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ABSTRACT

The last ten years have seen a revolution in large area surveys of the earth's biomass. Satellite spectral data have made a significant contribution to these surveys by virtue of the fact that various combinations of the data have been found to be sensitive indicators of the presence of green vegetation. Data from two solar-reflective channels of the NOAA-2 meteorological weather satellite are being combined to provide a measure of the the greenness in the satellite's instantaneous field of view. In numerous instances the information content of this data can be limited by the varying amounts of atmospheric constituents, by clouds, by view angle and by solar angle. This paper reports on a study which was undertaken to describe the effect of varying amounts of water vapor on the infrared portions of the solar reflected radiances and on the earth emitted, far infrared radiances. By virtue of several data screening processes, it was possible to determine that the change in atmospheric water content over a several day period was responsible for variations in the satellite derived infrared radiance values. Similar variations were found in the far infrared radiance data. The variation in the near infrared channel was and is a factor in the variability of the vegetative index. A separate but related conclusion of this study is that view angle effects on the solar reflected near infrared channel introduces a larger variability into the data than was previously thought. This effect needs more study.
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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

GENERAL BACKGROUND

World political and economic stability is closely tied to the availability and price of food. Accordingly, assessment of global food supplies has become a primary concern for government planners. Supply information is important not only to the government sector, but to the private sector, as well. Even small farmers feel the effect of inadequate information about world food supplies. The impact of the Soviet grain buys of 1972 are a case in point.

The last ten years have seen a revolution in large area surveys of the earth's biomass. Satellite spectral data have made a significant contribution to these surveys by virtue of the fact that the various combinations of the data have been found to be sensitive indicators of the presence of green vegetation. Earth Resources Technology Satellites, now known as the Landsat satellites, provided much of the original crop yield data in this technological revolution. A specific application of this technology was, and is, crop yield forecasting, based on satellite assessment of
planted acreage and crop health.

Thompson and Wehmanen (1979) used Landsat data to develop a method for monitoring drought conditions for various crops in the major United States crop regions. They reported successful monitoring of the 1977 drought in the USSR and Australian wheat regions. Gray and McCravy (1981a) showed that data extracted originally from the Landsat Multispectral Scanning (MSS) system and, more recently, from the polar orbiting weather satellites, TIROS-N, NOAA-6, NOAA-7 and NOAA-8, were useful in studying and monitoring terrestrial vegetation on a global basis.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) satellites are platforms for several sensors. One of these sensors, the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR), is a multi-channel scanner designed to simultaneously measure radiant energy in each of four or five selected spectral bands. Combinations of the measured energy values from Channels 1 and 2 of the AVHRR provide a measure of "greenness," as defined by Kauth and Thomas (1976), of the viewed target area. Barnett and Thompson (1982), among others, found the greenness value, also called a vegetative index (VI), to be highly correlated with the health and vigor of vegetation and crops. A vegetative index is an algebraic relation of satellite radiance measurements from two or more different spectral bands.

Vegetative indices vary, not only as a function of vegetative health and vigor, but also with sun angle, sensor
view angle and with atmospheric effects such as path radiance, scattering by dust and aerosols, sub-pixel size clouds and absorption by water vapor. One objective of this study has been to find a direct relationship between satellite radiance data and the amount of water in the atmosphere. Determining if the amount of water is directly related to variations in a particular vegetative index was a second objective.

This study investigated, on a case study basis, effects of atmospheric water on one of the vegetative indices, the VIN, which is computed using the formula:

\[
\text{VIN} = \text{AVHRR Channel 2} - \text{AVHRR Channel 1}
\]

where Channel 2 is the measured radiance value of AVHRR Channel 2 and Channel 1 is the measured radiance value of AVHRR Channel 1. Additionally, effects of atmospheric water on the data from two "emissive channels" were investigated. The water effects and associated cloud effects can explain a part of the day to day variability of the VIN as it is used in crop yield prediction.

**SATELLITE BACKGROUND**

During the last 25 years many research disciplines have attempted to gather information from earth orbiting, remote sensing platforms. Notable among these are surveyers of
natural resources, land use planners, oceanographers and atmospheric scientists. Satellite technology as it has developed from the 50's and 60's has played an especially important role in providing global assessment capability of many earth resources. This technology has become so important that each of these groups has developed satellites with instrumentation designed to sense data that can be interpreted in meaningful relationships and quantified for the particular groups of users. Lillisand and Kiefer (1979) state: "This remotely collected data can be of many forms including variations in force distributions, acoustic wave distributions or electromagnetic energy wave distributions."

Although pictures of cloud formations from rocket platforms were made public in 1949, atmospheric scientists' first attempt at satellite remote sensing of the atmosphere came in February 1959 with the launch of Vanguard II. A photo cell on the satellite built up a picture one line at a time. Kellogg (1982) describes this method of "picture" development as very similar to methods used on satellites now in operation.

Allison and Schnapf (1983) recount the development of the United States meteorological satellite program. The following is a summary of that recount. From Vanguard the meteorological satellite development matured to a polar orbiting series of weather satellites. First came TIROS-I (Television and Infrared Observational Satellite) in the early 1960's. The TIROS Operational Satellite (TOS) or ESSA
(Environmental Science Services Administration) satellites followed in the late 60's. Later in the 1960's TIROS-M or the ITOS satellite (Improved TIROS Operational System) was launched. Finally, the current TIROS-N or the NOAA-X satellites become operational in the mid 1970's. Figure 1.1 depicts the evolution of the TIROS series.

A series of satellites designated as NIMBUS satellites served as a research and development test bed for many of the instruments flown on the TIROS series satellites. Also, in the mid 60's and early 70's, there was a simultaneous development of the geostationary satellites. The Advanced Technology Satellite (ATS) demonstrated the technologies which were to become operational on the SMS/GOES (Geosynchronous Orbiting Earth Satellite) System.

The late 1960's and the early 1970's also saw a parallel development effort in polar orbiting, earth sensing satellites which became known as Landsat. Their primary purpose was, and is, acquisition of information about earth resources. These satellites, in general have a much smaller field of view than the meteorological polar orbiters. As a result, Landsat returns to view the same area of the earth much less frequently than the weather satellites.

POLAR ORBITER BACKGROUND

TIROS-N, (see Figure 1.2) launched in October 1978, became the first satellite of the third generation opera-
Figure 1.2 TIROS-N Spacecraft
From Allison (1980, Figure 6)
tional polar orbiting environmental satellite system.

TIROS-N, which was the research and development vehicle was followed by the operational satellites: NOAA-6, NOAA-7, and NOAA-8. Gray et al. (1981) and Barnes and Smallwood (1982) summarize the characteristics of these satellites. The satellites are near polar, sun synchronous, with an inclination of about 99°, a nominal height of 833 km (521 miles) and an orbital period of 102 minutes.

The orbit period of the satellite determines the longitudinal distance between successive equatorial crossings. For the NOAA series satellites the longitudinal difference is 25°. The satellites execute 14.2 orbits per day. See figure 1.3. Because the number of orbits per day is not an integer, the satellite subtrack precesses approximately 3° longitude per day at the equator. Local equatorial crossing time for NOAA-7, which provided the data for this study, is 1430 Local Time (2030Z).

NOAA-7 has several sensor systems on board: the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR), the TIROS Operational Vertical Sounder (TOVS), the Data Collection System and the Space Environmental Monitor (SEM). Allison and Schnapf (1983) discuss these systems. Details of the AVHRR are reviewed below.

**AVHRR BACKGROUND**

The AVHRR is a multispectral scanning system acquiring
radiance data in two reflective spectral bands and three emissive bands. See Figure 1.4. Each channel or band has an instantaneous field of view of 1.4 milliradians. Nadir resolution is one kilometer. The channel characteristics are shown in Table 1.

The AVHRR scan is perpendicular to the orbital plane with a scan rate of six scans per second. NOAA-7 sees the earth in an angle of $+56^\circ$ from nadir. The scan line covers a swath approximately 2700 km long and consists of 2048 samples or targets. See Figures 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7. Each sample is termed a local area coverage (LAC) spot or pixel. Energy received at the sensor for each channel is sensed and digitized into 1024 levels (10 bit) for all bands. This combination of sensing and sampling results in a very large number of data values. Gruber et al. (1983) calculate that one second of data, equivalent to approximately seven kilometers movement of satellite nadir point, consisting of five channels times 2048 locations per scan times six lines equals 61440 data values.

Data taken during six minutes of orbit fill a 1600 bits per inch tape with more than 21.6 million radiance data values. The resulting "scene" is an earth swath of 2200 km X 2700 km. See Figure 1.7. Data from the AVHRR can be provided in four modes. Gray and et al. (1981) explain these modes. They note that two of the modes, Automatic Picture Transmission mode and the High Resolution Picture Transmission mode, send data earthward instantaneously for
Figure 1.4 Spectral response of the five channels of the NOAA-7 AVHRR
From Gruber, Ruff and Earnest (1983, Figure 1)
## NOAA-7 AVHRR Channel Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel*</th>
<th>Resolution at Subpoint</th>
<th>Wavelength (μm)</th>
<th>Primary Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 km</td>
<td>0.55 – 0.68</td>
<td>Daytime Cloud and Surface Mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 km</td>
<td>0.725 – 1.10</td>
<td>Surface Water Delineation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 km</td>
<td>3.55 – 3.93</td>
<td>SST, Nighttime Cloud Mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 km</td>
<td>10.5 – 11.5</td>
<td>SST, Day/Night Cloud Mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 km</td>
<td>11.5 – 12.5</td>
<td>SST</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
Figure 1.6 Orbital Characteristics of NOAA Weather Satellites
After Barrett (1974, Figure 2.1)
operational use. The other two modes store data on board for later playback. LAC data is one kilometer resolution data which is first stored on board the satellite, then played back to designated receiving stations where it is stored on tape. Global Area Coverage (GAC) data are an averaged and sampled subset of LAC data which is first stored on board the satellite, then played back to ground receiving stations. See Figure 1.5.

GAC data, in general, is the source of most vegetative index products. The large number of data values produced by LAC coverage makes data management unfeasible for routine use. This fine resolution data is most useful however, for case studies and research and development of new methodologies.

REMOTE SENSORS AS VEGETATIVE ASSESSMENT TOOLS

The Landsat satellites with their Multispectral Scanner (MSS) system expanded the ability to generate, process and apply data remotely sensed from space. Data from the MSS afforded the capability to provide timely information of global extent, about the condition of various crops needed for food throughout the world. Lillisand and Kiefer (1979) explain that Large Area Crop Inventory Experiment (LACIE), conducted by NASA, NOAA and the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), combined Landsat data with climatic data to predict the yield of the world's major wheat crops.
Also, according to Lillesand and Kiefer, the inventory experiment was designed to achieve monthly at-harvest production estimates that would converge to within ten percent of the true estimate at the national level with a confidence of ninety percent. Myers (1983) states that the success of LACIE led to further research and development in the assessment of crop conditions. A brief theoretical background of the assessment method is given in Chapter II of this paper.

Agriculture and Resources Inventory Surveys Through Aerospace Remote Sensing (AgRISTARS), a joint program between USDA, NASA, NOAA, the Agency for International Development and the United States Department of the Interior, supported research which applied Landsat data to assessment of the world's agriculture. An AgRISTARS group, Early Warning/Crop Condition Assessment (EW/CCA) evaluated kinds of crops, health of of crops, stages of growth and crop yield/productivity. Data used in the assessment came from Landsat spectral channels sensing in the visible and near infrared (channels 4 through 7). Unfortunately, acquisition times for complete data sets were (and are) so infrequent that important information about time varying-physical events and earth surface features often could not be captured. The shortest time between Landsat acquisitions for the same area is 18 days.

In addition to the low frequency of Landsat coverage clouds often obscured the surface resulting in unacceptable
temporal gaps in assessment data sets. A combination of temporal gaps and Landsat breakdowns forced researchers to seek other data sources. Gray and McCrary (1981a) showed that the NOAA-6 AVHRR vegetative index correlated well with the vegetative index calculated from MSS data. They found the AVHRR response provided useful information about vegetative responses to moisture availability. This fact combined with NOAA-X satellites' nearly daily data acquisition led Gray and McCrary (1981a and 1982) and Horvath et al. (1982) to conclude that the TIROS-N/NOAA-X satellites met many of the needs of the crop assessment community.
CHAPTER II

VEGETATIVE INDICES

BACKGROUND

Allison and Schnapf (1983) note that the TIROS satellites have been the principal global operational meteorological satellites for the United States for the last 22 years. As mentioned in Chapter 1, researchers during the last five years have expanded the use of TIROS-N/NOAA-X data to other than meteorological applications. Vegetation assessment is one of these applications.

