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The effects of inhomogeneous broadening on the performance of cw chemical lasers are investigated. A simple two-level vibrational model and a Fabry-Perot resonator are assumed. Laser performance is found to depend on the parameters $\Delta v_h/\Delta v_d$ and $R$, where $\Delta v_h$ is the homogeneous linewidth, $\Delta v_d$ is the Doppler line width, and $R$ is the ratio of molecular collision rate to the excited molecule deactivation rate. Typical values are in the range $R = O(100)$ and $\Delta v_h/\Delta v_d = O(0.01 - 0.1)$. Analytic solutions are obtained.
for \( [I/(1 + R)]^2 < 1 \), where \( I \) is a normalized lasing intensity. Numerical results are presented for a cw chemical laser with laminar diffusion and with a single longitudinal optical mode. The variation of differential number density, \( \Delta n \), and local lasing intensity, \( I \), with streamwise distance and the variation of net laser output power with tuning frequency are presented. The latter exhibits a Lamb dip near line center, which is in agreement with experimental observations. Inhomogeneous broadening effects are found to become negligible for \((\Delta \nu_h/\Delta \nu_d) R \approx O(10)\). The latter parameter is approximated by the expression \((\Delta \nu_h/\Delta \nu_d) R = O\left[p\ (\text{Torr})\right]\) where \( p \) is the static pressure in the lasing region in Torr.

Thus, in typical devices, inhomogeneous broadening effects become negligible for \( p \approx O(10) \) Torr. These effects become important in single longitudinal mode lasers operating in the regime \( p \approx O(1) \) and in lasers wherein the streamwise length of the resonator is short compared with the streamwise length of the positive gain region.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The reaction zone in cw chemical lasers (Fig. 1) is generally maintained at pressures of the order of 1 to 10 Torr in order to permit fast mixing of the reactants. At these pressure levels, the spectral line shape is inhomogeneously broadened (i.e., the radiation field interacts with only a portion of the excited molecules). As a result, hole burning\(^1\) may occur as the degree of optical saturation is increased.

In previous analytical studies of cw chemical lasers,\(^2, 3\) hole-burning effects are ignored. In these studies, it is assumed that lasing occurs at line center of the Doppler-broadened line shape and that the latter line shape is maintained in the presence of lasing. These assumptions provide for reasonable estimates of oscillator output power for cases where resonator configurations permit a large number of optical modes. However, for single-mode operation at pressures of the order of 1 to 10 Torr\(^4, 5\) and for multimode operation in relatively low-pressure devices, hole burning effects need to be considered. These effects, in fact, have been reported in Refs. 4 and 5, where a "Lamb dip" is observed as a single-mode cw chemical laser is tuned across line center.

A comprehensive theory for inhomogeneous broadening effects in a steady-state laser oscillator has been developed by Lamb.\(^6\) Lamb's theory is directly applicable to atomic lasing systems wherein the deactivation of excited particles (by spontaneous emission) is fast compared to the particle
Fig. 1. CW Chemical Laser with F-P Resonator
collision rate (i.e., the effect of collisions on the excited particle velocity distribution function is neglected). Kan and Wolga\textsuperscript{7} extended Lamb's theory to CO\textsubscript{2} molecular lasers wherein the deactivation rate of excited CO\textsubscript{2} is slow compared to the molecular collision rate. The latter collisions tend to fill the "hole" induced by inhomogeneous broadening and need to be considered.

In the previous theories\textsuperscript{6,7} for inhomogeneous broadening effects, flow properties do not vary temporally or spatially. The extension to cw chemical lasers is not straightforward since flow conditions in the latter are functions of streamwise distance. Hence, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the effects of inhomogeneous broadening on cw chemical laser performance. A simple two-level vibrational model similar to that used in Ref. 2 is used. A Fabry-Perot (F-P) resonator is assumed, and the variation of flow conditions with streamwise distance is considered. Discrete rotational energy states, however, are not considered.
II. THEORY

The flow is considered to have a uniform velocity $u$ in the $+x$ direction. A Fabry-Perot (F-P) resonator is aligned with its optical axis normal to the flow (Fig. 1). The total number of particles, per unit volume, in the lower and upper vibrational levels are denoted $n_1$ and $n_2$, respectively. General aspects of the interaction between the radiation field and the lasing medium are discussed. Effects of inhomogeneous broadening on the performance of a cw chemical laser are then deduced. Steady-state lasing is assumed.

A. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

In the following sections, inhomogeneous broadening and the longitudinal mode structure in a F-P resonator are discussed.

1. STATIONARY PARTICLES

Let $\nu_0$ denote the resonant (line center) frequency for absorption or stimulated emission of radiation by particles essentially at rest. (More specifically, collisions are permitted between particles, but terms of order $v_y/c$ compared to one are neglected.) For these particles, the gain at frequency $\nu$ can be expressed

$$g(\nu, \nu_0) = \sigma(\nu, \nu_0) (n_2 - n_1) \tag{1}$$

where $\sigma(\nu, \nu_0)$ is the cross section for stimulated emission at $\nu$. Equation (1) can be written in the form

$$g(\nu, \nu_0) = \sigma_o [\mathcal{G}(\nu - \nu_0)] (n_2 - n_1) \tag{2a}$$
where \( \sigma_0 \equiv \sigma(\nu_0, \nu_0) \) is the cross section at \( \nu_0 \) and \( \mathcal{L}(\nu - \nu_0) \) is the Lorentzian (homogeneous) line shape

\[
\mathcal{L}(\nu - \nu_0) \equiv \frac{\sigma(\nu, \nu_0)}{\sigma_0} = \left[ 1 + 4 \left( \frac{\nu - \nu_0}{\Delta \nu_h} \right)^2 \right]^{-1}
\]

(2b)

Here, \( \Delta \nu_h \) is the characteristic width (FWHM) of the homogeneous line shape and is a function of pressure (Appendix A). The broadening is due to particle collisions. The index of refraction at \( \nu \) can be expressed

\[
\eta(\nu, \nu_0) - 1 = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \frac{\nu - \nu_0}{\Delta \nu_h} g(\nu, \nu_0)
\]

(2c)

which follows from the Kramers-Kronig relations.\(^8\)

The integral of the homogeneous line shape is a constant. Thus,

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma(\nu, \nu_0) \, d\nu = \frac{\pi}{2} \sigma_0 \Delta \nu_h
\]

(2d)

where \( \sigma_0 \Delta \nu_h \) is independent of pressure level, but \( \Delta \nu_h \) and \( \sigma_0^{-1} \) are proportional to pressure.

2. DOPPLER EFFECT

The effect of random motion of the particles is considered. \( \bar{I}^+(\nu) \) denotes radiation with frequency \( \nu \) traveling in the +y direction, and \( \nu_y \) the random particle velocity in +y direction (Fig. 1). Because of the Doppler effect, the frequency \( \nu \), which will be resonant with particles with a velocity \( \nu_y \) is \( \nu = \nu_0 / [1 - (\nu_y/c)] \) which, for the realistic assumption \( \nu_y/c \ll 1 \), becomes
Conversely, the particle velocity $v_y$, which will result in resonance with $I_0^{+}(\nu)$, is

$$\frac{v_y}{c} = \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_0}\right) - 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (3b)$$

In the absence of radiation, the particles in the upper and lower vibrational levels can be assumed to have a Maxwellian velocity distribution. The number of particles resonant with frequencies in the range $\nu$ to $\nu + \text{d}\nu$ is then

$$n(\nu) \, \text{d}\nu, \text{ where}$$

$$n(\nu) = \frac{1}{\Delta \nu_d} \left(\frac{4 \ln 2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \exp \left[-(4 \ln 2) \left(\frac{\nu - \nu_0}{\Delta \nu_d}\right)^2\right]$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

Here, $\Delta \nu_d$ is the characteristic (Doppler) width (FWHM) of the Maxwellian distribution [Eq. (A-1)]. For later use, the notations

$$\overline{p}(\nu) = \frac{n(\nu)}{n} \quad p(\nu) = \frac{\overline{p}(\nu)}{p_0}$$ \hspace{1cm} (5a)$$

and

$$\overline{p}_0 = \overline{p}(\nu_0) = \left[\frac{(4 \ln 2)/\pi}{\Delta \nu_d}\right]^{1/2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (5b)$$
are introduced. Note that

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{p}(v) \, dv = \bar{p}_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(v) \, dv = 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5c)

Upon reflection from a lossless mirror, \( \bar{\Gamma}^+(v) \) will be converted to \( \bar{\Gamma}^-(v) \), which, as a result of the Doppler effect, interacts with particles with velocity \( v_y/c = -[(v/v_0) - 1] \). Thus, \( \bar{\Gamma}^\pm(v) \) interact with the velocity groups \( v_y/c = \pm[(v/v_0) - 1] \), respectively. Note also that \( \bar{\Gamma}^-(v) \) and \( \bar{\Gamma}^+(2v_0 - v) \) interact with the same particles. This equivalence is used in the subsequent treatment of an F-P resonator. In particular, particle groups are identified by the resonant frequency associated with the wave \( \bar{\Gamma}^+(v) \). Also, integration over all population groups is accomplished by integration with respect to \( v \) in the interval \(-\infty < v < \infty\) rather than by integration with respect to \( v_y \) in the interval \(-\infty < v_y < \infty\).

