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the tests for exponentiality based on the cumulative total time on test statistic.

Analogous to the "total time on test process" we define the "Lorenz process," and show its weak convergence to functionals of a Brownian motion process. This provides a theory for developing goodness-of-fit tests for any general distribution using the Lorenz curve and the Gini statistic. In addition, we state some new results on the geometry of the Lorenz curve that follow from the geometry of the total time on test transform.

We show that there exists a relationship between the "mean residual life" and the Lorenz curve. This motivates us to propose that the Lorenz curve methods of economic theory also be considered for use in the analysis of failure data.
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1. Introduction

A unifying concept in the statistical theory of reliability and
life testing is the "total time on test transform," first discussed by
Marshall and Proschan in 1965. Barlow (1968) and Barlow and Doksum
(1972) have introduced and studied a scale-free test for exponentiality
based on the "cumulative total time on test statistic," which is derived
from the total time on test transform. Barlow and Campo (1975), and
Barlow (1977) have studied the geometry of the total time on test trans-
form, and have also used it for a graphical analysis of failure data.
Langberg, Léon, and Proschan (1978) provide characterizations of the to-
tal time on test transform.

Measures of income inequality used by econometricians are the
Lorenz curve and the Gini index (which is derived from the Lorenz curve).
The Lorenz curve plots the percentage of total income earned by various
portions of the population when the members are ordered by the size of
their incomes. Castwirth (1972) has studied the various properties of
the Lorenz curve and the Gini index. Recently, in a series of papers,
Gall and Castwirth (1977a, 1977b) proposed scale-free tests for expo-
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Our objective is to demonstrate that there exists a relationship between the total time on test transform and the Lorenz curve, and between the cumulative total time on test transform and the Gini index. Thus the tests for exponentiality proposed by Gail and Gastwirth (1977a, 1977b) inherit some very general properties of the tests for exponentiality based on the cumulative total time on test statistic given by Barlow and Doksum (1972). The relationship mentioned above also prompts us to define what we call the "Lorenz process" and discuss the weak convergence of this process to functionals of a Brownian motion process. Such a result increases interest in the Lorenz curve and the Gini index, since it provides a theory for developing goodness of fit tests for any general distribution using the Lorenz curve and the Gini index.

Gastwirth (1972) has given some properties of the geometry of the Lorenz curve that are of interest to an economist. In this note we present some additional properties of the geometry of the Lorenz curve, and generalize some of Gastwirth's results.

Bryson and Siddiqui (1969) and Hollander and Proschan (1975) have pointed out that the notion of a "mean residual lifetime" is useful for the analysis of biological data. We show that there exists a relationship between the mean residual lifetime and the Lorenz curve. Such a relationship enables us to extend the use of Lorenz curve methods for the analysis and interpretation of failure data. We illustrate our ideas by plotting and interpreting the Lorenz curves of two sets of failure data.

2. Definitions and Notation

Let X be a random variable with distribution F, and let \( \mu \) be the mean of F; let \( F(\mu^-) = 0 \). Then, the total time on test transform is defined as

Definition 2.1:

\[
H_F^{-1}(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t \frac{F^{-1}(u)}{F(u)} du, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,
\]

where \( F(u) = 1 - F(u) \) and \( F^{-1}(t) \), the inverse of \( F(t) \), is defined by
\[ F^{-1}(t) = \inf \{ x : F(x) \geq t \} \, . \]

It is easy to verify that \( H_F^{-1}(1) = \mu \).

The \textit{scaled total time on test transform} is defined in

\[ W_F(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{H_F^{-1}(t)}{H_F^{-1}(1)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \]

In Figure 2.1, we show a plot of the scaled total time on test transform for a gamma distribution with shape parameters \( \alpha = 1 \) and 2, respectively. Other properties of the scaled total time on test transform are discussed by Barlow and Campo (1975).

The \textit{cumulative total time on test transform} is defined in

\[ V_F \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^1 W_F(u)\,du = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^1 H_F^{-1}(u)\,du. \]

Thus, the cumulative total time on test transform is simply the area under the scaled total time on test transform.

