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# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF FIGURES</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF TABLES</td>
<td>viii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 BACKGROUND</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 SUMMARY</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Phase IV Systems</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Phase IV Objectives</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Results, Accomplishments and Conclusions</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0 PROGRESS ON PHASE IV TASKS</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Systems Support</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.1 Hardware Systems Support</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.2 Software Systems Support</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 System Testing</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.1 Periodic Testing</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.2 Repair/Replacement Testing</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Program Support</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.1 Measurement Programs</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.1.1 HAVE LENT IV</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.1.2 AFWL IR Measurement Program</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.3.2</td>
<td>Visiting Experiments</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.2.1</td>
<td>Sandia Experiment II</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.2.2</td>
<td>Masking Effects Verification</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.2.3</td>
<td>AFRL IR FLIR Measurement</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.3</td>
<td>Large System Tests</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.3.1</td>
<td>Compensated Imaging System (CIS) Interface</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.3.2</td>
<td>Classical Imaging Data Base</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.3.3</td>
<td>Atmospherics Data Base</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>AMOS Users Manual</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>AMOS System Schedule</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>System Maintenance</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Data Library</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>Data Reduction</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Laser Beam Director Program</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9.1</td>
<td>Satellite Imaging by Laser Illumination</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPENDIX A: AMOS GLOSSARY/ACRONYMS | A-1
LIST OF FIGURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-1</td>
<td>Aerial view of AMOS facility</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-2</td>
<td>1.6 meter mount</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-3</td>
<td>Laser beam director</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>AATS on 1.6m telescope</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>AMOS Phase IV work breakdown structure (WBS)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-1</td>
<td>AATS acquisition telescope system</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-2</td>
<td>AATS optical diagram</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-3</td>
<td>HAVE LENT IV flight path and impact locations</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-4</td>
<td>Actual 1.2m telescope pointing, HAVE LENT IV</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>Actual 1.6m telescope pointing, HAVE LENT IV</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>Cloud noise spectrum</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-7</td>
<td>Wiener spectrum</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-8</td>
<td>Power spectral density</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-9</td>
<td>Radiant granularity</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-10</td>
<td>Sky radiance/AMTA spectral bands</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-11</td>
<td>Measured atmospheric transmission as a function of elevation, AMTA filter #5, 10 μm</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-12</td>
<td>Measured atmospheric transmission as a function of elevation, AMTA filter #6, 20 μm</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-13</td>
<td>MEV optical package</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-14</td>
<td>MEV control equipment</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-15</td>
<td>MEV equipment located exterior to observatory</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-16</td>
<td>ABTS package schematic</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-17</td>
<td>Secondary mirror linear scan drive system</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-18</td>
<td>Secondary mirror scan mechanism</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-19</td>
<td>AMTA search pattern</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-20</td>
<td>CIS chiller and air conditioner location</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-21</td>
<td>CIS equipment location</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-22</td>
<td>MOTIF equipment location, rooms 7 and 41</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-23</td>
<td>MOTIF equipment location, room 39</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-24</td>
<td>CIS simulator weights (2 sheets)</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-25</td>
<td>1.6 meter inertia test simulated satellite track servo errors</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-26</td>
<td>1.6 meter inertia test step response servo errors</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-27</td>
<td>Daytime images - SKYLAB (2 sheets)</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-28</td>
<td>Satellite images (2 sheets)</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-29</td>
<td>Satellite image</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-30</td>
<td>Satellite image</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-31</td>
<td>Double star image</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-32</td>
<td>Double star image</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-33</td>
<td>Double star image</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-34</td>
<td>Double star image</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-35</td>
<td>Atmospheric system</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-36</td>
<td>Gross wander</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-37.</td>
<td>Frequency distribution of wander statistics.</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-38.</td>
<td>Anisotropy of image wander</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-39.</td>
<td>Anisotropy - Pol &amp; Dec image wander cross section.</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-40.</td>
<td>Typical AMOS system schedule (2 sheets)</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-41.</td>
<td>Video sensor image (2 sheets)</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LIST OF TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-1.</td>
<td>AATS - basic performance requirements</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-2.</td>
<td>AATS encircled energy analysis</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-3.</td>
<td>Equatorial mount model parameters (arcsec)</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-4.</td>
<td>1.6 meter inertia test SATPREP for simulated satellite track</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report describes progress, status and important accomplishments and findings pertaining to the specific tasks that together comprise the AMOS (ARPA Maui Optical Station) Phase IV Program. The period covered is 1 January through 31 December 1979.

The document is divided into four sections. Section 1 is an introduction. Section 2 gives a brief background of the AMOS facility and summarizes the major accomplishments of previous phases. Section 3 addresses the Phase IV program by first describing the assets available (i.e. the hardware and software systems and capabilities) and then discussing the basic program objectives. Section 4 is a summary of significant results and accomplishments achieved during the first two years of the Phase IV program and a statement of the important conclusions that can be drawn from these results.

The intent is that Sections 2 and 3 will allow the reader to obtain a basic knowledge of AMOS capabilities and, in particular, a clear understanding of the overall goals and major accomplishments of the Phase IV Program to date.

Section 4 discusses, in detail, progress on specific Phase IV tasks during 1979 and is keyed to the Statement of Work.
2.0 BACKGROUND

The DARPA-sponsored AMOS program has included four phases. Highlights of the first three are given in this section. The fourth is the subject of the remainder of the report.

Phase I spanned the period 1963-1969 and included conception, design, and construction of the basic facility. The University of Michigan was the Phase I contractor. The 1.6 m and twin 1.2 m Telescopes were specified, fabricated, installed and tested. A Control Data Corporation (CDC) 3200 computer, along with first generation pointing and tracking software, was integrated into the facility. Sensors included an IR array for tracking, IR sensor for signature measurements, a low radiance pulsed ruby laser and various imaging devices (e.g. image orthicons and film cameras). The first link to an off-island radar was implemented during this period (Kokee Park on Kauai). Although a significant amount of data was obtained on satellites and missiles, Phase I is best described as a period of hardware installation and debugging and development of basic techniques. Phase I, however, clearly demonstrated the potential importance of AMOS and defined directions for the future.

The second phase was from mid-1969 to the end of 1974. Funding came from DARPA via SAMSO. Two contractors were responsible for the program; AVCO Everett Research Laboratory
for technical direction and Lockheed Missiles & Space Company
for operations, maintenance and development. During Phase II,
major improvements were made to test bed and sensor systems.
A new computer system, based upon a CDC 3500/SC-17, was installed
which greatly increased AMOS capability and versatility. To
augment this hardware, AMOS staff members produced sophisticated
new software which allowed simultaneous dual-mount operation
along with Kalman Filter-smoothed tracking. Absolute pointing
was greatly improved by the development and refinement of mount
models. A second radar (Kaena Point on Oahu) was interfaced to
AMOS which allowed skin as well as beacon tracking. The
original IR tracker was replaced with the state-of-the-art AMTA
(Advanced Multi-Color Tracker for AMOS) system which immediately
began to provide important signature data. Implementation of
AMTA required conversion of the original 1.2 m, B0 Telescope to
a B29 configuration (f/20). A high radiance ruby laser was
specified, fabricated and installed on what was then the 1.2 m,
B30 Telescope. This system was used to obtain ranging data on
retro-reflector equipped satellites and to develop techniques
and define improvements necessary to fully exploit laser
ranging at AMOS. An outgrowth of this effort was the specifi-
cation and design of a Laser Beam Director (LBD) facility which
was then implemented during Phase III. Improvements in AMOS
imaging systems kept pace with the state-of-the-art.
It was during Phase II that AMOS began to routinely provide high-quality data of importance to DoD. Metrics and IR measurements were conducted in support of the MMII, MMIII, HAVE LENT and REVTO programs. IR and visible signatures were obtained on various classes of satellites. Thermal balance techniques were applied with great success to RORSAT objects. In short, by the end of Phase II, AMOS had successfully made the transition from a university research laboratory to an efficient state-of-the-art DoD measurement facility.

Phase III began in January of 1975 and continued to the end of 1977. AVCO Everett Research Laboratory, Inc. was the sole Phase III contractor. Funding again came from DARPA but RADC replaced SAMSO as fiscal agent. Phase III consisted of operations and measurements programs with an overlay of research and development activity.

Measurements continued on MMII and MMIII systems (metrics), HAVE LENT objects (IR masking), and satellites (metrics, signatures). The laser was used for ranging on geodetic satellites and illumination of special targets. Atmospheric characterization hardware was installed and accumulation of a data base was initiated in support of the DARPA Compensated Imaging program. Many special measurement activities occurred during Phase III in areas such as IR and visible radiometry, atmospherics and target signature analysis.
Basic AMOS capabilities were greatly improved during Phase III, as hardware and software modifications continued to be implemented. These improvements were outgrowths of AMOS experience during the first two phases and concentrated heavily on refinement and optimization of existing systems in addition to installation of new and/or modified hardware and software. Phase III improvements included the following:

1) Installation of diffraction-limited optics in the 1.6 m Telescope;
2) Installation/evaluation of the Laser Beam Director (LBD) facility;
3) Incorporation of the ruby laser into the beam director;
4) New primary mirror support systems for the large optics;
5) Implementation of beamsteering on the 1.2 m Telescopes;
6) Provision of additional instrument mounting surfaces;
7) Design and implementation of a contrast mode photometer;
8) Upgrading of all video imaging systems;
9) Specification of new acquisition telescopes; and
10) Development of long term integration and background characterization hardware for AMTA.
It was also during Phase III that DARPA initiated the transition of a portion of the AMOS facility to Aerospace Defense Command (ADCOM). Hardware systems involved included the 1.2 m Telescopes along with their sensors and support equipment. The CDC 3500 was considered as shared equipment, and new hardware and software systems were developed to allow timely reduction and transmittal of large amounts of data. The ADCOM portion of the complex is known as the MOTIF (Maui Optical Tracking and Identification Facility) and became a part of the SPACETRACK network in August of 1979.

By the end of 1977, AMOS had existed for over a decade and had gone through three distinct phases of development. The result was a national asset that can be briefly described as "an advanced electro-optical facility to support critical DoD measurement and development programs operating in a unique environment."

The facility is equipped with state-of-the-art sensors and a sophisticated and versatile computer complex which allows high precision pointing and tracking with the AMOS large aperture optical systems.
3.0 SUMMARY

The AMOS Phase IV program began on 1 January 1978 and ends on 30 September 1980. The objectives of the program have certain important differences from those of the prior three phases. In this section we first describe the systems and capabilities available to accomplish the Phase IV objectives. This is followed by a summary of the objectives themselves. The section concludes with a discussion of important results and accomplishments that have been realized during the first two years of the Phase IV program.

3.1 Phase IV Systems

To properly understand the goals and accomplishments of the Phase IV program to date, it is important to have a general knowledge of the hardware and software systems either dedicated or available. These systems are briefly described below:

1) Facility

AMOS is located on the island of Maui, Hawaii. The observatory facility is situated at an altitude of 3,049 meters (10,000 feet) on the crest of Mount Haleakala. This high altitude location, in a relatively stable climate of dry air with low levels of particulate matter and scattered light from surface sources, provides excellent conditions for the acquisition and viewing of space objects.

Figure 3-1 shows an exterior view of the
Figure 3-1. Aerial view of AMOS facility.
facility. From the left of the figure, the domes are for the 1.2 m Telescopes, the Laser Beam Director, atmospheric instrumentation and the 1.6 m Telescope, respectively.

2) **1.6 Meter Telescope**

The AMOS 1.6 m Telescope allows diffraction-limited performance (approximately 0.1 arcsecond resolution) at all mount attitudes above the horizon. The clear aperture is 1.57 m and the effective focal length is 25 m. Two instrument mounting surfaces are available for sensor packages. The rear Blanchard surface will be dedicated to the DARPA Compensated Imaging System (CIS) in 1980. Once this system is installed and operational, system performance should approach the resolution stated above instead of the current 0.5 to 1 arcsecond limit set by typical AMOS "seeing" conditions. The side Blanchard surface supports a classical sensor package which currently includes the laser receiver, a 16 mm film camera, an Intensified Silicon Intensifier Target (ISIT) camera and a visible radiometer. Broadband mirror coatings (Al plus an SiO overcoat) allow spectral coverage from the visible through the LWIR. INVAR metering rods maintain basic intermirror spacing and a computer
controlled autorange system is included. The primary mirror is supported axially with a three-segment airbag and radially with a mercury-filled belt to minimize aberrations and maintain optical alignment.

The mount has fully hydrostatic bearings, a 23-bit shaft angle encoder and is servo-driven by direct current torque motors under computer control. This system allows absolute pointing to about 3 arcseconds rms and tracking to approximately 1 arc-second rms at slewing velocities up to several degrees per second and accelerations to 2 degrees per second.

The AMOS 1.6 m mount, shown in Figure 3-2, provides a unique and valuable capability for electro-optical research and development programs.

3) Laser Beam Director

The Laser Beam Director (LBD) was installed during Phase III and provides a versatile pointing and tracking system for use with lasers. The system consists of a 24-inch diameter beam expander (designed for an input beam of 4-inch diameter) mounted on an azimuth turntable and coupled to a 36-inch diameter Beryllium tracking flat. The tracking flat is mounted on an azimuth/elevation gimbal which receives data
Figure 3-2. 1.6 meter mount.
from the CDC 3500 computer. The pointing and tracking performance of this system is essentially the same as that of the 1.6 m Telescope. The optics are currently optimized for the ruby laser but can be easily modified for other wavelengths.

Versatility was designed into the LBD. During the first year of Phase IV, for example, a special pulsed ruby laser supplied by a user organization was coupled into the system and made available for operations within two days.

A photograph of the LBD is shown in Figure 3-3.

4) Pulsed Ruby Laser

The AMOS pulsed ruby laser (\(\lambda = 0.694 \text{ microns}\)) occupies one channel of the LBD. When operated at 20 pulses per minute, the output beam divergence from the 24-inch beam expander can be adjusted to about 2 arcseconds. Q-switched outputs of 10 Joules per 25 nanosecond pulse are used for ranging with precisions of about \(\pm 2 \text{ m}\) on cooperative targets. Conventional mode operation at the 80 Joule level per 1 millisecond pulse provides an illumination capability. This system has been modified for the LBD Phase II Program as discussed in Section 4.9.
Figure 3-3. Laser beam director.
5) **Visible Radiometry**

A visible-light radiometer is installed on the 1.6 m Telescope and is equipped with various spectral and neutral density filters and adjustable fields-of-view. This system, when operated against a dark night sky, can observe point sources as faint as $+18 \, m_v$ with a reasonable signal-to-noise ratio. The system is used to measure target radiance and dynamics.

6) **Video Systems**

All of the large optical systems are equipped with ISIT cameras (looking through the prime optics) which can be coupled to digital integration and averaging devices. The system installed on the 1.6 m Telescope has been used to photograph a star of apparent visual magnitude ($m_v$) $+19.5$ against a dark night sky. Although these cameras are used primarily to obtain metric data, they can, for example, be used for measurements such as laser illumination.

7) **Acquisition Telescopes**

A significant capabilities enhancement became operational on both the 1.2 m and 1.6 m mounts during 1979 in the form of improved telescope systems for target acquisition. These new systems replaced the existing 18.6-inch system on the 1.2 m Telescope (which provided 3° and 23' fields-of-view) and the single 1°
field-of-view, 10-inch telescope used on the 1.6 m mount.

With the new AMOS Acquisition Telescope System (AATS), the 1.6 m mount now has three selectable fields, 3°, 0.5° and 0.1°. The 3° field is an f/6 system with an eight inch aperture while the smaller two fields have a 22 inch diameter collecting aperture.

Both new AATS are fitted with large format ISIT TV sensors which provide state of the art sensitivity consistent with the respective photon collecting areas. The wide field system can detect +11 m$_v$ stars while the two narrower field systems are capable of seeing objects as faint as +16 m$_v$. The AATS mounted on the side of the 1.6 m Telescope can be seen in Figure 3-4.

8) **High Resolution Imaging**

The prime sensor for high resolution imaging is a 16 mm film camera located on the side Blanchard surface of the 1.6 m Telescope. This system is currently involved in obtaining a classical imaging data base as a part of the CIS program. Photographs obtained with this hardware are included in Section 4.3.3.2.

9) **LWIR Radiometry**

Although the AMTA system is now a part of the MOTIF, it is also available to support Phase IV
Figure 3-4. AATS on 1.6m telescope.
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programs under special tasking.

AMTA consists of a 25-element infrared sensor array mounted directly on the rear Blanchard surface of the 1.2 m B29 Telescope in the west dome. The sensor consists of reimaging optics, servo-driven scan mirror, filter wheel assembly, 25-element Ge:Cd detector array, detector preamplifiers, cryogenic refrigerator dewar, and associated electronics. A beamsplitter separates the visible and infrared energy. The visible energy is imaged into a TV camera which serves as an acquisition and pointing aid for the system.

The data is recorded on analog and digital magnetic tape. Recorded are all 25 detectors, a reference calibration, filter position, photometer signal, IRIG B timing and a voice track.

The AMTA sensor permits AMOS to obtain low dispersion spectral data on targets of interest in atmospheric windows between 2 and 21 microns and to perform manual or closed-loop tracking of targets utilizing real-time position information. The infrared spectral coverage includes 7 bands in the 2 to 21 micron range. The ratio of the signals recorded can be correlated with the temperature of objects of
interest. Thermal changes can be determined as the observed body is presented in varying lighting conditions.

10) Atmospheric Instrumentation

The data output from several atmospheric characterization instruments can be provided to support other data measurements at AMOS. The site supports a continuing Atmospheric Characterization Program (ACP) whose data can provide information on imaging with a large aperture system in the presence of atmospheric turbulence and allow evaluation of turbulence effects on other types of instrumentation operated at AMOS.

The instrumentation installed at the site includes:

1) Microthermal Probe System;
2) Acoustic Sounder;
3) Star Sensor; and
4) Seeing Monitor.

