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NET-CHARACTERISTIC METHOD FOR THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF PROBLEMS OF GAS DYNAMICS

K. M. Magomedov

Various numerical methods of solving multidimensional problems for equations in partial derivatives have undergone intensive development and introduction in recent years. Different versions of the net method proposed and studied in the works of K. I. Babenko, V. V. Rusanov, N. N. Yanenko, S. K. Godunov, etc., the Dorodnitsyn-Belotserkovskiy method of integral relationships, the straight line method developed by C. F. Telenin et coworkers, and difference systems using the characteristic properties of equations [1-9] are being used successfully for solving specific problems of gas dynamics.
Numerical methods using characteristics have definite advantages over ordinary finite-difference methods: in particular, the consideration of the physical nature of the problem, the small variation in certain complexes from the unknown functions along the characteristics, and the possibility of predicting the time of the origination of discontinuities, e.g., "suspended" shocks. These advantages become especially clear when solving problems with two independent variables. However, the effectiveness of the characteristic method considerably decreases with the increase in the dimensionality of space, and virtually vanishes when there are more than three independent variables.

Thus, it becomes desirable to construct difference systems which retain the main advantages of the characteristic method, but have the convenience of the net method which results from the fixed net and the simplicity of the calculation formulae.

Obviously, the name "characteristic method" should only be used for numerical systems in which characteristic curves or surfaces are set up during the calculation process. Then numerical systems in which the characteristic relationships (compatibility conditions) are only used to derive the difference equations at fixed nodes can be considered to be a version of the net method. This is even more expedient because it is precisely for this type of system that the
A problem of stability arises, even when the Courant-Friedrichs-Levi (CFL) condition is satisfied, i.e., the range of the dependence for the differential equations lies inside the range of the dependence of the difference equations [10-12].

There is great flexibility in the selection of numerical methods based on characteristic relationships in multidimensional problems. Report [17] gives a rather detailed survey of the specific methods of this type which have been developed for gas-dynamic equations. But, obviously, it makes sense to have a sufficiently general and simple method of constructing explicit difference systems for hyperbolic multidimensional equations similar to the Courant, Isacson, Rees method [13] or the "running calculation" method (see [15]) for systems with two independent variables.

The main idea of the net-characteristic method proposed in [10] is that difference approximations of the compatibility conditions and linear or quadratic interpolation by the nodes of a preassigned fixed network are used for each elementary cell. On the other hand, the method can be considered to be the ordinary method of nets with a specific rule for the difference approximation of the initial equations. This is the viewpoint from which the net-characteristic method of constructing difference systems is considered below.
§1 gives the main concept of the net-characteristic method and some forms of compact notation of difference equations of the initial matrices using fundamental matrices. §2 investigates the local stability of the corresponding difference systems. §3 shows that the net-characteristic method can be used to construct divergent difference systems. First-order systems are the simplest, being monotonic and flexible.

A number of problems of gas dynamics have been solved by the net-characteristic method:

- supersonic steady flow about both convex and concave sharp and bluff bodies at an angle of attack;

- the direct problem of calculating flow in a nozzle;

- three-dimensional flow about segmented conical bodies, etc.

The last problems were solved by the determination method which, in the most interesting cases, means solving equations in partial derivatives with four independent variables. §4 gives some results of the calculations as an illustration.
§1. Net-Characteristic Method of Constructing Difference Systems for Quasilinear Hyperbolic Equations

First we will consider a system of first-order hyperbolic quasilinear equations in partial derivatives with two independent variables $t, x$

$$\mathbf{u}_t + A \mathbf{u}_x = \mathbf{f} \quad (1.1)$$

where the matrix $A$ and the vector column of the right sides $f$ can be functions of $t, x$ and vector function $\mathbf{u}$ of unknown variables with $N$ components.

Let $\mu_i$ and $\omega_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$) be proper real numbers and the independent latent vectors of transposed matrix $A^t$ corresponding to them. Multiplying (1.1) in scalar form by $\omega_i$ and considering the equation $A^t \omega_i = \mu_i \omega_i$, we will reduce the system to the characteristic (normal) form

$$\omega_i \mathbf{u}_t = \omega_i \mathbf{f} \quad (\mathbf{u}_t = \mathbf{u}_x - \mu_i \mathbf{u}_x, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N) \quad (1.2)$$

Suppose that we know solution (1.1) in layer $t = t_0 = \text{const.}$. We will
find the value of $U_{H} = U(H)$ at point $H$ of a close layer $t = t_0 + \tau$.

