyrex infrared cell/reactor used in this work.

Figure 2. Typical calibration curve for determining the reaction yield of methane.

Figure 3. Infrared spectra of a 10% Rh/TiO$_2$ film (4.7 mg cm$^{-2}$) following normal sample pretreatment and reduction and then: (a) introduction of 66.2 Torr CO$_2$ + 4H$_2$ at 298 K, (b) heating at 503 K for 30 min, (c) heating at 503 K for 4 hr.

Figure 4. Infrared spectra of a 10% Rh/Al$_2$O$_3$ film (3.1 mg cm$^{-2}$) following normal sample pretreatment and reduction and then: (a) introduction of 66.4 Torr CO$_2$ + 4H$_2$ at 298 K, (b) heating at 503 K for 1.5 hr, (c) heating at 503 K for 5 hr.

Figure 5. Infrared spectra of a 10% Rh/SiO$_2$ film (5.1 mg cm$^{-2}$) following normal sample pretreatment and reduction and then: (a) introduction of 66.1 Torr CO$_2$ + 4H$_2$ at 298 K, (b) heating at 483 K for 1 hr, (c) 3 hr, (d) 8 hr.

Figure 6. Infrared spectra of a 0.5% Rh/TiO$_2$ film (4.4 mg cm$^{-2}$) following normal sample pretreatment and reduction and then: (a) introduction of 66.5 Torr CO$_2$ + 4H$_2$ at 298 K, (b) heating at 443 K for 2 hr, (c) heating at 443 K for 7 hr, (d) evacuation at 298 K.

Figure 7. Infrared spectra of a 0.5% Rh/Al$_2$O$_3$ film (4.4 mg cm$^{-2}$) following normal sample pretreatment and reduction and then:
(a) introduction of 66.3 Torr CO₂ + 4H₂ at 298 K, (b) heating at 453 K for 2 hr, (c) heating at 453 K for 3 hr, (d) heating at 453 K for 16 hr, (e) evacuation at 298 K.

Figure 8. Typical Arrhenius plots for CH₄ production from 66 Torr CO₂ + 4H₂ over 0.5% Rh/TiO₂ and 0.5% Rh/Al₂O₃ films. Activation energies in kcal mol⁻¹ are indicated.

Figure 9. Infrared spectra of 10% Rh/TiO₂ films: (a) 4.7 mg cm⁻² film following normal pretreatment, reduction in H₂, introduction of 66.2 Torr CO₂ + 4H₂, and heating at 503 K for 2 hr, (b) 4.2 mg cm⁻² film following normal pretreatment, reduction in D₂, introduction of 66.0 Torr CO₂ + 4D₂, and heating at 503 K for 2 hr.

Figure 10. Infrared spectra of 10% Rh/TiO₂ films: (a) 4.1 mg cm⁻² film following reduction, heating at 523 K at 1x10⁻⁶ Torr for 16 hr, introduction of 12.6 Torr CO₂, and heating at 503 K for 4 hr, (b) 4.4 mg cm⁻² film doped with 4.9% H₃BO₃, following reduction, heating at 523 K at 1x10⁻⁶ Torr for 17.7 hr, introduction of 12.9 Torr CO₂, and heating at 503 K for 4 hr, (c) 4.2 mg cm⁻² film doped with 4.9% H₃BO₃, following standard reduction, introduction of 66.2 Torr CO₂ + 4H₂, heating at 503 K for 4 hr, (d) 4.3 mg cm⁻² film following standard reduction, introduction of 66.2 Torr CO₂ + 4H₂, heating at 503 K for 4 hr.
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