The instrumentation, much of it one-of-a-kind prototype, has resulted from previous studies funded by DARPA/RADC. The sensors were designed to provide information on turbulence at low levels (20 m above AMOS the site), intermediate levels (30 to 300 m), higher levels ranging to the tropopause and finally
through the whole atmosphere.

Routine meteorological instrumentation mounted on towers, removed from the basic building structure, record wind speed and direction, ambient temperature and dew point temperature. Atmospheric pressure is obtained from a mercury barometer.

An All-Sky Camera (ASC) automatically records sky conditions 24 hours per day.

11) **Computer System**

The primary function of the AMOS computer system is to provide real-time control of the telescope mounts and Laser Beam Director. The system must calculate, in real-time, target position as a function of nominal ballistic data. It must, in real-time, assimilate radar tracking data and data from a variety of other sensors; compute mount model corrections due to mount anomalies; determine mount position and generate output error signals to the servo systems; respond to real-time perturbations that may be imposed by the test director; and collect and record data for nonreal-time reduction.

The AMOS computer system consists of a medium size general purpose CDC 3500 computer, an SC-17 sequence controller, a buffer transfer unit (BTU), and 1500 series peripheral equipment.
A 65K-word memory, of 24 bits per word, is utilized with the CDC 3500. The primary task of this computer is to perform real-time trajectory calculations and optimize trajectory determination by application of the Kalman Filter to estimated state vectors updated by observed inputs. Capabilities for processing of multiple state vectors (targets), multiple radars (tracking), AMOS sensor inputs and external interrupts from the test conductor are also available in this computer. Other functions of the CDC 3500 computer include coordinate transformations, numerical integration, mount model, and other extensive calculations which involve floating point or transcendental functions.

The SC-17 sequence controller is particularly adapted to real-time data acquisition and A/D systems applications. Thus, this unit is the controller of real-time events and processor of operator commands and SC-17 peripheral data which do not require processing by the CDC 3500 computer.

The above paragraphs describe the basic hardware and software systems that are being used to accomplish the AMOS Phase IV Program goals - to which we now turn out attention.
3.2 Phase IV Objectives

The AMOS Phase IV Program consists, in a contractual sense, of various tasks to be accomplished by AERL. Figure 3-5, for example, shows these tasks in the form of a Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) which is useful for program management and control purposes.

Certain tasks (e.g., system testing, maintenance, calibration and data reduction) represent well defined areas of activity designed to maintain the AMOS resource at its current level of performance. All of these activities are essential if the major hardware/software systems are to be operated effectively.

Other tasks represent the real return for DARPA's investment in AMOS. The Measurement Program includes those activities which, using existing or slightly modified AMOS capabilities, provide state-of-the-art support to Government user agencies. The area of Visiting Experiments encompasses activities that involve an individual or group wishing to use AMOS systems and capabilities to augment their programs. Large System Test Support represents implementation and exploitation of major DARPA programs initiated during Phase III.

A statement of the Phase IV objectives could, therefore, be made by including a description of the requirements for each of the individual tasks. This approach, however, would not
Figure 3-5. AMOS Phase IV work breakdown structure (WBS).
clearly reveal the fundamental goals of the Phase IV Program which are far more simply and better stated as follows:

1) **EXPLOITATION OF EXISTING CAPABILITIES**
   Use the high-quality systems and techniques developed during previous phases to support DoD measurement objectives (visiting experiments, measurement programs).

2) **EVALUATION OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES**
   Use the inherent AMOS capability and versatility to test, evaluate and bring to operational status various state-of-the-art DoD technologies (DARPA compensated imaging system, advanced electro-optical devices).

3) **IMPROVEMENT OF BASIC CAPABILITIES**
   Continue to improve the performance of test bed and sensor systems and develop new measurement and analysis techniques in order to assure that AMOS keeps pace with the demands of 1 and 2 (improved target state vectors for handoff).

Accomplishment of these three objectives is the real purpose of the AMOS Phase IV Program and any success that is achieved on the individual tasks that comprise the program must be judged accordingly.
3.3 Results, Accomplishments and Conclusions

This section summarizes important results and accomplishments that have been achieved during the first two years of the AMOS Phase IV Program.

In order to emphasize the connection between the work actually being conducted during Phase IV and the general goals discussed in Section 3.2, the approach taken here is to combine the results of individual tasks under a few general headings. Section 4 gives detailed descriptions of the individual tasks keyed to the Statement of Work.

1) Measurement Programs

As emphasized in Section 3.2, one of the basic Phase IV objectives is to fully exploit AMOS capabilities in support of critical DoD measurement programs. This objective was certainly satisfied during the last two years.

Two periods (March and October of 1978) of measurements were conducted in support of the SAMSO Evaluation Program (SEP). Data obtained during March was reduced and published in two classified reports (AERL-78-167 and AERL-78-179). Data obtained in October was degraded by unfavorable weather conditions and was not reduced. To support these measurements, AERL incorporated a major improvement into the AMTA IR measurement system which has resulted in a 30-fold
reduction in scan noise.

Three Western Test Range (WTR) launches were supported by AMOS to obtain data for the U.S. Army Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD) program. The prime sensor was the AMTA IR measurements system operating in the 8-9 micron and 10-13 micron spectral bands. Primary interests were target signatures and determination of target color temperature. Data and conclusions are contained in a classified report (AERL-78-398).

Several HAVE LENT IV planning meetings were held in 1978 and early 1979. The program consists of two Sergeant-Hydac sounding rocket flights launched from Kauai Test Facility, Barking Sands, Kauai, Hawaii. The two flights scheduled for the morning terminator were to be launched one week apart. AMOS participation in the measurements involved a three mount operation utilizing AMTA, the CMP, the LBD and ruby laser, the 1.6 m CSP photometer and associated TV systems.

The prime objective was to obtain both visible and LWIR signature data and growth rate data on aerosol clouds which would be dispensed from the rockets.

The first launch occurred on the morning of
27 September 1979. The launched vehicle failed to stay within the three sigma bounds of the predicted trajectory. The actual azimuth was reported to be 72 degrees East of the desired trajectory. Both the AN/FPQ-14 and AN/FPQ-16 radars lost track and failed to provide AMOS with the necessary pointing data. AMOS did not acquire the vehicle and no measurements of the aerosol clouds were taken. Details of this unsuccessful launch and the subsequent AMOS mount trajectories are presented in Section 4.3.1.1.

The second HAVE LENT IV launch is now scheduled for 15 April 1980 and follows certain corrective action designed to insure a predictable trajectory.

AMOS began a very important new measurement program in the Fall of 1978 to obtain data for the Air Force Weapons Laboratory (AFWL). The overall AFWL goals are:

1) development of an IR adaptive optical system to compensate for atmospheric turbulence;
2) solution of shared aperture problems that arise when using a single system for transmission and reception of radiation; and
3) solving the problem of predicting and correcting for turbulence to be encountered by the transmitted beam.
A major contribution at AMOS to the AFWL program will be to demonstrate the use of an IR detection system to acquire targets without visual or radar assistance. In addition, an IR signature base is being obtained to further define the detection probability for a given search system and scenario.

Measurements began in support of the AFWL program in November 1978. Sky radiance measurements (which can be related to real-time atmospheric transmittance) were completed by the end of the year and a report was prepared. Sky granularity measurements were initiated in December 1978 and completed and documented in 1979. The basic thrust of this work is to investigate the possibility of achieving clutter cancellation in real-time for an appropriate IR sensor.

A third type of measurement activity being conducted by AMOS for the AFWL program involves satellite acquisition statistics and target signatures. Initial measurements provided by this activity produced the beginnings of an IR target signature data base for a group of selected targets and in addition, a history of offset tracking information. The offset data was used, in part, to define the field-of-view requirements for an automatic search system. The signature
data will assist in defining the required integration
time for the search and provide design information for
future, more complex, IR detection systems.

The program also investigates the utilization
of AMTA as a target tracker. The B29 scanning
secondary mirror was developed to eliminate the
cavity noise normally generated by the internal scan
mirror system. An automatic search routine will be
incorporated into the next phase of measurements.
Tracking accuracy will be improved by an order of
magnitude by incorporating a modification to the
target scan pattern and by the addition of post
detection processing. The post-processing will be
accomplished by a dedicated Intel 8080 microcomputer.
For the brighter satellites, it will be possible
to define target position on the array with finer
than pixel-limited resolution and provide tracking
precision in the realm of 1 to 2 arseconds. Outputs
for the twenty-five detectors will be displayed on
one of the AMOS TV networks.

In summary, significant measurement support to
DoD programs was provided by AMOS during these last
two years. Data obtained by the AMOS sensors will be
of great value in making long-term decisions regarding
the directions that these and other programs will take in the future.

2) **Visiting Experiments**

Another area that received significant attention and produced important results during 1978 and 1979 involved support to visiting experiments at AMOS. Four experiments (Sandia Laser Experiments I and II, Atmospheric Characterization Program and the SAMSO HF Laser Experiment) were performed and a fifth (AFWL IR FLIR Measurements) was initiated. Also, the possibility of several new experiments was explored through briefing contacts. These possibilities include a cirrus cloud measurement program, an electron beam experiment, a metal oxide release experiment and measurements of an Instrumented Test Vehicle.

The Sandia Laser Experiments were first initiated in the Summer of 1975 during which time the capability to successfully point a ruby laser beam (using the AMOS Laser Beam Director) at a satellite during the daytime hours was demonstrated. The objective of the program was to establish a calibration of the satellite on-board sensor over the entire diurnal cycle using laser excitation. Since the satellite could not
be visually acquired during the daytime, pointing was accomplished by means of known orbital elements and an accurate mount model.

The first actual calibration set was accomplished during the period between July 12 and July 22, 1978. Due to the particular pulse waveform requirements imposed by the satellite sensors, a special cooled ruby laser system supplied by Sandia was used in the experiment. Beam forming and pointing was accomplished using the AMOS Laser Beam Director system.

The experiment was successful and was followed in July of 1979 by a second, expanded series which included calibration of two satellites. This second experiment successfully met both the objectives of AMOS and Sandia. All necessary data was collected over a 9-day period. Approximately 600 shots were fired with the Sandia Laser. Half of these resulted in the successful triggering of the Atmospheric Burst Locator (ABL) sensor on the IIA target. The majority of the remaining 300 firings were used during optical alignment of the laser system and to illuminate the IIM target.

In addition to successful accomplishment of the basic experimental goals originally defined for these programs, several valuable results were
obtained that lead to improved AMOS capabilities in the future.

During the course of the daytime measurements, it was discovered that the Laser Beam Director was experiencing severe pointing drifts. It was also noted, by observation of the return from the retro-reflectors, that the beam expander system was tending to defocus in the presence of solar heating. The pointing drift problems, also a result of solar heating (particularly on the gimbal system), were circumvented in real-time by frequent reference to bright stars which could be observed in the daytime on Boresight TV. The observed stellar offsets were then added to the satellite tracking commands.

The ultimate solution to the problem, however, was to provide protective shielding for both the 26-inch collimator and the gimbals of the 36-inch tracking flat. Since the collimator is not moved during satellite tracking, the entire expander system could be enclosed in a solar reflecting tube which serves to maintain a uniform temperature throughout the collimating and beam folding optics. Additionally, the shaft angle encoders and bearings of the tracking flat were protected from direct solar illumination through the use of shields. Further protection was
included by restricting the dome slot width, particularly for the tracking of synchronous satellites.

The program also provided an opportunity to obtain a measure of the far-field intensity pattern of the Laser Beam Director system. With the availability of a feedback link, which provided a direct measure of the relative magnitude of the target irradiance, it was possible to obtain a rough map of the beam pattern. The significant point here is that the satellite sensors provided valuable information on the laser far field intensity profile. This will be extremely useful in predicting the return from noncooperative targets and in tailoring the Laser Beam Director optics to achieve the optimum beam pattern for such targets.

Another rather complex visiting experiment known as the SAMS0 HF Laser Experiment and also as the Masking Effects Verification (MEV) program was successfully conducted during August and September of 1979. The experiment involved the use of a hydrogen fluoride laser mounted on the 1.6 m Telescope and some 80,000 pounds of support equipment.

The primary objective of the program was to illuminate certain domestic satellites with a narrow beam of infrared radiation both modulated
and CW. A team of scientists and engineers from both Aerojet Electro Systems and the Aerospace Corporation were on site during the measurement period. Further details of the experiment are located in Section 4.3.2.2.

During the period 17 July to 11 August 1978, a visiting experiment was conducted in support of an existing Atmospheric Characterization program. The experiment was designed to meet the following four objectives:

1) obtain data to characterize the angular dependence of seeing;
2) investigate the short term statistics of seeing;
3) characterize the noise in the AMOS Model II Star Sensor; and
4) process existing data previously collected with the Real-Time Atmospheric Monitor (RTAM).

As part of the AFWL IR Measurement program, another visiting experiment will be conducted at AMOS during the months of March, April and May 1980. A Forward Looking Infrared (FLIR) sensor and an associated adaptive optics package known as AMOS Breadboard Test System (ABTS), will be mounted on the rear Blanchard surface of the 1.6 m Telescope.
The package will be used to demonstrate the acquisition and tracking of satellites, particularly in the daytime, and to investigate the capabilities of an infrared adaptive optics system.

In preparation for this experiment, modifications are being made to the 1.6 m Telescope and to room 26 of the Observatory which will house the system control electronics. A six-inch diameter Germanium lens will be installed in the optical system of the f/16 telescope to convert it to an afocal system as required by the FLIR. The requirements for the system control electronics which will be housed in room 26 are similar to the demands of the ITEK Compensated Imaging System which will be installed in this same room in 1980. The modification schedule for room 26 was accelerated for this visiting experiment.

3) **Compensated Imaging Support**

Success of the Compensated Imaging System (CIS) is of prime importance to the Phase IV Program. When proven and operational on the 1.6 m Telescope, the CIS will represent a major technological breakthrough. This capability will provide, in principle, imagery of orbital and suborbital objects with an angular resolution approaching the diffraction limit of the systems' fore-optics. In the case of the AMOS 1.6 m Telescope,
this is about 0.1 arcseconds which represents an order-of-magnitude improvement over the average limit imposed by atmospheric seeing. Perhaps of equal importance is that the CIS will be the first field demonstration of a fully adaptive optical system. Such optics have been considered for a variety of applications; hence, an operational IS will have wide ranging impact.

Current AMOS support to the CIS program includes:
1) site preparation;
2) interfacing with CIS contractors;
3) performance of special measurements and tests to assure compatibility of the new hardware with AMOS and to aid in CIS design decisions; and
4) development of classical imaging and atmospheric data bases to allow performance evaluation and optimization when the CIS hardware arrives on-site in 1980. Significant progress was made in all of these areas during 1978 and 1979.

Vibration tests were conducted on the 1.6 m Telescope to aid Itek in their design of mechanical structures for the CIS telescope-mounted components.

The 1.6 m Telescope was loaded with weight (over 5,000 pounds) to simulate the CIS hardware. The telescope was then tested for step response and
velocity and acceleration performance. These tests are of critical importance since the telescope-mounted equipment has increased significantly in weight as Itek's system design has progressed. Moments of inertia now exceed those originally specified for the 1.6 m Telescope. Although performance has not yet been significantly degraded, concern does exist and testing will continue in parallel with the design effort.

By the end of 1979, AMOS engineers - working with CIS contractors and appropriate subcontractors - had completed design and installation of the extensive facilities modifications that are required to support the CIS system (Section 4.3.3.1). These modifications included installation of special wiring and air conditioning systems as well as alterations to existing buildings.

Major accomplishments in the area normally defined as classical imaging, i.e., imaging without adaptive optics, were achieved during and continued through 1979. To support this program, AMOS engineers designed and fabricated a versatile sensor package which was subsequently installed on the side Blanchard surface of the 1.6 m Telescope. Also, computer-controlled motion of primary/secondary mirror spacing
(to account for focal plane offsets due to non-infinite target ranges) was implemented on the 1.6 m Telescope. These new hardware capabilities, when combined with the diffraction limited optics of the 1.6 m Telescope and new measurement techniques resulted in excellent resolved images of several near-earth satellites (Section 4.3.3.2).

The atmospherics instrumentation systems that had been developed and evaluated during the Phase III Program were brought to an operational status during 1978. By the end of the year, joint classical imaging/atmospherics measurements were being conducted. These measurements were continued during 1979 to obtain the required data base for the CIS program.

4) **Target State Vectors**

During the latter part of Phase III, a program was initiated to determine system performance parameters and hardware necessary to generate improved target state vectors by including laser range data. The goal was to provide a hand-off vector to down-range sensors. This activity included range measurements with the AMOS LBD/Ruby Laser system and development of a model to budget permissible errors, thereby defining necessary improvements. During Phase IV, these improvements are being implemented at AMOS and a real-time hand-off will be demonstrated.
This program is called LBD Phase II and is described in detail in Section 4.9. Some of the important results to date are summarized here.

Laser ranging and angular pointing data obtained at AMOS were reduced and used in conjunction with a model developed by AERL to evaluate measurement error and observation scenario effects on hand-off accuracy. The measurement errors were determined to be about \( \pm 2 \) arcseconds (one standard deviation) in angles and about \( \pm 2 \) meters (one standard deviation) in range. Propagated down range, these uncertainties result in a maximum predicted error ellipsoid axis of the order of 30 meters, \( 3_0 \), at 90 kilometers (300 kft) target altitude. This result is well within that required by overall program goals.

The ranging data used in the analysis were obtained on the retro-reflector satellite GEOS-C. The return from this target, using the AMOS Ruby Laser as the transmitter and the 1.6 m Telescope as the receiver, is the order of \( 10^6 \) photoelectrons per laser pulse. When the same system is used to range on a \( 1 \, \text{m}^2 \) Lambertian-type target at 1600 km range, the return is only a few photoelectrons per laser pulse. Actual hand-off of a typical target of interest, therefore, presents a far more difficult
problem than obtaining an updated state vector on GEOS-C.

In order to accomplish the hand-off demonstration, several upgrades to the laser receiver/detector system have been implemented. This work began in the Summer of 1978 and includes installation of more sensitive detectors on the 1.6 m Telescope along with development of a computer-controlled range gate and a multiple-target ranging receiver. The latter improvement allows low level returns to be processed even in the presence of noise. New software was developed for these new hardware systems. In addition, a major software effort was required to include range in the Kalman filter and to provide the required handoff state vector to the own-range sensor.

