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If a specimen is subjected to some kind of cyclic loading with a maximum load level $S$, which is larger than the fatigue limit of the specimen, then the strength of the specimen will gradually decrease until its residual strength $R$ reaches the value $S$, when static failure occurs.

This deterioration process may, as indicated, be described graphically by an $R$-$S$-$N$-diagram or analytically by a set of parameter functions of $S$ and $N$.

If now a discrete load peak of known level $L$ is imposed upon the specimen after $N$ fatigue cycles, then the probability of failure may be directly...
read from the diagram or computed by use of the parameter functions.

A generalization of the R-S-N-diagram is proposed in order to make it applicable to the case, when the discrete load peaks are replaced by sequences of different cyclic loadings.

By use of this diagram it has been proved that Miner's measure of cumulative fatigue damage $M = \left( \sum_{i} n_{i} \right) / N$ depends on the order in which the different sequences are applied, a defect which has been repeatedly verified by experiment.

$$\sum_{n_{sub i}} \frac{1}{N_{sub i}}$$
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1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a specimen subjected to some kind of cyclic loading, random or of constant amplitude, with a maximum load level $S$. If now $S > S_0$ — the fatigue limit of the specimen, then the specimen will, by definition, fail after a finite number $N$ of cycles. This will happen, when its gradually decreasing residual strength $R$ has reached the value of the applied maximum load level $S$. Thus, a fatigue failure is, in fact, always in principle a static failure.

It should be noted that $S$ and $R$ — the residual strength of an individual specimen, are real quantities, whereas the residual strength $R$ of a specified type of specimens is a random variable, which has to be defined by a distribution function $F(r) = \text{Prob}(R \leq r)$, such that $F(r_0) = 0$, that is, $r_0$ is the lower limit of the distribution. The function $F(r)$ contains parameters, one of them being $r_0$, which will gradually change during the fatigue process, thus being functions of $S$ and $N$.

If now a discrete load peak of level $L$ is imposed upon the specimen at the time $N$, then nothing will happen, if $L < r_0$, whereas failure may occur, if $L > r_0$. The probability of this failure is given by $P = F(L)$. Thus it is obvious that the fundamental problem of estimating the probability of failure due to the joint effect of a specified cyclic loading and discrete load peaks consists in a determination of the $R$-$S$-$N$-diagram, which provides the relationship between the applied cyclic loading with the maximum load level $S$, the gradually decreasing residual strength $R$ of the specimen, and the number of cycles $N$ actually imposed upon the specimen.

2. THE $R$-$S$-$N$-DIAGRAM

2.1 Graphical Representations

The random variable $R$ is specified by its distribution function $F(r)$, as indicated in Figure 1. This function provides all information about $R$. For the purpose of graphical representations of the diagram it will be more convenient to use the percentiles $r_p$ defined by

$$P = F(r_p) \quad \text{or} \quad r_p = F^{-1}(P) \quad (1)$$

and let the $R$-$S$-$N$-diagram be represented by a family of curves of the percentiles

$$r_p = f_p(S,N)$$
for a properly selected set of percentage points $P$, for instance, $P = 0, 10, 50, 90, 99\%$, as indicated in Figure 2. It is obvious that this diagram depends on the type of cyclic loading in question, each of which requires its proper diagram. The distribution of the fatigue life of the specimen is also provided by the family of the percentiles $N_P$, where $N_P$ denotes the number of cycles at which $P\%$ of a set of specimens have failed after having been subjected to the same cyclic loading with the maximum load level $S$.

The shape of the $r$-curves has to be experimentally determined, as will be demonstrated in the following by an example. An important property of them is already given in a report by D. Broek: (1). It is summarized as follows: "Specimens of 2024-T3 and 7075-T6 aluminum alloy sheet containing fine saw cuts appear to have the same residual strength as specimens with fatigue cracks of the same length. The stress level at which the fatigue cracks were grown does not affect the residual strength properties." It may thus be concluded that, at least for this type of specimens, the residual strength is a unique function of the crack length, which implies that these curves are composed of two parts, the first one corresponding to the initiation and the second one to the propagation of the crack. During the first period the residual strength seems to be practically constant, whereas it will, during the second period, decrease rapidly with the growth of the crack.