Castwirth (1971) has defined the \textit{Lorenz curve} corresponding to a random variable \( X \) with distribution \( F, F(0^-) = 0 \), and mean \( \mu \) as

\[ L_F(p) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^p F^{-1}(u)\,du, \quad 0 \leq p \leq 1. \]

In econometrics, \( L_F(p) \) denotes the fraction of total income that the holders of the lowest \( p \)th fraction of incomes possess. In Figure 2.2, we show a plot of the Lorenz curve for a gamma distribution with shape parameters \( \alpha = 1 \) and 2, respectively. It is easy to verify that the Lorenz curve is always a convex function of \( p \).
Analogous to Definition 2.3, we define the cumulative Lorenz curve in

**Definition 2.5:**

$$(CL)_F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^1 F^{-1}(u) du \int_0^1 F'(p) dp.$$
Figure 2.2--Lorenz curves for gamma distribution

\[ F(x) = \int_0^x \frac{x^\alpha \cdot 1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-x} \, du \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha = 1, 2. \]
The most common measure of income inequality is the Gini index, defined as:

$$ G_F = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{L_F(p)} dp $$

That is, the Gini index is the ratio of the area between the Lorenz curve $L_F(p)$ and the 45° line, to the area under the 45° line (which is 1/2).

The area between the 45° line and $L_F(p)$ is called the area of concentration.

3. Some Relationships Among the Concepts of Section 2

We now establish some relationships that exist among some of the concepts introduced in Section 2.

Integrating $W_F(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^t F^{-1}(u)du$ by parts, we have

$$ W_F(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} (1-t)F^{-1}(t) + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^t F^{-1}(u)du , $$

or

$$ W_F(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} (1-t)F^{-1}(t) + L_F(t) , \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad (3.1) $$

Thus, the scaled total time on test transform is related to the Lorenz curve as shown in (3.1).

Since $V_F = \int_0^1 W_F(t)dt$,

$$ V_F = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^1 (1-t)F^{-1}(t)dt + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^1 \int_0^t F^{-1}(u)du dt. $$

Integrating by parts, we can verify that
\[
\frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{0} F^{-1}(u)du \, dt = \frac{1}{0} (1-t)F^{-1}(t)dt .
\]

Thus

\[
v_F = 2 \int_0^1 (1-t)F^{-1}(t)dt = 2 \int_0^1 F^{-1}(u)du dt ,
\]
or

\[
v_F = 2(\text{CL})_F .
\]

Thus the cumulative total time on test transform is twice the cumulative Lorenz curve.

In order to see the relationship between the Gini index and the cumulative total time on test transform, we note that

\[
G_F = 2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 F_F(p)dp \right]
\]

\[
= 1 - 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^u F^{-1}(u)du dp ,
\]
or

\[
G_F = 1 - v_F .
\]

Thus the Gini index is simply one minus the cumulative total time on test transform.

Relationships (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3) now enable us to state some other results for the Lorenz curve and the Gini index.

4. Some Properties of the Lorenz Curve and the Gini Index

Gastwirth (1972) has given several properties of the Lorenz curve and the Gini index that are of interest. We give here some additional properties which follow naturally from the results of the previous section.

Remark 4.1: \( L_F^{-1} \), the inverse of \( L_F \), is a distribution function with support on \([0,1] \); also \( L_F^{-1} \) is concave.
Proof: The conclusion follows from the fact that

\[ L_F(1) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^1 F^{-1}(p) dp = 1 \]

when \( F(0^-) = 0 \), and that \( L_F^{-1}(p) \) increases in \( p \in [0,1] \). Since \( L_F^{-1}(p) \) is convex, \( L_F^{-1}(p) \) is concave.

We shall make use of Remark 4.1 in Theorem 4.6.

Definition 4.2: Let \( F \) be the class of continuous distributions on \([0,\infty)\), and let \{deg\} be the class of degenerate distributions. Then \( F_1 \) is star ordered with respect to \( F_2 \), denoted by \( F_1 \prec F_2 \), if \( F_1, F_2 \in F \cup \{\text{deg}\} \), and \( \frac{F^{-1}_1(x)}{F^{-1}_2(x)} \) is nondecreasing in \( x \) for \( 0 \leq x \leq F^{-1}_1(1) \).