By the end of August 1979 all of the above tasks were complete. In addition, a state vector based on an angle-only measurement of WTR Mission GT69 was transmitted to Kwajalein in real-time. This latter effort demonstrated AMOS's new capability to generate and transmit a real-time IRV. The actual demonstration of a real-time hand-off using both angles and laser range is scheduled for 1980.

5) Systems Support and System Testing

Although not as exciting, perhaps, as the measurement and experimental programs discussed
in the preceding paragraphs, the activities termed systems support and system testing are no less important to the accomplishment of overall program goals. The two categories obviously augment each other. Problems observed during measurement programs generate a period of system testing which, in turn, normally leads to a system improvement that consequently further increases AMOS measurement capabilities.

Systems support and testing activities are discussed in detail in Sections 4.1 and 4.2. Two important examples are:

1) provision of new acquisition telescope systems for both of the large telescopes; and
2) development of the computer-controlled auto-focus capability referred to previously.

The requirement for more sensitive (+16 m against a dark night sky) and versatile acquisition telescopes was generated by current and planned measurement programs. The need for a computer-controlled auto-focus capability on the 1.6 m Telescope arose from the stringent requirements of the Classical Imaging effort.

6) Conclusions:

The first two years of the AMOS Phase IV Program have seen significant progress in the areas of measurements, visiting experiments and system development.
Data was obtained during the year that is of great value to various DoD programs. This data has included LWIR and visible radiometric signatures of space objects, high precision metric information (both angles and range) and images that approach the diffraction limit of the 1.6 m Telescope.

Special support was given to outside users in the area of visiting experiments. The state-of-the-art AMOS systems were completely successful in achieving the individual program goals.

In parallel with these measurements and experiments, major improvements were and/or are being implemented in the LWIR, laser, software and test bed systems. As the new capabilities inherent in these improvements become available, AMOS will be able to provide additional measurement support to the DoD community and continue to satisfy the basic program objectives.
4.0 PROGRESS ON PHASE IV TASKS

The following sections discuss, in detail, progress on specific Phase IV tasks during 1979 and are keyed to the contract Statement of Work.

Figure 3-5 shows the Work Breakdown Structure (level three) which is used internally by AERL to monitor the progress and status of individual tasks.

4.1 Systems Support

The basic requirement of the Systems Support task is to assure that all existing AMOS systems are operational, calibrated, and optimized for conduct of measurement and experimental programs. This task is concerned with areas of system testing, evaluation and optimization that are not necessarily covered in a routine manner or by established procedures as are, for example, system maintenance and periodic testing. In short, a major goal of this task is to assure the integrity of all AMOS systems.

A second, equally important, goal of the Systems Support task is to expand and develop existing AMOS capabilities such that AMOS can continue to respond to the evolving requirements of new measurement and experimental programs. This is accomplished by conducting tests and performance evaluations on existing systems, identifying directions for improvement and, after approval by RADC, implementing these improvements.
Although hardware and software systems are of equal importance from the standpoint of mission success, they require slightly different methods of approach within the context of the Systems Support task and, therefore, are treated separately here.

4.1.1 Hardware Systems Support

As stated above, the Systems Support task involves both the optimization of existing system performance and the development of new capabilities. An excellent example of the latter is the implementation of new AMOS Acquisition Telescope Systems (AATS) for the 1.6 m and 1.2 m mounts. During the past several years, based upon current and planned program requirements, it became clear that the existing AMOS Acquisition Telescopes were not adequate. The 10-inch system on the 1.6 m Telescope had a single 1° field-of-view. The 18.6-inch system on the 1.2 m Telescope mount had only two fields-of-view (3° and 23'). Both systems exhibited a large amount of flexure as a function of attitude and both were inadequate from the standpoint of sensitivity. Under the Teal Amber contract, AERL performed systems analyses to determine the required performance specifications and operational characteristics for the new Acquisition Telescope System. The resultant specifications were based upon both known and anticipated program requirements, and experience
obtained by the AMOS staff over the past several years in the general area of acquiring and tracking various classes of manmade space objects. The basic requirements are given in Table 4-1. Procurement specifications for the telescopes and their associated TV cameras were prepared and submitted to appropriate vendors for technical and cost proposals. Purchase orders were placed with the Boller & Chivens Division of the Perkin-Elmer Corporation for the telescopes and with Quantex Corporation for the video systems.

A baseline analysis was subsequently performed which included investigations of both single and two-telescope configurations. Based upon consideration of system complexity, performance, technical risk, size and weight, a two-telescope configuration was selected for the AATS. The AATS system diagram and optical schematic are shown in Figures 4-1 and 4-2 respectively. The system includes a filter wheel, motor-driven secondary mirror, sun shutter, reticle projectors and associated control electronics and displays. A remotely controlled motor-driven flip mirror changes the optical path to the TV camera from the 22-inch diameter to the 8-inch diameter telescopes. In like manner a motor-driven, remotely controlled 5X magnifier changes the 22-inch diameter telescope 0.5° FOV to a 0.1° FOV. Optical, thermal and structural analyses were performed. Of particular
Table 4-1. AATS - basic performance requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Utilization</th>
<th>Field-of-View</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Background (m_v/sec^2)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low orbit, high angular velocity, bright targets</td>
<td>3°</td>
<td>+11</td>
<td>+17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High orbit, low angular velocity, dim targets</td>
<td>0.1°</td>
<td>+16 (+17 design goal)</td>
<td>+21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+14</td>
<td>+17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision handoff</td>
<td>0.1°</td>
<td>+16 (+17 design goal)</td>
<td>+21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+14</td>
<td>+17.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*_m_v* = Apparent Visual Magnitude
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Figure 4-2. AATS optical diagram.
interest was the result of the encircled energy analysis, which indicated that the configurations exceeded the specified requirements, except at the edge of the field for the 0.1° FOV (illustrated in Table 4-2). The AATS telescope designs were accepted, parts fabricated and both systems assembled.

Preliminary acceptance testing of the AATS telescopes began on March 16, 1978 at the Perkin-Elmer Applied Optics Division (AOD), Costa Mesa, California. Problems developed at the initiation of the testing. Although the 22-inch telescope is an all-reflective design, the system produced an apparent longitudinal chromatic aberration and excessive scattering in the 0.5° FOV. Efforts to focus the system for energy concentration measurements produced partial concentrations of red and blue separated axially by as much as 0.020 inches but with no zero-order white patch. The nominal depth of focus for the 0.5° FOV system is ± 0.003 inches. Thorough investigations were made of both systems, the test set-up, and the test equipment. During the testing, the aperture of the 22-inch diameter telescope was effectively reduced to 12 inches and the color problem was eliminated. When the aperture was increased to 16 inches, the color problem began to reappear. After going through a complete evaluation, it became evident that the prime candidate for the color problem was the multilayer, high efficiency,
Table 4-2. AATS encircled energy analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification Optical Performance Requirement</th>
<th>Results of Image Quality Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.0° FOV</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.0° FOV</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85% of the energy within a 65 μm diameter or less across the field</td>
<td>For a 65 μm diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On axis - 97% of the energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5° - 97% of the energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0° - 97% of the energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5° - 97% of the energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0.5° FOV</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.5° FOV</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85% of the energy within a 30 μm diameter or less over the central (+0.05°) of the field and 65 μm diameter or less over all other portions</td>
<td>For a 30 μm diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On axis - 88.9% of the energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1° - 90.4% of the energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For a 65 μm diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edge of field - 92% of the energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0.1° FOV</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.1° FOV</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85% of the energy within a 150 μm diameter across the field</td>
<td>For a 150 μm diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On axis - greater than 85% of the energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.025° - 83% of the energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.35° - 85.7% of the energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05° - 82.6% of the energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
dielectrically enhanced mirror coating. Discussions were held with Liberty Mirror Inc., the coating vendor, as well as with coating experts across the country. The Liberty Mirror No. 758 coating contained approximately 27 layers and was approximately 4 microns thick. To definitely prove that the coating was the cause of the color problem, the mirrors were removed from one of the 22-inch diameter telescopes, the coating stripped off, the mirror recoated with aluminum without a protective overcoat, reassembled, aligned, and tested. The color problem was gone and so was the excessive scattering.

The next step was the evaluation and selection of a replacement mirror coating. Various vendors were contacted, their coating characteristics evaluated and finally one developed by the coating department at Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk, Connecticut was selected as the replacement. The coating selected consists of five layers (Al, MgF₂, ZrO₂, SiO₂, ZrO₂) with a total thickness of 0.4 microns. The primary, secondary and tertiary mirrors of the 22-inch diameter telescope and the flip mirrors were stripped and recoated.

Problems of a different nature developed with the 8-inch diameter (3° FOV) telescopes. The imagery of one telescope was good on-axis but poor off-axis and the focal plane location was out of tolerance. The telescope was disassembled and the
optical elements and mechanical parts inspected. All were found to be within tolerance except for the front corrector. Its thickness was 0.009 inches out of tolerance, but this condition could not cause the poor imagery problem. The telescope was reassembled and carefully aligned. The images were good both on-axis and off-axis, and the focal plane location was within 0.001 inches of the theoretical value. The assembly was then potted for final installation in one of the AATS.

Following this assembly, the optical systems were transported from Perkin-Elmer, Costa Mesa, California to Boller & Chivens, Pasadena, California, some 30 miles away. In the course of this transportation, one of the 8-inch systems was mysteriously lost and to this date has not been replaced.

Assembly of both AATS was completed (less one 8-inch system) and final precision alignment of the AATS for the 1.2 m Telescope initiated. A problem developed during the alignment activities. Astigmatism was observed which appeared to be caused by a pinched mirror in the optical train. Both flat mirror assemblies (mirrors and mounting plates) were removed, tested interferometrically, and found to be okay. Further testing of the primary and secondary and its mount were satisfactory and showed that the problem was in the primary mirror assembly.
The factory acceptance testing was concluded at Boller & Chivens, South Pasadena during the week of March 26 thru 30 1979. Although measured optical throughput and percentage of encircled energy measurements fell somewhat short of expectations, the units were accepted for delivery to Maui. Briefly the test results were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spec. Req.</th>
<th>1.2 m AATS</th>
<th>1.6 m AATS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Encircled energy:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3° FOV on-axis</td>
<td>85% in 65µ</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5° FOV on-axis</td>
<td>85% in 30µ</td>
<td>67.4%</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5° FOV edge of field</td>
<td>85% in 65µ</td>
<td>81% avg</td>
<td>86% avg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1° FOV on-axis</td>
<td>85% in 150µ</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1° FOV edge of field</td>
<td>85% in 150µ</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                      |            |            |            |
| **Throughput:**      |            |            |            |
| 3° FOV               | 65%        | --         | 52.5%      |
| 0.5° FOV             | 60%        | 66%        | 68.6%      |
| 0.1° FOV             | 45%        | 42.6%      | 45%        |

The coincidence of the focal points of the three FOV's and their axial alignment were well within specifications. All of the electro-mechanical test results were acceptable.
The acquisition telescopes were received on Maui on 30 April 1979, after a long delay due to a backlog of other air freight shipments caused by a United Air Line strike. The systems were independently set-up and bench aligned before installation on their respective telescopes.

The first unit was mounted on the 1.2 m Telescope in June following a refurbishment of this mount which included recoating of the 1.2 m primary mirrors. The completed unit was tested, and found to meet performance specifications and transitioned to ADCOM in late July 1979.

Mounting of the second AATS on the 1.6 m Telescope was delayed, in part, by the MEV experiment and other high priority work until October and became fully operational in December 1979 when the 3° field-of-view subsystem, which had been on loan to MOTIF, was re-installed in this second unit.

The AATS Television Sensor System consists of the camera head (mounted in the AATS), a camera control unit (CCU) and the Quantex DS-20 Digital Image Memory/Processor. The camera head, which is a modified Quantex QX-12 camera, contains a 40 mm Varo 8605/1 Image Intensifier fiber-optically coupled to a 40 mm RCA C-21202 SIT tube, two high voltage multipliers, a preamplifier, deflection amplifiers and voltage regulators. There is also a motor-driven mechanical focus assembly which can move the image intensifier/tube combination ± 0.64 cm.
The camera control unit performs the video processing and video control functions. All of the controls other than the mechanical focus are located in the DS-20 unit. Five DS-20 controls that effect the camera operation are video gain, sensor gain, beam, focus and integration time. The mechanical focus controls are located on the CCU front panel. The DS-20 Digital Image Memory/Processor is used for image capture, image processing and display tasks. It uses an A/D converter, a random access memory and an arithmetic unit, all under microprocessor control, to perform digital video processing functions in real-time. It has a 512 x 512 memory and an A/D converter sampling rate of 10 MHz with a resolution of 6 bits.

The two TV camera systems and spare sensor assembly (potted image intensifier/SIT tube combination) were acceptance tested and delivered to AMOS in late 1978.

The two TV systems were installed in their respective acquisition telescopes in June and December of 1979 bringing the AATS units to full operational capability (with the exception of the missing 3° FOV on the 1.2 m mount).

In late 1979, following a decision not to replace the missing 3° optical system with an identical Perkin-Elmer unit, AMOS personnel began looking into several less expensive alternatives. These alternatives ranged from simple single lens refractors to the more complex catadiopptic systems which...
approach the performance of the original unit. Studies reflecting the trade-offs of the alternatives are currently underway and a specific recommendation for a replacement will be made in 1980.

4.1.2 Software Systems Support

Successful accomplishment of Phase IV tasks requires the use of existing computer software. If, however, a special performance evaluation is undertaken, and data reduction and analysis can be expedited by use of the computer, then the Software Systems Support task is utilized to formulate a new code to produce the desired results.

During 1979, for example, modifications to the AMTA data reduction software were implemented to provide the capability of handling data recorded prior to the addition of the AMTA LTI hardware and the subsequent procedural changes in data taking and calibration. These changes were necessitated as a result of the requirement to validate data obtained in 1975 which will subsequently be reduced at Everett.

In addition, program RADD (received from Everett) has been modified to make it compatible with the MODCOMP II computer format. Program RADD calculates radiant exitance, bandwidth, and effective band location for the AMTA (or any other) radiation sensor as a function of temperature. The program has the
option of inputting response functions defining object and atmospheric spectral characteristics. The program will be utilized in on-site data reduction and validation.

Other support tasks included a modification to the telescope control software to provide the ability to step the telescope in increments as fine as 0.5 arcseconds. This capability was required for accurate pointing of narrow beam lasers at synchronous satellites.

Toward the end of the year the software capability of the ERG report generator were being expanded to handle cases involving trajectory and encoder histories of multiple mount operations.

4.2 System Testing

AERL conducted tests on the AMOS subsystems during 1979 to assure that they continued to have the performance capabilities required to support research and development programs. This testing falls into two general categories - periodic and repair/replacement - which are discussed below.

4.2.1 Periodic Testing

Periodic testing and performance evaluation of existing equipments may occur in various ways. In the case of equipment which is in regular operation in the acquisition of data or in regular operating support of that equipment, the monitoring
of data quality or of other evidences of system performance (e.g., pointing accuracy or image quality of a telescope) will give a running indication of the condition of the system in general and provide clues as to a specific area or component requiring attention. Baseline performance has been established for all major AMOS systems and related support equipments and, therefore, they are evaluated against their respective baselines.

Periodic tests of system performance are required for two primary reasons. First, anomalous system behavior will be uncovered by a review of data (e.g., pointing drift as a function of time or temperature). When problems are discovered, tests are conducted, and the analyzed data used to determine a remedial course of action. Secondly, certain tests are required to evaluate potential interface problems which are not specifically identified with a particular visiting experiment or special program.

Additional special testing would center on the many equipments and components (mostly optical) which are used in experimental applications and instrument packages, the quality and performance potential of which are not completely known. Precise calibration and evaluation of these components will significantly increase their value to the Observatory.

Data obtained as a result of periodic tests is used to update maintenance schedules and test procedures.
4.2.2 Repair/Replacement Testing

Upon repair and/or replacement of operating elements in the basic AMOS systems and related equipment, AERL performs testing and evaluation to ensure that proper operation has been restored. Upon successful conclusion of the testing, the system is then certified for use in obtaining valid and reducible data.

A specific example of this category of testing is the replacement of the defective 1.6 m Telescope azimuth drive motor. The replacement effort required a specific engineering approach to be developed. The motor was originally installed prior to mount assembly but required replacement without the major cost and time impact that mount disassembly would have entailed. Following replacement, detailed testing was performed before the 1.6 m Telescope system could again be placed in an operational status.

It is important to point out that, in all but the most trivial cases, repair-replacement testing involves significant effort and participation by senior AMOS personnel. In the case of the defective torque motor for example, it is not enough to merely replace the motor and show that the mount can move in azimuth. An extensive test program is required to assure that the overall performance of the 1.6 m Telescope complex has not been degraded.
4.3 Program Support

Program support includes Measurement Programs, Visiting Experiments, Large System Tests and the Laser Beam Director Program. The first two categories represent exploitation of inherent AMOS capabilities, developed over previous years, to support various DoD programs. The third represents implementation and evaluation of new DARPA-sponsored technologies at AMOS. The fourth is concerned with improvements of existing AMOS hardware and software to fulfill specific DoD requirements.

4.3.1 Measurement Programs

The measurement programs utilize existing or slightly modified AMOS systems and instrumentation to obtain data requested by government agencies. Most of these programs were not specifically identified at the initiation of the current contract but evolved during the course of activity as a result of direct contact with their sponsoring agencies. Measurement programs are conducted by resident AMOS staff members in contrast with visiting experiments which involve user personnel in the role of Principal Investigator. Measurement programs which utilize existing AMOS hardware systems in a more or less routine manner and are covered by an existing Mission Instruction and Operation Plan (MIOP) are directly assigned to the Operations Crew who then proceed to obtain the required data in accordance with the weekly schedule. The data, along with relevant
calibration and system configuration information, becomes a formal AMOS Data Package which is qualified and, upon direction of DARPA/RADC, transmitted to the user agency. Measurement programs which require modifications to AMOS systems and/or procedures to accomplish their goals are assigned to an AMOS Principal Investigator for implementation. He is responsible for preparing a MIOP (CDRL 002), assuring that the modifications are implemented in accordance with schedules and priorities, and serves as Test Director during the actual conduct of the measurements. After qualifying the data package and verifying that it does indeed satisfy the program requirements, he, after receiving necessary approvals, transmits the data to the user. As required, other outputs of Measurement Program activities include Flash Reports (CDRL 019) and Quick Look Reports (CDRL 011).

