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Introduction

In recent years, fin line structures have become increasingly more attractive for millimeter-wave integrated circuit components. This has occurred because fin lines are low loss at millimeter-wave frequencies, and they are easily built using printed circuit techniques. The initial structure to be analyzed is shown in Figure 1. While the theory developed is general for three layers of dielectric, numerical results are presented for a single layer of dielectric, i.e., \( d=s=0 \).

This structure was also analyzed by Knoor and Shayda [1]. Their paper used the following approximation for the aperture field

\[
\begin{align*}
    e_x &= \begin{cases} 
    0 & |x| \leq W \\
    1 & \text{elsewhere}
    \end{cases} \\
    e_y &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

In our analysis, the aperture fields are expressed in a more general form by expanding them in a series of basis functions, so the solution is believed to be more accurate. Also, higher-order mode characteristics are analyzed in this paper whereas only the dominant mode characteristic was presented in reference [1]. This allows us to study the range of single-mode operation and the characteristics of overmoding in these guides.

Determinantal Equation

In reviewing the published literature one finds that various fin line structures have been analyzed using spectral domain techniques [2] and [3]. On the other hand, the network analytical methods of electromagnetic fields [4] have been applied to the analysis of slot lines. These two methods represent the same approach in that they are based on Galerkin's method and
Figure 1. Cross-sectional view of unilateral fin line with three dielectric slabs. Here, $\varepsilon_x = 2.2$, $h = 0.094''$, $b = 0.089''$, $t = 0.005''$, $d = 0$, $s = 0$, $W = 0.0235''$, $A = 0.047''$, and $W/A = 0.5$. The outer rectangular waveguide is WR-19, which has a cutoff frequency of 31.40 GHz.
Fourier transformations, and they ultimately lead to the same determinantal equation to be solved. Only the key steps are given here in order to avoid repetition of reference [4].

We begin with the spectral representation of the transverse electric and magnetic fields

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}_t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \left( V_{lm}(\beta, z) \bar{e}_{lm}(\beta, x) \right) e^{-j\beta y} \, d\beta \\
\mathbf{H}_t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{2} \left( V_{lm}(\beta, z) \bar{h}_{lm}(\beta, x) \right) e^{-j\beta y} \, d\beta 
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

where the vector mode functions, \( \bar{e}_{lm} \) and \( \bar{h}_{lm} \), are given as

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{e}_{lm} &= \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_m}{2A}} \frac{1}{k_m} \left\{ -x \gamma_m \cos \gamma_m(x+\Lambda) + y j \beta \sin \gamma_m(x+\Lambda) \right\} \\
\bar{h}_{lm} &= \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_m}{2A}} \frac{1}{k_m} \left\{ x j \beta \cos \gamma_m(x+\Lambda) - y \gamma_m \sin \gamma_m(x+\Lambda) \right\} 
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

\[
\begin{align*}
k_m^2 &= \gamma_m^2 + \beta^2 \\
\gamma_m &= \frac{m \pi}{2A} \\
\bar{h}_{lm} &= z \times \bar{e}_{lm} \\
\eta_{0m} &= \begin{cases} 
1 & m = 0 \\
2 & m > 1
\end{cases} \\
\lambda &= \begin{cases} 
1 & E\text{-wave}, \mathbf{H}_z \equiv 0 \\
2 & H\text{-wave}, \mathbf{E}_z \equiv 0
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

(4)
The modal voltages and currents are $V_{\beta m}(z)$ and $I_{\beta m}(z)$, and $\beta$ and $Y_m$ are the propagation constants in the $y$ and $x$ directions, respectively. Furthermore, the longitudinal components of the electric and magnetic fields are given as

$$E_z = \frac{1}{j \omega \epsilon} \nabla \cdot (\vec{H}_t \times \hat{z})$$

$$H_z = \frac{1}{j \omega \mu_0} \nabla \cdot (\hat{z} \times \vec{E}_t)$$

where $\epsilon$ is the permittivity in each region

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} 
\epsilon_0 & \text{s<z<s+h and} \\
\epsilon_{sr} \epsilon_0 & -b-c-d<z<-d-c \\
\epsilon_w & 0<z<s \\
\epsilon_{dw} \epsilon_0 & -d<z<0 \\
\epsilon_{tw} \epsilon_0 & -t-d<z<-d
\end{cases}$$