Research using visible and near infrared spectral bands for monitoring vegetation have been reviewed by Tucker et al. (1981), Holben et al. (1983) and numerous others. Tucker et al. (1981) reported that red and photographic infrared spectral data were highly related to vigor and condition of the plant canopy and were quantitatively correlated with total dry-matter accumulation in winter wheat. Tucker et al. further proposed a satellite system to provide large area assessment of total dry matter accumulation or net primary production from terrestrial vegetation.

Schneider et al. (1981) investigated the use of the
AVHRR for land remote sensing. They determined that: (1) vegetation response is greater in the near infrared than in the visible and (2) the spectral responses or albedo difference of plants is related to chlorophyll content or green leaf biomass. Schneider went on to recommend that albedo difference pictures, i.e., AVHRR Channel 2 minus Channel 1 pictures, be generated on computer interactive systems and color coded for use in general terrain classification. (Work in this area continues at the University of Missouri Geographic Resources Center). Additionally, Gray and McCrary (1981a) found that plant stress detection could be accomplished by relating the albedo differences to browning and/or drying of vegetation.

Applications of these findings expanded immediately and related research reports continue to fill the remote sensing journals. Barnett and Thompson (1982) reported success in using large area spectral data in wheat yield estimation. Most recently Tucker et al. (1984) determined that AVHRR data were useful for monitoring large areas of vegetation in the Nile River Valley. Tucker et al. emphasized that atmospheric effects must be quantified for specific inventory purposes. They also noted that the addition of thermal emissive channel data was valuable for detecting clouds. Norwine and Gregor (1983) developed a technique for vegetation classification and formulated a vegetation gradient based on climatic and meteorological satellite data.
**THEORY**

Solar radiation impinging upon the earth's surface is either reflected, absorbed or transmitted. Total radiation received at the earth's surface can be expressed as:

\[
E(\text{INC}) = E(\text{REF}) + E(\text{ABS}) + E(\text{TRN}) \quad (2.1)
\]

- \(E(\text{INC})\) denotes incident energy
- \(E(\text{REF})\) denotes reflected energy
- \(E(\text{ABS})\) denotes absorbed energy
- \(E(\text{TRN})\) denotes transmitted energy

The terms in equation 2.1 can be divided by the incident energy resulting in

\[
\frac{E(\text{REF}) + E(\text{ABS}) + E(\text{TRN})}{E(\text{INC})} = 1 \quad (2.2)
\]

- \(\text{REF}\) is reflectivity
- \(\text{ABS}\) is absorptivity
- \(\text{TRN}\) is transmissivity

Since most land features are optically dense, transmitted energy for these features is approximately equal to zero. Thus, equation (2.1) reduces to

\[
E(\text{INC}) = E(\text{REF}) + E(\text{ABS}) \quad (2.3)
\]

or
Curves of reflectivity versus wavelength or of absorptivity versus wavelength for various surface features can be constructed from laboratory or field measurement. For plant-soil surfaces there are very discrete physical phenomena which cause the characteristic shapes of reflectivity curves.

Numerous studies about the interaction between plants and electromagnetic energy have been made. See, for example, Knipling (1970), Hoffer and Johannsen (1969), Tucker et al. (1981) and Horler et al. (1983). Smith (1983) summarizes the work published before 1982.

Figure 2.1 shows the reflectivity of a green leaf. Figure 2.2 shows reflectivity curves for typical green vegetation and soil. Myers (1983) and Horler et al. (1983) describe green leaf reflectivity properties. They note that the chlorophyll of green leaves usually absorbs seventy to ninety per cent of the light in the blue part of the spectrum, resulting in low reflectivity in that region. Another chlorophyll absorption peak occurs at .68 μm. Chlorophyll is a less effective absorber near .55 μm, hence, there is an absorption minima or a reflectivity peak in the curve.

According to the authors of Biology Today, seventy to ninety percent of the impinging radiation is absorbed by plant chlorophyll and used to convert two extremely stable,
Figure 2.1  Spectral Response Curve for a Green Leaf  
After Hoffer and Johannsen (1969)
Figure 2.2 Typical Spectral Reflectance Curves for Vegetation, Soil and Water
After Lillesand and Kiefer (1979, Figure 1.10)
low energy molecules (carbon dioxide and water) into an unstable energy-rich system consisting of organic matter and free oxygen. Most of the organic molecules created are sugars which can be further processed into starch and cellulose. Knipling (1970) reported that any physiological disturbance to a leaf usually results in an increase in visible light reflectivity. Chlorophyll degradation is a primary factor in this response. Myers (1983) suggests that a plant undergoing senescence shows an increase in light reflectivity in the .55 - .68 μm spectral band (AVHRR Channel 1) with respect to reflectivity in the near infrared band (AVHRR Channel 2).

Horler et al. (1983) describe the near infrared properties of leaves. They report that from .70 -1.10 μm chlorophyll is a poor absorber and that high, internal leaf scattering causes large, near infrared reflectivity. Hence, leaf reflectivity in the near infrared shows a dramatic increase over visible reflectivity. Reflectivity changes in the .70 - 1.10 μm band are associated with changes in the size and shape of cells and intercellular spaces. Myers (1983) reports that healthy leaves have high infrared reflectivity, usually in the range of sixty to seventy percent in the .70 to 1.30 μm range, as compared with low infrared reflectivity for unhealthy leaves. The difference in infrared reflectivity of well watered wheat and stressed wheat is shown in Figure 2.3.

These differences in reflected solar radiation are the
Figure 2.3 Response of NOAA AVHRR reflective channels (wheat)
From Gray and McCrory (1981b, Figure 1)
basis for vegetative indices. The AVHRR senses reflected solar energy in Channels one and two. A simple vegetative index (VIN) can be computed by subtracting the value of energy sensed by Channel 1 from the value of energy sensed by Channel 2, as described in Chapter 1. To repeat:

\[ VIN = CH2 - CH1 \quad (2.5) \]

As plants become less healthy or as senescence sets in, the Channel 2 value drops while the Channel 1 value increases. Thus, large values of VIN (holding viewing angle and sun angle constant) indicate healthy green plants in the target area while lower values indicate stress, end of growing season, or a sparsity of green plants since Healthy plant "scenes" have high Channel 2 values and low Channel 1 values. See figure 2.3.

Yates et al. (1984) states that a normalized vegetative index (NVI) can also be computed using:

\[ NVI = \frac{CH2 - CH1}{CH2 + CH1} \quad (2.6) \]

He goes on to say that the normalized vegetative index is preferred for global vegetation monitoring because it partially compensates for changing illumination conditions, surface slope and viewing aspect.

Response curves for objects or surfaces other than plants and soil are shown in Figure 2.4. Additionally,
Figure 2.4 Response of NOAA AVHRR reflective channels (concrete, asphalt, water, sand and beans) From Gray and McCrory (1981b, Figure 2)
clouds, water and snow have the same or higher reflectivities in Channel 1 than in Channel 2, so these features have a VIN equal to zero or a negative VIN. Rock and bare soil have similar reflectivity in the two channels, which results in a vegetative index near zero. Pixels containing large amounts of clouds can usually be identified by their high Channel 1 values, thus permitting the development of a simple cloud screening scheme. Liquid water, on the other hand, is a good absorber of energy sensed by Channel 2. As a result, low Channel 2 values are recorded for water-filled pixels. Thus, water-filled pixels can also be identified. Tappan et al. (1983) used this fact for land/water discrimination.

Rarely does the AVHRR view a pixel that is pure vegetation. Even a pixel as small as one square kilometer will contain bare soil, roads, water and possibly clouds. These features do not exhibit the sharp differences in reflectivity curves that occur with plants. The VIN for a pixel which includes these features will be reduced by comparison to vegetation-filled pixels. Figure 2.5 show the result of mixed pixel returns to the AVHRR.

According to the NOAA Satellite Data Services Division Vegetation Index User's Guide, atmospheric effects such as Rayleigh scattering, scattering by dust and aerosols and sub-pixel-sized clouds all tend to increase Channel 1 with respect to Channel 2 and reduce the computed VIN. Further, the Guide states that this reduction tends to be greater at...
Figure 2.5 Comparison of synthetic VIN values for pure and mixed pixels. After Horvath et al (1982, Figure 3.2)
higher scan angles.

Recent studies by Holben and Fraser (1984), Barnett (1984) and the results of this study dispute these statements. Holben and Fraser found that Mie Scattering, which increases Channel 2 with respect to Channel 1, causes an increase in the VIN, especially at higher scan angles. See Chapter III for a more in-depth discussion of scattering.
CHAPTER III

ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATIVE TRANSFER

GENERAL

This chapter is a general summary of the properties of electromagnetic (EM) radiation, the interaction of this radiation with matter and the application of the properties of EM radiation to remote sensing. In this chapter the development of EM theory and the description of the way it interacts with matter is derived from similar developments by Wallace and Hobbs (1977) and Fleagle and Businger (1980).

Radiative energy transfer is responsible for nearly all energy exchange between the earth and the rest of the universe. Battan (1984) states that the earth intercepts approximately $1.8 \times 10^{17}$ watts of solar energy. This is five orders of magnitude larger than any other extraterrestrial source. Hence, the sun, for meteorological purposes, can be considered the sole source of extraterrestrial energy.

Electromagnetic radiative transfer is one of several exchange mechanisms for transferring energy between the atmosphere and the underlying surface and between layers in
the atmosphere. Fleagle and Businger (1980) assert that for the atmosphere alone, EM radiation transfer is the most important energy transfer process.

PROPERTIES OF ELECTROMAGNETIC ENERGY

An EM wave is energy in transit or radiant energy \( Q \). \( Q \) is expressed in units of joules. Suits (1983) writes that: "The energy carried by an electromagnetic wave is a measure of the capacity of the radiation to do physical work by moving something by a force, to heat an object or to cause a change of state of matter." All EM energy is inherently similar and propagates in a vacuum at \( 2.9979 \times 10^{10} \) cm per sec and through air at nearly the same speed. This speed is also known as the velocity of light, \( C \). From basic physics, waves obey the formula \( C = f \times \lambda \) or, symbolically,

\[
C = f \times \lambda \quad (3.1)
\]

Another important property of radiation is its wavelength. All wavelengths greater than zero are possible. The collection of all possible EM wavelengths is called the electromagnetic spectrum. The EM spectrum and its important categories are shown in Figure 3.1. Electromagnetic energy in the visible part of the spectrum is commonly referred to as light.
Figure 3.1 The Electromagnetic Spectrum From Suits (1983, Figure 2.3)
The amount of radiant energy passing an area per unit time is the radiant flux, $\Phi$. The units of radiant flux are joules per second or Watts. Radiant flux per unit area is flux density, or irradiance. Irradiance can be expressed as

$$E = \frac{d^2Q}{dA dt}$$

where $Q$ is radiant energy and $E$ is expressed in units of watts per square meter. Irradiance may consist of contributions of radiant energy from an infinity of different directions.

Several basic interactions between matter and energy can be described using irradiance and the basic law of conservation of energy. First, materials have certain intrinsic properties: monochromatic absorptivity, monochromatic reflectivity, monochromatic transmissivity and monochromatic emissivity. The first three properties are a measure of absorbed, reflected, and transmitted irradiance, respectively, compared to incident irradiance, i.e.,

$$a = \frac{E(\text{ABS})}{E(\text{INC})}$$

$$r = \frac{E(\text{REF})}{E(\text{INC})}$$

$$\tau = \frac{E(\text{TRN})}{E(\text{INC})}$$
E(ABS) is the absorbed irradiance
\( \lambda \)

E(REF) is the reflected irradiance
\( \lambda \)

E(TRN) is the transmitted irradiance
\( \lambda \)

E(INC) is the incident irradiance
\( \lambda \)

The subscript \( \lambda \) indicates that the quantity is for one wavelength. As previously noted, conservation of energy implies:

\( a + r + \tau = 1 \) \hspace{1cm} (3.6)
\( \lambda \) \( \lambda \) \( \lambda \)

Monochromatic emissivity, as a function of wavelength and temperature, will be discussed after a review of emitted energy.