3. INHOMOGENEOUS BROADENING

Under lasing conditions, the particle distribution function is perturbed from the Maxwellian, and more general distributions need to be considered. Thus, from Eq. (2), the gain and refractive index at \( v' \) resulting from particles that are resonant with radiation in the range \( v \) to \( v + dv \) can be expressed as (Fig. 2)

\[ dg(v') = \sigma_0 \mathcal{L}(v' - v) \left[ n_2(v) - n_1(v) \right] \, dv \]  \hspace{1cm} (6a)

\[ d \left[ \eta(v') - 1 \right] = \frac{O_c}{2 \pi v_0} \frac{v' - v}{\Delta v_h} \mathcal{L}(v' - v) \left[ n_2(v) - n_1(v) \right] \, dv \]  \hspace{1cm} (6b)
Fig. 2. Gain and Refractive Index at $v'$ as Result of Particles in Frequency Interval $v$ to $v + dv$
The net gain and refractive index at $v'$ is then found by integration over all particle groups. The result is

$$g(v') = \sigma_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(v' - v) \left[ n_2(v) - n_1(v) \right] dv$$  \hspace{1cm} (7a)$$

$$\eta(v') - 1 = \frac{\lambda \sigma_0}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v' - v}{\Delta \nu_h} \mathcal{P}(v' - v) \left[ n_2(v) - n_1(v) \right] dv$$  \hspace{1cm} (7b)$$

Equation (7) defines the gain and refractive index of an inhomogeneously broadened medium. The evaluation of Eq. (7) for a chemical laser medium is the subject of the present study. Amplification of $I^\pm (v')$ is found from

$$\frac{dI^\pm (v')}{dy} = \pm g(v') I^\pm (v')$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

For a nonlasing medium, Eq. (4) is applicable, and Eq. (7) becomes

$$\frac{g(v')}{(\pi/2) \left[ \sigma_0 \bar{P}_o \Delta \nu_h (n_2 - n_1) \right]} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(v) \mathcal{P}(v' - v) \frac{dv}{\Delta \nu_h}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9a)$$

$$\frac{(4\pi/\lambda) [\eta(v') - 1]}{(\pi/2) \left[ \sigma_0 \bar{P}_o \Delta \nu_h (n_2 - n_1) \right]} = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{P}(v' - v)}{\Delta \nu_h} \frac{dv}{\Delta \nu_h}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9b)$$

The right-hand sides (RHS) of Eqs. (9a) and (9b) equal the real and imaginary part of a complex error function. 9, 10 For $(\bar{P}_o \Delta \nu_h)^2 \ll 1$, these quantities can be deduced from Eqs. (B-1d) and (B-1e) with $\phi_j = 1$ therein.
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4. RESONATOR MODES

An F-P resonator can support longitudinal modes centered at frequencies $v = Nc/2L$, where $N$ is an integer and $L$ is the separation between mirrors. The longitudinal mode separation is then $\Delta v_c = c/2L$. A typical longitudinal mode distribution is illustrated by the solid lines in Fig. 3. The frequency width of each mode can be assumed to be small compared to $\Delta v_h$.

A convenient notation for dealing with the interaction between the resonator modes and the gain medium is now introduced. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that one longitudinal mode, centered at $v_1$, is located in the interval

$$v_0 < v_1 < v_0 + \left(\frac{\Delta v_c}{2}\right)$$

(10)

The central frequency of the longitudinal modes can be expressed in the form

$$v_j = v_1 + (j - 1) \left(\frac{\Delta v_c}{2}\right) \quad j = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$$

(11a)

$$v_j = v_1 + j \left(\frac{\Delta v_c}{2}\right) \quad j = -2, -4, -6, \ldots$$

(11b)

These frequencies are denoted by solid lines in Fig. 3. The radiation $\bar{I}^+(v_j)$ interacts with particles characterized by the frequency $v = v_j$ in Fig. 3. The interaction results in the "holes" indicated therein. As previously noted, the interaction of the reflected radiation $\bar{I}^-(v_j)$ with the
Fig. 3. Longitudinal Mode Structure in F-P Resonator. Solid lines denote longitudinal modes. Dashed lines denote additional modes for equivalent resonator with radiation in +y direction only.
lasing medium is equivalent to the interaction of $I^+ (2\nu_0 - \nu_j)$ with this medium. An equivalent $I^+(\nu_j)$ radiation field with frequencies defined by

$$\nu_j = 2\nu_0 - \nu_1 + j \left(\frac{\Delta\nu_c}{2}\right) \quad j = 2, 4, 6, \ldots \quad (12a)$$

$$= 2\nu_0 - \nu_1 + (j + 1) \left(\frac{\Delta\nu_c}{2}\right) \quad j = -1, -3, -5, \ldots \quad (12b)$$

is considered. The latter are indicated by the dashed lines in Fig. 3. These lines are the mirror image of the solid lines, about $\nu_0$, and also have a separation $\Delta\nu_c$. The results of the present approach are identical with those obtained from an F-P resonator with both $I^+$ and $I^-$ radiation.

A further simplification can be introduced. Because of the symmetry about $\nu_0$, only lasing frequencies in the interval $\nu_j > \nu_0$ need to be considered. These are given by Eqs. (11a) and (12a). In particular, $j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots j_f$ is considered, where $j_f$ is the value of $j$ for the last (final) lasing transition in the interval $\nu_j > \nu_0$. The total number of lasing transitions is $2j_f$. The sum of a quantity $(\ )_j$ over all $j$ is then

$$\sum_j (\ )_j = \sum_{j=\pm j_f} (\ )_j + \sum_{j=1}^{j_f} (\ )_j = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{j_f} (\ )_j \quad (13)$$

When lasing transitions are separated by a frequency difference of order $\Delta\nu_h$, they compete for the same particles. Later, $\Delta\nu_h \ll \Delta\nu_c$ is assumed...
so that, at most, only two radiation fields can compete. Two cases can be distinguished. When \( 0 < \nu_1 - \nu_0 < (\Delta \nu_c / 4) \), the separation between adjacent modes \( \Delta \nu_s \) is (Fig. 3)

\[
\Delta \nu_s = 2 (\nu_1 - \nu_0)
\]  

(14a)

If \( \Delta \nu_s / \Delta \nu_h = O(1) \), competition will occur between \( \nu_1 \) and \( \nu_1^i, \nu_2 \) and \( \nu_3, \nu_4 \) and \( \nu_5, \ldots \). Here, \( j_f \) is odd. When \( (\Delta \nu_c / 4) < \nu_1 - \nu_0 < (\Delta \nu_c / 2) \), the separation between adjacent modes is (Fig. 3)

\[
\Delta \nu_s = 2 \left[ \nu_0 - \nu_f + \left( \frac{\Delta \nu_c}{2} \right) \right]
\]  

(14b)

If \( \Delta \nu_s / \Delta \nu_h = O(1) \), competition occurs between \( \nu_1 \) and \( \nu_2, \nu_3 \) and \( \nu_4, \ldots \). Here, \( j_f \) is even. When \( \Delta \nu_s \gg \Delta \nu_h \), no mode competition occurs.

The open interval is used in Eq. (10) to avoid the degenerate case where two lasing transitions overlap in Fig. 3 (i.e., \( \Delta \nu_s = 0 \)). This case is treated herein by consideration of the limit \( \Delta \nu_s \rightarrow 0 \).

5. RESONATOR BOUNDARY CONDITION

Diffraction effects are neglected and it is assumed that each mirror of the F-P resonator has the same reflectivity \( R_m \). Later, it is assumed that there are \( n_{sc} \) semichannels, each of width \( w \), and that the lateral width of the gain region in each semichannel is \( y_f(x) \) (Fig. 4). Under steady-state lasing conditions, the net gain per pass equals the net loss per pass at each
streamwise station where lasing occurs. For these conditions, the local gain is defined by

\[ g_j = \frac{-\ln R_m}{n_{s_c} Y_f(x)} = g_c \]  

where \( g_j = g(v_j) \).

B. GENERAL SOLUTION

The equations that define the effects of gain saturation on the performance of a Doppler-broadened cw chemical laser are deduced. A premixed flow is first discussed. Effects of diffusion then are introduced.

1. PREMIXED LASER

At sufficiently low pressures, the rate of diffusion can be considered fast, relative to the rate of the chemical reactions in a cw chemical laser. For these conditions, the reactants can be considered to be premixed and to start reacting at \( x = 0 \). Fluid properties are a function only of streamwise distance \( x \). The rate of change of \( n_2(v) \) and \( n_1(v) \) with \( x \) can then be expressed

\[ u \frac{c n_2(v)}{dx} = \bar{p}(v) u \frac{dn_T}{dx} - k_{cd} n_2(v) + k_{cr} [\bar{p}(v) n_2 - n_2(v)] \]

\[ - \frac{n_2(v) - n_4(v)}{\epsilon} \sum_j I_j \sigma(v_j, \nu) \]  

\[ (16a) \]
\[
\frac{dn_1(v)}{dx} = 0 + k_{cd} n_2(v) + k_{cr} \left[ p(v) n_1 - n_1(v) \right] + \frac{n_2(v) - n_1(v)}{\varepsilon} \sum_j \bar{I}_j \sigma_{ij}(v_j, v)
\]

(16b)

where \( \bar{I}_j \equiv \bar{I}^+(v_j) \) and \( n_T = n_1 + n_2 \). The terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (16a) have the following interpretation. In the first term, the chemical pumping reaction (which is considered known) is assumed to create only \( n_2 \) particles, which initially have a Maxwellian velocity distribution. The second term represents the loss resulting from collisional deactivation. The rate coefficient \( k_{cd} \) is assumed to be independent of \( v \). The third term represents the creation of \( n_2(v) \) as a result of cross relaxation. The latter is assumed equal to the product of a cross relaxation rate coefficient \( k_{cr} \) with the difference between the equilibrium Maxwellian value and the local value of \( n_2(v) \). This model is similar to that used in Ref. 11, in which a steady-state four-level amplifier was treated. The last term represents the loss of \( n_2(v) \) as a result of stimulated emission centered at \( v_j \). This term is deduced from Eq. (8) and is nonzero only when \( v - v_j \leq O(\Delta v_h) \).

