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The European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Level III-b analyses are used to study cases of post-frontal convective areas that occurred off the West Coast of the United States. A five-year climatology suggests than an average of about 13 convective events occurs each winter, and that two of three cases are likely to have intense and well-organized convection. Five events that occurred during the First Special Observing Period of the First GARP Global Experiment are selected for detailed study.
Associations are sought between the post-frontal convective areas and standard synoptic and dynamic variables. The convection appears to be closely tied to the position of the upper level cold trough. Cold advection aloft and low-level heating as the cold air streams over the warmer ocean contribute to maintenance of low static stability in the convective region. The feasibility of diagnosing the location of the convective regions is explored with diabatic and frictional parameterizations of the Naval Operational Global Atmospheric Prediction System (NOGAPS). Wind, temperature, geopotential and moisture fields from the ECMWF are used to specify the large-scale forcing. The cumulus precipitation diagnosed by the NOGAPS does agree surprisingly well with the post-frontal convective areas in these five cases.
List of Figures

Figure 1. Schematic representation of post-frontal convective patterns. See text for description of stages I-V.

Figure 2. Infrared imagery from GOES West on: (a) 0315 GMT 17 Dec 1978; (b) 1545 GMT 17 Dec 1978; (c) 0345 GMT 18 Dec; and (d) 0045 GMT 19 Dec.

Figure 3. Surface pressure and patchy convective area (scalloped) on: (a) 00 GMT 17 Dec 1978; (b) 00 GMT 18 Dec; and (c) 00 GMT 19 Dec.

Figure 4. 500 mb height (m) and patchy convective area (scalloped) on: (a) 00 GMT 17 Dec 1978; (b) 00 GMT 18 Dec; and (c) 00 GMT 19 Dec.

Figure 5. 500 mb relative vorticity (10⁻⁵ sec⁻¹) and patchy convective area (scalloped) on: (a) 00 GMT 17 Dec 78; (b) 00 GMT 18 Dec 78; and (c) 00 GMT 19 Dec 78. Centers of vorticity are indicated by x and wind vectors are indicated at 3.75° Lat. and Long. according to scale indicated in lower right corner.

Figure 6. 700 mb temperature (°K) relative to the patchy convection area on: (a) 00 GMT 17 Dec 78; (b) 00 GMT 18 Dec 78; and (c) 00 GMT 19 Dec 78. Wind vectors are indicated at 3.75° Lat. and Long. according to scale indicated in lower right corner.

Figure 7. Static stability (Δθ/Δp) in the 850-700 mb layer (°C/100 mb) relative to patchy convective area (scalloped) on: (a) 00 GMT 17 Dec 78; (b) 00 GMT 18 Dec 78; and (c) 00 GMT 19 Dec 78.

Figure 8. Cumulus precipitation (mm/day) diagnosed from the NOGAPS heating package relative to the patchy convective area (scalloped) on: (a) 00 GMT 17 Dec 78; (b) 00 GMT 18 Dec 78; and (c) 00 GMT 19 Dec 78.

Figure 9. Convective pattern (scalloped) and large scale cloudiness (dashed) on 00 GMT 21 February 1979 relative to: (a) 500 mb height (m); (b) 700 mb temperature (°K) and wind vectors at 3.75 lat. and long. according to scale indicated in lower right corner; (c) static stability (−Δθ/Δp) in the 850-700 mb layer (°C/100 mb); and (d) cumulus precipitation (mm/day) diagnosed from the NOGAPS heating package.

Figure 10. Similar to Fig. 9, except for 00 GMT 31 January 1979.

Figure 11. Similar to Fig. 9, except for 00 GMT 16 January 1979.

