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Underwater Explosions Research Division has developed a shock block technique for generating underwater plane waves for the Defense Nuclear Agency. The technique was designed to produce a pulse that would simulate the pulse generated by an underwater nuclear explosion and was developed to improve the current method of loading submarine sections in which the energy source is concentrated as either a large sphere or a single line of explosive.
SRI International has evaluated the shock block technique. This report discusses our work and recommends improvements. Examination of the experimental results revealed that the pulse generated by the equally spaced array of horizontal strands of Primacord explosive forming the shock block was of much shorter duration than predicted by superposition of the pulses from the individual strands. Instead of the required long rectangular pulse, the technique produces a short half-sine wave pulse.

We postulated that the expanding cylindrical shock wave from a single detonated strand is reflected by the similar shock waves from the neighboring strands to cause converging unstable flow of the hot gas filament. This mixing flow enhances energy extraction by transferring heat from the hot gas to the water throughout the volume of the gas filament. We conducted simple experiments with a thin strand of explosive located on the axis of a water-filled steel pipe and produced results that are consistent with the quenching postulate.

Our work suggested the use of a helical coil of Primacord wrapped on a disposable cylindrical mandrel as an alternative to the straight strand of Primacord. The coil axis is horizontal and the pitch is the smallest that allows reliable detonation of the complete strand forming the helix without appreciable displacement. Simple experiments with coils in a water-filled steel tube produced rectangular pulses of durations equal to detonation times. In these simulations, high frequency pressure oscillations are superposed on the rectangular pulse; however, in an array, oscillations will be of much higher frequency so that the pulse may be smoothed out by viscous damping.
Conversion factors for U.S. customary to metric (SI) units of measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To Convert From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Multiply By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>angstrom</td>
<td>meters (m)</td>
<td>1.000 000 X E -10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atmosphere (normal)</td>
<td>kilo pascal (kPa)</td>
<td>1.013 25 X E +2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bar</td>
<td>kilo pascal (kPa)</td>
<td>1.000 000 X E +2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barn</td>
<td>meter$^2$ (m$^2$)</td>
<td>1.000 000 X E -28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British thermal unit (thermochemical)</td>
<td>joule (J)</td>
<td>1.054 350 X E +3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calorie (thermochemical)</td>
<td>joule (J)</td>
<td>4.184 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cal (thermochemical)/cm$^2$</td>
<td>mega joule/m$^2$ (MJ/m$^2$)</td>
<td>4.184 000 X E -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>curve</td>
<td>joule (J)</td>
<td>3.700 000 X E +1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degree (angle)</td>
<td>radial (rad)</td>
<td>1.745 329 X E -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degree Fahrenheit</td>
<td>joule (J)</td>
<td>3.630 795 X E +1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>electron volt</td>
<td>joule (J)</td>
<td>1.602 18 X E -19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erg</td>
<td>joule (J)</td>
<td>1.000 000 X E -7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erg/second</td>
<td>watt (W)</td>
<td>1.000 000 X E -7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foot</td>
<td>meter (m)</td>
<td>3.048 000 X E -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foot-pound-force</td>
<td>joule (J)</td>
<td>1.355 818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gallon (U. S. liquid)</td>
<td>meter$^3$ (m$^3$)</td>
<td>3.785 412 X E -3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inch</td>
<td>meter (m)</td>
<td>2.540 000 X E -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jerk</td>
<td>joule (J)</td>
<td>1.000 000 X E +9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>joule/kilogram (J/kg) (radiation dose absorbed)</td>
<td>Gray (Gy)</td>
<td>1.000 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kiloton</td>
<td>terajoules</td>
<td>4.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kip (1000 lb)</td>
<td>newton (N)</td>
<td>4.448 222 X E +3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kip/inch$^2$ (ksi)</td>
<td>kilo pascal (kPa)</td>
<td>6.894 757 X E +3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kip/foot$^2$</td>
<td>newton-second/m$^2$ (N-s/m$^2$)</td>
<td>1.371 583 X E -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>micron</td>
<td>meter (m)</td>
<td>1.609 344 X E -3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mil</td>
<td>meter (m)</td>
<td>2.540 000 X E -5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mile (international)</td>
<td>meter (m)</td>
<td>1.609 344 X E -3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ounce</td>
<td>kilogram (kg)</td>
<td>2.834 952 X E -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound-force (lbs avoirdupois)</td>
<td>newton (N)</td>
<td>4.448 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound-force inch</td>
<td>newton-meter (N-m)</td>
<td>1.129 884 X E -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound-force/inch</td>
<td>newton/meter (N/m)</td>
<td>1.129 884 X E -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound-force/foot$^2$</td>
<td>kilo pascal (kPa)</td>
<td>4.788 026 X E -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound-force/foot$^2$ (psi)</td>
<td>kilo pascal (kPa)</td>
<td>6.894 757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound-mass (Ibm avoirdupois)</td>
<td>kilogram (kg)</td>
<td>4.535 924 X E -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound-mass/foot$^3$ (moment of inertia)</td>
<td>kilogram-meter$^2$ (kg·m$^2$)</td>
<td>4.214 011 X E -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pound-mass/foot$^3$</td>
<td>kilogram/meter$^3$ (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>1.333 22 X E -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rad (radiation dose absorbed)</td>
<td>Gray (Gy)</td>
<td>1.000 000 X E +1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>roentgen</td>
<td>coulomb/kilogram (C/kg)</td>
<td>2.579 760 X E -4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shake</td>
<td>second (s)</td>
<td>1.000 000 X E -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slug</td>
<td>kilogram (kg)</td>
<td>1.459 300 X E +1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>torr (mm Hg, °C)</td>
<td>kilo pascal (kPa)</td>
<td>1.333 22 X E -1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The becquerel (Bq) is the SI unit of radioactivity; 1 Bq = 1 event/s.
**The Gray (Gy) is the SI unit of absorbed radiation.
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The Underwater Explosions Research Division (UERD)* is developing for DNA a technique for generating a plane wave in water to simulate the pulse generated by an underwater nuclear explosion. The technique is being developed to improve the current method of loading submarine sections in which the energy source is concentrated as a large sphere or as a single line of explosive.