Radiance, \( L \), is defined as the radiant energy per unit time (or irradiance) coming from a specific direction within some specified infinitesimal arc of solid angle \( d\omega \), and passing through a unit area perpendicular to that direction. Thus, radiance may be expressed as:

\[
L = \frac{dE}{\cos \theta \ d\omega} \hspace{1cm} (3.7)
\]

\( \theta \) is the zenith angle which is the angle between the direction of the radiation and the normal to the surface receiving the radiation.
$\text{d} \omega$ is the solid angle through which energy is received.

Radiance is expressed in units of watts per square meter per steradian. Equation 3.7 can be rearranged to express the radiance normal to the surface receiving the radiation:

$$L \cos \theta = \frac{dE}{d \omega} \quad (3.8)$$

If the radiance does not vary with direction (isotropic radiation) then the irradiance is the sum of the normal component of radiation coming from the entire hemisphere:

$$E = \int_{0}^{2\pi} L \cos \theta \, d \omega \quad (3.9)$$

Radiation originating at a distant point source can be considered to propagate in a single direction toward the receiving surface. Hence, $d \omega$ vanishes and $L$ is undefined. This special case is referred to as parallel beam propagation. Coulson (1975) notes that solar energy reaches the earth as an approximately parallel beam.

Any substance whose temperature is greater than absolute zero radiates energy. The maximum radiation that can be emitted by any body at a given temperature is called blackbody radiation. The distribution of emitted radiation for a blackbody with temperature $T$ is called the Planck
Function. It can be expressed by Planck's Law of Blackbody Radiance:

\[ L_{\lambda b} = \frac{c_1}{\lambda^5} \{ \exp(\frac{c_2}{\lambda T}) - 1 \} \]  
(3.10)

where:
- \( c_1 = 3.74 \times 10^{-16} \text{ Wm}^2 \)
- \( c_2 = 1.44 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m}^0 \text{K} \)
- \( \lambda = \text{wavelength} \)
- \( T = \text{temperature in degrees Kelvin} \)
- \( L_{\lambda b} = \text{blackbody radiance} \)

The higher the temperature the greater the emitted energy.

The quantity of energy emitted at all wavelengths by a unit area of a body having a Kelvin temperature of \( T \), is proportional to the fourth power of \( T \), or:

\[ L_{b} = bT^4 \]  
(3.11)

where \( b \) is a combination of all the constants involved in the integration of the Planck Function. Since blackbody radiation is isotropic:

\[ E_{\lambda} = \pi bT^4 = \sigma T^4 \]  
(3.12)

This equation is the Stefan-Boltzmann Law where \( \sigma \), the Stefan-Boltzman constant, is equal to 5.67 \( \times \) 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-4}. \( E \) is the irradiance of a blackbody. Figure 3.2 is a graph of the blackbody spectra of the sun, a typical incandescent lamp and the earth.

Of course, real materials rarely behave as blackbodies.
In general, real materials emit an irradiance that is some fraction of what would be emitted if the material were a blackbody at the same temperature. This fractional flux is called the emissivity and may be expressed as

\[ e = \frac{E_{\text{EMT}}}{E_{\lambda}} \]  

(3.13)

\( E_{\text{EMT}} \) is the irradiance emitted by a real material or the emittance. Emissivity is an intrinsic property of each substance in the universe.

The Stefan Boltzmann Law, equation 3.12, can be modified to describe the reduced irradiance, or graybody irradiance, by including the emissivity factor. Thus, for a graybody

\[ E = e \sigma T^4 \]  

(3.14)

where \( e \) is the graybody emissivity. The emissivity is less than one but constant for all wavelengths. If the emissivity of any object varies with wavelength the object is called a selective emitter. Most materials are selective emitters.

Kirchoff’s Law, which can be expressed as

\[ a = e \]  

(3.15)
states that a strong absorber will be a strong emitter. This law applies at any given wavelength. Caution must be exercised in applying this law across the EM spectrum since it is only at radiative equilibrium that total emission over all wavelengths equals total absorption over all wavelengths.

Differentiating the Planck function with respect to wavelength and setting the result equal to zero will give the wavelength of maximum energy emission, $\lambda(\text{MAX})$. The resulting equation is Wein's Displacement Law.

$$\lambda(\text{MAX}) = \frac{2897\mu m^0 K}{T} \quad (3.16)$$

Figure 3.2 illustrates that lower temperatures result in lower emitted energies (area under the Planck curve equals emitted energy) and that the wavelength of maximum energy emission shifts to longer wavelengths as the temperature of a body decreases.

Fleagle and Businger (1980) state that, for all practical purposes, the entire solar spectrum is at wavelengths less than 4 μm and the whole terrestrial spectrum is at wavelengths greater than 4 μm. The two spectra are effectively divided by the 4 μm line. The sun emits the maximum energy in the visible portion of the EM spectrum near .5 μm, while maximum earth emission is near 10 μm.

The latter emission correlates with terrestrial heat
and is often called "thermal," "thermal infrared," or "emitted infrared." energy. According to Lillisand and Kiefer (1979) this energy is best sensed with radiometers. Sensors operating in the 8-14 \( \mu \text{m} \) region detect the thermal infrared energy emitted by the earth and the atmosphere. Sensors operating in the spectral bands from .3 \( \mu \text{m} \) to approximately 1.3 \( \mu \text{m} \) detect reflected solar energy. Energy in this region is either reflected visible or reflected infrared. Reflected infrared may also be called "near infrared."

**ENERGY INTERACTIONS WITH THE ATMOSPHERE**

Radiation travelling from the sun through essentially free space arrives at the outer reaches of the earth's atmosphere almost completely unattenuated. However, interaction with atmosphere reduces the intensity of solar radiation reaching the earth's surface and alters its spectral composition. Figure 3.3 shows, among other features, the relative amount of energy from the direct solar beam reaching the earth's surface after attenuation through "one optical air mass" or "one path length."

Otterman and Robinove (1981) note that the task of detecting changes on the earth's surface based on measurements of changes of spectral reflectivity,

"...is complex because the surface is not directly measured by a satellite borne radiometer; it is the radiance above the atmosphere, which is affected by the atmospheric conditions as well as..."
Figure 3.3 Calculated and Observed Spectra of Radiant Energy from the Sun and the Earth. The blackbody radiation, at 6000 K, is reduced by the square of the ratio of the sun's radius to the average distance between the sun and the earth in order to give the energy flux that would be incident on the top of the earth's atmosphere. After W.D. Sellers, (1965)
by surface characteristics which is measured instead."

The net effect of the atmosphere varies with differences in path length, magnitude of the energy being sensed, the atmospheric conditions present and the wavelength involved. It is generally accepted that attenuation effects are principally the result of scattering and absorption.

ATMOSPHERIC SCATTERING

Lillisand and Kiefer (1979) write that atmospheric scattering is the unpredictable redirection of radiation by particles in the atmosphere. Scattering in the atmosphere is almost always a multiple process. Slater (1980) describes this scattering process as dependent on the size distribution of the scattering elements, their composition and concentration and the wavelength or wavelength distribution of the radiant flux incident on them. According to Sabins (1978) atmospheric scattering effects are generally limited to the solar portion of the EM spectrum.

Slater (1983) presents a very useful diagram in explaining scattering. A modified version of the diagram is shown Figure 3.4. When particles are much smaller than the wavelength, the scattered power is inversely proportional to the fourth power of the wavelength. This scattering is termed Rayleigh scattering. Rayleigh scattering is most pronounced in the shorter solar wavelengths from the ultraviolet wavelengths to approximately .7 μm. See figure 3.4.
Figure 3.4 Selective Scattering (Rayleigh and Mie) and Nonselective Scattering as a Function of Wavelength. The shaded region indicates the range of scattering caused by typical atmospheres. From Slater (1983, Figure 6-15).
According to Lillisand and Keifer (1979) this type of scattering is the primary cause of "haze" or loss of contrast in visible imagery. Wallace and Hobbs (1977) state that, in general, with Rayleigh scattering as much radiation is back-scattered as is forward scattered. Figure 3.5 illustrates this phenomenon.

Besides Rayleigh scattering, radiation entering or leaving the atmosphere may be affected by Mie scattering. Generally, Mie scattering is inversely proportional to the first power of wavelength. Mie scattering occurs when atmospheric particle diameters are essentially equal the energy wavelengths being sensed. Lillisand and Kiefer (1979) note that, as a result, this type of scattering tends to influence longer wavelengths compared to Rayleigh scattering. With Mie scattering, forward scattering predominates over backscattering. See Figure 3.5. The scattering of sunlight by haze, smoke, smog, dust and water aerosols usually falls within the Mie Regime. The combination of Rayleigh and Mie scattering causes the selective scattering of light shown in Figure 3.5. These phenomena produce significant effects on the VIN as will be discussed in Chapters IV and V.

Sabins (1978) lists a third scattering phenomenon, nonselective scatter. The scattering particles are much larger than the wavelengths being scattered. Effects on visible and reflected infrared wavelengths are nearly equal. Thus, the scattering is nonselective. Fog and clouds appear white in the visible spectrum because blue, green and red
Figure 3.5 Angular Dependence of Single-Scattering Phase Functions in any Azimuthal Plane. The isotropic and Rayleigh functions have been multiplied by 10. After LaRocca and Turner, (1975)
light are equally scattered.

According to Chahine (1983) radiance above the atmosphere or spectral radiant emittance $E$, can be expressed as the sum of two terms:

$$E = E_1 + E_2 \quad (3.18)$$

where $E_1$ is the solar energy that is scattered by the atmosphere and never reaches the earth's surface. $E_2$ is the component of solar irradiance that reaches the earth's surface, is reflected, and emerges from the top of the atmosphere. Figure 3.6 illustrates the relationship. Information about the surface is contained in the $E_2$ component. Chahine states that the $E_1$ component limits the amount of information that can be extracted from the measured radiation.

The downwelling radiation at the ground can be broken into two components as follows:

$$E (\mu) = E (\mu) + E (\mu) \quad (3.17)$$

$E (\mu)$ is the total spectral irradiance or global flux
$T$
$E (\mu)$ is the direct sunlight irradiance
$D$
$E (\mu)$ is the skylight irradiance which is light that
$S$
has been scattered at least once
$\mu$ is the optical pathlength or optical depth

A plot of the ratio of direct solar irradiance to the global
\[ E = \text{Total Radiant Emittance} \]

\[ E_1 = \text{Atmospherically Scattered Upwelling Light} \]

\[ E_2 = \text{Surface Interacting Upwelling Light} \]

Figure 3.6 Computed Radiant Emittance at the Top of a Rayleigh Atmosphere (no absorption or large particles) as a Function of Optical Thickness. The reflectance of the ground is 1; the solar zenith angle = 66.4°. From Chahine 1983 (Figure 5.16)
irradiance versus optical depth is shown in Figure 3.7. The
$E_o$ component also serves to limit the amount of information
which can be extracted from satellite measured radiances.

In general, scattering reduces the amount of solar
energy available for measurement by a remote sensor like the
AVHRR. There is one exception to this generalization:
backscattering. Backscatter may result from any of the
scattering mechanisms. Its effect is to add a radiance
which is backscattered from the sky into the sensor.

ATMOSPHERIC ABSORPTION

Miller et al. (1983) define absorption as the retention
of incident radiation by a substance resulting in a transfer
of radiant energy to internal energy. If substances are
thought of as consisting of infinitesimally thin layers,
then the incident radiation, as it passes through each
layer, is depleted as a result of the energy transfer. As
previously mentioned, the ratio of the irradiance absorbed
by a particular substance to that which is incident upon it
is called absorptivity. Absorption is wavelength dependent,
so different amounts of energy are absorbed by the same
substances at different wavelengths. The dimensionless
quantity $\kappa$ measures the depletion of the monochromatic
irradiance of the incident beam.