Approximating (1.2) by difference equations at $(0 < \nu < 1)$, we will have

$$\omega_i(u_i - u_j) = \tau[\nu\omega_i^n + (1-\nu)\omega_j^n] + O[(\nu-1)^3]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

Here the subscript $i$ designates the values of the functions at the points of contact of the characteristics drawn from point $H$ with line $t = t_0$. We will point out that it is necessary to compute $\omega_i$ from the mean parameters of points $H$ and $i$ in order to obtain the second-order approximation for quasilinear equations at $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ in (1.3).

Based on the linear independence of vectors $U_{H}$, system (1.3) has a single solution relative to $U_{H}$.

$$U_{H} = F(t, x, u(t_0, x), u_{H}, \nu) + O[(\nu-1)^3]$$

We will consider the fixed network $t = n\tau (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$, $x = m\lambda (m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$ and we will designate the values of the functions at the nodes of the network by $U_{m}^{n}$. If the relationship of the parameters with subscript $i$ to the known values of $U_{m}^{n}$ in layers $t = t_n = n\tau$ is indicated, we can find a difference system with a fixed network, or the net method. When using three points for linear or quadratic interpolation, we will have, respectively ($\nu = \tau/h$):

\begin{align*}
\omega_1(u_1 - u_0) &= \tau[\nu\omega_0^n + (1-\nu)\omega_1^n] + O[(\nu-1)^3] \\
\omega_2(u_2 - u_1) &= \tau[\nu\omega_1^n + (1-\nu)\omega_2^n] + O[(\nu-1)^3] \\
\omega_3(u_3 - u_2) &= \tau[\nu\omega_2^n + (1-\nu)\omega_3^n] + O[(\nu-1)^3]
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\omega_4(u_4 - u_3) &= \tau[\nu\omega_3^n + (1-\nu)\omega_4^n] + O[(\nu-1)^3] \\
\omega_5(u_5 - u_4) &= \tau[\nu\omega_4^n + (1-\nu)\omega_5^n] + O[(\nu-1)^3] \\
\omega_6(u_6 - u_5) &= \tau[\nu\omega_5^n + (1-\nu)\omega_6^n] + O[(\nu-1)^3]
\end{align*}
Relationships (1.3) and (1.4) completely define the difference system, but it is expedient to consider its compact form. We will introduce the following designations:

\[ \Delta_m u = \frac{1}{2} (u_{m+1}^n - u_{m-1}^n) \]
\[ \Delta'_m u = \frac{1}{2} (u_{m+2}^n - u_{m-2}^n) \]

\[ \tilde{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_n \end{pmatrix} \]

Obviously, matrix \( \Omega \), whose lines are the linearly independent vectors \( \omega_i \), is not confluent and has the inverse matrix \( \Omega^{-1} \). Writing (1.3) in matrix form and using the result of (1.4),

\[ \tilde{\Omega} u = \tilde{\Omega} u^* - \delta \Delta \Omega \Delta_m u \]

where \( \gamma = 1 \) (2) in the case of linear (quadratic) interpolation, \( \Lambda, \Lambda' \) are diagonal matrices with elements \( \{ \mu_{0}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n} \} \) and \( \{ \mu_{0}', \mu_{1}', \ldots, \mu_{n}' \} \), we can find...
The compatibility conditions (1.2) in a fixed system of nodes using interpolation formula (1.4) were used to derive difference systems (1.5). But the difference formulae can be written immediately if we know the fundamental matrix \( \Omega \) for \( A \), i.e., the matrix which reduces \( A \) to diagonal form. We will point out the two most important systems in (1.5): first-order system I with linear interpolation \((\gamma = 1, \ \nu = 0)\), then \( A - \Omega^2 \Lambda \Omega \) and \( f \) can be computed at point \( t = n \tau, \ x = m \) without disturbing the order of the approximation), and system II with quadratic interpolation \((\gamma = 2, \ \nu = \frac{1}{2})\).