Our objective is to assist DNA and UERD in developing this technique. This report examines the shock block version, which is a square array of equally spaced parallel line charges of Primacord, as shown in Figure 1. The examination consists of evaluating the results of UERD tests carried out with a single horizontal strand, a short vertical sheet of horizontal strands, and the small final square array of horizontal strands. The evaluation is aimed at assessing the suitability of the method for testing full-scale submarine sections. In addition to providing a plane wave, the pulse shape should have a pressure that rises rapidly to a plateau where it should remain long enough to provide the required pulse length. Our main conclusion is that the shock block generates a plane wave pulse having pressures that are large enough but a rise time that requires shortening. The most important limitation is that the pulse duration of the horizontal strands is much shorter than that of the single strand. Section III explains this pulse shortening. The mechanism that shortens the pulse appears to be inherent in the shock block configuration; the pulse duration would not be lengthened by increasing the strand lengths. Section IV suggests an alternative configuration of the Primacord array.

*David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research and Development Center, Portsmouth, Virginia 23709.
FIGURE 1  SHOCK BLOCK CONFIGURATION
II  EXAMINATION OF THE UERD TEST RESULTS

Our approach to the examination of the UERD test results was to superpose the pressure pulses obtained from the single strand test in an attempt to reproduce the pulses of the sheet and block tests.

Figures 2, 3, and 4 show the configurations for the single strand, the sheet, and the block tests along with the pressure transducer locations. The pressure pulses recorded at location 4 for the line, sheet, and block sources are shown in Figures 5, 6, and 7. The corresponding pulse durations are approximately 3.2, 1.6, and 0.9 msec so that the durations of the sheet and block pulses are considerably shorter than the duration of the line pulse.

