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Spectral sensitization by metal-free phthalocyanine (H$_2$Pc) films was observed on various semiconductor electrodes (single crystal n-TiO$_2$, n-SrTiO$_3$, n-WO$_3$, n-ZnO, n-CdS, n-CdSe, n-Si, and n-GaP; SnO$_2$ conducting glass). The spectral response of the sensitized photocurrent was generally the same as the absorption spectrum of the phthalocyanine. The rather thick (400 Å to 1 μm) H$_2$Pc films showed both anodic and cathodic photocurrents depending upon the applied potential. The anodic photocurrents represented the usual...
sensitization of the n-type semiconductor, while the cathodic photocurrents were attributed to p-type behavior of the phthalocyanine itself. The current-potential curves of the semiconductor electrodes depended on the nature of the H$_2$Pc film, the presence of a redox couple (i.e., p-hydroquinone/p-benzoquinone) in solution and the wavelength of the irradiating light. The magnitude of the steady state sensitized photocurrent was linear with light intensity and was strongly affected by the addition of a supersensitizer.
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(ABSTRACT)

Spectral sensitization by metal-free phthalocyanine (H₂Pc) films was observed on various semiconductor electrodes (single crystal n-TiO₂, n-SrTiO₃, n-WO₃, n-ZnO, n-CdS, n-CdSe, n-Si, and n-GaP; SnO₂ conducting glass). The spectral response of the sensitized photocurrent was generally the same as the absorption spectrum of the phthalocyanine. The rather thick (400 Å to 1 μm) H₂Pc films showed both anodic and cathodic photocurrents depending upon the applied potential. The anodic photocurrents represented the usual sensitization of the n-type semiconductor, while the cathodic photocurrents were attributed to p-type behavior of the phthalocyanine itself. The current-potential curves of the semiconductor electrodes depended on the nature of the H₂Pc film, the presence of a redox couple (i.e., p-hydroquinone/p-benzoquinone) in solution and the wavelength of the irradiating light. The magnitude of the steady state sensitized photocurrent was linear with light intensity and was strongly affected by the addition of a supersensitizer.

(End of Abstract)
Introduction

Many studies have been conducted utilizing dyes to sensitize reactions at semiconductor and metal electrodes.\textsuperscript{1-5} Such sensitization is of interest, because it provides information about the nature of charge transfer between excited states and electrodes and, from a more practical standpoint, because it allows the utilization of longer wavelength light to promote photoprocesses at semiconductors. The problem with utilizing dye sensitization to increase the efficiency of semiconductor processes arises primarily from the relatively low absorbance of the monolayer films of dyes adsorbed on the surface. This results in a very low overall quantum efficiency in terms of incident (rather than absorbed) light. The use of concentrated solutions of dyes (>10\textsuperscript{-4} M) to maintain adsorbed monolayers can reduce the sensitized photocurrents, because the dye solution itself acts as a filter and thereby decreases the light intensity at the electrode surface. The use of thick insoluble dye films\textsuperscript{6} has generally not proven successful because of the high ohmic resistance of these films. Gerischer has, in fact, suggested that not much can be gained by using dye multilayers because of the ohmic resistance to electron transfer and an increased quenching probability.\textsuperscript{1} With the exception of sensitization by adsorbed dyes on sintered zinc oxide electrodes,\textsuperscript{7} the overall quantum efficiency for these processes is usually quite small. We report here spectral sensitization on semiconductor electrodes by thicker metal-free phthalocyanine (H\textsubscript{2}Pc) films (400 Å to 1 \textmu m thick).

Sensitization by phthalocyanines is of interest for a number of reasons. Research on these materials has been very active recently,\textsuperscript{8} and much is known about the redox behavior of these species in solution,\textsuperscript{9-10} the catalytic activity of phthalocyanine electrodes (e.g., in fuel cells)\textsuperscript{11-13} and their photoproperties.\textsuperscript{14-17} These compounds have many characteristics which suggest...
that they might be useful sensitizers for semiconductor electrodes: (1) They absorb light strongly in the visible region of the solar spectrum; (2) a wide variety of metal phthalocyanines have been prepared which allow a range of different compounds with different energy levels (i.e., redox potentials); (3) many phthalocyanines are readily available, very stable, and insoluble in water; (4) the redox behavior of these compounds has been studied extensively; (5) the phthalocyanines often exhibit semiconducting behavior.

