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SUMMARY

A method for recording slow crack growth, using a conventional ultrasonic tester and chart recorder, is described. The problems caused by changes in the measuring system over long periods were overcome by relating crack growth to the amplitude difference between the crack reflection and a reference reflection. Some applications of the technique are described.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Application of fracture mechanics principles (ref. 1) has enabled critical defect sizes to be estimated in critical components of high performance structures provided a stress analysis of the component is available and the fracture toughness of the material is known. In an effort to investigate how long a given defect will take to reach this critical level, a number of techniques have been developed to monitor crack-growth rates in small precracked test specimens. These methods include (a) optical monitoring at the specimen surface, (b) measuring the change in crack-opening-displacement (COD), (c) electrical potential methods, (d) acoustic emission, and (e) ultrasonic pulse-echo techniques. The choice of crack-measuring technique is determined by (a) type of information sought, (b) method of specimen loading, (c) visibility, accessibility and geometry of the crack under study, and (d) specimen geometry. Acoustic emission, electrical potential and COD measurements provide information from which mean cracking rates across the crack front can be calculated, whereas optical and ultrasonic measurements give more localised information on the progress of cracking.

Since stress-corrosion cracking is often controlled by processes occurring in the plane-strain region near the specimen centre, the ultrasonic pulse-echo technique is ideally suited for this type of study. If the ultrasonic probe is aligned normal to the crack plane, a typical trace on the tester screen shows a transmitted pulse, a reflection from the crack and a reflection from the back-wall (fig. 1). In one method of operation (refs. 2–5), the ultrasonic probe is adjusted such that the amplitude of the reflected signal from the crack is just less than some preset level. Once cracking starts, the (crack-peak) amplitude exceeds this preset level and the probe is moved a given distance ahead of the crack to wait for the signal to reach the preset level. In instances where the probe cannot be moved readily (e.g. in a vacuum system or enclosed space), a second method has been developed in which the probe is cemented in position and crack growth determined from the change in amplitude of the reflected signal from the crack (refs. 6–9). Precalibration in this instance was achieved by extending a slot with a thin saw to simulate crack growth.

Crack growth during stress-corrosion cracking (SCC) is often so slow that it takes several days to extend the crack a measurable amount. Under these circumstances, drift in the ultrasonic tester electronics becomes a problem and may seriously impair the sensitivity of the method. The present work describes a new mode of operation which distinguishes between crack growth and long-term drift effects. The method makes use of the changes in amplitude of the reflected signals from both the crack and the back-wall of the specimen during cracking. Since the amplitude of the crack-peak increases and the back-wall peak decreases during cracking, the difference \( \Delta H \) provides a sensitive measure of the cracking process. Long-term drift effects due to changes in temperature, probe pressure and electronic instabilities will cause the amplitudes of both peaks to move in phase and hence they can be readily distinguished from changes due to crack growth.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

Following the experience of other workers (refs. 2, 3, 9), the ultrasonic technique was designed to operate with 23 mm thick side-grooved L-T WOL specimens (fig. 2A) (ref. 10) using either D6AC or SD19 steel. Heat-treatment for D6AC steel used in this study involved austenitising for 30 minutes at 930°C, quenching to ‘Ausbay’ at 520°C and holding for 30 minutes, further quenching into circulating hot oil at 60°C, cooling to 25°C and double-tempering for 3 + 1 hours at either 290°C or 565°C. SD19 steel was austenitised for 1 hour at 860°C, quenched into circulating hot oil at 52°C, cooled to 25°C and double-tempered for 1 + 1 hours at 400°C. Composition, tensile and fracture toughness properties are listed in Table 1. Specimens were machined with cracks aligned in either the T-L or L-T orientations (fig. 3). Prior to SCC,
specimens were fatigue precracked at 10 Hz in air at 25°C and cracks extended to approximately 5 mm from the notch with the stress intensity varying from 2 to 20 MPa m^1.

The crack was monitored ultrasonically with a Krautkramer ultrasonic detector coupled in turn to each of four 6 mm diameter, 12 MHz probes mounted on the specimen with their centres located at intervals of 3-25 mm in the direction of cracking (fig. 2B). Apiezon L vacuum grease was used as couplant and each probe was held on the specimen surface by a compression spring loaded by a bolt. With each probe monitoring approximately 3 mm of crack growth, it was possible to register 12 mm crack growth before resetting the probes. As shown in fig. 4, the demodulated signal from the ultrasonic tester, i.e. the signal displayed on the tester screen, is gated and the amplitude of the gated signal sampled by a sample-and-hold (SAH) circuit. In the sample pulse-switching circuit (fig. 5), two sets of sampling pulses are generated, one set being adjusted to coincide with the crack pulse and the other to coincide with the back-wall pulse. At ten second intervals, the sampling pulse is switched to the SAH sampling pulse input, so that the amplitude of the crack pulse and the back-wall pulse appear alternately on the chart recorder. A detailed description of the circuits appears in reference 11.