Each pulse in the line test was represented by the formula

\[ P(r,t) = P_n(r)e^{-\alpha_n(t-t_n)} \quad t > t_n \]  

(1)

to facilitate the pressure superposition process, where \( P_n \) is the initial peak pressure, \( t_n \) is the arrival time, and \( \alpha_n \) is a decay parameter. The subscript \( n \) gives the location number shown in Figure 2 (\( n = 1-5, 7-9, 11, 12 \)). The values of \( \alpha_n \) and \( P_n \) were determined for each location by equating the pressure \( P \) in formula (1) to the pressure recorded at times \( t_n - t = 0.25 \) and 1.25 msec. Table 1 lists the resulting values of \( \alpha_n \) and \( P_n \). The pulse is insensitive to the angle \( \theta \) between the strand axis and a ray from the end next to the pressure transducers; in Test 8830, the angular range was \( 0 \leq \theta \leq 45^\circ \). Thus, dependence on \( \theta \) was omitted from formula (1). The peak pressure was represented by

\[ P_n = \left( \frac{r_2}{r_n} \right)^{\lambda_n} P_2 \]
FIGURE 2  CONFIGURATION OF UERD TEST 8830 FOR PRESSURES FROM A SINGLE STRAND OF PRIMACORD
FIGURE 3 CONFIGURATION OF UERD TEST 8838 FOR Pressures FROM A SHEET OF 10 STRANDS OF PRIMACORD
FIGURE 4 CONFIGURATION OF UERD TEST 8842 FOR PRESSURES FROM A BLOCK OF 100 STRANDS OF PRIMACORD
FIGURE 5  PRESSURE PULSE AT LOCATION 4 FROM SINGLE PRIMACORD STRAND
Figure 7. Pressure pulse at location 4 from block of 100primacord strands.
Table 1
Data for Fit of Single Strand Pulse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location n</th>
<th>Coordinate</th>
<th>Peak Pressure</th>
<th>Decay$^a$ Parameter</th>
<th>Peak$^b$ Pressure Parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r (ft.)</td>
<td>$\theta$ (deg.)</td>
<td>$p_n$ (psi)</td>
<td>$\alpha_n$ (msec$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Average $\alpha_n$ is $\alpha = 0.68$

b. Average $\lambda_n$ is $\lambda = 0.58$
which ensures agreement at location 2. The values of $\lambda_n$ required to match the pressures $P$ are listed in Table 1.

Apart from the location 1 values, $\alpha_n$ and $\lambda_n$ do not vary greatly, so it is reasonable to use the average values of $\alpha$ and $\lambda$. Thus the pulse for a single strand is represented by

$$p = p_2 \left( \frac{r_2}{r} \right)^{\lambda} e^{-\alpha(t_n-t)} \quad t > t_n$$

(2)

where, for the 400 grains/foot Primacord in Test 8830,

- $p_2 = 184$ psi
- $r_2 = 6$ ft
- $\lambda = 0.58$
- $\alpha = 0.68$ msec$^{-1}$

In formula (2), $t_n$ is the time of arrival of the pulse. Figure 8 shows the peak pressures obtained from a fit of each experimental pulse (the recorded values are slightly higher) for comparison with peak pressures ($t = t_n$) predicted by formula (2).

The pulse of Figure 9 for location 4 was obtained by using formula (2) to superpose the pressure pulses from the 10 strands forming the sheet source in Test 8838, as shown in Figure 3. Also shown in Figure 9 is a simplified version of the experimental pulse of Figure 6 recorded at location 4 in the sheet test. Because the single strand test was carried out with Primacord having an explosive weight of 400 grains/foot, whereas the sheet strands contained 18 grains/foot, the simplified sheet pulse was amplified to provide the same initial peak pressure (multiplication factor of 3.4). The curves in Figure 9 show that the pulse from the sheet experiment terminates much earlier than the pulse obtained by assuming the validity of superposition.