Absorbing gases are, of course, made up of individual
atoms or molecules. Atoms and molecules can exist only in
Figure 3.7 Ratio at the Direct Solar Irradiance to the Global Irradiance. From Chahine (1983, Figure 5.12)
certain states of rotational, vibrational and electronic configurations with characteristic energies. Iribane and Cho (1980) note that these atoms or molecules can change energy states by absorbing or emitting finite amounts of energy which are equal to (1) the energy required for electrons of the atom or molecule to transfer from one orbital level to another or (2) the energy needed for changing the vibrational or rotational state of the atom or molecule. Figure 3.8 illustrates the relationship between wavelength and the types of energy transitions. Each possible combination of electron orbit, vibration and rotation may be identified with a discrete energy level which represents the sum of the three energy types.

Because the atoms and molecules comprising the atmosphere have discrete and characteristic energy levels, the wavelengths of radiation with which the molecules and atoms interact is also characteristic and discrete. These discrete wavelengths of energy may be thought of as absorption or emission lines in the EM spectrum. The lines of absorption or emission are, theoretically, separated by gaps where absorption and emission are not possible. In practice, however, these lines are broadened by random molecular motions and collisions with other atoms or molecules. Molecular collisions increase as pressure increases and an increase in pressure results in pressure broadening of the spectral lines into peaked curves with wings. Thus the lines have a characteristic shape suggestive of a
Figure 3.8 Typical Form of Molecular Absorption Spectra.
Note that the vibrational transitions are split up by the rotational transitions. After Gjessing (1978)
Maxwellian distribution function, or a peaked curve with wings. The spectral distance covered by a wing also expands as pressure increases, as molecular speed increases and as the mass of the absorbing material, or optical mass, increases. The superposition of a wing from one spectral line with a wing from another results in increasing amounts of absorption over additional wavelengths. See Figure 3.9.

The actual absorption spectrum of the atmosphere contains innumerable lines, some of which are organized into absorption bands of limited spectral interval. A collection of these lines, associated with a given type of atom or molecule, is called a line spectrum.

Radiation passing through an infinitesimally thin layer of the atmosphere will be absorbed in an amount indicated by the absorptivity of the spectral line or the absorption coefficient of the spectral band. The remaining radiation is transmitted to the next atmospheric layer where absorption equal to the absorption coefficient of that layer again attenuates the radiation. After passing through several layers all of the energy in a particular spectral band near the central absorption peak may be attenuated, and thus, no further absorption takes place. However, absorption in the line wing may continue, as noted in Figure 3.9.

Because the sun is so distant, solar irradiance can be thought of as a parallel beam of radiation. For parallel beam radiation the solid angle $d\omega$ vanishes, thus radiance is undefined. Hence, one deals only with irradiance in calcu-
For an optical path length of $z_1$, the absorption is small even at the center of the line. For a path length of $z_2$, the center of the line is completely absorbed and further increase in the path length would only change the absorption in the wings of the line.

Figure 3.9 Absorption versus frequency for a single line and for different absorber amounts. From Wolfe and Zissis (1978, Figure 5-4)
lations of attenuation of the incoming solar beam. As this beam of energy passes through the atmosphere some of the energy is depleted from the beam. The depletion of the beam due to absorption as it passes downward through a horizontal layer of gas of infinitesimal thickness $dz$ is proportional to the number of molecules per unit area that are absorbing radiation along the path. Depletion can be expressed as:

$$-dE = E \kappa \rho \sec \theta \, dz \quad (3.19)$$

$\rho$ is the density of the gas
$\theta$ is the zenith angle
$\kappa$ is a measure of the fraction of gas molecules per unit wavelength that are absorbing radiation at the wavelength in question
$dz$ is the pathlength through which the radiation propagates
$dE$ is the incremental depletion of irradiation

This equation can be integrated from any level of the atmosphere $z$, to the top of the atmosphere resulting in:

$$\ln E - \ln E = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \sec \theta \kappa \rho \, dz \quad (3.20)$$

Going one step further,

$$E = E_{0} \exp(\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\infty} \kappa \sec \theta \rho \, dz)$$

$$= E \exp( -\kappa \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \sec \theta \, du) \quad (3.21)$$
where $du$, which is the increment in absorbing mass, is
\[
p \sec \theta \, dz \tag{3.22}
\]
and
\[
u = \int_{x}^{0} du \tag{3.23}
\]

Equation 3.21, which is known as Beer's Law, is the mathematical description of the way absorptivity and the mass of absorbing material interact. With this equation one can calculate the depletion of the irradiance of the solar beam after it has passed through an absorbing medium. Individual lines in the absorption spectrum broaden in a nonlinear fashion as the path length increases resulting in a monotonic decrease of solar irradiance with increasing path length. After the beam has passed through a layer of optical depth equal to one, the irradiance of the beam is diminished by a factor $e$. Taken to the ultimate, as $u$ approaches infinity the absorption bands merge so that absorptivity approaches one at all wavelengths and the gas absorbs and emits as a blackbody. It is important to note that $\kappa$ is (1) very small for visible wavelengths and (2) that $\kappa$ increases for the solar reflected infrared wavelengths.

Recognizing that the radiation emitted from the earth-atmosphere system is diffuse, an analogous treatment of this radiation can be developed. To do this one must recognize that the radiation is no longer parallel beam radiation. Thus, one must substitute the radiance $L$, for
irradiance \( E \). Thus, equation 3.19 becomes

\[
-dL = L \times \rho \sec \theta \, dz \quad (3.24)
\]

or

\[
-dL = L \times du \quad (3.25)
\]

For an atmospheric layer the absorptivity must equal the emissivity. Thus, the layer not only absorbs energy, but emits it as well. There is a contribution to the upwelling radiance by the layer. Because absorptivity equals the emissivity which equals the absorption coefficient, this contribution can be expressed as:

\[
(dL) = (dL_{bb}) = L_{bb} \times du \quad (3.26)
\]

where \( L_{bb} \) is the blackbody spectral radiance. The total change in radiance which is known as Schwarschild's equation is

\[
dL = (L - L_{bb}) \times du \quad (3.27)
\]

This law allows one to describe the depletion of earth-emitted radiation as it passes upward to the top of the atmosphere.
An absorption spectra for atmospheric gases in standard concentrations are shown in figure 3.10. Goody and Robinson (1951) proposed the original version of this graph. They assumed the atmosphere contained 2 gm cm$^{-2}$ of water vapor above ground level and 10 gm cm$^{-2}$ above 11 km and that carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide were mixed in equal portions within the atmosphere at all heights. McClatchey, et al. (1973), Kyle and Goldman (1975), and Dave and Braslau (1975) have refined this work. Dave and Braslau have varied the concentrations of atmospheric constituents in their models.

Oxygen and nitrogen are the primary absorbers of energy with wavelengths shorter than .3 μm. According to Fleagle and Businger (1980) approximately 99 percent of this energy is absorbed from the solar beam before it reaches the earth's surface. Energy in the visible wavelengths passes through the earth's atmosphere virtually unabsorbed. Slater (1980) states that solar infrared energy, on the other hand, suffers significant absorption. Jackson et al. (1983) note that near infrared radiance may suffer up to a 20 percent reduction due to water vapor absorption.

Infrared solar radiation received at the earth's surface is significantly less than visible radiation for two reasons: (1) Solar emission, as described by the Planckian energy distribution, decreases rapidly at wavelengths greater than .8 μm and (2) atmospheric absorption, in some bands significantly depletes the near infrared portion of the
Figure 3.10 Absorption Spectra for the Atmosphere as a Whole and for Individual Atmospheric Components. After Goody and Robinson (1951) and U.S. Air Force (1961)
solar beam before it reaches the earth's surface. Significant water absorption bands occur at 2.66 \( \mu \text{m} \), 2.74 \( \mu \text{m} \) and 6.25 \( \mu \text{m} \). Carbon dioxide and water vapor are the primary absorbers.

Water vapor is a highly variable substance in the atmosphere. Valley (1965) reported variations of sea level water vapor densities from \( 10^{-3} \) g m\(^{-3} \) in very cold, dry climates to 30 g m\(^{-3} \) in hot humid regions. According to Chahine (1983) the average total mass in the atmospheric column is 2.5 g m\(^{-2} \). Because atmospheric water vapor is a highly variable quantity, the amount of infrared radiation reaching the earth's surface is also variable. This variability manifests itself in lower radiance returns to channels of the AVHRR that are affected by water vapor, i.e., Channel 2 and as will be discussed, Channel 5. As previously mentioned, absorption is not a linear function of absorber concentration and absorber concentration varies both in time and in space so calculation of water vapor absorption can present many difficulties. Fortunately, as Fraser and Curran (1976) note that "the absorption does not have to be computed with great accuracy for many applications because relative differences in radiance for the same scene are more commonly used than absolute values."

Carbon dioxide is another strong absorber of radiation propagating through the atmosphere. However, Chahine (1983) notes that the homogeneous nature of the gas makes the determination of its attenuating effects easier to
calculate. The atmosphere is virtually opaque at 4.3 μm and at 15 μm and beyond due to carbon dioxide absorption.

Water vapor and carbon dioxide are both strong absorbers of earth emitted-infrared energy. The two constituents effectively block the outgoing infrared energy between 4 and 8 μm and the energy beyond 12 μm. There is, however, a "window" between 8 and 12 μm where neither gas absorbs strongly. While ozone has two narrow absorption bands centered at 9.6 μm, the 8 to 12 μm band is usually considered an effective energy transmission window. In general, the 8-12 μm band is described as unaffected by water vapor or carbon dioxide. See, for example, Fleagle and Businger (1980) and Coulson (1975). However, the far edge of the window near 12 μm does show some signs of increasing absorption. Referring to Figure 3.10 one can see the role water vapor has in absorption in the interval. As water vapor concentrations increase beyond standard atmospheric concentrations, recorded radiances should, theoretically, show an exponential decrease. Callison and Cracknell (1984) report that absorption due to water vapor in a moist atmosphere can attenuate the upwelling radiation in the 8 to 12 μm window by as much as ten percent. Although carbon dioxide has some effect in the far edge of the 8 to 12 μm window, Chahine (1983) states that the effect is small.

CLOUDS AS REFLECTORS AND EMITTERS
The fraction of irradiance that is reflected is called reflectivity. According to Hecht and Zajac (1979), reflection is a special case of scattering in which the irradiance is diverted back rather than transmitted forward. Reflected energy travels in a more predictable direction than scattered energy. The term reflection is almost always associated with clouds when one discusses EM interaction with tropospheric constituents.

The radiative properties of clouds, like many other objects, changes as a function of wavelength. Most clouds are very good reflectors and, therefore, poor absorbers of solar radiant energy. Miller et al, (1983) suggest that the reflective properties of clouds depend primarily on their thickness, but also to some extent on the nature of the cloud (i.e. whether the cloud is made ice or water), and the size of the cloud particles. Figure 3.11 shows the relationship between cloud thickness and reflectivity. In the visible and near infrared regions the sizes of the cloud particles are equal to or just larger than the wavelength of the incident radiant energy. Fraser and Curran (1976) note that the energy/matter interaction is at a maximum under these circumstances. In general, reflectivity varies from 50 to 90 percent. Figure 3.12 shows variation of cloud reflectivities with wavelength. Clouds are slightly less reflective in the near infrared than in the visible.

Obviously, clouds can have severe impacts on interpretation of remotely sensed data. Impacts include: (1) total
Figure 3.11 Percentage Reflection, Absorption, and Transmission of Solar Radiation by Clouds. After Miller et al. (1983, Figure 4.8)
Figure 3.12 Spectral Diffuse Reflectance of a Middle Layer Cloud. From Wolfe and Zissis (1978, Figure 3.19)
obscuration of the scene. (2) cloud shadow on the surface and (3) introduction of inordinately high reflected energy values to the sensor. The effect of sub-pixel sized clouds falls in the later category. All of these factors affect computation of the VIN.

Large scale cloud contamination of pixels, while difficult to correct for, can at least be monitored and affected pixels removed from a scene before data interpretation is attempted. Sub-pixel sized clouds, on the other hand, present a detection problem. Recall that Figure 2.6 showed how the VIN of a "scene" might be altered when clouds are added to the scene. Duggin et al. (1982b) determined that, at nadir, clouds with dimensions just less than one square kilometer could exist and not be resolved by the AVHRR. Further, they found up to a five-fold decrease in the VIN would result from as little as 20 per cent cloud coverage in a scene made up of varying amounts of soil and wheat. Figure 3.13 illustrates their results. While the effects of sub-pixel sized clouds have been demonstrated, studies to develop methods of detection are still underway.