Calibration of the ultrasonics was achieved by stress-corroding the specimen at constant load and monitoring the change in crack length using both the ultrasonic tester and a linear variable differential transducer (LVDT) mounted on the top face of the specimen to monitor changes in the crack-opening-displacement (COD). Provided the whole crack front moves at the same rate, crack growth rates calculated from the LVDT output can be used to calibrate the ultrasonics. This condition was achieved by positioning the first ultrasonic probe well ahead of the crack front prior to SCC such that steady-state cracking at constant load was reached by the time the crack front crossed the ultrasonic beam.

Since the theoretical compliance C (change in COD/load P) is known over the full length of the 1-T WOL specimen (ref. 10), then SCC crack-growth data can be readily calculated from COD measurements by adopting the following iterative procedure, provided the initial crack length of the specimen prior to stress-corrosion cracking is known:

(a) After the SCC experiment is terminated, the specimen is broken open and the fracture surface used to measure the mean crack length from the load line prior to the commencement of SCC. This value is easily measured as the fracture surface of the fatigue precrack is usually much smoother than the SCC fracture surface (fig. 6).

(b) The change in COD (Vg) during application of load P prior to SCC is measured and converted to an equivalent value at the load line (Vg) using similar triangles and knowing the initial crack length a (fig. 7).

(c) By continually monitoring the change in COD on a chart recorder, a new COD (Vg) is obtained after a small increment of SCC at constant load P. If the new crack length is assumed to be a + da, then the new COD at the load line is calculated by similar triangles to be Vg (fig. 7).

(d) Dividing the change in COD at the load line (Vg - Vg) by the load P gives the change in compliance (ΔC) during SCC.

(e) If the mean crack length during SCC is taken as a + da/2 and the theoretical rate of change in compliance with crack length is known (ref. 10) ((ΔC/da)_theor), then dividing ΔC by (ΔC/da)_theor gives a better approximation of the estimated value da. Substitution of da back into step (c) and repeating iteratively gives continually better estimates of the stress-corrosion crack-growth increment.

The above procedure was then repeated for successive selected increments of stress-corrosion crack growth throughout the life of the specimen. Provided crack-growth rates did not vary rapidly, crack growth increments of 1 mm were adequate to quantify the cracking kinetics. Errors of less than 10% were found when the sum of these calculated values of crack-growth were compared with values experimentally measured from the fracture surface (ref. 12). These rates were then used to calibrate the ultrasonic data using calculated ΔH values. In order to standardise the ultrasonic output, the gain on the Krautkramer was adjusted to give a signal amplitude of 0.50 ± 0.05 volts when the amplitude of both the crack and back-wall peaks were equal ("cross-over").

Calibration runs were performed using D6AC steel specimens tempered to 290°C and stress-corroded in the L-T orientation at low-medium stress intensities in distilled water at 25°C. Stress-
corrosion cracking with this heat treatment of steel was rapid (1 μm/sec) and bowing of the crack front was not observed. The water was applied in the form of a continual drip in the region of the crack so that the ultrasonic probes were kept dry throughout.

3. RESULTS

A typical trace of the change in amplitude of the crack and back-wall peaks during SCC is shown in fig. 8. Using the known cracking rate from LVDT data, the change in ΔH with increasing crack length was determined (fig. 9). It was noted that the crack-growth rate often remained constant during its progress across each of the probes. In the region of the 'cross-over' of the crack and back-wall peaks, ΔH was found to vary linearly with crack length over a distance of approximately 1 mm. The technique can still be used, but with reduced sensitivity, over the remainder of the probe range. Repetition of the probe calibration revealed that the rate of increase in crack-peak amplitude did not always match the rate of decrease in back-wall peak amplitude (fig. 10). The reason for this behaviour was not investigated but its effect was to increase the scatter in the measured ultrasonic sensitivity. A mean value for d(ΔH)/da was obtained from 13 independent experiments and found to be 0.55 volt/mm, with a standard deviation of 0.16 volt/mm. Although the reproducibility of the calibration appears poor, it compares favourably with the scatter obtained in measuring stress-corrosion crack-growth rates in high strength steels—scatter bands incorporating ten-fold variations are not uncommon in the stress-corrosion cracking of D6AC steel (ref. 13). A similar sensitivity was found when the calibration was carried out with D6AC steel tempered to 565°C, where the stress-corrosion rates were 100 times slower.

