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ABSTRACT
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I. INTRODUCTION

Computers have been used in the design and analysis of nuclear reactors since the inception of reactor technology, and many codes have been developed. More recently, digital computer programs have been used as learning devices for nuclear engineering students. The formulation of the computational problem of predicting the behavior of a nuclear reactor has been greatly facilitated with the use of high level computer languages.

The purpose of this work was to develop a computer assisted learning device to be used by students taking nuclear engineering courses at the Naval Postgraduate School. The program graphically displays the simulated kinetic and thermal transient responses of a pressurized water reactor power plant. The reactivity feedback effects of Xenon-135 poisoning and moderator temperature are incorporated into the model. Normal operating transients, starting from a steady state critical condition, can be induced by ramp changes in either control rod position or turbine load. The initial reactor power level and reactivity change mechanism are chosen by the program user. For user convenience, these inputs are prompted and entered interactively, after which the simulation is run with no further user action required.

A pressurized water reactor (PWR) was modeled as it is the most common type of reactor used for power plant application. As a realistic reference, the model design incorporated the applicable characteristics of the Shippingport Atomic Power Station, whose nuclear parameters were the most compatible, of available PWR core data, with the assumption of a highly enriched core made in the model design.
The model was developed by treating the reactor kinetics and other plant component thermodynamic relationships as transfer functions [1]. The point reactor kinetics equations were linearized using a Taylor expansion or small perturbation technique [2]. This same perturbation technique and lumped parameter analysis were applied to the plant's linear differential heat transfer and Xenon-135 equations [3]. The individual transfer functions were then integrated into an overall plant block diagram. The program also features a reactor protection and average reactor coolant temperature control scheme.

IBM CSMP-III language was chosen to formulate the model simulation as it has inherent routines to invert the transfer functions to the time domain.
II. MODEL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

Lumped parameter analysis and first order perturbation theory were used throughout the model development. All the nuclear variables which were used in the reactor kinetics and Xenon-135 decay equations were considered to be averaged values of the variables over the neutron energy spectrum. Similarly, the thermodynamic variables which were used in the plant's heat transfer equations were considered to be averaged values over the volume of the particular component.

Because of these approximations and other assumptions made in the model development, the simulation should not be considered a design or stability analysis. Instead, the simulation shows the model's large scale reactor kinetic and thermal trends during normal operating transients.

For similar reasons, although certain plant parameters of the Shippingport Atomic Power Station were incorporated into the model, the simulation cannot be considered to reflect the operating characteristics of this power plant. The Shippingport core is a seed and blanket type whereas the model core is a uniform mixture of highly enriched fuel and support materials.

A. PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR

The pressurized water reactor (PWR) is the most widely used reactor type in central power plant applications and the only type currently used in naval propulsion.

A simplified schematic of the modeled PWR plant is shown in Figure 1. The modeled reactor contains a highly enriched core which is light
water moderated and cooled. The modeled plant contains two closed loop thermodynamic systems coupled by a heat exchanger.

In the primary system, heat generated from thermal fission is transferred to the coolant as it passes through the reactor, raising the coolant's temperature. The high temperature coolant leaving the reactor is circulated by a pump through tubes inside of a heat exchanger where it gives up some heat to a secondary fluid. A pressurizer maintains the primary coolant at a sufficiently high pressure so that the bulk temperature of the coolant is kept below the saturation temperature.

On the secondary side of the heat exchanger, the temperature of the entering feedwater is raised to the boiling point and saturated steam is produced. The steam is delivered to a turbine, is condensed and returned to the heat exchanger, completing the second closed loop.
B. MODEL AND PROGRAM FLEXIBILITY

The model was designed to simulate only normal operating transients from an initial steady state condition. Reactor accidents and startup were not considered in the model development. However, the model's reactor control module will simulate either a full or constant insertion of control rods if certain parameters are exceeded. This feature was not incorporated for accident analysis, but to keep parameters within the limits of the assumptions made in the model development.

The applicable Shippingport plant characteristics are fixed in the simulation program. User inputs are limited to choosing initial power level, from which other initial parameters are adjusted, and the plant perturbation mechanism, either control rod movement or turbine load change. These perturbations occur at fixed rates which limit the amount of reactivity that can be inserted during the simulation.

Originally it was envisioned that an overall program would feature not only interactive input capability but also produce real time graphical displays of the transient for a user at a time sharing computer terminal which had graphics capability. However, the computer language (IBM CSMP-III) required to solve the model's algorithms was not available on the time sharing system, and furthermore, the programs long execution time precluded any real time response.

In order to still provide as much user facility as possible with these restrictions, the interactive feature was partially retained for data input. Using a computer language available on the time sharing system (FORTRAN-IV), a program which interactively prompts the user to
enter specified controlling parameters was developed. This program incorporates logic routines which permit inputs only within requested ranges. This feature allows for data reentry if a user error is made, and ensures that only inputs compatible with the model development's assumptions are used in the simulation.

After the input is completed, the initial transient conditions are displayed at the terminal. A separate internal control program then transfers the user supplied inputs into the simulation program. The control program then transfers the simulation program to the batch processing system for execution. The interactive program notifies the user that this has been done. Hard copy plots of the transient are subsequently produced. The post-shutdown Xenon behavior module is located in the interactive program and produces real time graphical displays at the terminal.

Thus there are three distinct programs:

1. An interactive program to prompt and receive user input, and also simulate post-shutdown Xenon behavior.

2. A batch processed program which simulates the transient response to the user inputs.

3. An internal control program which interfaces these two programs.
III. MODEL DEVELOPMENT

A. POINT REACTOR KINETICS EQUATIONS

A lengthy and formal derivation of the point kinetics equations is found in Reference 4 and will not be repeated here. More generally these equations are obtained from one group neutron diffusion theory with the assumption that the neutron flux is deparable in time and space, and with the inclusion of delayed neutrons [5]. They are listed below.

\[ \frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt} = \frac{\varphi(t) - \overline{\beta}}{\Lambda} \varphi(t) + \sum_{i} \lambda_i C_i(t) + Q(t) \]  

(1)

\[ \frac{dC_i(t)}{dt} = \frac{\overline{\beta}_i}{\lambda_i} \varphi(t) - \lambda_i C_i(t) \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \]  

(2)

where

- \( \varphi(t) \) = Flux amplitude function
- \( \varphi(t) \) = Reactivity
- \( C_i(t) \) = Effective concentration of the \( i \)th delayed neutron precursor group
- \( Q(t) \) = Extraneous delayed neutron source strength
- \( \overline{\beta}_i \) = Effective delayed neutron fraction from the \( i \)th group
- \( \lambda_i \) = Decay constant of the \( i \)th group

and \( \overline{\beta} = \sum_{i} \overline{\beta}_i = \text{Total effective delayed neutron fraction} \)

These equations are referred to as the point reactor kinetics equations not because the reactor is considered as a single point in their application, but since spatial variations are neglected. \( \overline{\beta}_i, \Lambda, \) and \( \lambda_i \) are assumed to be constant.

If the neutron flux spatial variation is assumed to be time invariant, \( \varphi(t) \) can be considered to represent the number of neutrons in the
core. Equation (1) may then be seen as a neutron rate equation where the three terms on the right hand side represent the rate of production of prompt, delayed, and source neutrons respectively.

Equation (2) is a rate equation for the $i$th delayed neutron precursor group. The two terms on the right hand side represent production and decay rates respectively. The precursors are comprised of approximately thirty isotopes which historically have been divided into six groups with decay constants ranging from 0.0124 to 3.0 seconds$^{-1}$ for $^{235}$U. In the model, the precursors were considered to be represented by one effective group characterized by an averaged decay constant [2].

$$\lambda = \left[ \frac{1}{\bar{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{\beta_i}{\lambda_i} \right]^{-1}$$

and an effective delayed neutron fraction

$$\bar{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \beta_i$$

In equations (1) and (2), reactor power may be substituted for neutron flux if the precursor concentration is modified by $C = E_f \sum_f \phi_{Cold}$ since

$$P = E_f \sum_f \phi$$

where $P =$ Reactor power
$E_f =$ Energy released per fission
$\sum_f =$ Macroscopic fission cross section
$\phi =$ Integrated one group neutron flux

For a reactor operating at power, the source term contribution to the overall neutron population is negligible, i.e., $Q \approx 0$. 
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Incorporating these assumptions, equations (1) and (2) reduce to:

\[
\frac{dP(t)}{dt} = \frac{\lambda \alpha}{\Lambda} P(t) + \lambda \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{3}
\]

\[
\frac{d\mathcal{C}(t)}{dt} = \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} P(t) - \lambda \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{4}
\]

1. Zero Power Reactor Transfer Function

Consider an initially critical steady state reactor at some power level \( P_0 \) at \( t = 0 \). Equations (3) and (4) each yield:

\[
C_0 = \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} P_0 \tag{5}
\]

Now let \( P(t) = P_0 + \delta P(t) \)

\[
\mathcal{C}(t) = C_0 + \delta \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{6}
\]

\[
\rho(t) = \rho_0 + \delta \rho(t)
\]

where the zero subscript denotes the initial steady state value and the delta prefix a small perturbation imposed at \( t = 0 \) about this value.

Noting that \( \rho_0 = 0 \) for a critical reactor and substituting equations (5) and (6) into equations (3) and (4) yields for \( t \geq 0 \)

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \delta P(t) = \frac{\rho_0}{\Lambda} \delta \rho(t) - \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} P(t) + \lambda \delta \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{7}
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \delta \mathcal{C}(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} \delta P(t) - \lambda \delta \mathcal{C}(t) \tag{8}
\]

where the \( \frac{\delta P \delta \rho}{\Lambda} \) term in equation (7) has been neglected.

Taking the Laplace transforms of equations (7) and (8):

\[
s \delta P(s) = \frac{\rho_0}{\Lambda} \delta \rho(s) - \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} \delta P(s) + \lambda \delta \mathcal{C}(s) \tag{9}
\]

\[
\delta \mathcal{C}(s) = \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} \delta P(s) - \lambda \delta \mathcal{C}(s) \tag{10}
\]
Solving equation (10) for \( C(s) \), substituting this into equation (9), and rearranging, yields:

\[
\frac{dP(s)}{d\theta(s)} = \frac{P_0}{s(\Lambda + \frac{2}{s + \Lambda})}
\]  

(11)

This is the zero power reactor transfer function, so called because the reactor is assumed to be operating at a sufficiently low enough power that no feedback effects are realized. These effects are examined in the following sections.

Equation (11) may be represented in block diagram form as shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Block Diagram of the Zero Power Reactor Transfer Function

where \( Z(s) = \frac{1}{s(\Lambda + \frac{2}{s + \Lambda})} \)

2. Limitations of the Point Reactor Kinetics Equations

The point reactor kinetics equations derivation is based on the assumption that the spatial dependence of the neutron flux is negligible. This assumption limits the validity of these equations to transients where this remains a reasonable approximation such as those which result in only small changes in reactivity. The small perturbation technique used in the development of the zero power reactor
transfer function also requires only small changes in reactivity if the first order approximation is to hold. Specifically, \( \rho \) must be less than 0.5. Physically, when \( \rho \) is greater than \( \bar{\rho} \) the reactor is critical on prompt neutrons alone. The simulated transients imposed on the model were limited to ensure that excessive amounts of reactivity were not introduced.

B. REACTIVITY FEEDBACK MECHANISMS

In the zero power reactor point reactor, the power level is assumed to be so low that it does not affect the reactivity, thus there are no feedback effects. However, for a reactor operating at a useful power level, feedback effects do exist and the reactivity becomes an implicit function of the reactor power level (or neutron flux). This dependence arises since reactivity depends on macroscopic cross sections which involve the atomic number densities of material in the core:

\[
\Sigma = N \sigma
\]

where

- \( \Sigma \) = Macroscopic cross section (cm\(^{-1} \))
- \( N \) = Atomic number density (atoms/cm\(^3 \))
- \( \sigma \) = Microscopic cross section (cm\(^2 \))

The atomic number density can depend upon the reactor power level since the concentrations of certain nuclei are constantly changing due to neutron interactions. Material densities also depend upon temperature which is a function of reactor power level and hence the flux.\(^1\)

The two feedback mechanisms considered in the model were Xenon-135 and moderator temperature.

Reactivity can also be changed directly by an external source such as control rods containing a neutron absorbing material. Thus the overall reactivity can be written as:

\[ \delta \rho = \delta \rho_x + \delta \rho_{\text{ext}} + \delta \rho_T \]  

(12)

where

\[ \delta \rho_x = F_X \rho \]
\[ \delta \rho_{\text{ext}} = F_T \rho \]
\[ \delta \rho_T = \text{Overall core reactivity} \]
\[ \delta \rho_{\text{ext}} = \text{Externally added reactivity} \]
\[ \delta \rho_x = \text{Xenon-135 feedback reactivity} \]
\[ \delta \rho_T = \text{Moderator temperature feedback reactivity} \]
\[ F_X = \text{XeXon transfer function} \]
\[ F_T = \text{Moderator temperature transfer function} \]

Equation (12) with the previously developed zero power reactor transfer function, given by equation (11), are incorporated in the block diagram below:

Figure 3. Block Diagram of the Reactor Transfer Function with Xenon-135 and Moderator Temperature Feedback Loops, and External Reactivity
The next step in the model development was to derive the Xenon-135 and moderator temperature transfer functions.

1. **Xenon-135 Transfer Function**

Xenon-135 is the most significant fission product poidon because of its enormous thermal neutron absorption cross section and relatively large fission yield. This isotope is not only produced directly from fission but also from the decay of other fission products as shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 4. Xe-135 Decay Scheme](image)

Since the $\beta^-$ decay of Iodine-135 ($^{135}\text{I}$) and Xenon-135 ($^{135}\text{Xe}$), with the largest half-lives, are the controlling steps in this decay scheme, it was simplified by making the following assumptions:

1) All $^{135}\text{I}$ is produced directly from fission (the production of Antimony-135 ($^{135}\text{Sb}$) and subsequent decay to $^{135}\text{I}$ is considered instantaneous).