Various techniques have been developed for computer cloud screening. See, for example, Horvath et al. (1982), Gray and McCravy (1981a) and Nixon et al. (1983). Nixon et al. (1983) present a series of methods for computer screening of cloud contaminated pixels from data of various satellite systems. Their methods include use of scatterplots of both solar reflected energy and earth-emitted and cloud
Figure 3.13 Simulated Vegetative Index for View Angle = 0 (Nadir) for Pure Wheat and for a 70% Wheat, 30% Soil Target for Various Percentages of Pixel Filled by Cloud. From Duggin et al. (1982b)
emitted-thermal energy.

Liquid water clouds more than a few meters thick behave as black-body radiators. Cloud tops radiate energy in an amount proportional to $T^4$, where $T$ is the cloud top temperature. Using Wein's Displacement Law and a cloud top temperature of $-20 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, one could determine that a cloud top emits a maximum of energy near $11.4 \, \mu\text{m}$. Figure 3.14 shows that at least some part of the emitted energy is within the bands of energy sensed by AVHRR channels 4 and 5.

Clouds, because they are typically cooler than the earth's surface would tend to reduce the amount of earth-emitted energy sensed from a pixel or collection of pixels. This fact leads to an emissive cloud screening technique. The technique involves scatterplotting the Channel 4 pixel value against the Channel 1 pixel for each pixel in a scene. Those pixels showing high Channel 1 values and low Channel 4 values relative to other pixels may be assumed to be cloud-filled and/or cloud-contaminated. Nixon et al. (1983) used cluster analysis of these types of plots to determine which pixels (and how many) of a scene were contaminated. Most analysis schemes will allow an analyst to remove cloud contamination with various degrees of confidence by simply varying the threshold for cloud identification. Of course, removing all clouds from a partly cloudy scene will necessarily result in a high loss of other useful information from the scene. On the other hand, a cloud screening technique which preserves most of the useful data
will not eliminate cloud contamination.

In many instances satellite data users must be content with interpreting data that is partially cloud-contaminated. However, the relative amounts of cloud contamination can be determined if a view of the scene on a cloud-free day is used as a baseline emissive scene. Figure 3.15 illustrates how one might determine, qualitatively, the amount of cloud contamination in a scene. It is a plot of AVHRR Channel 1 radiance values against Channel 4 radiance values. Figure 3.15a is a "clear day" plot and it would be considered a baseline plot. Figure 3.15b is a similar plot for a partly cloudy day. Note the higher Channel 1 (reflected radiance) values and the lower Channel 4 (emitted radiance) values in Figure 3.15b. The horizontal line is a threshold value used operationally for visible cloud screening by the Center for Assessment Services at Columbia, Mo. (LeDuc, 1984). Any pixels having Channel 1 values above this threshold are discarded before a VIN is calculated.

ENERGY INTERACTIONS WITH THE EARTH'S SURFACE

Energy at the earth's surface can be separated into two components for the purposes of remote sensing: solar reflected and earth-emitted. As previously mentioned, little attenuation occurs in the visible part of the EM spectrum. The atmosphere is also fairly transparent to radiation in the 8 to 12 μm region. The effective radiating
Figure 3.15 Cloud Screen Scatterplots. a) Channel 1 vs Channel 4 scatterplot for a cloud-free day near Longview, Texas b) Channel 1 vs Channel 4 Scatterplot for a cloud-contaminated day at the same location.
temperature of the earth is approximately 288 °K, which implies a maximum emitted energy near 9.7 μm. Thus, the emission maxima occurs in the 8 to 12 μm window.

Electromagnetic energy interacting with the surface obeys the same basic laws as energy interacting with the atmosphere. The proportion of energy reflected, absorbed and transmitted will vary for different earth features, depending on their material type and condition and the wavelengths involved. Within a given feature type the proportion of reflected, absorbed and transmitted energy will vary at different wavelengths. This allows analysts to distinguish different features on a remotely sensed image. In the visible portion of the EM spectrum these spectral variations result in objects having different colors.

The geometric manner in which an object reflects energy is also important. Surface roughness plays a large role here. Very smooth surfaces have a mirror-like reflection or specular reflection. For a specular reflection the angle of reflection equals the angle of incidence of the incident radiation. Rougher surfaces reflect uniformly in all directions. A truly uniform reflector is called a Lambertian reflector or a diffuse reflector. Most surfaces are somewhere between the two extremes. In general, reflectance modelers tend to use the concept of a Lambertian surface when constructing a model of surface reflectance. See, for instance, Slater (1980) and Duggin et al. (1982b).

Chapter 2 gave a brief review of energy interaction
with vegetation. Remote sensing of vegetation is based primarily on the sharp difference in the visible and infra-red reflectance of plants. Besides plant chlorophyll content and atmospheric conditions, there are several other factors which influence the radiometric measurements of a plant scene: (1) leaf orientation, which may be affected by the amount of wilt or the wind speed; (2) standing water on plant leaves from rain or dew; (3) sun angle; (4) angle of view of the radiometer and (5) amount of non-plant material in the scene, i.e., soil, roads, clouds, etc. All of these items impact on the calculated VIN.

Other than water, most objects in a scene transmit very little visible solar radiation. The energy conservation law for these objects becomes

\[ E(\text{INC}) = E(\text{REF}) + E(\text{ABS}) \]

or, dividing by \( E \):

\[ \text{REF} + \text{ABS} = 1 \]

Since by Kirchoff's Law emissivity equals absorptivity:

\[ \text{REF} + \text{EMT} = 1 \] (3.30)

As previously stated, the varying relative proportion of reflected and absorbed energy allows one to distinguish between different types of objects. Age, moisture and surface roughness are factors in the relative amounts of
surface absorptivity/emissivity and reflectivity. For instance, a field will have a different reflectivity depending upon whether or not it has been recently plowed and if so, how recently. The wavelength dependence of reflectivity and absorptivity permits analysts to use multispectral scanners to discriminate between several different objects that have similar visible characteristics, e.g., snow and clouds.

Visible reflection from water surfaces depends on the amount of suspended particles in the water, the sun angle, the view angle and the roughness. As previously mentioned, liquid water is an effective absorber/emitter in the near infrared, but a poor reflecter. According to Lillisand and Kiefer (1979), locating and delineating water bodies with remote sensing data is done most easily in reflected infrared wavelengths because of this absorption property.

Surface features not only reflect energy but emit energy as well. The emissivity of an object is important when measuring the temperature of an object and subsequently converting that temperature to kinetic temperature. The emittance which is viewed by the radiometer through some angle is measured as a radiance. This radiance can be converted to an equivalent blackbody temperature which is related to the actual kinetic temperature by

\[ T_{\text{RAD}} = \sqrt[4]{e T_{\text{KIN}}} \]  

(3.31)
This equation states that for any given object, with an average emissivity less than one the radiant temperature will be less than the kinetic temperature of the object. Therefore, the emissivity of the object must be known before an accurate estimation of the object's kinetic temperature can be made using satellite radiances. Sutherland and Bartholic (1977) report that although, the radiative properties of many objects deviate significantly from that of a blackbody in the 8 to 14 $\mu$m region, vegetation generally has an emissivity from .95 to 1.00.
CHAPTER IV

Electromagnetic Theory Applied to the Sensor and the Atmosphere

GENERAL

This chapter summarizes some of the important elements of an operational remote sensing system. Several of the basic complicating factors in the sensor-atmosphere-surface system are briefly discussed. Their impacts on the study presented in Chapter V are discussed when appropriate. Additionally, the concept of differential absorption is highlighted.

REFLECTIVE DATA

The properties of radiation and the radiative interaction with matter result in a radiative output from the earth-atmosphere system that can be sensed by the AVHRR. The AVHRR, although usually referred to as one system, is actually made up of several detectors. These detectors use the same optical system to collect data in all spectral bands simultaneously.
The different detectors independently process and record information about the scene. Energy from the target enters the AVHRR's optical system and encounters a grating which separates the incoming radiation into its reflected component and emitted component. The reflected wavelengths travel to a prism where they are split into visible and reflected infrared bands (Channel 1 and Channel 2, respectively). The grating further processes and separates the emitted component into three wavebands (Channels 3, 4 and 5).

Lillisand and Kiefer (1979) explain the operation of the detectors like those on board the AVHRR as follows: The detectors ..."operate on the principle of direct interaction between photons of radiation incident on them and the energy levels of electrical charge carriers within the detector material."

An array of detectors receives the five bands of energy. Each detector is filtered to accept and respond to only one band of energy. The impinging energy causes the detector to generate a signal proportional to the magnitude of the energy. This signal is amplified by the system electronics and recorded on board or broadcast to earth. For Channel 1 and Channel 2 the response to incident energy is a digital radiometer count, which relates to the combined effects of surface reflectivity and atmospheric interaction with the energy in the bandpass. The sensor, of course, detects energy from an interval of wavelength, or a channel,
so a summation or integration of the albedos at the different wavelengths is necessary to describe the response. Further complicating the response is an angle dependency of the recorded radiance and a non-uniform response of the sensor to various wavelengths of energy. To properly account for these complicating factors Duggin et al. (1982a) developed a normalized sensor response function which is shown and explained in Figure 4.1. The last equation in Figure 4.1 is the form used by Duggin et al. for modelling AVHRR response.

To get the albedo from the normalized sensor response, one must recall that

\[
\text{Albedo} = \frac{\text{Reflected Irradiance}}{\text{Incident Irradiance}} \quad (4.1)
\]

Recalling, also, that the normalized sensor response is a function of the reflected irradiance, the albedo can be written as

\[
\text{Albedo} = f(\text{NSR}) \quad (4.2)
\]

Calibration of the AVHRR and the assumption that there is no dependence of reflectivity on zenith angle results in a simple equation for albedo that is a linear function of the digital radiometer count, X. Lauritson et al. (1979) give the equation:
Figure 4.1 Development and explanation of the AVHRR normalized sensor response. After Duggin et al. (1982)
The $G$ and $I$ are the slope and intercept values for a particular channel provided in the data stream of each LAC scan line. These values consider the irradiance at the surface and the sensor response function. Thus, by assuming reflective isotropy, calibrating the AVHRR detectors and assuming independence of reflectivity and zenith angle, one can very simply relate the radiance recorded in a particular bandpass to albedo. This albedo can then be normalized to overhead sun by multiplication by secant $\theta$, where $\theta$ is the solar zenith angle, which is also provided in the data stream of each LAC scan line. Although the simplifications above are necessary and beneficial, the user must be aware that the recorded radiance values and, thus, the albedos contain the effects of atmospheric attenuation, atmospheric pathlength and cloud effect, in addition to the information on surface reflectivity.

Several researchers, notably Duggin et al. (1982a), Holben and Fraser (1984) and Justus (1984) have modeled the AVHRR response to scattering and absorption. They investigated the following "atmospheres": (1) atmospheres with different aerosols and absorber contents and (2) atmospheres with different optical depths, holding aerosols and absorber content steady. Using NOAA-6 AVHRR data and a Lambertian surface reflectance model, Duggin concluded that a turbid
atmosphere systematically increased sensor output in Channel 1 (thereby reducing contrast), while in Channel 2 turbidity reduced sensor output. As a result, even at nadir the VIN is reduced for a turbid atmosphere. They found that off nadir viewing caused further reductions. Figure 4.2 illustrates Duggin's findings. Duggin reports ten percent variations of radiance values when a scan angle of +14° is used. Justus (1984) used a nonisotropic reflecting surface in his model. He found an increase in the VIN with nadir angle out to 60°. He does note, however, (in agreement with Duggin) that the increase in VIN is less pronounced for a turbid atmosphere.

Holben and Fraser (1984) confirmed these results for NOAA-6 and extended them to NOAA-7 and NOAA-8. Holben and Fraser simulated the following situation: radiance for an "average" atmosphere alone, as described by Dave and Braslau (1975) at equinox at 30° north latitude. The "atmosphere alone" calculation was made by setting cloud and surface reflectance to zero. For NOAA-7 Holben and Fraser found that minimum radiances occurred near the nadir and increased with longer path length. This result is attributable to Mie scattering. See Figure 4.3a.