We will now consider the multidimensional case

\[
\dot{u}_m = u_m^\nu - \delta_Q \dot{\omega} \Lambda_m u + \delta_Q^2 \dot{\omega} \Omega \Lambda_m u + \epsilon \left[ \nu_1 \dot{u}_m^\nu (1 - \nu) f_m^\nu - \nu_3 \dot{\omega} \Lambda_m f \right] + \Omega^2_h \nu \cdot \dot{\omega}_h.
\]

We will limit ourselves to the terms described in (1.6) when deriving difference formulae of the type in (1.5), since the extension of the method to a large number of variables is done formally. We will assume that matrices \( A_1, A_2, \ldots \) have only proper real values. Let \( \mu_i^* \) and \( \omega_i^* \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, N)\) be proper numbers and the independent latent vectors of matrix \( A_i \) \((i = 1, 2)\) corresponding to them. Then
equations (1.6) can be reduced to one of the following characteristic forms

\[
\omega_1 \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_1} = \omega_1 \left( f - \alpha_2 u x_2 \right)
\]

\[
\omega_j \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} = \omega_j \left( f - \alpha_2 u x_2 \right)
\]

Here \( U_i \) and \( u_i \) designate the derivatives along the two-dimensional characteristics on the surfaces \( x = \text{const} \) and \( x_1 = \text{const} \), respectively, or, in other words, along the lines of intersection of the characteristic and coordinate surfaces. The directions of these lines are determined by the vectors \([1, \mu_i, 0]\) and \([0, 0, \mu_i]\).

We will now consider 3N equations (1.6)-(1.7) jointly. Obviously, the number of independent equations among them is equal to \( N \). This fact can be used to construct convenient explicit difference systems for multidimensional equations, in particular, those not requiring the approximation of the partial derivatives for \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \).

Replacing equations (1.6)-(1.7) by the finite-difference relationships along the corresponding lines at \( t = t_0 + (1-\nu) \tau \) and multiplying (1.7) by \( Q^\nu \) (\( Q^\nu \) is a matrix whose lines are vectors \( (\omega_1^a, \mu_i^a) \)), we will have:
Subtracting the first equation from the sum of the last equations and using interpolation formulae (1.4) to find \( \xi U \) and \( \Omega \xi U \), we will obtain the unknown formula

\[
\begin{align*}
U^n_m &= U^n_m - \xi^i \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i - \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i - \delta^i \xi^i + \\
&\quad + \xi \left[ \xi \xi^i + \xi \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i \right] + \delta^i \xi^i - \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \xi \left[ \xi \xi^i + \xi \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i \right] + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \xi \left[ \xi \xi^i + \xi \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i \right] + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \xi \left[ \xi \xi^i + \xi \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i \right] + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \xi \left[ \xi \xi^i + \xi \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i \right] + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \xi \left[ \xi \xi^i + \xi \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i \right] + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \xi \left[ \xi \xi^i + \xi \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i \right] + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + \delta^i \xi^i + (1.2)
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( \xi = \xi^i_{i-1,1,1-1} \), \( x_i = x_i x_i + x_i x_i \). When deriving (1.8), we consider that it suffices to take the coefficient at \( \nu \xi \) with accuracy down to the terms on the order of \( O(\nu) \). Furthermore, this term must only be considered for second-order system II (\( \xi = 2, \nu = 1/2 \)), whereupon it is necessary to use one more point in layer \( t = m \nu \) to calculate the mixed derivatives.
It should also be pointed out that for the first-order system \((\gamma = 0)\) - system I - analogously to (1.5) and (1.8), we can immediately write the formulae for any number of three-dimensional coordinates, as long as matrix \(A_\alpha\) is reduced to the diagonal form

\[
A_\alpha = Q_\alpha^T \Lambda_\alpha Q_\alpha \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots)
\]

§2. Stability of Net-Characteristic Method

We will consider the differential equations (1.1) and the difference equations approximating them (1.5) at \(A = \text{const}, f \neq 0\) and periodic boundary conditions. Then the stability and, therefore, the convergence of the difference systems, which follows from it according to the equivalence theorem, can be obtained by analyzing solution (1.5) in the form [14]

\[
U^0_n = U^0 e^{i m \nu}, \quad V^0 = G^0 \nu^0 \quad (\nu = k, k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots)
\]

\[
G = G(c, \varphi) = e^{-5 \Omega^2 \sin^2 \varphi - \Omega \cos \varphi} \Omega^2 \sin^2 \varphi
\]