During 1979, AMOS was involved in two significant measurement programs:

1) HAVE LENT IV; and
2) AFWL IR Measurement Program.

4.3.1.1 HAVE LENT IV

The HAVE LENT IV Program at AMOS was initiated in 1978 through a series of planning meetings and written communications with SAMSO and MIT/Lincoln Laboratory. The program was to consist of two Sergeant-Hydac sounding rocket flights
launched from the Kauai Test Facility, Barking Sands, Kauai, Hawaii. The two flights, scheduled for the morning terminator, were to be launched one week apart. AMOS participation in the measurements involved a three mount operation utilizing AMTA, the CMP, the LBD and ruby laser, the 1.6 m CSP photometer and associated TV systems.

1) **Mission Description**

The purpose of the HAVE LENT IV Program was to obtain both visible and LWIR signature data and growth rate data on four individual targets. Each of the sounding rockets was to eject two targets. One target, an aerosol cloud (consisting of small silver-coated glass spheres), contained a one meter diameter emissive balloon. The second target was an empty aerosol cloud. The balloon was to be inflated after the surrounding aerosol was dispensed. Primary emphasis, and a requirement for AMOS, was to obtain two color (filter 5 & 6) LWIR AMTA data and visible CMP radiometric data. As part of this measurement the TV images associated with AMTA and the 1.2 m Acquisition Telescope were to be recorded to provide visual growth rate information. A secondary measurement involved the ruby laser system and was to obtain laser scattering and profile measurements on the aerosol clouds and
to additionally use the photometer receiver on the 1.6 m telescope to obtain cross sectional scans of the clouds.

Figure 4-3 shows the original predicted flight path and impact location for the two stage rocket flights. The azimuth angles with respect to AMOS were to start at 292.5° and end at 322.8°. Culmination was to occur at 380 seconds TALO at which time the vehicle was to be at an altitude of 1800 K feet and 45° elevation. A detailed listing of the projected trajectory, with state vectors at 10 second increments was provided to AMOS by Palo Verde Laboratories. The trajectory became ballistic after burnout of the Hydac stage at 49 seconds after launch. The state vector 50 seconds after launch was given as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>AZMTH</th>
<th>ELEV.</th>
<th>SLNT RNG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 sec</td>
<td>294.95°</td>
<td>6.71°</td>
<td>1323.3 K ft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VEH X</th>
<th>VEH Y</th>
<th>VEH Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1200.15 K ft.</td>
<td>535.59 K ft.</td>
<td>154.67 K ft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X DOT</th>
<th>Y DOT</th>
<th>Z DOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-642.25 fps</td>
<td>2267.26 fps</td>
<td>9905.09 fps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4-3. HAVE LENT IV flight path and impact locations.
The launch time was selected to provide AMOS with a dark sky background but with full solar illumination at the vehicle height just prior to ejection of the first dispenser. To achieve these illumination objectives, the launch time had to be between 5:15 a.m. and 5:35 a.m. local time during the selected launch period (the last week of September 1979).

Initial acquisition data was to be provided to AMOS via the Kokee Park AN/FPS-16 and the Kaena Point AN/FPQ-14 radars. Upon acquisition, the vehicle would then be centered in the AMTA/CMP FOV using the AMTA sensor and visible boresight TV systems. AMOS LWIR and photometric measurements would then commence at T+160 seconds when dispensing of the first cloud was initiated.

2) First Launch

After a one-day postponement due to adverse weather (high cirrus clouds), the first HAVE LENT IV vehicle was launched from Barking Sands, Kauai at 5:34 a.m. on Thursday, September 27, 1979.

The launched vehicle failed to stay within the three sigma bounds of the predicted trajectory. The actual azimuth was reported to be 72 degrees East of the desired trajectory. Two reports were received at
AMOS regarding the failure. The first report indicated that the failure to achieve the desired trajectory might have been the result of using a launch rail with a shorter than normal length. A four-foot launch rail was used, whereas previous Sergeant-Hydac rocket flights have used eleven-foot long launchers. It is possible that the slow burning Sergeant motor did not achieve sufficient velocity at the end of the rail to provide adequate aerodynamic control of the vehicle. It was also reported that subsequent to the launch, a small amount of side play was discovered within the rail structure. The high elevation angle (85°) of the launcher also aggravated the problem.

It was reported that the AN/FPS-16 radar at Kokee Park lost track at T+92 seconds when the rocket went directly overhead and that reacquisition did not occur until T+216 seconds. In addition, it appears that no tracking data was transmitted to the AMOS site. The AN/FPQ-14 radar lost track at T+79 seconds and did not reacquire. AMOS did receive AN/FPQ-14 radar data from T+9 to T+79 seconds. The rocket did not become sun illuminated until T+110 seconds and was not acquired with either the 1.2 m or 1.6 m Telescopes.
The vehicle did dispense the two aerosol clouds as programmed and the on board TV sensor did transmit the desired data. The first cloud was observed by the AMOS dome operators but the control console operators were unable to locate it with the 3° and 1° field-of-view Acquisition Telescopes. In any event, AMOS did not successfully acquire the vehicle and no measurements of the aerosol clouds were taken from this site.

3) AMOS Tracking Trajectories

An ERG (EROS Report Generator) encoder report was made from the AMOS computer history tape of the HAVE LENT IV mission. Actual telescope pointing azimuth and elevation angles taken from the report have been plotted and are shown as Figure 4-4. Included in the plots are the operator selected target inputs. Target 1 is the state vector generated from the nominal trajectory. Target 2 is the same nominal trajectory modified by inputs from the AN/FPQ-14 radar. Target 3 is modified by AN/FPS-16 inputs. Also shown in Figure 4-5 is the nominal trajectory from which the original pointing angles are derived (before operator or radar updates).
Figure 4-4 shows the pointing angles of the 1.2 m Telescope which carries the AMTA sensor. During the first 20 seconds after launch, when the target was below the AMOS horizon, the telescope was pointing at an elevation angle of approximately 34° evaluating the infrared background conditions. The telescope was stepped back to the nominal trajectory at T+44 seconds and maintained this track until the operator switched to the AN/FPQ-14 radar at T+76 seconds in an effort to locate the target. At this point (T+80) radar inputs were far from the nominal trajectory and the operator switched back to Target 1 for a brief period to eliminate accumulated off-sets and then back to Target 2 and again followed close to the nominal track in both Target 2 and Target 1 with added operator induced off-sets in an attempt to acquire the target which became sunlit by T+110 seconds. At T+240 seconds the operator switched to the AN/FPS-16 radar which had accumulated large off-sets, taking the telescope to 55° elevation. All off-sets were removed at T+320 seconds and the telescope continued on the nominal trajectory until T+440 seconds. Operator induced search off-sets about the nominal trajectory failed to acquire the aerosol targets.
Figure 4-5 shows a plot of the original nominal trajectory and the actual pointing angles for the 1.6 m Telescope after T+325 seconds. Pointing angles for the 1.6 m Telescope prior to this time have not been recovered from the history tape. The operator of this telescope observed a bright star in his 1" field-of-view Acquisition Telescope which he believed was one of the aerosol clouds. He centered the star in the boresight and took a number of computer interrupts which updated the nominal trajectory through the Kalman filter and the 1.6 m Telescope went into a sidereal track rate for the remainder of the mission.

4) Second Launch

Because of the difficulties encountered in this first flight and the requirement to analyze data from the radars, AMOS and the on board sensors, the second HAVE LENT IV mission was postponed from the scheduled October 2 launch date. This second launch is now scheduled for April 15, 1980. Lunar illumination conditions are favorable during this latter half of April. Launch delays due to weather or mechanical problems will be incremented on a daily basis. The launch elevation angle for this second flight will be lowered to approximately 82° to stabilize the azimuth trajectory. Prior to the second launch, a Cohu I-SIT
TV camera will be mounted on the side of the 1.6 m Telescope to augment the 3° FOV AATS. The Cohu camera incorporates a 30 to 300 millimeter remote controlled zoom lens which will provide a field-of-view which is as wide as 30°.

4.3.1.2 AFWL IR Measurement Program

The AFWL IR Measurement Program was initiated in November of 1978 as a result of several meetings between AERL and AFWL at both AMOS and the Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland AFB. The capabilities of AMOS, particularly of AMTA and the 1.6 m Telescope, are being used to fulfill some of the objectives of the program.

AMOS contributions to the program include a series of sky radiant granularity measurements, sky radiance measurements and satellite signature measurements. The objectives and measurement descriptions for those sub-programs are identified in three AMOS MIOPs numbered 28, 29 and 32 respectively. As part of the satellite signatures, acquisition offsets were measured on a number of targets. This data has been used to define search parameters for a microprocessor - controlled modification to AMTA which will result in an automatic search and track capability.

Also as part of the program, the AFWL will provide a complete IR sensor package for test on the 1.6 m Telescope. This particular portion of the experiment will be performed under
the Visiting Experiments Program and is discussed further in Section 4.3.2.3.

1) **Sky Radiant Granularity Measurements**

Sky radiance structure data obtained in January 1979 was calibrated and analyzed with view to identifying phenomena which might impact the Air Force Weapons Lab experiments to be conducted at AMOS. AFWL will bring, to the 1.6 m Telescope, an LWIR sensor designed to measure, and demonstrate the possibility of correcting for, large atmospheric refractive structure. The December/January radiance data was gathered to determine the impact of thermal structure, clear air eddies and also cirrus clouds, upon the performance of the AFWL/PACC sensor.

Cirrus cloud affected noise is shown in Figure 4-6. The 1.2 m, B29 Telescope with AMTA was stationary with line-of-sight directed toward zenith and with filter #5 (8 to 13.3 μm) in place. Wind driven thermal structure drifting across the LOS produced a noise-like signal which was analyzed. The plots in the figure were calculated from detector output voltage histories recorded during the observation. Nine contiguous twenty second histories were each transformed to frequency space and averaged together for the upper curve and again for the lower curve.
Figure 4-6. Cloud noise spectrum.
(no visible clouds) to produce spectral distribution functions. Similar functions, obtained by placing a
dry ice chilled blackbody before the sensor, were
subtracted in frequency registration from the sky
results to compensate for amplifier 1/f excess. (In
the 10 μm window a solid CO₂ blackbody has approx-
imately the same radiance as zenith sky, --- but
without moving features). Voltage functions were
transformed to equivalent entrance aperture irradiance
by means of system responsivity values obtained
previously in support of satellite measurements.

The data collected about 2:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m.
local time shows that the presence of cirrus clouds
degrades the sensitivity of an 8-13 m sensor by
about a factor of twenty at one Hz, but appears to
have little effect at high (>10 Hz) frequencies.
The spikes in the lower plot at 1 and 3 Hz are due
to refrigerator tremors.

A Wiener spectrum produced from low elevation
azimuth slewing data is shown in Figure 4-7. For
structure smaller than half a degree the power spectral
density is seen to follow predictions (i.e., the
inverse square of spatial frequency). Figure 4-8
results from low elevation data obtained 4 January and
Figure 4-7. Wiener spectrum.
Figure 4-8. Power spectral density.
is plotted in terms of noise equivalent entrance flux density. On this day structure smaller than one milliradian did not appreciably alter the sensitivity of the sensor.

The noise data collected is probably representative of average winter conditions at Haleakala. The accuracy and precision of results presented are constrained by blackbody calibration procedure uncertainties and the smooth sky limited sensitivity of AMTA, respectively. Blackbody calibrations were not obtained the day atmospheric data was gathered, so a \( +11\% \) uncertainty applies.

There is no evidence that clear air thermal structures will consistently and seriously degrade the performance of an 8-13 \( \mu \)m tracker which has a one-sigma threshold (NEFD) of \( 10^{-16} \) watts \( \cdot \) cm\(^{-2}\) \( \cdot \) Hz\(^{-1/2}\) or greater at elevation angles above 15°. Occasionally, low elevation thermal structure subtending one to two milliradians (the FACC/AFWL tracker has a 1.2 by 1.6 mr field-of-view) will degrade performance predictions, based upon smooth sky estimates, by a factor of eight (Fig. 4-9). This can be circumvented by:

1) avoiding low elevation exercises;
Figure 4-9. Radiant granularity.
2) restricting operation to astronomical twilight or full daylight conditions;

3) high pass filtering the video to improve small-target contrast.

This data also shows the temporal correlation scale ranges between a few seconds and a few tens of milliseconds (Fig. 4-6). In fact, granularity effects beyond 100 Hz have never been observed with AMTA. Thus, a tracker operating at video frame rates will freeze atmospheric structure. However, with a current satellite ephemeris, tracking velocities will be reasonably correct; therefore, a modest amount of integration (five to ten video fields) will wash out the structured foreground to reveal 'stationary' targets. The existing internal time-delay-integration (progressive delaying of pixel outputs before summing) proceeds much too fast to wash out small structure.

Cirrus clouds, on the other hand, will degrade performance. The noise spectrum shown in Figure 4-6 results from barely perceivable clouds; and note that the problem of cloud throughput and throughput fluctuation has not been addressed at all. Typically, cirrus cloud radiant structure overloads AMTA detector amplifiers and precludes LWIR observation.
2) **Sky Radiance Measurements**

Utilization of atmospheric transmission models e.g., LOWTRAN, to estimate the atmospheric transmission along a path between a ground based infrared sensor and an exo-atmospheric object can seriously limit conclusions regarding the object's radiation characteristics. The reason being that atmospheric models incorporate model atmospheric profiles (temperature, molecular constituent concentrations, etc.,) which only approximate and, in some cases, deviate considerably from the actual conditions prevailing at the time of the measurement.

The primary objective of this experiment is to develop a technique which will allow the atmospheric transmission to be determined from sky radiance measurements made with the AMTA sensor during the measurement interval.

Experimental data and theoretical models (Fig. 4-10, A) indicate that the spectral radiance, \( N_a(\lambda) \), of the atmosphere can be expressed in terms of an effective temperature, \( T_a \), and an emissivity, \( \varepsilon_a(\lambda) \), i.e.,

\[
N_a(\lambda) = N_{oa}(T_a, \lambda)e_a(\lambda)
\]
Figure 4-10. Sky radiance/AMTA spectral bands.
where:
\( N_{oa}(T_a,\lambda) \) is the spectral radiance of a blackbody at the effective temperature of the atmosphere. Under conditions of thermal equilibrium, conservation of energy requires that:
\[
\rho_a + \tau_a + \alpha_a = 1
\]
where:
- \( \rho_a \) = atmospheric reflectance (scattering)
- \( \tau_a \) = atmospheric transmission
- \( \alpha_a \) = atmospheric absorption

In the LWIR spectral region, the primary physical process for attenuation of radiation passing through the atmosphere is absorption and the effects of scattering are negligible in comparison. Therefore, under conditions of thermal equilibrium:
\[
\tau_a + \alpha_a = 1
\]
From Kirchoff's law, \( \alpha_a = \varepsilon_a \), therefore, it follows that:
\[
\tau_a = 1 - \varepsilon_a
\]
In principle then, a measurement of the radiance of the atmosphere at an assumed effective temperature will yield an emissivity from which the atmospheric transmission can be derived.
The AMTA system is configured to allow measurement of atmospheric radiance in the several spectral bands shown in Figure 4-10, B.

The system transfer function which relates the detector dc voltage output to atmospheric radiance is:

\[
N_{oa}(T_a) \varepsilon_a = \frac{V(EL) - V(F8)}{V(DC) - V(F8)} \cdot \frac{N_b(T_{amb})}{1 - \varepsilon_o}
\]

and atmospheric transmission then becomes:

\[
\tau_a = 1 - \left[ \frac{V(EL) - V(F8) - \varepsilon_o}{V(DC) - V(F8)} \right] \frac{N_b(T_{amb})}{(1 - \varepsilon_o)N_{oa}(T_a)}
\]

where:

- \(V(EL)\) = Detector dc output at elevation (EL);
- \(V(F8)\) = Detector dc output looking at Filter #8 (= zero background);
- \(V(DC)\) = Detector dc output looking at dust cover (= ambient temperature blackbody);
- \(N_b(T_{amb})\) = In-band radiance of blackbody at ambient temperature \((T_{amb})\);
- \(\varepsilon_o\) = Optical emissivity;
- \(\varepsilon_a\) = Apparent atmospheric emissivity.

Therefore, atmospheric transmission can be derived from measured data and assumptions regarding the system.
optical emissivity, $\varepsilon_0$, and the effective atmospheric temperature, $T_a$. These assumed values obviously place constraints on the precision to which the atmospheric transmission can be determined.

Figures 4-11 and 4-12 show examples of reduced data for filter 5 (10 $\mu$m) and filter 6 (20 $\mu$m) for three different measurements. The LOWTRAN 3 mid-latitude summer transmission model is also plotted on the figure to allow comparison of measured and predicted transmission. Water vapor densities, given on the figures, were derived from dew point measurements. LOWTRAN water vapor density was taken from the AFCRL publication, "Optical Properties of the Atmosphere" (third edition). The curves show, as expected, that the measured transmission can deviate considerably from that predicted by the LOWTRAN 3 model. The curves also show that atmospheric radiance and, hence, transmission deviates considerably from day to day as a consequence of the change in water vapor density. Also, anomalous behavior at specific elevations is readily seen. This preliminary analysis of the data indicates that the technique can be used to determine atmospheric transmission during a given measurement interval.
Figure 4-11. Measured atmospheric transmission as a function of elevation, AMTA filter #5, 10 μμ.
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Figure 4-12. Measured atmospheric transmission as a function of elevation, AMIA filter #6, 20 pr.
3) **Satellite Signature Measurements**

As part of the AFWL program, the infrared measurement of satellites of interest has continued through the year. These signatures generally result in classified data. Approximately 150 different satellites were identified for monitoring. These satellites were selected based on minimum expected irradiance levels and, therefore, are primarily low altitude targets with large areas.