When \( n \) is in moles per unit volume, the quantity \( \varepsilon \) equals the energy per mole of photons. The terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (16b) can be deduced from the preceding discussion.

2. DIFFUSION EFFECT

A simplified diffusion model is adopted (Fig. 4). It is assumed that the chemical reactants are premixed, but do not start to react until
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Fig. 4. Simplified Model of CW Chemic 1 Laser. A single semichannel is shown.
they enter the reaction zone bounded by \( y_f = y_f(x) \). Laminar and turbulent mixing are modeled by

\[
\left( \frac{y_f}{w} \right) = \left( \frac{x}{x_D} \right)^m
\]

where \( m = 1/2 \) and \( 1 \) for laminar and turbulent flow, respectively. Here, \( w \) is the semiwidth of a single oxidizer (F) nozzle, and \( x_D \) is the distance at which the reaction zone reaches the nozzle centerline. The latter is a measure of diffusion rate and is assumed to occur downstream of the lasing region.

When mean rates are used, fluid properties within the reaction zone may be assumed to vary only with \( x \). For species \( n_i \), the variation can be expressed

\[
\frac{u}{y_f} \frac{d \left[ (n_i - (n_i)_\infty) \right]}{dx} = w_i
\]

where \( (n_i)_\infty \) is the number density upstream of the flame sheet, and \( w_i \) is the volumetric production rate of \( n_i \) resulting from chemical, collisional, and radiative processes. Note that \( [n_2(v)]_\infty = 0 \); Eq. (16a) becomes

\[
\frac{u}{y_f} \frac{d [n_2(v) y_f]}{dx} = \frac{u p(v)}{y_f} \frac{d (n_T y_f)}{dx} - k_{cd} n_2(v) + k_{cr} \left[ \frac{p(v) n_2 - n_2(v)}{\sum_j \sigma(v_j, v) \tilde{I}_j} \right]
\]

(19)
A similar equation is deduced for $n_1(v)$. The following normalized unit order variables are introduced

$$N_2 = \frac{n_2 v_f}{n_r w} \quad N_2_\nu = \frac{n_2(v) v_f}{n_r w p_o}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20a)

$$N_T = \frac{n_T v_f}{n_r w} \quad N_1_\nu = \frac{n_1(v) v_f}{n_r w p_o}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20b)

$$\zeta = \frac{k_{cd} x}{u} \quad \zeta_D = \frac{k_{cd} x D}{u}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20c)

$$R = \frac{k_{cr}}{k_{cd}} \quad J' = \frac{\sigma(v_j, \nu)}{\sigma_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20d)

$$I_j = \frac{\sigma_0 n_r}{\epsilon k_{cd}} \quad I = \sum_j I_j = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{j_f} I_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (20e)

$$G_j = \frac{g_j v_f}{\sigma_0 n_r \Delta \nu_h p_o w} \quad G_c = \frac{-\ln R_m}{\sigma_0 n_r \Delta \nu_h p_o w n_{sc}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20f)

Here, $n_r$ is a characteristic number density, which for a chemical laser is taken to be equal to the F atom concentration upstream of the flame sheet ($n_r = [F]_\infty$). The local lasing intensity $I_j$ is normalized herein by $\epsilon k_{cd}/\sigma_0$. Thus, the quantity $I_j$ is the ratio of the stimulated emission rate to the rate of collisional deactivation of particles in the frequency interval $\Delta \nu_h$ about $\nu_j$. An alternate normalization, not used herein, is $I \equiv \bar{I}/I_S$ where

$$\bar{I}_S = [(1 + R)/2] \epsilon k_{cd}/\sigma_0$$ is the appropriate measure of saturation intensity.
in the present model [e.g., Eq. (28)]. Thus \( 2I/(1 + R) \) is a measure of degree of saturation in the present model. The normalized gain at \( \nu_j \) is obtained from

\[
G_j = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}(\nu - \nu_j) \left( N_{2,\nu} - N_{1,\nu} \right) \frac{d\nu}{\Delta\nu_h} \tag{21a}
\]

In the absence of lasing, \( N_{2,\nu} - N_{1,\nu} = p(\nu) (N_2 - N_1) \), and

\[
G_j = (N_2 - N_1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\nu) \mathcal{G}(\nu - \nu_j) \frac{d\nu}{\Delta\nu_h} \tag{21b}
\]

When \( \Delta\nu_h / \Delta\nu_d \ll 1 \), Eq. (21b) becomes

\[
G_j = \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) p_j (N_2 - N_1) \left[ 1 + O \left( \frac{\Delta\nu_h}{\Delta\nu_d} \right) \right] \tag{21c}
\]

where \( p_j = p(\nu_j) \). Threshold is reached when \( G_j = G_c \).

Substitution of Eq. (20) into Eq. (19) and the equivalent expression for \( n_1(\nu) \) yields

\[
\frac{dN_{2,\nu}}{dt} = p(\nu) \frac{dN_T}{dt} - N_{2,\nu} + R \left[ p(\nu) N_2 - N_{2,\nu} \right] \]

\[
- (N_{2,\nu} - N_{1,\nu}) \sum_j \hat{J}_j I_{j} \tag{22a}
\]
\[
\frac{d N_{1_\nu}}{d \zeta} = N_{2_\nu} + R[p(\nu) N_1 - N_{1_\nu}] + (N_{2_\nu} - N_{1_\nu}) \sum_j \gamma_j I_j \quad (22b)
\]

where \(d N_T/d\zeta\) is known. Integrals of Eq. (22) form the basis of the present study. Addition of Eqs. (22a) and (22b) and integration with respect to \(\zeta\) and \(\nu\) yields, respectively,

\[
N_{2_\nu} + N_{1_\nu} = p(\nu) N_T \quad (23a)
\]

and

\[
N_2 + N_1 = N_T \quad (23b)
\]

The fact that Eq. (23a) is independent of \(R\) is somewhat surprising and indicates that the increase in \(N_{2_\nu}\), as a result of cross relaxation, is offset by an equivalent loss in \(N_{1_\nu}\). Equation (23b) is consistent with the definition of \(N_T\). Subtraction of Eq. (22b) from Eq. (22a) and integration with respect to \(\nu\) yields, respectively,

\[
\frac{d \left(N_{2_\nu} - N_{1_\nu}\right)}{d \zeta} + (1 + R) \left(N_{2_\nu} - N_{1_\nu}\right) = p(\nu) \left[\frac{d N_T}{d \zeta} - N_T + R(N_2 - N_1)\right]
- 2(N_{2_\nu} - N_{1_\nu}) \sum_j \gamma_j I_j \quad (24a)
\]
and

\[ \frac{d}{d\zeta}(N_2 - N_1) + (N_2 - N_1) = \frac{dN_T}{d\zeta} - N_T - 2(\bar{p}_o \Delta \nu_h) G_c I \quad (24b) \]

The last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (24b) follows because \( G_j = G_c \) when \( I_j \neq 0 \). The zero power solution \( I = 0 \) of Eq. (24b) is

\[ N_2 - N_1 = 2e^{-\zeta} \int_0^\zeta e^\zeta e^{\zeta d\zeta} \left( \frac{dN_T}{d\zeta d\zeta} \right) d\zeta_0 - N_T \quad (25) \]

which, together with the relation \( N_1 + N_2 = N_T \), defines \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \). In order to evaluate Eq. (24) for \( I \neq 0 \), note that for \( I_j \neq 0 \) (i.e., \( G_j = G_c \)),

\[ \frac{dG_j}{d\zeta} = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathcal{A}(\nu - \nu_j) \frac{d(N_2 - N_1)}{d\zeta} \frac{d\nu}{\Delta \nu_h} = 0 \quad (26a) \]

Equation (26a) is satisfied by \( d(N_2 - N_1)/d\zeta = 0 \), which is a sufficient, but not a necessary, condition, suggesting that for \( I_j \neq 0 \)

\[ \frac{d(N_2 - N_1)/d\zeta}{p(\nu)\left[ \frac{dN_T}{d\zeta} - N_T + R(N_2 - N_1) \right]} \ll 1 \quad (26b) \]
be assumed* in Eq. (24a). The latter becomes, with \( j' \) used as the summation index,

\[
N_{2\nu} - N_{1\nu} = \frac{p(\nu) \left[ (dN_T/\bar{d}) - N_T + R(N_2 - N_1) \right]}{1 + R + 2 \sum_{j'} \mathcal{J}(\nu - \nu_{j'}) I_{j'}}
\]  

(27)

Multiplication by \( \mathcal{J}(\nu - \nu_{j'}) d\nu/\Delta v_h \) and integration yields, for \( I_{j'} \neq 0 \),

\[
\frac{1 + R}{p_{j'} \left( \frac{dN_T/\bar{d}}{N_T} + R(N_2 - N_1) \right)} = \frac{1}{p_{j'} \sum_{j'} \mathcal{J}(\nu - \nu_{j'}) I_{j'}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(\nu) \mathcal{J}(\nu - \nu_{j'}) d\nu/\Delta v_h}{1 + [2/(1 + R)] \sum_{j'} \mathcal{J}(\nu - \nu_{j'}) I_{j'}}
\]

