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1. INTRODUCTION

Whenever a simulation problem is to be programmed for hybrid computation, the first question to be answered is how should the problem be partitioned between the analogue and digital portions of the hybrid computer? One naturally leans towards a scheme which is convenient for programming, and which allows easy change of system parameters and equations during a series of runs. The complicating factor is that due to the effects of time delays caused by calculations on the digital computer, and the sample-and-hold operations of the interfaces between the two computers, the chosen configuration may not be mathematically stable. Such time delays are always present in a true hybrid simulation, and it would be useful to know, in advance of actually programming a configuration, what time delays (i.e. digital computer step-length) can be tolerated within the proposed partitioning scheme, if the simulation is to run in real time. A considerable amount of time and effort may be wasted in partitioning and programming a problem on a trial and error basis.

Reference 1 provides a method of analysis which will allow the user to determine, in advance of programming, the stability or otherwise of a given hybrid simulation for various step-lengths, provided the user can find a linear approximation to the response of the system under consideration. One of the recommendations of reference 1, viz, that the stability analysis procedure addressed in the report be implemented as a software package, has now been completed.

The material in this report is a description of the software package, including information required by a potential user. Section 5 deals with two simulation problems, and shows the method by which the linear representations of the systems are defined in a form suitable for input to the software package. One of these examples is further considered with a much increased speed of response. This subsequently allows the question of stability of the modified system to be settled by theoretical analysis. This example provides a useful test case for the software package. In addition, one of the applications of Section 5 has been checked by hybrid simulation giving good agreement.

2. BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE HYBRID PROBLEM

Once a user of the hybrid computer has decided upon a partitioning scheme, he needs to answer two further questions. The first is how long will the digital portion of the hybrid computer take to compute those parts of the simulation problem assigned to it? The time for computation, h, provides a minimum value for the simulation step-length. The scheme must be stable for at least this step-length, and since there are other delays, such as sample-and-hold delays associated with hybrid computation (ref. 2), it is clear that the step-length will need to be at least

\[ h_s = h + \delta h_s \]

where \( \delta h \) represents the accumulation, per step, of these additional delays.

The software package provides a quick and flexible means of determining the answer to the second problem, viz, will the proposed partitioning scheme be stable for the minimum allowable step-length \( h_s \)? In practice, the second question is the one to be addressed first.

Once a system has been linearized it is possible, for little further effort, to examine a number of different partitioning schemes with repeated use of the software package. Only after careful selection of a scheme from the possible contenders is it time to address the first question posed above, and then usually only by specialists familiar with the particular hybrid installation. It may turn out that the only way to achieve an accurate enough computation time is to program the equations assigned to the digital computer and run them on the digital portion of the hybrid installation. Sample-and-hold delays must be added to the
computation time, before the user is in a position to establish whether, $h_s$, the minimum step-length for the hybrid simulation, is within the step-length for mathematical stability.

The method of determining the stability of a system reduces to determining the stability, or otherwise, of integration processes. As such, the method is applicable only for certain classes of systems, namely those which can be linearized about a current state of motion. However, this can be realized for a great many systems of interest, since it is not required to provide an accurate simulation of system behaviour, only to approximate the speed of response sufficiently well for the purposes of stability analysis.

Appendix I, and further analysis given in reference 1, show that the stability requirements for a given scheme of partitioning can be derived in a straightforward manner. The ease with which this can be done, however, belies the care needed in the selection of an acceptable scheme. For although the stability analysis procedure described in Appendix I may show that a particular configuration is stable, the stability may be only marginal. Since we are employing a linear approximation to the real simulation model, the solution for the latter may therefore turn out to be unstable. In particular, it should be recognized that the partitioning schemes under consideration allow in principle for the part of the simulation on the analogue computer to be unstable, even though the overall simulation is not.

It was shown in reference 1 that relatively poor stability results whenever integrations are carried out digitally and derivatives are evaluated on the analogue computer, or derivatives are evaluated digitally and the remainder of the simulation is carried out on the analogue computer. The strong inference is that because of the relatively poor stability of either division, one should, wherever possible, integrate derivatives on the same portion of the hybrid computer as they are calculated. In particular, "fast" loops should be simulated on the analogue portion or if this is not possible, entirely on the digital computer.

This does not mean that one should rule out alternative schemes. In fact, the recommendation is that the stability analysis procedure described in Appendix I, and implemented in the software package, be used to determine the stability of partitioning schemes devised on the basis of convenience to the user. However, if there are no such requirements, the principles described in the last paragraph should be followed.

3. REQUIREMENTS OF THE SOFTWARE PACKAGE

This Section defines the partitioning problem mathematically, and lists the procedures required of a software solution for analysing stability of a system.

3.1 Problem definition

Following reference 1, we consider the problem of solving on the hybrid computer the set of differential equations

$$\dot{x} = Ax$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

which are obtained by linearization of the defining equations of the system to be simulated.

Figure 1 shows the general partitioning scheme for equation (1), in which integrations and evaluation of derivatives can be performed digitally or on the analogue without restriction. The analysis of the scheme is provided in Appendix I, with further explanation in reference 1.

The equations can be rewritten as
\[ \dot{X} = A_1 X + A_2 Z + D_1 X + D_2 Z \]

\[ \dot{Z} = A_3 X + A_4 Z + D_3 X + D_4 Z \]

where

\[ X = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Z \end{pmatrix} \]

Further, \( \dot{X} \) is evaluated on the analogue portion and \( \dot{Z} \) is evaluated digitally, and the vectors \( A_1 X, A_2 Z, A_3 X, A_4 Z \) are computed on the analogue portion, while \( D_1 X, D_2 Z, D_3 X, D_4 Z \) are determined digitally.

In practice, for all but the most complex partitioning schemes, some of the matrices \( A_2, A_3, A_4, D_1, D_2 \) and \( D_4 \) will be null, and the others, including \( A_1 \) and \( D_3 \) will be sparse.

3.2 Four steps required of the software package

The main steps in the procedure for analysing the stability of the general partitioning problem are (see ref. 1):

1. Specify the matrices \( A_1, \ldots, A_4, D_1, \ldots, D_4 \).

2. Determine a fundamental matrix \( \Phi \) for \( A_1 \) (following procedures described in Appendix I of reference 1) by two methods, providing a user option for either, namely
   (i) the direct approach using eigenvalue theory, or
   (ii) numerical integration over \((o,h)\) for a given \( h \).

3. For a given value, or values of \( h \), determine \( G(h) \) and ensure that \( G(h) \) corresponds to a stable analogue simulation, and \( Q(h) \), the latter by numerical integration over \((o,h)\); \( G(h), Q(h) \) are described in Appendix I.

4. Construct \( D \) and determine its eigenvalues, for each desired value of \( h \), where

\[
D(h) = \begin{pmatrix}
G(h) & Q(h) (A_1 + D_2) \\
h(A_3 + D_3) & hM - K + h D_4 \\
Q(h) & A_1 \\
\Theta_1 & h A_4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Some of the procedures in steps (1) to (4) above can be carried out using standard library subroutines. Further, the process can be repeated to cover a range of values of step-length, \( h \).

3.2.1 Two approaches for step (2), and merits of each

Initially, the direct method for calculating the fundamental matrix \( \Phi \) of \( A_1 \), was chosen as being superior, because it gives an exact solution, whereas the numerical integration technique gives an approximate solution. However, it was necessary to cater for both approaches in the software package, since the properties of the eigenvalues of \( A_1 \) may restrict the use of one or other method. A method of analysis was derived and is described in Appendix II, which allows a choice of step-length for the numerical integration, based on stability and accuracy of the solution. In fact, the results for both methods for obtaining \( \Phi(t) \) did not differ, for the purpose of determining stability.
It appears that neither method has any particular merit over the other, except when the properties of the eigenvalues of $A_1$ restrict the use of either method. It is suggested that the numerical integration method be used as a first approach. Regardless of the choice of method for computing $\Phi(t)$, the software package calculates the eigenvalues of $A_1$.

### 3.2.2 Further comments on step 3

It is possible to devise a partitioning scheme in which the analogue portion is unstable. This form of partitioning is considered undesirable and the software package does address this question. In step (3) the requirement states that $G(h)$, and its stability, be examined. $G(h)$ corresponds to the analogue part of the simulation. This reduces to determining that the eigenvalues of $G$ should not be greater than unity in modulus. This will be true if and only if there are no eigenvalues of $A_1$ with positive real part. This constraint is incorporated into the software package output to inform the user. However, the package will continue to determine the stability of the overall simulation using the direct method to compute $\Phi(h)$, but the user is reminded that such stability, if obtained, will apply only for the linearized system. This is considered to be the best the software package can do in the circumstances. The problems inherent in simulating an unstable system on the analogue portion, e.g. limiting because of particular choices of scaling, are clearly problems which must be left to the user.

### 4. DESCRIPTION OF THE SOFTWARE PACKAGE AND ITS USAGE

The purpose of this Section is to provide, with reasonable completeness, the information required by a potential user of the package.

#### 4.1 Description of the software package

The package is programmed in Fortran for an IBM 370/168 digital computer. It uses library routines from the Harwell library, for finding the inverse of a complex matrix, and the I.M.S.L. library for computing the eigenvalues and associated eigenvectors of both real and complex matrices.

The program is best described with the aid of a flowchart, shown in figure 2. The matrices $A_1, \ldots, D_4$ and their dimensions are first defined and printed. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $A_1$ are computed, and the properties of the real part of the eigenvalues are examined. The eigenvalues are also examined to establish if any are repeated. Next, the fundamental matrix for $A_1$, and the matrices $G$ and $Q$ are computed for a range of step-lengths, $h$, chosen by the user. The direct or numerical integration, (N.I), method is used for the previous step, depending on user choice, or whether the eigenvalues of $A_1$ are distinct, (N.I. method), or the real part of any eigenvalue is positive (direct method). For each step-length, $h$, the partitioned matrix $D$ is computed, and its eigenvalues are examined to determine the stability of $D$. The main program prints information at intervals throughout each stage of program execution.

The Fortran coding and a description of the variables used are presented in Appendix III and IV. The program uses double precision arithmetic. The matrices $A_1, \ldots, A_4, D_1, \ldots, D_4, G(h), Q(h)$, and $D(h)$ are real, while the eigenvalues and fundamental matrix for $A_1$ are, in general, complex. As a result, some of the subroutines are more involved than might otherwise be expected.
4.1.1 Main program

The main program accepts the input data, controls the main branches of the analysis, namely which method is used to calculate $\Phi$, $G(h)$, and $Q(h)$, and prints the results. It makes calls directly to subroutines EIGEN, FUND, LAMBDAB, NUMERI, DMATRIX, MODEIG, and OUTPUT, which are described below.