Weekly AESOP computer runs are made on these 150 satellites which presents a listing of pass availability based on a given selection criteria. The usual criteria for visual acquisition specifies sunlit passes at either twilight or dawn that will culminate at angles of 45 degrees or greater. Acceptable passes are placed on the AMOS weekly operating schedule. Data reduction of successful passes is generally performed on a single point basis (one radiant intensity value per pass at a selected time). Data collected from this program has been presented at the various interface and discussion meetings related to the overall program.

4.3.2 **Visiting Experiments**

The visiting experiment program was established to assist approved agencies and individuals in utilizing the unique capabilities of the AMOS Observatory.
A qualified systems interface engineer or scientist is assigned to each visiting experiment. In the early stages of experiment planning he makes contact with responsible personnel in charge of the proposed experiment and identifies and documents the user's requirements. The experimental definition and requirements result in the preparation of a MIOP which, after DARPA/RADC approval, becomes the governing document for the conduct of the program. Included in the MIOP is a brief description of the experiment and its objectives, a description of the sensors involved and their calibration, a scenario for the operation of the experiment, and the requirements for data collecting, data reduction and reports. The assigned scientist or engineer serves as the planning and communications focal point for the visiting experimenter, representing the official source of information who plans, schedules, and expedites the experimental program at AMOS.

He is also responsible for the design and fabrication of any interface hardware necessary to the conduct of the experiment. Where possible, existing facilities are configured to meet the program needs. It is also his responsibility to ensure that the experiment is performed safely and does not place the experimenter or any AMOS facilities in jeopardy.
During the initial year of the Phase IV contract, two experiments (Sandia Laser Experiment and Atmospheric Characterization Program) were performed, and a third (AFWL IR Measurements) was initiated. The following year of 1979 saw a repeat of the successful Sandia Laser Experiment using different satellites, a new program identified as both the SAMSO HF Laser Experiment and as Masking Effects Verification (MEV) program, and a continuation of the AFWL IR Measurements now identified as the ABTS Infrared Evaluation Experiment. In addition, discussions were held with various individuals and organizations which will likely lead to the Visiting Experiments and Measurements of 1980, 1981 and 1982.

4.3.2.1 Sandia Experiment II

A second series of laser illumination experiments using the AMOS and Sandia Ruby Lasers was conducted during the first half of July and generally followed the program as described in MIOP #30. Laser illumination response of two satellites to ruby light was evaluated. The first target identified as IIA is in synchronous orbit and required a full 24-hour calibration period. The second target, IIM, is in a 12-hour orbit which places it above the AMOS horizon only during the daylight hours. This second satellite was illuminated during a six-hour period centered about local noon. This second target presents particular difficulties since it could never (during the July test period) be observed visually. Accurate pointing had to be
accomplished in an absolute means by obtaining an accurate
mount model and relying on accurate state vectors describing
the instantaneous satellite position in space.

The experiment successfully met both the objectives of
AMOS and Sandia. All necessary data was collected over a
nine-day period. Approximately 600 shots were fired with the
Sandia Laser. Half of these resulted in the successful
triggering of the Atmospheric Burst Locator (ABL) sensor on the
IIA target. The majority of the remaining 300 firings were used
during optical alignment of the laser system and to illuminate
the IIM target.

A major problem which was uncovered during the first test
series in 1978, pointing drift in the Laser Beam Director, was
solved. Extensive efforts were taken to shield the Beam Director
components from direct solar illumination and to provide the
optical expander with a continuous flow of cooled air.

A new problem was uncovered as the result of operating
during the daytime hours. Initiating a satellite track after
00:00 UT time (2 p.m. local) with a state vector epoch for an
earlier time period resulted in a pointing vector which was in
error by one day. The problem could be circumvented by
maintaining a continuous track on the target which had been
established prior to the day change; however, this procedure
precluded the use of stellar pointing checks or alternate use
of the computer during predictive avoidance intervals. It had
never been a requirement in the past for the computer software programs to keep track of the absolute day change.

4.3.2.2 **Masking Effects Verification**

Through a series of meetings, which were initiated in late 1978 and continued through the spring of 1979, a visiting experiment first known as the SAMSO HF Laser Experiment and later as Masking Effects Verification (MEV) was established. The experiment involved the illumination and calibration of three satellites with a hydrogen fluoride laser operating in the IR spectrum. The experiment was performed with assistance of scientists and engineers from the Aerospace Corporation and Aerojet ElectroSystems under sponsorship of SAMSO.

The experimental package (fabricated by Aerojet) includes a 20 watt HF CW laser, a modulator, calibrated attenuators, transfer optics, alignment lasers and an AMOS supplied boresight ISIT-TV. The package was attached to the rear Blanchard of the 1.6 m Telescope which provided the narrow beam widths required by the experiment. Portions of the experiment required pointing precision which exceeded the normal one arcsecond resolution provided by the AMOS software. Special provisions were made to enable the console operator to execute 0.5 arcsecond steps during the experimental period by developing a modified version of the mount control software.
The HF laser and associated optical package required a considerable amount of support hardware and equipment. Included were numerous bottles of helium, hydrogen, oxygen and sulfur hexafluoride for laser operations, a high voltage power supply and ballast control, a large vacuum system, two water cooling heat exchangers and a rather complex scrubber system used to clean the exhaust gas effluents of harmful products. Many of the support items were supplied in duplicate to insure a successful program with minimum downtime. The total mass of equipment brought to the Observatory exceeded 80,000 pounds. Approximately 37,000 pounds were flown in to Kahului on a C-141 jet aircraft and the remainder was surfaced shipped from the mainland and Honolulu.

The magnitude of the equipment can best be visualized, with the three photos, Figures 4-13, 4-14, and 4-15. Figure 4-13 shows the optical package (with its protective cover removed) as it was mounted to the rear Blanchard surface of the 1.6 m Telescope. The glow discharge from three tubes which form part of the cavity of the HF laser can be seen as well as portions of the HeNe laser alignment system. Gas, power and vacuum lines reach the package via a cable drape system which is attached to the telescope. This photo was taken with the 1.6 m Telescope placed in a horizontal position where the 1.6 m optical flat was used in auto-collimation for precise alignment of the laser to the optical axis of the telescope.
Figure 4-14 shows some of the control equipment needed for the optical modulator and attenuators as well as the gas flow regulators. Not shown, but located on the lower level of the dome, were the high voltage power supplies and laser ballast resistors. Special heat flow tubes were constructed to transfer 30 kilowatts of heat from the ballast to the inside of the Observatory to keep heat from the dome and to conserve energy.

A portion of the equipment located exterior to the Observatory is shown in Figure 4-15. A storage tank containing 3,000 gallons of liquid nitrogen was used to provide gaseous nitrogen to purge the system before and after each day's activity. Two tanks were required during the course of the two month experimental period. Special racks were constructed to hold thirteen gas bottles along the exterior of the dome walls. A portion of the vacuum pump and the exhaust scrubber system can be seen near the entrance door to the lower dome. Not shown are the two heat exchangers which were located nearer to the front entrance to the observatory and the gas exhaust tower which was located 100 feet across the road and down wind from the Observatory.

The experiment started on 27 July 1979 with the arrival of the C-141 aircraft and nine pallets of equipment which were off-loaded and transferred to the Observatory the same day aboard two 40 foot flatbed trucks. The following Monday, 30 July,
27 pallets of gas bottles arrived by Matson freight and were taken to the Observatory. The next three weeks were devoted to equipment set-up, checkout and alignment. A period of poor weather delayed actual satellite operations until 23 August. Following another brief period of corrective action to improve laser performance (the laser was found to be attitude sensitive requiring frequent realignment) and operational procedures, satellite illumination continued through the month of September. All equipment was disassembled during the first week of October and surface shipped back to Aerojet.

During the two-month measurement period, three different satellites were illuminated. A large variety of measurements were performed utilizing variations in laser modulation waveforms and power levels. Data resulting from this program will be analyzed and reduced by Aerojet ElectroSystems and Aerospace. AMOS will provide inputs in the form of satellite tracking and trajectory data from 26 computer generated history tapes, and strip chart records of atmospheric seeing conditions recorded during the illumination periods.

4.3.2.3 AFWL IR FLIR Measurement

As part of the AFWL IR Measurement program discussed in Section 4.3.1.2, a visiting experiment will be conducted at AMOS during the months of March, April and May of 1980. This
experiment was originally scheduled for 1979. However, hardware
difficulties with certain portions of the package and the need
for a thorough evaluation of the total system forced postponement.

The experiment will evaluate the performance of an infrared
adaptive optical system designed to correct for the effects of
atmospherically induced optical turbulence while tracking low
altitude, extended area, satellites. The equipment consists of
an optical package designated as the AMOS Breadboard Test
System (ABTS) which will be mounted to the rear Blanchard
surface of the 1.6 m Telescope and the system control and
processing electronics which will be housed within Room 26 of
the Observatory.

The telescope mounted components which comprise the ABTS
package are shown schematically in Figure 4-16. The components
include:

1) a FFIM (Far-Field Irradiance Maximizer), essentially
an infrared shearing interferometer, which detects
wavefront errors caused by atmospheric effects;

2) a Tip/Tilt or Steering Mirror which corrects
wavefront tilts;

3) a Piezoelectric Deformable Mirror which compensates
for atmospherically induced higher order aberrations; and

4) a FLIR (Forward Looking Infrared) sensor which
provides a corrected TV image of the target.

The package requires a four-inch diameter collimated input.
To satisfy this requirement, a negative lens is being installed
Figure 4-16. ABTS package schematic.
within the f/16 converging beam. The lens is being mounted on the tertiary mirror drive system which presently exists within the 1.6 m Telescope. It will be positioned such that, when the tertiary mirror is rotated into position, the lens is rotated out of the beam and vice versa. The side Blanchard surface of the telescope can then be used in a normal manner for other experiments.

The ABTS control and processing electronics will be assembled in Room 26 of the Observatory. Included will be signal processing and control computers, high voltage drivers for the deformable mirror, video control and display for the FLIR and other ancillary equipment.

**AMTA Track/Search System**

The AMTA sensor will be used during a portion of the measurement program for initial target acquisition. Modifications are being made to AMTA to provide an automatic search and track capability. As part of this system upgrade, a linear scan mechanism was added to the B29 secondary mirror of the 1.2 m Telescopes. This mirror performs the toggling function necessary for AMTA background rejection and forms a portion of the search pattern.

The linear scan mechanism was completed and installed in the summer of 1979. A modification of the scan rate, from 45 Hertz normally used by AMTA to 50 Hertz, enabled the system to be compatible with the Contrast Mode Photometer (CMP) which
shares the same telescope beam with AMTA. The system is oper- 
tional and is being used on a routine basis for the gathering 
of both IR and photometric signature data.

A simplified block diagram of the drive electronics is 
shown in Figure 4-17. The basic components are a 600-Watt 
servo amplifier, mirror position and velocity feedback, and the 
scan mechanism itself which is driven by a pair of linear motors. 
A sketch of the scan mechanism is shown in Figure 4-18. 
The mirror is rotated about its center of mass on a frictionless 
flex bearing. The actual movement of the edge of the mirror, 
required to achieve the 20 to 60 arcsecond scan amplitude, is 
on the order of a few thousandths of an inch. The Infomag 
linear motors (originally designed for use in computer disc 
drives) were modified to provide increased force at the expense 
of reduced drive length (originally one inch) by a redesign of 
the moveable coil. A LVDT position and a moving magnet velocity 
transducer were added to form a stable, responsive, type II 
servo loop. The mechanism includes provisions for independent 
centering and tilt adjustment of the secondary mirror as 
necessary for telescope alignment.

The scan amplitude and frequency of the mirror are remotely 
and independently adjustable. At present, three different scan 
amplitudes are envisioned. The normal toggle amplitude of 23 
arcsseconds is presently being used for routine measurements. A 
microprocessor controlled system is under development which
Figure 4-17. Secondary mirror linear scan drive system.
will provide AMTA with automated functions of both target search and track modes in which scan amplitudes of 56 arcseconds will be used for search and 32 arcseconds will be used for tracking.

The search pattern was developed to cover the approximately 7 by 16 arcminute field-of-view found necessary under the acquisition off-set study performed as part of the Satellite Signature Measurements. A sketch of this search pattern, which uses a combination of declination and polar axis scanning is shown in Figure 4-19. The 80 by 80 arcsecond AMTA field-of-view is toggled between two states separated by 56 arcseconds for a period of approximately one second. At the end of each second, the scan area is moved 112 arcseconds in declination and 14 arcseconds in polar angle until the total field is covered in approximately 68 seconds. This particular pattern has not been finalized yet and another pattern is also under consideration. However, it is certain that the declination scan will be accomplished by movement of the scanning secondary mirror and the polar axis movement will accomplished by movement of the entire telescope.

Once the target is located with the search pattern, a track mode is initiated by reducing the toggle scan amplitude to 32 arcseconds and by placing the target at the intersection of four detectors of the AMTA array to form X-Y or polar/declination error signals by subtraction of opposing detector outputs.
AMTA SEARCH PATTERN
(RASTER SCAN, 50% EFFICIENT)

MIRROR:
STEP AND TOGGLE IN DEC
(112 SEC STEPS AND
56 SEC TOGGLE AMPLITUDE
DURING 1 SECOND DWELL).

MOUNT:
STEP IN POLAR (14 SEC)
AND DWELL FOR
1 SECOND.

Figure 4-19. AMTA search pattern.
While four of the detectors serve to guide the tracking of the target, a central detector is used to gather target signature data. The target is caused to alternate between the two states at a 50 Hertz rate.

The entire system will be under microprocessor control. The software algorithms provide convenience of operation and versatility for changes or additions. The main microprocessor objectives are to:

1) generate a search pattern around the nominal trajectory;
2) detect a target by processing data from the 25 AMTA infrared detectors; and
3) generate error signals which center the telescope on the target and maintain track.

During the summer of 1979, the basic system approach to accomplish these objectives was refined and definitized from earlier concepts. The real-time operating system for the microprocessor was structured, and software coding initiated.

Software routines related to the search mode have been written and coding of the detection routines is in process. Interrupt routines which provide initialization and testing of the overall system have also been written. Software which generates the AMTA mirror toggle signal and search pattern stepping signals has also been written and was demonstrated in the latter part of 1979.
The hardware of the system has been assembled using microprocessor compatible subsystem boards, and additional special circuitry has been prototyped. Currently, phase-locked loop circuitry and a timing signal interface is being fabricated to provide hardware a timing synchronization of the microprocessor system to the Observatory. The completed system will be ready in March 1980.

4.3.3 Large System Tests

In contrast to the type of program support discussed in Sections 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 which involves use of existing or slightly modified AMOS instrumentation (Measurement Programs) or temporary installation of special hardware (Visiting Experiments), certain major development activities are included in the Phase IV program. These activities are identified as Large System Tests and, in particular, include the DARPA Compensated Imaging System (CIS) program, the classical imaging data base and the atmospherics data base.

4.3.3.1 Compensated Imaging System (CIS) Interface

Support for the DARPA CIS program constitutes a major effort in the AMOS Phase IV program.

As is the case for visiting experiments and certain measurement programs, AMOS has designated a CIS Interface Scientist for the Compensated Imaging System activity. He is responsible for interaction with RADC, Itek, and AERL Everett personnel and, in
general, for assuring that the CIS is installed efficiently and on schedule at AMOS.

AMOS/CIS interface activities began early in Phase III and included participation in CIS design reviews, preparation of Interface Information Documents (IID's) and definition/implementation of special tests.

Interface activities and special testing related to the CIS have continued during Phase IV and are expected to increase in scope and intensity as the delivery date for CIS hardware draws near.

When the hardware arrives on-site, AERL will install the equipment on the 1.6 m Telescope and in the CIS control room and interface the system to the AMOS computer, timing and control systems. During the CIS evaluation period, AMOS will provide mission planning, operations, and technical support.

Significant Phase IV CIS activities during 1979 included the completion of major modifications to the AMOS facility for the CIS hardware, and the design and implementation of special tests on the 1.6 m Telescope to assure compatibility with the Itek equipment.

**Facility Modifications**

In order to meet the requirements of both the DARPA Compensated Imaging System and the MOTIF program, certain modifications and improvements were made to the AMOS facility. Based upon a preliminary study (AMOS/MOTIF Space Allocation and
System Interface Requirements Study, AERL, January, 1977) and a subsequent quote from a local construction firm, funding was included in the Phase IV contract to cover anticipated costs.

The Phase IV Program Plan scheduled the work to be accomplished during the period May-December 1978. This effort included a revision of the preliminary study, obtainment of necessary subcontractors' bids, awarding of subcontracts and implementation of the modifications along with certain tasks to be accomplished by AMOS personnel. The initial goal was to accomplish both the MOTIF and CIS tasks in parallel and complete the effort by 31 December 1978.

After the Phase IV contract was initiated on 1 January 1978, several facts became apparent.

1) Many specific requirements for CIS-related modifications were not firm. An AMOS/CIS Interface Meeting held in April of 1978 produced twenty-seven (27) action items for resolution, many of which involved the implementation of facility modifications. AMOS staff members worked with RADC, Itek and AERL Everett personnel during the spring and summer of 1978 to complete the action items and come to agreement on specifics.

2) Since the CIS hardware was not scheduled to arrive on Maui until 1980, no real need existed to have the AMOS facility ready for CIS until that time. (Decisions
that impact Itek and/or AERL Everett designs would, of course, be addressed separately.)

3) MOTIF schedules required certain modifications to be completed by early 1979 in order to install and test the new DTS and CMS hardware.

Based upon the above, the task was reprogrammed in July 1978.

1) MOTIF and CIS tasks were to be treated separately wherever possible.

2) MOTIF tasks were to be completed by 1 January 1979 if possible.