Neumann's necessary condition of stability

\[
\max |\lambda_i| = \max \left\{ (1 - \sigma^2 |\mu_i|^2/|1 - \cos \varphi|^2) - \beta^2 \mu_i^2 |\sin \varphi|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq 1
\]
where \( \lambda_i \) is the proper value of the transitional matrix \( G \), will be satisfied at \( \mathcal{G}(\Lambda_i \leq \lambda) \) (\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \)), i.e., when the CPL condition is satisfied. This same condition is also sufficient, since limitation \( G^n \), uniform with respect to \( \tau \), follows from the relationship

\[
\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{Q}^{-1}(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_N)
\]

Thus, systems I and II of the net-characteristic method are stable in the case of two independent variables. We will point out that for the first-order system - system I - the stability of the Cauchy difference problem can be proven with less stringent limitations. The proof of the convergence of a system similar to (1.5) is given in [13] for quasilinear system (1.1). At \( \gamma = 1 \), relationship (1.5) can be written as

\[
U_m = T_0 U_m + T_1 U_{m+1} + T_2 U_{m-1}, \quad (T_0 + T_1 + T_2 = E). \tag{2.1}
\]

\[
T_0 = E - \delta \mathcal{Q}^{-1} \Lambda \mathcal{Q}, \quad T_1 = 1/2 [\mathcal{Q}(\Lambda - \Lambda) \mathcal{Q}], \quad T_2 = \frac{\delta}{2} [\mathcal{Q}(\Lambda + \Lambda) \mathcal{Q}]
\]

Since \( T_i (i = 0, 1) \) are matrices nonnegatively determined at \( \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_i \leq \lambda) \), (2.1) is a positive Friedrichs system. Book [15] considers certain similar systems; in particular, the running calculation system, which is similar to system (1.6) or system [13].
We will now consider the case of three independent variables (1.6). At \( V = 0 \) \((y = 1)\), for (1.8) the transitional matrix

\[
G = G(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \quad (\varphi = K_a T_a, \quad K_a = 0, \pm 2, \ldots, \alpha = 1, 2)
\]

has the form:

\[
G = E - \sigma_1 (1 - \cos \varphi_1) \tilde{A}_1 - i \sigma_1 \sin \varphi_1 A_1 - \\
- \sigma_2 (1 - \cos \varphi_2) \tilde{A}_2 - i \sigma_2 \sin \varphi_2 A_2
\]

\[
A_\alpha = Q_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda_\alpha Q_\alpha, \quad \tilde{A}_\alpha = Q_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda_\alpha^* Q_\alpha \quad (\alpha = 1, 2)
\]

We will find the Neumann stability condition with the assumption of the symmetry of matrix \( A_\alpha \). Let \( z = x + iy \) be the latent vector \( G \). Considering equation \((Gz, \bar{z}) = \lambda |z|^2\) and the symmetry of matrix \( A_\alpha \), we can find

\[
|\lambda|^2 \left[ 1 - \sigma_1 (1 - \cos \varphi_1) - \sigma_2 (1 - \cos \varphi_2) + [\sigma_1 |P_\alpha| + \sigma_2 |Q_\alpha|]^2 \right] = \left( \frac{Q_\alpha}{|z|^2} \right)^2 \tag{2.2}
\]

\[
Q_\alpha = \frac{(\tilde{A}_\alpha x, x) + (\tilde{A}_\alpha y, y)}{|z|^2} \leq |\mu^*|_{\max} = \max_{\lambda} |\mu^*|,
\]

\[
P_\alpha = \frac{(A_\alpha x, x) + (A_\alpha y, y)}{|z|^2} \leq Q_\alpha, \quad |P_\alpha| \leq Q_\alpha \quad (\alpha = 1, 2)
\]
It is evident from inequality (2.2) that the Neumann stability condition \( |\lambda|^2 \leq 1 \) will be valid at \( c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2 \leq \max(\sigma_1|\mu_1| + \sigma_2|\mu_2|) \), i.e., when the CFL condition is satisfied. The symmetry of \( A \) was used in deriving this equation. But the consideration of individual examples of asymmetrical matrices makes it possible to hypothesize that the Neumann condition is satisfied for system I (1.6) when the CFL condition is satisfied, and in general.