4.1.2 Subroutine EIGEN

This routine controls the computation of the eigenvalues, $\lambda_i$, and the matrix of eigenvectors, $C$, for $A_1$, via subroutine CNVRT. ($C = P^{-1}$ in the theory of reference 1, Appendix I). EIGEN calls subroutine DIST, after which it tests if the numerical integration technique has been chosen by the user, and whether $\lambda_i$ are repeated. If the latter situation exists, the routine forces the program to use the N.I. method. If neither of the above criteria is true the routine computes the inverse, $B$, of the matrix of eigenvectors, $C$, via subroutine CNVRT, in preparation for computing the fundamental matrix corresponding to $A_1$ by the eigenvalue method. Should the inverse routine fail, the N.I. method is chosen.

4.1.3 Subroutine DIST

Specifies the real part of each of the $\lambda_i$, for determining the stability of $G(h)$, and also establishes whether the $\lambda_i$ are repeated, i.e., whether $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ for $i \neq j$.

4.1.4 Subroutine FUND

The purpose of this routine is to define the fundamental matrix, $\Phi(h)$, by direct calculation, using eigenvalue theory. For each value of $h$, the routine computes two complex diagonal matrices
diag (exp $\lambda_1 h$, ... exp $\lambda_k h$) and diag (exp $\lambda_1 h^{-1}$, ... exp $\lambda_k h^{-1}$),
using a complex matrix multiplication routine, DCMPY. It is expected that the imaginary elements of the above matrices will be less than $10^{-9}$ in magnitude. The matrices are redefined as $G(h)$ and $Q(h)$. A diagnostic is printed if the imaginary elements of the complex matrices do not conform to the above requirement, but the program continues to execute.

4.1.5 Subroutine NUMERI

This routine provides the control for the numerical integration routines. The numerical integration step-length is established by routines LAMBDAB called from MAIN, and STEP, and the integration, using the "predictor-corrector" method, is performed in subroutine SINT. NUMERI increments time up to the required value of $h$, and computes $G$ or $Q$ depending on the value assigned to a variable NAM.

4.1.6 Subroutine LAMBDAB

In this subroutine the analysis of Appendix II is applied to establish the numerical integration step-length, chosen for stability and accuracy of the solution. Values for the N.I. step-length, $h$, are computed as a function of the real part of each eigenvalue, $\lambda_1$, and must also meet a criteria related to the imaginary part of the eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ (as described in Appendix II).

If this criteria is met for all the eigenvalues, the smallest $h$ is chosen for the N.I. step-length.
If the above criteria is not met for one or more of the eigenvalues, then \( h_1 \) is chosen for the respective eigenvalues according to the analysis of Appendix II, and the N.I. step-length is the minimum over all the eigenvalues of the \( h \) and \( h_1 \)'s respectively. \( h \) must also be at least as small as the minimum interval between the step-lengths chosen by the software package user for examining the stability of the hybrid solution.

The subroutine is entered from the MAIN program, and provides the N.I. step-length, \( h_{\text{STEP}} \), for further refining in subroutine STEP.

4.1.7 Subroutine STEP

Subroutine LAMBDA defines the N.I. step-length purely on the basis of an accuracy criteria, and the largest eigenvalue. It is necessary to establish individual N.I. step-lengths for each interval of stability chosen by the user. The numerical integration step-length, \( h_{\text{STEP}} \), for the interval \( (h_i, h_{i+1}) \) is modified, such that

\[
h_S = h_{\text{STEP}} \pm \epsilon
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is a small quantity, and there are exactly an integral number of integration steps in the interval \( (h_i, h_{i+1}) \). Subroutine STEP is called from subroutine NUMERI whenever a new N.I. step-length is required. The subroutine returns the integration step-length \( h_S \).

4.1.8 Subroutine SINT

The predictor-corrector steps for numerical integration are defined in subroutine SINT. The calling vector defines IPASS and NAM, to control which branch of the routine is to be executed, i.e. the "predict" or "correct" step, and for identifying which of functions \( G \) or \( Q \) is being computed.

4.1.9 Subroutine DMATRIX

The partitioned \( 2M \times 2M \) matrix \( D \) is defined in this subroutine. It calls the subroutine DMMPY, to perform multiplication of two real matrices.

4.1.10 Subroutine MODEIG

The routine controls CNVRT to compute the eigenvalues \( \mu_i \), of \( D \), and then tests that

\[
|\mu_i| < 1, \ i = 1, \ldots, 2M
\]

If this criteria does not hold for all \( \mu_i \), the routine records which of the eigenvalues are in modulus too large, and their respective values, for printing by the main program.

4.1.11 Subroutine CNVRT

This subroutine controls all calls to the library routines. It has three branches, each being defined by a value \( I \), assigned in the calling subprogram according to whether eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix are required \( (I = 1) \), the inverse of a matrix is required \( (I = 2) \), or eigenvalues only are required \( (I = 3) \). EIGEN calls CNVRT for \( I = 1, 2 \), and MODEIG calls CNVRT for \( I = 3 \).
For \( I = 1 \), CNVRT calls EIGRF from the IMSL library, which computes complex eigenvalues and complex eigenvectors of \( A_i \), and a performance index for the mathematical accuracy of the technique. EIGRF returns the performance index and CNVRT prints a diagnostic. It is possible that if the eigenvalues are not distinct, then the matrix of eigenvectors is incorrect. The software package prevents this matrix being used further, by forcing the program to use the numerical integration method for computing the fundamental matrix \( \Phi(h) \), whenever the eigenvalues are repeated. The eigenvalues are not normalised by EIGRF.

For \( I = 2 \), CNVRT computes the inverse matrix \( B \) of the complex matrix of eigenvectors \( C \), using the function MA23BD of the Harwell library routine MA23AD. The library routine overwrites the input matrix, and returns the inverse matrix in the storage provided by it. It is therefore necessary for the program to remember \( C \) before calling MA23BD.

The routine MA23BD makes no allowance for the size of the matrix in the dimension or common statement of the calling subprogram to be bigger than the matrix row dimension in the calling statement. Therefore it is necessary to define another complex matrix, \( Y \), having a variable dimension in the calling subprogram CNVRT. This branch of CNVRT is only executed with IMETH = 1.

For \( I = 3 \) CNVRT calls EIGRF, to compute the complex eigenvalues of the matrix \( D \). This call to CNVRT is made from subroutine MODEIG.

4.1.12 Subroutine DMMPY and DCMMPY

Subroutine DMMPY performs matrix multiplication of two real matrices. The matrices need not be square, but the program tests that the column dimension of the first matrix equals the row dimension of the second matrix. The output matrix is 10 x 10, even though the submatrix containing the solution is M x L which may be smaller than 10 x 10. DCMMPY is the complex version of DMMPY.

4.1.13 Subroutine OUTPUT

This subroutine controls the printing of the input matrices \( A_1, D_1, \ldots D_4 \).

4.1.14 Library routines

EIGRF and MA23BD are well documented in the Computing Services Group Library of W.R.E. The Harwell and IMSL libraries are both extensively used and tested by other computing facilities, and have performed well in this software package.

4.2 Software package running

This Section covers the data requirements, the program output, and the method of creating a data file under the IBM 370/168 supplied TSO system. Operational characteristics are explained, and the identification of the W.R.E. Library version of the software package is supplied.

4.2.1 Data input and program output

Table 1 lists the input parameters and the form in which they must be presented, but further discussion of the parameters is presented below.

Matrices \( A_1, D_1, \ldots A_4 \) must be defined in terms of their sizes and elements. In most system problems, some at least, of these matrices will be null, and the others will very likely be sparse.

The user need only define the non-zero elements, and the respective row and column of each element, for the non-null matrices.
### TABLE 1. DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR SOFTWARE PACKAGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data record</th>
<th>Parameter value</th>
<th>Parameter description</th>
<th>Constraints on parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1            | K,M            | K = Row size of A1 matrix  
               |                | M = total number of integrals computed analogue  
               |                | K ≤ 10 (nominally)  
               |                | K + 1 ≤ M ≤ 20 (nominally)  
               |                | If no integrals are assigned to digital computer i.e.  
               |                | M = K, then M set to K + 1 |
| 2            | NEL            | Numbers of non-zero elements in A1 |
| 3            | I,J,A1(I,J),... | I = row number  
               |                | J = column number  
               |                | A1(I,J) = non zero element of A1 in 1th row and Jth column.  
               |                | I,J are integers  
               |                | A1(I,J) is a floating point value.  
               |                | These values are separated by commas. |
| 4            | NEL            | Number of non-zero elements for D1 |
| ...          |                |                       |
| ...          |                |                       |

This set continues until A1, D1, ..., A4, D4 have all been defined. If NEL is zero for any of these matrices, the next data record contains NEL for the next matrix in the set. The order of input of the matrices must run A1, D1, A2, D2, A3, D3, A4, D4. The data, if all these matrices have non-zero elements, are defined in records 2 to 17. For most problems there will be less records, but NEL must be defined for each matrix, even if it is assigned the value zero.

| 18           | NT,EL,HINT     | NT = number of user defined step-lengths  
               |                | EL = value of 1st required step-length  
               |                | HINT = time interval between successive step-lengths.  
               |                | The values for EL and HINT, are required if the step-lengths are at equal intervals.  
               |                | Otherwise EL = 0, HINT = 0.  
               |                | If only one step-length is to be defined HINT = 0. |
| 19           | IMETH          | User option for choosing direct method for computing Φ(h) i.e.  
               |                | IMETH = 1, or N.I. method i.e. IMETH = 2.  
               |                | IMETH = 1 may be overwritten in program for N.I. method.  
               |                | IMETH = 2 is considered to be accurate enough, in general, and is recommended to user. |
| 20           | H(I),I=1...,NT | H(I) are the user defined step-lengths.  
               |                | NT was defined earlier.  
               |                | This record is optional.  
               |                | It will not be needed if H(I) is fully defined by data record 18. |
The matrices are ordered implicitly $A_1$, $D_1$, $A_2$, $D_2$, $A_3$, $D_3$, $A_4$, $D_4$ in the data.

A variable NFL defines the number of non-zero elements for each matrix in turn. If NFL is zero, then the matrix is assumed null, and NFL for the next matrix of the set is the next data required. When NFL is non-zero, the next data input defines all the non-zero elements (NFL of them) for the matrix in question.

Consider the example, in which there are 4 non-zero elements

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Assuming the matrix dimension, i.e. $3 \times 3$, has already been defined, then two data records are required to fully define $A_1$. The first data record contains the value of NFL. The second data record contains the row, column and value of each non-zero element in that strict order, although the order in which each element group is defined is unimportant.