Figure 12. Similar to Fig. 9, except for 00 GMT 5 January 1979.
ABSTRACT

The European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Level.II-b analyses are used to study cases of post-frontal convective areas that occurred off the West Coast of the United States. A five-year climatology suggests that an average of about 13 convective events occurs each winter, and that two of three cases are likely to have intense and well-organized convection. Five events that occurred during the First Special Observing Period of the First GARP Global Experiment are selected for detailed study. Associations are sought between the post-frontal convective areas and standard synoptic and dynamic variables. The convection appears to be closely tied to the position of the upper level cold trough. Cold advection aloft and low-level heating as the cold air streams over the warmer ocean contribute to maintenance of low static stability in the convective region. The feasibility of diagnosing the location of the convective regions is explored with diabatic and frictional parameterizations of the Naval Operational Global Atmospheric Prediction System (NOGAPS). Wind, temperature, geopotential and moisture fields from the ECMWF are used to specify the large-scale forcing. The cumulus precipitation diagnosed by the NOGAPS does agree surprisingly well with the post-frontal convective areas in these five cases.
1. Introduction

Much attention has been devoted in meteorology to the problem of forecasting maritime cyclogenesis off the eastern coasts of North America and Asia. Those regions with concentrated sea-surface temperature gradients experience destructive weather phenomena both on large scales and small scales. In the eastern parts of the oceans, the horizontal temperature gradients are usually less. Consequently, surface fronts are not as sharp and there is less severe local weather. Nevertheless, there are periods during the cold season when predominantly convective weather causes a troublesome forecast problem along the west coast of the United States. Following strong trough passages, convection intense enough to cause rain or hail showers with some thunder occurs within strong bursts of arctic or polar air over the relatively warm ocean. However, the intensity of these convective situations varies considerably. When the convection is very intense, it may produce rain over a large area well after the frontal passage, or tornadoes or waterspouts may be observed (as in Los Angeles on 1 March 1983). Monteverdi (1976) estimates that about 30% of all the precipitation at San Francisco originates under these conditions. These situations are often not well forecast by numerical forecast models, presumably because of the small horizontal scales involved, and the convective nature of the weather.

Among the notable achievements of dynamic meteorology since the 1930's has been the progress in understanding the mechanism responsible for extratropical cyclogenesis—namely, baroclinic instability. However, there are extratropical weather phenomena associated with somewhat smaller temporal and spatial scales that are not well forecast, even though the theory may be understood to some extent. For example, very rapid extratropical cyclone developments are often not well forecast, especially over the seas and near the east coasts of
Asia and North America (Sanders and Gyakum, 1980). Another maritime forecast problem is the prediction of polar lows. These are quite intense, small-scale cyclones that occasionally develop within very cold air masses passing over high latitude oceans from such cold source regions as Greenland, Siberia or Antarctica (see references in Sardie and Warner, 1983). There is very active research into the origin of these lows. Some authors suggest that baroclinic instability is the generating mechanism (Reed, 1979; Mullen, 1979, 1982), while others favor CISK (Rasmussen, 1979). Sandgathe (1982) used the Naval Operational Global Prediction System (NOGAPS) model to simulate small-scale oceanic cyclones under a very strong jet. He concluded that these systems are caused by baroclinic instability in which horizontal temperature gradients and associated vertical wind shear play the dominant role. The small-scale cyclones developing in weaker flow may also owe their growth to baroclinic instability if the static stability is sufficiently small, and this energy source may be supplemented in some cases by latent heat release. Inclusion of moisture, especially under conditions of small static stability, does shift the maximum growth rate to smaller scale disturbances in the linear baroclinic instability theory, whether the latent heat is added by convective clouds or large-scale precipitation (Staley and Gall, 1978; Lindzen, 1974; Mak, 1982).

There are many studies of convective-type phenomena using satellite information that indicate the varying degrees of organization of convective clouds. Locatelli, et al. (1982) have also incorporated radar and aircraft data in a detailed study of post-frontal convection that frequently leads to a situation that is analyzed as an "instant occlusion" of the front. Even though these convective patterns frequently appear in the post-frontal cold air, the presently operational numerical forecast models often encounter difficulty in forecasting the weather associated with these patterns.
The purpose of this study is to examine some of these post-frontal convective patterns occurring off the west coast of California using the state-of-the-art diabatics package in the NOGAPS model in a diagnostic mode. The European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Level III-B analyses (Bjorheim, et al., 1982) during the Special Observing Period (SOP) of the First GARP Global Experiment (FGGE) are used, as these fields are likely to be the best available for some time. It is hoped that this study can lead to a better understanding of such phenomena and demonstrate the possibility of improved predictions of the post-frontal convective situations.

