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ABSTRACT

The object of this project was to determine the effect of spot and stud welding on heat-treated ESR armor. It was noted that a hard layer of untempered martensite formed in the base metal below the spot and stud welds. Variations in welding technique did not remove or reduce this hard layer. The hard layer caused the welded appendage to be separated from the plate by the shock wave produced by ballistic impact. Heat treatment of the stud welds reduced the hardness of the hard layer without significant effect on the base plate. This reduced the tendency for the appendage to spall off as a result of the ballistic shock wave.
INTRODUCTION

During field repair or while making additions on armored vehicles it is necessary to attach items to steel armor in its final heat-treated condition. Stud and spot welding are two of the welding processes that are used in making such attachments.

Both of these processes are fusion welding techniques involving concentrated high temperatures that cause localized melting and heat treatment in the area of the weldment. These inherent characteristics cause localized microstructural changes, residual stresses, and chemical inhomogeneity. The magnitude of these items depend on many variables, including the composition of materials and the size and geometry of the components. Generally, the evaluation of weldments of these types does not include the effects that the welding will have on the properties of the parent metal.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the project is to determine the effect that stud and spot welding have on the properties of electroslag-remelted (ESR) 4340 steel armor.

MATERIALS

The armor used in this program was commercially produced ESR 4340 steel in thicknesses of 3/8 inch and 1/2 inch. Studs were of AISI 1022 and 304 stainless steels. For each composition, 1/4-inch-diameter and 3/8-inch-diameter studs with solid flux were used. For spot welds, AISI 1015 steel sheet 0.058 inch thick and 304 stainless steel sheet 0.075 inch thick were used. Composition of the materials used is given in Table 1.

The studs and the sheet were used in the as-received condition. The 4340 armor plate was heat treated as follows.

The 3/8-inch-thick plate was austenitized at 1575 F for 1 hour, oil quenched, and tempered at 300 F for 2-1/2 hours, and air cooled. The 1/2-inch-thick plate was austenitized at 1575 F for 1 hour, oil quenched, and tempered at 375 F for 3 hours, and air cooled. Both plates had a Rockwell C hardness of 55 (Vickers hardness 600).

Table 1. COMPOSITION OF MATERIALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ESR 4340</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 1015</td>
<td>0.15-0.18</td>
<td>0.30-0.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>max</td>
<td>max</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AISI 1022</td>
<td>0.18-0.23</td>
<td>0.7-1.0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>max</td>
<td>max</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304 S.S.</td>
<td>0.08 max</td>
<td>2.0 max</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0 max</td>
<td>8.0-12.0</td>
<td>18.0-20.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WELDING EQUIPMENT

The spot welds were made with an automatic three-phase welder which had a maximum secondary amperage of 70,000 amps. The following controls were used in making the weldments: squeeze time, weld time, hold time, heating cycle, cooling cycle, load force, and weld phase shift which is a percentage of the maximum current.

The stud welds were made using a hand-held gun with a special fixture to assure perpendicular and reproducible weldments. The amperage and length of contact time could be set at any predetermined value within the limits of the equipment. The amperage limits were 0 to 600 amperes and the time limits were 0.2 to 1.4 seconds.

PROCEDURE

The spot welds were initially made with a single impulse. The hold time was 0.6 second; the squeeze time 0.3 second; the heat time varied from 1 to 6 cycles; the weld phase shift was set at four different levels: 70, 80, 90, and 100; and the load force was 1900 pounds. A shear test was used to evaluate the weldments.

Using these parameters, a series of 1-inch square pieces of sheet were spot welded to the armor plate, with a space of 3 inches between centers. The hold time, squeeze time, and load force were the same as above. Five and six heat cycles were used, with weld phase shift settings of 70, 80, and 90.