(28)

Equation (28) provides \( j' \) implicit relations between \( I_{j'} \) and \( N_2 - N_1 \), which can be used to integrate Eq. (24b). This integration provides \( N_2 - N_1 \) and \( I_{j'} \) as functions of \( \zeta \), and all other laser properties of interest can be readily deduced. For example, the power emitted in the interval \( 0 \leq x_0 \leq x \) by a laser of unit height is found from

\[
\overline{P}(x) = n_s c \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{\infty} y_{f} g_{c} \overline{I} d\nu_{h} dx_0
\]

(29a)

*The validity of Eq. (26b) for cw chemical lasers can be further supported by noting that all quantities in this equation are of order one except for \( R \) which can be considered large (Appendix A). The validity of Eq. (26b) for moderate values of \( R \), however, requires further study.
In nondimensional variables, Eq. (29a) becomes

$$P(\zeta) = \frac{P(x)}{\varepsilon \mu \nu w \mu_{sc}} = \tilde{p}_0 \Delta \nu_h \int_0^\zeta G_c \text{Id} \zeta_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (29b)

The streamwise station at which lasing ends (I = 0) is denoted \( \zeta_e \) and the corresponding laser net output power is denoted \( P_e \). Similarly, the refractive index in the lasing region can be obtained from

$$\frac{1 + R}{\rho_j} \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \frac{[\eta(\nu_j) - 1] y_f/w}{\sigma_0 n_r p_0 \Delta \nu_h} \left[ \frac{dN_T}{d\zeta} - N_T + R(N_2 - N_1) \right]^{-1} \hspace{1cm} (29c)$$

For cases with no mode competition, \( \Delta \nu_s \gg \Delta \nu_h \), the summation in Eqs. (28) and (29c) contains only a single term and the integrals can be evaluated in closed form (Appendix B).

The solution of the system of equations defined by Eqs. (24b) and (28) generally requires numerical integration. Closed form solutions can be obtained in limiting cases, however, and these are discussed in the next section.

C. LIMITING CASES

The assumptions \( \Delta \nu_h \ll \Delta \nu_c \) and \( \Delta \nu_h \ll \Delta \nu_d \) are introduced in order to simplify the integration of Eq. (28). The limiting cases \( [2I_j/(1 + R)]^2 \ll 1 \) and \( \Delta \nu_s \ll \Delta \nu_h \) are then discussed.
When $\Delta v_h \ll \Delta v_c$, at most, two radiation fields compete for the same particles. These fields are denoted $j' = j$ and $j' = j + 1$ in Eq. (28).

From the assumption $\Delta v_h \ll \Delta v_d$, it follows that $p(v) = p_j$, and, when two fields compete, $I_j \equiv I_{j+1}$. The right-hand side (RHS) of Eq. (28) then becomes

$$\text{RHS} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{F}(v - v_j) \, dv}{1 + [2/(1 + R)] \, I_j [\mathcal{F}(v - v_j) + \mathcal{F}(v - v_{j+1})]}$$

where, from Eq. (14), $v_{j+1} - v_j \equiv \Delta v_s$. Two limiting cases are treated, i.e., $[2I_j/(1 + R)]^2 \ll 1$ and $\Delta v_s \ll \Delta v_h$.

1. **CASE** $[2I_j/(1 + R)]^2 \ll 1$

The present case corresponds to weak saturation. With the expansion $(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} = 1 - \varepsilon + 0(\varepsilon^2)$ and the use of Appendix B,

$$\text{RHS} = \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{I_j}{1 + R} \left[ 1 + \mathcal{F}\left(\frac{\Delta v_s}{2}\right) \right] + 0 \left( \frac{I_j}{1 + R} \right)^2 \right\}$$

Substitution into Eq. (28), taking the reciprocal of both sides, and solving for $I_j$ yields,

$$\frac{2I_j}{1 + R} = \frac{2}{1 + \mathcal{F}\left(\frac{\Delta v_s}{2}\right)} \left[ \pi \frac{[(dN_T/d\zeta) - N_T + R(N_2 - N_1) p_j]}{(1 + R) G_c} \right]$$

The total intensity is, from Eq. (13),
\[
\frac{2I}{1 + R} = \frac{4}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{s}}}
\left[ \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ \frac{(dN_T/d\zeta) - N_T + R(N_2 - N_1)}{(1 + R) G_c} \right] \sum_{j=1}^{j_f} p_j - j_f \right]
\]

(33)

The present solution is self-consistent when the square of the right-hand side of Eq. (32) is small relative to one.

A closed-form solution of Eq. (24b) can be obtained in the present limit. With the constants

\[
A = 1 + \frac{2\pi R (\bar{p}_0 \Delta_{\nu h})}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{s}}}
\]

\[
B = 1 - \frac{A - 1}{R}
\]

\[
C = \frac{4j_f (1 + R) G_c \bar{p}_0 \Delta_{\nu h}}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{s}}}
\]

introduced, Eqs. (33) and (24b) become

\[
2 (\bar{p}_0 \Delta_{\nu h}) G_c I = \frac{A - 1}{R} \left( \frac{dN_T}{d\zeta} - N_T \right) + (A - 1) (N_2 - N_1) - C
\]

(35)

\[
\frac{d(N_2 - N_1)}{d\zeta} + A (N_2 - N_1) = B \left( \frac{dN_T}{d\zeta} - N_T \right) + C
\]

(36)
Equation (36) applies to the nonlasing as well as the lasing regime. Lasing is initiated on a given transition \( \nu_j \) at the station, where \( G_j \) first becomes equal to \( G_c \) [Eq. (21c)]. At small \( \zeta \), \( N_2 - N_1 \) is small and threshold is not reached. Here, \( A = B = 1 \), \( C = 0 \), and Eq. (36) agrees with Eq. (24b), with \( I = 0 \). Lasing is first achieved, by definition, on the \( \nu_1 \) transition. The quantities \( A \), \( B \), and \( C \) are then re-evaluated with \( j_f = 1 \) and remain constant until the second transition is initiated. Thus, \( A \), \( B \), and \( C \) are piecewise uniform in intervals \( \zeta_i < \zeta < \zeta_{i+1} \), which are defined by the initiation or termination of lasing transitions. The piecewise integral of Eq. (36) for the interval \( \zeta_i \) to \( \zeta_{i+1} \), is

\[
\frac{A}{B} \left( N_2 - N_1 \right) e^{A \zeta_0} \int_{\zeta i}^{\zeta} e^{A \zeta_0} \frac{dN_T}{d\zeta_0} d\zeta_0
\]

\[
+ \left[ \left( \frac{C}{B} - N_T \right) e^{A \zeta_0} \right]_{\zeta_i}^{\zeta}
\]

The net power emitted in this interval is from Eqs. (29) and (35)

\[
\frac{2R}{A - 1} P(\zeta_0) \int_{\zeta_i}^{\zeta} \left( N_T - \frac{CR}{A - 1} \zeta_0 \right) d\zeta_0 + \int_{\zeta_i}^{\zeta} \left[ R(N_2 - N_1) - N_T \right] d\zeta_0
\]

(39)
where \( N_2 - N_1 \) is obtained from Eq. (38). Equations (23), (35), (38), and (39) define the performance of a chemical laser, provided \( N_T \) is known.

2. **CASE \( \Delta \nu_s \ll \Delta \nu_h \)**

In this case, the competing lasing modes have nearly the same frequency. Thus, \( \mathcal{L}(v - \nu_{j+1}) \approx \mathcal{L}(v - \nu_j) [1 + O(\Delta \nu_s / \Delta \nu_h)] \).

Integration of Eq. (28) and the use of Appendix B yields

\[
\frac{2I_1}{1 + R} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ \frac{(dN_T/d\zeta) - N_T + R(N_2 - N_1)}{(1 + R) G_c} \right] p_1 \right\} - 1 \tag{40}
\]

and

\[
\frac{2I_1}{1 + R} = \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{(dN_T/d\zeta) - N_T + R(N_2 - N_1)}{(1 + R) G_c} \right] ^2 \sum_{j=1}^{j_f} \sum_{j_f} p_j^2 - j_f \tag{41}
\]

In the limit, \( [I_1/(1 + R)]^2 \ll 1, \Delta \nu_s \rightarrow 0 \). Equations (32) and (40) are in agreement, as expected. Substitution of Eq. (41) into Eq. (24b) yields a first-order nonlinear equation that requires numerical integration.

D. **PUMPING RATE**

An expression for \( N_T \) is needed. A useful limiting case is to assume that the chemical reaction that creates excited species (i.e., the pumping reaction) is fast relative to the diffusion rate. In the present model, \( ^2, ^{12} \) this reaction is equivalent to the assumption that \( n_r \) (i.e., \([F] \)) is converted to \( n_2 \) (i.e., \([HF^+] \)) at the instant that the \( n_r \) particles enter the reaction zone bounded by \( y_f \). It follows that
\[ N_T = \frac{y_f}{w} = \left( \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_D} \right)^m \]  

(42)

where \( m = 1/2 \) and 1 for laminar and turbulent flows, respectively.

### E. LAMINAR DIFFUSION

Laminar diffusion is considered and analytic solutions deduced for the zero-power case and for \([2I_j/(1 + R)]^2 \ll 1\).