In the case of one scalar equation (1.6) \( (N = 1) \), stability condition (2.2) assumes the form

\[
|\lambda|^2 \leq \left[1 - \sigma_2 A_2 (1 - \cos \psi_2) - \sigma_3 A_3 (1 - \cos \psi_3)\right]^2 + \left[\sigma_2 A_2 |\sin \psi_2| + \sigma_3 A_3 |\sin \psi_3|\right]^2 \leq 1 \tag{2.3}
\]

This relationship, which is a necessary and sufficient condition for stability in the case of constant coefficients, is equivalent to condition (2.2), at least, for symmetrical matrices. Therefore, the
main properties of the difference systems of the method proposed above can be revealed in the simplest example

\[ u_a + A_1 u_{x_1} + A_2 u_{x_2} = f \quad (A_1 > 0, \alpha = 1, \beta) \]  

(2.4)

Adding a new point \((m - 1, \ell - 1)\), selected with consideration of the direction of the characteristic, to the five symmetrical points in layer \(t = \tau_n\), the difference systems of net-characteristic method (1.8) can be written as follows for equation (2.4):

\[
\begin{align*}
\nu_{m_\ell}^{n+1} &= (1 - a_{1}^1 a_{1}^1 + 2v a_{1} a_{2}) u_{\nu_{m_\ell}}^{n} + 2v a_{1} a_{2} u_{\nu_{m_\ell}, \ell+1}^{n} - \\
&\quad - \frac{a_{2}}{2} (1 + a_{2}^1) u_{\nu_{m_\ell}, \ell+1}^{n+1} + a_{2} (1 + a_{2}^1) u_{\nu_{m_\ell}, \ell+1}^{n} - a_{2} (1 - a_{2}^1) u_{\nu_{m_\ell}, \ell+1}^{n+1} + \\
&\quad + \frac{a_{2}}{2} (1 + a_{2}^1) u_{\nu_{m_\ell}, \ell+1}^{n+1} + c[V(d_{1}^1, f_{1}^1 - f_{0}) + (1 - V) f_{\ell+1}^{n+1}] + \\
&\quad + 0 [ (1 - 2v) c_{\ell+1}^2 + c_{\ell+1}^2 + h_{\ell+1}^2 + h_{\ell+1}^2 ] \\
(a_1 = \frac{A_1 \xi}{h_1}, \ a_2 = \frac{A_2 \xi}{h_2})
\end{align*}
\]

(2.5)

At \(v = 0, \gamma = 1\) we have system I. It is easy to check the stability of this system when the CFL condition is satisfied by the subscript of the difference system, since the system is positive:

\[ I = |1 - a_{1} - a_{2}| + a_{1} + a_{2} + cC = 1 + cC \]

\[ a_{1}, a_{2} \leq a_{1}, \ c = \max_{m\ell} |f_{m\ell}^{n}| \]

We will point out that this system is monotonic, i.e., the monotonic
profiles are also transformed into monotonic profiles at $\psi = 0$.

Using the Fourier method, i.e., relationships like (2.3), we can study the difference systems obtained from (2.5). We will point out some of them, omitting the calculations.

During quadratic interpolation ($\gamma = 2$), the system turns out to be stable when the CFL condition is only satisfied for $\nu = 1/2$ (system II). The system is stable for the rest of the values of $\nu$ when $a_2^2 = 0(\nu)$, i.e., when the time interval is considerably smaller than the spatial coordinate interval. Then $\max |\lambda| = 1 + a_i^2 + a_2^2$, and the growth of the initial error $\xi_0$ during the calculation is characterized by the value $\xi_0 (1 + a_i^2 + a_2^2) \nu^\nu$.

The use of additional nodes on plane $t = n\nu$ lowers stability. For example, the use of linear interpolation with the satisfaction of the CFL condition when using yet another point ($\nu = 2, \xi$) makes the system generally unstable. Systems which are only stable at $a_2^2 = 0(\nu)$ are obtained during high-order interpolation using new points.

