AD-A049 865

AEROSPACE CORP EL SEGUNDO CALIF IVAN A GETTING LABS
EMISSION SPECTRUM OF THE NU3 BAND OF SF6 AT 1780 K.(U)
JAN 78 J F BOTT

F/G 7/4

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-0078(3940-02)-2

SAMSO-TR-78-7

F04701-77-C-0078
NL

| 9 |

ADA049 865

END
DATE
FILMED
3 -78
DDC

AD A 049865

REPORT SAMSO-TR-78-7

2

Emission Spectrum of the ν_3 Band of SF_6 at 1780 K

AD NO. 1
JDC FILE COPY

J. F. BOTT
Aerophysics Laboratory
The Ivan A. Getting Laboratories
The Aerospace Corporation

20 January 1978

Interim Report

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

Prepared for
SPACE AND MISSILE SYSTEMS ORGANIZATION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
Los Angeles Air Force Station
P.O. Box 92960, Worldway Postal Center
Los Angeles, Calif. 90009

DDC
RECEIVED
FEB 13 1978
D

This interim report was submitted by The Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo, CA 90245, under Contract No. F04701-77-C-0078 with the Space and Missile Systems Organization, Deputy for Advanced Space Programs, P. O. Box 92960, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, CA 90009. It was reviewed and approved for The Aerospace Corporation by W. R. Warren, Jr., Director, Aerophysics Laboratory. Lieutenant Dara Batki, SAMSO/YCPT, was the project officer for Advanced Space Programs.

This report has been reviewed by the Information Office (OI) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication. Publication of this report does not constitute Air Force approval of the report's findings or conclusions. It is published only for the exchange and stimulation of ideas.

Dara Batki

Dara Batki, Lt, USAF
Project Officer

Robert W. Lindemuth

Robert W. Lindemuth, Lt Col, USAF
Chief, Technology Plans Division

FOR THE COMMANDER

Leonard E. Baltzell

LEONARD E. BALTZELL, Col, USAF, Asst.
Deputy for Advanced Space Programs

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 18 SAMSOTR-78-7 ^v	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 9 Technical rept.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) 6 EMISSION SPECTRUM OF THE ν_3 BAND OF SF ₆ AT 1780 K.	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim	
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 Jerry F. Bott	8. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 14 TR-0078(3940-02)-2 ^v	9. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 F04701-77-C-0078
10. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS The Aerospace Corporation El Segundo, Calif. 90245	11. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
12. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Space and Missile Systems Organization Air Force Systems Command Los Angeles, Calif. 90009	13. REPORT DATE 11 20 Jan 1978	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12 14p. 11	
	16. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
20. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) SF ₆ Infrared Emission Shock Tube Isotope Separation		
21. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) used The author measured the emission spectrum of the ν_3 band of SF ₆ at 1780 K ^{meas-} in a shock tube. At this high temperature, the emission peaks at a frequency of 907/cm ⁻¹ (11.02 μ); at room temperature, the band has a peak intensity at 948/cm ⁻¹ . Lyman and Nowak observed similar but proportionately smaller shifts of the spectral maximum to lower frequencies at temperatures between 400 and 800 K. A knowledge of the high-temperature spectral distribution of		

DD FORM 1473
(FACSIMILE)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

19. KEY WORDS (Continued)

nu3

20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

the ν_3 band is important for the design and interpretation of isotope separation experiments in which SF_6 is dissociated by selective multiphoton absorption of CO_2 laser photons.

Accession for	
DTIC	Write Section ☒
DDC	Buff Section ☐
UNANNOUNCED	☐
JUSTIFICATION.....	
BY.....	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL
A	

DDC
RECEIVED
FEB 13 1978
D

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

PREFACE

The author would like to thank R. F. Heidner III for useful discussions, W. W. Hansen for assisting with the shock tube experiments, and Jeannette Wright for help with the manuscript.