The row and column are defined by integers, and the element value is defined by a floating point constant. The two data records defining $A_1$ are:

4

1,1,1.0,2,2,2.0,3,2,1.0,3,3,1.

Following the matrix definition for $A_1$, ..., $D_4$, the user must define a value, or values, of step-length $h$, for which he wishes to test his partitioning scheme. The program accepts variables to define a range of values, $h$, at equal intervals, or a series of values $h$, or will read a single value for $h$. In the last instance, the software package provides the stability for the single value, $h$, and also for 1.5 times that value. This may give the user a better idea of where his choice lies relative to stability. If the step-lengths are at equal intervals, the package computes the array $H(i)$, from the value of $H(1)$, and the time interval between step-lengths, up to NT values.

The user has a choice as to which method is used to compute $G(h)$ and $Q(h)$ via the fundamental matrix $\Phi(h)$. The direct calculation, using eigenvalue theory, is allocated by the variable IMETH = 1. The approximate solution, using numerical integration, is assigned in the package by IMETH = 2. Results from either method have not differed significantly for the purpose of stability analysis, and the user is advised to use the numerical integration method, if he has no preference.

Initially, the program print-out defines the input matrices $A_1$, $D_1$, ..., $D_4$, together with the values of $h$ for program execution. The output then defines a performance index for the eigenvalue routine, followed by the eigenvalues of $A_1$, and an error parameter indicating success ($IER = 0$) or failure ($IER > 0$). The distinctness, or otherwise, of the eigenvalues is defined. A comment is printed concerning the stability of the matrix $G$, which provides the user a very important guide to the likely success or failure of his partitioning scheme. This stability indication is independent of values of $h$. Next, the method, by which the matrices $G$ and $Q$ will be computed, is printed. The preceding information is printed once for each run.
The next block of output is repeated for each value of h ascribed by the user. The step-length, h, in seconds, the N.I. step-length and accuracy, if applicable, and the matrices, G, Q and D are printed. The value of the error parameter for computing the eigenvalues of D is given and the eigenvalues are printed. Finally, there is a statement concerning the stability of the scheme for the present value of h.

4.2.2 Creating a data file

In order to run the software package under TSO, or via a batch job, the user must supply a data file, and link this file with the Fortran program.

For most user problems, the matrices A1, D1 may be of the order 6 x 6, or bigger. The record length required for specifying the non-zero elements of such matrices will very likely exceed 80 characters. This is the default value assigned in the EDIT command or by the JCL accompanying the data cards, to the record length parameter LRECL (or LINE). (The 8 characters used to specify the line number are included in the 80). A record length of 120 characters is considered adequate for problems likely to be encountered, but in any case the value must not exceed 255.

The BLKSIZE (or BLOCK) parameter of the EDIT command specifies the maximum length (in bytes) for all the records of the data set. Hence the BLKSIZE parameter must be an integer multiple of the LRECL parameter. The default parameter is 3120, (also the maximum allowed), which conveniently is a multiple of 120, but, in any case, a smaller number may be specified. For the test data sets, described in this report, the BLKSIZE parameter has been specified as 2640, allowing for a maximum of 22 records of 120 characters. The BLKSIZE and LRECL parameters are only specified when a new data set is created.

The EDIT command for creating the tracking radar simulation data set, SEEK4, of Section 5 is given as an example.

EDIT SEEK4 DATA NEW BLOCK(2640) LINE(120)

or

EDIT SEEK4 DATA NEW BLKSIZE(2640) LRECL(120)

4.2.3 Operational characteristics

This Section covers the general operating characteristics of interest to a user.

The W.R.E. Library program identification for the software package is 4154, and a user will be supplied with the data-set name of the package, and any other JCL routines necessary for running the program, on request from Computing Services Group.

(a) Running time

The program typically compiles and executes, for up to twelve values of step-length h, in under ten seconds. Execution time for the same run is less than three seconds.

(b) Diagnostics

The program terminates in the event that neither the N.I., nor the direct method for computing $\Phi$, can be applied. This will occur if some of the eigenvalues are repeated, and one or more have positive real part.

Most of the printing is controlled by the MAIN program.
However, there are several instances where other subroutines may print diagnostics. In sub-routine FUND, if the complex versions of the matrices $G$ and $Q$ have imaginary elements larger in magnitude than $10^{-3}$, a diagnostic is printed. The program continues executing.

In subroutines DMMPY and DCMPY, if the matrices supplied are not compatible for multiplication, then a diagnostic is printed, and the program terminates.

Whenever subroutine CNVRT uses the IMSL routine EIGRF to compute eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $A$, a performance index is computed defining how the IMSL routines performed. CNVRT prints the appropriate diagnostic, and the package continues to execute. EIGRF also provides an error parameter, IER, and its value is printed in CNVRT. IER may take the following range of values,

- $IER = 0$ Routines worked normally.
- $IER > 128$ The numerical solution failed to converge for one of the eigenvalues.
- $IER < 128$ One of the parameters in the calling statement for EIGRF is inconsistent.

The user is advised to regard the program results as incorrect whenever the error parameter is non-zero. It may then be necessary for the user to refer to the write-up of the IMSL routine EIGRF in order to satisfactorily continue with the problem solution.

(c) Replacing software package routines

Should a user need to modify or replace any of the routines of the software package, the point is made that modifications or new routines must use double precision arithmetic.

5. APPLICATIONS

Any physical system if analysed in great detail is non-linear. Most physical systems are also time-varying, but if changes in the system characteristics are very slow, compared with variations in the input, a linear time-varying system can be approximated by a linear time-invariant one.

It has been assumed here that a potential software package user has derived a linearized version for the system, prior to considering a hybrid simulation model, and that these equations are in the form

$$\dot{x} = Ax$$

as required by the software package.

However, it sometimes occurs, that in neglecting small time constants in the analogue portion of the model certain of the system equations are algebraic equations rather than differential equations. This is not covered by the theory presented here. Rather than extend the theory to cover the general case where equations may be algebraic or differential, it is considered easier to reintroduce small time constants for the purpose of stability analysis.

The following sections deal with two linear systems (see reference 3 for a detailed derivation of the linearization process). In fact, the existing digital simulation model for example 2, represents the control fin actuator, for a missile, as responding instantaneously, to produce a fin deflection, $\eta$, to the autopilot error signal, $\overline{\eta}$. A lag of $0.001$ s is introduced to express the system in a form suitable for software package implementation.
5.1 Tracking radar simulation

The first example describes a (single plane) simulation of a radar guided weapon homing on a target (figure 3), in which the motion of the missile (under autopilot control) is simulated on the analogue computer, while the signal processing functions of the missile radar receiver and the dynamics of the radar servo and pedestal systems are represented on the digital computer. The configuration is as shown in figure 4.

The linearized equations which describe the system are

\[
\psi_{DM} = F(S) (\psi_I - \psi_M) \quad (2)
\]

\[
\gamma = -3(\psi_{DM} + \psi_M) \quad (3)
\]

\[
\dot{\psi}_I = \gamma \quad (4)
\]

\[
\dot{\psi}_M = r \quad (5)
\]

and

\[
r = G(S) \gamma \quad (6)
\]

where

\[
\psi_{DM} = \text{dish-body angle}
\]

\[
\psi_M = \text{missile azimuth}
\]

\[
\gamma = \text{required dish rate to maintain track}
\]

\[
r = \text{missile angular velocity}
\]

\[
F(S) = \frac{(1 + T_{D1} S)}{1 + T_{D2} S + T_{D3} S^2}
\]

and

\[
G(S) = \frac{K(1 + T_{A1} S)(1 + T_{A2} S)}{(1 + T_{A3} S)(1 + T_{A4} S + T_{A5} S^2)}
\]

The partitioning scheme is such that \(\psi_{DM}\) and \(\gamma\), and hence \(\psi_I\), are evaluated and integrated digitally, whilst \(\psi_M\) and \(r\) are evaluated and integrated on the analogue computer.

It is necessary to reduce the second order equation

\[
\psi_{DM} = F(S) (\psi_I - \psi_M)
\]
to two first-order equations. Expanding (2), we have

\[ \psi_{DM} + T_{D2} \dot{\psi}_{DM} + T_{D3} \ddot{\psi}_{DM} = \psi_I - \psi_M + T_{D1} \dot{\psi}_I - T_{D1} \dot{\psi}_M \]  

(7)

Define

\[ z_1 = \psi_{DM} - \psi_I + \psi_M \]  

(8)

Then equation (7) reduces to

\[ \psi_{DM} = \frac{-T_{D2}}{T_{D3}} \psi_{DM} - \frac{1}{T_{D3}} z_1 + \frac{T_{D1}}{T_{D3}} \psi_I - \frac{T_{D1}}{T_{D3}} \psi_M \]

Integrating the above equation, we have

\[ \psi_{DM} = \frac{-T_{D2}}{T_{D3}} \psi_{DM} - \frac{1}{T_{D3}} z_1 + \frac{T_{D1}}{T_{D3}} \psi_I - \frac{T_{D1}}{T_{D3}} \psi_M \]

which, together with equation (8) define equation (2) as two first order d.e.'s. We see that \((\psi_{DM})_{N+1}\) which is calculated in the interval \(t_N < t < t_{N+1}\) on the digital computer is a function of \((\psi_{DM})_N\) and \((\psi_I)_N\) from the digital, and \(\psi_M\) from the analogue computer in the interval \(t_{N-1} < t < t_N\).

It is also necessary to reduce the third order equation

\[ r = G(S) \gamma \]

to three first order d.e.'s.