Holben and Fraser concluded that NOAA-7 channels 1 and 2 show only a weak horizon brightening from the atmosphere alone. See Figure 4.3b. The addition of nonisotropic surface reflectance however, greatly alters the resulting VIN for off nadir viewing. Thus, the increase of VIN with view
Figure 4.2 Simulated NOAA-6 AVHRR Sensor Output and Vegetative Index (normalized difference of sensor outputs) as a Function of View Zenith (scan) Angle. From Duggin (1982a, Figure 2)
Figure 4.3 (a) Radiances for the Visible and Near Infrared Channels as a Function of Scan Angle Increase with Increasing Amounts of Aerosols. From Holben and Fraser (1984, Figure 7) (b) Radiances for the VIS and NIR channels for NOAA-7. The radiances show only weak horizon brightening from the atmosphere alone. From Holben and Fraser (1984, Figure 5)
angle is the combined result of surface reflectance characteristics and atmospheric Mie scattering.

Slater (1980) gives a good, general summary of scattering effects related to remote sensing. According to Slater:

"Scattering causes the atmosphere to have a radiance of its own. Atmospheric scattering attenuates the direct solar flux incident on the earth's surface and adds a hemispherical or diffuse component. The main effect of atmospheric scattering on remotely sensed data is the addition of upwelling atmospheric radiance or path radiance to the radiance of the ground scene. The amount of upwelling atmospheric radiance in remotely sensed data is a function of many variables including sensor altitude, atmospheric haze conditions, solar zenith angle, spectral sensitivity, range of the sensor, angle of view from nadir, azimuth with respect to the sun and polarization.

EMITTIVE DATA

The emittive detectors operate somewhat differently from the reflective detectors, in that, the detectors must alternately view an internal blackbody temperature reference and cold space before the surface radiative signal can be processed and recorded. The signal from the detector is processed electronically so that its amplitude is related to the response difference between the blackbody reference and cold space. Finally, the signal is recorded digitally.

The original purpose of the emittive channels of the AVHRR was to measure earth radiance values for calculation of sea surface temperatures. The radiance values are
inverted via the Planck function to provide the needed brightness temperatures. In practice, before the brightness temperatures are derived the measured radiances must be appropriately modified by the instrument's spectral response and normalized. The values for the spectral response modification are a result of temperature referencing discussed above. These values are also included in the data stream of each LAC scan line.

Once this modification is complete the brightness temperature can be calculated using an inversion of the Planck function:

\[ T(L) = \frac{c_2 \lambda^{-1}}{\ln[1 + (c_1 \lambda^{-3})/L]} \]  

(4.4)

\[ c_1 = 1.1910659 \times 10^{-5} \text{ milliwatts/m}^2 \text{ steradian cm}^{-4} \]

\[ c_2 = 1.438833 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{o} \cdot \text{k} \]

L is the satellite radiance value

This radiant temperature can then be related to kinetic temperature by equation 3.30. As with the reflective radiances, the derived brightness temperatures also contain information about the atmosphere between the sensor and the target.

Proper interpretation of the radiance values from the AVHRR requires a knowledge of the effects of the atmosphere on the wavelengths of energy being sensed and application of
the appropriate radiative transfer equation. One method of gaining this information involves calculation of the upwelling radiance $L$ at wavelength $\lambda$ from atmospheric temperature profiles and vertical profiles of concentrations of absorbing gases. Wark and Fleming (1966) used the integral form of the radiative transfer equation to calculate upwelling radiance from the earth-atmosphere system:

$$L(\lambda) = [L_{\lambda\lambda}(T_s, \lambda) - \tau(P_s, \lambda)0 d - \int_0^1 L_{\lambda\lambda}(T(P), \lambda) d\tau(P, \lambda)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

$(T_s)$ is the surface temperature  
$(P_s)$ is the surface pressure  
$\tau$ is the transmissivity  
$\lambda$ is the wavelength

The first term on the right hand side of the equation is the "window radiation" from the earth's surface. The second term is the radiative effect of the atmospheric layers after attenuation. This equation is a fairly direct derivation from Scharwchild's Equation. Equation 4.4 applies only to monochromatic radiation of wavelength $\lambda$ for a cloudless, non-scattering, plane parallel atmosphere in local thermodynamic equilibrium. Further modification of this equation is usually necessary to accommodate the "real atmosphere." The vertical profile of water vapor is of primary interest, since the second term of Equation 4.4 is greatly affected by water vapor in the atmosphere. Thus, upper air soundings can be used as a source of data for calculating upwelling radiances.
When upper air soundings are not available the satellite radiance data themselves can be used as a source of information about absorber profiles. (A substantial set of references on this subject, was compiled under the direction of Purdom, 1982.)

Otterman and Robinove (1981) researched the effects of water vapor and aerosols on the satellite-recorded radiances in the near infrared region. Using a simple model they broke the atmosphere up into two systems: a minimal atmosphere whose constituents are always present and a variable atmosphere which may change from one satellite passage to another. Further they outlined a general method for determining the atmospheric parameters of the model from imagery data. They conclude from their study of MSS data that:

"1) Water vapor absorption can readily be distinguished from aerosol absorption because water vapor reduces the apparent reflectivity strongly in band MSS-7, weakly in band MSS-6 and not at all in bands MSS-4 and -5. 2) Reduction in the apparent reflectivity in all bands is symptomatic of aerosol absorption."

Since AVHRR Channel 1 corresponds to a combination of MSS-4 and -5 and Channel 2 corresponds to MSS-6 and -7, one could assume that the reflectivity in Channel 1 would be unaffected by water vapor, while the reflectivity in Channel 2 will be reduced by water vapor vapor. Further, the reflectivity in both Channel and Channel 2 will be reduced by aerosol absorption.

Results of this study will show that water vapor absorption effects can be seen in a combination of AVHRR
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channels 4 and 5 radiance values. Close inspection of Figure 3.10 indicates the atmospheric absorption in the 10.3 to 11.3 μm range (AVHRR channel 4) is small. Stated another way, the radiation in the 10.3 to 11.3 μm is relatively unaffected by water vapor. The radiation in the 11.5 to 12.5 μm band suffers more attenuation, most of which is due to water vapor. Thus, as atmospheric water vapor concentrations increase (with earth surface temperatures constant) the energy emitted by the earth and transmitted to space remains nearly constant in the 10.3 to 11.3 μm range. For the same conditions in the 11.5 to 12.5 μm range the earth-emitted radiation transmitted to space suffers more attenuation. A sensor "viewing" the radiation in the two channels would receive relatively less energy in the 11.5 to 12.5 μm range on a moist day than on a dry day. A sensor viewing the 10.3 to 11.3 μm channel under those circumstances should record similar radiance values on both days.

DIFFERENTIAL ABSORPTION

According to McClain (1979) the effect of the intervening atmosphere on brightness temperature sensed by satellite radiometers in the 10.5 - 12.5 μm band makes the brightness temperature lower than surface temperature by a few tenths of a degree Kelvin in very cold and dry atmospheres to nearly 10°K in very warm and moist atmospheres. Andig and Kauth (1970) pioneered work in using two spectral bands to calu-
late the differential absorption in the atmospheric window region to compensate for the effects of water vapor absorption and emission on sea surface temperature retrieval. Prabhakara et al. (1974) investigated this technique. They concluded that if two or more infrared detectors, each sensitive in a different and unequally transparent part of the atmospheric window region(s) view the same spot on the earth's surface simultaneously (i.e., through the same atmospheric column), then the differences in their measured radiances provide an atmospheric correction to either of the satellite derived brightness sea surface temperatures. McMillan (1975) reached the same conclusions. This early work was based on viewing in a cloud-free environment. McClain et al. (1983) applied this work to the TIROS-N AVHRR with promising results for improving the mapping of global sea surface temperatures as a result of the correction for atmospheric attenuation. Their work developed a method of accounting for cloud-contaminated data. Most recently Singh (1984) used NOAA-6 and NOAA-7 AVHRR data to develop an algorithm for atmospheric correction and derivation of sea surface temperature on a pixel by pixel basis. Singh states that there is a stronger attenuation in the 12 \( \mu m \) channel (Channel 5) than in the 11 \( \mu m \) channel (Channel 4). McMillan and Sanyal (1983) developed a method of using brightness temperature ratios of the differentially affected channels to retrieve atmospheric water vapor measurements.
The view angle problem continues to be controversial. Apparently some of the controversy comes from the terminology. Hoblen and Fraser (1984), in a very detailed report, show the normalized VIN to be significantly reduced by increasingly off nadir viewing. The backscatter direction is reduced slightly more than the forward scattering direction for NOAA-7. Further, relatively high biomass targets suffer a larger reduction than do lower biomass targets. Hoblen and Fraser report that these reductions are a result of Mie scattering. Channel 2 shows a significant increase in extreme forward scattering over the extreme backscatter direction because of preferential forward Mie scattering. While the impact of scattering tends to reduce the normalized vegetative index, its effect on the simple vegetative index is just the opposite. Since both indices are informally referred to as "the vegetative index," the terminology can be confusing. The research reported here dealt primarily with the simple index, so increases in the index were the norm for off nadir viewing.

Clouds also tended to mask the differential absorption effects in Channels 1 and 2. In accordance with the findings of Duggin et al. (1982b) increased cloudiness reduced the difference between Channels 2 and 1. An awareness of the degree of sub pixel cloudiness was especially important for this research, since even sub pixel clouds reduced the
Channel 2 minus Channel 1 difference (CH 2-CH 1) difference on days that were thought to be dry in terms of precipitable water. For these situations one expected a relatively larger CH 2 - CH 1 difference but, in fact, there was a smaller difference as a result of the sub pixel clouds. The view angle and cloud effects, in various degrees and combinations, were observed during this course of study. They, along with the differential absorption effects, are discussed in the results presented in Chapter V.
CHAPTER V

STUDY RESULTS

DATA ANALYSIS

During the 1983 growing season NOAA recorded and stored numerous AVHRR scenes. The LAC digital radiance values for the various channels provided the bulk of the data for this study. This study investigates the relationship between recorded radiance values for channels 1, 2, 4 and 5 and the amount of moisture in the atmosphere. Precipitable water is used as the atmospheric moisture measurement. The effects of water vapor, alone, and water vapor plus clouds are discussed. Several cases for different locations and varying amounts of atmospheric moisture will be discussed and illustrated after the data selection and analysis methods are presented.

DATA SELECTION AND PROCESSING

Three types of data were used for this study: 1) LAC digital video, or channel data with associated calibration coefficients, earth location points and solar zenith angles:
2) precipitable water amounts from upper air soundings and
3) the conventional hourly surface weather observations.
The LAC video data was supplied by NOAA's Assessment Informa-
tion Services Center. The precipitable water data came
from the National Weather Service Facsimile Network or was
derived from data supplied by the Techniques Development
Unit of the National Severe Storms Forecasting Center.
Surface observations were provided by the National Climate
and Data Center, the U. S. Air Force Third Weather Wing and
various local surface observing stations.

The selection of LAC scenes was based on two primary
factors: 1) availability of LAC data over the crop growing
region of the United States for three consecutive days and
2) the occurrence of successive, apparently cloud free, days
during which large moisture variations occurred. For
several cases the latter requirement was relaxed so that a
more comprehensive study of the channel responses could be
made. The latter factor tends to be very limiting for data
selection since the normal tendency of the atmosphere is to
produce more clouds as moisture increases.

As a "first guess" at scene selection daily maps from
the NOAA Weekly Weather Series were examined in conjunction
with National Weather Service (NWS) twelve hour precipitable
water analysis. The daily maps provided a starting point
for determining the degree of cloudiness for a given
location in a particular LAC scene. The precipitable water
analysis provided the initial information on atmospheric
moisture variation.

All digital data processing of the LAC scenes was done on the University of Missouri AMDAHL computer. The LAC data were first compressed from 1600 bit per inch data to 6250 bit per inch data and then converted from packed bit data to FORTRAN readable data. A computer program which was developed by Lockheed and modified by programmers at the University of Texas-Austin was further modified to read the processed LAC tapes. The program used the earth location points from the beginning of each LAC scan line to retrieve the channel radiance values for groups of selected pixels. Kidwell (1983) provides the data format for the LAC tapes.

