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1. INTRODUCTION

Laser rangefinders and designators are currently entering the inventory of the Australian Defence Forces. At present the majority of such devices utilise Nd:YAG lasers.

Weapons Systems Research Laboratory is studying laser designation systems and Advanced Engineering Laboratory has recently performed a feasibility study of a laser rangefinder for the RAAF (ref. 1).

This report has been prepared in response to the requirements of the above tasks and to update laser expertise and assess whether the crossed porro technique has any advantages in a high repetition rate (168 Hz) laser for the laser depth sounder system under development in Electronics Research Laboratory.

The name "Crossed Porro Resonator" infers that the two roof edges make an angle of 90°, this is not always the case; in general the angle between the roof edges may be other than 90° but the arrangement is still called a Crossed Porro Resonator.

2. THEORY OF OPERATION

2.1 Output Coupling

The theory of operation of the crossed porro resonator has been treated by a number of authors (ref. 2, 3) and in most detail by Chun and Teppo (ref. 4).

In figure 1, two possible laser configurations are shown. Figure 1(a) shows an in line system with output from the side and figure 1(b) a folded system with in line output. These configurations are as shown in references 5 and 6.

In figure 2, a light ray is incident on a porro prism whose roof edge makes an azimuth angle ρ to the horizontal. There is a differential phase shift between the parallel and perpendicular components (ref. 7, 8) of

\[ \delta = \delta_1 - \delta_2 \]

on each reflection,

where

\[ \delta_1 = 2\tan^{-1}\left| \frac{n \sqrt{n^2 \sin^2 \phi - 1}}{\cos \phi} \right| \]

\[ \delta_2 = 2\tan^{-1}\left| \frac{n \sqrt{n^2 \sin^2 \phi - 1}}{\cos \phi} \right| \]

φ being the angle of incidence on the rear face of the porro prism and n the refractive index.

The parallel component is inverted by the reflection while the perpendicular component is not, see figure 2. The total phase shift due to the two reflections becomes

\[ \delta = \pi + 4\tan^{-1}\left( \frac{\sqrt{n^2 \sin^2 \phi - n^2}}{\sin^2 \phi} \right) \]

and since \( \phi = 45^\circ \), the phase shift reduces to

\[ \delta = \pi + 4\tan^{-1}\left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - 2/n^2}}{n^2} \right) \]

In the laser, the plane polarised beam from the polarising beam splitter
will, on emerging from the porro, be elliptically polarised and a component
will then be rejected by the polariser constituting the output.

The magnitude of the coupling can be determined using Jones
calculus (ref. 9), the essential features of which are given in Appendix
1. For the folded system shown in figure 1(b) the light incident on the
porro prism is vertically polarised; the light reflected back to the
polariser can then be represented by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A_x \exp(i \epsilon_x) \\
A_y \exp(i \epsilon_y)
\end{bmatrix} = M_p \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( M_p \) is the porro retardation matrix.

\[
M_p(\delta_p, \rho_p) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\delta_p/2) + i \sin(\delta_p/2) \cos(2\rho_p) & i \sin(\delta_p/2) \sin(2\rho_p) \\
i \sin(\delta_p/2) \sin(2\rho_p) & \cos(\delta_p/2) - i \sin(\delta_p/2) \cos(2\rho_p)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The subscript \( p \) refers to the porro prism, \( \delta_p \) is the total phase shift (\( P \))
and \( \rho_p \) is the porro prism azimuth angle.

The transmitted component (\( X \) component) constitutes the output. The
intensity of the output is

\[
(A_x)^2 = \sin^2(\delta_p/2) \sin^2(2\rho_p)
\]

In Chun and Teppo a plot is shown of out-coupling reflectivity against
azimuth angle. In their work the term "out-coupling reflectivity" means
feedback. For in-line operation, figure 1(a), the horizontally polarised
component constitutes the feedback while the vertical constitutes the
output.

Figure 3 is a plot of output against prism azimuth angle for some commonly
available materials. Note that the highest output is obtained for low
index materials where the phase shift \( P \) approaches \( \pi \).