The initial studies reported in this paper concern the utilization of films of $H_2Pc$ primarily on single crystal n-type semiconductors. The results are discussed according to a proposed mechanism of reactions of excited dye molecules on semiconductors$^1$ and the relative positions of the energy levels of the semiconductors, $H_2Pc$ and the redox couples in solution. The action spectra of the sensitized photocurrent and the current-potential (i-V) behavior are presented. The dependence of the sensitized photocurrent on light intensity and the concentration of a supersensitizer in solution is discussed. The stability of these systems both in the dark and under illumination and the p-type semiconductor properties of the $H_2Pc$ films are also described.

**Experimental**

**Materials.** The metal-free phthalocyanine was purchased from Eastman (Rochester, N.Y.) and purified by repeated sublimation. The single crystal semiconductors were obtained from several sources (CdSe and CdS, Cleveland Crystals; GaAs, GaP and Si, Monsanto; ZnO and SrTiO$_3$, Atomergic; TiO$_2$, Fuji Titanium; WO$_3$, Sandia). All of the semiconductors were n-type. Unless stated otherwise all other chemicals were reagent grade and the water had been triply distilled from an alkaline potassium permanganate solution. Ohmic contacts were made to the back of the semiconductors. An electrical contact
was made to this ohmic contact using silver conducting paint (Acme Chemicals, New Haven, Conn.). On the back and sides, five minute epoxy cement (Devcon Corp., Danvers, Mass.) was used to cover the electrode and mount the crystal to a glass tube.

The films were prepared by sublimation in a vacuum deposition apparatus (Vacuum Engineering Co., North Billerica, Mass.). The $\text{H}_2\text{Pc}$ was placed in a porcelain crucible. The $\text{H}_2\text{Pc}$ was heated under vacuum ($10^{-5}$ to $10^{-6}$ torr) by means of a tungsten wire (0.060 inch in diameter). A current of 20 A, which corresponds to a crucible temperature of 400-450 °C, was passed through the wire. The electrode substrate was about 25 cm above the crucible. The film thicknesses of the sublimed $\text{H}_2\text{Pc}$ were determined by spectrophotometric methods, while that of thick films (>5000 Å) were based upon the weight and density of $\text{H}_2\text{Pc}$ (1.5 g cm$^{-3}$)\textsuperscript{8b}. The absorbance at 5750 Å was measured for a 5000 Å film and from the known thicknesses an absorption coefficient of $4\times10^4$ cm$^{-1}$ was found. Other film thicknesses were estimated by comparing their absorbances with that of the 5000 Å film. From the absorption spectrum the deposited $\text{H}_2\text{Pc}$ is mainly in the $\alpha$ form.

Apparatus. The electrochemical cell utilized a three electrode system with a saturated calomel electrode (sce) reference and a platinum wire separated by a glass frit as the counter electrode. The cell had an optically flat Pyrex window on the side. Before all experiments the solutions were deaerated with prepurified nitrogen.

A PAR model 173 potentiostat and PAR model 175 universal programmer (Princeton Applied Research Corp., Princeton, N.J.) were used for the electrochemical experiments. The dc $i$-$V$ curves were recorded directly from the output of the potentiostat on to a Houston Instruments (Austin, Texas) Model 2000 X-Y Recorder. The action spectra and the sensitized $i$-$V$ behavior were
recorded using chopped light and a lock-in amplifier. Two different systems were used in these experiments. One system utilized a 2500 W xenon lamp and power supply (Schoffel Instr. Co., Westwood, N.J.), a monochromator (Jarrell-Ash, Waltham, Mass.), a PAR Model 192 variable frequency chopper, a PAR model 5204 lock-in amplifier and a Bascom-Turner 8110 recorder (Newton, Mass.). The other system used a 450 W xenon lamp and power supply (Oriel Corp., Stamford, Conn.), an Oriel Monochromator and a PAR HR8 lock-in amplifier. The light source used in the intensity and concentration studies was a Spectra Physics Model 132 He-Ne laser. All photocurrent-action spectra have been normalized against the power output of the lamp-monochromator.

