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$A_y$ = projected area, side view

$b = \text{model width}$

$ar{C}_y = \text{side force coefficient}$

$h_b = \text{height of model base}$

$r = \text{corner radius}$

$R_{h_b} = \text{Reynolds number} = \frac{U h_b}{v}$

$U = \text{magnitude of the free-stream velocity vector}$

$u, v, w = \text{velocity components along body axis}$

$x, y, z = \text{body axes: } x-y \text{ parallel to a flat side, } x \text{ along body axis}$

$\alpha = \text{angle of attack (see Figure 6)}$

$\beta = \text{angle of sideship (see Figure 6)}$

$\nu = \text{kinematic viscosity}$

$\sigma = \text{total angle of attack (see Figure 6)}$

$\psi = \text{angle of roll about body axis, positive clockwise looking forward (see Figure 6)}$
SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

The first step toward understanding complex flows very often begins with a visualization of the flow. For some flows, no one method gives a complete picture at the present time.

Oil flows give a picture of the skin friction lines on the surface of a body but need expert interpretation for the external vortical flows at moderate to high angles of attack.

Dye or smoke introduced through ports in the surface of the model is a useful method for displaying major vortex patterns.

The method developed for the work reported on here shows the feeding sheets to the external vortices as well as the flow patterns in the boundary layers that form on the body.

To aid in the interpretation, an old technique used by Prandtl has been utilized to visualize the flow in a plane perpendicular to the axis of the body. Also, a modification of the method used by Allen and Perkins has been used for the same purpose.

Four models were constructed for the subject tests and are described in Section II. These models were geometrically similar to some of those used in oil flow and grid tuft studies performed at the Air Force Academy.

Test procedures are described in Section III, and a discussion of results is presented in Section IV.
SECTION II
DESCRIPTION OF MODELS

Two basic bodies were constructed with each of the bodies provided with a 2-caliber tangent-ogive sharp nose (as viewed perpendicular to a flat side) and with a modified 2-caliber nose blunted by fairing into a portion of a sphere of 11/16-inch radius. The models were numbered as follows, with "r" denoting corner radius and "b" denoting model width:

Model I  
\[ r/b = 0.2 \]  
sharp nose

Model II  
\[ r/b = 0.2 \]  
blunt nose

Model III  
\[ r/b = 0 \]  
sharp nose

Model IV  
\[ r/b = 0 \]  
blunt nose

For Model I, the \[ r/b = 0.2 \] radius was maintained in the nose region. For the blunt nose models, the transition to the blunt nose was fairied in, as can be seen in Figure 1.

The models were constructed from aluminum bar stock.
Figure 1. (From Left to Right) Model I, Model II, Model III, and Model IV
SECTION III
TEST PROCEDURES

WATER TUNNEL

The tests were conducted in the University of Florida's water tunnel which is of the flow-down type with flow velocities of about 1 inch per second. The observation time was about 45 seconds. The Reynolds number of the tests was approximately 1000 based on the 2-inch body width. A sketch of the facility is shown in Figure 3.

To view the flow simultaneously from the front and the side, four 16- by 16-inch front surface mirrors were used. The location of these mirrors with respect to the model is shown in Figure 4, and the flow direction and camera angle are displayed in Figure 5.

PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT

Two 35-mm Canon cameras were used for the stills. In most cases, a Canon F-1 camera equipped with a Canon motor drive and a Canon data back was used to take color slides using Kodak Ektachrome 160 (tungsten) film. The lens was a Canon 80- to 200-mm zoom lens with a Vivitar 2X giving 160- to 400-mm range of focal lengths. This camera was used with the mirror system. The other Canon camera was used to take three-quarter front views using a 50-mm lens and Kodacolor 400 film. This camera was also fitted with a Canon data back. These data backs have three dials that can be set to put numbers and/or a limited number of characters on the film. These were utilized to indicate , , , and model number. The code and parameters tested are given in Table 1. The relations between , and , and , and are shown in Figure 6.

Illumination was furnished by three 1000-watt quartz flood lamps located so as to prevent glare and still provide adequate light.

Motion pictures were taken with a Beaulieu 16-mm camera with an Angenieux 17- to 68-mm zoom lens using Kodak video news film (tungsten). The parameters tested are shown in Table 2. Test conditions were listed on a card that was photographed just before each run. The code used was the same as for the still photographs.