In short-term experiments (a few minutes), changes in crack length of 0.1 mm could be readily detected. The effect of long-term drift in amplitude of both the crack and back-wall peaks is shown in fig. 11 for a stationary crack. The results showed that, if estimations of crack growth were made solely from changes in amplitude of the crack-peak, then the ultrasonics could not positively determine cracking unless increments of crack growth exceeded 1 mm. This value is close to the accuracy obtained by Blau (ref. 5) in which crack-growth measurements based on the height of the crack-peak amplitude were taken over the course of several days during SCC of an aluminium alloy. Since the amplitudes of both the crack and back-wall peaks moved in phase, the effects of long-term drift could be largely eliminated through calculation of ΔH, thereby enabling crack extensions of 0.15 mm to be positively identified over a period of several days. Factors which affected long-term drift of the ultrasonics included temperature, mains voltage supply variations and creep in the coupling grease.

The success of the technique is dependent on minimising the scatter of the ultrasonic beam when it is reflected from the fracture surface back to the transducer. This condition was less likely to be satisfied with rough fracture surfaces (region A, fig. 6), hence it was not surprising to find the amplitude behaviour of the crack-peak becoming erratic when some specimens were stress-corroded at high stress intensity (fig. 12).

In some instances at low stress intensity, however, it was found that, in the region of cracking after the 'cross-over', the amplitude of the crack-peak suddenly stopped increasing or even decreased with increasing crack length. When such behaviour occurred, information regarding cracking was lost since the precalibrated ultrasonic sensitivity no longer applied. Consequently, the best range over which sensitive experiments should be conducted is in the vicinity of, and immediately preceding, the 'cross-over' point.

4. APPLICATIONS

4.1 Incubation Periods due to Reduction in Stress Intensity

Measurements of change in COD during SCC provide crack-growth data averaged over the full width of the crack front. Previous work (ref. 14) using the COD technique showed that a propagating stress-corrosion crack experienced an incubation period when the applied load was partially removed. The results of similar experiments using D6AC steel specimens tempered to 290°C and stress-corroded in the L-T orientation in distilled water at 25°C are shown in fig. 13.
Whereas LVDT data suggested that a drop in stress intensity introduced a short period during which crack growth slowed or stopped, ultrasonic monitoring of the crack indicated that propagation continued at the specimen centre. If the rate of crack growth across the full width of the ultrasonic beam (~3 mm) at the specimen centre is assumed to be uniform, then it appeared that the crack grew by approximately 0.2 mm at the specimen centre before any change in COD was registered, for both load reductions cited (fig. 13). If it is assumed that little crack growth occurred near the specimen edges during this period, then the mean crack growth across the full crack front would be substantially less than 0.2 mm and the lack of response of the LVDT may be partly due to resolution limitations of the LVDT technique ($\pm 2 \times 10^{-3}$ volts $= 0.05$ mm crack growth).

4.2 Accelerated Crack Growth due to Crack-Front Bowing

During stress-corrosion cracking of steels and, more particularly, of aluminium alloys, there is a tendency for curved crack fronts to develop. A side-grooved 1-T WOL specimen of D6AC steel tempered to 565°C was fatigue precracked and stress-corroded in the L-T orientation in distilled water at 25°C. Cracking was monitored both ultrasonically and with a LVDT. Three ultrasonic probes were used to monitor cracking and the mean rate, as calculated from LVDT data, for the period during which the crack was monitored by each probe was 0.011, 0.013 and 0.013 $\mu$m/sec, respectively. The ultrasonic data for the first and third probes produced rates which agreed substantially with COD measurements, however the cracking rate during the period when the second probe was operational appeared to be almost treble the rate predicted by COD data. This anomaly can be explained by the fact that oxidation markings on the fracture surface (fig. 14) suggest that crack-front bowing developed during the period when the second probe was operating, thus confirming that cracking occurred faster at the centre than near the edges during this short period.

4.3 Incubation Periods due to Changes in Environment

Stress-corrosion cracking in pure gas environments is most easily studied using self-loaded specimens in a vacuum system into which the gas is admitted. The COD at the load line is then constant and ultrasonic monitoring of crack growth complements optical measurements at the specimen surface. With steel specimens, however, the latter technique is not reliable due to difficulties in optically resolving the crack tip.