The vector mode functions, $\vec{e}_{\beta m}(\beta,x)$ and $\vec{h}_{\beta m}(\beta,x)$, satisfy the ortho-normality relations

$$\int_{-W}^{W} \vec{e}_{\beta m} \cdot \vec{e}_{\beta ' m'}^* \, dx = \int_{-W}^{W} \vec{h}_{\beta m} \cdot \vec{h}_{\beta ' m'}^* \, dx = \delta_{\beta \beta '} \delta_{m m'}$$

By substituting (1) and (3) into Maxwell's field equations and using (6), the transmission line equations for the modal voltages and currents are obtained

$$-\frac{dV_{\beta m}}{dz} = j \kappa_m Z_{\beta m} I_{\beta m}(z)$$

$$-\frac{dI_{\beta m}}{dz} = j \kappa_m Y_{\beta m} V_{\beta m}(z)$$
where

\[ \kappa_a = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega \varepsilon_0 \mu_0} - k_m^2} \]

\[ y_{lm} = \frac{1}{z_{lm}} \]

and

\[ z_{1m} = \frac{\kappa_{am}}{\omega \varepsilon_0} \quad z_{2m} = \frac{\omega \varepsilon_0}{\kappa_{am}} \quad \kappa_{am} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega \varepsilon_0 \mu_0} - k_m^2} \]

for \(-d-t-b<z<-d-t\)

and \(s<z<s+h\)

\[ z_{1m} = \frac{\kappa_{sm}}{\omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r} \quad z_{2m} = \frac{\omega \varepsilon_0}{\kappa_{sm}} \quad \kappa_{sm} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega \varepsilon_0 \mu_0} - k_m^2} \]

for \(0<z<s\)

\[ z_{1m} = \frac{\kappa_{dm}}{\omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r} \quad z_{2m} = \frac{\omega \varepsilon_0}{\kappa_{dm}} \quad \kappa_{dm} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega \varepsilon_0 \mu_0} - k_m^2} \]

for \(-d<z<0\)

\[ z_{1m} = \frac{\kappa_{cm}}{\omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r} \quad z_{2m} = \frac{\omega \varepsilon_0}{\kappa_{cm}} \quad \kappa_{cm} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega \varepsilon_0 \mu_0} - k_m^2} \]

for \(-d-s<z<-d\)
With the transmission line equations in hand, they may now be solved in each of the five regions in terms of sine and cosine functions and arbitrary constants. The boundary conditions are such that the transverse electric and magnetic fields are continuous at \( z=s \), \( z=d \), and \( z=-d-t \). At \( z=0 \) the electric field is continuous for all \( x \) while the magnetic field is continuous only within the aperture. All of the above boundary conditions except those at \( z=0 \) may be used to eliminate constants in the general solutions of the transmission line equations. In order to use the conditions at \( z=0 \), additional orthonormality relationships are required. From (2) it can be shown that

\[
\int_{-A}^{A} \delta_{l} \bar{h}_{l,m}^{*}(\beta,x) \cdot z \times e_{l,m}(\beta,x) dx = \delta_{l} \delta_{m,1} \tag{8}
\]

where \( \delta_{xy} \) is the Kronecker delta. Using this along with (1), we obtain the modal voltage in the plane \( z=0 \) within the slot

\[
V_{l,m}(\beta,0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-A}^{A} dx' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy' \bar{h}_{l,m}(\beta,x') \cdot z \times e_{c}(x',y') e^{jBy'} \tag{9}
\]

where \( e_{c}(x',y') \) is the transverse electric field in the plane \( z=0 \). These relationships are used along with the boundary conditions at \( z=0 \) to obtain the desired integral equation, given below in (10), for the longitudinal propagation constant \( \beta \)

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{-W}^{W} \left[ P_{1,m}(\beta) \bar{h}_{1,m}(\beta,x) \bar{h}_{1,m}(\beta,x') + P_{2,m}(\beta) \bar{h}_{2,m}(\beta,x) \bar{h}_{2,m}(\beta,x') \right] f(x') dx' = 0 \tag{10a}
\]
where

\[ \bar{\tau}(x') e^{-j\beta y} = z \times \bar{\tau}(x', y') \quad (10b) \]

and

\[
P_{lm} = \frac{k_0}{k_m \tan(\kappa_m h)} \left[ 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_{sr} k_m}{k_m \tan(\kappa_m h) \tan(\kappa_m s)} \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{\varepsilon_{sm}}{k_m \tan(\kappa_m h)} \right] \]