We shall now state and prove

Theorem 4.3: If \( F_1 \prec F_2 \), and if \( \int_0^\infty x dF_1(x) = \int_0^\infty x dF_2(x) = \mu \), then

\begin{enumerate}
  \item \( L_{F_1}(p) \geq L_{F_2}(p) \)
  \item \( (CL)_{F_1} \geq (CL)_{F_2} \), and
  \item \( G_{F_1} \leq G_{F_2} \).
\end{enumerate}

Proof: Consider \( L_{F_1}(p) - L_{F_2}(p) = \int_0^p \frac{1}{\mu} \left( F^{-1}_1(u) - F^{-1}_2(u) \right) du \); let

\[ h(u) = F^{-1}_1(u) - F^{-1}_2(u) , \]

and note that \( \int_0^1 h(u) du = 0 \). Since \( F_1 \prec F_2 \), by the "single crossing property" of star ordered distributions [cf. Barlow and Proschan (1975), p. 107], it follows that \( h(u) \) changes sign exactly once, and from positive to negative values. Thus,
\[
\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{p} h(u)du \geq 0,
\]
and this completes part (a) of the theorem. Proof of parts (b) and (c) follow from the above result and the definitions of \((\text{CL})_{\bar{F}}\) and \(C_{\bar{F}}\).

If \(F_1 \preccurlyeq F_2\), and if \(F_2\) is taken to be an exponential distribution, then \(F_1\) belongs to the class of distributions which have "increasing failure rate average" [cf. Barlow and Proschan (1975)]. Theorem 7 of Castwirth (1972) is analogous to Theorem 4.3 of this paper. However, our theorem is more general than that of Castwirth, since it applies to a much larger class of distributions.

**Definition 4.4:** Let \(F\) be the class of continuous distributions on \([0,\infty)\), and let \{deg\} be the class of degenerate distributions. Then \(F_1\) is convex ordered with respect to \(F_2\), denoted by \(F_1 \preccurlyeq F_2\), if \(F_1, F_2 \in F \cup \text{deg}\), and \(F_2^{-1}F_1(x)\) is convex in \(x\) for \(0 \leq x \leq F_2^{-1}(1)\).

**Remark 4.5:** \(F_1 \preccurlyeq F_2\) implies \(F_1 \preccurlyeq F_2\) [cf. Barlow and Proschan (1975), p. 107].

In the following theorem we shall show that the convex ordering property is preserved by \(L_{\bar{F}}^{-1}\), the inverse of \(L_{\bar{F}}\). If \(F_1 \preccurlyeq F_2\), and if \(F_2\) is taken to be an exponential distribution, then \(F_1\) belongs to the class of distributions which has an "increasing failure rate" [cf. Barlow and Proschan (1975)].

**Theorem 4.6:** If \(F_1 \preccurlyeq F_2\) then \(L_{\bar{F}_1}^{-1} \preccurlyeq L_{\bar{F}_2}^{-1}\).

**Proof:** We wish to show that \(L_{\bar{F}_2}^{-1}L_{\bar{F}_1}(x)\) is convex in \(0 \leq x \leq F_1^{-1}(1)\).

We shall assume that \(F_1\) and \(F_2\) are absolutely continuous. Then we need only show that \(\frac{d}{dx} L_{\bar{F}_2}^{-1} \left[ L_{\bar{F}_1}^{-1}(x) \right]\) is nondecreasing in \(0 \leq x \leq F_1^{-1}(1)\).
Let $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ be the means of $F_1$ and $F_2$, respectively. Then

$$\frac{d}{dx} l_{F_2}^{-1}(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{\mu_2}}{\frac{1}{\mu_2}} \int_0^1 F_2^{-1}(u) du$$

$$= \frac{F_2^{-1}(l_{F_2}^{-1}(x))}{\mu_2} \frac{dL_{F_2}^{-1}(x)}{dx}.$$

Let

$$x = L_{F_1}^{-1}(p)$$

$$\frac{dx}{dp} = \frac{F_1^{-1}(p)}{\mu_1}$$

$$\frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{\mu_1}{F_1^{-1}(p)} \bigg| \quad p = l_{F_1}^{-1}(x) = \frac{\mu_1}{F_1^{-1}[l_{F_1}^{-1}(x)]}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{dL_{F_1}^{-1}(x)}{dx} = \frac{\mu_1}{F_1^{-1}[l_{F_1}^{-1}(x)]}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\mu_2} \int_0^1 F_2^{-1}(u) du = \frac{\mu_1 F_2^{-1}(l_{F_2}^{-1}(x))}{\mu_2 F_1^{-1}[l_{F_1}^{-1}(x)]}.$$

Since $F_1 \preceq F_2$ implies that $\frac{F_2^{-1}F_1(x)}{x}$ is nondecreasing in $0 \leq x \leq F_1^{-1}(1)$,

and since $F_1^{-1}l_{F_1}^{-1}(x) = t$ is nondecreasing in $0 \leq x \leq F_1^{-1}(1)$, a change of
variable shows that \[ \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \int_0^1 F^{-1}(u) \, du \] is nondecreasing in \( 0 \leq x \leq F^{-1}(1) \). Since continuous distributions can be approximated arbitrarily closely by absolutely continuous distributions, the proof of the theorem is completed.