2.2 Q Switch Azimuth Fixed

Referring again to figure 1(b), the beam returning to the polariser after
going through the Q switch-Porro-Q switch train can be written

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A_x \exp(i \epsilon_x) \\
A_y \exp(i \epsilon_y)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( M \) is the porro matrix and the Q switch matrix is

\[
Q(\delta_q, \rho_q) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\delta_q/2) + i \sin(\delta_q/2) \cos(2\rho_q) & i \sin(\delta_q/2) \sin(2\rho_q) \\
i \sin(\delta_q/2) \sin(2\rho_q) & \cos(\delta_q/2) - i \sin(\delta_q/2) \cos(2\rho_q)
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Putting
\[
A = \cos(\delta_p/2) + i \sin(\delta_p/2)\cos(2 \rho_p)
\]
\[
B = \sin(\delta_p/2)\sin(\rho_p)
\]
\[
C = \cos(\delta_q/2) + i \sin(\delta_q/2)\cos(2 \rho_q)
\]
\[
S = \sin(\delta_q/2)\sin(2 \rho_q)
\]
the emerging beam can be obtained as
\[
\{ A_x \exp(i \epsilon_x) \} = \{ 0 \}
\]
\[
\{ A_y \exp(i \epsilon_y) \} = \{ Q M Q \}
\]
\[
\{ i[ BCC^* - BS^2 + ASC + AS^* SC^* ] \}
\]
\[
\{ [ A^* C^* - 2BC^* S^- AS^2 ] \}
\]
The Y component is in general complex and has components which when written out explicitly are
\[
\text{Re}(A_y) = \cos(\delta_p/2)\cos(\delta_q) - \sin(\delta_p/2)\sin(\delta_q)\cos(2 \rho)
\]
\[
\text{Im}(A_y) = \sin(\delta_p/2)\cos(\delta_q)\cos(2 \rho) + \cos(\delta_p/2)\sin(\delta_q)\cos(2 \rho_q)
\]
\[
- \sin(\delta_p/2)\sin(2 \rho)\sin(2 \rho_q)
\]
where \( \rho = \rho_p - \rho_q \)
The X component is however purely imaginary in all cases and is given by
\[
A_x = i\{ [ \sin(\delta_p/2)\cos(\delta_q)\cos(2 \rho)
\]
\[
+ \cos(\delta_p/2)\sin(\delta_q)\sin(2 \rho_q)
\]
\[
+ \sin(\delta_p/2)\sin(2 \rho)\cos(2 \rho_q) \}
\]
When the Q switch is open, the X component should vanish and the Y component should be of unit intensity. When the Q switch is shut, the X component should be of unit intensity but the Y component should vanish.

In the following sections we consider first some special cases of azimuth angles of particular practical interest and then return to the general case in Section 2.5.

2.3 Q Switch Azimuth 45°

In practice it is convenient to hold the Q switch azimuth fixed at \( \rho = \pm 45° \); we now take \( \rho = -45° \). For the Q switch open, the condition \( A_x = 0 \) leads to
\[
\tan\delta_q = \tan(\delta_p/2)\sin(2\rho_p)
\]
If \( \rho_p = +45° \), i.e., the Q switch and prism axes are crossed,
\[ \delta_q = \delta_p/2. \]
For the Q switch shut, the condition $|A_x| = 1$ leads to
\[
\sin(\delta_p/2)\cos(\delta_q)\sin(2\rho_p) - \cos(\delta_p/2)\sin(\delta_q) = 1
\]
Now if $\rho_p = +45^\circ$, i.e. axes crossed
\[
\sin[(\delta_p/2) - \delta_q] = \pm 1
\]
or
\[
\delta_q = \delta_p/2 \pm \pi/2
\]
The phase shift required for the Q switch in the shut condition differs by $90^\circ$ from the open condition as indeed it should. For the Q switch shut and $\rho_p$ other than $45^\circ$ we make use of the phase shift obtained for the open condition to obtain the phase shift required to close the Q switch.

Since
\[
(\delta_q)_{\text{open}} = \tan^{-1}[\tan(\delta_p/2)\sin(2\rho_p)]
\]
then
\[
(\delta_q)_{\text{shut}} = (\delta_q)_{\text{open}} \pm \pi/2
\]
In obtaining this result, only the X component has been considered. Evaluation of the Y component shows that
\[
|A_y| = 1 \quad \text{for the Q switch open}
\]
but
\[
|A_y| \neq 0 \quad \text{for the Q switch shut unless } \rho_p = \pm 45^\circ.
\]
Hence the extinction ratio is limited for any prism azimuth angle other than $\rho_p = \pm 45^\circ$.

Since the extinction ratio is the ratio of maximum to minimum transmitted intensity of the Y component, it can be written as
\[
\text{Extinction Ratio} = \frac{1}{(A_y)^2}
\]
where $A_y$ is the transmitted component with the Q switch shut. The extinction ratio and phase shift required can be computed with the aid of the preceding formulae. A program listing for a TI 59 hand calculator is given in Appendix 11.