2. Data Classification

Surface, upper air charts and satellite pictures from five winters (1977-1978 through 1981-1982) were examined to isolate and classify cases of interest. The winter of 1978-79 is of special interest since that was the period of the very intense FGGE observing period. The procedure was to first examine 500 mb maps for cases of strong trough passages at San Francisco (SFO), which is representative of the central coastal region of California. A strong 500 mb trough is defined as: a minimum temperature of -20°C or less; heights less than 5700 m; and wind speeds greater than 25 m/sec. With these synoptic conditions, patterns of rising motion and/or convective instability are expected from November to April. The sample was further stratified by use of the surface maps. Interest was concentrated on those cases in which surface reports continued to indicate general showery weather or rain for a day or two after the frontal passage. The criteria above were applied fairly loosely to ensure inclusion of as many cases as possible. Based on this procedure, 64 possible cases were identified off the California and Oregon coasts during the five winters. Many more cases could have been included if the domain had been extended into the Gulf of Alaska.
Next, the visual and infrared (IR) satellite pictures in the Naval Postgraduate School archives were examined. Several patterns did emerge that appeared to be related to the observed weather. The first (I) pattern (Fig. 1a) is widely-scattered, cellular convection following a frontal band. Over land this pattern may be totally obscured by the topography. Although there may be quite heavy or moderate rain in the frontal band, there is at most scattered, light showers in the cellular convection following the front. This open cell pattern is reminiscent of Benard convection. In the second (II) pattern (Fig. 1b), there is greater organization of convective activity behind the front. There is a certain degree of bandedness in the clouds. Although showers are more numerous in this situation, the total rainfall may still be only of 2.5 mm at most stations. There are three further stages in the convective organization: (III), the band in (II) takes the form of a patch of clouds; (IV), the patch becomes quite solid in appearance; and (V), the solid cluster takes on a definite comma shape. These five patterns (I-V) are schematically illustrated in Fig. 1a-e. In the case of the comma pattern (V), extensive thunderstorms may occur with general rain, which may in some cases exceed the rainfall associated with the original frontal passage. Polar lows, at least in the sense discussed by the authors mentioned in the Introduction, were not observed in this region during the SOP. When there was a separate non-frontal surface low, it was associated directly with the main upper level trough or cut-off low.

Using these five patterns as a basis, the 64 cases have been classified into three general categories of convective activity: light, moderate and intense. The light category is pattern (I). The moderate category includes patterns (II) and (III), and the intense category includes patterns (IV) and (V). The tabulation of these cases during each winter (see Appendix A)
Figure 1. Schematic representation of post-frontal convective patterns. See text for description of stages I-V.
indicates that these situations occur fairly frequently. In the five winters studied, an average of 12.8 cases were identified off the California and Oregon coasts, of which 1.8 cases each winter were in the intense category. Thus, these events are common enough that forecasters need to be concerned. There also appears to be a tendency for a grouping of cases. When this grouping occurs, there is significant weather for a number of days. The convective post-frontal features then contribute to a sequence of rainstorms with nearly a daily cycle. Correctly forecasting the continuation, or the end, of a sequence of such events is very important for public and civil aviation interests.

3. Analysis methods

It is possible to discuss qualitatively phenomena such as these clusters and comas in terms of short waves, convectively-driven storms, etc. With the normal data coverage over areas such as the eastern Pacific Ocean, it is nearly hopeless to attempt more quantitative discussion. During the SOP of FGGE in the winter of 1978-1979, many special observations were incorporated into the ECMWF Level III-B analyses. It was hoped that the data coverage during the FGGE period (ECMWF, Vol. 1, Dec 1978 - Feb 1979) would allow quantitative studies. Unfortunately, there were no Intense category situations during the SOP, but there were four Moderate events (see Table I): 4-6 Jan, 15-17 Jan, 30-31 Jan and 20-22 Feb 1979. Also, a light intensity event occurred on 17-19 Dec 1978.