\[
+ Q_{lm} \frac{\varepsilon_{dr} k_0}{k_{dm}} \tan(\kappa_{dm}) \left[ 1 + Q_{lm} \frac{\varepsilon_{dm}}{k_0} \right] \]

\[
Q_{lm} = \frac{\varepsilon_{tr} k_0}{k_{tm}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_{tr} \kappa_{am}}{k_{tm} \tan(\kappa_{cm}) + \varepsilon_{tr} \kappa_{am} \tan(\kappa_{cm})} \right] \]

\[
P_{2m} = \frac{k_m}{k_0 \tan(\kappa_m h)} \left[ 1 - \frac{\kappa_m}{k_m \tan(\kappa_m h) \tan(\kappa_m s)} \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{\kappa_m}{k_m \tan(\kappa_m h)} \right] \]

\[
+ Q_{2m} \frac{\kappa_{dm}}{k_0} \tan(\kappa_{dm}) \left[ 1 + Q_{2m} \frac{\kappa_{dm}}{k_{dm}} \right] \]

\[
Q_{2m} = \frac{k_{tm}}{k_0} \left[ 1 - \frac{\kappa_{cm} \tan(\kappa_{cm} t) \tan(\kappa_{cm} t)}{\kappa_{am} \tan(\kappa_{am} b) \tan(\kappa_{am} b)} \right] \]

\[
\tan(\kappa_{cm} t) + \frac{\kappa_{cm}}{\kappa_{am} \tan(\kappa_{am} b)} \tan(\kappa_{cm} t) \]

7
With the integral equation in hand, Galerkin's method is used to derive \( \tilde{f}(x) \), where \( \tilde{f}(x) \) is simply related to the slot electric field as previously defined in (10a). The vector function \( \tilde{f}(x) \) has \( x \) and \( y \) components which are expanded as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{f}_x(x') &= \sum_{n'=1}^{2N_x} a_{x'n'} \tilde{f}_{x'n'}(x') \\
\tilde{f}_y(x') &= \sum_{n'=1}^{2N_y} j a_{y'n'} \tilde{f}_{y'n'}(x')
\end{align*}
\]  

(12)

These equations are now substituted into (10). Next, the inner products of the result are taken with the functions

\[
\hat{x} \tilde{f}_{xn}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{y} \tilde{f}_{yn}(x)
\]

(13)

to obtain the following set of linear homogeneous equations in \( a_{x'n'} \), \( a_{y'n'} \), and \( \beta \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n'=1}^{2N_x} a_{x'n'} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\{ \beta^2 \beta_1(\beta) + \gamma^2 \beta_2(\beta) \right\} \tilde{f}_{xn} \tilde{f}_{x'n'} \right] \\
+ \sum_{n'=1}^{2N_y} a_{y'n'} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \beta \gamma \left\{ \beta_2(\beta) - \beta_1(\beta) \right\} \tilde{f}_{xn} \tilde{f}_{y'n'} \right] &= 0 \\
n &= 1, 2, \ldots, 2N_x
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \sum_{n' = 1}^{2N} a_{xn'} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2 \pi A_{n}} \left( \gamma_{m} \left( p_{2m}(\beta) - p_{1m}(\beta) \right) \right) \tilde{f}_{yn'} \tilde{f}_{xn'} \right] + \sum_{n' = 1}^{2N} a_{yn'} \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{\gamma_{m}}{2 \pi A_{n}} \left( \gamma_{m}^{2} p_{1m}(\beta) + \beta^{2} p_{2m}(\beta) \right) \tilde{f}_{yn} \tilde{f}_{yn'} \right] = 0 \]

where

\[ \tilde{f}_{xn} = \int_{-W}^{W} \sin \gamma_{m}(x' + A) f_{xn}(x') \, dx' \]

\[ \tilde{f}_{yn} = \int_{-W}^{W} \cos \gamma_{m}(x' + A) f_{yn}(x') \, dx' \]

If (14) is to yield nontrivial solutions, the determinant of the coefficient matrix must be zero. From this, the propagation constant, \( \beta \), may be evaluated.

Now that we have a set of equations to solve, we can find \( \beta \). The next step is to choose a set of basis functions, which must satisfy several properties. They must account for the edge effect, they must give an increasingly more accurate solution of the aperture fields with an increased number of terms in the series, and they must allow an analytical integration in (15). With these criteria in mind, the basis functions were chosen as
\[ f_{xm} = U_n \left( \frac{x}{w} \right) \]
\[ f_{yn} = \frac{T_n-1}{\sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{x}{w} \right)^2}} \]

(16)

where \( T_n(z) \) and \( U_n(z) \) are Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kinds, respectively. After substituting these equations into (13) and (14), asymptotic approximations are made for large \( m \). The determinantal equation is now solved and the longitudinal propagation constant, \( \beta \), is calculated. Some numerical results are given in the next section.