For ballistic tests .30 caliber AP projectiles were fired at the 3/8-inch plate, and .50 caliber AP projectiles were fired at the 1/2-inch plate, both at 0° obliquity. The velocity of the projectiles was varied to obtain a V50 value, which is the average of the highest velocity that does not penetrate and the lowest velocity that does.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the ballistic tests of the above plates, half the 1-inch squares were separated from the armor plate by the shock wave generated from projectiles fired at other squares. Examination of the spot welds showed excessive weld penetration, some fine cracks in the weld, and small weld nuggets. To improve the weldments, the top electrode was changed from 1/4-inch diameter to one with a 5/8-inch diameter and a 4-inch diameter curvature, and the bottom electrode was changed to a flat one with a 5/8-inch diameter. Although this change did improve the nugget size, it did not improve penetration or reduce the cracking tendency.

A multipulse spot welding technique with the electrodes described above was also evaluated. In this technique the current is applied in a series of on-off pulses which allow the heat to penetrate further into the armor plate. The variables used in making the multipulse spot welds were as follows:
Squeeze Time 0.3 second
Weld Time 2.25 seconds
Hold Time 3.00 seconds
Heat 5 cycles
Cool 3 cycles
Load Force 1900 pounds
Weld Phase Shift
3/8-inch plate and low carbon steel 68
3/8-inch plate and stainless steel 64
1/2-inch plate and low carbon steel 68
1/2-inch plate and stainless steel 65

This technique produced sounder weldments which fractured at a shear load of 5100 to 5600 pounds as compared to 3600 to 4600 pounds for the single pulse weldments. The ballistic tests on the 3/8-inch plates gave \( V_{50} \) values of 2044 ft/sec to 1857 ft/sec for welded plate as compared to 1937 ft/sec for the unwelded plate. For the 1/2-inch plate the welded values were 2443 ft/sec to 2299 ft/sec as compared to 2433 ft/sec for the unwelded plate.

Although these values were in an acceptable range, metallographic examination of similar welds showed porosity in the 3/8-inch plate (Figure 1). In an attempt to reduce the porosity, weldments were made on the 1/2-inch plate as well as the 3/8-inch plate using a load force of 2200 pounds. With the increased load force it was found that a lower weld phase shift would be required to prevent expulsion. On the 3/8-inch plate the weld phase shift setting was in the range of 52 to 55 and on the 1/2-inch plate the weld phase shift setting was in the range of 62 to 68.

During the ballistic testing 75% of the squares separated from the plates from the first round fired at each plate. Similar weldments were made for metallographic and hardness studies from which it was observed that a narrow band existed below the weld zone which was extremely hard (Vickers hardness 650 to 770). Several attempts were made to reduce the magnitude of the hardness variation. In these attempts a weld decay cycle, various multipulse heat-cool cycle combinations and weld phase shift variations were used, none of which produced satisfactory weldments without the high hardness layer.

Figure 1. Porosity in 3/8-inch base metal. Mag. 4X
For the stud welds the bend test mentioned in the American Welding Society's publication, Recommended Practices for Stud Welding (AWS Specification C5.5-74), was used for evaluation of the weldments. This test calls for bending the stud 15° with a special tool and observing whether cracking occurs. For each combination of stud size, stud composition, and armor plate thickness, several variations of time and current were tried. The following combinations produced satisfactory welds that repeatedly passed the bend test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Studs</th>
<th>3/8 inch thick</th>
<th>1/2 inch thick</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/8-inch low carbon</td>
<td>0.4 sec at 600 A or 0.5 sec at 550 A</td>
<td>0.4 sec at 600 A or 0.5 sec at 550 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8-inch 304 stainless</td>
<td>0.5 sec at 450 A</td>
<td>0.6 sec at 350 A or 0.4 sec at 500 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4-inch low carbon</td>
<td>0.2 sec at 500 A or 0.3 sec at 450 A</td>
<td>0.2 sec at 500 A or 0.3 sec at 450 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4-inch 304 stainless</td>
<td>0.25 sec at 350 A</td>
<td>0.3 sec at 300 A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although stud welds made with the above settings passed the bend test, a large percentage of them were separated from the plate by the ballistic shock wave during ballistic testing. The ballistic tests were the same as those used for spot-welded plates. Metallographic and hardness surveys showed that a narrow hard layer of material was present just below the stud. This is similar to that found in the spot weldments. Figure 2 shows the narrow band of high hardness material that appears between the stud or platelet and the base material.