EMISSION SPECTRUM OF THE ν_3 BAND OF SF_6 AT 1780 K

Studies of multiphoton dissociation of SF_6 in recent years¹⁻⁴ have stimulated interest in the ν_3 absorption band near 10.6 μm . Steinfield et al⁵ and Petersen, Tsee, and Wittig⁶ have observed that laser pumping of this band with a CO_2 laser induces absorption at lower frequencies. In shock tube experiments, Nowak and Lyman⁷ measured the absorption spectrum of SF_6 at a number of CO_2 laser frequencies at temperatures between 400 and 1500 K. Their results show that the absorption coefficients for the laser lines P(12) through P(18) decrease monotonically with temperature above 300 K, whereas the absorption coefficients for P(20) through P(32) show a maximum before falling off with temperature. In Fig. 3 of the Nowak and Lyman work, the temperatures at which the absorption coefficient at each laser frequency goes through a maximum are plotted against frequency. These data are reproduced in Fig. 1 of the present paper as circles. We have examined the absorption spectra of Nowak and Lyman at several different temperatures and determined the frequency at which the spectral distribution is a maximum at each temperature. These data are plotted in Fig. 1 as squares.

We have measured the wavelength dependence of the SF_6 emission between 10 and 12 μ at a temperature of 1780 K and observed the shift of intensity to lower frequencies. The measurements were performed during shock tube studies of SF_6 dissociation⁸ and reactions of H_2 and SF_6 .⁹ The initial conditions behind the incident shock wave were 1780 K, 0.93 atm, and 0.05% SF_6 diluted with argon. The emission was measured with a Santa Barbara Research Center mercury-germanium detector cooled with liquid helium and mounted on a Perkin-Elmer Model No. 99 prism monochromator. With a 2-mm-wide entrance slit, the monochromator had a triangular slit function with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 0.13 μ . The accuracy of the wavelength calibration is estimated to be $\pm 0.05 \mu$.

Figure 1. Temperatures (O) at Which Absorption Coefficients Are a Maximum (From Nowak and Lyman, Fig. 3) and Temperatures (□ ■) for Which the Spectral Distribution Is a Maximum at a Given Frequency. □, Nowak and Lyman; ■, present study.

The intensity jumped to a maximum behind the shock front and decayed as the SF_6 dissociated. In Fig. 2, the initial intensities are plotted against wavelength. The data are uncorrected for the monochromator slit function and spectral sensitivity variations. Schatz and Horning¹⁰ measured the integrated band intensity, α_{STP} , of the 10.6- μ band at room temperature and found it to be $4800 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ atm}^{-1}$. With this value for α_{STP} , the temperature and pressure conditions of the 0.05% SF_6 experiments, and the 16.5-cm shock tube diameter, we estimated the self-absorption of the data of Fig. 2 to be about 13% at the peak intensity of 11.0 μ . To test for self-absorption at 11.0 μ , we conducted two experiments at lower concentrations of SF_6 . The signals normalized with the SF_6 concentration were 1.00, 1.08, and 1.18 for 0.05% SF_6 , 0.025% SF_6 , and 0.0125% SF_6 mixtures, respectively. If we consider the measurements to have a relative accuracy of about $\pm 5\%$, they agree with the estimate of 13% self-absorption.

In Fig. 2, the uncorrected emission spectrum is shown to peak at $11.02 \pm 0.10 \mu$ ($907 \pm 8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) with a FWHM of approximately 0.58 μ (48 cm^{-1}). This frequency of the peak has been plotted in Fig. 1 at 1780 K and falls close to a linear extrapolation of the temperatures and frequencies estimated from the data of Nowak and Lyman.⁷ The present, low-resolution data show no structure. However, the large density of states at this temperature makes any significant structure unlikely. The data of Nowak and Lyman showed progressively less structure with temperature. The frequency of the maximum in the absorption spectrum is shifted approximately $2.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-1}/^\circ\text{K}$.