3) After necessary CIS modifications had been completely defined, a revised plan/specification was issued which was suitable for obtaining firm contractor's bids. The revised plan also contained a schedule to assure that the work was completed in a timely manner with respect to CIS requirements.

During July, interface meetings were held at Everett, Itek and RADC to discuss specific items of the Facility Modifications task in addition to the general approach described above. A reappraisal of MOTIF requirements was conducted. It was determined that the equipment could be installed in the existing computer and communications area with minimum interior construction. Included in this approach was removal of the wall between room 41 and 42 and the addition of a door between rooms 41 and 47.
The purpose of the changes was to accommodate the relocation of personnel and facilitate the addition of the MOTIF MODCOMP V computer. Electrical distribution changes in this area were also included. Several questions pertaining to CIS requirements were still pending; specifically, air conditioning requirements for room 22, door sizes, dome chiller location and CIS equipment handling requirements. A raised floor was added to room 25 and the air handling unit for the air conditioner was moved to that location. The raised floor acts as the air supply with room 26 serving as the return plenum. During the month of August 1978, it was determined that all MOTIF-related tasks could be completed by the first week in January 1979. Modifications to room 26 for CIS could also be completed in 1979 to support the Air Force IR measurement program which requires basically the same facilities as CIS. Remaining modifications would be completed as specific requirements were determined.

In September 1978 an engineering firm was engaged to prepare specifications for the MOTIF and CIS electrical modifications. Drawings and specifications for the CIS air conditioning system were sent to a Carrier Corporation engineer in Honolulu for review. At this time it was determined that Carrier could not supply a unit in a timely manner. A substitute air conditioner was selected from Liebert Corporation in Columbus, Ohio and arrived on-site in December 1978. This unit had nearly identical capabilities and physical characteristics as the originally
selected Carrier unit. At this time, a revised schedule was prepared to satisfy specific priorities.

During the month of October 1978, all facility modification design work was completed. The AMOS/MOTIF Space Allocation and Interface Definition document was revised and submitted to RADC for approval. A bid package was prepared. When approval from RADC was received in November, competitive bids were sought. Proposal packages were sent to seven local contractors and an advertisement was placed in the Maui News. A bidders' conference was held on 22 November 1978. The deadline for receipt of bids was 8 December 1978 with work scheduled to commence in January 1979.

Bids were received from two contracting firms. A review of the bid sheets revealed an obvious misunderstanding of what materials were to be purchased by AERL. Both bids were higher than allocated subcontract funds. A meeting was held with both contractors and available subcontractors to clarify material requirements and to ask for a rebid on the original specifications. In addition, revised specifications were prepared eliminating particular items and relocating the CIS chiller and the room 26 air conditioning condensing unit to the north side of the Observatory. This relocation eliminates the trenching, steel and concrete work for the trench, and the enlargement of the existing air conditioning equipment enclosure.

Installation of these thermal sources on the north side of the building is undesirable from a technical standpoint because
of the direction of the prevailing winds at AMOS. The ideal location is on the south side. Unfortunately, tentative plans for location of GEODSS facilities precluded use of this area.

New bids were prepared by the contractors and submitted to AERL on 28 December 1978. The low bidder on both proposals was Fuku Construction, Inc.

Of the twenty subtasks that comprised the original bid, Fuku Construction was awarded a subcontract to complete eleven, AERL performed five, and four were deleted.

The decision to perform some of the subtasks using AERL labor was made after evaluating the bids submitted by both Service Contracting and Fuku Construction. The subcontract costs were compared with the cost of performing the work in a timely manner using AERL technicians.

A decision was made to delete the installation of an additional door in room 5, leading to the main hallway (room 16). Three other subtasks (trenching, concrete and steel work for the trench, and an extended air conditioning enclosure) were deleted. They are not required with the installation of the room 26 air conditioner and Itek chiller on the north side of the Observatory.

Fuku Construction was placed under contract and work began in February 1979. The contract stipulated two completion dates; 1 March 1979 for the completion of electrical work in rooms 39, 40, 41, and 42, and 15 June 1979 for the remainder of the work.
All subcontract work was to be completed by 30 April 1979 with the exception of the raised floor in room 26. Delivery of this item had been extended by the supplier.

Except for a few items to be completed by the air conditioning subcontractor, all tasks were actually completed by the end of September 1979. The work was performed within the allocated budget. Modifications required for the new MOTIF systems were completed on schedule. The remaining open items will be completed in time to support the CIS system. Many of the modifications will also be used to support the ABTS Infrared Evaluation Experiment in March of 1980.

The interior of the main Observatory building was painted with the exception of the interior of the 1.6 m Dome. This area could not be painted before the cold weather set in due to the presence of the SAMSO MEV experiment.

Although not part of the original scope of work, portions of the observatory exterior were scraped, sealed and repainted.

The following mechanical tasks have been completed:

1) Provide reinforced concrete pads for Itek chiller and room 26 air conditioner on the north side of the main Observatory building;
2) Install plenum (raised) floor in rooms 25 and 26;
3) Install dropped ceiling in room 26;
4) Lower ceiling in room 16 (main building);
5) Provide chiller lines per Itek requirements from the outside chiller to high voltage power supplies, rear Blanchard assembly, and room 22;

6) Remove wall between rooms 41 and 42 to provide a single room for the data transmission system and spare computer;

7) Install a door between rooms 7 and 41 to allow computer operator access from office to equipment area;

8) Modify room 26 entrance door to account for the new plenum floor;

9) Modify doors between rooms 25 and 26, and between 25 and 22, to allow passage of air to the air conditioner in room 25;

10) Modify wall and door between rooms 24 and 25 to provide maintenance access to the air conditioner in room 25;

11) Install a ten-ton air conditioner for rooms 22 and 26 in room 25;

12) Install a new stove, refrigerator and microwave oven in the kitchen;

13) Enlarge the cage in the technical support building used to store spare parts; and

14) Paint the interior of the main Observatory building.

The following electrical tasks were completed:

1) Supply electrical power to the CIS and Data Recording System (DRS) equipment in room 26 and the air conditioner in room 25;
2) Provide electrical power to CIS telescope mounted equipment and the Monolithic Piezoelectric Mirror (MPM) power supply;

3) Provide electrical power to the CIS test room (room 22), for the CIS rear Blanchard assembly;

4) Provide power to the CIS chiller and the room 26 condenser on the north side of the Observatory;

5) Install safety disconnects for the entire CIS, including air conditioning, rooms 22, 24, 26 and 30. Install safety disconnects in rooms 22, 26 and 30 for the dome high voltage supply;

6) Provide power for the MOTIF Communications System (CS) in room 39 and the Data Transmission System (DTS) in room 42;

7) Install fluorescent lamps in room 26 to provide 100 foot-candles of illumination. Also, provide dimmable incandescent lighting in rooms 26 and 42. Install emergency lighting in rooms 22 and 26.

Figures 4-20 through 4-23 show the location of the MOTIF and CIS items as a result of the completed Facility Modifications tasks.

1.6 m Telescope Inertia Tests

Several tests were conducted in 1978 to assure that the mass of the CIS equipment would not significantly degrade the performance of the 1.6 m Telescope. Particular attention was
Figure 4-20. CIS chiller and air conditioner location.
Figure 4-22. MOTIF equipment location, rooms 7 and 41.
paid to the vibration and inertia response under simulated weight conditions. The test conclusions were positive; no serious degradation was detected as a result of the simulated load.

In the spring of 1979, however, a new weight estimate was performed by Itek. The increased weight and distribution change was felt to be of sufficient impact that AMOS could not guarantee that the performance of the telescope would remain unimpaired. In view of the importance of CIS, it was necessary to repeat the inertia tests.

The telescope was loaded to simulate the weight defined in the Itek Interface Document (IID) A-0001-E prior to conducting the tests. In addition to evaluation of mount acceleration and tracking performance, tests were devised to detect gross problems such as bearing seizure as well as effects such as weight induced hysteresis and mechanical deformation which may not be immediately evident.

To minimize the possibility of overlooking a dormant problem, the telescope was used routinely for approximately three months in its maximum weight condition. Operators were instructed to watch for anomalies indicative of a weight-induced phenomenon. The tests were completed in August 1979 and the results are summarized in the following paragraphs.

Mount Model

A mount model was obtained prior to installing the CIS
weights as a reference for future models. Later models were compared to this model to detect elastic and non-elastic deformation of the telescope mount. Column 1 of Table 4-3 gives the reference parameters for the side Blanchard surface of the 1.6 m Telescope.

**CIS Simulation**

Weights were added to the mount in accordance with IID ITEK-A-0001-E. The C.G.'s and weights used were as close as practical to those specified in this IID with the addition of 80 pounds to the rear Blanchard surface (a revised estimate received by telephone conversation with Itek) and 450 pounds to the side Blanchard surface to simulate a typical measurement package (e.g., AMTA). The polar axis counterweight was increased to balance the additional weight. The total weight added to the telescope for the tests was approximately 9200 pounds. Figure 4-24 (2 sheets) show the location and placement of the added weight.

**Fine Balance/Float Check**

After installation of the basic weights, it was necessary to fine-balance the declination axis with an additional 100 pounds. The polar axis was fine-balanced by adjusting the position of the adjustable counter-weight. This fine-balancing requires floating each axis and, therefore, tests the ability of the hydraulic system to support the increased load. No mechanical binding was detected during this initial test.
Table 4-3. Equatorial mount model parameters (arcsec)

AMOS parameter file - East mount

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Before Weights</th>
<th>Weighted</th>
<th>Unweighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AZ Encoder Bias</td>
<td>1251.8</td>
<td>1249.6</td>
<td>1255.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Component of Tilt</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y Component of Tilt</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POL Axis EL Error</td>
<td>-20.5</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>-26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POL Reticle Reading</td>
<td>0:19:51.3</td>
<td>0:19:51.3</td>
<td>0:19:51:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ Reticle Reading</td>
<td>359:59:33.0</td>
<td>359:59:33.0</td>
<td>359:59:33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC Encoder Bias</td>
<td>-444.0</td>
<td>-456.9</td>
<td>-423.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POL Encoder Bias</td>
<td>2690.0</td>
<td>2690.0</td>
<td>2690.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC Axis Flexure</td>
<td>-42.8</td>
<td>-42.8</td>
<td>-42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC Box Flexure</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collimation Error</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POL Telescope Flexure</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC Telescope Flexure</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field-of-View</td>
<td>129.6</td>
<td>129.6</td>
<td>129.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIKIKAL Collimation</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIKIKAL DEC SAE Bias</td>
<td>-436.0</td>
<td>-436.0</td>
<td>-436.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC Reticle Reading</td>
<td>0:11:0.0</td>
<td>0:11:0.0</td>
<td>0:11:0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Acceleration and Tracking Tests

More extensive testing included manual slewing of both axes through their extremes and computer driving the mount and recording servo system error signals. Simulated CIS-type satellite passes produced only normal servo errors. Table 4-4 is the SATPREP computer printout for a simulated fast ballistic object. The only adverse effect noted with any of these tests was a decreased acceleration at the start of the telescope motion. Figure 4-25 shows the servo errors for that simulated track.

Step Response Test

This test involved computer driving the mount at selected rates and stepping of the telescope axes into and away from the direction of motion. Step response was adequate up to the maximum tested of $2'$ per second. Figure 4-26 shows the step response for $1'$ per second which is closer to the maximum rates that might actually be encountered during a typical satellite pass.

Mount Model

Another mount model was taken for the side Blanchard surface to determine any flexures induced by the additional weight. Column 2 of Table 4-3 shows the Mount Parameter File for this model.

Extended Testing

After the initial tests, the mount was used routinely for
Table 4-4. 1.6 meter inertia test SAMPREP for simulated satellite track.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UT</th>
<th>RANGE KM</th>
<th>AZ</th>
<th>EL</th>
<th>FCL</th>
<th>DEC</th>
<th>PVEL</th>
<th>DVEL</th>
<th>PHASE ANGLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20156.00</td>
<td>1053</td>
<td>271.6</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>LIT 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20156.10</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>271.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>LIT 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20156.20</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>271.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>LIT 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20156.30</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>271.7</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>LIT 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20156.40</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>271.8</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>LIT 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20156.50</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>271.9</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>LIT 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20157.00</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>272.6</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>LIT 92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20157.10</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>272.1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>LIT 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20157.20</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>272.3</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>LIT 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20157.30</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>272.5</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>LIT 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20157.40</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>272.8</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>LIT 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20157.50</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>273.3</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>LIT 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20158.00</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>274.2</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>-1.18</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>LIT 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20158.10</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>276.4</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>LIT 141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20158.20</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>292.3</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>-1.67</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>LIT 156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20158.22</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>325.7</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>LIT 159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20158.30</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>328.4</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>348.1</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>-1.61</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>LIT 171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20158.40</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>327.6</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>333.1</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>LIT 174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20158.50</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>389.0</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>321.1</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>LIT 162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20159.00</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>311.9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>LIT 153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20159.10</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>305.0</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>LIT 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20159.20</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>299.6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>LIT 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20159.30</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>295.4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>LIT 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20159.40</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>292.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>LIT 132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20159.50</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>289.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>LIT 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211.01</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>286.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>LIT 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211.0115</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>284.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>LIT 124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211.0120</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>283.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>LIT 123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4-26. 1.6 meter inertia test step response servo errors.
approximately three months. Missions included high elevation fast satellites, routine space object identification, classical imaging, and atmospheric data gathering. The telescope operators were instructed to be alert for any unexplained problems and to log them. No problems were encountered that were attributed to the additional weight.

**Final Mount Model**

The final test was to model the telescope after the weights were removed. This new model would help identify any gross non-elastic effects. The mount parameters are shown in Column 3 of Table 4-3. Some elastic effects are readily noticeable, such as polar axis elevation error. Changes in declination box flexure and declination telescope flexure are probably an effect of a varying declination encoder bias.

**Conclusion**

The tests performed indicate that the 1.6 m Telescope complex will perform satisfactorily when loaded with CIS hardware in accordance with IID-ITEK-A-0001-E.

**4.3.3.2 Classical Imaging Data Base**

The classical imaging program being conducted during Phase IV has two basic goals:

1) Development of a classical imaging data base, augmented by atmospherics data (see Section 4.3.3.3), to aid in the evaluation and optimization of the DARPA compensated imaging system when it arrives at AMOS in 1980;

2) SOI support for ADCOM and other DARPA approved users.
Three specific tasks were included in the 1978 and 1979 efforts in addition to the SOI support.

First, a standard AMOS Mission Instruction and Operation Plan (MIOP #025) was prepared and submitted to RADC for approval (CDRL 002). This document describes the hardware, operational procedures and data reduction required to support a classical imaging mission.

Second, a Classical Sensor Package (CSP) was designed, fabricated and tested by AERL. This effort was completed and documented in 1978.

Third, accumulation of data for the CIS data base was then initiated and continued through 1979.

The exceptional capability of the 1.6 m Telescope and the CSP were clearly demonstrated by numerous satellite and double star images which were collected during 1979.

Considerable effort was devoted to obtaining high quality images of the failing SKYLAB satellite. To support this effort, an investigation was made into the possibility of extending visual observation time by:

1) laser illumination on full-night passes with no solar illumination (see Section 4.9); and
2) daytime imaging.

Both of these techniques have proven feasible and highly effective. Calculations indicated that during the daytime SKYLAB should appear as a $m_v = +1.0$ star if unresolved (e.g.,
with a low power telescope) and as bright as $m_v = +3.5$ per pixel on the 1.6 m Telescope System. Experiments to observe stars in the daytime with the 1.6 m Telescope demonstrated the need for optical baffles to reduce the effects of stray light. The original baffles delivered by Boller & Chivens were installed and enabled the daytime observation of a $+6$ $m_v$ star. It was additionally determined that further improvements could be gained by the future development of a more sophisticated baffling system.

The first attempt at daytime imaging was successful despite an extended layer of cloud cover and poor sun-object geometry. The 13 March observation of SKYLAB resulted in a resolved image, clearly showing object orientation.

A second attempt on 14 March, while showing the existence of an image, appeared much less sharp. Secondary observations on stars indicated that the seeing conditions were probably inferior to those of the previous mission.

Examples of the daytime images are shown in Figure 4-27. These images of SKYLAB were acquired at 7:36 a.m. on 30 April 1979, under full daylight conditions and exhibit excellent gray scale and edge definition.

It appears possible that daytime imaging may not only compete with the image quality obtained at night but could possibly excel due to the daytime existence of backscattered
Figure 4-27. Daytime images - SKYLAB (Sheet 1 of 2).
Figure 4-27. Daytime images - SKYLAB (Sheet 2 of 2).
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sunlight from the earth which serves to fill shadow areas on
the target.

Additional examples of satellite images taken in 1979 are
shown in Figures 4-28 through 4-30.

As part of the classical imaging program, a coordinated
effort was begun to obtain Seeing Monitor data during the
period in which the photographs are taken. These efforts have
met with only limited success. Although it has been possible
to obtain $r_0$ measurements during approximately the same time
period in which the photographs are taken, the requirement for
using bright stars which do not necessarily fall along the path
of the satellite track and the relatively long integration
times normally used with the Seeing Monitor tend to render all
correlations questionable. Nevertheless, the trend does
exist in which the highest quality images are taken on missions
in which the $r_0$ readings are high (12 to 16 cm). A technique
for recovering the instantaneous seeing conditions directly
from a satellite using the Fourier transform of a chopped image
has been under investigation and may result in a viable alternate
system to accomplish the desired objectives. Further investi-
gation into this technique will continue in 1980 as time permits.

In addition to satellite photographs, the CSP has been used
to collect unresolved images of double stars with separations
from 0.8 arcseconds to over ten arcseconds. The closely spaced
stars provide a means of estimating the seeing conditions while
This series of direct to film images was taken with the AMOS 1.6 meter Telescope having a focal length of 25.1 m.