2) The short lived metastable $^{135m}\text{Xe}$ is ignored.

3) The removal of $^{135}\text{I}$ by neutron absorption is negligible for the neutron flux levels used in the model ($10^{14}$ neutrons/cm²/sec).
4) All the $^{135}$I decays to $^{135}$Xe.

With these assumptions the effective decay scheme is shown in Figure 5.

![Decay Scheme](image)

**Figure 5. Simplified Xe-135 Decay Scheme**

Using this decay scheme, the resulting rate equations for $^{135}$I and $^{135}$Xe are:

\[
\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \gamma_I \Sigma_f \phi(t) - \lambda_I I(t) \tag{13}
\]

\[
\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = \gamma_X \Sigma_f \phi(t) + \lambda_I I(t) - \lambda_X X(t) - \sigma_a X \phi(t) X(t) \tag{14}
\]

where
- $I = ^{135}$I number density (atoms/cm$^3$)
- $X = ^{135}$Xe number density (atoms/cm$^3$)
- $\Sigma_f$ = Macroscopic fission cross section (cm$^{-1}$)
- $\phi$ = Integrated one group neutron flux (neutrons/cm$^2$sec)
- $\gamma_I$ = Effective $^{135}$I fission yield
- $\gamma_X$ = Effective $^{135}$Xe fission yield
- $\lambda_I$ = $^{135}$I decay constant (sec$^{-1}$)
- $\lambda_X$ = $^{135}$Xe decay constant (sec$^{-1}$)
- $\sigma_a^X$ = $^{135}$Xe microscopic thermal neutron absorption cross section (cm$^2$)
Again, using the first order perturbation technique, let
\[ I(t) = I_0 + \delta I(t) \]
\[ X(t) = X_0 + \delta X(t) \]
\[ \phi(t) = \phi_0 + \delta \phi(t) \]

where the zero subscript denotes an initial steady state value and the delta prefix denotes a small perturbation about this value.

Upon substituting equations (15) into equations (13) and (14), and taking the Laplace transform, the relationship between the perturbation in \(^{135}\text{Xe}\) and \(\phi\) is derived (See Appendix A):

\[ \frac{\delta X(s)}{\delta \phi(s)} = \frac{(y_3 x_3 x_0) s + \lambda x (y_2 x_2 + y_1 x_1 x_0 - y_3 x_3) x_0}{s^2 + (\sigma_F^x \phi_0 + \lambda x) s + \lambda x (\sigma_F^x \phi_0 + \lambda x)} \]

The change in reactivity caused by a small perturbation in \(^{135}\text{Xe}\) concentration is also derived in Appendix A:

\[ \frac{\delta \phi_x(s)}{\delta X(s)} = -\frac{1}{X_0 + \frac{\sigma_F^x}{\sigma_F^x} U} = \alpha_x \]

where \(X_0\) = Equilibrium \(^{135}\text{Xe}\) number density before the perturbation (atoms/cm\(^3\))

\(U\) = Uranium-235 (\(^{235}\text{U}\)) number density (atoms/cm\(^3\))

\(\sigma_F^x\) = Microscopic thermal neutron absorption cross section of \(^{235}\text{U}\) (cm\(^2\))

A perturbation in neutron flux (\(\delta \phi\)) is directly proportional to a perturbation in reactor power (\(\delta P\)) as shown by:
where \( E_f \) = Energy released per fission

\[ \Sigma_f = \text{Macroscopic fission cross section of } ^{235}\text{U} \,(\text{cm}^{-1}) \]

or

\[ \frac{\Delta \varphi(s)}{\Delta P(s)} = \frac{1}{E_f \Sigma_f} = K \quad (18) \]

The product of equations (17), (16), and (18) yields:

\[ \frac{\Delta \rho_x(s)}{\Delta X(s)} \frac{\Delta X(s)}{\Delta P(s)} = \frac{\Delta \rho_x(s)}{\Delta P(s)} = \alpha_x G_x(s) K = F_x(s) \quad (19) \]

Equation (19) is the transfer function relating reactor power and \(^{135}\text{Xe}\) feedback reactivity. This equation is shown in block diagram form in Figure 6 where it has been incorporated with the previously derived zero power transfer function given by equation (11).

Figure 6. Block Diagram of the Reactor Transfer Function with Xenon-135 Feedback and External Reactivity

2. Moderator Temperature Feedback

Reactivity feedback from changes in moderator temperature occurs as a result of changes with moderator density. The density is also a
function of pressure, however, the pressure coefficient of reactivity is typically two orders of magnitude smaller than the temperature coefficient, and was therefore not considered in the model development. The moderator density affects the moderator number density and hence the macroscopic scattering cross section of the moderator.

The primary mechanism for the thermalization of the prompt and delayed neutrons is by elastic scattering interactions with the moderator nuclei. Hence, variations in the macroscopic scattering cross section will affect the rate at which neutrons become thermalized. Changes in the thermalization rate affect the fission rate, or reactor power level. Power level changes affect the moderator temperature, thus a feedback loop is created.

Because the moderator is also the coolant in the model, its temperature is not only a function of reactor power but also a function of the heat transfer process occurring in the heat exchanger, thus complicating the feedback loop.

Therefore, in order to develop this feedback mechanism analytically, it is first necessary to model the plant's heat transfer processes. This thermal analysis is done in the following section.

Another temperature feedback mechanism is the broadening of the Uranium-238 resonance absorption cross section for neutrons with increasing temperature. Because a highly enriched core was assumed in the model, with little Uranium-238, this effect was not considered.
C. THERMAL ANALYSIS

1. Reactor Heat Transfer Function

A lumped parameter model was assumed. This simplification provided a set of ordinary differential equation which were sufficiently accurate for the simulated normal operating transients. In the lumped parameter model, heat transfer in the reactor was assumed to occur at a single point. Thus, spatial variations were neglected. The core was considered to be a homogenized mixture of the uranium alloy fuel, the fuel cladding, and other structural materials, with a constant thermal capacity.

The equation for the heat flow from the core was obtained from a basic heat balance. The heat generated from fission equals the heat required to change the temperature of the core materials plus the heat transferred to the coolant. On a per unit time basis, the heat balance is:

$$P(t) = C_F \frac{dT_F(t)}{dt} + h_{FM} \left[ T_F(t) - T_{AV}(t) \right]$$

(20)

where

- $P(t)$ = Total Power generated in the core (Btu/sec)
- $T_F(t)$ = Average temperature of the core materials (°F)
- $T_{AV}(t)$ = Average reactor coolant temperature (°F)
- $C_F$ = Total thermal capacity of the core materials (Btu/°F)
- $h_{FM}$ = Total heat transfer coefficient (Btu/°F sec)

A similar heat transfer balance must also hold for the heat being transferred to the coolant and transported out of the core. This heat is the last term of equation (20) and is transferred to the coolant as:
\[ h_{FM} [T_F(t) - T_{AV}(t)] = C_M \frac{dT_{AV}(t)}{dt} + \dot{m}_m C [T_{H_0}(t) - T_{C_1}(t)] \]  

where  
\[ C_M = \text{Total thermal capacity of coolant in the core (Btu/°F)} \]  
\[ \dot{m}_m = \text{Coolant mass flow rate (lbm/sec)} \]  
\[ T_{H_0} = \text{Average reactor coolant outlet temperature (°F)} \]  
\[ T_{C_1} = \text{Average reactor coolant inlet temperature (°F)} \]  
\[ C = \text{Specific heat of reactor coolant (Btu/lbm°F)} \]

For simplification, the average reactor coolant temperature is assumed to be given by:

\[ T_{AV}(t) = \left[ T_{C_1}(t) + T_{H_0}(t) \right] / 2 \]  

Rearranging equation (20) yields:

\[ T_F(t) + T_1 \frac{dT_F(t)}{dt} = T_{AV}(t) + \frac{T_2}{C_F} P(t) \]  

where  
\[ T_1 = \frac{C_F}{h_{FM}} \]

Substituting equation (22) into equation (21) to eliminate \( T_{H_0} \) yields:

\[ T_{AV}(t) \left[ 1 + 2 \frac{T_2}{T_0} \right] + T_2 \frac{dT_{AV}(t)}{dt} = T_F(t) + 2 \frac{T_2}{T_0} T_{C_1}(t) \]  

where  
\[ T_0 = \frac{C_M}{\dot{m}_m C}, \text{ and} \]  
\[ T_2 = \frac{C M}{h_{FM}} \]
Now let

\[ P(t) = P_0 + \delta P(t) \]
\[ T_F(t) = T_{F_0} + \delta T_F(t) \]
\[ T_A(t) = T_{A_0} + \delta T_A(t) \]
\[ T_H(t) = T_{H_0} + \delta T_H(t) \]
\[ T_C(t) = T_{C_0} + \delta T_C(t) \]  

(25)

where the zero subscript denotes a steady state value and the prefix a small perturbation about this value.

Substituting equations (25) into equations (22), (23), and (24), eliminating the average temperatures \( T_F(t) \) and \( T_A(t) \), and taking Laplace transforms, the following relationship between reactor coolant inlet and outlet temperature perturbations is derived (see Appendix B):

\[ \delta T_H(s) = \frac{-\left\{ \frac{T_{F_0}}{T_{I_0}} s^2 + \left[ \frac{T_{F_0}}{T_{I_0}} \left( \frac{T_{F_0}}{T_{I_0}} + 1 \right) - \gamma \right] s - 1 \right\} \delta T_C(s) + \gamma \delta P(s)}{\left\{ \frac{T_{F_0}}{T_{I_0}} s^2 + \left[ \frac{T_{F_0}}{T_{I_0}} \left( \frac{T_{F_0}}{T_{I_0}} + 1 \right) + \gamma \right] s + 1 \right\}} \]  

(26)

where

\[ \gamma = \frac{T_{I_0}}{C_{p1} T_{I_0}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{mc}} \]

This equation is the reactor heat transfer function for the reactor coolant outlet temperature as a function of the reactor coolant inlet temperature and reactor power.

A block diagram representation of this transfer function is shown in Figure 7.
In Figure 7

\[ G_C(S) = \left( \frac{\pi H_s}{2} s^2 + \left[ \frac{\pi}{H_e} \left( \frac{H_s}{H_e} + 1 \right) - \frac{1}{H_e} \right] s + 1 \right) \]

\[ G_H(S) = \frac{1}{\left( \frac{\pi H_s}{2} s^2 + \left[ \frac{\pi}{H_e} \left( \frac{H_s}{H_e} + 1 \right) + \frac{1}{H_e} \right] s + 1 \right)} \]

2. Heat Exchanger Transfer Function

As in the derivation of the reactor heat transfer function, a lumped parameter model was assumed for the heat exchanger. Two points of energy storage were assumed, the primary coolant water and the water on the secondary side of the heat exchanger. The thermal capacity of the heat exchanger metal was included with that for the secondary water, since the thermal resistance on the primary side is predominant. A further assumption was made that the time spent by the primary coolant
while it passed through the heat exchanger was negligible in comparison with the time spent in the primary piping.

The state of the steam produced on the secondary side of the heat exchanger was assumed to always be dry and saturated and that the secondary water is always at the saturation temperature for the existing pressure. These assumptions were justified because moisture separators and recirculation can provide high quality steam and preheating of the feedwater.

With these assumptions, the following equations were obtained from a heat balance per unit time:

\[ \dot{m}_M C_M \left[ T_{H_i}(t) - T_{C_o}(t) \right] = C_M \frac{dT_{A V_B}(t)}{dt} + h_{TM} \left[ T_{A V_B}(t) - T_s(t) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

\[ h_{TM} \left[ T_{A V_B}(t) - T_s(t) \right] = C_S \frac{dT_s(t)}{dt} + P_L(t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

where

- \( T_{H_i}(t) \) = Heat exchanger coolant inlet temperature (°F)
- \( T_{C_o}(t) \) = Heat exchanger coolant outlet temperature (°F)
- \( T_{A V_B}(t) \) = Heat exchanger average coolant temperature (°F)
- \( T_s(t) \) = Saturated steam temperature (°F)
- \( h_{TM} \) = Total heat transfer coefficient (BTU/°F.sec)
- \( C_M \) = Total thermal capacity of coolant in the heat exchanger (BTU/°F)
- \( C_S \) = Total thermal capacity of heat exchanger metal and secondary water and steam (Btu/°F)
- \( P_L(t) \) = Power delivered by the heat exchanger (BTU/sec)
- \( \dot{m}_M \) = Coolant mass flow rate (lbm/sec)
The total heat transfer coefficient, a function of the primary coolant flow rate (a constant in the model) and the heat transfer characteristics of the heat exchanger, was assumed to be constant. Also, the time delay in transferring heat across the heat exchanger tubes was neglected. This changed the shape of the initial thermal transient but had little effect on the basic dynamics of the secondary loop.

Again, as a simplification, the average temperature of the coolant in the heat exchanger was assumed to be given by

$$T_{AVB} = \frac{1}{2} (T_{HI} + T_{CO}) \quad (29)$$

The power delivered by the steam generator is proportional to the product of the steam flow rate and the difference in enthalpy between the steam and feedwater

$$h_{\text{TM}} \left[ T_{AV}(t) - T_3(t) \right] = \dot{m}_S (H_S - H_{FW}) + C_S \frac{dT_3(t)}{dt}$$

where
- $\dot{m}_S$ = Steam flow rate (lbm/sec)
- $H_S$ = Saturated steam enthalpy (Btu/lbm)
- $H_F$ = Feedwater enthalpy (Btu/lbm)

This equation assumes the steam and feedwater flow rates are always equal and thus neglects any instabilities in the secondary steam.

As discussed in Reference 4, the enthalpy of saturated steam is nearly constant over a wide range of pressure, varying from 1198 Btu/lbm to 1204 Btu/lbm over a pressure range from 200 to 800 psig. The feedwater enthalpy, which depends on condenser pressure, is usually between 50 and 100 Btu/lbm. Thus the enthalpy difference may be regarded as a
constant, and the power delivered by the heat exchanger is directly proportional to the steam flow rate.