The ECMWF analyses include the following variables: surface pressure, heights, wind components, temperature, vertical motion and relative humidity at 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 400, 500, 700, 850 and 1000 mb. A series of charts at 12-h or 6-h intervals was prepared for each of the five cases. The study area extended from 146°W to 100°W and from 18.75°N to 60°N with a
The approximate area of deep convection indicated on the IR pictures was superposed on each chart.

The first charts examined were the surface pressure and the 500 mb height fields. Since it is of interest to understand what type of physical "instability" plays a more dominant role in the formation and maintenance of these convective areas, patterns of vertical motion, static stability and moisture were also examined. Quasi-geostrophic reasoning suggests that the convection patterns might be associated with spatial patterns of the horizontal temperature advection and with vertical shear in the vorticity advection. Vorticity fields at three levels were mapped to determine if relationships exist between the convective cloud areas and the advection of vorticity. Temperature advection at 850, 700 and 500 mb and moisture advection at 850 mb and 700 mb were also examined. Of course, large-scale vertical motion does result from more than positive vorticity advection shear or the Laplacian of temperature advection. The ECMWF omega fields are derived using a normal mode method, and are approximately equivalent to the omegas from a more complete form of the omega equation containing nine forcing terms (Krishnamurti, 1968). These ECMWF omega fields at 850, 700 and 500 mb were also examined for any coherence with the convective patterns.

The spatial scale of the convective areas being considered in this work is not clearly "large-scale". The radius of deformation for mid-latitudes is typically greater than 1000 km (using a value for internal gravity waves), which implies a wavelength greater than 6000 km. The convective areas considered here have a much shorter scale than this. Because the wind fields tend to dominate the mass fields on these spatial scales, the kinematic vertical velocities are probably more appropriate than the quasi-geostrophic or normal mode omega values. The kinematic vertical motions are usually...
difficult to compute accurately due to errors in the winds. Therefore, the ECMWF omegas at the top and bottom of the column were used to bound the kinematic omegas calculated from the wind fields. The kinematic omega fields were mapped at 850, 700 and 500 mb. Finally, stability values (dθ/dp) were mapped for the layers 1000-850 mb, 850-700 mb and 700-500 mb.

The ECMWF data were also used as input into the heating package of the NOGAPS model. This model was based on the general circulation model developed over many years at UCLA under the direction of Prof. A. Arakawa. The heating package is normally run in conjunction with the integration of the forecast model using time-dependent values of boundary layer depth, and wind temperature and moisture jumps at the top of the layer. The planetary boundary layer parameterization also requires ocean temperatures to calculate fluxes. These temperatures were provided from the Fleet Numerical Oceanography Center's operational daily analyses. A budget of heat and moisture is normally used in the model to estimate ground temperature. In this diagnostic application of the heating package, the land areas were passive, i.e. the surface temperature is set at the same value as the lowest level in the ECMWF data. Heights of the land surface above sea level were set to zero, as the principal area of interest was the oceanic region. Since some of the variables mentioned above are not available, the frictional and diabatic package is provided with the data from each case and at least nine iterations (complete cycles through the heating package at a given time level) are used to allow the boundary layer thickness and inversion jumps to develop. One of the most interesting products is cumulus scale precipitation. These fields were superposed on the convective areas for the five cases. Also fields of evaporation computed from the NOGAPS boundary layer were prepared at each time level of the five cases to determine moisture source regions. A more detailed description of the
diagnostic application of the NOGAPS PBL and convective parameterization with the ECMWF analyses is contained in a forthcoming NPS report by C.-S. Liou and R. L. Elsberry.