Results and Discussion

Energy Levels and Mechanism for Photosensitized Currents. The relative energy levels for the n-type semiconductors studied along with \( \text{H}_2\text{Pc} \) and several redox couples are given in Fig. 1. The Fermi level at the flat-band potential \((V_{fb})\) for the semiconductors lies just below their respective conduction band (CB) edges. For bulk \( \text{H}_2\text{Pc} \) the Fermi level is found slightly closer to the valence band (VB) \((V_F = 0.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ V}, V_{CB} = -1.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ V}, \) and \( V_{VB} = +0.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ V vs. nhe})^{17} \) The location of the energy levels of the \( \text{H}_2\text{Pc} \) are relatively insensitive to pH\(^{19} \) while those for most of the semiconductors shift with pH.\(^{18,20} \) If not mentioned otherwise, all solutions were buffered at pH 7 (0.25 M phosphate buffer).

Both anodic and cathodic photocurrents attributable to light absorption by the \( \text{H}_2\text{Pc} \) were observed with a given semiconductor, depending upon the applied potential. The observed anodic sensitized current depended upon the relative position of the energy levels of the semiconductor and \( \text{H}_2\text{Pc} \). For example, no anodic sensitization was observed for the n-GaP-\( \text{H}_2\text{Pc} \) electrode, because, as
shown in Fig. 1, the conduction band of GaP (at pH 7) lies above that of H₂Pc. On the other hand, for the WO₃-H₂Pc electrode, an anodic sensitized current was observed in the presence of hydroquinone (HQ) beginning at a potential of ~ -0.1 V vs. sce and reaching a limiting value at more positive potentials. A cathodic photocurrent was found at more negative potentials with benzoquinone (BQ) (Fig. 2). In this case, the respective Fermi levels of n-WO₃ and H₂Pc are quite close with the conduction band edge of H₂Pc lying above that of the WO₃.

To help explain the experimental results, we first describe the proposed model for these photosensitized processes, as shown schematically in Fig. 3. Because, for most of the cases discussed here, the H₂Pc films were thin (~400 Å) and the carrier levels within these films was probably rather low, the space charge region at the H₂Pc/semiconductor interface extends to the H₂Pc/solution interface. Thus a simplified parallelogram-shaped energy level diagram (rather than a single Schottky barrier at the H₂Pc/semiconductor interface) was assumed for the H₂Pc phase. Such a constant field approximation has been used for even thicker films in solid state photovoltaic cells with H₂Pc. When a sufficient positive potential is applied and the electrode is illuminated (with light of energy greater than the band gap energy (E₉) of the H₂Pc but lower than the E₉ for the semiconductor) an anodic current is observed (Fig. 3B). The electron-hole pair formed in the H₂Pc film separates under the applied electric field and the electron migrates to the semiconductor-H₂Pc interface while the hole moves to the H₂Pc solution interface. An intermediate level has been proposed for phthalo-cyanine and such a level may be involved both in mediating electron transfer to the conduction band of the semiconductor and hole transfer to a redox couple in solution, as shown in Fig. 3B. When a negative potential is applied under illumination, causing band structure shown in Fig. 3C to exist, a cathodic photosensitized
current is observed. In this case, holes created within the $H_2Pc$ migrate toward the substrate semiconductor while the electrons move to the solution interface. With a negative bias the applied potential causes the bands in the semiconductor to bend downward producing degeneracy at the surface of the n-type semiconductor. Its behavior approaches that of a metal and the observed photoeffects are due solely to the $H_2Pc$. The photogenerated holes in the $H_2Pc$ can recombine with the electrons from the semiconductor either through the intermediate level or via the valence band of $H_2Pc$. Cathodic photocurrents on phthalocyanine-metal electrodes have been reported previously.\(^\text{15}\) The redox couple (HQ/BQ) acts as a supersensitizer.\(^\text{1}\)