The extinction ratio is shown in figure 4 for some common materials and the phase shift required to shut the Q switch in figure 5. Note that in figure 4 the highest extinction ratio is obtained for high index materials, the converse of the result of Chun and Teppo (their figure 4). It is believed their curves have been incorrectly labelled.

The result obtained here is easily verified by considering the phase shifts involved. If the Q switch phase shift in $\pi/2$, then two passages through the Q switch give a phase shift of $\pi$, making the polarisation emerge at right angles to the incident polarisation. To preserve this situation a phase shift of 0 or $2\pi$ is required in the prism. Since as previously stated the prism phase shift
\[
P = \pi + 4\tan^{-1}\left[\sqrt{1 - 2/(n^2)}\right]
\]
then
\[
P \rightarrow 2\pi \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty
\]
As $n$ approaches $\sqrt{2}$, $P$ tends to $\pi$ and the total phase shift tends to $2\pi$ leaving the emerging polarisation the same as the incident polarisation.
that is the Q switch is partially open giving a low extinction ratio. It should also be noted that the extinction ratio of figure 4 refers to the case of bias being applied to the Q switch.

In figure 6, the intensity of the feedback component is plotted against the Q switch phase shift for azimuth angles of 15°, 30° and 45° for a fused silica porro. In the open state \((A_y)^2 = 1\) for any azimuth angle while for the Q switch shut leakage occurs for any azimuth angle other than 45°. If no bias is applied, i.e. \(\delta_q = 0\), the extinction ratio is determined solely by the feedback component due to the prism.

\[
\text{Ext. Ratio} = \begin{cases} 
(A_y)^2 & \text{(no bias)} \\
\frac{1}{\left(\cos^2(\delta_{p/2}) + \sin^2(\delta_{p/2}) \cos^2(2\theta_p)\right)^{-1}} & \text{and this is finite even for } \theta_p = \pm 45°, \text{ in which case} \\
\end{cases}
\]

In this case a higher extinction ratio is obtained with low index materials since no phase shift occurs in the Q switch and the total phase shift derived from the prism

\[
P \rightarrow \pi \text{ as } n \rightarrow \sqrt{2}.
\]

The extinction ratio without bias for \(\theta_p = 45°\) is plotted in figure 7 as a function of refractive index. To achieve an extinction ratio of better than 10:1 an index of < 1.433 is required.

2.4 Axes Crossed

As an additional special case we consider both Q switch and porro azimuths variable but restricted such that their axes remain crossed

\[
\text{ie } \theta = \theta_p - \theta_q = 90°
\]

Here the Q switch open condition, \(A_x = 0\), leads to

\[
A_x = i \sin(\delta_q - \delta_{p/2})\sin(2\theta_q) = 0
\]

which is satisfied at \(\delta_q = \delta_{p/2}\), as in Section 2.3 when the axes were crossed (\(\theta_q = -45°\) and \(\theta_p = +45°\)).

With the condition \(\theta = 90°\), \(A_y\) is

\[
A_y = \cos(\delta_q - \delta_{p/2}) + i \sin(\delta_q - \delta_{p/2})\cos(2\theta_q)
\]

and \(|A_y|\) is never zero, but attains its minimum value of \(\cos^2(2\theta_q)\)

at the expected Q switch shut condition of

\[
\delta_q = \delta_{p/2} + \pi/2
\]
The extinction ratio in this case is

\[ \text{Ext. Ratio} = |(A_y)^{-2}| \]

\[ = | \cos^{-2} 2p_q | \]

Since in this instance \( p_p = p_q + \pi/2 \), an infinite extinction ratio can only be obtained if both prism and Q switch azimuth angles are \( \pm 45^\circ \).

The extinction ratio with and without bias for \( p_q = -45^\circ \) and the extinction ratio for \( p_p = p_q + \pi/2 \) are shown in figure 8 for a fused silica porro prism as a function of porro prism azimuth. Best extinction is obtained with \( p_q = -45^\circ \), for any porro azimuth.

2.5 General Azimuth Angles

The general case is developed further here to determine whether an infinite extinction ratio can be obtained if the azimuth angles are not restricted. To obtain a zero value of \( |A_y| \) it is necessary and sufficient for both real and imaginary parts of \( A_y \) to be zero separately.