Plotted against temperature in Fig. 3 are spectral bandwidths (FWHM) estimated from the present data and from the data of Nowak and Lyman.⁷ Corrections to the spectral profile of Fig. 2 reduce the bandwidth $\sim 8\%$ for the slit width and 6% for the self-absorption; the corrected bandwidth is therefore $42 \pm 6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. At low temperatures, the ν_3 spectrum¹¹ resolves into separate P, Q, and R branches and cannot be characterized by a single

Figure 2. Emission of ν_3 Band of SF_6 at 1780 K

Figure 3. Bandwidth (FWHM) of ν_3 Band of SF_6 . O, absorption data of Nowak and Lyman; ●, emission data of present study.

bandwidth. At temperatures above about 300 K, however, a total bandwidth does apply. It appears to vary linearly with temperature, with a broadening coefficient of $2.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-1}/^{\circ}\text{K}$.

Nowak and Lyman⁷ performed theoretical calculations of the absorption coefficients, assuming the total integrated absorption coefficient to be nearly independent of temperature. Since their calculations agreed with their measured values between 300 and 800 K, this assumption appeared to be correct to within about $\pm 15\%$. The emission data of Bott and Jacobs⁸ indicated that the total integrated absorption coefficient must be constant between 900 and 1850 K. Similar results were obtained in the case of the ν_3 band of CO_2 between 300 and 2500 K.^{12, 13}

The high-temperature absorption spectrum is important in the design of two-laser multiphoton experiments. A low-power laser is used to pump the selected isotopic molecule to an excited vibrational level. A second, more energetic laser pulse then provides the bulk of the energy required for dissociation. The second laser operates at a different frequency and, for efficient use of its energy, is tuned toward the peak of the induced absorption spectrum. A two-frequency process also increases selectivity since the frequency of the high-energy laser can be tuned away from the absorption feature of the unselected isotopic molecule. This prevents power broadening of the absorption spectrum of the unselected molecules into the frequency range of the high-power laser. Black and co-workers³ measured the average number of photons absorbed by SF_6 molecules in a multiphoton dissociation experiment and concluded that the multiphoton dissociation of SF_6 is a statistical thermodynamic process that can be described by unimolecular reaction rate theory.¹⁴ The number of absorbed photons implied a vibrational temperature of 1800 K. The data of the present study indicate that, during the multiphoton process, the peak absorption of the ν_3 band may shift by up to 40 cm^{-1} . Shifts are indicated by Stafast, Schmid, and Kompa,¹⁵ who measured effective absorption cross sections for several laser lines at various energy densities.

In conclusion, the spectral profile of the ν_3 band of SF_6 appears to be shifted linearly with temperature to lower frequencies, and the structureless spectral profile can be described with a half-width that is also linear with temperature. The present emission measurements are consistent with laser absorption measurements⁷ at lower temperatures. Such emission measurements may prove useful in planning two-frequency multiphoton experiments for SF_6 and other molecules. Emission measurements have the advantage of not being restricted to laser frequencies. Similarly, quantitative emission or absorption measurements at the shifted frequencies during multiphoton dissociation experiments could provide data for comparison with theoretical predictions.