Express equation (6) as

\[ r = \frac{K(1 + T_{A1} S) \gamma}{1 + T_{A3} S} \left[ \frac{1 + T_{A2} S}{1 + T_{A4} S + T_{A5} S^2} \right] \]  

(9)

and define

\[ u = \frac{K(1 + T_{A1} S)}{1 + T_{A3} S} \]  

(10)

We can then define

\[ z_2 = \frac{K \gamma}{1 + T_{A3} S} \]

and hence
Therefore equation (10) becomes
\[ u = Z_2 + T_{A1} \dot{Z}_2 \]

Now for equation (9) we have a new expression
\[ r = \frac{1 + T_{A2}}{1 + T_{A4} S + T_{A5} S^2} u \]
\[ r + T_{A4} \dot{r} + T_{A5} r = u + T_{A2} \dot{u} \]

If we put
\[ \dot{Z}_3 = r - u \]
and substitute for \( \dot{Z}_3 \) in the above equation, we obtain
\[ \dot{r} = \frac{-T_{A4}}{T_{A5}} \dot{r} - \frac{1}{T_{A5}} Z_3 + \frac{T_{A2}}{T_{A5}} \dot{u} \]

Integrating, gives
\[ \dot{r} = \frac{-T_{A4}}{T_{A5}} r - \frac{1}{T_{A5}} Z_3 + \frac{T_{A2}}{T_{A5}} u \]

Expressing \( u \) in terms of \( Z_2 \) and \( Z_3 \), we derive
\[ \dot{r} = \frac{-T_{A4}}{T_{A5}} r - \frac{1}{T_{A5}} Z_3 + \frac{T_{A2}}{T_{A5}} \left[ Z_2 + T_{A1} \left( \frac{K}{T_{A3}} \gamma - \frac{1}{T_{A3}} Z_2 \right) \right] \]
\[ = \frac{-T_{A4}}{T_{A5}} r - \frac{1}{T_{A5}} Z_3 + \frac{T_{A2}}{T_{A5}} \left[ 1 - \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}} \right] Z_2 + \frac{K T_{A1} T_{A2}}{T_{A3} T_{A5}} \gamma \]
Equation (6) has been reduced to three first order d.e.'s, \( \dot{t}, \dot{Z}_2 \) and \( \dot{Z}_3 \). We shall assume that \( \dot{Z}_2 \) and \( \dot{Z}_3 \) are computed on the analogue computer, and can therefore see that the element \( \gamma \) is computed digitally in the interval \( t_{N-1} < t < t_N \), and passed to the analogue portion via the D/A interface at time \( t_N \), for computation of \( \dot{t}, \dot{Z}_2 \) and \( \dot{Z}_3 \) in the interval \( t_N < t < t_{N+1} \).

Equations (2) to (6) can now be expressed by a set of equations defined in (11).

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\psi}_M &= r \\
\dot{t} &= -\frac{T_{A4}}{T_{A5}} r + \frac{T_{A2}}{T_{A5}} \left[ 1 - \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}} \right] Z_2 - \frac{1}{T_{A5}} Z_3 + \frac{K T_{A1} T_{A2}}{T_{A3} T_{A5}} [\gamma]_N \\
\dot{Z}_2 &= -\frac{1}{T_{A3}} Z_2 + \frac{K}{T_{A3}} [\gamma]_N \\
\dot{Z}_3 &= r - \left[ 1 - \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A5}} \right] Z_2 - \frac{K T_{A1}}{T_{A3}} [\gamma]_N \\
\dot{\psi}_{DM} &= -\frac{T_{D1}}{T_{D3}} \psi_M - \frac{T_{D2}}{T_{D3}} \psi_{DM} + \frac{T_{D1}}{T_{D3}} \psi_I - \frac{1}{T_{D3}} Z_1 \\
\dot{\psi}_I &= [\gamma]_{N+1} \\
\dot{Z}_1 &= \psi_M + \psi_{DM} - \psi_I \\
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
[\gamma]_N = -3[\psi_{DM}]_N - 3[\psi_M]_{N-1}
\]
Expressing equations (11) as d.e.'s which are calculated in the interval 
$t_N < t < t_{N+1}$ by the hybrid computer, we have

$$
\dot{\psi}_M = r
$$

$$
\dot{t} = \frac{-3K}{T_{A3}} \frac{T_{A1} T_{A2}}{T_{A5}} [\psi_M]_{N-1} - \frac{T_{A4}}{T_{A5}} r + \frac{T_{A2}}{T_{A5}} \left[ 1 - \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}} \right] z_2 - \frac{1}{T_{A5}} z_3 - \frac{3K}{T_{A3}} \frac{T_{A1} T_{A2}}{T_{A5}} [\psi_{DM}]_N
$$

$$
\dot{z}_2 = \frac{-3K}{T_{A3}} [\psi_M]_{N-1} - \frac{1}{T_{A3}} z_2 - \frac{3K}{T_{A3}} [\psi_{DM}]_N
$$

$$
\dot{z}_3 = \frac{3K}{T_{A3}} \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}} [\psi_M]_{N-1} + r - \left[ 1 - \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}} \right] z_2 + \frac{3K}{T_{A3}} \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}} [\psi_{DM}]_N
$$

$$
\dot{\psi}_{DM} = \frac{-T_{D1}}{T_{D3}} [\psi_M]_N
$$

$$
\dot{\psi}_I = -3[\psi_M]_N
$$

$$
\dot{z}_1 = [\psi_M]_N
$$

$$
- \frac{T_{D2}}{T_{D3}} \psi_{DM} + \frac{T_{D1}}{T_{D3}} \psi_I - \frac{1}{T_{D3}} z_1
$$

$$
- 3 \psi_{DM}
$$

$$
\psi_{DM} + \psi_I
$$

(12)
The analogue vector \( \chi \), and the digital vector \( \xi \) are defined as

\[
\chi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_M \\ r \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{DM} \\ \psi_1 \\ z_1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

It remains to express the set of equations defined in (12) in the form defined in Appendix I.

\[
\dot{\chi} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_M \\ r \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{T_{A4}}{T_{A5}} & \frac{T_{A2}}{T_{A5}} \left(1 - \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}}\right) & -\frac{1}{T_{A5}} \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{T_{A3}} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -\left(1 - \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}}\right) & 0
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_M \\ r \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
+ \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \psi_M \\
-3K \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}} & 0 & 0 & r \\
-3K & 0 & 0 & z_2 \\
3K \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}} & 0 & 0 & z_3
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \psi_{DM} \\
-3K \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}} & 0 & 0 & \psi_1 \\
3K & 0 & 0 & z_1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\psi_M \\
r \\
z_2 \\
z_3
\end{pmatrix} N-1
\]

\[
+ \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \psi_{DM} \\
-3K \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}} & 0 & 0 & \psi_1 \\
3K \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}} & 0 & 0 & z_1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\psi_M \\
r \\
z_2 \\
z_3
\end{pmatrix} N
\]

(13)
i.e.

\[ \dot{\chi} = A_1 \chi + D_1 \chi_{N-1} + D_2 \zeta_N \]

and \( A_2 \) a null matrix. The equation (13) defines the analogue portion of the partitioning scheme.

The non-zero elements of the matrix \( D_2 \) are components of \( \dot{r}, \dot{z}_2 \) and \( \dot{z}_3 \), and are computed on the digital computer as shown in figure 4. It is immaterial whether the elements of \( D_2 \) are computed digitally or on the analogue portion (then called \( A_2 \)) since the two matrices occur as a sum \((A_2 + D_2)\) in the matrix, \( D \), of the theory. Similarly, it is immaterial which of the matrices \( A_3 \) or \( D_3 \) is defined in the expression for \( \dot{\zeta} \).

Further, expressing (12) in the form of Appendix I for \( \dot{\zeta} \),

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-T_{D1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
T_{D3} & -T_{D2} & T_{D1} & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & T_{D3} \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\psi_M \\
\dot{r} \\
Z_2 \\
Z_3
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
-T_{D1} & T_{D3} & 0 & 0 \\
T_{D3} & -T_{D2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & T_{D3} \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\psi_{DM} \\
\psi_1 \\
Z_1 \\
N
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(14)

\[ = D_3 \chi_N + D_4 \zeta_N \] (or \( A_3 \chi_N + D_4 \zeta_N \))

with \( A_3, A_4 \) null matrices.

Consider as an example under consideration the situation where

\[ T_{D1} = 0.1 \]
\[ T_{D2} = 0.22 \]
\[ T_{D3} = 0.016 \]
\[ K = 3.52 \]
Then the data for defining the configuration for the software package is

\[ K = 4 \]
\[ M = 7 \]
\[ M-K = 3 \]

\( A_1, D_1 \) are 4 x 4 matrices
\( A_2, D_2 \) are 4 x 3 matrices
\( A_3, D_3 \) are 3 x 4 matrices

and

\( A_4, D_4 \) are 3 x 3 matrices

\[
A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -5.8 & 11.4 & -10.6 \\ 0 & 0 & -43.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -0.6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -92.8 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -459.1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4.6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -92.8 & 0 & 0 \\ -459.1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4.6 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -6.3 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -13.8 & 6.3 & -62.5 \\ -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Table 2 presents the data in the form accepted by the package, and Appendix VI shows a sample output from the computer run.
### TABLE 2. INPUT DATA FOR EXAMPLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Data Record</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_M$</td>
<td>4, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL}$</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>1, 2, 1.0, 2, 2, -5.8, 4, 2, 1.0, 2, 3, 11.4, 3, 3, -43.5, 4, 3, -0.6, 2, 4, -10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_1$</td>
<td>2, 1, -92.8, 3, 1, -459.1, 4, 1, 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL}$ (A2)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_2$</td>
<td>2, 1, -92.8, 3, 1, -459.1, 4, 1, 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL}$ (A3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_3$</td>
<td>1, 1, -6.3, 2, 1, -3.0, 3, 1, 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL}$ (A4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL}$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_4$</td>
<td>1, 1, -13.8, 2, 1, -3.0, 3, 1, 1.0, 1, 2, 6.3, 3, 2, -1.0, 1, 3, -62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT, EL, HINT</td>
<td>6, 0.038, 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMETH</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2 Height control system simulation

The second example concerns the simulation of the height control system of a missile. For the present purposes, the system is assumed to have the configuration shown in figure 5. In this, the indicated missile height and velocity are obtained by integration of the missile acceleration, as sensed by the inertial platform. Drifts and biases are corrected by comparison with the indicated height and the smoothed output of the missile's altimeter. The indicated height, $Z$, is then compared with the demanded height, $Z_d$, and, with the vertical velocity, $v$, is used to form the demanded acceleration, $a_d$. This is the input to the missile autopilot which controls the missile aerodynamic response such that the achieved vertical acceleration, $a_Z$, closely follows the demanded input.

One approach to the hybrid solution is to simulate the autopilot and inertial platform and a portion of the aerodynamics on the analogue computer. Evaluation of the missile acceleration and the derivative of pitch rate are computed digitally, since they are functions of several variables, and integrated on the analogue portion (figure 6). The above configuration is the "text-book" version of the partitioning problem viz, that algebra should be solved on the analogue computer.

The analysis of reference 1 has shown the potential danger of applying this "text-book" approach, if the resulting scheme evaluates derivatives on one portion of the computer and integrates them on the other. This "complete partitioning" can result in an even less stable division than evaluating and integrating derivatives entirely on the digital computer, user Euler integration. Nevertheless, without the guidance which the analysis provides,
this partitioning scheme might well appear to be a sensible one, and, as such, provides an interesting test case for the theory. The linearized system equations are defined below.