The pixel groups were associated with grid points from an I-J grid developed by Charney and Phillips (1958). This method of data selection and retrieval was used because of:
1) software availability, 2) storage and time constraints on computer processing resources and 3) a desire to view portions of the LAC scenes which were beneath one type of air mass. The grid is shown in Figure 5.1. The groups of pixels will be referred to as "sub-images". Depending on the location of the grid point, the sub-images covered between 1600 and 2500 square kilometers. Further, depending on the locations of the ground site with respect to the satellite nadir, the sub images or scenes contain from approximately 700 to 2000 pixels.

Sub images were formed at forty different locations for various dates. A simple average of each channel value was
determined for each sub-image by averaging the values for all individual pixels within each sub-image. Locations whose Channel 1 and Channel 4 radiance values indicated gross cloudiness were eliminated. In several instances a location which promised to be interesting weather-wise was eliminated from the study because the sub-image could not be retrieved from the LAC scene. This happened for at least two reasons, 1) precession of the satellite or 2) a malfunction in the LAC data gathering/handling system. Finally, proximity to an upper air sounding station became a dominant factor in selecting study areas from the remaining locations. The elimination process discussed above limited the case studies to the locations and dates shown in Figures 5.2 through 5.4.

The analysis for each sub-image consisted of the following calculations and operations for cloud screened and unscreened data: 1) computing averages and standard deviations of the channel values for all pixels in each sub-image; 2) computing uncorrected and sun angle corrected Channel 2 Channel 1 differences 3) computing the ratios and differences of Channels 1 and 2, and Channels 4 and 5; 4) correcting the computed differences for sun and view angles; 5) contour plots of the values of Channels 1 and 2 and of the Channel 2 Channel 1 difference. Additionally, upper air soundings from the nearest upper air station were used to calculate precipitable water for many dates and locations. To provide a check on actual cloud conditions,
Figure 5.2 Data Locations-Spring Cases
Figure 5.3 Data Locations—Mid Summer Cases
Figure 5.4 Data Locations-Late Summer Case
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several sets of surface weather observations for the various locations were reviewed.

CASE STUDY DISCUSSION

To begin the discussion of the various case studies a few points should be made. Two important calculations for this study have been the Channel 5 minus Channel 4 radiance difference (CH 5-4) and the Channel 2 minus Channel 1 albedo difference (CH 2-1). These two differences are generally compared in this report and, thus, the graphs of the two differences are overlayed (see Figure 5.5) for comparison purposes. Because the energy sensed by Channels 2 and 5 is more susceptible to water vapor absorption than is the energy sensed by Channels 1 and 4, it was originally thought that the trace curves would behave similarly over time. This was not necessarily the case, as will be demonstrated in some detail later.

A number of composite figures containing time graphs of the variations of the channel and channel difference data were prepared for each case study location. For illustrative purpose the composite figures of this chapter contain: 1) a channel difference graph (CH 5-4 and CH 2-1) without sun angle corrections; 2) a channel difference graph where the sun angle correction has been made to CH 2-1; 3) a graph of the Channel 2 and Channel 1 values; 4) a graph of the Channel 5 and Channel 4 values; 5) precipitable water time
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Figure 5.5
series; and 6) appropriate weather observations. See Figure 5.5 for a key to the composite charts. As previously mentioned a cloud screen was applied to all the sub-images discussed in this report. The screen eliminates any pixel whose albedo was greater than 13.65. This value is equivalent to the value used operationally at the Assessment Information Services Center. (LeDuc, 1984)

CASE STUDIES

WACO, TEXAS

Julian Dates 106, 107, and 108 (April 16, 17, and 18, 1983)

A study of sub-images around Waco, Texas was undertaken because of the apparently cloud free conditions on days 106, 107, and 108. During this time rapid and significant atmospheric moisture changes occurred. For the 72 hour period of this case study the sky condition was continuously clear. The following discussion deals with only one sub image but it is representative of the entire group of five Waco sub-images.

Prior to day 106 a Continental High pushed southeastward through the southern United States. On day 106 Waco was under the influence of this High. The surface weather was characterized by clear skies, twenty mile visibility, light winds and very low dewpoints. Precipitable water
amounts (See Figure 5.6d) at Stephenville Texas, the closest upper air station, were less the half the normal seasonal average. (The NWS precipitable water charts provide a seasonal average for each location's precipitable water analysis). Because of the excellent atmospheric viewing conditions and because the Waco sub-images were near nadir, day 106 was considered a benchmark observation against which the day 107 and 108 sub-images were compared.

On day 107 southerly return flow around the high pressure system resulted in a marked increase in precipitable water, but the influence of an upper air ridge prevented the clouds usually associated with an increase in precipitable water. The surface map for day 108 showed a dry line just past the Stephensville, Texas upper air station. Judging from the Waco dew point decrease from 50°F at 15Z to 44.7°F at 19Z, the dry line "cleared" the Waco atmosphere of much of the previous day's water vapor loading prior to the 2030Z satellite overpass. This time change of the atmospheric water loading seems well recorded in the both CH 5-4 and CH 2-1 traces. As the precipitable water increases, the channel difference values go down. See Figure 5.6b.

Because the Waco sub-images were made within a three day period, large changes in the CH 2-1 differences were probably not the result of increased greenness of the scene. View angle, absorption and clouds were considered as the most likely reasons for changes in the CH 2-1 difference. On day 106 Waco was at satellite nadir. On day
Figure 5.6 Waco, TX (Spring) Composite Chart
the satellite had precessed eastward. Day 108 had the largest view angle of the series. Based on the simulations by Holben and Fraser (1984) and Justus (1984), the view angle contribution to the scene radiance should have resulted in an increase in CH 2-1 on day 107. Thus, the decrease in the day 107 CH 2-1 value has to be explained some other way. Increased clouds were considered as a source of the decrease, but the cloud screens indicated from very little to no increase in cloud cover in the day 107 sub-images. Therefore, the decrease in the trace is taken as an indication of the atmospheric water vapor loading.

LONGVIEW, TEXAS

Julian Dates 106, 107, AND 108 (APRIL 16, 17 and 18, 1983)

The Longview sub-images were also chosen for study because of their apparently cloud free nature. Significant moisture changes also occurred at Longview during the 72 hour period. The high pressure system which influenced Waco's weather also influenced Longview's weather during the period of days 106 to 108.

Day 106 was clear and very dry with dew points in the twenties. The 12Z sounding showed only .50 cm of precipitable water in the column. By 12Z on day 107 the precipitable water had doubled. During the hour of satellite overpass a scattered cirrus deck was observed at Longview. Dewpoints
were in the upper thirties. Day 108 was again clear and
dewpoints dropped from a high of 50°F at 14Z to 33°F at time
of satellite overpass. The precipitable water trace shows a
similar change.

The composite figure (Figure 5.7) for Longview
sub-image, location one, shows the behavior of the various
channels and channel differences to be similar to the Waco
sub-images. Both channel differences show a minimum in the
trace on day 107. This minimum, most likely, is a combina-
tion of 1) the additional water loading of the atmosphere
and 2) sub-pixel clouds or diffuse cirrus. The cloud
screens are shown in Figure 5.8. Day 107 has several pixels
whose lower Channel 4 and higher Channel 1 values relative
to the day 106 and 108 values indicate clouds. These pixels
most likely have very low CH 2-1 values, yet their Channel 1
values are not high enough to be screened out based on a
visible channel test. (Only pixels with values above the
horizontal lines on the cloud screen graphs are removed by
the cloud screening process)

Referring to Figure 5.7c, while Channel 1 had only a
small change from day 106 to 107, the Channel 2 value fell
more sharply. It seems most likely that the slight change
in cloud amount combined with the doubling of the precipita-
table water caused the CH 2-1 value to drop. The CH 5-4
difference for days 106 to 107 nearly parallels the CH 2-1
change. Between days 107 and 108 the CH 5-4 trace
increased, as expected with decreasing precipitable water
LONGVIEW SKY/SURFACE CONDITIONS
DAY TIME SKY VSBY DP
106 20Z CLR 35 26
21Z CLR 35 27
107 20Z 250 SCT 35 39
21Z 250 SCT 35 38
108 20Z CLR 35 33
21Z CLR 35 34

LONGVIEW
LRT=31.75 LON=94.70

Figure 5.7 Longview, TX (Spring) Composite Chart, Location 1
Figure 5.8 Longview, TX (Spring) Cloud Screens, Location 1
amounts. The CH 2-1 trace, while also increasing, rose less than the CH 5-4 trace. This seems to indicate that factors other than atmospheric water vapor were influencing the CH 2-1 behavior. View angle may have been the important factor in the CH 2-1 variation.

A second Longview sub-image, (see Figure 5.9) through the three day period displays nearly parallel CH 5-4 and CH 2-1 behavior. However, the sub-image was much more strongly influenced by clouds than the first sub-image. The cloud screens for the second sub-image are shown in Figure 5.10. Referring, again, to Figure 5.9, the Channel 1 and Channel 2 values indicate the cloud contamination. The dashed lines on the figure are cloud screened values. Figures 5.11c and 5.11d are contour "maps" of the day 107 Channel 1 scene, before and after cloud screening. The residual clouds and their distribution are evident from the darker "pixels" which stand out after rescaling of the of the contour limits. The "after cloud screening" map has an enhanced scaling to emphasize residual cloudiness. These maps can be compared to a similar maps for day 106 (see Figures 5.11a and 5.11b). Note that, despite rescaling in Figure 5.11b, the scene retains a nearly homogeneous appearance, thus indicating, no bright clouds. This sort of comparison was made for all scenes in each case study series to insure that the effects of clouds were accounted for in the analysis.

Despite the cloud screening, the day 107 scene remains quite cloud contaminated. The sharp changes in all the
Figure 5.9 Longview, TX (Spring) Composite Chart, Location 2
Figure 5.11 Longview, TX (Spring) Channel 1 Contour Map, Location 2
Days 106 and 107
channel and channel difference values for day 107 indicate 
the combined cloud/water vapor effect. It is quite evident 
from the screened trace value that much water vapor effect 
remains in the scene. Note that the CH 5-4 value does not 
change despite the screening.

LAKE CHARLES, LA

Julian Dates 106, 107, and 108 (April 16, 17 and 18, 1983)

The same high pressure system which cleared and dried 
the air over southeastern Texas on day 106 dropped the 
precipitable water to less than twenty percent of its 
seasonal normal for the day 106, 12Z, Lake Charles sounding. 
The dewpoint during satellite overpass was in the low thir-
ties. The sky was clear on both days 106 and 107, but the 
day 107 dewpoints were in the mid forties. Very weak high 
pressure characterized the weather on day 108. The reported 
sky condition during satellite overpass on day 108 was scat-
tered clouds at 2500 ft. The dewpoints were in the mid 
fifties and the precipitable water was between 1.5 and 2.8 
cm.

The CH 2-1 trace decreases steadily through the period. 
See Figure 5.12b. The decrease can be attributed to 
increasing water absorption and changing view angle on day 
107 and to increasing cloud cover on day 108. Some cloud 
screening was necessary for day 108. After the screen was
Figure 5.12 Lake Charles, LA (Spring) Composite Chart
applied there was a small amount of residual cloudiness. While CH 5-4 decreased, as expected, based on the increase of precipitable water between days 107 and 108, it showed only a shallow decline. The apparent increase in atmospheric water vapor was large between the two days, leading one to expect a sharper drop in CH 5-4. The rather shallow decline may indicate the atmosphere at the time of satellite overpass was actually drier than inferred by linear interpolation of precipitable water amounts between upper air sounding time. The fact that a 4° decrease in dewpoint occurred between 19Z to 21Z lends some backing to this explanation.

LONGVIEW, TEXAS

Julian dates 188, 189, 191 and 192 (July 7, 8, 10 and 11, 1983)

Longview's weather on days 188 and 189 was influenced by the remnants of a Continental High pressure system. High pressure aloft had also set in and would remain an influencing factor for the entire case study period. Sounding data indicated a moist atmosphere at 12Z on day 188. The expansion of the upper air ridge during the following 36 hours apparently caused the drying observed during that time. See Figure 5.13d. The dry airmass provided excellent cloud free viewing during satellite overpass. Sky conditions on day
Figure 5.13 Longview, TX (Summer) Composite Chart, Location 3
were also recorded as clear but with visibility somewhat reduced from the previous day. These "clear" conditions were not representative of all sub-images, as will be discussed shortly.