Figure 2. Hard layer of untempered martensite between stud or platelet and base material. Mag. 33X
On the stud weldments, since the variations that could be used to make the welds were limited, an extensive effort was made to soften the layer by means of localized heat treatment. The first attempt at localized heat treatment was to pass a current from the welding power source through the stud into the plate. Several types of electrical contacts to the stud were used. These included spring clamps, cylinders held in place with set screws, two half-cylinders clamped around the stud, and cylinders that were press fitted to the stud. This form of heat treating did soften the layer at the base of the stud, however, because of poor electrical contact between the fixture and the stud, it was not possible to obtain reproducible temperatures at the point of interest.

Induction heating was also tried, using a small coil around the base of the stud. However, in order to get sufficient heat to the base of the stud it was necessary to heat the stud excessively. To alleviate this problem, a small hollow cylinder of graphite with the internal diameter 1/16 inch larger than the diameter of the stud, the wall thickness about 1/16 inch, and the height 5/16 inch, was placed around the stud. This was insulated from the three-turn induction coil by a 1/8-inch-thick wall ceramic sleeve. Using this setup (schematic shown in Figure 3) it was possible to heat the specimens up to at least 800 F in 1 to 2 minutes. It was also possible to reproduce the heat treatments within plus or minus 20 F of the desired temperature basing the heat treating on the time of heating.

The initial heat treating temperature selected was 400 F, slightly above the tempering temperature of the 4340 plate. Metallographic and hardness surveys of specimens so treated indicated no change in peak hardness, no variation of hardness differential, and the continued presence of a hard layer below the welded stud.

The next series of specimens were heated to 800 F and air cooled. These specimens showed a decrease in hardness within the hard layer with little or no reduction in hardness of the stud or base metal. Figure 4 shows a typical hardness survey of the as-welded and the welded-and-heat-treated stud weldments. Ballistic tests of specimens heat treated to 800 F showed a great reduction in the number of studs separated from the plate by ballistic shock. The decrease

Figure 3. Schematic cross-sectional view showing induction heating setup.
Figure 4. Typical hardness surveys on as-welded and welded plus heat-treated stud welds.

was from between 50% to 75% of the as-welded studs to less than 10% for the welded-and-heat-treated studs. Metallographic examination of the 10% that separated indicated that there was high probability that welding flaws caused the failure, not the hard layer.

The experimental work on this program was performed using an electroslag-reremelted steel. However, it is believed that similar results would be obtained on any steel alloy whose chemical composition was such as to produce hard microstructures at the cooling rates involved.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Spot welding or stud welding on armor such as ESR 4340 produces a hard layer of material beneath the weld that is brittle and subject to failure by shock waves produced by ballistic impact.

2. Welding variations within the range of the equipment used could not eliminate or reduce the hardness of the hard layer in spot or stud weldments.

3. Heat treatments were devised for the stud welds that would reduce the hardness of the hard layer with minimal effect on the base material.
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The object of this project was to determine the effect of spot and stud welding on heat-treated ESR armor. It was noted that a hard layer of untempered martensite formed in the base metal below the spot and stud welds. Variations in welding technique did not remove or reduce this hard layer. The hard layer caused the welded appendage to be separated from the plate by the shock wave produced by ballistic impact. Heat treatment of the stud welds reduced the hardness of the hard layer without significant effect on the base plate. This reduced the tendency for the appendage to spall off as a result of the ballistic shock wave.