**Photocurrent-wavelength Response: Oxide Semiconductors.** The anodic and cathodic photocurrent action spectra for the oxide semiconductor-$H_2Pc$ electrodes are shown in Fig. 4. All curves represent irradiation with modulated light (100 Hz) and phase sensitive detection. In general, at applied potentials positive of the $V_{fb}$ of the semiconductor, the photosensitized currents were anodic, while at potentials negative of $V_{fb}$, the photosensitized currents were cathodic. For example for $H_2Pc$ on SnO$_2$ conducting glass (Fig. 4A), the SnO$_2$ glass acts only as a contact and the cathodic photoeffect is due solely to the $H_2Pc$. The photocurrent action spectrum (a) is very similar to the absorption spectrum of $H_2Pc$ on glass (b). For the SnO$_2$-$H_2Pc$ electrode, as the applied potential was made more positive, the intensity of the cathodic photocurrent decreased. As shown in Fig. 3, at more positive potentials a larger barrier for electron transfer from the SnO$_2$ to the $H_2Pc$ would be present. Generally, as shown in Fig. 4, both the anodic and cathodic photosensitized currents with the other wide band gap oxide semiconductor-$H_2Pc$ electrodes were all close to the absorption spectrum of $H_2Pc$. For the TiO$_2$ and SrTiO$_3$-$H_2Pc$ electrodes, the magnitude of the photosensitized current was potential dependent. The cathodic photocurrent decreased as the applied potential was made more positive. Moreover at a given potential just positive of the $V_{fb}$ of TiO$_2$ (or SrTiO$_3$), a cathodic
photosensitized current was observed at longer wavelengths ($\lambda > 500$ nm) and an anodic photocurrent due to the band gap excitation of the TiO$_2$ (or SrTiO$_3$) was found at shorter wavelengths ($\lambda < 450$ nm). Thus with the TiO$_2$ and SrTiO$_3$-H$_2$Pc electrodes there is a small potential region near $V_{fb}$ where the energetics are favorable for electron transfers either to or from the H$_2$Pc.

**Current-potential Behavior: Oxide Semiconductors.** Generally, all of the oxide semiconductor-H$_2$Pc electrodes showed similar i-V behavior (see Figs. 2 and 5). For example, in Fig. 5 i-V curves for TiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$-H$_2$Pc electrodes for a 10 mM HQ solution under illumination with chopped light are compared. The light was chopped to show the difference between the dark and the photocurrent. The photoresponse of the TiO$_2$-H$_2$Pc electrode under irradiation with light of energy less than the $E_g$ for TiO$_2$ (i.e., with a yellow filter, Oriel G 772-4750, 50% transmittance, T, at 500 nm and <1% T at 465 nm) is shown in Fig. 5d. At negative potentials a cathodic photocurrent is observed due to the H$_2$Pc. At positive potentials, only a small photoanodic current attributable to H$_2$Pc sensitization is found. Moreover there is a potential region of about 0.2 volts (-0.225 V to -0.425 V vs. sce) where anodic and cathodic photocurrents under while light illumination are possible (depending on whether HQ or BQ is present in the solution), an anodic photocurrent due to the TiO$_2$ absorption and a cathodic photocurrent from the H$_2$Pc.

As shown in Fig. 5c, for the TiO$_2$-H$_2$Pc electrode in the presence of HQ an anodic spike is observed at potentials positive of $V_{fb}$ when the electrode is illuminated; such a spike is not found on an uncoated TiO$_2$ electrode in the presence of HQ (Fig. 5b). This anodic spike can be attributed to photooxidation of H$_2$Pc at the TiO$_2$-H$_2$Pc interface by holes photogenerated in the TiO$_2$. This oxidized H$_2$Pc is reduced by the solution HQ, but this rate may be sluggish because of the poor mobility of the charge carriers in H$_2$Pc.$^{24b}$ When the light is turned off, a cathodic dark current spike is observed at potentials between about 0.0 V and -0.325 V vs. sce. The dark
cathodic current spike might involve the back electron transfer from TiO₂ to
the photooxidized H₂Pc and the reduction of BQ to HQ generated during the illumina-
tion period. Note that reduction of BQ to HQ is possible at potentials negative
of 0 V vs. sce, as shown by the i-V curve at Pt (Fig. 5a). Such dark reduction
processes do not occur at the uncoated TiO₂ electrode following photooxidation
(Fig. 5b). This suggests that the H₂Pc on the electrode surface provides sur-
face states or an intermediate level for this back electron transfer. Such
behavior has been observed for other semiconductor electrodes.\textsuperscript{23}