The impedance to steam flow caused by the turbine is nearly independent of turbine speed. If constant backpressure is assumed, the steam flow rate is directly proportional to the throttle opening at a given pressure. Thus,

\[ m_s = \mathcal{P}_s A \]

where \( \mathcal{P}_s \) = Saturated steam pressure (lbf/in\(^2\))

\( A = \) Proportionality factor which is a function of the throttle setting \( \text{lbm in}^2/\text{lbf sec} \)

The numerous assumptions made in the heat exchanger model development limit the accuracy of the resulting equations. However, the errors involved in these assumptions are usually less than the amount of uncertainty in the engineering values of the coefficients used in the equations.

Recalling the previous assumption that \( dT_{AVB}(t) \) = 0, and

Substituting equation (29) into equation (27), yields:

\[
\dot{m}_H C [T_H(t) - T_C(t)] = \dot{h}_{TH} \left( \frac{1}{2} [T_H(t) + T_C(t)] - T_3(t) \right) \tag{30}
\]

Solving equation (30) for \( T_{C_0} \), gives:

\[
T_{C_0}(t) = \frac{2T_3(t) - T_H(t)}{1 + K_4} \tag{31}
\]
where \( K_1 = \frac{2 \dot{m}_M C}{h_{TM}} \)

Substituting equation (29) into equation (28) gives:

\[
h_{TM} \left( \frac{3}{2} \left[ T_{H1}(t) + T_{C0}(t) \right] - T_S(t) \right) = C_S \frac{dT_S(t)}{dt} + P_L(t)
\]

Rearranging this expression,

\[
\frac{C_S}{h_{TM}} \frac{dT_S(t)}{dt} + T_S(t) = \frac{3}{2} \left[ T_{H1}(t) + T_{C0}(t) \right] + \frac{1}{h_{TM}} P_L(t)
\]

Let

\[
T_{H1}(t) = T_{H10} + \Delta T_{H1}(t)
\]

\[
T_{C0}(t) = T_{C00} + \Delta T_{C0}(t)
\]

\[
T_S(t) = T_{S0} + \Delta T_S(t)
\]

\[
P_L(t) = P_{L0} + \Delta P_L(t)
\]

where the lowest zero subscript denotes a steady state value and the delta prefix a small perturbation about this value.

By substituting equations (33) into equations (31) and (32), and taking the Laplace transform, the following set of equations is derived (see Appendix C):

\[
\Delta T_S(s) = \frac{\frac{3}{2} \left[ \Delta T_{C0}(s) + \Delta T_{H1}(s) \right] - \frac{1}{h_{TM}} \Delta P_L(s)}{T_S + 1}
\]

\[
\Delta T_{C0}(s) = \frac{2 \Delta T_S(s) - \Delta T_{H1}(s) \left[ 1 - K_2 \right]}{1 + K_1}
\]

where \( T_S = \frac{C_S}{h_{TM}} \)
These equations are represented in a block diagram in Figure 8.

Figure 8. Block Diagram of the Heat Exchanger Transfer Function

With $\delta T_H$ and $\delta P_L$ as inputs, equations (34) and (35) form an algebraic loop in $\delta T_C$ and $\delta T_S$. These two equations were solved with the use of an inherent functional routine available in the CSMP-III language which was used to formulate the model.
3. Primary Piping Transfer Functions

While circulating through the primary loop, the coolant undergoes mixing and transport delay effects. For example, a transient in the coolant temperature at the heat exchanger outlet does not appear at the reactor inlet until sometime later. Where there are volume or flow direction changes, as in the reactor coolant inlet plenum, mixing occurs causing a smearing of a temperature transient. Both of these effects were approximated in the model by combinations of two types of time delays: a pure transport delay and a simple mixing delay.

Assuming no mixing in the primary piping and no heat loss with perfectly insulated pipes, pure transport delays are encountered in the piping runs between the reactor and heat exchanger. With these assumptions, the temperatures involved in the transfer of heat between the reactor and heat exchanger can be given as

\[ T_{H_1}(t) = T_{H_0}(t - \tau_3) \]

where the inlet coolant temperature to the heat exchanger \( T_{H_1} \) has the same form as the outlet temperature of the reactor \( T_{H_0} \) after a fixed transport delay \( \tau_3 \). This transport delay can be approximated by the following differential equation which is derived in Appendix D.

\[ T_{H_1} + \tau_3 \frac{dT_{H_1}}{dt} = T_{H_0} \quad (36) \]

Similarly, the transport delay from the heat exchanger outlet to the reactor inlet plenum is:

\[ T_{C_{ip}}(t) + \tau_4 \frac{dT_{C_{ip}}(t)}{dt} = T_{C_0}(t) \quad (37) \]
where

\[ T_{C_{ip}}(t) = \text{Reactor inlet plenum coolant temperature (°F)} \]
\[ T_{C_{o}}(t) = \text{Heat exchanger outlet coolant temperature (°F)} \]

This can be approximated by

\[ T_{C_{ip}}(t) + T_{i} \frac{dT_{C_{ip}}(t)}{dt} = T_{C_{o}}(t) \]  \( (37) \)

As in the previous development, let

\[ T_{H_{o}}(t) = T_{H_{00}} + \delta T_{H_{o}}(t) \]
\[ T_{H_{ip}}(t) = T_{H_{ipo}} + \delta T_{H_{ip}}(t) \]  \( (38) \)
\[ T_{C_{o}}(t) = T_{C_{00}} + \delta T_{C_{o}}(t) \]
\[ T_{C_{ip}}(t) = T_{C_{ipo}} + \delta T_{C_{ip}}(t) \]

where again the lowest zero subscript denotes a steady state value and the delta prefix a small perturbation about this value.

After substituting equations (38) into equations (36) and (37), taking the Laplace transform, the following transfer functions are derived (see in Appendix D).

\[ \frac{\delta T_{H_{ip}}(s)}{\delta T_{H_{o}}(s)} = \frac{1}{1 + T_{5}s} \]  \( (39) \)

\[ \frac{\delta T_{C_{ip}}(s)}{\delta T_{C_{o}}(s)} = \frac{1}{1 + T_{4}s} \]  \( (40) \)
Combined mixing and transport effects are encountered at the reactor and heat exchanger inlet and outlet coolant plenums. For simplification, only the mixing effects at the inlet plenums were considered in the model. Assuming perfect mixing and after performing a heat balance on the plenum concerned, the combined mixing and transport effects are expressed by differential equations developed in Appendix D of the form

$$ \tau \frac{dT_0(t)}{dt} + T_0(t) = T_1(t) $$

where

- $T_1(t)$ = Plenum inlet temperature (°F)
- $T_0(t)$ = Plenum outlet temperature (°F)
- $\tau$ = Mixing delay

As before, by using a small perturbation technique, and taking the Laplace transform, the following transfer function is derived (see Appendix D):

$$ \frac{\mathcal{L}[T_0(s)]}{\mathcal{L}[T_1(s)]} = \frac{1}{1 + \tau s} \quad (41) $$

Block diagram representations of the coolant piping transport and mixing transfer functions is shown in Figure 9.

D. OVERALL PLANT BLOCK DIAGRAM

The transfer functions developed previously for the zero power point reactor, $^{135}$Xe feedback, reactor and heat exchanger heat transfer, and the primary piping were interconnected resulting in the overall model block diagram shown in Figure 10. The moderator temperature feedback
loop is seen to consist of the heat transfer process and primary piping transfer functions which yield $\Delta T_{C_1}$ and $\Delta T_{H_0}$. These temperatures are summed, then halved, yielding $T_{AV}$, which is then multiplied by the negative temperature coefficient $\alpha T$, generating the moderator temperature feedback reactivity $\rho_T$.

E. MODEL CONSTANTS

The following plant parameters from the Shippingport Atomic Power Station were obtained from Reference 6 and used in the model development.
Figure 10. Overall Plant Block Diagram
1. General Parameters
   a. Reactor thermal power 231 MW
   b. Reactor coolant system pressure 2000 psia
   c. Reactor coolant average temperature 523° F
   d. Steam pressure at full load 600 psia

2. Reactor Coolant System
   a. Reactor coolant flow rate 6280 lbm/sec
   b. Reactor coolant outlet temperature 538° F
   c. Reactor coolant inlet temperature 508° F
   d. Coolant volume in core 103 ft$^3$

3. Reactor Core
   a. Configuration Right cylinder
   b. Size 6.8 ft. dia X 6 ft. high
   c. Fuel load $^{235}\text{U}$ (seed) 75 kg
   d. Composition (seed)
      1) Water 43.5 v/o
      2) Fuel alloy 30.0 v/o
      3) Zircalloy 34.1 v/o
   e. Control Rods
      1) Total rod worth 0.256 k
      2) Scram time 0.35 sec time delay
          1.0 sec rod drop

4. Nuclear Data
   a. Thermal neutron flux $2 \times 10^{14}$ n/cm$^2$ sec
   b. Prompt neutron lifetime $5.6 \times 10^{-5}$ sec
c. Effective delayed neutron fraction 0.0077

d. Temperature coefficient of reactivity \(-3.1 \times 10^{-4} \ \sigma_k/\text{oF}\)

5. Reactor Protection Setpoints

a. Scram
   1) High reactor power 138%
   2) High reactor coolant outlet temperature 550°F

b. Cutback
   1) High reactor power 114%
   2) High Startup rate 1.74 Decades per min.
IV. RESULTS

A. INTERACTIVE PROGRAM

Figures 10-16 are examples of the interactive program output. As shown in Figure 10, the user is first given a description of the purpose of the overall program and general instructions for input entry. As shown in Figure 11, the user is then prompted to enter an initial steady state power level, after which the corresponding major plant parameters are displayed. The user is then prompted to choose the type of simulation, either plant transient at power, or post-shutdown Xenon-135 behavior. The user must be at the Tektronix 4012 console in the computer center if the latter is chosen.

If the post-shutdown Xenon-135 behavior is chosen to be examined, graphs such as those shown in Figure 13 are generated and displayed on the Tektronix's screen. In addition to showing the time response of the Xenon, the time of its peak and associated maximum reactivity are displayed.

If the plant transient simulation is chosen, the user is then prompted to choose the mechanism for initiating the transient, either control rod movement or turbine load change. In Figure 14, the user has chosen the former. The program then prompts the user to enter the direction and time length of the control rod movement. In Figure 15, the turbine load change was chosen and the program request the final turbine load. In either case, a summary of the transient inputs is displayed with a notice that the interactive portion is complete.
EXECUTION BEGINS...

THIS IS AN INTERACTIVE PROGRAM WHICH SIMULATES THE THERMAL RESPONSE OF A 231 MWT (68 MWE), HIGHLY ENRICHED PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR (PWR), POWER PLANT TO CHANGES IN REACTIVITY INITIATED BY CHANGES IN BANK CONTROL ROD POSITION OR TURBINE LOAD. THE REACTIVITY FEEDBACK EFFECTS RESULTING FROM CHANGES IN MODERATOR TEMPERATURE AND XENON-135 CONCENTRATION ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE MODEL.

PLEASE ANSWER THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS BY ENTERING THE NUMBER (INCLUDING THE DECIMAL POINT, BUT NOT THE PERCENT SYMBOL) WHICH CORRESPONDS TO THE DESIRED CHOICE OR VALUE WITHIN THE GIVEN RANGE.

DO YOU WANT A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANT?
1. YES
2. NO

Figure 11. Interactive Program, Introduction and Instructions
ENTER AN INITIAL STEADY STATE POWER LEVEL BETWEEN 10, AND 100, PERCENT.
NOTE: A MINIMUM OF 10% POWER IS REQUIRED TO OPERATE THE REACTOR COOLANT
PUMPS AND OTHER PLANT AUXILIARIES

>50.

INITIAL STEADY STATE PARAMETERS:
REACTOR POWER= 50.00% 115.50 MWE
THERMAL NEUTRON FLUX= 0.10E 15 NEUTRONS/CM2 SEC
XENON-135 CONCENTRATION= 0.76E 12 ATOMS/CM3
FUEL TEMPERATURE=711.50 F
AVERAGE REACTOR COOLANT MODERATOR TEMPERATURE=523.00 F
REACTOR COOLANT OUTLET TEMPERATURE=530.50 F
REACTOR COOLANT INLET TEMPERATURE=515.50 F
SATURATED STEAM TEMPERATURE=504.54 F
SATURATED STEAM PRESSURE=704.54 PSIA
TURBINE LOAD= 50.00% 34.00 MWE

ENTER THE DESIRED SIMULATION:
1. MODERATOR TEMPERATURE AND XENON FEEDBACK AT POWER
2. POST SHUTDOWN XENON BEHAVIOR

>1.

Figure 12. Interactive Program, Initial Power Level and Simulation Choice Inputs
Figure 13. Post-shutdown Xenon-135 Behavior
ENTER THE INITIAL REACTIVITY CHANGE MECHANISM:
1. BANK CONTROL ROD CHANGE
2. TURBINE LOAD CHANGE
>1.

ENTER THE TIME LENGTH (SEC) OF BANK CONTROL ROD MOVEMENT (TSHIM)
NOTE: BANK CONTROL ROD REACTIVITY INSERTION RATE IS 1.25E-4 DELTA K/SEC,
DO NOT EXCEED A TOTAL REACTIVITY INSERTION OF 35.0E-4 DELTA K
(I.E. A 20 SEC SHIM)
>10.

ENTER THE DIRECTION OF BANK CONTROL ROD SHIM:
1. IN (NEGATIVE REACTIVITY INSERTION)
2. OUT (POSITIVE REACTIVITY INSERTION)
>2.

INITIAL REACTIVITY CHANGE PARAMETERS:
REACTOR POWER = 50.00 %
SHIM TIME = 10.00 SEC
SHIM DIRECTION = 1.00 (1="OUT", -1="IN")
FINAL TURBINE LOAD = 50.00%

INPUTS HAVE BEEN ENTERED INTO THE SIMULATION PROGRAM WHICH HAS BEEN SENT TO THE BATCH SYSTEM FOR EXECUTION
R
>

Figure 14. Interactive Program, Control Rod Movement Inputs
ENTER THE INITIAL REACTIVITY CHANGE MECHANISM:
1. BANK CONTROL ROD CHANGE
2. TURBINE LOAD CHANGE
>2.