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{Z} &= \nu \\
\dot{\nu} &= a_Z \\
a_Z &= a_1 a + a_2 \eta \\
\dot{\eta} &= a_3 a + a_4 \eta \\
\ddot{a} &= \frac{a_Z}{u} + q \\
\dot{\eta} &= \frac{K_{A4}}{r} \left[ \frac{K_{A1}}{(1 + T_{A1} S) (1 + T_{A2} S)} q - K_{A5} a_Z + a_D \right] \\
\eta &= \frac{1}{1 + r S} \dot{\eta} \\
a_D &= K_{A2} (Z_D - Z) - K_{A3} \nu
\end{align*}
\]

For stability analysis we can regard \( Z_D \) as zero. Thus

\[
a_D = -K_{A2} Z - K_{A3} \nu
\]

The closed loop response for missile height and acceleration are,

\[
Z = \frac{Z_D}{(1 + 0.85 S) (1 + 0.15 S + 0.039 S^2)}
\]

and

\[
a_Z = \frac{3(1 - 0.0035 S)}{(1 + 0.18 S) (1 + 0.016 S)} a_D
\]

In the above equations \( a_1, a_2, a_3 \) and \( a_4 \) are functions of several variables related to missile incidence, Mach number, and air pressure.

- \( a_D \) = demanded missile acceleration
- \( Z_D \) = demanded missile height
- \( u \) = missile velocity
- \( K_{A1}, \ldots, K_{A5} \) = gains in pitch autopilot
- \( T_{A1}, T_{A2}, T_{A3} \) = time constants in body rate feedback of autopilot
Thus the linearized equations for stability analysis are

\[ \dot{z} = \nu \quad (15) \]
\[ \dot{\eta} = a_1 \eta + a_2 \eta \quad (16) \]
\[ \dot{q} = a_3 \eta + a_4 \eta \quad (17) \]
\[ \dot{a} = \frac{1}{u} (a_1 \eta + a_2 \eta) + q \quad (18) \]

\[ \dot{\eta} = \frac{K_{A4}}{\tau} \left[ \frac{K_{A1} (1 + T_{A1} S)}{(1 + T_{A2} S)(1 + T_{A3} S)} \eta - K_{A5} (a_1 \eta + a_2 \eta) - K_{A2} z - K_{A3} \nu \right] - \frac{\eta}{\tau} \quad (19) \]

We must reduce the third order d.e. defined by equation (19) to three first order d.e.'s.

Put

\[ \beta = \frac{K_{A1}}{1 + T_{A2} S} q \]

then

\[ \dot{\beta} = \frac{K_{A1}}{T_{A2}} q - \frac{1}{T_{A2}} \beta \]

We will assume that \( \dot{\beta} \) is computed on the analogue computer. Equation (19) now becomes

\[ \dot{\eta} = \frac{K_{A4}}{\tau} \left[ \frac{(1 + T_{A1} S)}{(1 + T_{A3} S)} \beta - K_{A5} (a_1 \eta + a_2 \eta) - K_{A2} z - K_{A3} \nu \right] - \frac{\eta}{\tau} \quad (20) \]

Put

\[ \delta = \frac{(1 + T_{A1} S)}{(1 + T_{A3} S)} \beta \]

Thus

\[ \dot{\delta} = \frac{-\delta}{T_{A3}} + \frac{\beta}{T_{A3}} + \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A3}} \dot{\beta} \]

\[ = \frac{-\delta}{T_{A3}} + \frac{T_{A1} K_{A1}}{T_{A3} T_{A2}} q + \frac{\beta}{T_{A3}} \left[ 1 - \frac{T_{A1}}{T_{A2}} \right] \]
Substituting for $\delta$ in equation (20), we now have a first order d.e. for $\dot{\eta}$, i.e.

$$
\dot{\eta} = \frac{K_A 4}{\tau} (\delta - K_A 5 a_1 a - K_A 5 a_2 \eta - K_A 2 z - K_A 3 v) - \frac{\eta}{\tau}
$$

Thus all the equations of the height control system are now defined as a set of first order differential equations.

The partitioning scheme is such that there are no integrations performed digitally. At time $t_{N-1}$, the values for $a$ and $\eta$ are passed to the digital computer for evaluation of $(\dot{v})_N$ and $(\dot{q})_N$ in the interval $t_{N-1} < t < t_N$. These derivatives will be integrated in the interval $t_N < t < t_{N+1}$ on the analogue computer. We will assume, for the purposes of defining $A_1, \ldots, A_4$, $D_1, \ldots, D_4$ that the coefficients, $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$, $a_4$, $K_A 4$, $K_A 5$, $K_A 1$, $K_A 2$, $K_A 3$, $K_A 4$, $K_A 5$, $K_A 2$ are computed digitally in the interval $t_{N-1} < t < t_N$.

The equations defining the partitioning scheme are

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} &= \frac{a_1}{u} (a)_{N-1} + q + \frac{a_2}{u} (\eta)_{N-1} \\
\dot{q} &= a_3 (a)_{N-1} + a_4 (\eta)_{N-1} \\
\dot{\beta} &= \frac{K_A 1}{T_A 2} q - \frac{1}{T_A 2} \beta \\
\dot{\eta} &= \frac{-K_A 4 K_A 5 a_1}{\tau} (a)_{N-1} - \frac{1}{\tau} \eta - \frac{K_A 4 K_A 5 a_2}{\tau} (\eta)_{N-1} - \frac{K_A 4 K_A 2}{\tau} z - \frac{K_A 4 K_A 3}{\tau} v + \frac{K_A 4}{\tau} \delta \\
\dot{v} &= a_1 (a)_{N-1} + a_2 (\eta)_{N-1} \\
\dot{\delta} &= \frac{T_A 1 K_A 1}{T_A 3 T_A 2} q + \frac{1}{T_A 3} \left( 1 - \frac{T_A 1}{T_A 2} \right) \beta - \frac{1}{T_A 3} \delta
\end{align*}
\]

We have

$$
\chi = \left( \begin{array}{c}
\alpha \\
\eta \\
z \\
v \\
\delta
\end{array} \right)
\quad \text{and} \quad
\xi = Q
$$
In the interval $t_N < t < t_{N+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{a} \\
\dot{q} \\
\dot{\beta} \\
\dot{\eta} \\
\dot{z} \\
\dot{v} \\
\dot{\delta}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{K_{A1}}{T_{A2}} & \frac{1}{T_{A2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
a \\
q \\
\beta \\
\eta \\
z \\
v \\
\delta
\end{pmatrix}
$$

\[ \chi = A_1 \chi + D_1 \chi_{N-1} \]

i.e.

The matrices $A_2, D_2, A_3, D_3, A_4$ and $D_4$ are all null.

Consider the situation where

- $a_1 = -193.8$
- $a_2 = -34.5$
- $a_3 = -58.4$
- $a_4 = -61.6$
- $u = 306$
- $K_{A1} = 39$
- $K_{A2} = 2.17$
- $K_{A3} = 2.17$
Thus the data for defining the configuration for the software package is

\[ K_a^4 = 0.07 \]
\[ K_a^5 = 0.126 \]
\[ \tau = 0.001 \]
\[ T_{a1} = 0.5 \]
\[ T_{a2} = 2.0 \]
\[ T_{a3} = 0.01 \]

Thus the data for defining the configuration for the software package is

\[ K = 7 \]
\[ M = 8 \]
\[ M - K = 1 \]

\( A_1, D_1 \) are 7 x 7 matrices
\( A_2, \ldots, D_4 \) are all null, and

\[
A_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 19.5 & -0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1000 & -151.9 & -151.9 & 70 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 975.0 & 75.0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -100.0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
D_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
-0.6 & 0 & 0 & -0.1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-58.4 & 0 & 0 & -61.6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
+1709.3 & 0 & 0 & +304.3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-193.8 & 0 & 0 & -34.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Table 3 lists the input data for the software package and Appendix VII presents computer output for the above example. As is to be expected the partitioning scheme is shown to be far from ideal, and the results show that it would be mathematically unstable for a step-length as small as 12 ms, even though the bandwidth of the acceleration and height loops are 0.9 Hz and 0.2 Hz respectively. The general experience is that even the use of prediction will not greatly help in these sorts of application which employ "complete partitioning".
TABLE 3. INPUT DATA FOR EXAMPLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Data Record</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K, M$</td>
<td>7, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL}$</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>1, 2, 1.0, 3, 2, 19.5, 7, 2, 975.0, 3, 3, -0.5, 7, 3, 75.0, 4, 4, -1000.0, 4, 5, -151.9, 4, 6, -151.9, 5, 6, 1.0, 4, 7, 70.0, 7, 7, -100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL}$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_1$</td>
<td>1, 1, -0.6, 2, 1, -58.4, 4, 1, 1709.3, 6, 1, -193.8, 1, 4, -0.1, 2, 4, -61.6, 4, 4, 304.3, 6, 4, -34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL} (A2)$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL} (D2)$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL} (A3)$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL} (D3)$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL} (A4)$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{EL} (D4)$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{T, EL, HINT}$</td>
<td>5.0, 0.009, 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMETH</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A better partitioning scheme is one for which $a_2$ and $q$ are evaluated on the analogue computer, and the inertial platform is simulated digitally. The software package was used to determine the stability of this partitioning scheme for the numerical values of the previous example (but with $a_2 = 0$). It was predicted by the software package that instability would occur for a digital computing step-length of 113 ms, which is a considerable improvement over the 12 ms of the first scheme. This value was checked against the hybrid simulation by incorporating a variable delay in the digital computer. For the same values it was determined experimentally that instability occurred for a step-length of 110 ms, which is in close agreement with that predicted by the software package. In actual fact the hybrid simulation was inaccurate at this step-length, even though stable, highlighting the need for future work to consider the accuracy of hybrid simulation.

Finally, by using a simplified description of the above partitioning scheme, the question of stability can be settled by direct analysis, as in Section 5.1 of reference 1. For values of $K_1, K_2 = 6.6$ and $T = 0.2$, and following the method of reference 1, the maximum value of $h$ for which the partitioning scheme is stable is 120 ms. Thus there is a good measure of agreement between all approaches.

6. TEST STATUS OF THE SOFTWARE PACKAGE

The package was extensively tested during each stage of its production. However, testing the complete package posed a problem since a typical system for analysis is likely to be quite complex, and one can expect the $D$ matrix to be of the order $14 \times 14$. Finding the eigenvalues of this matrix by hand is quite intractable.

However, it is possible, by reducing the time constants in the response functions of the analogue portion, to generate 'instantaneous' responses for that part, and thus produce a system which can be analysed theoretically. One can therefore theoretically analyse a partitioning scheme for such a system, and hence, produce a value for step-length at which it will become unstable.
Such modified system equations can be used as the test data for the software package.