The i-V curves for the WO₃-H₂Pc electrode during illumination with light
of less energy than \( E_g \) of WO₃ (i.e., \( \lambda > 590 \text{ nm} \)) at potentials negative of
\( V_{fb} \) show that the cathodic photocurrent is strongly affected by the presence
of benzoquinone (Fig. 2C). In the case of the anodic sensitized photocurrent
(Fig. 2B), the presence of hydroquinone (HQ) affects the photocurrent-potential
behavior. Without HQ during a potential sweep, the anodic sensitized photo-
current reaches a maximum at about +0.05 V vs. sce and then decreases probably
because the H₂Pc itself is oxidized. In the presence of HQ the sensitized
photocurrent reaches a limiting value which is related to the concentration
of HQ and its diffusion to the electrode surface.

Dependence of Sensitized Photocurrent to Light Intensity. The dependence
of the sensitized photocurrent for the oxidation of HQ on light intensity for
the TiO₂-H₂Pc (a) and WO₃-H₂Pc (b,c) electrodes is shown in Fig. 6. In both
cases the photocurrent depends linearly on light intensity.\textsuperscript{24a} These experiments
were conducted with a He-Ne laser (1.7 mW, 632.8 nm) and neutral density filters
to vary the light intensity. Based upon the maximum photocurrent (\( i_p \)) for a
WO₃-H₂Pc (400 Å) electrode, the incident quantum efficiency, \( \phi \), was calculated
for both the anodic and cathodic sensitization, by dividing the current flow
by the incident light flux. The monochromatic quantum efficiency in terms of
incident radiation was \( \phi_a = 7.61 \times 10^{-5} \) for the anodic photocurrent (\( i_p = 66 \text{ nA} \))
and \( \phi_c = 4.03 \times 10^{-4} \) for the cathodic photocurrent (\( i_p = 350 \text{ nA} \)). The efficiencies
in terms of absorbed photons, $\Phi'_a$ and $\Phi'_c$ are about $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ and $8.48 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively. The values for $\Phi'_a$ and $\Phi'_c$ are based upon an absorbance of $7 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-1}$ for the 400 Å thick H$_2$Pc film. These low efficiencies indicate that considerable recombination must be occurring.

**Dependence of Sensitized Photocurrent to Concentration of HQ/BQ.** The steady state H$_2$Pc-sensitized photocurrent depended upon the addition of a suitable supersensitizer (Fig. 7). Thus for WO$_3$ at positive potentials, the addition of a small amount of HQ sharply increased the anodic photocurrent (curve a). A similar dependency was observed with TiO$_2$ (curve c). After this sharp increase at low concentrations, the current tended to level off, suggesting that internal electron-hole pair recombination, rather than the oxidation of the H$_2$Q was governing the current. A similar effect was observed for the cathodic photosensitized current at WO$_3$ (curve b). The sensitized photocurrents of the oxide semiconductors remained constant under extended irradiation. For example the photocurrents at WO$_3$-H$_2$Pc remained constant for at least three hours in the presence of HQ or BQ (Fig. 8). Note that in this experiment the cathodic photocurrent was over 100 times larger than the anodic one. Similar stability was also found for H$_2$Pc films on TiO$_2$ and SnO$_2$.

**H$_2$Pc Films on Small Band-gap Semiconductors.** The behavior of semiconductors with $E_g$-values smaller than that of H$_2$Pc was also investigated. The primary motivation for these studies was the possibility that films of H$_2$Pc might stabilize the semiconductors from anodic photodissolution; previous attempts at such stabilization of semiconductors with metal$^{25,26}$ or TiO$_2$ $^{27,28}$ films have been reported. Photosensitized cathodic currents attributable to H$_2$Pc could be observed, e.g. with n-Si (Fig. 9c); in this case the semiconductor again behaved as a contact to the H$_2$Pc film. The observed action spectra were somewhat broader than that predicted by the absorption spectrum of H$_2$Pc. For n-GaAs (Fig. 9a) anodic photosensitization would not be expected, since it absorbs light of longer wavelength than H$_2$Pc. In this case the H$_2$Pc layer merely
acted as a filter so that the observed anodic photoresponse was smaller with the \( \text{H}_2\text{Pc} \) film than in its absence.