FLOW VISUALIZATION

The flow visualization method used in these tests evolved over a period of several years. The original method utilized conventional dye ports. Drawbacks to this method include possible interference between the dye jet and the flow, difficulties in regulating the dye rate in a flow-down facility where the static pressure is changing with time, and, since the number of dye ports is limited, the
Figure 3. Sketch of the Water Tunnel With a Model Mounted Under the Diving Bell

Figure 4. Sketch Showing the Location of the Mirrors and the Camera for Taking Photographs of the Front and Side Views Simultaneously
Figure 5. Camera Angle and Flow Direction for Plates 1 Through 14
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODEL</th>
<th>TOTAL ANGLE OF ATTACK σ ~ deg</th>
<th>ROLL ANGLE ψ ~ deg</th>
<th>CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M = I, II,</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1-0-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, and IV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1-1-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(see below)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22½/2</td>
<td>1-2-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1-3-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2-0-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2-1-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22½/2</td>
<td>2-2-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2-3-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2-4-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4-0-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22½/2</td>
<td>4-2-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4-4-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6-0-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>22½/2</td>
<td>6-2-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6-4-M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M = I ---- Rounded corners, r/b = 0.2, sharp nose
M = II ---- Rounded corners, r/b = 0.2, sharp nose
M = III ---- Square corners, r/b = 0, sharp nose
M = IV ---- Square corners, r/b = 0, sharp nose

Example: I-0-I, σ = 15°, ψ = 0°, Model I.
\[ \alpha = \tan^{-1} \frac{w}{u} \]
\[ \beta = \sin^{-1} \frac{v}{U_\infty} \]
\[ \sigma = \cos^{-1} \frac{u}{U_\infty} \]
\[ \psi = \tan^{-1} \frac{v}{w} \]

AND \[ \tan \psi = \tan \beta / \sin \alpha \]

\[ \cos \sigma = \cos \beta \cos \alpha \]

Figure 9, Relations Between \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), and \( \sigma \) and \( \psi \).

\( \alpha, \beta, \sigma, \psi = 0 \) when a flat side is horizontal and body axis is parallel to the flow.
### TABLE 2. TEST SCHEDULE FOR 16-MM MOVIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MODEL</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$\psi$</th>
<th>CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2-0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2-4-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4-0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4-4-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4-0-II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2-0-III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4-0-III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4-4-III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2-0-IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2-4-IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4-0-IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4-4-IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model I ---- r/b = 0.2, sharp nose
Model II ---- r/b = 0.2, blunt nose
Model III --- r/b = 0, sharp nose
Model IV ---- r/b = 0, blunt nose

$\sigma$ = total angle of attack = the angle between the body longitudinal axis and the free stream velocity vector (see Figure 6).

$\psi$ = roll angle about the body longitudinal axis, positive clockwise looking forward.
amount of information to be gained is thereby also limited.

The method of a continuous distribution of dye sources was first reported in Reference 7. The idea behind this approach was to provide a more complete visualization of separated flows and, in particular, of the flow separation lines and vortex feeding sheets. In this technique, the model was wetted with hairspray and fluorescein dye powder sprinkled onto the model. A number of methods was tried to keep the model dry until the tank was filled and ready for testing. Plastic bags were fitted around the model in the earlier attempts, but leaks always developed. The diving bell technique proved to be satisfactory and is the method that was used in the subject tests. The diving bell was made from a plexiglass tube and was plugged at the upper end with a half-inch water pipe threaded into the plug. The pipe served as a handle to place the cylinder to keep the water from getting to the model. The pressure supply hose was fitted with a quick opening valve that was opened as the cylinder was removed from the model to prevent unwanted dye from obscuring the model.

In the tests, the flow-down was started as soon as the bell was removed, and photographs were taken after the flow had traveled about two body lengths.

A main result of this technique was to prove experimentally the existence of an open type of separation on a tangent-ogive body with a circular cross section.

With the above technique, it is difficult to tell the direction of flow, particularly near the surface. To add this feature to the flow visualization, powdered crystals of methyl violet (gentian violet) were distributed on the model after the sodium fluorescein powder had been applied. A number of other substances was tried. The only other substance which worked was methyl blue. However, methyl violet was considered superior.

To improve repeatability, a concentrated solution of sodium fluorescein, about 50 percent powder, 40 percent water, and 10 percent ethyl alcohol, was painted on the models and methyl violet crystals were then sprinkled onto the still-wet surface. This was the technique followed for all the production runs of this investigation.
SECTION IV
DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

For non-circular shapes, particularly those with corner radii \( r/b \approx 0.5 \),
the forces and moments are very dependent on nose shape and Reynolds number.
The large variations that can occur are amply illustrated in Figure 7 where
side force is plotted against Reynolds number for three different models with
square cross sections, \( r/b = 0.25 \). These data were obtained in the NASA Ames
12-Foot Pressure Tunnel\(^8\). In light of this type of behavior, the question will
well be raised about the value of flow visualization carried out at low Reynolds
numbers. The answer is that they have value in at least three ways: (1) They
aid in interpreting oil flows where the Reynolds numbers are low. (2) They aid
in settling topological questions that can then be applied at higher Reynolds
numbers. (3) The gross features are likely to be very close to those of flows
at higher Reynolds numbers since the location of the primary line of separation
is generally on the corner. This line is certainly on the corner for the sharp
cornered bodies at \( \alpha = 0^\circ \) and \( 45^\circ \).