Systems can exist which are stable when the CFL condition is satisfied in one direction, e.g., $a_i < 1$, and $a_2^2 = 0(\nu)$ is required for the other variable. Specifically, this is the system previously proposed by the author of [8]. During the practical calculation using
this system, the interval of variable $x_2$ was selected on the basis of the nature of the solution of the problem so that $a_2 = 10^{-4}$. This results in a 120% increase in the initial error $\xi_0$ after 1000 intervals on $t$, which is completely permissible. This example indicates that these systems can be used when $a_1 << 1$.

The nature of the suppression (or propagation) of the errors arising during the calculation is an important property of any difference system, as well as the behavior of the net functions in the region of an irregular solution. Relationships (2.5) and the practical calculations show that system I monotonically decreases the error (this is logical, since this system is positive), while system II also decreases the amplitude of the error, but with fluctuations of different signs. A similar situation occurs for more complex equations. Here it should be pointed out that this behavior of the solution cannot be considered to be instability, since we can strictly prove stability in (2.5).

Based on the above discussion and the analysis of the acoustic equations made in [18], we can draw the following conclusions.

1. From the standpoint of stability, the best system is the first-order system with linear interpolation - system I. This system is monotonic and contains the dissipative terms necessary for finding
solutions which are not very regular.

2. System II can cause unfavorable fluctuations for irregular solutions, but nevertheless makes it possible to use larger intervals. Therefore, it is advisable to use this system for numerically determining rather regular solutions.

3. It is expedient to write a single computer program for the net-characteristic method, and select the order of interpolation on the basis of the nature of the problem.

4. Using quadratic (or higher-order) interpolation at \( \forall \neq \xi/2 \) makes the difference system unstable at \( a_1 = \text{const} \) and stable only at \( a_2 = 0(\tau) \). Checking for many examples of hyperbolic equations showed that the first-order systems for \( t \) and higher-order systems for \( x_1, x_2 \) are only stable at \( a_2 = 0(\tau) \).

§3. Divergent Systems of the Net-Characteristic Method

The concept of the net-characteristic method can also be used to construct divergent difference systems. First we will consider a system of hyperbolic equations in divergent form with two independent variables.
\[ u_t + F_x = \xi \quad F = F(t, x, u), \quad \xi = \xi(t, x, u) \quad (3.1) \]

Suppose a matrix with fixed components \( M \), assigned in space \( U \), has the proper values \( \mu_i \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, N)\) with the same sign as the proper values of matrix \( F_u \), i.e., they have the same diagonal matrix \( \Lambda = \{ \text{sign} \mu_1, \text{sign} \mu_2, \ldots, \text{sign} \mu_N \} \). Multiplying (3.1) by linearly independent latent vectors \( \omega_i \) of matrix \( M \) in scalar form, we will have

\[ \omega_i u_t + \omega_i F_x = \omega_i \xi \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, N) \quad (3.2) \]

Based on the independence of \( \omega_i \), this system is equivalent to the initial system (3.1). Approximating (3.2) with consideration of the characteristic directions of matrix \( M \) by the one-sided differences relative to \( x \), we will have

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_i \frac{u_i^n - u_i^{n-1}}{\varepsilon} + \omega_i \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{F_{m+1}^n - F_m^n}{h} & \text{at } \mu_i < 0 \\
\frac{F_m^n - F_{m-1}^n}{h} & \text{at } \mu_i > 0 
\end{array} \right. = \omega_i \xi \\
\omega_i \frac{u_i^n - u_i^{n-1}}{\varepsilon} + \omega_i \left[ \Delta_m F - \text{sign} \mu_i \Delta_m^d F \right] = \omega_i \xi
\end{align*}
\]

Multiplying the difference equations on the left written in matrix form by \( Q^{-1} \) and using the previously introduced designations, we will
obtain the first-order divergent system

\begin{equation}
U_m^{n+1} = U_m^{n} - \sigma \Delta_m F + \sigma \Delta_m L \sigma \Delta_m F + \tau f_m^n
\end{equation}

We can consider the difference system for version U in order to study the local stability of (3.3), and the system will be stable, e.g., at \( M = A = F_u \). During practical calculations, for each point \((n + 1, m)\)
we can use \( M = A_m^n \) or retain it for other \( m \) when the proper values of \( F_u \) do not change sign.