ENTER FINAL TURBINE LOAD BETWEEN 10, AND 100, PERCENT
NOTE: LOAD VARIATION WILL OCCUR AT A FIXED RATE OF 0.5%/SEC
>75.

INITIAL REACTIVITY CHANGE PARAMETERS:
REACTOR POWER = 50.00 %
SHIM TIME = 0.0 SEC
SHIM DIRECTION = -0.25 (1="OUT", -1="IN")
FINAL TURBINE LOAD = 75.00%

INPUTS HAVE BEEN ENTERED INTO THE SIMULATION PROGRAM WHICH HAS BEEN SENT TO THE BATCH SYSTEM FOR EXECUTION

Figure 15. Interactive Program, Turbine Load Change Inputs
Figure 16 is an example of the interactive program's logic routines which will only permit inputs within the requested ranges to be accepted for the simulation.

B. SIMULATION PROGRAM

The program generates Versatec plots showing the plant's power, reactivity, and temperature transient responses for the user's inputs. Figures 17-20 are composites of these plots.

In Figure 17, the transient was initiated by a simulated 20 to 40 percent ramp change in turbine load at 1/2 percent per second. In Figure 18, the transient was initiated by a simulated 60 to 40 percent change in turbine load in the same manner. Both of these figures show the characteristic power demand following and inherent stability response typical of a PWR plant with a constant average temperature program and negative temperature coefficient.

The inherent stability feature is further displayed in Figures 19 and 20. In Figure 19, the transient is initiated by a simulated 10 second inward movement of the control rods at a reactivity insertion rate of $1.25 \times 10^{-4}$ $\text{k}$ per second from an initial 50 percent power level. In Figure 20, the transient response to an outward control rod movement with the same parameters is shown. As seen in both cases, the reactor power stabilizes about the initial turbine load and the average reactor coolant temperature stabilizes at a new level to compensate, via the negative temperature coefficient, for the reactivity inserted by the control rods.
ENTER AN INITIAL STEADY STATE POWER LEVEL BETWEEN 10% AND 100% PERCENT.
NOTE: A MINIMUM OF 10% POWER IS REQUIRED TO OPERATE THE REACTOR COOLANT
PUMPS AND OTHER PLANT AUXILIARIES
>150.

INCORRECT DATA ENTERED

ENTER AN INITIAL STEADY STATE POWER LEVEL BETWEEN 10% AND 100% PERCENT.
NOTE: A MINIMUM OF 10% POWER IS REQUIRED TO OPERATE THE REACTOR COOLANT
PUMPS AND OTHER PLANT AUXILIARIES
>5.

INCORRECT DATA ENTERED

ENTER AN INITIAL STEADY STATE POWER LEVEL BETWEEN 10% AND 100% PERCENT.
NOTE: A MINIMUM OF 10% POWER IS REQUIRED TO OPERATE THE REACTOR COOLANT
PUMPS AND OTHER PLANT AUXILIARIES
>50.

Figure 16. Interactive Program, Logic Routine Example
Figure 17. Power, Reactivity, and Temperature Response to a 20 to 40% Turbine Load Change
Figure 18. Power, Reactivity, and Temperature Response to a 60 to 40% Turbine Load Change
Figure 19. Power, Reactivity, and Temperature Response to a 10 second Inward Control Rod Movement
Figure 20. Power, Reactivity, and Temperature Response to a 10 second Outward Control Rod Movement
V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The model responds to normal operating transients with the characteristics of a PWR plant. The interactive program provides the user with the facility to initiate the simulation or examine a real time display of post-shutdown Xenon-135 buildup and decay.

As previously discussed, the purpose of this work was to develop a learning device for nuclear engineering students at the Naval Postgraduate School. The result was a relatively simple model of a PWR power plant and a simulation program that suffers from a long execution time.

The model's simplicity resulted mainly from the consideration of an effective single group of delayed neutron precursors in the reactor kinetic equations and the use of lumped parameter analysis in the plant's heat transfer processes. The model's sophistication could be increased by:

1. Expanding the reactor kinetic equations to consider six delayed neutron precursor groups.
2. Developing a multi-section heat transfer model for the reactor and the heat exchanger.
3. Incorporating variable reactor coolant mass flow rate and heat transfer coefficients.

These additional features will also increase the complexity of the simulation program, thus aggravating the long run time problem. This concern might be eased by taking a different approach to the model's formulation than the transfer function method. While this method was readily coded using the CSMP-III language, physical insight to the plant dynamic processes was lost when the Laplace transform and subsequent grouping of constant terms was performed. The use of state variable
theory might not only allow this physical appreciation to be retained but also result in shorter program run times.

Regardless of the formulation method, a real time response to the simulation can probably only be achieved by using an analog computer. The wide range of time constants associated with the equations (prompt neutron life-times on the order of $10^{-5}$ seconds to Xenon decay half lives on the order of hours) require a small numerical integration time interval over a large time period. The result is a prohibitively long run time on a digital computer for an interactive program.

It is hoped that refinement of the model will be continued. While the existing model does reflect the general characteristics of a PWR plant to normal operating transients, it does exhibit relatively large power over/under shoots in response to turbine load changes as seen in Figures 17 and 18.
APPENDIX A

DEVELOPMENT OF THE XENON FEEDBACK TRANSFER FUNCTION COMPONENTS

1. Derivation of $G_x(S)$

The previously developed rate equations for $^{135}I$ and $^{135}Xe$ from the effective $^{135}Xe$ decay scheme shown in Figure 5 are:

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \gamma \Sigma_f \phi(t) - \lambda I(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A 1)

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \gamma \Sigma_f \phi(t) + \lambda I(t) - \lambda X(t) - \sigma_{a}^X \phi(t) X(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A 2)

where $I(t) = ^{135}I$ number density (atoms/cm$^3$)

$X(t) = ^{135}Xe$ number density (atoms/cm$^3$)

$\Sigma_f = $ Macrossopic fission cross section of $^{235}U$ (cm$^{-1}$)

$\phi = $ Average integrated one group flux (neutrons/cm$^2$sec)

$\gamma_1 = $ Effective $^{135}I$ fission yield

$\gamma_X = $ Effective $^{135}Xe$ fission yield

$\lambda_I = $ $^{135}I$ decay constant (sec$^{-1}$)

$\lambda_X = $ $^{135}Xe$ decay constant (sec$^{-1}$)

$\sigma_a^X = $ $^{135}Xe$ thermal neutron absorption cross section (cm$^2$)

Let 

$I(t) = I_0 + \delta I(t)$

$X(t) = X_0 + \delta X(t)$  \hspace{1cm} (A 3)

$\phi(t) = \phi_0 + \delta \phi(t)$

where the zero subscript denotes an initial steady state value and the delta prefix denotes a small perturbation about this value.
With the reactor at an initial steady state with a flux level \( \phi_0 \), the equilibrium values of \(^{135}\text{I}\) and \(^{135}\text{Xe}\) are found by setting their time dependence in equations (A 1) and (A 2) equal to zero. Thus,

\[
I_o = \frac{Y_I \sum f \phi_0}{\lambda_I} \quad (A 4)
\]

\[
X_o = \frac{Y_X \sum f \phi_0 + \lambda I I_o}{\lambda_X + \sigma_x \phi_0} \quad (A 5)
\]

Substituting equation (A 4) into equation (A 5)

\[
X_o = \frac{(Y_X + Y_I) \sum f \phi_0}{\lambda_X + \sigma_x \phi_0} \quad (A 6)
\]

Substituting equations (A 3), (A 4), and (A 6) into equation (A 1) and (A 2), neglecting the \( \frac{d}{dt} \phi \) term (first order approximation)

\[
\frac{d}{dt} X = Y_I \sum f \phi - \lambda_I \frac{d}{dt} I \quad (A 7)
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} X = \lambda_I \frac{d}{dt} I + (Y_X \sum f - \sigma_x X_o) \frac{d}{dt} \phi - (\lambda_X + \sigma_x \phi_0) \frac{d}{dt} X \quad (A 8)
\]

Taking the Laplace transform of equations (A 7) and (A 8) and solving for \( \mathcal{L}[I(s)] \) and \( \mathcal{L}[X(s)] \)

\[
\mathcal{L}[I(s)] = \frac{Y_I \sum f \phi(s)}{s + \lambda_I} \quad (A 9)
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}[X(s)] = \frac{\lambda I \mathcal{L}[I(s)] + (Y_X \sum f - \sigma_x X_o) \mathcal{L}[\phi(s)]}{s + \lambda_X + \sigma_x \phi_0} \quad (A 10)
\]
Substituting equation (A 9) into equation (A10)

\[
\frac{dX(s)}{d\theta(s)} = \frac{\lambda I \Sigma f \int d\theta(s) + (s + \lambda I)(V X \Sigma f - \sigma_{\text{e}} X_0) d\theta(s)}{(s + \lambda I)(s + \lambda x + \sigma_{\text{e}} X_0)}
\]

Expanding, collecting terms, and solving for \( \frac{dX(s)}{d\theta(s)} \)

\[
\frac{dX(s)}{d\theta(s)} = \frac{(V X \Sigma f - \sigma_{\text{e}} X_0) s + \lambda I (V X \Sigma f + V X \Sigma f - \sigma_{\text{e}} X_0)}{s^2 + (\sigma_{\text{e}} X_0 + \lambda x + \lambda I) s + \lambda I (\sigma_{\text{e}} X_0 + \lambda x)} = G_X(s)
\]

This is equation (16) on page 23.

2. Derivation of \( \alpha x \)

The effective core neutron multiplication factor \( k \) is given by the familiar "six factor formula" found in the literature

\[
k = \lambda I \Sigma f \rho_{\text{FNL}} \rho_{\text{TNL}}
\]

where \( \rho = \text{Thermal fission factor of the fuel} \)
\( \epsilon = \text{Fast fission factor of the fuel} \)
\( p = \text{Resonance escape probability} \)
\( f = \text{Thermal utilization factor in the core} \)
\( \rho_{\text{FNL}} = \text{Fast neutron non-leakage probability} \)
\( \rho_{\text{TNL}} = \text{Thermal neutron non-leakage probability} \)

The core reactivity is defined as

\[
\rho = \frac{k - 1}{k} \quad (A 12)
\]

Consider a reactor at an initial critical steady state condition with an equilibrium \( ^{135}\text{Xe} \) concentration \( X_0 \). By the definition of criticality
\[
\begin{align*}
ko &= 1 \\
\rho_0 &= 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]  
(A 13)

In this condition

\[
f_0 = \frac{\Sigma_a^F}{\Sigma_{a_0}^{core}} \approx \frac{\Sigma_a^F}{\Sigma_a^F + \Sigma_{Xo}^{Xe}}
\]  
(A 14)

where \(\Sigma_a^F\) = Fuel macroscopic thermal neutron absorption cross section

\(\Sigma_{a_0}^{core}\) = Initial core macroscopic thermal neutron absorption cross section

\(\Sigma_{Xo}^{Xe}\) = Initial \(^{135}\)Xe macroscopic thermal neutron absorption cross section

and the macroscopic thermal neutron absorption cross sections of the moderator and other core materials has been neglected, a reasonable approximation in a highly enriched core.

Now impose a small perturbation in the \(^{135}\)Xe concentration on the core. Neglecting the effect of the \(^{135}\)Xe perturbation on neutron leakage, a reasonable assumption for a large reactor,

\[
X = X_0 + \delta X
\]

\[
k = k_0 + \delta k
\]

\[
f = f_0 + \delta f
\]

\[
\rho = \rho_0 + \delta \rho = \delta \rho \text{ since } \rho_0 = 0
\]

The remainder of the terms in equation (A 11) are unchanged, thus

\[
\delta k = \delta f
\]

and

\[
\frac{k}{ko} = \frac{f}{fo} = k \text{ since } ko = 1
\]
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where

$$f = \frac{\Sigma_d^F}{\Sigma_d} = \frac{\Sigma_d^F}{\Sigma_{d,\text{core}} + \sigma_d^x d^x} \quad (A\ 15)$$

from equation (A\ 12) and (A\ 13)

$$\phi = \phi_x = \frac{b-1}{b} = 1 - \frac{1}{b} \quad (A\ 16)$$

substituting equations (A\ 14) and (A\ 15) into equation (A\ 16)

$$\phi_x = 1 - \frac{\Sigma_{d,\text{core}} + \sigma_d^x d^x}{\Sigma_{d,\text{core}}} = - \frac{\sigma_d^x d^x}{\Sigma_{d,\text{core}}} = - \frac{\sigma_d^x d^x}{\sigma_d^x X_0 + \Sigma_d^F}$$

or

$$\frac{\partial \phi_x}{\partial x} = - \frac{1}{\chi_0 + \frac{\partial \phi_x}{\partial \phi_x}} \chi_x$$

This is equation (17) on page 23. Since fuel depletion effects are not considered during the short simulated transients, the fuel number density U is assumed constant and $\chi_x$ is invariant in the time and the Laplace domains.
APPENDIX B

DEVELOPMENT OF THE REACTOR HEAT TRANSFER FUNCTIONS

The previously developed equations describing heat transfer from the reactor to the coolant are

\[ T_F(t) + T_2 \frac{dT_k(t)}{dt} = T_{AV}(t) + \frac{T_2}{C_F} P(t) \quad (B\ 1) \]

\[ T_{AV}(t)[1 + 2 \frac{T_2}{T_0}] + T_2 \frac{dT_{AV}(t)}{dt} = T_F(t) + 2 \frac{T_2}{T_0} T_{C1}(t) \quad (B\ 2) \]

\[ T_{AV}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ T_{H0}(t) + T_{C1}(t) \right] \quad (B\ 3) \]

where

- \( T_F(t) \) = Average core material temperature (°F)
- \( T_{AV}(t) \) = Average reactor coolant temperature (°F)
- \( T_{H0}(t) \) = Reactor coolant outlet temperature (°F)
- \( T_{C1}(t) \) = Reactor coolant inlet temperature (°F)
- \( P(t) \) = Total power generated in the core (Btu/sec)
- \( C_F \) = Total thermal capacity of core materials (Btu/°F)
- \( C_M \) = Total thermal capacity of coolant in the core (Btu/°F)
- \( C \) = Specific heat of coolant (Btu/lbm°F)
- \( \dot{m}_m \) = Coolant mass flow rate (lbm/sec)
- \( h_{FM} \) = Total heat transfer coefficient (Btu/sec°F)

and

\[ T_0 = \frac{C_M}{\dot{m}_m C} \]

\[ T_1 = \frac{C_F}{h_{FM}} \]

\[ T_2 = \frac{C_M}{h_{FM}} \]
Let
\[ P(t) = P_0 + \Delta P(t) \]
\[ T_F(t) = T_{F_0} + \Delta T_F(t) \]
\[ T_{AV}(t) = T_{AV_0} + \Delta T_{AV}(t) \]
\[ T_{H_0}(t) = T_{H_0_0} + \Delta T_{H_0}(t) \]
\[ T_{c_1}(t) = T_{c_1_0} + \Delta T_{c_1}(t) \]  
(B 4)

where the lowest zero subscript denotes a steady state value and
the delta prefix a small perturbation about this value.