The homing missile simulation of the first example was chosen as a test case. The configuration is changed slightly with the dynamics of the radar servo and pedestal system now being represented on the analogue computer. The configuration is shown in figure 7.

The data defined in Section 5.1 is applied with the time constants of the transfer functions being increased by an order of 10. The equations for the instantaneous system are

Digital

\[
\dot{\psi}_1 = -3(\psi_{DM} + \psi_M) = \gamma
\]

Analogue

\[
\psi_{DM} = \frac{(1 + 0.01 S)(\psi_1 - \psi_M)}{1 + 0.022 S + 0.00016 S^2}
\]

\[
r = \frac{3.52(1 + 0.001 S)(1 + 0.19 S)}{(1 + 0.0023 S)(1 + 0.054 S + 0.00094 S^2)} \gamma
\]

\[
\psi_M = r
\]

These equations reduce to seven first order d.c.'s with the analogue vector, \( \chi \), being defined by

\[
\chi = \begin{bmatrix}
\psi_{DM} \\
\psi_M \\
r \\
z_1 \\
z_2 \\
z_3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and the digital vector, \( \zeta \), being defined by a single element.

\[
\zeta = (\psi_1)
\]

The partitioned system can now be defined in terms of the matrices suitable for the software package.

In vector form the system is defined for the interval \( t_N < t < t_{N+1} \)
\[
\dot{X} = \begin{pmatrix}
-137.5 & -62.5 & 0 & -6250 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -57.4 & 0 & 114.3 & -1064 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -43.5 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -0.6 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\psi_{DM} \\
\psi_M \\
r \\
z_1 \\
z_2 \\
z_3 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
+ \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-927.5 & -927.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-4590 & -4590 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
4.6 & 4.6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\psi_{DM} \\
\psi_M \\
r \\
z_1 \\
z_2 \\
z_3 \\
\end{pmatrix}_{N-1}
\]

\[
+ \begin{pmatrix}
62.5 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
-1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
(\psi_1)_N
\]

and

\[
\dot{z} = (\psi_1) = (-3 -3 0 0 0 0)
\begin{pmatrix}
\psi_{DM} \\
\psi_M \\
r \\
z_1 \\
z_2 \\
z_3 \\
\end{pmatrix}_N
\]

In terms of the matrices \(A_1, \ldots, A_4, D_1, \ldots, D_4\),

\[
\dot{X} = A_1 X + D_1 X_{N-1} + A_2 z_N
\]

with \(D_2\) null, and

\[
\dot{z} = D_3 z_N
\]

with \(A_3, A_4, D_4\) null.

Solving the stability problem theoretically, we have on the digital computer in the interval \(t_N < t < t_{N+1}\).
using Euler integration.

In the same interval the analogue functions are computed so fast as to be considered instantaneous. We can therefore represent the analogue functions by the following set of equations.

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{r} &= -3.52 \times 3(\psi_{\text{DM}}^{N-1} + \psi_{\text{M}}^{N-1}) \\
\dot{\psi}_{\text{M}} &= r \\
\psi_{\text{DM}} &= \psi_{\text{I}} - \psi_{\text{M}}
\end{align*}
\]

At time, \( t_{N+1} \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{\text{I}}^{N+1} &= \psi_{\text{I}}^{N} - 3h(\psi_{\text{DM}}^{N} + \psi_{\text{M}}^{N}) \\
\psi_{\text{M}}^{N+1} &= \psi_{\text{M}}^{N} - 3.52 \times 3h(\psi_{\text{DM}}^{N} + \psi_{\text{M}}^{N-1}) \\
\psi_{\text{DM}}^{N+1} &= \psi_{\text{I}}^{N} - \psi_{\text{M}}^{N+1}
\end{align*}
\]

from equation (23), we have

\[
\psi_{\text{I}}^{N} = \psi_{\text{DM}}^{N+1} + \psi_{\text{M}}^{N+1}
\]

Thus

\[
\psi_{\text{I}}^{N+1} = \psi_{\text{I}}^{N} - 3h \psi_{\text{I}}^{N-1}
\]

and

\[
\psi_{\text{M}}^{N+1} = \psi_{\text{M}}^{N} - 3h(\psi_{\text{I}}^{N-2})
\]

Equations (25) and (26) are recurrence relations, which together define the complete system. It is clear that the stability of equation (26) depends on the stability of equation (25), i.e. stability is determined from

\[
\psi_{\text{I}}^{N+1} = \psi_{\text{I}}^{N} - 3h \psi_{\text{I}}^{N-1}
\]
Clearly a solution to equation (25) is

\[ \psi_i^N = a_1 \beta_1^N + a_2 \beta_2^N \]  

(27)

where \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) are the roots of

\[ \beta^2 - \beta + 3h = 0 \]  

(28)

i.e.

\[ \beta_{1,2} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 12h}}{2} \]

If \( h < \frac{1}{12} \), the roots are real, with

\[ |\beta_{1,2}| < 1 \]

Hence equation (27) is a stable solution to equation (25).

If \( h > \frac{1}{12} \), the roots are complex conjugates, and for stability of equation (27), their product must be in modulus less than unity, i.e. from equation (28) we need

\[ 3h < 1 \]

which means, for stability we require

\[ h < \frac{1}{3} \text{s} \]

The software package was run, and predicted the system would go unstable at exactly

\[ h = 0.33 \text{ s} \]

7. CONCLUSIONS

The analysis of reference 1 has shown that the problem of stability of a given hybrid simulation can be solved in advance of programming, provided one can find a linear approximation to the response of the system under consideration. For large-sized problems, however, the steps involved in applying the direct analysis may be intractable.

This report describes a software package which implements the stability analysis procedure, thus providing hybrid computer users a quick and flexible means of determining in advance the stability of a proposed partitioning scheme. In addition, the report attempts to provide guidelines for interpreting results obtained by the software package.
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NOTATION

A  MXM matrix describing linear system response
A₁, A₂, A₃, A₄  matrices used in partitioning of A
B  matrix used in partitioning of A, also inverse matrix of eigenvectors of A₁
C  matrix used in partitioning of A₄ also matrix of eigenvectors of A₁
D  stability matrix
D₁, D₂, D₃, D₄  matrices used in partitioning of A
F(S)  transfer functions used in example
G(S)  G(S)
G  response matrix for system programmed on analogue portion
H(S)  transfer function used in example
Iₖ  unit matrix of order K
K, Kₐ₁, Kₐ₂  gains used in examples
Kₐ₃, Kₐ₄, Kₐ₅
N₀  number of integration steps in interval (0,h)
P(S)  transfer function used in example
Q  response of the analogue system to unit step input
Tₐ₁, Tₐ₂, Tₐ₃'  time constants used in examples
Tₐ₄', Tₐ₅'
T₁, T₂', T₃, T₄', T₅'
X(t)  unique fundamental matrix
z  missile height
z₀  demanded missile height
z₁, z₂, z₃  used in example to reduce nᵗʰ order d.e. to n first order d.e.'s
a  maximum element in matrix A₃
a₂  demanded missile acceleration
a₃  missile acceleration
a₄  functions of several variables related to missile incidence, Mach number and airpressure
h, hₛ, h₀  hybrid simulation step-length
\( h_S, h_{\text{STEP}} \) 
numerical integration step-length

\( q \) 
missile pitch rate

\( r \) 
missile yaw rate

\( t, t_N \) 
time

\( u \) 
missile velocity

\( \Psi(t) \) 
system input

\( v \) 
missile vertical velocity

\( X, \dot{X}, \ddot{X}, Z, \dot{Z} \) 
vectors used in describing system state

\( \Gamma, N \) 
error function for fundamental matrix

\( \Theta, K \) 
null matrix of order \( K \)

\( \Phi(t) \) 
fundamental matrix

\( \psi \) 
equivalent to \( e^{At} \)

\( a \) 
pitch incidence in example

\( \beta \) 
used in example to reduce \( n^{\text{th}} \) order to d.e. to \( n \) first order d.e.'s

\( \beta_1, \beta_2 \) 
zeros of stability quadratic in example

\( \gamma \) 
receiver output in example

\( \delta \) 
used in example to reduce \( n^{\text{th}} \) order d.e. to \( n \) first order d.e.'s

\( e \) 
accuracy of numerical integration solution

\( \eta \) 
pitch wing angle in example

\( \bar{\eta} \) 
pitch autopilot error signal in example

\( \lambda_i \) 
eigenvalue of partitioning matrix \( A_i \)

\( \mu_i \) 
eigenvalue of stability matrix \( D \)

\( \tau \) 
time increments for numerical integration interval \((0,h)\)

\( \psi_{\text{DM}} \) 
radar dish angle in example

\( \psi_I \) 
integral of receiver output in example

\( \psi_M \) 
missile attitude
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APPENDIX I

ANALYSIS OF THE GENERAL PARTITIONING PROBLEM

Consider the problem of solving, by hybrid computation, the system of differential equations

\[ \dot{x} = Bx + Cu(t) \]  

where \( x \) is a vector of order \( M \), and \( u(t) \) is a vector function of time. This set may represent the whole, or only part of the system being simulated, but it is sufficient in the present context for investigating the stability of integration processes.

For the purposes of stability analysis, one can disregard the forcing function, and set

\[ u(t) = 0 \]

in equation (I.1), and henceforth be concerned with the system

\[ \dot{x} = Bx \]  

The general solution to solving equation (I.2) on a hybrid computer is to allow for integrations and evaluation of derivatives to be performed digitally or on the analogue computer without restriction. The range of possibilities, then, is as shown in figure 1.

If \( K \) integrations are carried out on the analogue computer and \( M - K \) on the digital portion, then equation (I.2) can be re-written in the form

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= A_1x + A_2z + D_1x + D_2z \\
\dot{z} &= A_3x + A_4z + D_3y + D_4z
\end{align*} \]  

(I.3)

where

\[ x = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ z \end{pmatrix} \]

and the vectors \( A_1x, A_2z, A_3x, A_4z \) are computed on the analogue portion while \( D_1x, D_2z, D_3y \) and \( D_4z \) are determined digitally. The matrix \( B \) as defined in equation (I.2) is then assumed partitioned such that

\[ B = A + D, \]

where \( A, D \) are matrices comprising those elements of \( B \) which are evaluated on the analogue and digital computers respectively. Further \( A \) and \( D \) are assumed partitioned such that

\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix} \]
and
\[
D = \begin{pmatrix}
D_1 & D_2 \\
D_3 & D_4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

For the purpose of this analysis, the digital to analogue, and analogue to digital interfaces are assumed to be sampled simultaneously.

Assume \( \chi \) is sampled by the analogue to digital converter at time, \( t_N \), and the digital computer step-length for each cycle is \( h \) seconds. Then at time
\[
t_{N+1} = t_N + h
\]
the quantity \( x_{N+1} \) is fed through the digital to analogue interface for determining \( \chi \) in the interval \( t_{N+1} < t < t_{N+2} \).