4. Results

Since the total number of charts and satellite pictures is quite large, detailed accounts of each case will not be presented. One of the five cases during the SOP will be presented in some detail. The remaining four cases will be discussed only in terms of the significant variables described in the first case.

a. Case Study 1

The convective region that occurred from 17-19 Dec 1978 is presented to illustrate the evolution and relationships with synoptic and NOGAPS variables. This case has been labeled a category I or Light case and the reader may readily verify in Fig. 2 that the post-frontal convection remained patchy, although it was very distinct throughout the period. Beginning at 0315 GMT 17 Dec 1979 (Fig. 2a), a large amount of cloudiness that originated on the ITCZ was streaming into the southern tip of California. A frontal band extended southwestward from northern California. The patchy area of convection was centered near 43°N, 134°W. By 1545 GMT 17 Dec (Fig. 2b), the frontal band, which was now into central California, began to merge with the large band of tropical origin, and the convective patch was centered near 40°N, 130°W. Proceeding to 0345 GMT 18 Dec (Fig. 2c), the patch was now centered west of San Francisco at about 127°W, whereas the front had merged into the band of cloudiness over southern California. During the 18th (Fig. 2d), the patch gradually moved into the coast and the merged tropical-frontal cloud band moved eastward. By 1545 GMT 19 Dec (not shown), the patch had moved into the band and dissipated.
Figure 2. Infrared imagery from GOES West on: (a) 0315GMT 17 Dec 1978; (b) 1545GMT 17 Dec 1978; (c) 0345GMT 18 Dec; and (d) 0045GMT 19 Dec.
This case was not a major rainfall event in California except near the southern border. For example, SFO registered 0.59" on the 17th and an additional 0.14" on the 18th, whereas the Los Angeles Civic Center received 0.14" on the 16th, 0.35" on the 17th, 0.84" on the 18th and 0.10" on the 19th. In San Diego, however, 0.14" fell on the 16th and 1.32" fell on the 17th under the influence of the subtropical cloudiness. Furthermore, 0.38" was registered on the 18th and 0.27" on the 19th.

The surface low at 49°N, 129°W on the 00GMT 17 Dec analysis from ECMWF (Fig. 3a) was moderately deep at 988 mb. The primary low took a normal eastward path and moved into the coast by 00GMT 18 Dec (Fig. 3b) and weakened to 1000 mb. Then it moved rapidly southeastward to a position over Utah by 00GMT 19 Dec (Fig. 3c) and into Nebraska by 12 h later (not shown). On the larger scale ECMWF analyses, a subtropical trough centered at 128°-129°W at 00GMT 17 Dec gradually shifted eastward and combined with the frontal trough. In this case there was no separate surface low trailing the system off the California coast, which is a departure from the remaining four cases to be discussed below. Superposed on the maps is the approximate area of patchy convection as shown on the IR satellite pictures. The patch can be followed from 00GMT 17 Dec to 00GMT 19 Dec as it moved southeastward to the coast. On the whole it tended to remain southwest of the surface low in the NNW to NW flow.

The corresponding 500 mb maps from the ECMWF during the period are shown in Figs. 4a-c. A moderate open-wave trough was centered near 135°W at 00GMT 17 Dec, with its strongest gradients near 37°-41°N. This trough moved southeastward to the coast by 00GMT 19 Dec, with the strongest geostrophic winds at the later time located at 30°N-32°N. The patchy convection in this case remained just to the east of the trough axis until 00GMT 18 Dec, when it was located on the axis of the trough. The corresponding relative vorticity
Figure 3. Surface pressure and patchy convective area (scalloped) on:
(a) 00 GMT 17 Dec 1978; (b) 00 GMT 18 Dec; and (c) 00 GMT 19 Dec.
Figure 4. 500 mb height (m) and patchy convective area (scalloped) on: (a) 0000GMT 17 Dec 1978; (b) 0000GMT 18 Dec; and (c) 0000GMT 19 Dec.
charts are shown in Figs. 5a-c. At the initial time (Fig. 5a), the convective area tends to be under the region of maximum cyclonic vorticity. Thus, some of the area is experiencing positive vorticity advection and other parts of the area have negative vorticity advection aloft. Similar comments apply for the southern portion of the convective area in Fig. 5b, whereas the northern portion appears to be in the left front quadrant of a vorticity maximum. As the relative vorticity pattern takes on a crescent-shape (Fig. 5c), the patchy convection area tends to be on the inner (cyclonic) side of the vorticity maximum.