1. ZERO POWER

Substitution of Eq. (42), with \( m = 1/2 \), into Eq. (25) yields

\[ \zeta_D^{1/2} (N_2 - N_1) = 2D(\zeta^{1/2}) - \zeta^{1/2} \]  

(43)

where \( D(\cdot) \) is the Dawson integral. Since gain saturation effects do not enter into the zero-power solution, the present results are identical to those of Ref. 2. The population inversion has a maximum \( \zeta_D^{1/2} (N_2 - N_1) = 0.3528 \) at \( \zeta = 0.3051 \). The inversion is zero at \( \zeta = 1.1301 \). Further results are given in Ref. 2. The station at which lasing is initiated can be determined from Equations (43) and (21). Thus, for \( j = 1 \), lasing is initiated at the station \( \zeta_1 \), which is defined by

\[ \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\zeta_D^{1/2} G_c}{p_1} = \zeta_D^{1/2} (N_2 - N_1) = 2D(\zeta_1^{1/2}) - \zeta_1^{1/2} \]  

(44)

from which, it follows that \( 0 < \zeta_1 < 0.3051 \). Values of \( G_c \) that permit lasing are defined by \( 2 \zeta_D^{1/2} G_c / \pi p_1 < 0.3528 \). 
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2. CASE \([2I_j/(1 + R)]^2 \ll 1\)

Substitution of Eq. (42) into Eq. (38) yields

\[
\frac{A}{B} \zeta_{D}^{1/2} \left[ (N_2 - N_1) e^{A\zeta_0} \right] \zeta_1 = \left( e^{A\zeta_0} \left\{ \frac{A + 1}{A^{1/2}} D[(A\zeta_0)^{1/2}] - (\zeta_0^{1/2}) + \frac{C}{B} \zeta_{D}^{1/2} \right\} \right)^{\zeta_1} \tag{45a}
\]

\[
\frac{A}{B} \int_{\zeta_1}^{\zeta} \zeta_{D}^{1/2} (N_2 - N_1) d\zeta_0 = \left( \frac{A + 1}{A^{1/2}} \frac{D[(A\zeta_0)^{1/2}]}{A^{1/2}} - \frac{2}{3} \zeta_0^{3/2} + \frac{C}{B} \zeta_{D}^{1/2} \right)^\zeta_1
\]

\[
+ \left[ e^{-A(\zeta - \zeta_1)} - 1 \right] \left\{ \frac{A + 1}{A^{1/2}} D[(A\zeta_1)^{1/2}] - \zeta_1^{1/2} \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{C}{B} \zeta_{D}^{1/2} - \frac{A}{B} \zeta_{D}^{1/2} (N_2 - N_1) \right\} \tag{45b}
\]

Substitution of these expressions into Eqs. (35) and (39) yields explicit expressions for the local lasing intensity and net output power. It is of interest to evaluate the lasing intensity at the station where lasing is initiated. Substitution of Eq. (35) [note Eq. (34)] yields (with \(p_j = p_1\) and \(\zeta = \zeta_1\))

\[
\zeta_{D}^{1/2} G_c I_1 = \frac{\pi p_1}{1 + \left( \frac{\Delta \nu}{\nu_0} \right)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \zeta_1^{1/2} - 2D(\zeta_1^{1/2}) \right\} \tag{46}
\]
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For $\zeta_i$ near 0.3051, $I$ is small and the assumption $[I/(1+R)]^2 \ll 1$ is satisfied for all values of $R$. For small $\zeta_i$, the value of $R$ must be large in order for the solution to be self-consistent. The present solution is now examined in the limit $\zeta - \zeta_i$ small and in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$.

Consider $\zeta - \zeta_i$ small and $R \neq \infty$. The net power emitted up to station $\zeta$ is found by expanding $I$ in a Taylor series about $\zeta_i$ and substituting into Eq. 29b. The result is

$$P(\zeta) = \overline{p}_0 \Delta \nu_h \ G_c \ I_i \ (\zeta - \zeta_i) \ (1 + O\left[\left(\frac{dI}{d\zeta}\right)_i (\zeta - \zeta_i)\right])$$ \hspace{1cm} (47)

Thus, the output power is proportional to $\overline{p}_0 \Delta \nu_h$ and is independent of $R$. In this case, particle residence time in the lasing region is too short for cross relaxation to contribute to the laser output.

In the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$, the solution becomes, for $j_f = 1$,

$$N_2 - N_1 = (N_2 - N_1)_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta \nu_s}\right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (48a)

$$2 \overline{p}_0 \Delta \nu_h \ G_c \ I_i \ = \ \frac{2\pi p_1 (\overline{p}_0 \Delta \nu_h)}{1 + \varphi(\frac{\Delta \nu_s}{2})} \times \left[\frac{1}{2} \zeta_i^{1/2} - \zeta_i^{1/2} - \zeta_D^{1/2} (N_2 - N_1)_1\right]$$ \hspace{1cm} for $\zeta = \zeta_i$ \hspace{1cm} (48b)

(Continued)
\[
= \frac{1}{2 \zeta^{1/2}} - \zeta^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} (N_2 - N_1) \frac{1}{2} + O \left( e^{-A(\zeta - \zeta_i)} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{A} \right) \quad \text{for } \zeta > \zeta_i
\]

\[2 \zeta^{1/2} P_e = \left[ \zeta^{1/2} - \frac{2}{3} \zeta^{3/2} - \zeta_i^{1/2} (N_2 - N_1) \zeta \right] \zeta_e^0 + O \left( \frac{1}{A} \right) \quad (48d)\]

\[
\zeta_e = \frac{1}{4} \left( \left[ \zeta_i^{1/2} (N_2 - N_1) \right] + 2 \right)^{1/2} - \zeta_i^{1/2} (N_2 - N_1) \quad (48e)\]

In this limit the cross relaxation is sufficiently fast that the lasing medium acts like a homogeneous medium. There is no hole burning, and the results are the same as those deduced in Ref. 2. Note that I is discontinuous at \( \zeta = \zeta_i \), a result of the assumption \( R \to \infty \) and the corresponding neglect of the term of order \( e^{-A(\zeta - \zeta_i)} \) in Eq. (48c).

3. CASE \( \Delta v_s \ll \Delta v_h \)

Laminar flow solutions are obtained by the substitution of \( N_T = (\zeta / \zeta_i) \) into Eqs. (41), (24b) and (29). Numerical integration is required.
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The parameters deduced herein are similar to those in Ref. 2, except for the additional parameters $p_0 \Delta \nu_h = 0.9394 \Delta \nu_h / \Delta \nu_d$ and $R$. Typical values for cw chemical lasers are discussed in Appendix A. In particular, $R = O(100)$ and $\Delta \nu_h / \Delta \nu_d = O[0.01 \times p(Torr)]$, where $p$ is the net static pressure in the lasing region and generally is in the range $p(Torr) = O(1-10)$. Since $\Delta \nu_h / \Delta \nu_d$ and $R$ are small and large, respectively, in chemical lasers the latter generally appear as a product [e.g., Eqs. (34)] which can be approximated by $(\Delta \nu_h / \Delta \nu_d) R = O[p(Torr)]$. As previously noted, inhomogeneous broadening effects become negligible in the limit $R \to \infty$. Effects of finite $R$ can be deduced from the numerical results discussed subsequently.