Plates I, II, III, and IV have been prepared to show the effects of \( \alpha \)
on Models I, II, III, and IV, respectively. In each of these plates, \( \alpha \) varies
from \( 0^\circ \) to \( 45^\circ \) reading from left to right, and \( \phi \) varies from \( 15^\circ \) to \( 60^\circ \) reading
from top to bottom, as shown on the facing page of each plate. As the photo-
graphs were taken with a zoom lens, not all of those selected for these plates
were taken at the same focal length.

To aid in the interpretation of the water tunnel picture, Figures 8, 9, and
10 have been taken from Reference 9 and Figures 11, 12, and 13 have been taken
from Reference 10.

The sketches from Reference 9 were deduced from oil flows performed in the
wind tunnel at the Air Force Academy and from the water tunnel experiment at the
University of Florida. The photographs from Reference 2 are of two types:
Figures 11 and 12 were from Model I three quarters submerged and then impulsively
started from the rest, and Figure 13 shows two photographs in a sequence from
Model I plunging vertically and moving forward horizontally so as to give an \( \alpha 
\) of \( 45^\circ \). The fuzzy streaks in the first model are due to camera vibration.

Figure 8 shows the variation of the cross flow topology aft of the tangency
point and should be compared with Plate III reading across for rows 1 and 2.
However, at the resolution possible in the photographs, Figure 8 would apply to
Plate I as well and also to Plates III and IV except in the nose region. For
Figure 9, Plate III should be read vertically downward in Column 1. Again, the
first column of Plate I looks quite similar to Plate III as do Plates II and IV
except near the nose. For Figure 10, Plate III should be read vertically down-
ward in Column 5.

Asymmetric vortex flow is noticeable for both sharp noses at \( \alpha = 0^\circ \), \( \phi = 15^\circ \)
and \( \phi = 25^\circ \) in Plates I and at \( \phi = 15^\circ \) in Plate III. This type of flow pattern
seems to be typical for sharp-nosed bodies in this angle of attack range, but
it is rather unexpected for the sharp-cornered model.
Figure 8. Effect of Roll Angle on the Cross-Flow Topology (No Secondary Separations Shown)

Figure 9. Development of Cross-Flow Topography on a Square Body With Sharp Corners; $\theta = 0^\circ$
Figure 10. Development of Cross-Flow Topology on a Square Body With Sharp Corners; $\psi = 45^\circ$
Figure 11. Cross-Flow Visualization on an Impulsively Started Cylinder, \( \beta = 22.5^\circ \) After Traveling Several Body Widths

Figure 12. Cross-Flow Visualization on an Impulsively Started Cylinder, \( \beta = 45^\circ \) After Traveling Several Body Widths
Figure 13. Cross-Flow Visualization of Model I at $\phi = 45^\circ$. Top view is near nose tangency point and bottom view is near mid-model.
To show more detail, Figures 14, 15, and 16 have been included. Figure 14 shows Model III at \( \alpha = 15^\circ \) and \( \psi = 33^\circ \), and Figure 15 shows the same model at \( \alpha = 25^\circ \) and \( \psi = 22.5^\circ \). Figure 16 shows Model I at \( \alpha = 60^\circ \) and \( \psi = 0^\circ \). The double vortex pair that forms at \( \psi = 0^\circ \) in the angle of attack range of 14° to 60° is clearly shown.
Figure 14. Model III at $\phi = 15^\circ$, $\beta = 33^\circ$

Figure 15. Model III at $\phi = 25^\circ$, $\beta = 22\frac{1}{2}^\circ$
Figure 16. Model I at $\sigma = 60^\circ$, $\nu = 0^\circ$
SECTION V

CONCLUSIONS

Experiments performed on a 4.5-inch sphere in the water tunnel at a Reynolds number of 2600 show separation occurring about as far aft of the meridian as it does ahead of the meridian at Reynolds numbers of 30,000.

It has also been observed that the flow separates near the tangency point on a hemisphere-cylinder at Reynolds numbers of the order of 200,000 but not in the water tunnel at Reynolds numbers of 2000. This is believed due to the strong viscous forces compared to inertia forces at these low Reynolds numbers (Stokes flow). The net result is that the separation lines in the water tunnel are more likely to correspond to turbulent flow than laminar flow. In any case, the primary vortex patterns are likely to be representative of full-scale flight.
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