5. Some Statistics of Interest

Let \( X_1 \leq X_2 \leq \cdots \leq X_n \) denote the order statistics corresponding to a random sample of size \( n \) from a distribution \( F \), where \( F(0^-) = 0 \). The total time on test statistic to the \( i \)th failure, \( T(X(i)) \), is defined by

\[
T(X(i)) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{i} (n-j+1)(X(j) - X(j-1)).
\]

(5.1)

Barlow and Campo (1975) have used the scaled total time on test statistic, \( W\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \), defined as

\[
W\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{(n-j+1)(X(j) - X(j-1))}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} X(j)}.
\]

(5.2)

for analyzing failure data.

The cumulative total time on test statistic, \( V_n \), defined as

\[
V_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} W\left(\frac{i}{n}\right),
\]

has been used by Barlow and Doksum (1972) for testing for exponentiality. They show that a test based on \( V_n \) is asymptotically minimax against a class of alternatives defined by the Kolmogorov distance.
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Gail and Gastwirth (1977a) have proposed a test for exponentiality based on the Lorenz statistic, \( L_n(p) \), defined as

\[
L_n(p) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\lfloor np \rfloor}{n} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor np \rfloor} X_{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{(i)}} ,
\]

where \( 0 < p < 1 \), and \( \lfloor np \rfloor \) is the largest integer in \( np \). The statistic \( L_n(\cdot 5) \) is shown to have good power against a range of alternatives; this is based on a Monte Carlo investigation.

Recently, Gail and Gastwirth (1977) proposed another test for exponentiality based on the Gini statistic, \( C_n \), defined as

\[
C_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i} (X_{(i+1)} - X_{(i)})}{(n-1) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{(i)}} .
\]

(5.5)

Based upon Monte Carlo studies, Gail and Gastwirth (1977b) have concluded that \( C_n \) is more powerful than \( L_n(\cdot 5) \) for \( n=20 \), against most of the alternatives that are studied.

We can easily verify the following relationships between the various test statistics that we have discussed thus far:

\[
W\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = L\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{(n-1)X_{(1)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{(j)}}
\]

(5.6)

and

\[
V_n = 1 - C_n .
\]

(5.7)

In view of (5.7) above, the test for exponentiality based on the Gini statistic is identical to the test for exponentiality based on the cumulative total time on test statistic. Thus, we can say that the test for exponentiality based on the Gini statistic is asymptotically minimax against some restricted alternatives.
The exact distribution of $G_n$ under exponentiality follows from Theorem 6.2 of Barlow, Bartholomew, Bremner and Brunk (1972), and from Equation (5.7) above. Gail and Castwirth (1977b) have also derived the exact distribution of $G_n$, but by using a different argument.

6. The Lorenz Process and its Weak Convergence

Using previous notation, we define the Lorenz process, $(\xi_n(t); 0 \leq t \leq 1)$, as

$$\xi_n(t) = \sqrt{n} \left\{ L_n \left( \frac{i}{n} \right) - L_n(t) \right\}, \quad \frac{i-1}{n} \leq t < \frac{i}{n}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n; \quad (6.1)$$

$$\xi_n(0) = 0.$$ We are interested in the asymptotic behavior of this process for $F$ in general.

Following the notation and terminology of Barlow and Campo (1975), we shall say that a stochastic process $\{W(t); t > 0\}$ is a "Brownian motion process" with drift coefficient equal to 0 if:

(i) $W(0) = 0$;

(ii) $\{W(t), t > 0\}$ has stationary independent increments;

(iii) $W(t)$ is normally distributed with mean 0 and variance $t$, for all $t > 0$.

A process $\{U(t); 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is called a "Brownian Bridge" on $[0,1]$ when $U(t) = W(t) - tW(1), 0 \leq t \leq 1$. Such a process is normal, has all sample paths continuous, $E(U(t)) = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and has covariance $s(1-t)$ for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$. Note that $V(t) = -U(t)$ is also a Brownian Bridge on $[0,1]$.