Continuous-wave chemical laser performance has been evaluated for laminar mixing, a single longitudinal mode ($j_1 = 1$), $0.1 \leq G \leq 0.5$, $0.01 \leq \Delta \nu_h / \Delta \nu_d \leq 0.1$, and $10 \leq R \leq 100$. The limit $R \to \infty$ has also been considered. The results are given in Table 1 and Figs. 5 and 6. Results for line-center operation, $\nu_1 \to \nu_0$, are given in Table 1 and Figs. 5a, 5b, 6a, and 6b. The approximate theory, i.e. $[I/(1 + R)]^2 \ll 1$, is in good agreement with the exact theory [Eqs. (24a) and (41)] for $[I_j/(1 + R)]^2 \leq O(1)$, particularly with regard to net output power $P_e$. The variation of the differential number density $\zeta_D^{1/2} \Delta N$ with $\zeta$ in the lasing region $\zeta_i \leq \zeta \leq \zeta_e$ is shown in Figs. 5a and 6a for $\Delta \nu_h / \Delta \nu_d = 0.1$ and 0.01, respectively. The quantity $\zeta_D^{1/2} \Delta N$ continues to increase, after lasing is initiated. The increase is more pronounced for $\Delta \nu_h / \Delta \nu_d = 0.01$. This result is in contrast with the homogeneous case ($R \to \infty$), where $\zeta_D^{1/2} \Delta N$
## Table 1. Chemical Laser Performance for Laminar Mixing and Single Longitudinal Mode $j_f = 1$ and $(ν_1 - ν_0)/Δν_h = 0$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Δ_A/ΔA_0$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$r_{1/2}C_1$</th>
<th>$c_1$</th>
<th>$r_{1/2}AN_1$</th>
<th>$z_1$</th>
<th>$z_2$</th>
<th>$r_{1/2}e_0$</th>
<th>$z_2$</th>
<th>$r_{1/2}e_0$</th>
<th>$z_2$</th>
<th>$r_{1/2}e_0$</th>
<th>$z_2$</th>
<th>$r_{1/2}e_0$</th>
<th>$z_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,0481</td>
<td>0,0637</td>
<td>102,8</td>
<td>0,478</td>
<td>0,1856</td>
<td>100,7</td>
<td>0,481</td>
<td>0,1859</td>
<td>100,7</td>
<td>0,457</td>
<td>0,1887</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,0170</td>
<td>0,1273</td>
<td>32,05</td>
<td>0,441</td>
<td>0,1400</td>
<td>28,13</td>
<td>0,442</td>
<td>0,1393</td>
<td>28,15</td>
<td>0,418</td>
<td>0,1433</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,0407</td>
<td>0,1910</td>
<td>11,51</td>
<td>0,406</td>
<td>0,0970</td>
<td>10,92</td>
<td>0,406</td>
<td>0,0968</td>
<td>10,99</td>
<td>0,382</td>
<td>0,1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,0808</td>
<td>0,2546</td>
<td>4,905</td>
<td>0,374</td>
<td>0,0562</td>
<td>4,791</td>
<td>0,374</td>
<td>0,0562</td>
<td>4,949</td>
<td>0,349</td>
<td>0,0600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,1566</td>
<td>0,3183</td>
<td>1,743</td>
<td>0,344</td>
<td>0,0183</td>
<td>1,726</td>
<td>0,344</td>
<td>0,0183</td>
<td>2,112</td>
<td>0,320</td>
<td>0,0257</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,0041</td>
<td>0,0637</td>
<td>783,0</td>
<td>0,585</td>
<td>0,1736</td>
<td>120,7</td>
<td>0,635</td>
<td>0,1373</td>
<td>120,7</td>
<td>0,457</td>
<td>0,1887</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,0170</td>
<td>0,1273</td>
<td>64,10</td>
<td>0,535</td>
<td>0,1771</td>
<td>28,13</td>
<td>0,579</td>
<td>0,1009</td>
<td>28,15</td>
<td>0,418</td>
<td>0,1433</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,0407</td>
<td>0,1910</td>
<td>16,34</td>
<td>0,499</td>
<td>0,0741</td>
<td>10,92</td>
<td>0,521</td>
<td>0,0669</td>
<td>2,112</td>
<td>0,320</td>
<td>0,0257</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,0808</td>
<td>0,2546</td>
<td>5,835</td>
<td>0,448</td>
<td>0,0386</td>
<td>4,791</td>
<td>0,457</td>
<td>0,0361</td>
<td>4,949</td>
<td>0,349</td>
<td>0,0600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,1566</td>
<td>0,3183</td>
<td>1,864</td>
<td>0,375</td>
<td>0,0104</td>
<td>1,726</td>
<td>0,377</td>
<td>0,0100</td>
<td>2,112</td>
<td>0,320</td>
<td>0,0257</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>0,0041</td>
<td>0,0637</td>
<td>192,6</td>
<td>0,639</td>
<td>0,1494</td>
<td>120,7</td>
<td>0,720</td>
<td>0,1239</td>
<td>120,7</td>
<td>0,457</td>
<td>0,1887</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>0,0170</td>
<td>0,1273</td>
<td>32,05</td>
<td>0,626</td>
<td>0,1023</td>
<td>28,13</td>
<td>0,663</td>
<td>0,0905</td>
<td>28,15</td>
<td>0,418</td>
<td>0,1433</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,0407</td>
<td>0,1910</td>
<td>11,51</td>
<td>0,585</td>
<td>0,0645</td>
<td>10,92</td>
<td>0,603</td>
<td>0,0594</td>
<td>10,99</td>
<td>0,382</td>
<td>0,1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,0808</td>
<td>0,2546</td>
<td>4,905</td>
<td>0,528</td>
<td>0,0329</td>
<td>4,791</td>
<td>0,535</td>
<td>0,0312</td>
<td>4,949</td>
<td>0,349</td>
<td>0,0600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,1566</td>
<td>0,3183</td>
<td>1,743</td>
<td>0,442</td>
<td>0,0081</td>
<td>1,726</td>
<td>0,443</td>
<td>0,0079</td>
<td>2,112</td>
<td>0,320</td>
<td>0,0257</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*$(U/1 + R)^2 << 1.$
Fig. 5a. Chemical Laser Performance for Laminar Mixing, Single Longitudinal Mode \((j_f = 1)\), and \(\Delta \nu_h / \Delta \nu_d = 0.1\). Equation (45) is used in approximate solution, i.e., \([1/(1 + R)]^2 \ll 1\). Equations (24b) and (41) are used in exact solution. \(\zeta^{1/2} \Delta N\) versus \(\zeta\) for \(\nu_1 = \nu_0\), \(\zeta_i \leq \zeta \leq \zeta_e\).
Fig. 5b. Chemical Laser Performance for Laminar Mixing, Single Longitudinal Mode ($j_f = 1$), and $\Delta \nu_h/\Delta \nu_d = 0.1$. Equation (45) is used in approximate solution, i.e., $\left[I/(1 + R)\right]^2 \ll 1$. Equations (24b) and (41) are used in exact solution. $I$ versus $\zeta$ for $\nu_1 = \nu_0$. 
Fig. 5c. Chemical Laser Performance for Laminar Mixing, Single Longitudinal Mode ($j_f = 1$), and $\Delta \nu_h / \Delta \nu_d = 0.1$. Equation (45) is used in approximate solution, i.e., $[I/(1 + R)]^2 \ll 1$. Equations (24b) and (41) are used in exact solution. $P_e$ versus $(\nu_f - \nu_0) / \Delta \nu_d$. 
Fig. 6a. Chemical Laser Performance for Laminar Mixing, Single Longitudinal Mode ($j_f = 1$), and $\Delta v_h/\Delta v_d = 0.01$. Equation (45) is used in approximate solution, i.e., $[1/(1 + R)]^2 \ll 1$. Equations (24b) and (41) are used in exact solution. $\zeta^{1/2} \Delta N$ versus $\zeta$ for $v_1 = v_0$. $\zeta_1 \leq \zeta \leq \zeta_e$. 
Fig. 6b. Chemical Laser Performance for Laminar Mixing, Single Longitudinal Mode \((j_f = 1)\), and \(\Delta \nu_1/\Delta \nu = 0.01\). Equation (45) is used in approximate solution, i.e., \([1/(1+R)]^2 \ll 1\). Equations (24b) and (41) are used in exact solution. \(I \) versus \(\zeta\) for \(\nu_1 = \nu_0\).
Fig. 6c. Chemical Laser Performance for Laminar Mixing, Single Longitudinal Mode ($j_0 = 1$), and $\Delta v_h/\Delta v_d = 0.01$. Equation (45) is used in approximate solution, i.e., $[1/(1 + R)]^2 \ll 1$. Equations (24b) and (41) are used in exact solution. $P_e$ versus $(\nu_f - \nu_0)/\Delta v_d$. 
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remains constant in the lasing region. Similarly, the local lasing intensity I tends to first increase with \( \zeta \) and then decrease to zero (Figs. 5b and 6b). In the corresponding homogeneous medium, I decreases monotonically with \( \zeta \) downstream of the region where lasing is initiated. The approximate theory tends to underestimate the upstream values of I and to overestimate the downstream values. Reasonably accurate estimates of net output power \( P_e \) result even for those cases in which the approximate theory for the streamwise variation of I departs significantly from the exact theory. The effect on output power of operation off of line center is indicated in Figs. 5c and 6c. For \( R \neq \infty \), the output power has a local minimum at \( \nu_1 = \nu_0 \) because \( I^+ (\nu_0) \) and \( I^- (\nu_0) \) compete for the same molecules. The output power first increases with an increase in \( \nu_1 \) since \( I^+ (\nu_1) \) and \( I^- (\nu_1) \) tend to interact with different molecules. The power is at maximum at \( \nu_1 - \nu_0 = O(\Delta \nu_h) \). Thereafter, \( P_e \) decreases with increase in \( \nu_1 \) because of the decrease in \( p(\nu) \). The resultant dip is similar to that deduced by Lamb.\(^6\) For a given value of \( G_c \), the departure from the curves \( R = \infty \) in Figs. 5c and 6c represents the effect of inhomogeneous broadening on output power. The departure is small when \( (\Delta \nu_h/\Delta \nu_d) R \geq 10 \). Thus, the departure should be small when cw chemical lasers operate in the pressure regime \( p(\text{Torr}) \geq O(10) \). Inhomogeneous broadening effects become important for single-mode lasers operating in the regime \( p(\text{Torr}) \leq O(1) \). In this pressure regime, however, the use of multiple longitudinal modes [i.e., \( \Delta \nu_c/\Delta \nu_d \ll 1 \) and \( \Delta \nu_h/\Delta \nu_c = O(1) \)] can result in efficient power extraction.
The Lamb dip has been observed, experimentally, in cw chemical lasers. The variation of single mode output power with lasing frequency is reproduced in Fig. 7 and is similar to the curves in Figs. 5c and 6c.