Now that the propagation constant has been calculated, the next step is to calculate the characteristic impedance of the fin line. Since this is a quantity that is defined rigorously only for TEM waves, several different definitions are possible. The definition chosen was

\[ Z_c = \frac{V_x^2}{2P} \]

(17)

where \( V_x \) is the voltage between the fins at a given point on the line, and \( P \) is the total power traversing the cross section of the line at that point. For other possible definitions see reference [2].

**Numerical Results**

Before making any calculations, it was necessary to determine the number of expansion functions needed to obtain sufficient accuracy in the final result. In order to make this determination, the dispersion constant and normalized characteristic impedance were calculated for the structure.
shown in Figure 1 at 100 GHz. This case represents the most severe convergence case of all those presented here because it has the highest frequency, the largest slot width, and the lowest substrate dielectric constant. Convergence is shown by increasing the values of \( N_x \) and \( N_y \), the number of terms in the expansion. The results for the normalized propagation constant and characteristic impedance are shown in Table 1 as a function of \( N_x \) and \( N_y \). The data show that solutions for \( N_x = 2, N_y = 2 \) yield an accuracy to three decimal places. Since this was considered sufficient, all calculations presented here use these values.

The results of the calculations are now presented. Figures 2a and 2b show the dispersion characteristic and characteristic impedance of the configuration shown in Figure 1. The dispersion characteristic was calculated for the first four modes of the given structure, and the impedance was calculated for the first two even modes. Note that the range of single-mode operation of this fin line is larger than that for the WR-19 metal waveguide. For the fin line, this bandwidth is about 35.0 GHz, while that for the WR-19 metal waveguide is 31.4 GHz.

The effect of varying the width of the slot is shown in Figure 3. As the slot width decreases, the cutoff frequencies of the first and second even modes decrease.

Figure 4 shows the effect of varying the substrate dielectric constant on the dispersion characteristics of the first and second even modes. Here, \( W/A = 0.2 \) and dispersion characteristics for the first and second even modes are presented for \( \varepsilon_r = 2.2, 3.8, \) and 9.6.

Finally, in Figures 5a and 5b, a comparison is made between our data and Knoor and Shayda's. The configuration is exactly that of Figure 3 in this
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_x$</th>
<th>$N_y$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}$</th>
<th>$z_c$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}$</th>
<th>$z_c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.071</td>
<td>1.204</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.074</td>
<td>1.223</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>1.185</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>1.185</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>1.185</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>1.185</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.

Figure 2a: Normalized propagation constant of the first four modes of the configuration in Figure 1. The arrow represents the range of single-mode operation of the WR-19 metal waveguide. Note the wider bandwidth of the fin line.
Figure 2b. The normalized characteristic impedance of the first two even modes for the same configuration.
Figure 3. The effect of varying the slot width on the dispersion characteristics of the first two even modes.
Figure 4. The effect of varying the substrate dielectric constant on the dispersion characteristics of the first two even modes. Here, the slot width is such that \( W/a = 0.2 \).
Figure 5.
Comparison of our data in Figure 3 to Knoor and Shayda's data in Figure 3 of reference [1].
Figure 5a is the normalized wavelength.
Figure 5b is the characteristic impedance.
paper, and Figure 8 in reference [4]. There is a maximum difference of about 2% for the normalized wavelength, and about 6% for the characteristic impedance. We believe our results to be more accurate for the following reason. Our calculations were made with $N_x$ and $N_y$ both equal to two, whereas Knoor and Shayda had $N_x$ equal to zero and $N_y$ equal to one. It is expected that a higher number of basis functions should yield a more accurate solution.

Conclusions

In this paper, a unilateral fin line on a dielectric substrate has been analyzed using the network analysis method of electromagnetic fields. Results for higher-order modes have been obtained as well as for dominant modes, and the results are believed to be more accurate than those previously obtained since the aperture fields are calculated exactly. Furthermore, it has been shown that the range of single-mode operation is greater for the fin line analyzed than that for the WR-19 metal waveguide. This property of the fin line tends to make it appear even more attractive for millimeter-wave circuit applications than previously thought.
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