From Section 2.3, \( \text{Re}(A_y) = 0 \) requires

\[ \cos(2p) = \cot(\delta_p/2)\cot(\delta_q) \]

For \( \text{Im}(A_y) \) to be zero as well requires in addition that

\[ \tan(2p_q) = \cos(\delta_q)\cot(2p) + \sin(\delta_q)\cos(\delta_p/2)/\sin(2p) \]

and hence a combined requirement is

\[ \sin(2p_q) = \cos(\delta_p/2) / \sin(\delta_q) \]

It is thus possible to obtain infinite extinction for a wide range of values of \( \delta_q \), \( p_q \) and \( p_p \) for a given porro phase shift \( \delta_p \).

The restriction \( p = \pi/2 \) and \( p_q = \pm 45^\circ \) is not necessary for infinite extinction and \( \delta_q \) can range from \( \delta_p/2 - \pi/2 \) to \( \delta_p/2 + \pi/2 \).

This range does not include \( \delta_q = 0 \) and hence it is not possible to obtain infinite extinction for zero phase shift of the Q switch, but since a Lithium Niobate Q switch cut along a naturally birefringent direction has non-zero phase shift for zero voltage and it should be possible to select crystal lengths such that, using the preceding formulae, azimuth angles can be found to give infinite extinction at zero voltage.
It is of interest that infinite extinction can be obtained at $\delta_q = \pi/2$ for the values of $\rho = \pi/4$, $\rho_q = (\delta_p - \pi)/4$, and $\rho_p = (\delta_p + \pi)/4$. However when $\delta_q = 0$ (Q switch nominally open) with these azimuth angles we obtain for the Y component

$$|A_y|^2 = 1 - \sin^4(\delta_p/2)$$

and the Q switch is thus not fully open. A similar result is obtained in the general case. If a value of $\delta_q$, say $\delta_0$, together with values $\rho$ and $\rho_q$ are found which satisfy the conditions for infinite extinction, then at the same azimuth angles but different $\rho_q$, $A_y$ can be written

$$Re(A_y) = \sin(2\rho_q)\sin(\delta_0 - \delta_q)$$
$$Im(A_y) = \sin(2\rho_q)\cos(2\rho_q)[\cos(\delta_q - \delta_0) - 1]$$

$|A_y|^2$ thus has a maximum value at $\delta_q = \delta_0 + \pi/2$ but this value is

$$|A_y|^2 = 1 - \cos^4(2\rho_q)$$

It must be concluded that although it is possible to obtain infinite extinction at a range of values of $\delta_q$ by selection of the unique azimuth angles, it is not possible to completely open the Q switch at these same azimuth angles. Conversely, it is possible at all values of $\delta_q$ to find azimuth angles related by the condition $A_x = 0$, to completely open the Q switch but infinite extinction cannot be obtained for these azimuth angles. Only at the angles $\rho_p = \pm45^\circ$ and $\rho_q = \pm45^\circ$ is it possible to completely open and completely close the Q switch.

3. SELECTION OF MATERIALS

The selection of the optical materials for fabrication of the prisms is influenced by the output energy required and whether or not one wishes to provide bias on the Q switch. If no bias is provided then the extinction ratio is limited by the prisms we have seen in Section 2.3. In this case fused silica is a good choice since its index of refraction is low ($n = 1.4496$).

The extinction ratio for $\rho_p = 45^\circ$ is then

$$\text{Ext. Ratio} = 5.7 : 1$$

Further hold-off is provided by the coupling prisms. If this is also fused silica then threshold in the laser will occur when

$$[ G_o (1 - C) ] = 1.$$
Here
\[ g_o = \exp(g_0) \]
where \( g_0 \) is the small signal gain coefficient, \( l \) is the length of the rod and \( C \) is the coupling of the porros. For silica \( C = 0.82 \) at \( \rho_p = 45^\circ \), giving
\[ G_o = 1/(l-C) = 5.56 \]
also
\[ g_o = \beta E_{st} \]
but for Nd:YAlG(ref.10)
\[ \beta = 4.73 \text{ cm}^2/\text{J} \]
and \( E_{st} \) is the stored energy per unit volume. Then
\[ \beta E_{st} l = \ln(5.56) = 1.714 \]
and
\[ E_{st} = 0.362/l \text{ J/cm}^3 \]
The total stored energy is
\[ E_{st} V = 0.284 D^2 \text{ Joule} \]
where \( D \) is the rod diameter in centimetres and \( V \) the rod volume. Hence the total stored energy at threshold for a 6 mm diameter rod is
\[ E_{st} V = 0.102 \text{ Joule} \]
Thus without bias on the Q switch a Nd:YAlG laser can operate up to 100 mJ output. Above this value bias would need to be provided on the Q switch.