Formula (1) was used also to obtain the pulse at location 4 from the shock block of Figure 4. The resulting pulse and the smoothed pulse at location 4 from Test 8842 are plotted in Figure 10. Again, the
**Figure 8** Power Law Fit of Experimental Peak Pressures

Equation: $P_n = P_2 \left( \frac{r_2}{r_n} \right)^\lambda$

- $r_2 = 6$ ft
- $\lambda = 0.68$

Angles: $\theta = 11^\circ 22^\circ 39^\circ 45^\circ$

Pressurizer Transducer Locations:
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12

Peak Pressure vs. Range (in feet): 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20
experimental pulse was increased to provide the same initial peak pressure
to allow for the explosive weight of the single strand being 400 grains/foot
(multiplication factor of 3.4). The curves in Figure 10 show that the
pulse from the block terminates much earlier than the pulse obtained by
assuming the validity of superposition.
III LIMITATION OF THE SHOCK BLOCK

A possible explanation for the early termination of the shock block pulse may be found by examining the radial motion of the gas and fluid associated with an elemental length of one strand. If all strands are detonated simultaneously, then each internal strand may be envisaged as occupying the axis of a tube with a square cross section having rigid walls (Figure 11). The square tube is replaced by a circular tube to assist description. After the detonation front has swept past the elemental length of strand and has formed a high pressure gas filament, the radial component of the compressional wave travels to the rigid tube wall and reflects as an amplified compressional wave back to the gas filament. When it arrives at the cylindrical gas-water interface, the gas pressure is much lower than initially and an expansion wave propagates out to the tube where it reflects as an amplified expansion wave back to the gas filament. From the time when the first compression wave arrives at the gas filament, the fluid is set into radially convergent flow that is unstable and conducive to mixing of the hot gas and cold water. Because of the filament shape, every element of gas is subjected to mixing and hence to the rapid loss of potential mechanical work by heat transfer to the water particles. A square tube would be even more conducive to mixing than a round one.

For a 2-foot-square array of line charges, the rigid tube may be taken as having a radius of about 1 foot, giving an approximate transit time at 5000 feet/sec of 0.2 msec. According to the above description of pulse termination, the first effects on the pulse at location 4 occur after two transit times, that is, after 0.4 msec. The smoothed version of the shock block pulse in Figure 10 agrees with this prediction.

We performed four similar simple experiments to examine the quenching hypothesis. Figure 12a shows a typical configuration in which a 4½-foot
(a) PORTION OF SHOCK BLOCK

(b) STRAND IN A SQUARE TUBE

(c) STRAND IN A CIRCULAR TUBE

FIGURE 11 ARRAY REPRESENTED BY A STRAND IN A TUBE
(a) LINE CHARGE CONFIGURATION

(b) PRESSURE PULSE AT $P_1$

FIGURE 12  LINEAR EXPLOSIVE STRAND QUENCHING TEST
length of 35 x 35 mil Detasheet strand (about 3 grains/foot) was located on the axis of a 6-inch-diameter steel tube full of water. A pressure transducer was located in the wall of the tube 13 inches beyond the end of the strand opposite the detonated end. Figure 12b shows the resulting pressure pulse. The pulse duration is 0.18 msec, whereas superposition predicts 0.71 msec. At a cross section of the strand away from the end, quenching starts 0.1 msec after detonation at the section. Hence, the results are consistent with the quenching hypothesis.
IV  ALTERNATIVE SOURCE CONFIGURATIONS

Four requirements guided the search for an alternative source configuration:

(1) Creation of gas volume at a constant rate to produce a step pulse.

(2) A long detonation time to aid generation of a long pulse.

(3) Minimization of the energy loss caused by the mixing of hot gas and cold water.

(4) A practical and economic design suitable for arrangement in an array under full scale conditions.

The first configuration examined, shown in Figure 13a, consists of a helical strand of explosive wound on a grooved steel mandrel oriented vertically in a horizontal steel tube full of water, which, for examination of the source characteristics, replaces the rest of the array. Figure 13b shows the resulting pulse measured 30 inches from the center of the 1.5-inch-diameter explosive helix. The effective pulse duration equals the detonation time. For part of the duration, the pulse is flat-topped indicating an attempt to satisfy Requirement 1. The saw-toothed rise to the plateau is attributed to progressive detonation across the pipe cross section. This observation led to the change of orientation shown in Figure 14a.