The streamwise variation of index of refraction can also be determined. Consider cases wherein there is no mode competition, i.e., \( \Delta \nu_s \gg \Delta \nu_h \). The index of refraction at each lasing transition \( \nu_j \) can be expressed [e.g., as Eqs. (28), (29c), and Appendix B].

\[
\frac{(2\pi/\lambda) [\eta(\nu_j) - 1]}{g_c} = \frac{\phi_j D(X)}{\pi^{1/2} p_j} [1 + O(Y)]
\]

(49)

where \( X, Y \) and \( \phi_j \) are defined in Appendix B and \( g_c \) is the threshold gain [Eq. (15)]. Equation (49) is similar in form to the result deduced from Lamb’s theory wherein \( \phi_j g_c/p_j \) represents line center-zero power gain. The local value of index of refraction in the present model is seen to depend on \( \phi_j \), which is a measure of the local degree of saturation and is evaluated from the solution of Eqs. (24b) and (28). For cases where \( (\Delta \nu_h/\Delta \nu_d) R \gg 1 \), Eq. (48c) indicates that \( \phi_j = 1 + 0 \left[ (\Delta \nu_h/\Delta \nu_d) R \right]^{-1} \). For the latter cases, "hole burning" effects are negligible since the local index of refraction equals the value for an inhomogeneously broadened medium with line center gain equal to \( g_c/p_j \). That is, the index of refraction is proportional to the threshold gain and is unaffected by the zero power gain.
Fig. 7. Single-Mode Power Tuning Curves for $P_2(4)$ Laser Transition of HF. Experimental results are from Ref. 4.
IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

It has been assumed that the streamwise length of the Fabry-Perot resonator equals the streamwise length of the positive gain region in order to extract as much power as possible from the lasing medium. In these cases $\zeta_e$ is of order one (e.g., Table 1) and the resonator length $x_e$ is of the order of the characteristic collisional deactivation distance $x_{cd} = u/k_{cd}$. Thus, the particle transit time $x_e/u$ is of the order of the characteristic collisional deactivation time $k_{cd}^{-1}$. The result that inhomogeneous broadening effects are small for $(\Delta \nu_h/\Delta \nu_d) R \approx O(10)$ is therefore physically realistic since $\Delta \nu_h/\Delta \nu_d$ is a measure of the fraction of the excited particles which are resonant with the laser radiation field and $R$ is a measure of the number of times the resonant and nonresonant excited particles are interchanged by cross relaxation during transit through the resonator. Parameter values in the range $(\Delta \nu_h/\Delta \nu_d) R > 1$ imply that all excited particles are resonant with the laser radiation field at some time during transit through the resonator.

Inhomogeneous broadening effects become more severe in low pressure cw chemical lasers when the streamwise length of the resonator is smaller than the streamwise length of the positive gain region. In the latter case the number of particle collisions per transit through the resonator is of order $(\Delta x_c/x_{cd}) R$, where $\Delta x_c$ is the resonator length, and inhomogeneous broadening effects are expected to be negligible when

$$\left(\frac{\Delta x_c}{x_{cd}}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta \nu_h}{\Delta \nu_d}\right) R \approx O(10). \quad (50)$$
The latter reduces to the previous expression \( \left( \frac{\Delta \nu_h}{\Delta \nu_d} \right) R = 0 \) (10) when the resonator length is of the order of the streamwise length of the positive gain region (i.e., when \( \Delta x_c \) is of order \( x_{cd} \)). Eq. (50) may be viewed as a generalized criteria which accounts for arbitrary resonator length. The influence of resonator length on inhomogeneous effects in cw chemical lasers has been observed experimentally. In particular, longitudinal mode pulling was found to be more severe when a stable resonator \( (\Delta x_c \leq 0.1 \text{ cm}) \) was applied to a cw chemical laser supersonic gain medium \( (x_{cd} \leq 1 \text{ cm}) \) than when an unstable resonator \( (\Delta x_c \geq 1 \text{ cm}) \) was applied. 

---

APPENDIX A

CHARACTERISTIC VALUES OF PARAMETERS

Characteristic values of line shape parameters, rate parameters and stimulated emission coefficients are given herein with emphasis on HF lasers.

A. LINE SHAPE

1. DOPPLER BROADENING

The characteristic width of a Doppler-broadened line shape is (FWHM)

\[ \Delta \nu_d = 7.163 \times 10^{-7} \nu_0 \left(\frac{T}{M}\right)^{1/2} \text{ (sec}^{-1}\text{)} \]  

where \( T \) is in K, and \( M \) is the molecular weight of the lasing molecule in grams per mole. For an HF laser (\( M = 20, \lambda = 2.77 \times 10^{-6} \) m), \( \Delta \nu_d = 300 \times 10^6(T/300)^{1/2} \).

2. PRESSURE BROADENING

The characteristic width of a pressure-broadened line shape can be expressed (FWHM) as

\[ \Delta \nu_h = 5.996 \times 10^{10} \sum_i p_i \text{ (atm)} \gamma_i \text{ (atm}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}) \text{ (sec}^{-1}\text{)} \]  

where \( p_i \) is the partial pressure of species \( i \) and \( \gamma_i \) is the broadening coefficient for that species. The coefficient \( \gamma_i \) depends on temperature level, as well as rotational and vibrational energy levels \( J \) and \( v \), respectively. Values of \( \gamma_i \)
are given in Table A-1 for HF and DF lasing molecules perturbed by various other molecules. For a typical hard sphere collision, \( \gamma_i = k g_k / (2 \pi c p_i) \) [Eq. (A-7)]. Typical partial pressures in a cw HF chemical laser\(^{14} \) are \( p_1/p = 0.12, 0.39, 0.47 \) and 0.02 for species \( n_i = \text{HF}, \text{He}, \text{H}_2, \) and \( \text{O}_2 \), respectively. With room temperature values of the broadening coefficients, i.e., \( \gamma_i = 0.2, 0.005, 0.03 \) and 0.03, respectively, it is found that \( \Delta \nu_b / 300 \times 10^6 = 0.01 p(\text{Torr}) \), where \( p(\text{Torr}) \) is the net static pressure in Torr in the lasing region. It follows that under typical cw HF laser operating conditions

\[
\frac{\Delta \nu_b}{\Delta \nu_d} = 0.01 p(\text{Torr}) \quad (A-3)
\]

Generally, \( p(\text{Torr}) = O(10) \), and \( \Delta \nu_b / \Delta \nu_d = O(0.1) \).

B. RATES

Reactions of the form

\[
A + B \xrightarrow{k} C + D \quad (A-4a)
\]

\[
\frac{d[A]}{dt} = -k[B][A] = -k[A] \quad (A-4b)
\]

are of concern, where \( k = \mathcal{R}[B] \) is an average rate coefficient. (Note that \( k^{-1} \) is the characteristic time for the reaction.) An average value of \( [B] \) is used in the definition of \( k \). The latter is in units of \( \text{sec}^{-1} \) and can be expressed in the form

\[
\frac{k}{p_B} = \mathcal{R}[B] = \frac{k}{\mathcal{R}T} \quad (A-4c)
\]

where \( \mathcal{R} \) is the universal gas constant.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature, K</th>
<th>Rotational Level J</th>
<th>Coefficient $\gamma_1$, cm$^{-1}$atm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Molecules</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>$6 \leq J \leq 10$</td>
<td>0.25-0.09</td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>HF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>$6 \leq J \leq 10$</td>
<td>0.15-0.08</td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>DF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>$6 \leq J \leq 10$</td>
<td>0.36-0.20</td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>HF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>$6 \leq J \leq 10$</td>
<td>0.40-0.18</td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>DF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>$5 \leq J \leq 8$</td>
<td>0.030-0.020</td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>$H_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>$6 \leq J \leq 8$</td>
<td>0.034-0.024</td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>$N_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>$J \geq 1$</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>He</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>$6 \leq J \leq 8$</td>
<td>0.005-0.015</td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>Ar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. COLLISIONAL DEACTIVATION RATE

From Ref. 12, the HF collisional deactivation process is characterized by the rate for the process

$$\text{HF}(v) + \text{HF} \rightarrow \text{HF}(v-1) + \text{HF}$$

(A-5a)

$$\frac{d[\text{HF}(v)]}{dt} = -k_{cd}[\text{HF}(v)]$$

(A-5b)

where

$$k_{cd} = \frac{v}{82.06T} \left( \frac{3 \times 10^{14}}{T} + 3.5 \times 10^{4}T^{2.26} \right)$$

(A-6)

The choice \( v = 2 \) provides a typical value for \( k_{cd} \).

2. HARD-SPHERE COLLISION RATE

Let \( k_{gk} \) denote the number of collisions per unit time that a particle of species A undergoes with the particles of species B. The quantity \( (k_{gk})^{-1} \) is the characteristic time between collisions. For a hard sphere model,

$$\frac{k_{gk}}{p_B} = \frac{8.40 \times 10^{25}}{\phi} \left( \frac{\sigma_A + \sigma_B}{T^{1/2}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{M_A} + \frac{1}{M_B} \right)^{1/2} (\text{sec}^{-1} \text{ atm}^{-1})$$

(A-7)

where \( k_{gk} \) is termed the "gas kinetic" collision rate. Here, \( \phi = 1 \) and 2 are for \( A = B \) and \( A \neq B \), respectively, and \( \sigma \) is the particle diameter in
centimeters. The gas kinetic rate for collisions between an HF molecule and other HF molecules is (note that $\sigma_{HF} = 2.7 \times 10^{-9}$ cm, and $\phi = 1$)

$$\frac{k_{gk}}{p_{HF}} = 4.47 \times 10^9 \left( \frac{300}{T} \right)^{1/2} \text{ (sec}^{-1} \text{ atm}^{-1})$$  \hspace{1cm} (A-8)

Collisions of HF with other species are not considered herein. It can be assumed that the number density distribution function $n(\nu)$ is randomized after each collision between HF and a particle of similar or greater mass. Hence, assume $k_{cr} = k_{gk}$. Corresponding values of $R$, for an HF laser, are given in Table A-2. It is seen that $10 < R < 200$ for $100 < T < 1000$. Despite the supersonic expansion in cw chemical lasers, the temperature in the lasing region tends to be of order $500$ K because of reaction heating and nozzle wall boundary layer effects. Thus, typically, $R = O(100)$.