If bias is to be provided then a silica porro can still be used but a higher index material would in this case give a higher extinction ratio.

For the coupling porro, silica is a good choice as it allows the coupling to be optimised in the range 0 to 80% by adjusting the azimuth angle. If it is desired to keep the azimuth angle near \( \pm 45^\circ \) use of a higher index material will give reduced coupling, e.g. ED-4 will give a maximum of 54% coupling.

Other factors may influence the choice of materials such as the requirement to match thermal expansion coefficients to avoid optical strain birefringence when bonding components to mounts.

In their patent(ref.5) Ferranti fix the azimuth angles at \( \pm 45^\circ \) and the desired coupling and biasing arrangements are achieved by selection of materials in conjunction with thin film coatings on the reflecting surfaces to modify the phase shift occurring on total internal reflection.

To achieve higher extinction ratios without bias one would need materials with lower refractive index. This may be achieved by coatings on the surfaces.

The modification of the phase shift with thin film coatings is discussed in references 11 and 12.
4. ANGULAR ALIGNMENT TOLERANCE

4.1 The Conventional Laser

In figure 9, a schematic of a conventional Q switched Nd:YAG laser is shown. Output is taken via a partially transmitting mirror whose transmission is selected to be optimum at a given pump energy. The mirror transmission is normally in the range 50% to 80%.

The mechanical stability and sensitivity of the Fabry-Perot interferometer have been well documented (ref.10). For the resonator of figure 9, the optical axis of the system must be normal to both mirrors and pass through the centres of curvature. If one mirror is tilted, laser action will cease altogether when the optical axis coincides with the edge of the aperture of the laser rod. This is shown in figure 10(a) where the rod is assumed short and centrally located. From figure 10(a), the displacements of the optical axis are

\[ \Delta_3 = (R_1 + R_2 - d ) \phi = R_1 \theta \]
\[ \Delta_2 = R_2 \phi \]
\[ \Delta_1 = ( R_1 - d ) \phi \]

which can be rewritten as

\[ \Delta_1 = \frac{R_1 (R_2 - d ) \theta}{(R_1 + R_2 - d)} \]
\[ \Delta_2 = \frac{R_1 R_2 \theta}{(R_1 + R_2 - d)} \]

For a typical case \( R_1 = R_2 = 10 \) m, and for \( d \ll R \), these become

\[ \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 \approx (R \theta )/2. \]

When for example the displacement \( \Delta = D/2 \) , where \( D \) is the rod diameter,

\[ \theta = D/R \]

and for

\[ D = 5 \text{ mm}, \theta = 0.5 \text{ mrad}, \]

\( = 102 \text{ m of arc} \)

of mirror misalignment (from Koechner, ref.10) and the above calculation is in good agreement with case (c) of the figure.

If the mirror radius is reduced the angular tolerance can be improved but at the expense of beam divergence which increases.

4.2 The Crossed Porro Resonator

The porro prism acts as a retroreflector which is sensitive to tilt about an axis perpendicular to the roof edge, but insensitive to tilt about the roof edge within the limits imposed by total internal reflection. Figure 11 is a side view of a porro prism tilted about the roof edge (greatly exaggerated).

The internal reflections occur at angles
\[ \psi_1 = \pi/4 + \phi_r \]
\[ \psi_2 = \pi/4 - \phi_r \]

where \( \phi_r \) is the refraction angle. For small angles
\[ \phi_r \approx \phi_1/n \]

where \( \phi_1 \) is the external angle of incidence (the tilt angle).

Provided \( \psi_2 \) is greater than the critical angle \( (\phi_c) \), the incident ray is totally internally reflected back along a parallel path.

For \( \psi_2 = \phi_c \) one obtains
\[ \phi_1 \approx n - \sqrt{2} \]

The tilt tolerance in this insensitive direction is thus directly related to refractive index. As \( n \) approaches \( \sqrt{2} \) the tilt tolerance approaches zero.

In a crossed porro resonator, tilt of one prism in its sensitive direction can be compensated by the other because of this property.