The convective area tends to be found downstream from the 700 mb thermal trough in a region of cold advection (Fig. 6). Thus, the convective towers are building into the cold unstable air aloft. The upper level environment of the convection region is relatively dry, as expected in a polar outbreak. Neither the kinematic nor the normal mode vertical motion fields (not shown) have a consistent relationship with the convection area, which might be expected from the vorticity advection and temperature advection fields indicated in Figs. 5 and 6. However, there is a good relationship between the convective area and the static stability in the lower troposphere. The field of $-\Delta \theta/\Delta p$ between 850 and 700 mb is shown in Fig. 7. The convection is found in regions in which the lapse rate is nearly dry adiabatic. This lapse rate is consistent with the 700 mb cold advection (Fig. 6) above a near surface layer which is warm because of the surface heat flux from the ocean. Notice that there is also low static stability air over the adjacent land area in Fig. 7c, and that the convection over the ocean seems to lead into that area.

To summarize these synoptic relationships, the convective area appears within a cold, dry air flow behind the front. The surface heat and moisture
Figure 5. 500 mb relative vorticity ($10^{-5}$ sec$^{-1}$) and patchy convective area (scalloped) on: (a) 0000 GMT 17 Dec 78; (b) 0000 GMT 18 Dec 78; and (c) 0000 GMT 19 Dec 78. Centers of vorticity are indicated by X and wind vectors are indicated at 3.75° Lat. and Long. according to scale indicated in lower right corner.
Figure 6. 700 mb temperature (°K) relative to the patchy convection area on: (a) 00 GMT 17 Dec 78; (b) 00 GMT 18 Dec 78; and (c) 00 GMT 19 Dec 78. Wind vectors are indicated at 3.75° Lat. and Long. according to scale indicated in lower right corner.
Figure 7. Static stability ($-\Delta \theta / \Delta p$) in the 850-700 mb layer (°C/100 mb) relative to patchy convective area (scalloped) on: (a) 00GMT 17 Dec 78; (b) 00GMT 18 Dec 78; and (c) 00GMT 19 Dec.
fluxes from the ocean appear to be the primary driving forces, rather than synoptic scale lifting patterns associated with positive vorticity advection or warm advection aloft. There appears to be destabilization of the convective column due to the cold advection aloft, and perhaps also to upward vertical motion in some areas ahead of the upper level trough.

The NOGAPS-diagnosed cumulus precipitation at 00GMT during the period are shown in Fig. 8. The patchy convective area corresponds very well with this field, especially in view of the fact that this patchy area was over the data-sparse ocean. In the first two periods (Figs. 8a-b), when the patch was very large and located off the coast of Washington and Oregon, the correspondence with the computed precipitation is very remarkable. It is important that the parameterization technique is able to diagnose the areal extent and give some indication of the intensity of the convection. However, this does not necessarily mean that the parameterization scheme will correctly maintain this relationship in a forecast mode (personal communication, Dr. T. Rosmond, NEPRF). If the vertical redistribution of heat, moisture and momentum by the parameterization technique tends to eliminate the relationship with the synoptic fields, the forecasts after a few hours will not reflect the convective area.

b. Case Study 2

This is the first of four other cases that will be briefly described to illustrate the common features associated with moderate post-frontal convection off the California coast. Rather than show an evolution of each case, a single time has been chosen when the convective pattern was well developed.

This example includes a convective patch offshore and another band crossing the coastline at 00GMT 21 Feb 1979 (Fig. 9a). These features are in the cold air behind a major frontal band that is associated with a large-scale
Figure 8. Cumulus precipitation (mm/day) diagnosed from the NOGAPS heating package relative to the patchy convective area (scalloped) on: (a) 000 GMT 17 Dec 78; (b) 000 GMT 18 Dec 78; and (c) 000 GMT 19 Dec 78.
Figure 9. Convective pattern (scalloped) and large scale cloudiness (dashed) on 0000 GMT 21 February 1979 relative to: (a) 500 mb height (m); (b) 700 mb temperature (°K) and wind vectors at 3.75 lat. and long. according to scale indicated in lower right corner; (c) static stability (-Δθ/Δp) in the 850-700 mb layer (°C/100 mb); and (d) cumulus precipitation (mm/day) diagnosed from the WOGAPS heating package.
through in the westerlies. The convective patch is located very near the 500 mb low center, whereas the band is farther east in a region of positive vorticity advection (not shown).