Figure 14a shows the configuration for two tests differing only by the length of the explosive strand, Test 11 with 4 feet and Test 12 with 8 feet. Figure 14b shows the pulses obtained from the two tests. Again, the pulse durations are about equal to the detonation times. The pulse of Test 11 from the shorter strand resembles a rectangular pulse but the pulse of Test 11 from the longer strand does not. The relatively slow rise time and the decay of the pulse from the longer charge is attributed to the contribution of pressure from each turn traveling faster than the
(a) CONFIGURATION OF VERTICAL HELICAL CHARGE

(b) PRESSURE PULSE AT $p_1$

FIGURE 13  PULSE FROM VERTICAL HELICAL STRAND OF EXPLOSIVE

TEST 10  4 FT MDF

DETONATION TIME = 0.168 ms
(a) CONFIGURATION OF AXIAL HELICAL CHARGE

(b) PRESSURE PULSES AT P₁

FIGURE 14   PULSE FROM HORIZONTAL HELICAL STRAND OF EXPLOSIVE  (Helix dia = 1/4 tube dia)
axial effective detonation velocity and having more time than the shorter charge to take effect. This explanation led to the configuration shown in Figure 15 where the helix has a larger diameter and occupies a central position in the tube cross section. By reducing the water area around the steel mandrel the pressure contributions from each turn are higher.

Figure 15a shows the final configuration examined in 2 tests in the steel tube. The mandrel diameter has been increased from 1\(\frac{1}{2}\) inches to 3 inches. Figure 15b shows the pulses obtained from 12-foot and 21-foot strands. The pulse durations equal the detonation times so that Requirement 2 will be satisfied by a long coil. The shapes resemble a rectangular pulse. The undesirable feature of the pulse shape is the superposed oscillations with the highest frequency corresponding to the number of helical turns detonated per second. It is possible, however, that the oscillations would be less pronounced in an array of coils, because a steel tube cannot represent the detailed effect of the rest of the array of coils. We conjecture that the frequency content generated would be much higher and would lead to hydrodynamic damping.

Because the character of the pulse shown in Figure 15 was encouraging we decided to continue examination of the helical strand of explosive as a source. The main and practical disadvantage of the configurations is that grooved steel mandrels were used to hold the helical strand and keep it compact. We therefore decided to test the reliability of obtaining detonation of the entire coil when a simple disposable mandrel was used.

The advantage of the grooved steel mandrel is simply that the pitch of the helical strand can be kept small without the undetonated coil being blown away by the detonated coils. Thus the coil can be kept compact and gaseous regions can combine rapidly to minimize the area of the interface with water (Requirement 3). If a light disposable mandrel is used, this advantage is lost. Therefore, we conducted experiments with the general configuration shown in Figure 16 with Primacord wrapped on a Sono Tube mandrel in a water tank to test the reliability of obtaining detonation of the entire coil and to detect possible displacement of
(a) CONFIGURATION OF AXIAL HELICAL CHARGE

(b) PRESSURE PULSES AT $P_1$

FIGURE 15  PULSE FROM HORIZONTAL HELICAL STRAND OF EXPLOSIVE (Helix dia = 1/2 tube dia)
FIGURE 16  CONFIGURATION FOR RELIABILITY TESTS
coils before completion of the detonation. The Sono Tube excellently illustrates coil displacement because of the sharp cutting effect of the Primacord. Three tests were performed:

(1) Primacord 10 feet 8 inches long with 3 turns at 2-inch pitch
(2) Primacord 20 feet long with 6 turns at 4-inch pitch
(3) Primacord 25 feet long with 7 turns at 1-1/8-inch pitch.

In all cases the entire strand was detonated with negligible coil displacement.

Based on our present knowledge, we recommend continuing this development work toward a trial experiment with an array similar to that shown in Figure 1 for the shock block but using a planar array of horizontal coils in place of the straight strands. Because of the time required for pressure rarefactions from the edge of the array to be felt at a pressure transducer, each source pulse duration can be shorter than that ultimately required in full scale testing with larger arrays. At location 4 (Figure 2) the measuring time unaffected by edge rarefactions is 1 msec. The detonation velocity of Primacord is 23 feet/msec so a strand length of 23 feet is adequate for the test. For a 1-foot-diameter coil, the required number of turns is 7.3, or for convenience, 8. If a pitch of 1-1/8 inch is chosen as the smallest pitch that preserves reliability of the source, the source length is about 8 inches. A 10-inch long mandrel would support the explosive coil.
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