For fused silica (\( n = 1.4496 \) at 1064 nm)
\[ \phi_1 = 2.03^\circ \]

4.2.1 Roof Edges at 90°

In figure 12 a misaligned cross porro resonator is shown with one porro tilted in its sensitive direction. For a stable system the axis of the system must pass through the apex of each prism. Figure 13(a) depicts a projected end on view of this with prism 1 tilted by \( \theta \) about an axis parallel to roof edge 2. If the rod is centred and is short compared to the resonator length \( (d) \), the tilt angle needed to completely suppress laser action is

\[ \theta = D/d \]

For \( D = 5 \text{ mm} \) and \( d = 30 \text{ cm} \), the corresponding angle is

\[ \theta = 16.7 \text{ mrad} \approx 1 \text{ degree} \]

When compared to the result obtained for the long radius mirror configuration it is seen that the crossed porro resonator is some 34 times less sensitive to angular misalignment.

4.2.2 Roof Edges at angle \( \Psi \)

Figure 13(b) depicts the projected end view of the optical axis displacement when the prism roof edges make an angle \( \Psi \). Tilting prism 1 about an axis at right angles to its roof edge now results in displacement of the optical axis on both roof edges. The displacement at right angles to roof edge 2 is still

\[ \Delta = d \theta \]

The total displacement
Again if the rod is short compared to the resonator length and is centrally located, then laser action will cease when

\[ \Delta' = \Delta/\sin\psi = (d/0)/\sin\psi \]

or

\[ \psi = (D\sin\psi)/d \]

Thus the tilt tolerance is reduced by the factor \( \sin\psi \) when the porro prism roof edges make an angle of \( \psi \). In the case previously considered the maximum tilt drops from 1° to 42' of arc for \( \psi = 45° \).

In Section 3 it was pointed out that without bias on the Q switch higher extinction ratios could be obtained for low index materials. It has been shown above that the tilt tolerance in the insensitive direction is directly related to refractive index, thus there would be little point in using an effective index < 1.432 since the external tilt tolerance in the insensitive direction would then be 1°, similar to the tilt tolerance in the sensitive direction.

5. MEASUREMENT OF TOLERANCES

A pair of commercial LANSING mounts with axial rotation were fitted with adapters which held the porro prisms and provided translation of the roof edge at right angles to the optical axis.

These allowed the following adjustments of the porro prisms:

(a) Rotation in the insensitive direction, about the roof edge.

(b) Rotation in the sensitive direction, about an axis at right angles to the roof edge.

(c) Rotation of the porros about the optical axis, ie adjustment of the azimuth angle.

(d) Translation of the roof edge to centre it on the optical axis.

There was no requirement in this experiment to measure the actual energy output of the laser, but rather to study the change of energy with respect to the mis-alignment of the porro prisms. The relative pulse energy was monitored by passing the output of the laser to a diffuser and thence to a silicon photodiode, the output of which was displayed on an oscilloscope.

The linear displacements of the micrometers providing the tilt adjustments were converted to angular displacements via an appropriate constant and the azimuth angle read directly off a ring calibrated in two degree increments. Linear displacement of the roof edge was measured with vernier calipers using the optical bench as one reference and the edge of the prism holder as the other.

A set of three readings were taken for each degree of freedom and plotted. Optical elements were aligned in the usual manner. The laser was operated at 9 J pump energy and at a 10 Hz repetition rate. The output was peaked dynamically to obtain a reference set of micrometer readings.
The pulse amplitude displayed on the CRO was recorded as a function of angular displacement in both sensitive and insensitive directions for each prism in turn. After again peaking the output, the prism roof edges were displaced incrementally and the pulse amplitude recorded as a function of displacement.

All measurements were repeated several times and averaged.

In figure 14 the amplitude is plotted as a function of tilt in the insensitive direction. This is simply related to the critical angle for total internal reflection occurring in the prism. For the silica porros used tilt of up to 2° caused no change in output. Figure 14 also shows the relative pulse amplitude as a function of tilt in the sensitive direction. Misalignment of up to 10 minutes of arc causes a drop of less than 10%. By comparison the conventional resonator typically suffers a drop of 10% with a tilt of 10 s of arc (see figure 10(b)).

Figure 15 shows the relative pulse amplitude against linear displacement of the roof edge. A displacement of 0.25 mm can be tolerated without incurring significant drop in the output. Location of the roof edge to this accuracy should be possible by mechanical tolerancing of components.

6. STANDING WAVE POWER DENSITY

A further advantage of the crossed porro resonator is evident when the standing wave power density is compared to that in the normal resonator.