From substitution of equations (B 4) into equations (B 1), (B 2),
and (B 3), with the reactor in a steady state at \( t \leq 0 \),
\[ T_{F_0} = T_{AV_0} + \frac{T_2}{C_p} P_0 \]
\[ T_{AV_0} (1+2 \frac{T_2}{T_0}) = T_{F_0} + 2 \frac{T_2}{T_0} T_{c_1_0} \]  
(B 5)
and
\[ \Delta P(0) = 0 \]
\[ \Delta T_F(0) = \Delta T_{AV}(0) = \Delta T_{H_0}(0) = \Delta T_{c_1}(0) = 0 \]  
(B 6)

Impose the perturbations at \( t=0 \). Substituting equations (B 4) and
(B 5) into equations (B 1), (B 2), and (B 3) with the initial conditions
given in equations (B 6),
\[ \Delta T_F(t) + T_2 \frac{d}{dt} \Delta T_F(t) = \Delta T_{AV}(t) + \frac{T_2}{C_p} \Delta P(t) \]  
(B 7)
\[ \Delta T_{AV}(t) \left[ 1 + 2 \frac{T_2}{T_0} \right] + T_2 \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \Delta T_{AV}(t) \right] = \Delta T_F(t) + 2 \frac{T_2}{T_0} \Delta T_{c_1}(t) \]  
(B 8)
\[ \Delta T_{AV}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Delta T_{H_0}(t) + \Delta T_{c_1}(t) \right] \]  
(B 9)
Taking the Laplace transform of equations (B 7), (B 8), and (B 9) with the initial conditions given by equations (B 6)

\[ TF(s) \left[ sT_2 + 1 \right] = T_{AV}(s) + \frac{T_c}{C_F} P(s) \quad \text{(B 10)} \]
\[ T_{AV}(s) \left[ sT_2 + 1 + 2 \frac{T_c}{T_0} \right] = TF(s) + 2 \frac{T_c}{T_0} T_c(s) \quad \text{(B 11)} \]
\[ T_{AV}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ TH(s) + TC(s) \right] \quad \text{(B 12)} \]

where the delta prefix and lowest subscript have been deleted for readability.

Substituting equation (B 12) into equations (B 10) and (B 11)

\[ TF(sT_2 + 1) = \frac{1}{2} (TH + TC) + \frac{T_c}{C_F} P \quad \text{(B 13)} \]
\[ \frac{1}{2} (TH + TC) (sT_2 + 1 + 2 \frac{T_c}{T_0}) = TF + 2 \frac{T_c}{T_0} T_c \quad \text{(B 14)} \]

where the s domain dependence notation has been deleted for readability.

Solving equation (B 13) for \( TF \) and substituting into equation (B 14)

\[ \frac{1}{2} (TH + TC) (sT_2 + 1 + 2 \frac{T_c}{T_0})(sT_2 + 1) = \frac{1}{2} (TH + TC) + \frac{T_c}{C_F} P + 2 \frac{T_c}{T_0} (sT_2 + 1) T_c \]

After expanding the products and collecting terms

\[ TH \left[ s^2 \frac{T_c T_2}{2} + \left( \frac{T_c}{2} + \frac{T_c}{2} + \frac{T_c}{T_0} \right) s + \frac{T_c}{T_0} \right] = - TC \left[ s^2 \frac{T_c T_2}{2} + \left( \frac{T_c}{2} + \frac{T_c}{2} - \frac{T_c}{T_0} \right) s - \frac{T_c}{T_0} \right] + \frac{T_c}{C_F} P \]

After multiplying through by \( N \) and solving for \( T_H(\theta T_H(s)) \)

\[ \theta T_H(s) = \frac{- \left\{ \frac{T_c T_2}{2} s^2 + \left[ \frac{T_c}{T_2} \left( \frac{T_c}{T_2} + 1 \right) - T_c \right] s - 1 \right\} \theta T_C(s) + \theta P(s)} \left\{ \frac{T_c T_2}{2} s^2 + \left[ \frac{T_c}{T_2} \left( \frac{T_c}{T_2} + 1 \right) + T_c \right] s + 1 \right\} \]
where \( \gamma = \frac{I_{41} T_0}{C_{P12}} \) and all notation has been restored.

This is equation (26) on page 28.
APPENDIX C

DEVELOPMENT OF THE HEAT EXCHANGER TRANSFER FUNCTION

The previously derived heat exchanger heat transfer equations are

\[
\frac{C_s}{h_{TM}} \frac{dT_s(t)}{dt} + T_s(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ T_{H_1}(t) + T_{C_0}(t) \right] + \frac{1}{h_{TM}} P_L(t) \tag{C 2}
\]

where

\[ T_{H_1}(t) = \text{Heat exchanger coolant inlet (°F)} \]
\[ T_{C_0}(t) = \text{Heat exchanger coolant outlet (°F)} \]
\[ T_s(t) = \text{Saturated steam pressure (PSIQ)} \]
\[ P_L(t) = \text{Power delivered by heat exchanger (Btu/sec)} \]
\[ C_s = \text{Total thermal capacity of heat exchanger metal and secondary water and steam (Btu/°F)} \]
\[ C = \text{Specific heat of coolant (Btu/lbm°F)} \]
\[ h_{TM} = \text{Total heat transfer coefficient (Btu/°F.sec)} \]
\[ m_m = \text{Coolant mass flow rate (lbm/sec)} \]

and

\[ K_1 = \frac{2m_m C}{h_{TM}} \]

Let

\[ T_{H_1}(t) = T_{H_0} + \delta T_{H_1}(t) \]
\[ T_{C_0}(t) = T_C + \delta T_{C_0}(t) \]
\[ T_s(t) = T_s + \delta T_s(t) \]
\[ P_L(t) = P_{L_0} + \delta P_L(t) \tag{C 3} \]

where the lowest zero subscript denotes a steady state value and the delta prefix a small perturbation about this value.
With the plant in an initial steady state at \( t \leq 0 \), equations \((C1)\) and \((C2)\) are

\[
T_{co} = \frac{2T_{so} - T_{H1o} \left[ 1 + K_1 \right]}{\left[ 1 + K_1 \right]} \tag{C4}
\]

\[
T_{so} = \frac{1}{2} \left( T_{H1o} + T_{co} \right) + \frac{1}{h_{TM}} P_L \tag{C5}
\]

and

\[
\dot{p}P_L(t) = \dot{p}T_{co}(t) = \dot{p}T_{so}(t) = 0 \tag{C6}
\]

Impose the perturbations substituting equations \((C3)\), \((C4)\), and \((C5)\) into equations \((C1)\) and \((C2)\)

\[
\dot{p}T_{co} = \frac{2\dot{p}T_{so} - \dot{p}T_{H1} \left( 1 - K_1 \right)}{(1 + K_1)} \tag{C7}
\]

\[
\frac{C_s}{h_{TM}} \frac{d}{dt} \dot{p}T_{so}(t) + \dot{p}T_{so}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \dot{p}T_{H1}(t) + \dot{p}T_{co}(t) \right] - \frac{1}{h_{TM}} \dot{p}P_L(t) \tag{C8}
\]

Taking the Laplace transforms of equations \((C7)\) and \((C8)\) with the initial conditions given by equation \((C6)\)

\[
\dot{p}T_{so}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \dot{p}T_{co}(s) + \dot{p}T_{H1}(s) \right] - \frac{1}{h_{TM}} \dot{p}P_L(s) \tag{C9}
\]

where \( T_5 = \frac{C_s}{h_{TM}} \)

\[
\dot{p}T_{co}(s) = \left\{ 2\dot{p}T_{so}(s) - \dot{p}T_{H1}(s) \left[ 1 - K_1 \right] \right\} / \left[ 1 + K_1 \right]
\]

These are equations \((34)\) and \((35)\) on page 33.
APPENDIX D

DEVELOPMENT OF THE PRIMARY PIPING TRANSFER FUNCTIONS

1. Transport Delay Transfer Functions

The transport delay of the coolant between the outlet of the reactor and the heat exchanger inlet plenum can be expressed as

\[ T_{Hi} (t) = T_{Ho}(t - \tau_3) \]

where

- \( T_{Hi} \) = Heat exchanger inlet plenum coolant temperature (°F)
- \( T_{Ho} \) = Reactor coolant outlet temperature (°F)
- \( \tau_3 \) = Transport time delay (sec)

Rearranging terms and expanding equation (D 1) in a Taylor series

\[ T_{Ho}(t) = T_{Hi,p}(t) + \tau_3 \frac{dT_{Hi,p}(t)}{dt} + \frac{\tau_3^2}{2!} \frac{d^2T_{Hi,p}(t)}{dt^2} + \ldots \]  \( \text{(D 2)} \)

For slow temperature changes, the second order and higher terms in equation (D 2) may be ignored.

\[ T_{Hi,p}(t) + \tau_3 \frac{dT_{Hi,p}(t)}{dt} = T_{Ho}(t) \]  \( \text{(D 3)} \)

Let

\[ T_{Hi}(t) = T_{Hi,p} + \delta T_{Hi,p}(t) \]  \( \text{(D 4)} \)

\[ T_{Ho}(t) = T_{Ho_o} + \delta T_{Ho}(t) \]

where the lowest zero subscript denotes a steady state value and the delta prefix a small perturbation about this value.
From substitution of equations (D 4) into equation (D 3) and for the temperatures in a steady state at t ≤ 0

\[ T_{H_i0} = T_{H_o0} \]

and

\[ \partial^0T_{H_i}(t) = \partial^0T_{H_o}(t) = 0 \]

(D 6)

Imposing the perturbations at t=0. Substituting equations (D 4) and (D 5) into equation (D 3) with the initial conditions given in equation (D 6)

\[ \partial^0T_{H_i}(t) + T_3 \frac{d}{dt} \partial^0T_{H_i}(t) = \partial^0T_{H_o}(t) \]

(D 7)

Taking the Laplace transform of equation (D 7) and solving for

\[ \frac{\partial^0T_{H_i}(s)}{\partial^0T_{H_o}(s)} = \frac{1}{1 + T_3s} \]

This is equation (39) on page 36.

By following a similar development, the transport delay between a perturbation in the heat exchanger coolant outlet temperature and the resulting perturbation in the reactor inlet plenum coolant temperature can be expressed by the transfer function

\[ \frac{\partial^0T_{c_i}(s)}{\partial^0T_{c_o}(s)} = \frac{1}{1 + T_4s} \]

where

\[ \partial^0T_{c_i} = \text{Reactor inlet plenum coolant temperature perturbation} (\circ F) \]

\[ \partial^0T_{c_o} = \text{Heat exchanger coolant outlet temperature perturbation} (\circ F) \]

\[ T_4 = \text{Transport time delay} \text{ (sec)} \]
2. **Mixing Delay Transfer Function**

Let the reactor and heat exchanger coolant inlet plenums be represented by the control volume shown in Figure D-1.

\[
\begin{align*}
M &= \text{Mass of coolant in plenum (lbm/sec)} \\
T &= \text{Average plenum coolant temperature (°F)} \\
T_i &= \text{Plenum inlet coolant temperature (°F)} \\
T_o &= \text{Plenum outlet coolant temperature (°F)} \\
m &= \text{Coolant mass flow rate (lbm/sec)}
\end{align*}
\]

**Figure D-1. Mixing Volume**

If perfect mixing is assumed, the coolant outlet temperature is equal to the average coolant temperature in the plenum. At heat balance on the volume requires that the net heat flowing into the plenum be equal to the increase in stored energy. Assuming no ambient heat losses, and assuming the temperature of the plenum structural material remains constant, the heat balance per unit time over a time interval \( \Delta t \) is

\[
\dot{m} \Delta t C \left[ T_i(t) - T_o(t) \right] = MC \frac{dT(t)}{dt} \Delta t = \dot{m} \Delta t C \frac{dT_o(t)}{dt}
\]

where \( C = \text{specific heat of coolant} \)

The resulting equation is

\[
\frac{M}{\dot{m}} \frac{dT_o(t)}{dt} + T_o(t) = T \frac{dT_o(t)}{dt} + T_o(t) = T_i(t) \quad (D.8)
\]
where $T = \frac{M}{m} = \text{mixing time delay.}$

Let

$$T_i(t) = T_{i0} + \delta T_i(t) \tag{D9}$$

$$T_0(t) = T_{00} + \delta T_0(t)$$

where the lowest zero subscript denotes an initial steady state value and the delta prefix a small perturbation about this value.