Euler integration on the digital computer gives from (1.3)
\[
x_{N+1} = x_N + h(A_3 x_N + A_4 x_{N-1} + D_3 x_N + D_4 x_N)
\]
(1.4)

In the same interval, \( t_N < t < t_{N+1} \), \( \dot{\chi} \) can be integrated on the analogue by solving
\[
\dot{\chi} = A_1 \chi + A_2 x_N + D_1 x_N + D_2 z_N
\]
(1.5)

In equation (1.5) \( A_1 \chi \) varies with time, while
\[
A_2 x_N + D_1 x_N + D_2 z_N
\]
is constant over the interval \( t_N < t < t_{N+1} \).

The solution to equation (1.5) is given by (see Appendix V)
\[
x_{N+1} = \Phi(h) \Phi^{-1}(0) x_N + \int_0^h \Phi(\xi) \Phi^{-1}(0) \, d\xi (A_2 x_N + D_1 x_N + D_2 z_N)
\]
(1.6)

which reduces to
\[
x_{N+1} = G x_N + Q(A_2 x_N + D_1 x_N + D_2 z_N)
\]
(1.7)

where
\[
G = \Phi(h) \Phi^{-1}(0)
\]
\[
Q = \int_0^h G(\xi) \, d\xi
\]

and \( \Phi(t) \) is a fundamental matrix for \( A_1 \).
Equations (1.4) and (1.7) can be combined into

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
X_{N+1} \\
Z_{N+1}
\end{pmatrix} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
G & Q(A_2 + D_2) \\
h(A_3 + D_3) & I_{M-k} + hD_4
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
X_N \\
Z_N
\end{pmatrix} + 
\begin{pmatrix}
QD_1 & \Theta_1 \\
\Theta_2 & hA_4
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
X_{N-1} \\
Z_{N-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]  
(1.8)

where

\( \Theta_1 \) is a null matrix of order \( K \times (M-K) \)

\( \Theta_2 \) is a null matrix of order \( (M-K) \times K \)

Setting

\[
X_N = \begin{pmatrix} QD_1 & \Theta_1 \\ \Theta_2 & hA_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_{N-1} \\ Z_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}
\]

equation (1.8) becomes

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
X_{N+1} \\
X_N
\end{pmatrix} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
G & Q(A_2 + D_2) \\
h(A_3 + D_3) & I_{M-k} + hD_4
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
X_N \\
X_N
\end{pmatrix} + 
\begin{pmatrix}
QD_1 & \Theta_1 \\
\Theta_2 & hA_4
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
X_{N-1} \\\nZ_{N-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]  
(1.9)

This system can be further transformed by setting

\[
\mu_N = \begin{pmatrix} X_N \\ X_N \end{pmatrix}
\]

and then equation (1.9) reduces to

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\mu_{N+1} \\
\mu_N
\end{pmatrix} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
G & Q(A_2 + D_2) & I_{M} \\
h(A_3 + D_3) & I_{M-k} + hD_4 & I_{M}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\mu_N \\
\mu_N
\end{pmatrix} + 
\begin{pmatrix}
QD_1 & \Theta_1 \\
\Theta_2 & hA_4 & \Theta_M
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
X_{N-1} \\\nZ_{N-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(1.10)

\[
\mu_{N+1} = D \mu_N
\]

(1.11)
Thus, the chosen computing scheme will be stable if the zeros of the $2M^{th}$ order polynomial

$$f(\lambda) = |D - \lambda I_{2M}|$$ \hspace{1cm} (I.12)

are in modulus less than unity.
APPENDIX II
NUMERICAL INTEGRATION STEP-LENGTH FOR DETERMINING \( \Phi(h) \)

It is required to determine the solution to the fundamental matrix equation using numerical integration over the interval \((0, h_0)\), i.e.,

\[
\dot{\Phi}(h_0) = B\Phi(h_0)
\]

where

\[
\Phi(0) = I
\]

and

\( B \) is a real \( MXM \) matrix.

The accuracy and stability of the numerical solution depend on the numerical integration step-length, \( h \), which will be determined from the eigenvalues of \( B \).

The numerical integration method chosen is the predictor-corrector method.

For the predictor-corrector method at the \((N+1)\)th step we have,

Predict pass:

\[
\Phi_{N+1}^P = \Phi_N^P + hB\Phi_N
\]

If the eigenvalues of \( B \) are distinct, then we can find a matrix \( P \), such that

\[
P B^{-1} P = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_M)
\]

where \( \lambda_i \) are the eigenvalues of \( B \).

(If the \( \lambda_i \) are not all distinct we need only consider the reduced set of recurrence relations for which the eigenvalues are distinct).

Setting

\[
\psi_N = P\Phi_N
\]

we obtain

\[
\psi_{N+1}^P = \psi_N^P + h \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_M) \psi_N
\]

For the \( k \)th column we have for the predict pass

\[
\psi_{N+1}^P = \psi_N^P + h \lambda_k \psi_N
\]

\[
\psi_{N+1} = \lambda_k \psi_{N+1}^P
\]
Correct pass:

\[
\Psi_{N+1} = \Psi_N + \frac{h}{2} (\dot{\Psi}_N + \dot{\Psi}_{N+1})
\]

\[
= \Psi_N - h\lambda_k \dot{\Psi}_N + h^2 \lambda_k \frac{\dot{\Psi}_{N+1}}{2}
\]

The question of stability can be determined by analysis of the stability of the set of recurrence relations

\[
\Psi_{N+1}^k = \left(1 + \lambda_k h + \frac{\lambda_k^2 h^2}{2}\right) \Psi_{N}^k, \quad k = 1, \ldots, M \quad (11.1)
\]

Putting

\[
\beta^N = \Psi_N
\]

we have

\[
\beta = 1 + \lambda_k h + \frac{\lambda_k^2 h^2}{2}
\]

and require

\[|\beta| < 1\]

for a stable solution.

Hence the stability boundary is defined by

\[
|f(h)| = \left|1 + \lambda_k h + \frac{\lambda_k^2 h^2}{2}\right| = 1, \quad k = 1, \ldots, M \quad (11.2)
\]

and is shown in figure 8.

It is necessary for the accuracy and stability required in the present application for \(h\) to be chosen so that \(\lambda_k h\) lies well inside the stability boundary for all \(k\).

Consider the real part of the eigenvalues, i.e.,

\[u = \text{Re}(\lambda_k)\]

Then we have stability if,

\[uh < -2\]

In order to achieve \(uh\) well inside the stability boundary we choose \(h\) so that we have

\[uh = -0.2 \quad (11.3)\]
To further ensure accuracy and stability it is necessary that the above value of $h$ satisfies

$$\frac{v h}{w_B(h)} < 0.2 \quad \text{(II.4)}$$

where

$$v = \text{Im} \left( \lambda_k \right)$$

$$w_B(h) = \sqrt[4]{-8uh} \quad \text{(see below)}$$

If equation (II.4) is not satisfied, then it is necessary to define a new value of numerical integration step-length, $h_1$, such that $h_1$ satisfies the accuracy and stability criteria. We require an $h_1$ such that $uh_1$ are small, and $vh_1$ lie well inside the stability boundary for all the eigenvalues of $B$.

Putting

$$\lambda_k = u + iv$$

in equation (II.2), we have for the stability contour

$$f(h) = 1 + uh + \left( u^2 - v^2 \right) h^2 + i vh(1 + uh)$$

$$|f|^2 = (1 + uh)^2 + 2(1 + uh)(u^2 - v^2) \frac{h^2}{2} + \left( u^2 - v^2 \right)^2 h^4 + v^2 h^2 (1 + uh)^2$$

$$= 1 + 2uh + 2u^2 h^2 + u^3 h^3 + \frac{u^4 h^4}{4} + v^2 u^2 h^2 + \frac{v^4 h^4}{4}$$

Since

$$|f| = 1,$$

we have

$$uh(2 + 2uh + u^2 h^2 + \frac{u^4 h^4}{3} + v^2 h^2 + \frac{v^2 u^2 h^3}{4}) + \frac{v^4 h^4}{2} = 0 \quad \text{(II.5)}$$

For complex eigenvalues we are interested in those for which $uh$ is small. Thus equation (II.5) reduces to

$$2uh + v^2 h^2 \cdot uh + \frac{v^4 h^4}{4} = 0$$

Setting

$$a = uh$$
we have

\[ 2a + v^2 h^2 a + \frac{v^4 h^4}{4} = 0 \]

\[
(vh)^2 = \frac{-4a \pm \sqrt{16a^2 - 32a}}{2} = 2|a| \pm \sqrt{8|a| + 4a^2} 
\]

\[ vh = \sqrt{2|a| \pm \sqrt{8|a| + 4a^2}} \]

Hence for \( uh \) small, \( vh \) is also small, and in equation (11.5) we can ignore the term in \( v^2 h^2 \), but not the 4th order term since it is independent of \( uh \). Thus the stability boundary in equation (11.5) can be expressed by the relationship

\[ 2uh \approx \frac{-v^4 h^4}{4} \]

Suppose we choose a step-length \( h_1 \), such that

\[ w = vh \]

On the boundary we have

\[ w_B = 4\sqrt{-8uh_1} \]

We require the step-length to be chosen so that \( w \) is well inside the boundary, thus we choose \( h_1 \) such that

\[ \frac{w}{w_B(h_1)} < 0.2 \]

i.e., we have

\[ \frac{vh_1}{4\sqrt{-8uh_1}} = 0.2 \]

Hence, \( h_1 \) is chosen by application of the expression

\[ h_1 = \sqrt{\frac{-1}{v} \cdot \sqrt{8 \times (0.2)^4}} \]
APPENDIX III

LISTING OF SOFTWARE PACKAGE

IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H), REAL*4(I-Z)
COMPLEX*16 E(10), C(10,10), B(10,10), F(10,10), PHI(10,10), GG(10,10),
10Q(10,10,10), DF(10,10), F(20), WW(150)
COMMON E,C,B,F,PHI,GG,Q,DF,F
COMMON A1(10,10), A2(10,10), A3(10,10), A4(10,10), D1(10,10),
10D2(10,10), D3(10,10), D4(10,10), H(20), QU(10,10), DF2(10,10)
COMMON A(10,10)
COMMON W(200), ZZ(10,10), L(10), H(10,10), G(10,10), Q(10,10)
COMMON U(100,20), T, M, MS, H, STEP, EPS, U
COMMON PFI(10,10), DF1(10,10), XINTA(10,10), XINTA2(10,10)
1, YFI(10,10), DFI(10,10), YINTA1(10,10), YINTA2(10,10)
COMMON K, N, MMK, IEIG, INDE(10), JCOUNT(10)
1, KCOUNT, IMETH, NT, MTU
DIMENSION I(K(10),1), I(K(10),1), INSUM(100), X(10,10)