In figure 16 the intensities in various parts of the cavity are shown for a normal resonator and a crossed porro resonator. Neglecting losses the intensities are related by the following equations:

Saturated gain

\[ G^2 (1 - T) = 1 \]

Output intensity

\[ I_{out} = T I_2 \]

and

\[ I_o = I_2 (1 - T) = I_{out} (1 - T)/T \]

\[ I_1 = GI_o = I_{out} [\sqrt{1 - T}]/T \]

Using these, the normalised standing wave power density (SWPD) in the Q switch is for the normal configuration

\[ \text{SWPD} = 2I_1/I_{out} = [2\sqrt{1 - T}]/T \]

and for the crossed porro configuration

\[ \text{SWPD} = 2I_o/I_{out} = 2[1 - T]/T \]

The ratio of the SWPD (in the Q switch) for the crossed porro to that for the normal configuration is

Relative SWPD = \[\sqrt{1 - T}\]

For low coupling there is little difference, but for coupling in the usual range

\[ 50\% < T < 80\% \]

\[ 70\% > \text{Rel. SWPD} > 45\% \]
Hence the power density in the Q switch is significantly lower in the crossed porro configuration. This is particularly important where it is desired to use LiNbO\(_3\) Q switches with their lower damage threshold.

7. SMOOTHING OF HOT SPOTS

In reference 13, it is pointed out that if the porro prism roof edges make an angle other than 90° then a ray is stepped around the laser rod progressively on each reflection from a porro prism. Figure 17 depicts an end on view of the laser rod showing the prism roof edges making an arbitrary angle θ. A ray starting at 0 reflects in prism 1 to position 1, down the rod to prism 2 then across prism 2 to position 2 and so on.

For the ray starting at 0, the azimuth angle is \(σ_0\), subsequent ray azimuth angles are given by the recurrence relations

\[
\begin{align*}
σ_{2n} &= σ_0 - σ - n θ \\
σ_{2n+1} &= -(σ_0 - 2σ - nθ)
\end{align*}
\]

The ray path will repeat itself if

\[
σ_{2n} = σ_{2m} + 2Nπ
\]

or

\[
σ_{2n+1} = σ_{2m+1} + 2Nπ
\]

ie

\[
n' = (n - m) = N(2π/θ)
\]

If 2π is divisible by θ, \(N = 1\). Thus when \(θ = 90°\), \(N = 1\) and \(n' = 4\), but for \(θ = 70°\), \(N = 7\) and \(n' = 36\). Even when the porro prisms are nominally crossed it is unlikely the angle would be exactly 90° and some ray wandering would occur. At high repetition rates when thermal birefringence is present, causing local hot spotting, the effect of smoothing produced in this way could be of particular value.

8. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A detailed analysis of the mode of operation of the Crossed Porro Resonator has been performed. This has clarified a number of features of the design and shown an error in the presentation of the results of Chun and Teppo(ref.5).

The advantages of the design are

1) Easily adjustable output coupling.

2) No DC bias required on the Q switch for Nd:YAlG lasers operated below 100 mJ output level.

3) Some 3J times smaller sensitivity to mirror mis-alignment than in Fabry-Perot resonators.

4) Lower standing wave power density in the Q switch, allowing the use of LiNbO\(_3\).
5) Smoothing of hot spots by stepping the rays around in the rod.

The tolerances on the alignment of the prisms are such that it should only be necessary to provide tilt adjustment in the sensitive direction and rotation about the optical axis to adjust output coupling or biasing.
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APPENDIX I

THE JONES CALCULUS

An elliptically polarised light ray is shown in figure 18, this is represented by the vector

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A_x \exp(i \varepsilon_x) \\
A_y \exp(i \varepsilon_y)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where the intensity

\[I = \sqrt{ (A_x)^2 + (A_y)^2 }\]

The intensity is usually normalised to unity.

The relative phase \( \gamma = \varepsilon_x - \varepsilon_y \)

The angle \( R = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{A_y}{A_x} \right) \)

The azimuth angle, the angle between the major axis and the horizontal, is

\[ a = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \tan 2R \cos \gamma \right), \text{ for } A_y/A_x < 1 \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \tan 2R \cos \gamma \right) \pm \pi/2, \text{ for } A_y/A_x > 1 \]

The ellipticity is

\[ \beta = \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} \left( \sin 2R \left| \cos \gamma \right| \right) \]

and the ratio of semi-major to semi-minor axes is

\[ h/a = \tan \beta \]

The result of passing a ray through a retarder is given by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
E_x \\
E_y
\end{bmatrix} = M \begin{bmatrix}
A_x \exp(i \varepsilon_x) \\
A_y \exp(i \varepsilon_y)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( M \) is a 2 \times 2 matrix. For an ideal linear retarder of retardance \( \delta \), the phase shift matrix referred to the retarder axes is

\[
P = \begin{bmatrix}
\exp(i \delta/2) & 0 \\
0 & \exp(-i \delta/2)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