Another conclusion of great importance for the numerical evaluation of the test data, on which the diagram will be based, is, as indicated in Figure 2, that the fatigue procedure has to be divided into four periods:

a) $(0 - N)$: None of the specimens contains a fatigue crack. The residual strength is practically constant. The distribution of $R$ is identical with that of $R_0$, that is, the virgin strength of the specimens.

b) $(N - N_b)$: Some of the specimens will contain fatigue cracks. During this period the distribution of $R$ is a two-component one and has to be specified by two different functions.

c) $(N_b - N)$: All specimens will contain fatigue cracks and the distribution of $R$ may be specified by a single function.

d) $(N - N_f)$: Some of the specimens may have failed. The distribution of $R$ will be truncated.
If now an R-S-N-diagram has been set up, then the probability of failure at the occurrence of a load peak is easily found. For instance, as indicated in Figure 2, a load peak L, occurring at the time N, will produce a failure with the probability 90%, as may be directly read from the diagram.

2.2 Analytical Representations

For more precise evaluations it is preferable to represent the diagram by a set of parameter functions, as indicated below.

Let us assume the distribution function

\[ P = F(r) = 1 - e^{-\left((r-r_u)/r_0\right)^m} \quad \text{for } r \geq r_u \]

\[ = 0 \quad \text{for } r < r_u \]

where \( m, r_0, r_u \) are the shape, scale, and location parameters, respectively. It is obvious that \( r_u \) is identical with \( r_0 \) for \( P=0 \).

The parameters now have to be estimated for any given cyclic loading from measured values of the residual strength of a sufficient number of specimens at several different times \( N \).

For the first and the third period of the fatigue procedure, mentioned above, it will be possible to represent the R-S-N-relationship by a set of three functions of the parameters

\[ m = f_m(S,N) \]

\[ r_0 = f_o(S,N) \]

\[ r_u = f_u(S,N) \]  

(4)

It is believed that these three sets of parameter functions may be used also to specify the partial distributions of the second and fourth periods.

The necessary procedures for setting up such a diagram will now, as an orientation, be illustrated by a test program.
3. EXPERIMENTAL DETERMINATION OF AN R-S-N-DIAGRAM

3.1 The Specimens

One hundred aluminum alloy sheet specimens, containing a central, drilled hole, of appropriate dimensions with regard to available testing machines, are fabricated at the same time. Five groups, Nrs. 1-5, each of nine specimens, are taken out at random.

3.2 The Virgin Tensile Strength $R_0$

The tensile strength of the specimens of Group Nr. 1 are measured and plotted in the diagram as the first points of the $r_c$-curves, corresponding to the probabilities $P = 10, 20, ..., 90\%$. They will be denoted by $R_{01}, R_{02}, ..., R_{09}$.

3.3 Specification of the Cyclic Loading

For this first illustration of the test procedure the most simple type of cyclic loading will be used, consisting in axial load cycles of constant amplitude with the lower load level $S_{\text{min}} = 0$ and the upper load level $S_{\text{max}} = 0.75R_0$. It is believed that this cyclic loading will satisfy the requirement that all specimens subjected to it will fail within a reasonable number of cycles.

3.4 The Fatigue Life $N_0$

The specimens of Group Nr. 2 are subjected to the above-mentioned cyclic loading until all of the specimens have failed. The fatigue lives, denoted by $N_{01}, ..., N_{09}$, are plotted in the diagram.

3.5 The Residual Strength $R_1$

The specimens of Group Nr. 3 are subjected to the cyclic loading during a time $N_1 = 0.5N_0$, after which the fatigue procedure is discontinued, and the residual strength of the specimens are measured. It is believed that none of the specimens will fail in fatigue. The strengths, denoted by $R_{11}, R_{12}, ..., R_{19}$, are plotted in the diagram.

3.6 The Residual Strength $R_2$

The specimens of Group Nr. 4 are subjected to the cyclic loading during the time $N_2 = N_0$, after which the fatigue procedure is discontinued, and the residual strength of the unfailed specimens, denoted by $R_{21}$, are measured and plotted in the diagram.
3.7 The Residual Strength $R_3$

The specimens of Group Nr. 5 are subjected to the cyclic loading during a time $N_3 = N_{o5}$. About fifty percent of the specimens are expected to fail in fatigue. The residual strength of the remaining specimens, denoted by $R_{31}$, are measured and plotted in the diagram.