3. STIMULATED EMISSION COEFFICIENTS

The gain for a P-branch transition ($\nu + 1, J - 1 \rightarrow \nu, J$) can be written for the case of rotational equilibrium,

$$g_{\nu, J} = \sigma_{\nu, J} \left( \frac{n_{\nu+1}}{n_{\nu}} - e^{-2JRT/T} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A-9)

An approximate expression for the line center value of $\sigma_{\nu, J}$ for an HF laser is

$$\sigma_{\nu, J} \approx 4.26 \times 10^{11} \frac{(1 + \nu - 0.01\nu^3)(1 + 0.063J)}{T^{3/2} \exp[J(J - 1)RT/T]} \left( \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{mol}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A-10)
Table A-2. Cross Relaxation Rate $R = k_{eff} \cdot k_{c}$ for HF Based on Eqs. (A-7) and (A-5) with $\gamma = 2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$, K</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>400</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>600</th>
<th>700</th>
<th>800</th>
<th>900</th>
<th>1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>110.3</td>
<td>137.4</td>
<td>160.8</td>
<td>178.8</td>
<td>190.8</td>
<td>196.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where $T_R = 30.16$ K. Comparison with the present development indicates

$$
\sigma_o \tilde{P}_o \Delta \nu_h = \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \sigma_{v, J}
$$

(A-11)

As previously noted, $\sigma_o \Delta \nu_h$ is independent of pressure.
APPENDIX B

MATHEMATICAL EXPRESSIONS

The mathematical expressions given here have been used in the present study. Integrals involving the Lorentzian line shape have the form

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(v' - v) \frac{dv}{\Delta v_h} = \frac{\pi}{2} \]

(B-1a)

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\mathcal{L}(v' - v)]^2 \frac{dv}{\Delta v_h} = \frac{\pi}{4} \]

(B-1b)

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(v' - v)\mathcal{L}(v'' - v) \frac{dv}{\Delta v_h} = \frac{\pi/4}{1 + [(v'' - v')^2/(\Delta v_h)^2]} \]

(B-1c)

\[ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(v) \mathcal{L}(v_j - v) dv/\Delta v_h}{1 + [2I_j/(1 + R)] \mathcal{L}(v_j - v)} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\varphi_j} \left\{ e^{-X^2} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} Y \left[ 1 - 2XD(X) \right] + O(Y^2) \right\} \]

(B-1d)

\[ \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v_j - v}{\Delta v_h} \frac{p(v) \mathcal{L}(v_j - v) dv/\Delta v_h}{1 + [2I_j/(1 + R)] \mathcal{L}(v_j - v)} \]

\[ = \sqrt{\pi} D(X) - 2XYe^{-X^2} + O(Y^2) \]

(B-1e)
where

$\phi_j = \left(1 + \frac{2I_1}{1 + R}\right)^{1/2}$

$X = 2\sqrt{\ln 2} \left(\nu_j - \nu_o\right)/\Delta \nu_d$

$Y = \sqrt{\ln 2} \left(\Delta \nu_h / \Delta \nu_d\right) \phi_j$

and $D(X)$ is the Dawson integral which has the following properties:

$$D(z) = e^{-z^2} \int_0^z e^{z^2} dz_0$$

$$= z \left[1 - \frac{2}{3} z^2 + \frac{4}{15} z^4 + \cdots\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2z} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2z^2} + \frac{3}{4z^4} + \cdots\right]$$

$$\frac{1}{A} \frac{dD[(A\zeta)^{1/2}]}{d\zeta} = \frac{1}{2(A\zeta)^{1/2}} - D[(A\zeta)^{1/2}]$$

$$A \int_0^\zeta D[(A\zeta_o)^{1/2}] d\zeta_o = (A\zeta)^{1/2} - D[(A\zeta)^{1/2}]$$
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NOMENCLATURE

A, B, C  coefficients, Eq. (34)
c  speed of light in vacuum
D( )  Dawson integral, Appendix B
FWHM  full width at half maximum
Gj, Gc  normalized gain, Eq. (20)
g(vj), gj  gain per unit length at frequency vj, Eq. (7a)
I±(vj), I±j  local lasing intensity in ±y direction
I  net local lasing intensity, Σ I±j
Is  saturation intensity, [(1 + R)/2] εkcd/σo
Ij+(vj), Ij  normalized local intensity, Eq. (20)
j  longitudinal mode number
jf  final value of j
k  characteristic rate, sec⁻¹
kcd  characteristic collisional deactivation rate, sec⁻¹
kcr  characteristic cross relaxation rate, sec⁻¹
L  separation between mirrors
L(vj - v), Lj  Lorentzian distribution, Eqs. (2b) and (20d)
m  one half and one for laminar and turbulent diffusion, respectively
N1, N2, NT, N2,ν  normalized number density per unit volume
ΔN  N2 - N1
n(v)  particles (moles) per unit volume in interval v to v + dv
\( n_r \)
characteristic number density, \([F]\)_{\infty}

\( n_T \)
\( n_1 + n_2 \)

\( n_{2}, n_{1} \)
net particles (moles) per unit volume in upper and lower lasing levels

\( \bar{P}(\zeta) \)
net output power per unit nozzle height up to station \( \zeta \)

\( \bar{P}_{e} \)
net output power per unit nozzle height

\( P_{e} \)
normalized net output power, Eq. (29b)

\( p(v), p_{0} \)
Maxwellian distribution function, Eq. (5)

\( p(v_{j}), p_{j} \)
normalized Maxwellian distribution function, Eq. (5)

\( p \)
static pressure in lasing region

\( R \)
\( k_{cr}/k_{cd} \)

\( R_{m} \)
mirror reflectivity

\( T \)
static temperature, °K

\( u \)
flow velocity in +x direction

\( v_{y} \)
random particle velocity in +y direction

\( x, y \)
streamwise and transverse directions, respectively

\( x_{D} \)
characteristic diffusion distance, Eq. (17)

\( y_{f}(x) \)
flame sheet shape, Eq. (17)

\( \varepsilon \)
energy per mole of photons

\( \zeta \)
normalized streamwise distance, \( k_{cd}x/u \)

\( \zeta_{D} \)
normalized diffusion distance, \( k_{cd}x_{D}/u \)

\( \eta(v) \)
index of refraction, Eq. (7)

\( \lambda \)
wavelength

\( \nu \)
frequency, sec^{-1}
\( \nu_j \) lasing frequency, Eqs. (11) and (12)

\( \Delta \nu_c \) longitudinal mode separation, \( c/2L \)

\( \Delta \nu_d \) Doppler width (FWHM)

\( \Delta \nu_h \) homogeneous width (FWHM)

\( \Delta \nu_s \) separation between adjacent modes, Eq. (14b)

\( \sigma \) cross section for stimulated emission, Eq. (1)

**SUBSCRIPTS**

0 value at \( \nu_0 \)

1 lower lasing level

2 upper lasing level

\( \infty \) value upstream of flame sheet

\( c \) optical cavity value

\( e \) value at end of lasing region

i value at start of lasing or species

j value corresponding to \( \nu_j \)
LABORATORY OPERATIONS

The Laboratory Operations of The Aerospace Corporation is conducting experimental and theoretical investigations necessary for the evaluation and application of scientific advances to new military concepts and systems. Versatility and flexibility have been developed to a high degree by the laboratory personnel in dealing with the many problems encountered in the nation’s rapidly developing space and missile systems. Expertise in the latest scientific developments is vital to the accomplishment of tasks related to these problems. The laboratories that contribute to this research are:

**Aerophysics Laboratory:** Launch and reentry aerodynamics, heat transfer, reentry physics, chemical kinetics, structural mechanics, flight dynamics, atmospheric pollution, and high-power gas lasers.

**Chemistry and Physics Laboratory:** Atmospheric reactions and atmospheric optics, chemical reactions in polluted atmospheres, chemical reactions of excited species in rocket plumes, chemical thermodynamics, plasma and laser-induced reactions, laser chemistry, propulsion chemistry, space vacuum and radiation effects on materials, lubrication and surface phenomena, photosensitive materials and sensors, high precision laser ranging, and the application of physics and chemistry to problems of law enforcement and biomedicine.

**Electronics Research Laboratory:** Electromagnetic theory, devices, and propagation phenomena, including plasma electromagnetics, quantum electronics, lasers, and electro-optics; communication sciences, applied electronics, semiconducting, superconducting, and crystal device physics, optical and acoustical imaging; atmospheric pollution; millimeter wave and far-infrared technology.

**Materials Sciences Laboratory:** Development of new materials; metal matrix composites and new forms of carbon; test and evaluation of graphite and ceramics in reentry; spacecraft materials and electronic components in nuclear weapons environment; application of fracture mechanics to stress corrosion and fatigue-induced fractures in structural metals.

**Space Sciences Laboratory:** Atmospheric and ionospheric physics, radiation from the atmosphere, density and composition of the atmosphere, aurorae and airglow; magnetospheric physics, cosmic rays, generation and propagation of plasma waves in the magnetosphere; solar physics, studies of solar magnetic fields; space astronomy, x-ray astronomy; the effects of nuclear explosions, magnetic storms, and solar activity on the earth's atmosphere, ionosphere, and magnetosphere; the effects of optical, electromagnetic, and particulate radiations in space on space systems.

THE AEROSPACE CORPORATION
El Segundo, California