If the retarder makes an azimuth angle \( \rho \) the matrix becomes

\[
M = R(-\rho) P(\delta) R(\rho)
\]

where \( R(\rho) \) is the rotation matrix

\[
R(\rho) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \rho & \sin \rho \\
-\sin \rho & \cos \rho
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and \( M \) is then

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \delta/2 + i \sin \delta/2 \cos 2\rho & i \sin \delta/2 \sin 2\rho \\
i \sin \delta/2 \sin 2\rho & \cos \delta/2 - i \sin \delta/2 \cos 2\rho
\end{bmatrix}
\]
### APPENDIX II

#### PROGRAM FOR TI 59

**PHASE SHIFT, COUPLING AND EXTINCTION RATIO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>2nd LBL A</td>
<td>RCL 02</td>
<td>070</td>
<td>RCL 02</td>
<td>2nd TAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009</td>
<td>R/S</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>071</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009</td>
<td>2nd LBL B</td>
<td>RCL 08</td>
<td>072</td>
<td>2nd SIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>009</td>
<td>R/S</td>
<td>ρ_p</td>
<td>073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014</td>
<td>2nd LBL C</td>
<td>RCL 02</td>
<td>074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014</td>
<td>R/S</td>
<td>δρ_p</td>
<td>075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015</td>
<td>RCL 00</td>
<td>δ_q(open)</td>
<td>076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>017</td>
<td>x^2</td>
<td>STO 03</td>
<td>077</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>018</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>R/S</td>
<td>078</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>019</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2nd COS</td>
<td>079</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>021</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>RCL 02</td>
<td>081</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>022</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>RCL 00</td>
<td>082</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>025</td>
<td>INV 2nd TAN</td>
<td>RCL 04</td>
<td>083</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>028</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2nd SIN</td>
<td>084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>029</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>085</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>030</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>RCL 08</td>
<td>086</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>031</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2nd SIN</td>
<td>087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>035</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>2nd SIN</td>
<td>088</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>036</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>089</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>037</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>RCL 03</td>
<td>090</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>038</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>2nd SIN</td>
<td>091</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>041</td>
<td>STO 02</td>
<td>δ_p/2</td>
<td>092</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>042</td>
<td>R/S</td>
<td>STO 05</td>
<td>093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>044</td>
<td>RCL 01</td>
<td>RCL 04</td>
<td>094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>047</td>
<td>RCL 09</td>
<td>RCL 02</td>
<td>095</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050</td>
<td>R/S</td>
<td>ρ_p+Δρ_p</td>
<td>096</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053</td>
<td>2nd SIN</td>
<td>RCL 08</td>
<td>097</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>054</td>
<td>X^2</td>
<td>RCL 02</td>
<td>098</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2nd COS</td>
<td>099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>056</td>
<td>RCL 01</td>
<td>STO 07</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>059</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2nd SIN</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>RCL 06</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>061</td>
<td>RCL 01</td>
<td>2p_p</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>064</td>
<td>x^2</td>
<td>STO 08</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>065</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>1/x</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>066</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>R/S</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>067</td>
<td>R/S</td>
<td>Coupling</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GTO 042</td>
<td>Ext. Ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. In line and folded crossed porro resonators
Figure 2. Reflection of light ray in a porro prism
Figure 3. Output versus azimuth angle
Figure 4. Extinction ratio with applied bias
Figure 5. Phase shift to shut Q-switch

Figure 6. Feedback intensity vs. phase shift
Figure 7. Extinction ratio vs. refractive index
Figure 8. Extinction ratios for fused silica porro

- x Q switch azimuth fixed
- o Q switch and porro axes crossed
- △ Without bias
Figure 9. Conventional Fabry-Perot resonator

(a) Mirror Misalignment

A Two flats
B One flat, one 10 m Rad
C Two 10 m Rad

(b) Output vs. Misalignment

Figure 10. Conventional resonator sensitivity
Figure 11. Porro prism tilted in its insensitive direction

Figure 12. Porro prism tilted in its sensitive direction

Figure 13. Projected view of optical axis displacement for porro prism
Figure 14. Relative output vs. porro misalignment

Figure 15. Relative output vs. roof edge displacement
Figure 16(a) & (b)

(a) Fabry Perot resonator

(b) Folded crossed porro resonator

Figure 16. Beam intensities in resonators
Figure 17. Ray path rotation in crossed porro resonator

Figure 18. Elliptically polarized light ray
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