6.1 Weak convergence of the Lorenz process

Let $v_n(u)$ be a discrete measure putting mass $1/n$ at $u = i/n$, $i=1,2,\ldots,n$. Then
\[ L_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X(j) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{\bar{X}}} \frac{\nu(nu)}{\bar{X}} \, du. \]

where \( \bar{X} \) is defined by \( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X(j)/n \), and \([nu]\) is the greatest integer in \( nu \).

Since \( L_p(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^t F^{-1}(u) \, du \), substitution in (6.1) gives

\[ L_n(t) = \int_0^{\frac{tp}{n}} \sqrt{n} \left\{ \frac{X([nu])}{\bar{X}} - \frac{F^{-1}(u)}{\mu} \right\} \nu_n(u) \, du \]

(6.2)

If we assume that \( \int_0^\infty x \, dF(x) = \infty \), and if \( g = F^{-1} \) has a nonzero continuous derivative \( g' \) on \((0, 1)\), then by Shorack (1972),

\[ \sqrt{n} \left\{ \frac{X([nu])}{\bar{X}} - \frac{F^{-1}(t)}{\mu} \right\} \xrightarrow{P \ n^{\infty}} - \frac{F'(t)}{\mu} U(t) - \frac{g(t)}{\mu^2} Z. \]

In the expression above, \( \xrightarrow{P \ n^{\infty}} \) denotes convergence in probability.

\( U \) is the Brownian Bridge process on \((0, 1)\), and \( Z = \int_0^\infty U[F(x)] \, dx \) is normal with mean 0 and variance \( \sigma_F^2 \), where \( \sigma_F^2 \) is the variance of \( F \).

Since the second term of (6.2) converges deterministically to zero, it follows that

\[ L_n(t) \xrightarrow{P \ n^{\infty}} \int_0^t \left( \frac{g'(u)}{\mu} U(u) + \frac{g(u)}{\mu^2} Z \right) \, du \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{L}(t). \]

We can also express \( \mathcal{L}(t) \) as
\[ \mathcal{L}(t) = -\frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^{F^{-1}(t)} U[F(x)] \, dx - \frac{L_F(t)}{\mu} \int_0^t U[F(x)] \, dx. \]

By a direct but tedious calculation [cf. Gill (1977)], it can be shown that under exponentiality

\[ \text{Var}(\mathcal{L}(t)) = 2(1-t)\ln(1-t) + t + t(1-t) - (t + (1-t)\ln(1-t))^2. \]

Thus, in contrast to an analogous result based on the convergence of the total time on test process [see Barlow and Campo (1975)], under exponentiality \( \mathcal{L}(t) ; 0 \leq t \leq 1 \) is not the Brownian Bridge.

6.2 Uses of the Lorenz process

The Lorenz process can be used to find the asymptotic distribution of

\[ \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sqrt{n} \left| L_n \left( \frac{i}{n} \right) - L_F \left( \frac{i}{n} \right) \right|, \]

which by the invariance principle of Billingsley (1968) is the same as that of

\[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mathcal{L}(t). \]

This statistic can be used to test the hypothesis that the given data has distribution \( F \) versus the general alternative that it does not. As seen at the end of Section 6.1, under exponentiality it is not the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic, and this is not very pleasing.

Another statistic that can be used for the same purpose is the area between the curve of \( L_n \left( \frac{i}{n} \right) \) and the curve of \( L_F(t) \). A consideration of this area leads us to Theorem 6.2, which follows from Theorem 6.6 of Barlow et al. (1972).
Theorem 6.1 [Barlow, Bartholomew, Breimer and Brunk (1972)]: If
\[ \int_0^\infty x dF(x) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2(F) < \infty, \]
where
\[ \sigma^2(F) = 2 \int_0^\infty \left\{ 2[1-F(s)] - V_F \right\} \left[ 2[1-F(t)] - V_F \right] F(s)(1-F(t)) \, ds \, dt, \]
then
\[ \sqrt{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} W\left( \frac{i}{n} \right) - V_F \right\} \xrightarrow{D_{n \to \infty}} N\left( 0, \frac{\sigma^2(F)}{\mu^2} \right). \]

where "\( \xrightarrow{D} \)" denotes convergence in distribution.

Using the fact that \( G_F = 1-V_F \) and \( G_n = 1-V_n \), we are now in a position to obtain the limiting distribution of the Gini statistic. We have

Theorem 6.2: Under the conditions of Theorem 6.1,
\[ \sqrt{n} \left( G_n - G_F \right) \xrightarrow{D_{n \to \infty}} N\left( 0, \frac{\sigma^2(F)}{\mu^2} \right). \]

In the case of \( F \) exponential, \( G_F = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \sigma^2(F) = \frac{1}{12} \). Thus
\[ \sqrt{12n} \left( G_n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \xrightarrow{D_{n \to \infty}} N(0,1), \]
a result also obtained by Gail and Gastwirth (1977b) using some arguments due to Hoeffding (1949).