Substituting equations (D8) into equation (D9)

$$T \frac{d}{dt} \delta T_0(t) + \delta T_0(t) = \delta T_i(t) \tag{D10}$$

Taking the Laplace transform of equation (D10) and solving for $\delta T_0/ \delta T_i$

$$\frac{\delta T_0(s)}{\delta T_i(s)} = \frac{1}{1 + T_3}$$

This is equation (41) on page 37.
APPENDIX E
INTERACTIVE PROGRAM LISTING

INTEGER T
DIMENSION X(3000), TIME(3000), TIMEH(3000), LAB1(29), LAB2(21), 
* LAB3(11)
C
C GRAPH TITLE: D O T S H U T O W N X E N N
DATA LAB1 / 83,79,81,84,32,32,72,85,81,68,79,87,78,32,88,69,78,79, 
C N T R A N S I E N T
*78,32,84,82,65,78,83,73,59,78,84 /
C SCALE TITLE: X E N D N 1 3 5 A T M S / C M
C
C 31
C SCALE TITLE: T I M E ( H . R . S .)
C DATA LAB3 / 84,73,77,69,32,40,72,82,83,41 /
C
C INITIALIZE FOLLOWING PLANT PARAMETERS:
C SIGMAA URANIUM-235 MICROSCOPIC ABSORPTION CROSS SECTION ( CM 2 )
C SIGMAF J E N I U M - 2 3 5 M I C R O S C O P I C F I S S I O N C R O S S S E C T I O N ( C M 2 )
C SIGMAX URANIUM-235 F I S S I O N C R O S S S E C T I O N ( C M 2 )
C GAMMAI EFFECTIVE FISSION YIELD OF IODINE-135 (%)
C GAMMAX EFFECTIVE FISSION YIELD OF XENON-135 (%)
C LAMDAI IODINE-135 DECAY CONSTANT (1/SEC)
C LAMDAX XENON-135 DECAY CONSTANT (1/SEC)
C MDDM REACTOR COOLANT MASS FLOW RATE ( L M / S E C )
C CPM REACTOR COOLANT SPECIFIC THERMAL CAPACITY ( BTU / L M F )
C HTM STEAM FEVERATOR TOTAL EFFECTIVE HEAT TRANSFER
C COEFFICIENT ( BTU / SEC )
C
REAL D, K1, LAMDAI/ 2.875E-5/ , LAMDAX/ 2.3317E-5/ , MDDM/ 6280./ , LOADM, 
C LOADM, LJADE
U235 = 6.07E+15
SIGMAA = 393.2 = 24
SIGMAF = 334.2 = 24
SIGMAX = 1.262E-18
GAMMAI = 0.0636
GAMMAX = 0.00228
CPM = 1.16
HTM = 3919.
C
C PRINT PROGRAM INTRODUCTION
WRITE(4, 5000)
C
C READ INITIAL STEADY STATE POWER LEVEL ( PWRO )
15 WRITE (6, 6002)
READ (4, 5000) PWRO
C
IF (PWRO.GE.10. AND. PWRO.LE.120) GO TO 20
PRINT NOTICE: OF INCORRECT DATA ENTRY
GO TO 15

TRANSFER PWRO TO INITIAL DATA FILE
WRITE (1,1000) PWRO

CALCULATE FOLLOWING INITIAL STEADY STATE PARAMETERS:

PWROM = PWRO * 2.31
FLUXO = 2.8*12^*PWRO
X0 = (SMA*MAX*235*SMA*FLUXO) / (LAMBDA*MAX*FLUXO)

THOM = TMRO + .15 * PWRC
TCIO = TMRO - .15 * PWRC
THIO = TH00
TCIO = TCIO
K1 = 2.*K00*/1+2.2/HTM
TSO = (THIO*1.1 + TCIO*1.1) * 0.5
PSO = EXP((AL*(-(TSO-4.77123))/0.22151)
LOADO = PWRO
LOADOM = LOADO * .68

WRITE(5,301) PWRO, PWRO, FLUXO, X0, TFO, THOM, TCIO, TSO, PSO, LOADO, LOADOM

PRINT INITIAL STEADY STATE PARAMETERS
WRITE(5,301) PWRO, PWRO, FLUXO, X0, TFO, THOM, TCIO, TSO, PSO, LOADO, LOADOM
C DETERMINE DESIRED SIMULATION
25 WRITE (6,6003)
READ (5,5000) ANSWER
C DETERMINE IF INCORRECT DATA ENTERED
IANS = IFIX(ANSWER)
IF (IANS .EQ. 1) GO TO 33
IF (IANS .EQ. 2) GO TO 80
C PRINT NOTICE OF INCORRECT DATA ENTRY
WRITE (5,5200)
GO TO 25
C DETERMINE INITIAL REACTIVITY CHANGE MECHANISM
30 WRITE (6,6004)
READ (5,5000) ANSWER
C DETERMINE IF INCORRECT DATA ENTERED
IANS = IFIX(ANSWER)
IF (IANS .EQ. 1) GO TO 35
IF (IANS .EQ. 2) GO TO 65
C PRINT NOTICE OF INCORRECT DATA ENTRY
WRITE (5,5200)
GO TO 35
C READ TIME LENGTH (SEC) OF BANK CONTROL ROD MOVEMENT (TSHIM)
35 WRITE (6,6005)
READ (5,5000) TSHIM
C DETERMINE IF INCORRECT DATA ENTERED
IF (TSHIM .GE. 0 .AND. TSHIM .LE. 1.0) GO TO 40
C PRINT NOTICE OF INCORRECT DATA ENTRY
WRITE (6,6230)
GO TO 35
C TRANSFER TSHIM TO INITIAL DATA FILE
40 WRITE (1,1000) TSHIM
C DETERMINE THE DIRECTION OF BANK CONTROL ROD MOVEMENT (OISHIM)
45 WRITE (6,6006)
READ (5,5000) SHIM
C DETERMINE IF INCORRECT DATA ENTERED
IF (TSHIM .LE. 1.0) GO TO 55
C PRINT NOTICE OF INCORRECT DATA ENTRY
WRITE (6,6230)
GO TO 45
SET SHIM DIRECTION TO INSERT POSITIVE REACTIVITY ("OUT")

DSHM = 1.
GO TO 60

SET SHIM DIRECTION TO INSERT NEGATIVE REACTIVITY ("IN")

DSHM = -1.

TRANSFER DSHM TO INITIAL DATA FILE

WRITE (1,1000) DSHM

TRANSFER LOAD2 TO INITIAL DATA FILE

WRITE (1,1000) LOADF
GO TO 200

SET THE TIME LENGTH (TSHM) AND DIRECTION (DSHM) OF RANK CONTROL ROD MOVEMENT =

TSHM = 0.
DSHM = 0.

TRANSFER TSHM AND DSHM TO THE INITIAL DATA FILE

WRITE (1,1000) TSHM
WRITE (1,1000) DSHM

READ FINAL TURBINE LOAD (LOADF)

WRITE (6,6007)
READ (5,5000) LOADF

DETERMINE IF INCORRECT DATA ENTERED

IF ( LOADF .GE. 10. AND. LOADF .LE. 100. ) GO TO 75

PRINT NOTICE OF INCORRECT DATA ENTRY

WRITE (8,6230)
GO TO 70

TRANSFER LOADF TO INITIAL DATA FILE

WRITE (1,1000) LOADF
GO TO 200

POST SHUTDOWN XENON TRANSIENT ALGORITHM

CONTINUE

CALCULATE EQUILIBRIUM IODINE-135 AT TIME OF SHUTDOWN

IO = GAMMAI * 1235 * SIGMAF * FLUXF / LAMDAI

CONVERT LAMDAI AND LAMDAEX TO I/MIN

LAMDAI = LAMDAI * 60.
LAMDAEX = LAMDAEX * 60.
C CALCULATE instantaneous xenon-135 concentration
DO 85 T = 0.3, 0.1
   TIME(T) = FLOAT(T-1)
   X1 = X1 * EXP(-LAMDAI*TIME(T))
   X2 = LAMDAI * IO/(LAMDAI-LAMDAIX)
   X3 = EXP(-LAMDAI*TIME(T)) - EXP(-LAMDAI*TIME(T))
C CONVERT Time TO HOURS
   TIME(T) = TIME(T)/60.
85 X(T) = X1 + X2 * X3
C DETERMINE if THERE is a FAST Shutdown xenon peak
   TMAX1 = 1/(LAMDAI-LAMDAIX)
   TMAX2 = LAMDAI/LAMDAIX
   TMAX3 = 1. + (1. -1./TMAX2)*X3/IO
   TMAX = TMAX1 + ALOG(TMAX2/TMAX3)
   TMAX = TMAX/60.
   IF (TMAX) 95, 95, 90
C CALCULATE the peak xenon concentration
90 XMAX1 = X0 * EXP(-LAMDAI*TMAX)
   XMAX2 = LAMDAI * IO/(LAMDAI-LAMDAIX)
   XMAX3 = EXP(-LAMDAI*TMAX) - EXP(-LAMDAI*TIME(T))
   XMAX = XMAX1 + X4*X2*X4*X3
GO TO 100
95 XMAX = X0
   TMAX = 0.0
C CALCULATE the peak xenon Reactivity
100 ALPHAX = -SIGMA/(1.25*J235*SIGMAA)
   RHOMAX = XMAX * ALPHAX
C TECHNIX 4012 graphics ALGORITHM
   L1 = 29
   L2 = 21
   L3 = 10
   CALL WITI(29)
   CALL BINITT
   CALL NPTS(500)
   CALL SMAX(100,970)
   CALL SIMY(175,665)
   CALL CHECK(TIME,X)
   CALL DISPLAY(TIME,X)
   CALL WRTATE(325,695,L1,LAB3)
   CALL DUSR(65,50,710)
   CALL WJABS(50,710)
   CALL VABLE(L2,LAB2)
   CALL WRTATE(455,100,L3,LAB3)
   CALL X2=O(1)
   CALL YZERO(1)
   CALL FINITI(73,721)
C
C PRINT PRE-SHUTDOWN REACTOR POWER AND THE TIME, CONCENTRATION, AND
REACTIVITY OF MAXIMUM XENON
WRITE (6,6400) PWRO,TMAX,XMAX,RHOMAX
GO TO 100

C PRINT INITIAL REACTIVITY CHANGE PARAMETERS
WRITE (6,6401) PWRO,TSHM,SHM,LOADF

C NOTIFY USER INPUTS HAVE BEEN ENTERED IN THE SIMULATION PROGRAM AND
SENT TO THE BATCH SYSTEM FOR EXECUTION.
WRITE*(5,6500)

C CONTINUE

100 CONTINUE

5000 FORMAT(F68.2)

6000 FORMAT(*'/'
* THIS IS AN INTERACTIVE PROGRAM WHICH SIMULATE*/
$ THE THERMAL RESPONSE / OF A 231 WAT T (68 MW), HIGHLY ENRICHED
$ PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR (PWR). */
$ POWER PLANT TO CHANGES IN BANK CON-
$ TROL ROD POSITION*/
$ OR TURBINE LOAD. THE REACTIVITY FEEDBACK EFFECTS*/
$ RESULTING IN A CHANGE IN MODERATOR TEMPERATURE AND XENON-135 CONCENTRA-
* TION ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE MODEL. */
$ PLEASE ANSWER THE FINA2700
$ FOLLOWING STATEMENTS BY ENTERING THE NUMBER (INCLUDING THE DEC.
$IMAL POINT, BUT NOT THE PERCENT SYMBOL) WHICH CORRESPONDS TO THE 
$ Desired choice or value within the given range. */

6002 FORMAT(*'/'
$ Enter an initial steady state power level between 10. and 100. percent. */
$ Note: A minimum of 10% power is required.*/
$ Enter to operate the reactor coolant*/
$ PUMPS AND OTHER PLANT*/
$ AUXILIARIES*/

6003 FORMAT(*'/'
$ Enter the desired simulation: */
$ 1. MODERATOR*/
$ 2. TEMPERATURE AND XENON FEEDBACK AT PHASER*/
$ 3. POST SHUTDOWN*/
$ 4. XENON BEHAVIOR*/

6004 FORMAT(*'/'
$ Enter the initial reactivity change mechanism: */
$ 1. BANK CONTROL ROD CHANGE*/
$ 2. TURBINE LOAD CHANGE*/

6005 FORMAT(*'/'
$ Enter the time length (SEC) of Bank Control Rod Shim*/
$ Insertion of DELTA K/SEC, */
$ 7X, 00 NOT FCFF A TOTAL REACTIVIT*/
$ Insertion of 35.0F-4 DELTA K, */
$ 7X, 01, 12 A 28 SEC SHIM*/

6006 FORMAT(*'/'
$ Enter the direction of Bank Control Rod Shim*/
$ 5X, 1. IN (POSITIVE REACTIVITY INSERTION), */
$ 2. OUT (NEGATIVE REACTIVITY INSERTION)/

6007 FORMAT(*'/'
$ Enter final turbine load between 10. and 100. percent*/
$ Note: Load variation will occur at a fixed rate of 0.5%/*/

6200 FORMAT(*'/'
$ INCORRECT DATA ENTERED*/

INA02480
INA02490
INA02500
INA02510
INA02520
INA02530
INA02540
INA02550
INA02560
INA02570
INA02580
INA02590
INA02600
INA02610
INA02620
INA02630
INA02640
INA02650
INA02660
INA02670
INA02680
INA02690
INA02700
INA02710
INA02720
INA02730
INA02740
INA02750
INA02760
INA02770
INA02780
INA02790
INA02800
INA02810
INA02820
INA02830
INA02840
INA02850
INA02860
INA02870
INA02880
INA02890
INA02900
INA02910
INA02920
INA02930
INA02940
INA02950
INA02960
APPENDIX F
SIMULATION PROGRAM LISTING

//REACTOR 1 JOB (1085, 1077, ATB3), 'HEATH, G.G. 54C1639', TIME=90
// EXEC CS4PXV
// FTL3F301 DD SPACE=(CYL, (5,2))
// X.SYSPRINT DD DJWV
// X.COMPRINT DD DJWY
// X.SYSIN DD *