We found no long term stabilization of the semiconductors CdS, CdSe, and GaP from photocorrosion by coating with even rather thick (1 to 1.5 \( \mu \text{m} \)) \( \text{H}_2\text{Pc} \) films under conditions where the bare electrodes corrode. The evidence for such instability of coated electrodes was the decreased photocurrents under intense band gap illumination (>100 mW/cm\(^2\)) for extended periods (longer than 4 hours) and the production of photocorrosion products on the electrode surface. We suggest, in line with previous studies involving coatings with \( \text{TiO}_2 \),\(^{27,28} \) that the films have small holes or cracks which eventually allow decomposition reactions of the substrate semiconductor. Additional evidence for incomplete coverage by the \( \text{H}_2\text{Pc} \) film is the observed shift in the potential for photosensitized anodic current onset with pH over a pH range of 1 to 9 (\( \sim 63 \pm 5 \text{ mV/pH unit} \)) for \( \text{H}_2\text{Pc} \) coated \( \text{WO}_3 \), \( \text{SrTiO}_3 \), and \( \text{TiO}_2 \) electrodes. Such a shift would appear unlikely for \( \text{H}_2\text{Pc} \) itself and signals some kind of involvement of the substrate material even for the photosensitized process.
Conclusions

The photosensitization of semiconductor electrodes by metal-free phthalocyanine films has been clearly shown. The action spectrum of the sensitized photocurrent of semiconductor-H$_2$Pc electrodes where both anodic and cathodic photocurrents are observed, generally compares well with the absorption spectrum of H$_2$Pc. The sign of the photocurrent depends upon the applied potential. At potentials very positive of $V_{fb}$ the effect is anodic and at very negative potentials it is cathodic. This effect is similar to that found by Honda, et al. for films of metal phthalocyanines on semiconductors. The i-V curves show that the presence of the H$_2$Pc, and also of hydroquinone, markedly affect the behavior of an illuminated semiconductor electrode. The observation of dark cathodic peaks suggests the presence of some intermediate level within the band gap of the semiconductor-H$_2$Pc electrode. Generally, the dependence of the sensitized photocurrent on light intensity and concentration of the supersensitizer follows the behavior previously shown for dye sensitization on semiconductor electrodes. Although the quantum efficiencies for the observed photosensitized processes were small and we were unsuccessful in stabilizing small band gap semiconductors with such films, for the reasons listed in the introduction, phthalocyanines remain of interest for sensitizing semiconductor photoprocesses. Work is continuing in this laboratory utilizing metal phthalocyanines with other p- and n-type semiconductor electrodes.
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Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Relative energy levels of semiconductors, $^18_{H_2Pc}$ and redox couples; at pH 7, vs. nhe.

Fig. 2 (A) Current-potential curves with a 0.5 M Na$_2$SO$_4$, 0.25 M phosphate buffer, illuminated with light ($\lambda > 590$ nm). (a) WO$_3$ electrode w/o BQ; (b) WO$_3$-H$_2$Pc electrode w/o BQ; (c) WO$_3$-H$_2$Pc electrode w/8 mM BQ. Initial potential at zero-photocurrent potential.

(B) Current-potential behavior in a 0.5 M Na$_2$SO$_4$, 0.25 M phosphate buffer, illuminated with light ($\lambda > 590$ nm). (a) WO$_3$ electrode w/o HQ; (b) WO$_3$-H$_2$Pc electrode w/o HQ; (c) WO$_3$-H$_2$Pc electrode w/10 mM HQ. Initial potential at zero-photocurrent potential.

Fig. 3 Representation of photosensitized electron transfer. (A) Zero bias. (B) At positive applied potentials. (C) At negative applied potentials. $E_c$, $E_f$ and $E_v$ are the conduction band edge, the Fermi energy, and the valence band edge of semiconductors; $E'_c$ and $E'_v$ are the corresponding energies for H$_2$Pc.

Fig. 4 (A) (a) Photocurrent action spectrum for a SnO$_2$-H$_2$Pc electrode in 1 M KCl, 10 mM HQ, $V = -0.6$ V vs. sce; (b) absorption spectrum of H$_2$Pc on glass.