Figure 5.13b shows, between days 188 and 189, an increase in CH 5-4 and a marked decrease in CH 2-1. The CH 5-4 trace rises as the precipitable water decreases but the CH 2-1 does not. The CH 2-1 trace is, apparently, more responsive to the decreasing view angle (day 189 was nadir) than to the absorption effects. The decrease in both the CH 2-1 and the CH 5-4 traces between days 191 and 192 are a combination of increased absorption, larger view angle and cloud contamination. Sky conditions on day 191 were much cloudier and visibility was reduced by haze. The atmospheric moisture content was much higher due to return flow around a stagnant high pressure system. Viewing conditions at satellite overpass on day 192 were slightly improved. Shortly before satellite overpass Longview recorded a wind shift, a drop in dewpoint and an increase in visibility. Scattered clouds were observed at 3500 ft. The CH 5-4 and CH 2-1 traces seem to adequately reflect the weather at Longview.

Figure 5.14b is a trace for a second Longview sub-image where the cloud screens indicated sub pixel clouds where a factor on day 189. Notice that the CH 5-4 trace decreases despite the fact that the precipitable water was decreasing. Although the cause is not understood, in general, slight
Figure 5.14 Longview, TX (Summer) Composite Chart, Location 4
cloud contamination tended to reduce the CH 5-4 difference.

The CH 2-1 trace for the second sub-image shows a steeper decline for day 189 than does the first sub-image. Again sub pixel clouds were probably the reason. The view angle change probably enhanced the effect.

Days 191 and 192, as previously mentioned, were much more cloud contaminated than the previous days. The atmosphere was also more moist. The combined cloud/moisture effects can be seen in the CH 5-4 trace in Figure 5.14b. Note that the near zero value of CH 2-1 indicates cloud saturation on day 191. Cloud screening reduces the problem, but residual cloudiness is still evident in the channel values and the cloud screen.

SPRINGFIELD, MO

Julian dates 188, 189, 191, and 192 (July 7, 8, 10 and 11, 1983)

Interpretation of the atmospheric conditions and their influence on the remotely sensed data presented quite a challenge for the Springfield sub-images. The upper air soundings for this case came from Monett, Mo (UMN), which is approximately 40 miles southwest of Springfield.

High pressure, both at the surface and aloft, dominated the central United States on day 188. The air was basically of continental origin, and hence relatively dry. The water
content was close to 50 percent of the seasonal normal. The atmosphere remained relatively dry through day 189.

The upper air data available from Monett, MO, for the day 188, 12Z sounding had missing data for many levels, therefore, a complete precipitable water analysis was not possible. However, inspection of the available data did show a moist layer from the surface to 877 mb. The data also showed a rather significant feature from 530 to 470 mb, a 7°C increase in dewpoint. Possible impacts of this moist layer will be discussed later.

When the satellite overpass occurred the reported Springfield sky condition was a scattered layer of cirrus at 25000 ft and a visibility of 15 mi. One hour later a thin broken layer of cirrus was reported. A thin broken sky condition persisted for the remainder of the afternoon. The upper air sounding four hours after satellite overpass had a precipitable water content of 1.58 cm. The day 189 sky conditions were clear. On day 189 the morning and evening precipitable water amounts were 1.31 and 1.57 cm, respectively.

The atmospheric moisture increased steadily from day 189 through the remainder of the case study period. Skies remained clear on day 190 but a LAC scene was not available for study. The next available data was for day 191.

The 12Z sounding on day 191 indicated precipitable water of 3.30 cm. By the time of satellite overpass there were scattered clouds at 4500 feet. Dewpoints were in the
mid sixties. Day 192 was slightly more moist and more cloudy than day 191. Weather on both days was influenced by stagnant high pressure systems at the surface and aloft.

All of the sub-images for day 188 showed extremely high Channel 2 values. Most values were above twenty percent reflectance. These were the highest Channel 2 values observed in any of the case studies. Channel 1 values were also enhanced but not quite as much as Channel 2 (Figure 5.15b). The resulting CH 2-1 difference was also the highest observed in the case studies.

Day 189 Channel 2 values for Springfield were markedly lower as were the CH 2-1 values. For these two days the CH 2-1 and CH 5-4 traces were dissimilar. CH 2-1 dropped as CH 5-4 rose. The CH 5-4 trace appears well behaved with respect to the estimated and/or calculated water content of the atmosphere. The CH 2-1 behavior is most likely a combination of off nadir viewing and the influence of the thin broken cirrus layer. The layer may have been associated with the upper level dewpoint increase previously mentioned.

Figure 5.16 compares Channel 2-1 scenes for the same locations for days 188 and 189. Day 188, as indicated by the symbols has generally larger values of CH 2-1. The sub-image has a very nonuniform appearance when compared to the same contour scene on day 189. A thin broken deck of cirrus may have caused the nonuniform nature of the scene with the small ice crystals preferentially reflecting the energy in Channel 2 to the satellite.
Figure 5.15 Springfield, MO (Summer) Composite chart
The CH 5-4 trace reflected the changing water content of the atmosphere and the trace behaved as expected. The CH 2-1 trace again seemed to respond more to changing view angle than to water vapor absorption on days 188 and 189. The latter two days of the period had dramatic decreases in CH 5-4 and CH 2-1. The decreases were expected because of the increasing moisture and cloud content of each day's atmosphere.

LITTLE ROCK, AR

Julian dates 189, 191, and 192 (July 8, 10 and 11, 1983)

The weather at Little Rock for this study was very similar to the weather at Longview for the same period. Day 189 was the driest day and least cloud-contaminated of the study. Moisture and clouds increased through day 192. Of the sub images analyzed, two had slight cloud contamination through the period. The remaining two images began with moderate cloud contamination on day 189. By day 191 both were heavily cloud contaminated.

The CH 5-4 trace is Figure 5.17b The CH 5-4 trace decreases as the atmospheric water content increases. The trace may also be responding to an increase in clouds over the period. CH 2-1 also decreases. The magnitude of the decrease is probably most influenced by the increasing cloudiness.
Figure 5.17 Little Rock, AR (Summer) Composite Chart
The second composite graph, Figure 5.18b, shows the CH5-4 and CH 2-1 responses to more cloud-contaminated scenes from a second Little Rock sub-image on day 192. The clouds cause a sharp increase in CH 2-1.

BISMARCK, ND

Julian dates 222, 223, 224, and 225 (August 10, 11, 12 and 13, 1983)

A cold front passed through Bismarck just before the 00Z sounding on day 222. A dome of cool dry air lagged the front by several hours. The surface observation shows the surface, dry air intrusion at 12Z. Upper air drying probably began at 12Z but the effect on the sounding (released about 1115Z) is not evident. The slope of the cool, dry air to the west, with height, is most likely responsible for the discrepancy in the observation.

Drying continued through the 00Z sounding for day 223. At the time of the satellite overpass a thin layer of scattered cirrus was reported. Moisture increased after 00Z on day 223 but sky conditions on that day remained clear. Southerly flow in advance of the next frontal system increased moisture on day 224. There was also a slight increase in clouds.

A second cold front passed Bismarck just before the day 225 00Z sounding. As before, the surface observation shows
Figure 5.18 Little Rock, AR (Summer) Composite Chart
the effect of the front immediately. But the drying effect in the upper air data was not evident until the following morning. Day 225 is significantly drier and clearer than day 224.

The CH 5-4 and CH 2-1 traces (See Figure 5.19b) mirror the effects of the atmosphere. The CH 5-4 trace takes a significant plunge at the time of the largest atmospheric water loading. The CH 2-1 trace shows a similar trend.

In comparing the Bismarck CH 5-4 and CH 2-1 traces one should note that the dramatic changes in Ch 2-1 are absent compared to the July case studies for the Midwest. The vegetation around Bismarck was probably past peak greeness, thus, the CH 2-1 trace changes seem to be reduced compared to the July CH 2-1 trace changes for the Midwest. Holben and Fraser (1984) found larger variations in both the VIN and the NVI during off nadir viewing of heavy biomass scenes as compared to low biomass scenes. This research appears consistent with these findings.

CORRELATION ANALYSIS

To quantify the relationships discussed above, a SAS Ranked Correlation Procedure was run on the channel values, the channel difference values and the precipitable water data (The precipitable water data was linearly interpolated to the time of satellite overpass). The procedure was run on both unscreened and cloud screened data
Figure 5.19 Bismarck, SD (Summer) Composite Chart
UNSCREENED DATA

As indicated throughout this report CH 5-4 difference was strongly correlated with precipitable water amounts. The correlation coefficient between the two variables was -.71. The correlation coefficient between CH 2-1 and precipitable water was only -.33.

The CH 5-4 difference and the various channel values used in this study had nearly equal strength in explaining the variability of the sun-corrected CH 2-1 difference. None of the variables appeared to play an dominating role in explaining the behavior of CH 2-1. When ranked, CH 5-4 was the most strongly correlated with CH 2-1 (r=.39). This correlation seems to indicated that differential absorption plays at least some role in both CH 2-1 and CH 5-4.

The CH 5-4 difference was most strongly correlated with Channel 1. This may be the result of the CH 5-4 difference being small (or absorption being large) when water vapor increases and produces clouds, thus increasing Channel 1. Channel 2 seems to have only a weak relationship with CH 5-4.

CLOUD SCREENED DATA

The correlation between CH 5-4 and precipitable water remained high at r =-.73 while the correlation coefficient between CH 2-1 and precipitable water was -.31. Removing
the cloudiest pixels produced interesting results in from
the analysis of correlation between the channel and the
channel difference date. A strong correlation between the
sun angle corrected CH 2-1 and Channel 2 emerged, r=.74.
This indicates the Channel 2 energy is a driving mechanism
in determining the CH 2-1 value when cloudiness is reduced
or eliminated. This is an especially important piece of
information, since Channel 2 is also subject to the most
variation due to physical processes on the surface and in
the atmosphere. Running a distant second in the correlation
rankings with CH 2-1 was CH 5-4 (r=.36). Again thisindi-
cates the differential absorptive effects have at least some
statistical importance for the behavior of CH 2-1.

While the statistical relationship between CH 2-1 and CH
5-4 is not what was originally hoped for, the correlation
analysis provided some useful insight about which variables,
and thus, indirectly which physical processes were most
important in explaining the behavior of CH 2-1.

VIEW ANGLE

Throughout this report view angle has been noted as a
factor which adds variability to the channel values and,
perhaps more importantly, to the channel difference values.
In practice, increasing view angle decreases the information
content of the radiant signal. For this reason, normalization to a view angle of zero is a desirable adjustment to
the radiance data. Singh (1984) states that division of the radiance values by cosine \( \alpha \), where \( \alpha \) is the view zenith angle is necessary. A normalization of this type was tried on the radiance data. The results were grossly overcorrected values of the channel data and the channel difference values for large view angles.

Apparently, this calculation corrects for the reduction of energy reaching the sensor due to off nadir view, but it fails to account for the energy addition to the beam by path radiance. The "corrected" CH 2-1 values from off nadir view days were outside the realm of believability. Lacking an appropriate view angle correction, no view angle corrections were made.

DATA PROBLEMS

Garbled data was occasionally a problem in reading the LAC tapes. Garbling of the earth location data makes a scan line useless. More frequently short records or scan lines were encountered. These scan lines were skipped. A series of short records sometimes eliminated a location from study. Additionally, some of the LAC tapes requested, for reasons never determined, could not be read by the AMDAHL system. Beyond the physical data problems the biggest problem with data was the irregularity of LAC scene acquisition.
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The first objective of the research -- determining if differential absorption effects could be found over land by differencing the appropriate channel values, was successful. The CH 5-4 difference has been shown to be related to the amount of precipitable water in the atmospheric column. The CH 2-1 difference is, unfortunately, less related to the precipitable water content, presumably because of the overpowering scattering effect in Channel 2. Therefore, the second objective of relating the differential absorption effect to CH 2-1 variability was not nearly so successful.

Further research into the proper view angle correction (one that accounts for scattering) may improve the usefulness of CH 5-4 difference as a diagnostic tool for CH 2-1 variability. Further research may also prove useful in applying the differential absorption technique to GAC data. The GAC data is acquired often enough that small view angle images of most mid-latitude scenes are regularly available. If, as Duggin et al. (1982a) suggested, the scenes are limited to the central 25 percent of the AVHRR scan line, the view angle/scattering problem may be reduced enough to make the differential absorption calculation a useful diagnostic, or even, predictive tool.
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