******************************************************************************
* THIS PROGRAM SIMULATES A HIGHLY ENRICHED PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR. *
* THE APPLICABLE PLANT PARAMETERS FROM THE SHIPPING POINT ATOMIC POWER *
* STATION ARE USED IN THE MODEL; THE THERMAL RESPONSE OF THE PLANT *
* TO NORMAL OPERATING TRANSIENTS, INDUCED BY EITHER CONTROL ROD OR *
* TURBINE LOAD CHANGES, ARE SIMULATED. THE PROGRAM ALSO INCORPORATES *
* A ROD CONTROL, REACTOR PROTECTION, AND AUTOMATIC AVERAGE REACTOR *
* COOLANT TEMPERATURE CONTROL SYSTEM. *
******************************************************************************

* *
* FIXED SF, CFLAG1, CFLAG2, CFLAG3, CFLAG4, THFLAG, TFLAG, SFLAG1, SFLAG2 *
* FIXED T, T1, T2 *
*
*
* INITIAL *
* ZERO COUNTERS (T1, T2) FOR THE AVERAGE REACTOR COOLANT TEMPERATURE *
* (TRMT) CONTROL ALGORITHM: AND *
* ZERO THE FOLLOWING FLAGS (INDICATORS) FOR THE REACTOR PROTECTION AND *
* TRMT CONTROL ALGORITHMS (THE FUNCTION OF THESE FLAGS WHEN SET IS DE-*
* SCRIBED, WHEN ZEROED THEY ARE NEUTRAL): *
* SF, CFLAG1 PROTECTIVE FULL INSERTION OF CONTROL RODS (SCRAM)
* CFLAG2 PROTECTIVE INSERTION OF CONTROL RODS (CUTBACK)
* CFLAG3 SCRAM ON RECOVERING CUTBACK *
* CFLAG4 HIGH STARTUP RATE CUTBACK CONDITION *
* THFLAG HIGH REACTOR COOLANT OUTLET TEMPERATURE ALARM *
* TFLAG TRMT OUTSIDE NORMAL TEMPERATURE BAND OF +/- 5F *
* SFLAG1 CONTROL ROD MOVEMENT TO RETURN TRMT TO NOT *
* SFLAG2 ZERO SFLAG1 *
* INCON SF=0, CFLAG1=0, CFLAG2=0, CFLAG3=0, CFLAG4=0, THFLAG=0, ...
* TFLAG=0, SFLAG1=0, SFLAG2=0, T1=0, T2=3, T=0
* CALCULATE REMAINING CONSTANT PLANT PARAMETERS:
  GAMMA = 1. \times (\text{DOOTM} \times CPM)
  TAJ3 = CM/(\text{DOOTM} \times CPM)
  TAU1 = CF/FC
  TAU2 = CM/HTM
  TAJ5 = CS/HTM
  K1 = 2. \times \text{DOOTM} \times CPM/HTM

* PROCEDURE PWR3, TSHIM, DSHIM, LOADF = READY (SUMM):
  READ INITIAL STEADY STATE POWER LEVEL (PWR3) (%)
  READ (5,5000) PWR3

* READ THE TIME LENGTH OF BANK CONTROL ROD MOVEMENT (TSHIM)
  READ (5,5000) TSIM

* READ THE DIRECTION OF BANK CONTROL ROD MOVEMENT (DSHIM)
  READ (5,5000) DSHIM

* READ THE FINAL TURBINE LOAD (LOADF) (%)
  READ (5,5000) LOADF

ENDPROCEDURE

* CALCULATE FOLLOWING INITIAL STEADY STATE PARAMETERS:

  PWR3T: INITIAL REACTOR POWER (BTU/SEC)
  FLUXD: INITIAL THERMAL NEUTRON FLUX (NEUTRONS/CM2 SEC)
  XT: INITIAL EQUILIBRIUM XENON-135 NUMBER DENSITY (ATOMS/CM3)
  ALPHAX: XENON-135 COEFFICIENT OF REACTIVITY (DELTA K/XE-135)
  T43: INITIAL AVERAGE REACTOR COOLANT TEMPERATURE (F)
  T03: INITIAL REACTOR COOLANT INLET TEMPERATURE (F)
  T43: INITIAL STEAM GENERATOR COOLANT INLET TEMPERATURE (F)
  T03: INITIAL STEAM GENERATOR COOLANT OUTLET TEMPERATURE (F)
  LOAD: INITIAL TURBINE LOAD (%)
  T53: INITIAL SATURATED STEAM TEMPERATURE (F)
  PS3: INITIAL SATURATED STEAM PRESSURE (LBF/IN2)
  AD: INITIAL THROTTLE VALVE SETTING

  PWR3T = PWR3 \times 2.19E+3
  FLUXD = 2.5 \times 12 \times PWR3
  XT = (\text{GAMMA} + \text{GAMMA}1) \times J255 \times \text{SIGMA} \times \text{FLUXD}/(\text{LAMDA} + \text{SIGMA} \times \text{FLUXD})
  ALPHAX = -1. \times (XT \times \text{SIGMA} \times \text{GAMMA}1/\text{SIGMA} \times J255)
  TMR3 = 523.
  T43 = T43 + .15 \times PWR3
  T53 = T53 - .15 \times PWR3
  T03 = T03
  T03 = T03
  LOAD = LOAD

8
TSD = ( -HIJ * (1.-K1) + TSOO * (1.+C) ) * 0.5
PSD = EXP((PSJ3-21C)/1)
AD = LOAD0/100.

* WOSORT
* CALCULATE THE TURBINE LOAD CHANGE (LOADC) (%)
   LOADC = LOAD0 - -LOADF
* SET THE DIRECTION OF TURBINE LOAD CHANGE
IF ( LOADC ) 5,15,15
   LOAD = 1.
   GO TO 17
   LOAD = 0.0
   RLOAD = 0.0
   GO TO 20
   LOAD = -1.
* CALCULATE THE TURBINE LOAD CHANGE TIME (TLOAD)
17 RLOAD = 3.005
   TLOAD = ABS(LOADC) * 2.0
* PRINT INITIAL PLANT PARAMETERS
20 WRITE(6,5000) PWR, TWR, TH00, TCI0, TSD, PSD, LOAD0, DSHIM, TSHIM, LOADF
* CALCULATE DYNAMIC SECTION TRANSFER FUNCTION (TRANSF) COEFFICIENTS:
* REACTOR CASE, OUTLET TEMPERATURE TRANSFER COEFFICIENTS:
   (1) = T30J/2. * (TAJ1/TAU2 + 1.) - TAU1
   (2) = T30J * TAU1/2.
   (3) = -1.
   (4) = (1) + 2.*TAJ1
   (5) = -1.
   (6) = -1.
   (7) = 2.*TAJ1
   (8) = -1.
   (9) = -1.
   (10) = 2.*TAJ1
   (11) = -1.
   (12) = 2.*TAJ1
* XE3N TRANSFER FUNCTION COEFFICIENTS:
   (1) = SIGMA* (C3 - C5)
   (2) = SIGMA* (C3* (GAMMA + GAMMA) - C5)
   (3) = -3* + LAMDAI + LAMDAI
   (4) = LAMDAI*(C4*LAMDAI)
   (5) = LAMDAI*(C4*LAMDAI)
* REACTOR KINETICS TRANSFER FUNCTION COEFFICIENTS

\[ \begin{align*}
4(1) &= 2m3 \\
4(2) &= \lambda \text{lambda} \times \text{pwr} \\
1(1) &= \alpha \text{alpha} \times \lambda \text{lambda} \times \beta \\
1(3) &= 0.0
\end{align*} \]

* SORT

* ESTABLISH INITIAL ROD AND JIG/RIVE CONTROL TIMES:

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{TIME1} &= 0.3 \\
\text{TIME2} &= 1.4 \\
\text{SL34D} &= 0.3
\end{align*} \]

* MAJOR PLANT PARAMETERS USED IN DYNAMIC SECTION:

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{POWER} &= \text{INSTANTANEOUS REACTOR POWER (W)} \\
\text{PWR} &= \text{INITIAL STEADY STATE REACTOR POWER LEVEL (W)} \\
\text{PWR} &= \text{REACTOR POWER PERTURBATION (W)} \\
\text{PWR} &= \text{REACTOR POWER PERTURBATION (3TU/SEC)} \\
\text{SJR} &= \text{REACTOR POWER STARTUP RATE (DECADAS/4IV)} \\
\text{FLJX} &= \text{THEMICAL NEUTRON FLUX PERTURBATION (NEUTRONS/CM2 SEC)} \\
\text{RHO} &= \text{ELECTRICITY (DELTA K)} \\
\text{RHO} &= \text{CONTROL ROD REACTIVITY (DELTA K)} \\
\text{RHO} &= \text{M0DULATOR TEMPERATURE FEEDBACK REACTIVITY (DELTA K)} \\
\text{RHO} &= \text{XENON-135 COEFFICIENT OF REACTIVITY (DELTA K/CM3)} \\
\text{RHO} &= \text{XENON-135 COEFFICIENT OF REACTIVITY (DELTA K/XE-135)} \\
\text{X} &= \text{XENON-135 NUMBER DENSITY (ATOMS/CM3)} \\
\text{X} &= \text{XENON-135 NUMBER DENSITY PERTURBATION (ATOMS/CM3)} \\
\text{ALPHA} &= \text{TEMPERATURE COEFFICIENT OF REACTIVITY (DELTA K/F)} \\
\text{ALPHA} &= \text{INSTANTANEOUS AVERAGE REACTOR COOLANT TEMPERATURE (F)} \\
\text{TM3} &= \text{INITIAL AVERAGE REACTOR COOLANT TEMPERATURE (F)} \\
\text{TM3} &= \text{AVERAGE REACTOR COOLANT TEMPERATURE PERTURBATION (F)} \\
\text{TM3} &= \text{REACTION COOLANT OUTLET TEMPERATURE (F)} \\
\text{THO} &= \text{INITIAL REACTOR COOLANT JET TRUMBERG (F)} \\
\text{THO} &= \text{REACTION COOLANT JET TRUMBERG PERTURBATION (F)} \\
\text{THO} &= \text{REAPER COOLANT JET TRUMBERG PERTURBATION (F)} \\
\text{THO} &= \text{STEAM GENERATOR INLET TEMPERATURE (F)} \\
\text{THO} &= \text{STEAM GENERATOR INLET TEMPERATURE PERTURBATION (F)} \\
\text{THO} &= \text{STEAM GENERATOR INLET TEMPERATURE PERTURBATION (F)} \\
\text{THO} &= \text{STEAM GENERATOR JET TRUMBERG TEMPERATURE (F)} \\
\text{THO} &= \text{STEAM GENERATOR JET TRUMBERG TEMPERATURE PERTURBATION (F)} \\
\text{THO} &= \text{STEAM GENERATOR JET TRUMBERG TEMPERATURE PERTURBATION (F)} \\
\text{THO} &= \text{STEAM GENERATOR JET TRUMBERG TEMPERATURE PERTURBATION (F)} \\
\text{THO} &= \text{Saturated STEAM PRESSURE (TPS)} \\
\text{THO} &= \text{INSTANTANEOUS SATURATED STEAM TEMPERATURE (F)}
\end{align*} \]
* PWRSG  INSTANTANEOUS POWER DELIVERED TO TURBINE (X)
* PWRSGT INSTANTANEOUS POWER DELIVERED TO THE TURBINE (BTU/SEC)
* A INSTANTANEOUS TURBINE THROTTLE VALVE SETTING

**DYNAMIC**

**REACTOR KINETICS**

- RH0 = RHOE + 3 * HOT + RHOX
- PWR = TRANSF(2, I, 0, H, RH0)
- PWR = PWR * 2.19E+3
- FLUX = PWR * 2.0F+12

**MODERATOR TEMPERATURE FEEDBACK**

- RHOT = T4R * ALPHAT
- TMR = (TH0 + TCI) / 2.

**XENON-135 FEEDBACK**

- X = TRANSF(2, I, 0, E, FLUX)
- RH0X = X * ALPHAX

**REACTOR AND STEAM PLANT ALGORITHM**

**PRIMARY THERMAL LOOP**

- TH01 = TRANSF(2, 0, 1, G, PWR)
- TH02 = TRANSF(2, 0, 2, C, TCI)
- TH0 = TH01 - TH02
- TCIP = REALPL(0, 0, TAU1, TCI)
- TCI = REALPL(0, 0, TAU6, TCI)

**SECONDARY THERMAL LOOP**

- THIP = REALPL(0, 0, TAU3, TCI)
- THI = REALPL(0, 0, TAU6, THIP)
- PWRSG = A * 133.0
- LOAD = PWRSG
- PWRSGT = PWRSG * 2.19E+3

**PROCEDURE**

- TCO = LOOP[PWRSGT, PWRST, TCO1, THI, TCI, ERROR, TAUS, K1]
- TCO = Impl(TCO1, ERROR, F0TCO)
- SGVARY = (THI + TCI) / 2. - (PWRST - PWRST) / HTHM
- TS = REALPL(0, 0, TAUS, SGVARY)
- F0TCO = (2. * TS - THI * (1. - K1)) / (1. + K1)

**ENDPROCEDURE**

- TST = T50 + TS
- PST = EXP((A13G(TST) - C2) / C1)