(B) Photocurrent action spectrum for a WO$_3$-H$_2$Pc electrode in 0.5 M Na$_2$SO$_4$ and 0.25 M phosphate buffer (pH = 6.9). (a) anodic sensitization, $V = +0.8$ V vs. sce, w/50 mM HQ; (b) background photocurrent of a WO$_3$ electrode; (c) cathodic sensitization, $V = -0.7$ vs. sce, w/8 mM BQ.

(C) Photocurrent action spectrum for a TiO$_2$-H$_2$Pc electrode in 1 M KCl. (a) anodic sensitization, $V = +0.4$ V vs. sce, w/10 mM HQ; (b) anodic sensitization, $V = +0.2$ V vs. sce, w/10 mM HQ; (c) cathodic sensitization, $V = -1.0$ V vs. sce; (d) cathodic sensitization, $V = -0.4$ V vs. sce.
Fig. 4 (cont'd)  
(D) Photocurrent action spectrum for a SrTiO₃-H₂Pc electrode in 1 M KCl. (a) anodic sensitization, V = -0.4 V vs. sce, w/10 mM HQ; (b) background photocurrent of a SrTiO₃ electrode, V = -0.4 V vs. sce; (c) cathodic sensitization, V = -0.6 V vs. sce.

Fig. 5  
Current-potential curves with a HCl, NaCl, 10 mM HQ (pH 3.7) solution.  
(a) cyclic voltammogram of HQ/BQ on a Pt disk electrode; (b) TiO₂ electrode illuminated with chopped white light; (c) TiO₂-H₂Pc electrode illuminated with chopped white light; (d) TiO₂-H₂Pc electrode illuminated with chopped light (λ > 465 nm).

Fig. 6  
Dependence of sensitized photocurrent on light intensity, 1.7 mW He-Ne laser (632.8 nm).  
(a) TiO₂-H₂Pc electrode in 1 M KCl w/10 mM HQ, V = +0.4 V vs. sce; (b) WO₃-H₂Pc electrode in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄, 0.25 M phosphate buffer (pH = 7), w/50 mM HQ, V = +0.8 V vs. sce; (c) WO₃-H₂Pc electrode in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄, 0.25 M phosphate buffer (pH = 7), w/8 mM BQ, V = -0.7 V vs. sce.

Fig. 7  
Dependence of sensitized photocurrent on concentration of HQ/BQ.  
(a) WO₃-H₂Pc electrode in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ and 0.25 M phosphate buffer (pH = 7), w/HQ, V = +0.8 V vs. sce; (b) WO₃-H₂Pc electrode in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ and 0.25 M phosphate buffer (pH = 7), w/BQ, V = -0.7 V vs. sce; (c) TiO₂-H₂Pc electrode in 1 M KCl w/HQ, V = +0.4 V vs. sce.

Fig. 8  
Stability of sensitized photocurrent in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄, 0.25 M phosphate buffer (pH = 7), light (λ > 590 nm), WO₃-H₂Pc electrode.  
(a) cathodic sensitized photocurrent, w/8 mM BQ, V = -0.7 V vs. sce; (b) anodic sensitized photocurrent, w/50 mM HQ, V = +0.8 V vs. sce.

Fig. 9  
Action spectra for (a) anodic photocurrent at n-GaAs-H₂Pc, 1 M KCl, 10 mM HQ, V = -0.6 V vs. sce; (b) cathodic photocurrent at n-ZnO-H₂Pc, 1 M KCl, 10 mM BA, V = -1.0 V vs. sce; (c) as (b) for n-Si-H₂Pc, V = -1.0 V vs. sce.
Potential, $V$ vs. SCE