7. The Lorenz Curve and the Mean Residual Lifetime

Bryson and Siddiqui (1969) and also Hollander and Proschan (1975) have pointed out that the notion of "mean residual lifetime" is especially useful for the analysis of biological data. In this section we point out the relationship between the Lorenz curve and the mean residual lifetime.
Such a relationship suggests to us that the Lorenz curve methods, which have so far been mainly used in the social sciences, could also be used in the biological sciences. This possibility has also been hinted at by Thompson (1976).

The mean residual lifetime corresponding to a random variable X with distribution $F$, $F(0^-) = 0$, is defined in

Definition 7.1:

$$
\varepsilon_F(x) = \frac{\int_0^x \frac{1}{F(u)} \, du}{F(x)}.
$$

We say that a distribution $F$ has a decreasing (increasing) mean residual lifetime if $\varepsilon_F(x)$ is decreasing (increasing) in $x$ for all $x > 0$.

Bryson and Siddiqui (1969) have used the decreasing mean residual lifetime property to interpret some survival data on patients suffering from leukemia.

If we denote the mean of $F$ by $\mu$, then we can write $\varepsilon_F(x)$ as

$$
\varepsilon_F(x) = \frac{\mu \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^x F(u) \, du \right]}{F(x)}.
$$

From Definition 2.2, it follows that

$$
\frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^x F(u) \, du = \nu_F(F(x)) ;
$$

thus

$$
\varepsilon_F(x) = \frac{\mu \left[ 1 - \nu_F(F(x)) \right]}{F(x)}.
$$

The above expression when used with Equation (3.1) gives us a relationship between $\varepsilon_F(x)$ and $L_F(\cdot)$; specifically, we have
In order to demonstrate the use of the Lorenz curve for biological applications, we shall consider the data given by Bryson and Siddiqui (1969). These data pertain to survival times (in days), from the time of diagnosis, of patients suffering from chronic granulocytic leukemia. The ordered 43 survival times in days are: 7, 47, 58, 74, 177, 232, 273, 285, 317, 429, 440, 445, 455, 468, 495, 497, 532, 571, 579, 581, 650, 702, 715, 779, 881, 900, 930, 968, 1077, 1109, 1314, 1334, 1367, 1534, 1712, 1784, 1877, 1886, 2045, 2056, 2260, 2429, 2509.

If we denote the number of survivors at time \( x \) by \( S \), and if the size of the initial population is denoted by \( n \), then Bryson and Siddiqui estimate the mean residual life at time \( x \) by

\[
\hat{\mu}(x) = S^{-1} \sum (x_j - x),
\]

where \( x_j \) denotes the survival time of the \( j \)th element and the sum is for those having survived up to time \( x \).

In Figure 7.1 we show a plot of \( \hat{\mu}(x) \) versus the time \( x \), for the data in question. Thus, the distribution of survival times has a decreasing mean residual life; this conclusion is based upon an inspection of Figure 7.1.

In Figure 7.2 we give a plot of the sample Lorenz curve for these data. The sample Lorenz curve is simply a plot of the Lorenz statistic \( L_n(p) \) (defined in Section 5) versus \( p \), \( 0 < p < 1 \). The sample Lorenz curve \( L_n(p) \) represents the proportion of the total lifetime contributed by the least fortunate \( p \cdot 100 \) percent of the patients; for example, 50% of the patients contribute only 20% of the total lifetime. The sample Lorenz curve can also be used to compare the heterogeneity of the survival patterns of two groups of patients. To illustrate this, we give in Figure 7.3 the Lorenz curves for the data on the survival times of guinea pigs considered by Doksum (1974). The Lorenz curve for the "control
Figure 7.1—Sample mean residual lifetime versus time of leukemia patients
Figure 7.2—Sample Lorenz curve versus proportion of leukemia patients
Figure 7.3—Sample Lorenz curves versus proportion of guinea pigs.
group" lies below the Lorenz curve for the "treatment group" for $p$ less than about .8; the curves cross near $p \approx .8$. Thus, initially the treatment group is less heterogeneous than the control group and the reverse is true later on. This can also be verified by an inspection of the actual data.
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