Object 10561 CLI-008

Range at Culmination - 711 km
Elevation - 60.9°
Frame Rate - 10 Frames per sec
Exposure Time - 40 msec
Camera - Lo-Cam
Filter - #21 Wratten
Illumination - Solar

Figure 4-28. Satellite images (Sheet 1 of 2).
Figure 4-28. Satellite images (Sheet 2 of 2).
This direct-to-film image was obtained with the Classical Imaging Package on the AMOS 1.6 m Telescope which has a focal length of 25.1 meters. A 16 mm LoCam film camera, operated at 7 frames per second with a tri-exposure shutter, was used to obtain the original film.

CLI #14  
Object #11449  
21 Dec 1979

Elevation  -  80°  
Range  -  533 km  
Exposure  -  36 msec  
Frame Rate  -  7 FPS  
Filter  -  21 Wratten  
Illumination  -  Solar

Figure 4-29. Satellite image.
This direct-to-film image was obtained with the Classical Imaging Package on the AMOS 1.6 m Telescope which has a 25.1 m focal length. A 16 mm LOCAM framing camera, operated at 8 fr/sec or 12 fr/sec with a tri-exposure shutter, was used to obtain the original films.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Print</th>
<th>CLI-002-661</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>- 666 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>- 72°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure time</td>
<td>- 1/24 msec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame rate</td>
<td>- 8 fr/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>Wratten 21 (orange)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular dimensions</td>
<td>- 2.09 sec x 2.21 sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25 Jan 79 UT

6 March 1979/1

Figure 4-30. Satellite image.
short exposure photos of the wider spaced stars provide a measure of the isoplantic patch size. Examples of these double star images are presented in Figures 4-31 through 4-34.

As a result of the satellite image data collected during this program, a paper entitled "High Resolution Imagery at AMOS" was prepared and presented at the NORSIC 11 meeting in August 1979. The paper contains samples of several types of imaging data gathered under a variety of illumination conditions. Included are images acquired at twilight with a dark sky background, laser illuminated images when the object was in the earth's shadow and images obtained under full daylight conditions.

The paper carried the following abstract:

High resolution images of selected foreign and domestic satellites, recently obtained with the diffraction limited AMOS 1.6 m Telescope, are presented and discussed for possible use as a method of tactical space object identification. The data includes direct-to-film images of solar-illuminated targets taken during both twilight and daytime site conditions. Included also are ISIT (video) images of SKYLAB illuminated by a ruby laser while the vehicle was in the earth's shadow. A brief description of the system hardware is presented.
Figure 4-31. Double star image.
Figure 4-32. Double star image.

ASN 708 $m_v$ 4.0 - 5.0  Sep. 2.9 arcseconds
73 el.  21 Wratten filter
40 msec exposure  1 May 79
This direct-to-film image was obtained with the Classical Imaging Package on the AMOS 1.6 m Telescope which has a 25.1 m focal length. A 16 mm LOCAM framing camera, operated at 8 fr/sec or 12 fr/sec with a tri-exposure shutter, was used to obtain the original films.

Print
ASN 18
ASN 18

ASN 18
Epsilon Arietus
GC 3582

Elevation
Magnitudes m_V
Separation

- 87°
- 6.00 & 6.40
- 1.5 arcseconds (1952)
- 1.48 arcseconds (film measurements)

6 March 1979/2

Figure 4-33. Double star image.
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This direct-to-film image was obtained with the Classical Imaging Package on the AMOS 1.6 m Telescope which has a focal length of 25.1 meters. A 16 mm LoCam film camera, operated at 7 frames per sec with a tri-exposure shutter, was used to obtain the original film.

ASN 8559
Elevation
Visual Mag.
Separation
Exposure
Zeta Aquarius
- 63 degrees
- 3.66 / 3.42
- 1.77 arcseconds
- 4 msec

28 Nov. 79 UT

Figure 4-34. Double star image.
4.3.3.3 Atmospherics Data Base

During the AMOS Phase III program, an array of atmospheric instrumentation was installed at the Observatory. The implemented systems were:

1) Seeing Monitor: an instrument, located on the rear Blanchard surface of the 1.6 m Telescope, that measures \( r_0 \), the correlation scale length;

2) Star Sensor: an instrument, located in a separate dome at the northeast corner of the Observatory, that provides profiles of \( C_n^2 \);

3) Acoustic Sounder: an instrument that measures \( C_n^2 \) to 300 m altitude;

4) Microthermal Probes: two systems, consisting of three probes each, which measure \( C_n^2 \) at one level (18 m);

5) Routine Meteorological Sensors: instrumentation that measures wind speed, wind direction, temperature, dew point and barometric pressure;

6) All-Sky Camera: a camera that records cloud cover on a 24-hour schedule.

By the end of the Phase III program all of this instrumentation was functioning and had been used to obtain a preliminary atmospheric data base. One of the main purposes of this data was to aid in making critical design decisions for CIS hardware.

During the first few months of 1978, emphasis shifted towards making the hardware operational, accomplishing minor upgrades and fully documenting the systems.
By the fall of 1978, the atmospherics instrumentation was ready to be used for its primary goal - accumulation of an extensive data base. Figure 4-35 shows a schematical representation of the atmospheric system as it exists in late 1979.

**Atmospheric Operations Summary**

Although the atmospheric system is considered to be operational, a major portion of the 1979 effort was devoted to system maintenance and calibration. The atmospheric system represents a complex array of engineering and scientific instrumentation prototypes which have been found to require more than routine maintenance and in which a calibration schedule has not been established. Indeed, by the very nature of the statistically variable data which is gathered with the instruments, it is not always apparent when systems are out of calibration or that maintenance is actually required. Performance discrepancies are at times so subtle that they are uncovered only in the data reduction process where cross correlation between instrument outputs is possible. The time lag between data collection and reduction aggravates the situation and, perhaps of more importance, data reduction is performed at a facility (RADC) which is remote from the data collection site and by different personnel so that the immediate and direct feedback that is so necessary to a highly successful scientific experiment is lost.

Nevertheless, during 1979, the atmospheric program produced
Figure 4-35. Atmospheric system.
twenty data packages which were validated at AMOS and forwarded to RADC. In addition, scientific personnel at AMCS separately performed atmospheric related experiments. The following discussion on image wander is an example of these experiments.

**Image Wander Measurements**

Star image wander was observed in May of 1979 with view to determining the temporal distribution of atmospheric refractive structure which will alter the apparent line-of-sight of a high resolution image tracker. Observations of stars at 83°, 40° and 11° elevation were made with the aid of the Seeing Monitor mounted on the 1.6 m Telescope. This instrument outputs a voltage proportional to each of two orthogonal components of point image decentration (wander) with respect to an internal reference line-of-sight. The Seeing Monitor employs S-20 cathodes and a bandpass filter restricting the measurement to between 0.58 and 0.62 μm. The voltages are produced with 200 Hz information bandwidth and were recorded on analog tape together with telescope shaft encoder error signals. The latter signals, in principle, permit unwinding the effects of telescope disturbance torques due to possible wind buffeting. In practice, it turned out that the pointing error signals were too minute to retrieve from tape with any confidence so automatic data correction was abandoned and the plots presented here represent Seeing Monitor output only.

Atmospheric affected distortion of star images has been
qualitatively characterized by astronomical observers as "image dance" and "image squirm" (or "speckle"). The first named is produced by structure of the order of or larger than the observing pupil; the latter by refraction features smaller than the pupil. Taken together, the two limit what has been quantitatively measured as long exposure 'seeing'. The Seeing Monitor measures both instantaneous image size and position independently. Because the AFWL is primarily interested in long wave propagation, we recorded only the wander histories for this analysis.

Gross wander statistics for two different zenith distance stars are presented in Figure 4-36, which shows probability density and cumulative distribution derived from α Leo and β Gem seeing monitor wander records. The histograms represent the distribution of 1675 equally spaced samplings of wavefront tilt about the nominal LOS offset observed during 2795 seconds.

The steady state wander voltages recorded on tape necessarily include offsets produced by lack of correspondence between the television finder reference fiducial and the SM field-of-view center, plus analog recorder and playback misadjustments (zero-volt frequency, tape speed error and discriminator alignment). These offsets have no physical significance and use up the dynamic range of the analyzer. When gain is reduced to accommodate the dc component, the
Figure 4-36. Gross wander.
display is compacted and less resolvable. For this reason, the playback system was ac coupled and an artificial zero tilt reference, corresponding to the average dc voltage, is included in the processed data. The low frequency corner occurs at 0.5 Hz.

The density values in Figure 4-37 represent populations per arcsecond. During the course of observation, α Leo and β Gem set through 0.014 and 0.35 airmasses, respectively. If automatic refraction corrections were not incorporated into the 'sidereal' pointing schedule, a gradual offset would accumulate in the dc record reflecting the change in refractive index. In particular, if the atmospheric model used to generate the correction were inappropriate, a (smaller) dc offset would accumulate. AC coupling also eliminated distortion or skewing of the distribution from this cause. Additional data obtained at 83° elevation (α Boo) is not shown because it is nearly indistinguishable from α Leo. The distribution of tilts observed for this star is also biased in favor of negative tilts, although Boo was rising, not setting, during the observation.

The measured frequency distribution under statistics is shown in Figure 4-37. The spectral density of tilt observed at low elevation is approximately 0.5 arcseconds per $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ near 1 Hz. The difference between 1 and 1.55 airmasses (α Boo and α Leo) appears to be insignificant. Above 2 Hz, tilt density falls off slightly faster than inverse frequency.
Figure 4-37. Frequency distribution of wander statistics.
From the standpoint of determining image location only, it appears that a \( \leq 0.1 \) second look will freeze atmosphere induced star image dance to well within the diffraction limited performance of the 1.6 m Telescope under excellent seeing conditions with local winds less than 5 mph.

The data described so far represents the polar component of image motion. Declination and polar components are plotted together in Figure 4-38 which serves to illustrate that there is appreciable anisotropy. The cross spectral density distribution, pol related to dec, is plotted in Figure 4-39 for all three observations. If tilt were isotropic, these spectra would correspond to the square of those shown in Figure 4-38.

4.4 AMOS Users Manual

The Phase IV Program includes a one-time revision of the AMOS USERS MANUAL. The revision was originally scheduled to be accomplished in March of 1979. Since the intent of the task is to provide a document that accurately describes AMOS capabilities, it was decided to delay the task for several months. This delay will allow the many hardware changes that are currently being implemented to be incorporated into the document. These changes include:

1) New Acquisition Telescopes (AATS);
2) Modifications to the laser ranging system;
3) Modifications to the B29 secondary;
Figure 4-38. Anisotropy of image wander.
Figure 4-39. Anisotropy - Pol & Dec image wander cross section.
4) Modifications to AMTA;
5) Facility modifications.

Based upon current schedules for the above modifications, a logical time to revise the AMOS USERS MANUAL is May of 1980. The task has accordingly been rescheduled.

4.5 AMOS System Schedule

The AMOS System Schedule (CDRL 006) is published monthly. Its function is that of a management tool which provides current status and near term projection of AMOS activities. The schedule shows events each week for the month preceding and the three months following the report date. The schedule shows planned and actual events versus time, together with annotations referencing changes to original schedules. Figure 4-40 shows a typical AMOS System Schedule.

4.6 System Maintenance

To accomplish preventive and corrective maintenance, configuration management and calibration necessary for operation of the AMOS system, AERL conducts a maintenance program in accordance with the AMOS Maintenance Procedure. (Existing subsystem procedures are revised as required.)

The maintenance program consists of both periodic and corrective maintenance. All maintenance is performed in accordance with established AMOS quality assurance, safety and logistics procedures.

The maintenance program is conducted by engineering and
Figure 4-40. Typical AMOS system schedule (Sheet 1 of 2).
Figure 4-40. Typical AMOS system schedule (Sheet 2 of 2).
technical personnel. Both in the periodic and corrective maintenance areas, engineering personnel ensure that the maintenance has been completed in such a manner that system operating characteristics are maintained or improved. Furthermore, corrective maintenance requires that cognizant systems or sensor engineering personnel be involved from initial diagnosis through the maintenance and subsequent test function in all but the most straightforward situations.

Periodic (preventive) maintenance in the form of inspection, overhaul, lubrication, cleaning, alignment, adjustment and calibration is performed to assure satisfactory system operation. The objective is to reduce failures and to maximize operational availability. All preventive maintenance is performed in accordance with the master maintenance schedule. Maintenance activities are scheduled to increase efficiency and to minimize downtime. Major periodic maintenance activities that result in loss of operating time are included in the weekly observatory schedule. During 1979, over six hundred (600) preventive maintenance inspections were performed.

A calibration laboratory, containing a select group of working standards, is maintained at AMOS. Working standards are calibrated at the USAF Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory (PMEL) at Hickam AFB for periodic NBS traceability certification per established schedules. An additional schedule was generated to allow all test equipment within the facility
to be periodically calibrated to AMOS working standards. This category of equipment is not sent to the USAF PMEL, except those equipments requiring repair or other service beyond the AMOS facility capability.

Corrective maintenance consists of troubleshooting and repair of malfunctioning hardware and software. Following corrective maintenance, performance verification and recalibrations are performed by AERL and, if required, subcontractor personnel under the direction of responsible engineering personnel. Corrective maintenance tasks that exceed the capabilities of on-site personnel and/or support/test equipment utilize original equipment manufacturer field and factory maintenance services and AERL off-site engineering support.

The key element in maintenance reporting and record-keeping is the AMOS Maintenance Report. Each Maintenance Report represents an individual activity and contains: equipment name, date; a brief description of the requirement; corrective action; names of the initiator, implementor and validator; material use; and manhours expended. Selected information from these reports is entered into the AMOS Control Software (ACONS). Each month, ACONS processes this information and outputs Discrepancy Report Status, Discrepancy Report History and Maintenance Accounting Information listings. Periodic maintenance performed is recorded on a Periodic Maintenance Card and is fed into the same ACONS data base. In accordance with the requirements
of CDRL Item 001, the above information is used to generate the monthly Failure Summary Report. During 1979, over 150 Discrepancy Reports were opened and closed.

In accordance with the provisions of the AMOS Configuration Management Plan, AERL identifies and documents the functional and physical characteristics of system configuration items, controls changes to these characteristics and records and reports change processing and implementation.

AERL documents all changes made to AMOS hardware, technical documentation, computer software and maintenance and operating procedures (CDRL 005). A file of this documentation is maintained at the Observatory and at the AERL Puunene office facility. A computerized index of operation and maintenance manuals, computer programs, specifications and drawings as well as an equipment inventory is maintained as part of ACONS.

Changes to released engineering drawings and specifications are processed, controlled and recorded in accordance with AERL's engineering change procedures.

4.7 Data Library

The AMOS Data Library consists of an area located in the AERL Puunene office facility which contains Operations Reports, annotated real-time and playback chart records, computer-generated plots and history reports, films, voice-time cassettes and other data items obtained in support of DARPA programs. It also
includes areas at the AMOS Observatory reserved for storage of magnetic tapes. The AMOS Data Library shares physical space and the services of one full-time data librarian with the MOTIF program. The library was relocated during 1979 to make room for a new MODCOMP Classic V Computer and expanded in size to accommodate increased storage.

The Phase IV Data Library effort, which began in February 1978 and was completed on schedule in April of last year consisted of the following tasks:

1) Preparation of a Data Control and Access Plan;
2) Establishment of New Tape Recycling Procedures;
3) Generation of a Cross Reference File;
4) Evaluation of the level of accumulation of data for Phase IV; and
5) Evaluation of the internal files for storage of memoranda and data and generation of recommendations for improvement.

4.6 Data Reduction

The Phase IV data reduction effort consists of two tasks. The first is the qualification and/or reduction of raw data obtained in support of DARPA-sponsored or approved programs. The nature and extent of this task varies with each mission and is dependent on the type of raw data, the level of qualification and/or reduction required to satisfy DARPA objectives,
and the mission frequency. A major portion of this task is related to Compensated Imaging (CI) and, initially, to data for the CI data base. With respect to the CI data base, the reduction/qualification consists of the evaluation of photographs obtained with the Classical Sensor Package and validation and transmittal of data generated from the Atmospherics Program including reduction of the All-Sky Camera films.

During the year, on-site discussions were held with personnel from RADC concerning the optimum content of Atmospheric Data Packages and validation procedures. As a result of these discussions and feedback from RADC, revised forms and procedures were initiated.

Imagery taken with the 1.6 m Telescope was correlated with the atmospheric characterization sensors at AMOS. Determination of object aspect orientation with respect to the earth's horizon became an important part of the data reduction effort in 1979. AMOS determined orientation of SKYLAB were transmitted to NASA before the satellite's reentry into the atmosphere.

Included in part of the 1979 effort was the calibration and validation of data from the Atmospherics Seeing Monitor. Two methods of calibration were compared. One method which was found to work well for large seeing angles utilized a star image which was driven out of focus by control of the secondary mirror of the 1.6 m Telescope. A second method utilized the illumination of precision pin holes at the entrance to the
Seeing Monitor. Calibration curves derived during the year were forwarded to RADC.

In addition to the CI data base, data reduction/qualification is accomplished for photometric, metric and infrared missions. These missions utilize established validation techniques and reduction programs to yield signature and position data. Early in the year support was provided to a request by SAMSO to reduce additional IR signature data of an object tracked in 1975. The data were retrieved from the library and validated. A data package consisting of three missions and including all relevant material was then transmitted to Everett for analysis.

Finally, the LBD Phase II program has resulted in an increase in the related qualification and reduction requirements for AMOS laser range data.

The second data base reduction task is an ongoing evaluation of the existing AMOS data acquisition and reduction capabilities leading to improvements in these capabilities as they are needed in the Phase IV effort.

4.9 Laser Beam Director Program

Early in 1978, AERL completed the efforts described in Modification No. P00052 to Contract F04701-7C-0047 entitled "Laser Ranging Experiments for Experimental System and Test Program Definition, Phase I". The activity consisted of four principal tasks:

1) Reactivation and improvement of the ruby laser beam director system including bench calibration;
2) Measurements utilizing a retroreflector satellite to characterize range and angle errors;

3) Evaluation of measurement error and observation scenario effects on hand-off accuracy; and

4) Definition of the elements of the next phase of the ongoing experimental program, based on the results of the preceding tasks.