REFERENCES

1. R. V. Ambartzumian, N. P. Furzikov, Yu. A. Gorokhov, V. S. Letokhov, G. N. Makarov, and A. A. Puretzky, *Opt. Commun.* 18, 517 (1976).
2. J. P. Aldridge, J. H. Birely, C. D. Cantrell, and D. C. Cartwright in *Laser Photochemistry, Tunable Lasers and Other Topics*, Physics of Quantum Electronics (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1976), Vol. 4, ed., S. F. Jacobs, M. Sargent III, M. O. Scully, and C. T. Walker.
3. J. G. Black, E. Yablonovitch, and N. Bloembergen, *Phys. Rev. Letters* 38, 1131 (1977).
4. W. Fuss and T. P. Cotter, *Appl. Phys.* 12, 265 (1977).
5. J. I. Steinfeld, I. Burak, D. G. Sutton, and A. V. Nowak, *J. Chem. Phys.* 52, 5421 (1970).
6. A. B. Petersen, J. Tiee, and C. Wittig, *Opt. Commun.* 17, 259 (1976).
7. A. V. Nowak and J. L. Lyman, *J. Quant. Spectry. Radiat. Transfer* 15, 945 (1975).
8. J. F. Bott and T. A. Jacobs, *J. Chem. Phys.* 50, 3850 (1969).
9. J. F. Bott, *A Shock Tube Study of $H_2 + SF_6$ Reactions*, TR-0059(6816-36)-1, The Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo, California (August 1970).
10. P. N. Schatz and D. F. Hornig, *J. Phys. Chem.* 21, 1516 (1953).
11. P. L. Houston and J. I. Steinfeld, *J. Mol. Spectry.* 54, 335 (1975).
12. J. C. Breeze, C. C. Ferriso, C. B. Ludwig, and W. Malkmus, *J. Chem. Phys.* 42, 402 (1965).
13. S. S. Penner, R. C. Sepucha, and J. E. Lowder, *J. Quant. Spectry. Radiat. Transfer* 10, 1001 (1970).
14. J. L. Lyman, *J. Chem. Phys.* 67, 1868 (1977).
15. H. Stafast, W. E. Schmid, and K. L. Kompa, *Opt. Commun.* 21, 121 (1977).

THE IVAN A. GETTING LABORATORIES

The Laboratory Operations of The Aerospace Corporation is conducting experimental and theoretical investigations necessary for the evaluation and application of scientific advances to new military concepts and systems. Versatility and flexibility have been developed to a high degree by the laboratory personnel in dealing with the many problems encountered in the nation's rapidly developing space and missile systems. Expertise in the latest scientific developments is vital to the accomplishment of tasks related to these problems. The laboratories that contribute to this research are:

Aerophysics Laboratory: Launch and reentry aerodynamics, heat transfer, reentry physics, chemical kinetics, structural mechanics, flight dynamics, atmospheric pollution, and high-power gas lasers.

Chemistry and Physics Laboratory: Atmospheric reactions and atmospheric optics, chemical reactions in polluted atmospheres, chemical reactions of excited species in rocket plumes, chemical thermodynamics, plasma and laser-induced reactions, laser chemistry, propulsion chemistry, space vacuum and radiation effects on materials, lubrication and surface phenomena, photo-sensitive materials and sensors, high precision laser ranging, and the application of physics and chemistry to problems of law enforcement and biomedicine.

Electronics Research Laboratory: Electromagnetic theory, devices, and propagation phenomena, including plasma electromagnetics; quantum electronics, lasers, and electro-optics; communication sciences, applied electronics, semiconducting, superconducting, and crystal device physics, optical and acoustical imaging; atmospheric pollution; millimeter wave and far-infrared technology.

Materials Sciences Laboratory: Development of new materials; metal matrix composites and new forms of carbon; test and evaluation of graphite and ceramics in reentry; spacecraft materials and electronic components in nuclear weapons environment; application of fracture mechanics to stress corrosion and fatigue-induced fractures in structural metals.

Space Sciences Laboratory: Atmospheric and ionospheric physics, radiation from the atmosphere, density and composition of the atmosphere, aurorae and airglow; magnetospheric physics, cosmic rays, generation and propagation of plasma waves in the magnetosphere; solar physics, studies of solar magnetic fields; space astronomy, x-ray astronomy; the effects of nuclear explosions, magnetic storms, and solar activity on the earth's atmosphere, ionosphere, and magnetosphere; the effects of optical, electromagnetic, and particulate radiations in space on space systems.

THE AEROSPACE CORPORATION
El Segundo, California