If now nine curves, each passing through the five points of the same order number are drawn, the R-S-N-diagram is completed. The shape of this diagram will decide the continued use of the remaining 55 specimens, in order to obtain the best possible estimates of the parameter functions (4).

4. A GENERALIZED R-S-N-DIAGRAM

The R-S-N-diagram was initially designed for the purpose of predicting the life of a system in an operational environment of a specified cyclic load and superimposed, randomly distributed, discrete load peaks.

It can, however, be adapted for more general purposes, viz., for environments where the discrete load peaks are replaced by sequences of different cyclic loads. We thus arrive at the problem of predicting life of systems which are subjected to several different cyclic loadings, which follow after each other in a prescribed order during prescribed periods.

This problem will be solved by setting up, for each involved, specified cyclic loading, its R-S-N-diagram and combining these diagrams.

The procedure will be illustrated by the simple case of two different cyclic loadings with the maximum load levels $S_1$ and $S_2$, as indicated in Figure 3. For each of them the family of $r_p$-curves are supposed to be known, but for the further discussions only one of each are needed, $r_{1p}$ and $r_{2p}$, corresponding to the same but so far unspecified percentage point $P$. These two curves start at the same point $R_{oP}$ but end at different fatigue lives, $N_{1p}$ and $N_{2p}$.

Let us now examine with reference to Figure 3 two different programs.

Program 1: Load $S_1$ is applied during $n_1 = 0.5 N_{1p}$ cycles, resulting in a reduced residual strength $R_1$ after which $S_1$ is applied until failure occurs with a probability of P%. The residual strength $R_1$, which was obtained by Load $S_1$, after $n_1$ cycles, could have been obtained by Load $S_2$ after $n_2$ cycles.
According to the finding of Broek, the residual strength properties are not affected by the stress levels at which the cracks are grown. Hence it can be concluded that, if Load $S_2$ is applied, failure will occur after $(N_2 - n_2)$ cycles.

If now this program, that is, Load $S_1$, is applied during $n_1$ cycles and Load $S_2$ during $(N_2 - n_2)$ cycles, is imposed upon a number of specimens and Diagram 3 corresponds to $P=0$, then it follows that none of the specimens will fail. If, on the other hand, Diagram 3 corresponds to $P = 50\%$, then fifty percent of them will fail.

Program 2. Load nr.$S_2$ is applied during $n_3 = 0.5.N_2$ cycles after which Load nr.$S_1$ is applied during $(N_1 - n_4)$ cycles, when the specified failure will occur.

5. CHECKING THE MINER'S RULE OF CUMULATIVE FATIGUE DAMAGE BY MEANS OF THE GENERALIZED R-S-N-DIAGRAM

Miner has proposed as a measure $M$ of the amount of cumulative fatigue damage

$$M = \sum \frac{n_i}{N_i}$$

where

$n_i$ = the actually imposed number of cycles of the $i$:th load and

$N_i$ = the corresponding fatigue life

with the criterion that failure will occur when $M$ reaches the value $M = 1$. No consideration is taken to the probability of this failure.

Let us now compute the values of $M$ for the two programs above. From Figure 3 we obtain:

Program nr.1: $M = 2/4 + 10/16 = 1.125$

Program nr.2: $M = 8/16 + 1/4 = 0.75$

This result proves that Miner's measure is not independent of the order in which the different loads are applied, a conclusion which has been repeatedly verified by experiment, and which makes this rule very unreliable.

A more reliable result will be obtained by using the percentile $r$ with properly specified value of $P$ as a measure of the cumulative fatigue damage and with the criterion, that failure will occur with the
probability $P_r$, when $r_p = S_{\text{max}}$.

6. **ANALYTICAL REPRESENTATIONS OF THE $r_p$-FUNCTIONS**

It is always possible to determine these functions by properly designed fatigue tests, but analytical representation of them are very desirable.

As a first approach the following expression is proposed, because it covers essential properties of the functions.

$$\frac{dR}{dN} = -a(S-S_0)^b/(R-S)^c$$

(6)

where $R$ stands for anyone of the percentiles $r$ and $a, b, c$ are coefficients, which will be experimentally determined. It is not excluded that these coefficients are independent of $P$. 
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