For systems of hyperbolic equations with a large number of variables

\begin{equation}
U_t + (F_1)_{x_1} + (F_2)_{x_2} + \cdots = 0
\end{equation}

the first-order divergent system can be written analogously to (3.3) and (1.8):
We will point out that the simplest form of divergent systems is used when solving problems in the regions in which the proper values of the matrices \( (F_i)_u, (F_j)_u, \ldots \) do not change sign. Then it suffices to calculate the matrices in (3.3) and (3.5) at one point.

We will compare the divergent system of the net-characteristic method with the Laks system. For equation (5.1), the Laks system is:

\[
U^m = \frac{U_{m+1}^n + U_{m-1}^n}{2} - \sigma \Delta m F = U_m^n - \sigma \Delta m F + \Delta m U + \varepsilon f_m^n (3.5)
\]

This system is stable when the CFL condition is satisfied, but unlike (3.3), it is not flexible, i.e., for small \( \varepsilon \), which is unavoidable with nonlinear equations due to the selection of the minimum interval using the CFL condition, it approximates a parabolic equation (see
[15]) - The absence of $\sigma$ in the second difference in (3.6) also causes the branches to be "spread" into a larger number of steps than in system (3.3).

In conclusion, we will give the second-order divergent system for equation (3.4) without deriving it:

\[
\begin{align*}
U_{m}^{n+1} &= U_{m}^{n} - \sigma_{1} \Delta m F_{1} - \sigma_{2} \Delta x F_{2} + \sigma_{1} A_{1} \Delta m F_{1} + \sigma_{2} A_{2} \Delta x F_{2} + \\
&+ \epsilon \left[ F_{m}^{n} - F_{m}^{n+1} + 0(\epsilon^{2} + h_{1}^{2} + h_{2}^{2}) \right] \\
A_{1} &= (F_{1})_{u} , \quad A_{2} = (F_{2})_{u} \\
\Phi &= A_{1}(F_{1})_{x} x_{1} + A_{2}(F_{2})_{x} x_{2} - \left[ (A_{1})_{x} x_{1} + (A_{2})_{x} x_{2} \right] f_{1}(F_{1})_{x} x_{1} + f_{2}(F_{2})_{x} x_{2} + \\
&+ f_{v} - A_{1} f_{2} x_{1} - A_{2} f_{2} x_{2}
\end{align*}
\]

The values of $A_{1}$, $A_{2}$ and $\Phi$ are calculated at point $(n, m, l)$ with precision down to the terms on the order of $O(h_{1} + h_{2})$.

§4 - Using the Net-Characteristic Method to Calculate Multidimensional
Gas Flows

The above method can be used to study the movement of an inviscid non-heat-conductive gas in the case of purely supersonic steady flows, as well as in the general case of unstationary gas-dynamic equations. The corresponding difference equations of the net-characteristic (NC) [CX] method are partially given in [18], [19], while the method of calculating the boundary points (on the surface of the body and on the shock wave) is described in [8], [15]. Only some of the most typical examples of the numerical calculations are given below.