**ROD CONTROL**

- RHOE1 = DSHIM * RSHIM * RAMP(TIME1)
- RHOE2 = DSHIM * RSHIM * RAMP(TIME2)
- RHOE = RHOE1 - RHOE2

**TURBINE THROTTLE CONTROL**

- A1 = 40 + 0.3A * RLOAD * RAMP(SLOAD)
- A2 = DLOAD * RLOAD * RAMP(TLOAD)
- A = A1 - A2
* PROCEDURE POWER, XE, TAV, TH, TC, SUR = RCS(SDFLAG, CFLAG1, CFLAG2, ...
   * , FFLAG, TFLAG, SFLAG1, SFALG2, T1, T2, T3,
   * , WRO, PWR, TMRD, TRM, T430, THD, TCI, TC1, XO, X, CFLAG4)
*
* CHECK IF VALID INTEGRATION STEP PERFORMED
* IF (KEEP %VE, I) GO TO 115
* CALCULATE INSTANTANEOUS PARAMETERS
  POWER = PWR + WRO
  TAV = TMRD + TRM
  TH = TH00 + T430
  TC = TCIO + TCI
  XE135 = XO + X
* CALCULATE REACTOR POWER STARTUP RATE (SJF)
  PWRDOT = DERIV(PWR, POWER)
  SUR = PWRDOT * 0.06
*
* REACTOR PROTECTION ALGORITHM
  IF (TIME .LE. 0.05) GO TO 115
* CHECK IF SCRAM IN PROGRESS
  IF (SDFLAG .EQ. 1) GO TO 115
* CHECK FOR HIGH POWER SCRAM CONDITION (POWER>138%)
  IF (POWER .GT. 0.138) GO TO 25
* CHECK FOR HIGH TEMPERATURE SCRAM CONDITION (TH>550F)
  IF (TH .GE. 550.) GO TO 30
* CHECK IF ANY PREVIOUS CUTBACK HAS CLEARED
  IF (CFLAG2 .EQ. 1) CFLAG1 = 0
* CHECK IF CUTBACK IN PROGRESS
  IF (CFLAG1 .EQ. 1) GO TO 45
* CHECK FOR HIGH SJF (>1.74) CUTBACK CONDITION
  IF (SUR .GE. 1.74) GO TO 60
* CHECK FOR HIGH POWER CUTBACK CONDITION (POWER>114%)
  IF (POWER .GE. 1.14) GO TO 65
* CHECK IF ALARM EXIST
  IF (THFLAG .EQ. 1) GO TO 85
* CHECK IF THAT ALARM CONDITION EXISTS (TH>544F)
  IF (TH .LE. 544.) GO TO 90
  SET THFLAG
  THFLAG = 1
* PRINT NOTICE THAT ALARM
  WRITE (6, 6001) TH, TIME
  GO TO 90
*
* PRINT NOTICE HIGH POWER SCRAM
  25 WRITE (6, 6005) POWER, TIME
  GO TO 40
* PRINT NOTICE HIGH TEMPERATURE SCRAM
30 WRITE (6,6006) POWER,TH,TIME
**
* SET SCRAM PARAMETERS:
40 SET ROD DIRECTION "IN"
  OSHIM = -1
* SET CONTROL ROD SCRAM INSERTION RATE
  RSHIM = 0.19
* SET TIME TO START SCRAM
  TIME1 = TIME
* SET TIME TO STOP SCRAM
  TIME2 = TIME + 1.35
* TRIP TURBINE THROTTLE
  AD = 0
  OLOAD = -1.
  SLOAD = TIME
  TLOAD = TIME + 1.
* SET SF FLAG
  SDFLAG = 1
  GO TO 115
*
* CHECK IF HIGH POWER CUTBACK CONDITION CLEARED
45 IF (CFLAG = .EQ. 1) GO TO 50
  IF (POWER .GE. 180.) GO TO 115
* PRINT NOTICE HIGH POWER CUTBACK CONDITION CLEARED
  WRITE (6,6007) POWER,TIME
  GO TO 55
*
* CHECK IF HIGH SUR CUTBACK CONDITION CLEARED
50 IF (SUR .GE. 1.2) GO TO 115
* PRINT NOTICE HIGH SUR CUTBACK CONDITION CLEARED
  WRITE (6,6008) POWER,SUR,TIME
*
* STOP CUTBACK
55 TIME1 = TIME
  TIME2 = TIME
* SET CFLAG2 (REOCcurring CUTBACK WILL RESULT IN SCRAM)
  CFLAG2 = 1
* SET CFLAG 3 (ZERO CFLAG1)
  CFLAG3 = 1
  GO TO 115
*
* PRINT NOTICE HIGH SUR CUTBACK CONDITION
60 WRITE (6,6009) POWER,SUR,TIME
  CFLAG4 = 1
  GO TO 70
* PRINT NOTICE HIGH POWER CUTBACK CONDITION
65 WRITE (6, 6010) POWER, TIME
*
* CHECK FOR RECURRING CUTBACK
70 IF (CFLAGEQ, 1) GOTO 80
* SET CUTBACK PARAMETERS:
* SET ROOM DIRECTION "IN"
OSHIM = -1.
* SET TIME TO START CUTBACK
TIME1 = TIME
TIME2 = TIME + 370.
* SET TFLAG = 1
CE AGL = 1
* TRIP TURBINE THROTTLE TO MID POSITION
IF (AO_L.E. 0.51) GOTO 75
A3 = 0.5
75 DLOAD = -1.
SLOAD = TIME
TLOAD = TIME + 1.
GO TO 115
*
* PRINT NOTICE RECURRING CUTBACK RESULTING IN SCRAM
80 WRITE (6, 6011) POWER, SUR, TIME
G3 TO 40
*
* CHECK IF TOT ALARM CONDITION CLEARED
85 IF (TH_SEEQ 544.) GOTO 90
* REZERO TTHFLAG
TTHFL = 1
* PRINT NOTICE TOT ALARM CONDITION CLEARED
WRITE (6, 6002) TH.TIME
*
* AVERAGE REACTOR COOLANT TEMPERATURE (TAV) CONTROL SYSTEM ALGORITHM
*
* CHECK FOR TMR CONTROL CONDITION
90 IF (TFLAG L.E. 1) T1 = T1 + 1
* CHECK IF TMR IS OUTSIDE NORMAL OPERATING TEMPERATURE (NOT) BAND
IF (ABS(TMR) L.E. 5.) G3 TO 110
T2 = T2 + 1
IF (TFLAG L.E. 1) G3 TO 95
* SET TFLAG (TMR OUTSIDE NOT BAND)
TFLAG = 1
* SET TIME WHEN TMR WENT OUTSIDE NOT BAND
TIME = TIME
95 T = T2 - T1
IF (T EQ 1.) G3 TO 100
* REZERO TFLAG
  TFLAG = 0
  GO TO 115
* CALCULATE THE AMOUNT OF TIME TMR OUTSIDE NOT BAND
  100 TIME1 = TIME - TIME
* CHECK IF TMR OUTSIDE NOT BAND > 10 MINUTES
  IF (TIME < TIME - 633) GO TO 115
  IF (S=LAG < Q - 11) GO TO 115
* PRINT NOTICE IF TMR OUTSIDE NOT BAND > 10 MINUTES
  WRITE (6,6003) TAV, TIME
* SET TIMES FOR TMR CONTROL SHIM
  TIME1 = TIME
  TIME2 = TIME + 9.E+4
* SET SFLAG1
  SFLAG = 1
  TIME1 = TIME
* DETERMINE SHIM DIRECTION REQUIRED TO RETURN TMR TO NOT BAND
  IF (TMR < TLT. 3) GO TO 105
* SET SHIM DIRECTION "IN"
  DSH1 = -1
  WRITE (6,5013) TIME1, DSH1
  J3 TO 115
* SET SHIM DIRECTION "OUT"
  105 DSH1 = 1
  GO TO 115
* CHECK IF TMR CONTROL SHIM COMPLETED
  110 IF (SFLAG2 < SLAG1 = 0)
  * CHECK IF TMR CONTROL SHIM IN PROGRESS
    IF (SFLAG2 < SLAG1 = 0)
    * CHECK IF TMR RETURNED TO NOT BAND +/- 2F
      IF (ABS(TMR) < GT. 2) GO TO 115
      PRINT NOTICE TMR RETURNED TO NOT BAND
      WRITE (5,6004) TAV, TIME
      STOP TMR CONTROL SHIM
      SET SFLAG2 (ZERO SFLAG1)
      SFLAG2 = 1
      SET TIME TO STOP CONTROL SHIM
      TIME1 = TIME
      TIME2 = TIME
      REZERO TFLAG
      TFLAG = 0
      ZERO COUNTERS
      T1 = 0
      T2 = 0
  115 CONTINUE
* ENDPROCEDURE
* TERMINAL *

5000 FORMAT(F68,3)
6000 FORMAT( 10,INITIAL STEADY STATE PARAMETERS:* = 1.5, * REACTOR POWER = 1,  F  


$6.2, = 1, 5X, * SATURATED STEAM TEMPERATURE = 1,  F  /  5X, * TURBINE LOAD = 1,  F  2, *  

$6.2, = 1, 5X, * SHIM DIRECTION = 1,  F  /  5X, * FINAL TURBINE JAD = 1,  F  /  5X,  

6001 FORMAT( 10, HIGH REACTOR COOLANT OUTLET TEMPERATURE (THOT) ALARM = 1, 5  

$X, * THOT = 1, 5, * TIME = 1, 5, * SECONDS  

6002 FORMAT( 10, HIGH REACTOR COOLANT OUTLET TEMPERATURE ALARM CLEARED = 1, 5  

$X, * THOT = 1, 5, * TIME = 1, 5, * SECONDS  

6003 FORMAT( 10, AVERAGE REACTOR COOLANT TEMPERATURE (TAVE) OUTSIDE NORMAL  

$OPERATING BAND = 1, 5F, FOR MORE THAN 10 MINUTES = 1, 5X, * TAVE = 1, 5X,  

$F, 2, * TIME = 1, 5X, * SECONDS  

6004 FORMAT( 10, AVERAGE REACTOR COOLANT TEMPERATURE RETURNED TO NORMAL OPERATING  

$ATING BAND = 1, 5X, * TAVE = 1, 5X, * TIME = 1, 5X, * SECONDS  

$, 5X, * AUTOMATIC ROD CONTROL CEASED = 1 

6005 FORMAT( 10, HIGH POWER SCRAM INITIATED = 1, 5X, * REACTOR POWER = 1, 5.2, *  

$X, * TIME = 1, 5X, * SECONDS  

6006 FORMAT( 10, HIGH POWER SCRAM INITIATED = 1, 5X, * TURBINE THROTTLE VALVE TR  

$IVE TRIPED = 1, 5X, * REACTOR POWER = 1, 5.2, * 5X, * REACTOR OUTLET T  

$UREMPERATURE = 1, 5X, * TIME = 1, 5X, * SECONDS  

6007 FORMAT( 10, HIGH POWER CUTBACK CONDITION CLEARED, CUTBACK CEASED = 1, 5  


6008 FORMAT( 10, HIGH STARTUP RATE CUTBACK CONDITION CLEARED, CUTBACK CEAS  

$ED = 1, 5X, * REACTOR POWER = 1, 5.2, * 5X, * STARTUP RATE = 1, 5,9, * DPM  

$X, * TIME = 1, 5X, * SECONDS  

6009 FORMAT( 10, HIGH STARTUP RATE CUTBACK INITIATED = 1, 5X, * TURBINE THROTTLE  

$ TRIPPED TO MID POSITION = 1, 5X, * REACTOR POWER = 1, 5.2, * 5X, * STARTU  

$RATE = 1, 5,9, * DPM, 5X, * TIME = 1, 5X, * SECONDS  

6010 FORMAT( 10, HIGH POWER CUTBACK INITIATED = 1, 5X, * TURBINE THROTTLE VALVES  

$ TRIPPED TO MID POSITION = 1, 5X, * REACTOR POWER = 1, 5.2, * 5X, * TIME =  

$1, 5X, * SECONDS  

6011 FORMAT( 10, TURBINE THROTTLE VALVE TRIPED = 1, 5X, * REACTOR POWER = 1, 5.2, *  


* TITLE SIMULATION OF A PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR PRINT RD, RH, RHPD, LOAD, TA, TH, TC  

RANGE RD, RH, RHPD, LOAD, TA, TH, TC
LABEL SIMULATION OF A PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR
*
OUTPUT TIME, TAV(500., 550.), TH(500., 550.), TC(500., 550.)
LABEL TEMPERATURE RESPONSE
PAGE HEIGHT = 5, WIDTH = 7
PAGE XYPLOT
*
OUTPUT TIME, POWER(0.2, 100.), LOAD(0.0, 100.0)
LABEL POWER AND LOAD RESPONSE
PAGE HEIGHT = 5, WIDTH = 7
PAGE XYPLOT
*
OUTPUT TIME, RH(.-0.1, 0.1), RHO(.-0.1, 0.1), RHT(.-0.1, 0.1)
LABEL REACTIVITY RESPONSE
PAGE HEIGHT = 5, WIDTH = 7
PAGE XYPLOT
*
TIMER FINTIV=600., JTDEL=0.1, PRDEL=5.0
*
END INPUT
END INPUT
RESET

TITLE SIMULATION OF A PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR
TITLE XENON TRANSIENT
RANGE RH, RHO, RHOT, RHOX, XE135, TAV, POWER, LOAD
PRINT RH, RHO, RHOT, RHOX, XE135, TAV, POWER, LOAD
*
LABEL SIMULATION OF A PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR
*
OUTPUT TIME, POWER(0.2, 100.), LOAD(0.0, 100.0)
LABEL POWER AND LOAD RESPONSE
LABEL XENON TRANSIENT
PAGE HEIGHT = 5, WIDTH = 7
PAGE XYPLOT
*
OUTPUT TIME, TAV(500., 550.), TH(500., 550.), TC(500., 550.)
LABEL TEMPERATURE RESPONSE
LABEL XENON TRANSIENT
PAGE HEIGHT = 5, WIDTH = 7
PAGE XYPLOT
*
OUTPUT TIME, XE135, TAV(500., 550.)
LABEL XE AND AVS TEMPERATURE RESPONSE
LABEL XENON TRANSIENT
PAGE HEIGHT = 5, WIDTH = 7
PAGE XYPLOT
APPENDIX G

INTERFACE PROGRAM LISTING

&TYPEJIT ERRDR
&TYPEJIT ON
VSET RDYMSG JFF
GLOBAL T EK_LIB SYSLIB SSSLIB
CP SET LINELY 80
FILEDEF 01 Disk INITIAL DATA
LOAD INTACT FORTRAN
COMBINE PLANT1 CSMP P1 CORE CSMP P1 INITIAL DATA P1
COMBINE PLANT2 CSMP P1 PLANT1 CSMP P1 ENDO CSMP P1
COMBINE PLANT3 CSMP P1 PLANT2 CSMP P1 INITIAL DATA P1
COMBINE PLANT CSMP P1 PLANT3 CSMP P1 ENDO CSMP P1
SUBMIT PLANT CSMP P1
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