Laser beam director reactivation encompassed transmitter component refurbishing, construction of a receiver instrument package for the 1.6 m Telescope, beam director pointing system repair and calibration, minor ranging system modifications, and associated software upgrades. Bench calibration and a preliminary satellite track verified overall ranging system performance at medium power output (4.5 J in 22 nsec) with 5 arcsecond beam divergence.

Passes of the retroreflector-equipped satellite, GEOS-C, were successfully tracked by AMOS in late Fall 1977, yielding sets of range and angle observations for analysis at AERL. Comparison of these data with high-accuracy GEOS-C orbits provided by the Naval Surface Weapons Center (NSWC) showed range accuracy and precision to be the order of 2 meters and angle precision to be the order of 2 arcseconds. Unresolved systematic errors limited the angle accuracy; this was the subject of a recommendation for Phase II.

A covariance analysis of hand-off accuracy at reentry was completed yielding several parametric plots which span AMOS
capabilities. The parameters were measurement error, measurement rate, measurement interval, and distribution of intervals. The error analysis, together with the demonstrated AMOS performance, showed the feasibility of hand-off to down range sensors.

Results for the Phase I efforts were documented in a final report "Laser Ranging Experiments for Experimental System and Test Program Definition - Phase I", April 1978.

Based upon these results, the LBD Phase II Program was initiated in the late summer of 1978 with the goal of demonstrating a real-time handoff. Specific tasks included:

1) Design, fabrication and installation of new hardware and software necessary for ranging to targets with a low effective scattering cross-section;

2) Development of software to process laser range data with the Kalman filter;

3) Development of software to generate and transmit a real-time handoff message;

4) Ranging on both retroreflector and non-retroreflector satellites to check out the new hardware and software; and

5) Actual generation and transmittal of real-time state vector, based on AMOS range and angle data, to a Kwajalein based sensor.

Work continued towards the accomplishment of these tasks through the first half of 1979. However, during the Spring of 1979, the LBD Phase II efforts were diluted somewhat by added tasks which explored the feasibility of laser illumination of
the failing SKYLAB satellite for the purpose of providing aspect imagery and metric data while the satellite was in earth shadow. The requirement to provide a flood illumination of ruby light, where the need is to maximize the number of photons in a single pulse, is in conflict with the normal ranging requirement. For ranging, the goal is to maximize peak power and minimize pulse length. The illumination mode required reconfiguration of the laser system and slowed progress on the basic Phase II program.

In addition, the SANDIA II Measurement Program conducted in July of 1979 required considerable preparation in addition to the actual two weeks which were spent in the collection of measurement data. Preparation included the incorporation of thermal shielding necessary to stabilize open loop pointing drifts in the LBD and subsequent testing under various conditions of solar illumination.

By the end of August 1979, tasks 1 through 3 and the first part (retroreflector satellites) of task 4 of the Phase II program had been accomplished. In addition, a major milestone was achieved through the transmittal of an angles-only state vector for Western Test Range (WTR) Mission GT-69.

In order to complete the remaining tasks, several orbital and two missile targets were scheduled. Because of poor weather, only one of the satellites produced useful data.
The results obtained on this target indicated that all new hardware and software performed as designed.

On 25 September, AMOS had planned to obtain and transmit a state vector on the third stage tank from Vandenberg Air Force Base (VAFB) operation GT-138. This was not accomplished due to unavailability of Predictive Avoidance.

On 28 September, AMOS supported VAFB operation GT-70 with the goal of transmitting a range and angles state vector on the Post-boost Vehicle (PBV). All hardware and software systems were operational. The track was excellent after angle interrupts were applied to the Kalman filter. The laser was fired approximately sixty times at the PBV. Unfortunately, optically thick (two-way attenuation was estimated to be about $10^3$ based upon video data) clouds obscured virtually the entire trajectory. Since only the order of $10^2$ photoelectrons per laser firing were expected under clear sky conditions, no verified laser range returns were obtained. An angles-only state vector was, however, transmitted to Kwajalein and used to point the Kwajalein optical system to within one mrad of the incoming PBV.

By the end of September, therefore, a laser range plus angles state vector had not yet been used to achieve real-time handoff. In addition, the Kwajalein optical system is not, as yet, able to measure the precision of the AMOS handoff (radar data is currently utilized). Discussions with Lincoln Lab and Army Ballistic Missile Defense Advance Technology Center (BMDATC)
personnel indicate that additional AMOS handover efforts would be most valuable in advancing this goal.

In order to accomplish these important objectives, AERL has proposed a continuation of the program through September 1980. AMOS will conduct a total of six laser operations; three against orbital targets and three to sub-orbital targets of opportunity on VAFB test launches. The objectives of these operations will be:

1) Continue to improve and assess the precision and accuracy of the angles plus laser range state vector;
2) Demonstrate this new capability by handing off a suitable target to the optical system at Kwajaloein in real-time.

In order to accomplish item 1, three low effective scattering cross-section orbital targets will be used, one prior to each scheduled missile operation. These tests will allow final optimization and evaluation of all hardware and software systems prior to the handoff attempts and will, in addition, provide system performance data to aid in the Lincoln Lab efforts.

4.9.1 Satellite Imaging by Laser Illumination

In an effort to maximize the available observation time for obtaining metric positional and aspect orientation data for the failing SKYLAB satellite, AMOS was asked to examine alternatives to the normal modes of operation used in acquiring image
and metric data. In particular, the possibility of using the AMOS ruby laser was investigated.

Three situations are of interest.

1) Target solar-illuminated, AMOS in shadow. These are normal acquisition windows after sunset and prior to sunrise. No active illumination is required.

2) Both AMOS and the target are solar-illuminated (i.e., daytime). Active illumination may or may not be useful. (See later discussion)

3) Both AMOS and the target are in shadow. Active illumination is clearly of value in this situation, as long as the target is sufficiently above the AMOS horizon. (Existing FAA agreements prohibit laser firing at elevations below 30 degrees).

In developing a scenario for the concept of active illumination of SKYLAB, the following parameters were considered.

1) **Target Model** (not including solar positions)
   a) Size (cylinder): \( L = 13 \) meters, \( D = 3 \) meters (optimum aspect);
   b) Reflectance: estimated average = 0.25;
   c) Range: 0.45 Mm (typical);
   d) Maximum angular subtense at \( R = 0.45 \) Mm: \( 2.9 \times 10^{-5} \) radians by \( 0.7 \times 10^{-5} \) radians.
2) **Laser System Parameters** (Long Pulse Mode)
   a) Energy output: 75 Joules;
   b) Beam angle (containing 75 J): $4 \times 10^{-5}$ radians;
   c) Pulse length: $10^{-3}$ seconds;
   d) Wavelength: 0.694 microns.

3) **Receiver** (1.6 m Telescope)
   a) Clear aperture: 1.57 m;
   b) Focal length: 25 m;
   c) Effective collecting area: $1.74 \, m^2$.

4) **Sensor** (ISIT in the Classical Sensor Package)

5) **Expected Return**

   Using the above information and assuming typical values for atmospheric and optical transmissions and a four percent quantum efficiency for the ISIT at $\lambda = 0.694$ microns, the expected return can be calculated using the following relationships:

   $$N_e = \frac{4E_L \lambda \tau \tau_a \tau Q A_{\tau} \omega t}{\pi h c \omega t^2 R^4}$$

   where:
   - $E_L$ = Joules/pulse from laser into $\theta_t$ radians
   - $\theta_t$ = full beam angle from LBD
   - $\tau_t$ = transmission of LBD
   - $\lambda = 6943 \, \AA$
   - $\tau_a$ = atmospheric transmission
\( r \) = transmission of receiver

\( q \) = quantum efficiency of sensor

\( A_r \) = effective area of receiver

\( \sigma_t \) = target effective cross section \( (\rho_t A_t/\pi)^{\text{m}^2/\text{ster}} \)

\( \rho_t \) = target reflectance

\( A_t \) = target area

\( h \) = Planck's constant

\( c \) = velocity of light

\( R \) = target range.

The expected return from SFYLAB is:

\[ N_e = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ photoelectrons per pulse.} \]

**Comparison to Stellar Observations**

It is useful, from the standpoint of an operator attempting to observe the target on a TV monitor, to make a rough estimate of just what the return might look like in terms of equivalent stellar magnitudes. It is recognized that comparison of a monochromatic \( 10^{-3} \) second pulse from a resolved object to a broadband signal from a point source will give only approximate results.

Starting with the relationship given in Allen: *Astrophysical Quantities, Second Edition*, page 152 for \( f_\lambda (V) \), an expression for the number of photoelectrons produced by a star of apparent visual magnitude \( (m_V) \) during a single frame of the AMOS ISIT (located on the 1.6 m Telescope) is calculated to be:

\[ n_e = 10^{(8-0.4 m_V)} \text{ photoelectrons per frame.} \]
Assumptions used are:

Integration time = $4 \times 10^{-2}$ seconds
Effective bandpass for ISIT = 4000 Å
Effective quantum efficiency in band = 0.06
Atmospheric transmission = 0.8
Optical transmission = 0.64

If we equate $N_e$ to $n_e$ and solve for $m_V$ we get $m_V$ (SKYLAB) = +6.8. This value for $m_V$ applies to a system incapable of resolving the target. In the case of the 1.6 m Telescope, the return from SKYLAB is spread over several pixels. $N_e$ should be reduced by a factor of about ten before a proper comparison can be made to $n_e$.

The result is:

$m_V$ (SKYLAB, LASER ILLUMINATED) = +9.3 per pixel

This result suggests that the target can easily be seen by the operator against a dark night sky. If only metric data were required, it would perhaps be advantageous to condense the light on the ISIT with appropriate optics. For example, if the image was minified by a factor of about three then the target will approach the unresolved value of $m_V = +6.8$.

The solar panels will increase the apparent unresolved brightness but not the per pixel value. Strong glints from the panels might hinder rather than help the metric data reduction.
Background Considerations

Against a dark (+21 m\textsubscript{v} per arcsec\textsuperscript{2}) or even a full moon (+17 m\textsubscript{v} per arcsec\textsuperscript{2}) sky, there are no signal to noise problems. For the imaging sensor under these conditions, a narrow band ruby filter is not necessary and was not included in the previous calculations.

Day sky brightness is 10\textsuperscript{6} to 10\textsuperscript{7} times the dark night sky brightness (i.e., +6 to +3.5 m\textsubscript{v} per arcsec\textsuperscript{2} for the unfiltered case). A rough estimate of the effect of, say, a 10 Å filter centered at the ruby wavelength is that we would reduce the effective background flux by a factor of 400 for the filter bandpass and a factor of 5/2 for the sky spectral distribution. This results in an effective background of about +13.5 to 11 m\textsubscript{v} per arcsec\textsuperscript{2}. Since the value (+9.3 m\textsubscript{v} per pixel) calculated previously for laser illumination will not be significantly changed, active illumination would be viable during daytime if no other alternative was available.

Since the target itself is also solar-illuminated during daytime, it is of interest to calculate the approximate apparent visual magnitude to be expected. Use of solar data given in Allen (page 172) allows a calculation of the number of photons per m\textsuperscript{2} per second incident on SKYLAB in the 4000 Å band assumed previously. This, when combined with the same system parameters used in the other calculations, results in a maximum value of 4x10\textsuperscript{7} photoelectrons per frame when SKYLAB is at a range of 0.45 Mm.
In terms of apparent stellar magnitudes, therefore, the following is obtained:

\[ m_v (\text{SKYLAB, unresolved}) = +1.0 \]
\[ m_v (\text{SKYLAB, 1.6 m system}) = +3.5 \text{ per pixel} \]

This result is consistent with AMOS experience. When compared to the unfiltered daysky background numbers stated above, it also shows that SKYLAB can be observed directly during the daytime with the 1.6 m/ISIT System and that laser illumination is not necessary in this case. An appropriate filter (that rejects blue) should improve the signal-to-noise significantly. Needless to say, the 1.6 m Telescope should be properly baffled to minimize scattered light.

The calculations made with respect to active illumination were verified on 4 April 1979 during the first attempt at active illumination. The amount of data recorded during this pass of SKYLAB was severely restricted due to the predictive avoidance (PA) limitations which existed during the time of the pass. However, nine images were recorded by the ISIT TV sensor on video tape and film. Figure 4-41 illustrates the quality of the images. The circle is a reticle pattern inherent in the sensor system. The illuminated image of SKYLAB is clearly distinguishable including three of the solar panels.
This video sensor image was taken under full night conditions using laser illumination with the Classical Sensor Package on the AMOS 1.6 m Telescope which has a focal length of 25.1 m.

Object 6633 (SKYLAB) 4 April 79 UT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>338 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>70°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illumination</td>
<td>6943 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy output</td>
<td>80 joules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse length</td>
<td>1 msec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam divergence</td>
<td>40 micro radians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient illumination</td>
<td>None (total darkness)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image sensor</td>
<td>ISIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorder</td>
<td>MTR-1 Video to film</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4-41. Video sensor image (Sheet 1 of 2).
Figure 4-41. Video sensor image (Sheet 2 of 2).
Prior to this demonstration of active illumination, as a technique for extending available observation time, a daytime passive imaging mission was conducted with success on 13 March 1979. Photographs taken during a 6 April daytime mission which provided exceptional images are included in Section 4.3.3.2.

These two techniques for obtaining image and metric data can be used to extend the optical observation time for many targets to a full 24-hour basis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AATS</td>
<td>AMOS ACQUISITION TELESCOPE SYSTEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABL</td>
<td>ATMOSPHERIC BURST LOCATOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABTS</td>
<td>AMOS BREADBOARD TEST SYSTEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACONS</td>
<td>AMOS CONTROL SOFTWARE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACP</td>
<td>ATMOSPHERIC CHARACTERIZATION PROGRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/D</td>
<td>ANALOG TO DIGITAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AERL</td>
<td>AVCO EVERETT RESEARCH LABORATORY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>AUTO-FOCUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFCRL</td>
<td>AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH LABORATORIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFWL</td>
<td>AIR FORCE WEAPONS LABORATORY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>AMOS INTERNAL DATA STORAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMOS</td>
<td>ARPA MAUI OPTICAL STATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMTA</td>
<td>ADVANCED MULTI-COLOR TRACKER FOR AMOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANK</td>
<td>ALPHANUMERIC KEYBOARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARPA</td>
<td>ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY (NOW DARPA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC</td>
<td>ALL SKY CAMERA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTEP</td>
<td>AMOS SATELLITE TRACKING EVALUATION PROGRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATN</td>
<td>AMOS TEST NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD</td>
<td>BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMDATC</td>
<td>BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY CENTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTU</td>
<td>BUFFER TRANSFER UNIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCU</td>
<td>Camera Control Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Control Data Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDRL</td>
<td>Contract Data Requirements List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>Center of Gravity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Compensated Imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>Compensated Imaging System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMP</td>
<td>Contrast Mode Photometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Communications System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>Classical Sensor Package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DARPA</td>
<td>Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA</td>
<td>Defense Mapping Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD</td>
<td>Department of Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRS</td>
<td>Data Recording System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTS</td>
<td>Data Transmission System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERG</td>
<td>Eros Report Generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EROS</td>
<td>Extended Real-Time Operating System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAA</td>
<td>Federal Aviation Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACC</td>
<td>Ford Aerospace Communications Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFIM</td>
<td>Far-Field Irradiance Maximizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLIR</td>
<td>Forward Looking Infrared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOV</td>
<td>Field-of-View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO DSS</td>
<td>Ground-Based Electro-Optical Deep Space Surveillance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A-3
AMOS GLOSSARY/ACRONYMS

HP          HEWLETT PACKARD
IC          INTEGRATED CIRCUITS
IID         INTERFACE INFORMATION DOCUMENTS
IR          INFRARED
ISIT        INTENSIFIED SILICON INTENSIFIER TARGET
LBD         LASER BEAM DIRECTOR
LLLTV       LOW LIGHT LEVEL TELEVISION SYSTEM
LSB         LEAST SIGNIFICANT BIT
LTI         LONG TERM INTEGRATION
LWIR        LONG WAVE INFRARED
LVDT        LINEAR VARIABLE DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORMER
MEV         MASKING EFFECTS VERIFICATION
MIT/LL      MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY/LINCOLN LAB
MIOP        MISSION INSTRUCTION AND OPERATION PLAN
MOTIF       MAUI OPTICAL TRACKING AND IDENTIFICATION FACILITY
MPM         MONOLITHIC PIEZOELECTRIC MIRROR
MSB         MOST SIGNIFICANT BIT
NBS         NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
NEFD        NOISE EQUIVALENT FLUX DENSITY
NORAD       NORTH AMERICAN AIR DEFENSE
AMOS GLOSSARY/ACRONYMS

NORSIC  NORAD SPACECRAFT IDENTIFICATION CONFERENCE
NSWC   NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER
PA     PREDICTIVE AVOIDANCE
PBV    POST BOOST VEHICLE
PMEL   PRECISION MEASUREMENTS EQUIPMENT LAB
PRR    PULSE REPETITION RATE
RADC   ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER
RMS    ROOT MEAN SQUARE
RTAM   REAL-TIME ATMOSPHERIC MONITOR
SAE    SHAFT ANGLE ENCODER
SAMSO  SPACE AND MISSILE SYSTEMS ORGANIZATION
SC     SEQUENCE CONTROLLER
SEP    SAMSO EVALUATION PROGRAM
SOI    SPACE OBJECT IDENTIFICATION
TALO   TIME AFTER LIFT OFF
TI     TEXAS INSTRUMENTS
TM     TECHNICAL MEMORANDA
VAFB   VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE
WBS    WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE
WTR    WESTERN TEST RANGE
MISSION
of
Rome Air Development Center

RADEC plans and executes research, development, test and selected acquisition programs in support of Command, Control Communications and Intelligence (C^3I) activities. Technical and engineering support within areas of technical competence is provided to ESD Program Offices (POs) and other ESD elements. The principal technical mission areas are communications, electromagnetic guidance and control, surveillance of ground and aerospace objects, intelligence data collection and handling, information system technology, ionospheric propagation, solid state sciences, microwave physics and electronic reliability, maintainability and compatibility.