The 700 mb temperature field (Fig. 9b) indicates that the thermal trough is nearly in phase with the 500 mb trough. In fact, there is a surface low almost directly below the 500 mb center. Consequently, the convective patch is also near the surface pressure center (not shown) and extends southward along the trough. Whereas the convective patch tends to be located in the coldest air at 700 mb, the band is in a region of cold advection between the thermal trough and the downstream thermal ridge. Most of the large-scale cloud band is in the region of warm advection farther downstream, as would be expected from synoptic scale considerations.

As shown in Fig. 9c, the large-scale trough region is a region of minimum static stability in the 850-700 mb layer. Both the convective patch and the band are contained within the 3°C/100 mb isoline. By contrast, the large-scale cloud band tends to be located in the regions with more stable lower tropospheric air.

The cumulus convection which is diagnosed from the NOGAPS parameterization scheme based on the ECMWF fields is illustrated in Fig. 9d. Rather than indicating two separate convection areas, the cumulus precipitation extends diagonally between the patch and the band. Given the grid size in the ECMWF fields, it is probably unrealistic to expect a distinction between the two convective areas.

c. Case Study 3

The convective patch in 00GMT 31 January 1979 was again embedded in the 500 mb trough (Fig. 10a). As in the previous example, the large-scale cloud band extended westward around the northern side of the 500 mb low. The
700 mb thermal trough (Fig. 10b) was again nearly directly below the 500 mb trough, so that the surface low (not shown) and 500 mb center were vertically stacked. The convective patch is found in the coldest air and in the cold advection ahead of the thermal trough at 700 mb. By contrast, the large-scale cloud band is found in the warm advection region. The relationship between the convective patch and the 850-700 mb static stability is slightly different in this case. Rather than being embedded within the least stable air, which is just off the California coast, the convective patch appears to be in the gradient region between minimum stability and maximum stability farther upstream. Finally, the location of the diagnosed cumulus convection agrees fairly well with the convective patch (Fig. 10d).

d. Case Study 4

An extensive convective area is found in the region of the 500 mb troughline on 00GMT 16 January 1979 (Fig. 11a). By this time, the frontal band has penetrated to the California-Mexico border. As in the previous cases, the post-frontal convection tends to be found within and in advance of the 700 mb thermal trough (Fig. 11b). There is again excellent agreement between the convection region and the minimum 850-700 mb static stability (Fig. 11c). All of the convection is found within the region of 1-3°C/100 mb values of static stability. Excellent agreement is also found between the convective region and the diagnosed cumulus precipitation field (Fig. 11d).

e. Case Study 5

A band of weak convection extends toward the northwest from a convective patch near 30°N, 123°W on 00GMT 5 January 1979. As indicated in Fig. 12a, this convection is aligned with a northwest-southeast oriented 500 mb trough. A large-scale cloud band is found near the ridge line to the east and northeast. The 700 mb temperature field (Fig. 12b) illustrates that the convective
band is within and in advance of the thermal trough, whereas the large-scale band is in the warm advection region. There are also differences in static stability (Fig. 12c) associated with the two cloud regions. The convective band and patch are found along the axis of minimum static stability, whereas the large-scale cloud band tends to be in more stable air. The diagnosed cumulus precipitation (Fig. 12d) agrees fairly well with the location of the convective patch near 30°N, although the precipitation extends too far to the south. There is no indication of the weak cloud band to the northwest.

5. Summary and Conclusions

A five-year series of wintertime synoptic and mesoscale convective situations behind cold fronts off the west coast of North America has been catalogued. The convective situations were classified using the 500 mb and surface patterns and the satellite IR pictures. Several degrees of organization of convective weather have been observed in this study. These included widely scattered cellular convection bands, patches, clusters and commas. An average of about 13 convective events occurs each winter, and two of these cases are likely to have intense and well-organized convection as they cross the coast.