The main properties of the NC method were studied in the problem of supersonic steady flow on the side surface of blunt cones. Many results were gathered for this problem, and different numerical methods were obtained [20]. The calculations showed that the parameters on the body and the shock wave are computed with an error of 1-2% both using system I, and using system II of the NC method. But here system I requires approximately four times as many nodes as system II for obtaining the same degree of accuracy. But the second-order system results in fluctuations ("ripples") around a certain averaged curve which are especially noticeable when calculating the profiles of gas-dynamic functions in regions with a marked change in parameters and with discontinuities in the
derivatives. The pattern shown in Fig. 1 is the most characteristic. This figure shows the pressure profiles $P$ on different meridional planes $\varphi = \text{const}$ at a distance of five radii of blunting for a spherically blunted cone with a half-angle of aperture of $10^\circ$ streamlined by a supersonic gas flow with an adiabatic index of $\gamma = 1.4$ at a Mach number of $M = 6$ and an angle of attack of $\alpha = 5^\circ$. The solid lines show the results of the calculation using the direct system of the characteristic method [21], while the crosses and circles indicate the data calculated using systems I and II of the NC method. It is evident that while method [21] clearly isolates the discontinuities (they originate due to the interruption of curvature at the point of contact of the cone with the sphere), the NC method smoothes these discontinuities, whereupon system I is regular, and system II has marked fluctuations.
Fig. 1.
A similar pattern is also observed when performing a "through" calculation of the zones of discontinuities in the functions. In order to test the possibility of applying the NC method to the through calculation of discontinuous solutions, various problems were considered. Figure 2 shows the flow pattern about a two-degree cone at $M = 3$ and $\gamma = 1.4$, as well as the pressure distribution $P$ on the body. The internal connections of the shocks were found by the through calculation using system I. The solid lines show the results from [22]. Figure 3 shows the flow pattern about a plane body and the pressure distribution on the body at $M = 5$. Here the leading shock wave 1 was isolated by calculation, while the "suspended" shock wave 2 was obtained by the through calculation. The upper curve 2 depicts the precise position of the shock.
Fig. 2.
The following should be pointed out regarding the use of the NC method for a through calculation. The calculations showed that discontinuities which are not very marked can be obtained using system I of the NC method. This system is not divergent, but in the difference system, the pressure and angles of slope of the velocity vector for steady flows \cite{18} are determined independent of the energy equation. Here the coefficients in these equations depend mainly on the local Mach number, and vary little in many problems. The energy equation is used in a such a form that the dissipative term in the presence of a shock wave results in the Hugoniot condition for the relationship between pressure and enthalpy. If it is necessary to cross marked discontinuities, e.g., a leading shock wave, by a through calculation, it is necessary to use the divergent system of the NC method given in §3 to increase accuracy.

As we know, many problems of gas dynamics require the simultaneous calculation of the regions of subsonic and supersonic flow. In order to make it possible to apply the NC method to these problems, we can introduce a new independent variable $t$ such that the equation becomes hyperbolic everywhere and the solution at $t\rightarrow\infty$ gives us the solution of the initial equations. This is done simply by retaining, e.g., physical time $t$, for gas-dynamic equations. This is
precisely how problems of three-dimensional flow about segmented conical bodies and the direct problem of flow in a nozzle were solved by the net-characteristics method.

Figure 4 gives the flow pattern for a segmented conical body shaped like the Apollo launch capsule, which is streamlined at an angle of attack of $\alpha = 0$. The shock waves and sound lines (a) are shown, along with the pressure distribution on the body (b) for a perfect gas at Mach numbers of the incoming flow of $M = 2, 6$ and $20$ and adiabatic indices $\gamma = 1.4$, as well as with consideration of the equilibrium physico-chemical processes in the air at velocities of the incoming flow of $V_a = 5 \text{ km/s} \ (M = 16.1)$ and $V_a = 7.5 \text{ km/s} \ (M = 23.5)$. Similar results are shown in Fig. 5 at $M = 6$ and $\gamma = 1.4$ for three-dimensional streamlining at angles of attack of $\alpha = 10^\circ, 20^\circ$ and $25^\circ$. 
Fig. 4.
Fig. 5.
Figure 6 shows another problem – the calculation of a circular nozzle with an assigned shape. It gives the shape of the nozzle, the sound line, and the pressure distribution and longitudinal velocity on the upper and lower walls.

Fig. 6.
Conclusion

The net-characteristic method proposed for solving multidimensional hyperbolic equations makes it possible to calculate different gas-dynamics problems. This report focused mainly on the properties and peculiarities of the difference system, and the results given are illustrative, but they nevertheless make it possible to determine the class of problems which the system can be used to solve. The analysis and numerous experimental calculations show that the method is stable and monotonic, and that it makes it possible to perform "through" calculations which do not generate peculiarities.

This method was developed at the Moscow Physico-Technical Institute as part of the numerical method research plan conducted under the guidance of Professor O. M. Belotserkovskiy. The main concepts of the method are published in the report by K. M. Magomedov and A. S. Kholodov [18]. A. S. Kholodov, V. I. Kosarev and V. V. Pirogov conducted many of calculations using the net-characteristic method.
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