Current anodic cathodic

$1 \mu A$

$50 \text{ nA}$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office Name</th>
<th>No. Copies</th>
<th>Copies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office of Naval Research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 North Quincy Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington, Virginia 22217</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Code 472</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONR Branch Office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>536 S. Clark Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago, Illinois 60605</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Dr. George Sandoz</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONR Branch Office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>715 Broadway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York, New York 10003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Scientific Dept.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONR Branch Office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030 East Green Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasadena, California 91106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONR Area Office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Hallidie Plaza, Suite 601</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, California 94102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Dr. P. A. Miller</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONR Branch Office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 114, Section D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>666 Summer Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston, Massachusetts 02210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director, Naval Research Laboratory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, D.C. 20390</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Code 6100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (R,E65)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of the Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room 4E736, Pentagon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, D.C. 20350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commander, Naval Air Systems Command</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of the Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, D.C. 20360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Documentation Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 5, Cameron Station</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria, Virginia 22314</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Research Office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O. Box 1211</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: CRD-AA-IP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Ocean Systems Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego, California 92152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Weapons Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China Lake, California 93555</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Dr. A. B. Amater Chemistry Division</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Hueneme, California 93401</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor K. E. Woehler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Physics &amp; Chemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Postgraduate School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey, California 93940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Dr. A. L. Slafkosky</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, D.C. 20380</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Naval Research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 N. Quincy Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington, Virginia 22217</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Ship Research and Development Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annapolis, Maryland 21401</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Ocean Systems Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego, California 91232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Copies</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Dr. Paul Delahay  
New York University  
Department of Chemistry  
New York, New York 10003 | 1 | Library  
P. R. Mallory and Company, Inc.  
Northwest Industrial Park  
Burlington, Massachusetts 01803 | 1 |
| Dr. R. A. Osteryoung  
Colorado State University  
Department of Chemistry  
Fort Collins, Colorado 80521 | 1 | Dr. P. J. Hendra  
University of Southampton  
Department of Chemistry  
Southampton SO9 5NH  
United Kingdom | 1 |
| Dr. E. Yeager  
Case Western Reserve University  
Department of Chemistry  
Cleveland, Ohio 41106 | 1 | Dr. Sam Perone  
Purdue University  
Department of Chemistry  
West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 | 1 |
| Dr. D. N. Bennion  
University of California  
Chemical Engineering Department  
Los Angeles, California 90024 | 1 | Dr. Royce W. Murray  
University of North Carolina  
Department of Chemistry  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 | 1 |
| Dr. R. A. Marcus  
California Institute of Technology  
Department of Chemistry  
Pasadena, California 91125 | 1 | Naval Ocean Systems Center  
San Diego, California 92152  
Attn: Technical Library | 1 |
| Dr. J. J. Auborn  
Bell Laboratories  
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974 | 1 | Dr. J. H. Ambrus  
The Electrochemistry Branch  
Materials Division, Research & Technology Department  
Naval Surface Weapons Center  
White Oak Laboratory  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 | 1 |
| Dr. Adam Heller  
Bell Telephone Laboratories  
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974 | 1 | Dr. G. Goodman  
Globe-Union Incorporated  
5757 North Green Bay Avenue  
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201 | 1 |
| Dr. T. Katan  
Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., Inc.  
P.O. Box 504  
Sunnyvale, California 94088 | 1 | Dr. J. Boechler  
Electrochimica Corporation  
Attention: Technical Library  
2485 Charleston Road  
Mountain View, California 94040 | 1 |
| Dr. Joseph Singer, Code 302-1  
NASA-Lewis  
21000 Brookpark Road  
Cleveland, Ohio 44135 | 1 | Dr. P. P. Schmidt  
Oakland University  
Department of Chemistry  
Rochester, Michigan 48063 | 1 |
| Dr. B. Brummer  
EIC Incorporated  
Five Lee Street  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 | 1 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Copies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. H. Richtol</td>
<td>Chemistry Department, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York 12181</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. A. B. Ellis</td>
<td>Chemistry Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. M. Wrighton</td>
<td>Chemistry Department, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry E. Plew</td>
<td>Naval Weapons Support Center, Code 3073, Building 2906, Crane, Indiana 47522</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Ruby</td>
<td>DOE (STOR), 600 E Street, Washington, D.C. 20545</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Aaron Wold</td>
<td>Brown University, Department of Chemistry, Providence, Rhode Island 02192</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. R. C. Chudacek</td>
<td>McCraw-Edison Company, Edison Battery Division, Post Office Box 28, Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. A. J. Bard</td>
<td>University of Texas, Department of Chemistry, Austin, Texas 78712</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. M. M. Nicholson</td>
<td>Electronics Research Center, Rockwell International, 3370 Miraloma Avenue, Anaheim, California 92803</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. M. G. Sceats</td>
<td>University of Rochester, Department of Chemistry, Rochester, New York 14627</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>