Five cases during the Special Observing Period of FGGE were selected for quantitative study using the ECMWF Level III-B analyses. In each of the five FGGE cases, the post-frontal convective regions were associated with a vertically-stacked surface to 500 mb low located off the West Coast. It is not clear whether the convection contributed to the presence of the surface low by heating the column. It is also possible that the convection is a consequence of the processes leading to a cutoff low. At this stage of the development, the convection is located within the upper level trough and not in the positive vorticity advection region. However, there was one case with a
convective band under the positive vorticity advection aloft and a convective patch in the region of the trough.

The convection was normally found within or in advance of the 700 mb thermal trough. Thus, the air at 700 mb is either already at minimum temperature or is becoming colder with time. This is also consistent with the convection being found in regions of minimum 850-700 mb static stability. That is, the column is being destabilized by cold advection aloft while the surface air is being warmed as it is advected toward the south over higher sea-surface temperatures. In most of the five cases, the potential temperature gradient between these two levels was about 2°C/100 mb, which is approaching a neutral lapse rate. By contrast, the large-scale clouds tended to be found in regions with temperature gradients 2-3 times as large. It should be noted that these are only instantaneous correlations of convection with regions of minimum static stability. These correlations do not indicate cause or effect.

One of the most interesting aspects of this study was the demonstration that the Arakawa-Schubert latent heat parameterization scheme in the NOGAPS provided a relatively good indication of the convective areas. The diagnosed cumulus precipitation areas did not agree exactly with the satellite-observed convective regions. Nevertheless, the agreement was encouraging considering the grid size of the ECMWF fields and the sparsity of data over the oceans.

Diagnostic use of the NOGAPS parameterization as in this study only suggests the potential for prediction of the post-frontal convective areas. When a convective region is indicated by the parameterization technique, a vertical flux of heat, moisture and momentum occurs in a prediction model. If these fluxes rapidly eliminate the conditions necessary for sustaining the convection, and these conditions are not restored by the large-scale forcing, cumulus precipitation will not be continued. In fact, these post-frontal
precipitation regions are frequently not predicted by NOGAPS (Dr. T. Rosmond, personal communication) or other models. Therefore, these tests suggest that there is definitely a potential for improved forecasts of post-frontal convective regions off the West Coast.
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### APPENDIX A

#### Table I. Tabulation of Convective Situations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov 4-6</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Nov 12</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Nov 3-4</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 18</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Nov 21-24</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Jan 15</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 23-28</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Dec 17-10</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Feb 14-15</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 10</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Jan 4-6</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Feb 17</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 19</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Jan 15-17</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Feb 19</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 5-6</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Jan 30-Feb 1</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Feb 21</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 10-11</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Feb 21-22</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Mar 3</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 13</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Mar 1</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Mar 6-7</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 1</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Mar 15-16</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Mar 8-10</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 4-5</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Mar 20-21</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Mar 21</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 9-10</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Mar 27-29</td>
<td>Intense</td>
<td>Mar 26</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 11-12</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Apr 23-24</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Apr 5</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 21-22</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Apr 21-22</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Apr 28-29-1980</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 31-Apr 1</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Apr 21-22</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Apr 28-29</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 7</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 16</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 25-26</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Dec 5 | Light | Nov 24-29 | Intense |
| Jan 23-24 | Light | Jan 2 | Moderate |
| Jan 28-30 | Intense | Jan 5 | Light |
| Feb 24-25 | Moderate | Jan 19-21 | Intense |
| Feb 28-Mar 2 | Intense | Jan 27 | Light |
| Mar 5-6 | Intense | Jan 28-29 | Moderate |
| Mar 13 | Light | Mar 11-12 | Light |
| Mar 20 | Moderate | Mar 14-15 | Light |
| Apr 18-20 | Light | Mar 16-18 | Moderate |
| Mar 28-29 | Moderate | Mar 31-Apr 1 | Intense |
| Mar 7 | Light | Apr 7 | Light |
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