A bolt-loaded 1-T WOL specimen of D6AC steel tempered to 565°C, was stress-corroded at 80 MPa m$^2$ in the T-L orientation in water vapour and water-vapour/oxygen mixtures at 22°C (ref. 15). The changes observed in $\Delta H$ during cracking are shown in fig. 15. Addition of $6.5$ kPa oxygen to $1.9$ kPa water vapour stopped the crack for 8 hours before propagation recommenced. When the oxygen partial pressure was increased by $0.2$ kPa, the crack either stopped or slowed down for about one day before continuing to propagate at a constant rate. A similar experiment with SD19 steel (ref. 15) showed that a crack, which had previously been propagating at a stress intensity of $40$ MPa m$^2$ in $1.4$ kPa water vapour at $22^\circ$C, stopped for 48 hours after $0.101$ MPa (1 atmosphere) air was added and the relative humidity subsequently increased to $100\%$ (fig. 16). After this incubation period, crack propagation resumed at a rate $10^{-3}$ times slower than in the pure water-vapour environment. In both the experiments cited, optical monitoring of the crack front and fractographic examination confirmed the ultrasonic results obtained. However, the current ultrasonic method gave more immediate indications of when cracking started again.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The difference mode of operation of the ultrasonic pulse-echo technique has been demonstrated to be particularly useful in long-term experiments where instrument drift has to be distinguished from changes due to crack growth. It has also been shown that the use of a single crack-growth measuring technique can sometimes inadequately define the cracking kinetics. Information derived using the current ultrasonic pulse-echo technique can greatly supplement data obtained from optical and COD measurements.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt. %</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D6AC</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>remainder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD19</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>remainder</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel</th>
<th>Tempering Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Orientation</th>
<th>UTS (MPa)</th>
<th>$K_{IC}$ (MPam$^1$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D6AC</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>TL</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>50-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6AC</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>LT</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>62*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6AC</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>TL</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>90-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6AC</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>LT</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>98-108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD19</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>TL</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>48*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Estimated using 23 mm thick 1-T WOL specimens.
FIG. 1  TYPICAL ULTRASONIC TESTER SCREEN DISPLAY
A: The initial transmitted pulse
B: The pulse reflected from the crack
C: The back-wall echo.
FIG. 2A MODIFIED WOL SPECIMEN WITH ULTRASONIC PROBE ATTACHMENT.

FIG. 2B ULTRASONIC PROBE HOLDER.
FIG. 3  FRACTURE SPECIMEN ORIENTATIONS.

Crack orientation
1st letter: direction normal to crack plane
2nd letter: direction of crack propagation
FIG. 4 SIMPLIFIED BLOCK DIAGRAM OF EQUIPMENT USED FOR DIFFERENCE ULTRASONIC CRACK GROWTH MEASUREMENT
FIG. 5  SIMPLIFIED BLOCK DIAGRAM OF THE SAMPLE PULSE SWITCHING CIRCUIT.
FIG. 6  FRACTURE SURFACE OF D6AC STEEL TEMPERED TO 290°C AND STRESS-CORRODED IN L-T ORIENTATION IN DISTILLED WATER AT 25°C.
\[ a \] : Crack length from load line

\[ da \] : Crack extension during SCC

\[ P \] : Load

\[ V_{1i} \] : COD at LVDT (load P) for crack of length \( a \)

\[ V_{2i} \] : COD at load line (load P) for crack of length \( a \)

\[ V_{1f} \] : COD at LVDT (load P) for crack of length \( a + da \)

\[ V_{2f} \] : COD at load line (load P) for crack of length \( a + da \)

**FIG. 7 SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF CRACK OPENING AT LOAD LINE AND LVDT.**
FIG. 8 CHANGE IN AMPLITUDE OF CRACK AND BACK-WALL PEAKS DURING SCC OF D6AC STEEL
FIG. 9 VARIATION IN $\Delta H$ DURING SCC
FIG. 10 CHANGE IN AMPLITUDE OF CRACK AND BACK-WALL PEAKS DURING SCC OF D6AC STEEL.
FIG. 11 AMPLITUDE DRIFT OF CRACK AND BACK-WALL PEAKS OF A NON-PROPAGATING CRACK
FIG. 12 CHANGE IN AMPLITUDE OF CRACK AND BACK-WALL PEAKS DURING SCC WHICH PRODUCES VERY ROUGH FRACTURE SURFACE.
FIG. 13  COMPARISON OF LVDT AND ULTRASONIC DATA AFTER A LOAD REDUCTION
FIG. 14  FRACTURE SURFACE OF D6AC STEEL TEMPERED TO 565°C AND STRESS-CORRODED IN L-T ORIENTATION IN DISTILLED WATER AT 25°C.

A : Region of cracking monitored by first ultrasonic probe.
B : Region of cracking monitored by second ultrasonic probe.
C : Region of cracking monitored by third ultrasonic probe.

................. Oxidation markings on fracture surface outlining crack at various stages of cracking.
FIG. 15  ULTRASONIC OUTPUT DURING CRACK GROWTH OF D6AC STEEL IN WATER VAPOUR AND WATER-VAPOUR/OXYGEN MIXTURES
FIG. 16 ULTRASONIC OUTPUT DURING CRACK GROWTH OF SD19 STEEL IN WATER VAPOUR AND 100% RH AIR

- Increase humidity to 100% RH at 0.101 MPa air.
- 1.4 kPa H₂O
- 0.101 MPa air added
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