C READ DIMENSION OF MATRICES DEFINING THE PARTITIONING SCHEME
READ(5,E10)K,M
MK=K-M
MTU=M*M
C ZERO MATRICES A1, . . . . . . , D4
DO 3 I=1,K
3 D1(I,J)=0
DO 2 J=1,K
2 D1(I,J)=0
DO 1 J=1,MMK
A2(I,J)=0
1 D2(I,J)=0
A(1,J)=0
DO 0 J=1,MMK
A4(I,J)=0
0 D4(I,J)=0
C READ NON-ZERO ELEMENTS OF A1, . . . . . . , D4
READ(5,E10)NEL
IF(NEL.EQ.0)GO TO 5
READ(5,E10)(I(K(I)), I(K(I)), A1(I(K(I)), I(K(I))), I=1,NEL)
5 READ(5,E10)NEL
IF(NEL.EQ.0)GO TO 6
READ(5,E10)(I(K(I)), I(K(I)), A2(I(K(I)), I(K(I))), I=1,NEL)
6 READ(5,E10)NEL
IF(NEL.EQ.0)GO TO 7
READ(5,E10)(I(K(I)), I(K(I)), A3(I(K(I)), I(K(I))), I=1,NEL)
7 READ(5,E10)NEL
IF(NEL.EQ.0)GO TO 8
READ(5,E10)(I(K(I)), I(K(I)), A4(I(K(I)), I(K(I))), I=1,NEL)
8 READ(5,E10)NEL
IF(NEL.EQ.0)GO TO 9
READ(5,E10)(I(K(I)), I(K(I)), A5(I(K(I)), I(K(I))), I=1,NEL)
9 READ(5,E10)NEL
IF(NEL.EQ.0)GO TO 10
READ(5,E10)(I(K(I)), I(K(I)), A6(I(K(I)), I(K(I))), I=1,NEL)
10 READ(5,E10)NEL
IF(NEL.EQ.0)GO TO 11
READ(5,E10)(I(K(I)), I(K(I)), A7(I(K(I)), I(K(I))), I=1,NEL)
11 READ(5,E10)NEL
IF(NEL.EQ.0)GO TO 12
READ(5,E10)(I(K(I)), I(K(I)), A8(I(K(I)), I(K(I))), I=1,NEL)
12 READ(5,E10)NEL
IF(NEL.EQ.0)GO TO 13
READ(5,E10)(I(K(I)), I(K(I)), A9(I(K(I)), I(K(I))), I=1,NEL)
13 READ(5,E10)INT, IMETH
READ(5,E10)IMETH
C COMPUTE OR READ THE RANGE OF H FOR TESTING STABILITY.
DO 10 I=1,NT
10 H(I)=EL + DFL (I-1)*HINT
IF(HINT).EQ.0)READ(5,99)H(I),I=1,NT
C SET UP STEP LENGTHS
IF(NT.NE.110)GO TO 180
H(I)=1.5E0*H(I)

C WRITE OUT INPUT DATA
18 WRITE(6,19)
19 FORMAT(2X, 1N5, 3X, 1N5, 1X, 1N5, 3X, 1N5)
WRITE(6,15)K,M
WRITE(6,21)K,K
21 FORMAT(2X, A1, 'A1 MATRIX—(',14,')')
CALL OUTPUT (A1,K,K,K)
WRITE(6,22)K,K
22 FORMAT(2X, A1, 'D1 MATRIX—(',14,')')
CALL OUTPUT (D1,K,K,K)
WRITE(6,23)K,K
23 FORMAT(2X, A2, 'A2 MATRIX—(',14,')')
CALL OUTPUT (A2,K,K,K,K)
WRITE(6,24)K,K
24 FORMAT(2X, A2, 'U2 MATRIX—(',14,')')
CALL OUTPUT (U2,K,K,K,K)
WRITE(6,25)K,K
25 FORMAT(2X, A3, 'A3 MATRIX—(',14,')')
CALL OUTPUT (A3,K,K,K,K)
WRITE(6,26)K,K
26 FORMAT(2X, A3, 'U3 MATRIX—(',14,')')
CALL OUTPUT (U3,K,K,K,K)
WRITE(6,27)K,K
27 FORMAT(2X, A4, 'A4 MATRIX—(',14,')')
CALL OUTPUT (A4,K,K,K,K)
WRITE(6,28)K,K
28 FORMAT(2X, A4, 'U4 MATRIX—(',14,')')
CALL OUTPUT (U4,K,K,K,K)
WRITE(6,29)NT,H(I),I=1,NT
40 FORMAT(2X, 'K=',14, 'M=',12, 'STEP LENGTHS ARE—',/10(2X,F6.4))
WRITE(6,102)
WRITE(6,14)IMETH
14 FORMAT(2X, 'IMETH=',1N1)
DO 16 I=1,K
DO 16 J=1,K
16 A(I,J)=A(I,J)
C COMPUTE THE EIGENVALUES AND MATRIX OF EIGENVECTORS FOR A1
WRITE(6,17)
17 FORMAT(1HL1)
CALL EIGEN
WRITE(6,102)
102 FORMAT(1HL1)
WRITE(6,105)
105 FORMAT(10X,'EIGENVALUES OF A1 (COMPLEX ELEMENTS)'/,10X, 35(1H-))
WRITE(6,110)F(I),I=1,K
110 FORMAT(4(1X,9(2F,14,1E4,1D1,F2.2)),/)
WRITE(6,125)
WRITE(6,102)
C TEST THE ZEROS OF A1, I.E. WHETHER THE E/VLUES HAVE
C REAL PART NON-POSITIVE
IST=0
IMST=0
INST=0
DO 36 I=1,K
IF(JCOUNT(J)-1).EQ.31,33,35
31 IST=IST+1
GO TO 36
33 IMST=IMST+1
GO TO 36
35 INST=INST+1
36 CONTINUE
IF(INST.NE.0) GO TO 60
IF(IMST.NE.0) GO TO 50
WRITE(6,40)
40 FORMAT(1X,6HZEROS OF AL HAVE -VE REAL PART.G MATRIX WILL BE STAB
1LE FOR ALL H )
WRITE(6*102)
GO TO 70
50 WRITE(6*55)IMST
55 FORMAT(1X,12,Z4H OF THE ZEROS OF AL HAVE ZERO REAL PART.G MATRIX WILL
1LL BE MARGINALLY STABIL )
WRITE(6*102)
GO TO 70
60 WRITE(6*65)INST,IMST
65 FORMAT(1X,12,Z4H OF THE ZEROS OF AL HAVE -VE REAL PART.G MATRIX WILL BE UNSTABLE )
IMETH=1
IF(U*GE.0.00) GO TO 70
WRITE(6*82)
STOP
70 CONTINUE
C FOR DISTINCT EIGENVALUES THE FUNDAMENTAL MATRIX
C IS COMPUTED USING THE MATRIX OF EIGENVECTORS OF AL.
C OR USING NUMERICAL INTEGRATION- USER CHOICE.
C FOR REPEATED EIGENVALUES THE FUNDAMENTAL MATRIX
C IS COMPUTED USING NUMERICAL INTEGRATION ONLY
IF(I.EQ.0) GO TO 71
71 WRITE(6*75)
75 FORMAT(1X,12,Z5HTHE EIGENVALUES OF AL ARE REPEATED
1*G AND Q WILL BE OBTAINED BY NUMERICAL INTEGRATION' )
WRITE(6*102)
IF(INST.LE.0) GO TO 72
WRITE(6*82)
72 FORMAT(1X,'BOTH N.1 AND DIRECT METHOD FOR COMPUTING FUNDAMENTAL'
1* MATRIX WILL FAIL')
STOP
73 WRITE(6*73)
73 FORMAT(1X,'THE EIGENVALUES OF AL ARE DISTINCT')
WRITE(6*102)
72 LL=1
IF(IMETH.EQ.1) GO TO 74
CALL LAMBDA
74 CONTINUE
IPASS=0
DO 100 IN=1,LL
TIME=HI(IN)
100 CONTINUE
IF(IMETH.EQ.2) GO TO 84
C COMPUTE THE FUNDAMENTAL MATRIX FOR AL. AND THE MATRICES
C G AND W FOR THE RANGE OF H SPECIFIED AS INPUT
CALL FUND
WRITE(6,102)
WRITE(6,1114)TIME
1114 FORMAT(3X,'H=',F6.4,/) GO TO 86
C COMPUTE G(H),Q(H) BY NUMERICAL INTEGRATION
84 CALL NUMERICAL(IPASS,IN,HINT)
WRITE(6,102)
WRITE(6,93)TIME,HS
93 FORMAT(2X,'H=',F6.4,' NUMERICAL INTEGRATION STEPLENGTH IS ',F9.6,/) 86 WRITE(6,115)
115 FORMAT(10X,'G MATRIX') DO 132 I=1,K
WRITE(6,1111)II,(Q(II,J),J=1,K)
1111 FORMAT(1HO,2X,'ROW',12,10X,E13.4,I2,10(1X,E11.4)) 132 CONTINUE
WRITE(6,125)
WRITE(6,112)
112 FORMAT(10X,'Q MATRIX') DO 133 I=1,K
WRITE(6,1111)II,(Q(II,J),J=1,K)
133 CONTINUE
125 FORMAT(1H,120(1H-)) WRITE(6,125)
C COMPUTE THE MATRIX D OF ORDER 2M
CALL DMATRIX
WRITE(6,130)
130 FORMAT(10X,'8D MATRIX') DO 131 I=1,MTO
WRITE(6,1131)II,(D(II,J),J=1,MTO)
1131 FORMAT(1HO,2X,'ROW',12,10X,E13.4,I2,10(1X,E11.4)) 131 CONTINUE
WRITE(6,125)
135 FORMAT(1H,120(1H-))
C TEST THAT THE EIGENVALUES OF D ARE IN MODULUS LESS THAN UNITY
CALL MODEIG
WRITE(6,140)
140 FORMAT(10X,'EIGENVALUES OF D (COMPLEX ELEMENTS)',/)
110X,'35(1H-1)') WRITE(6,1110)(F(II),II=1,MTO)
IF(KCOUNT.GT.0)GO TO 87
WRITE(6,85)TIME
85 FORMAT(2X,'ALL THE EIGENVALUES OF D HAVE MODULUS LESS THAN OR EQUIVALENT TO UNITY FOR H=1.6,4, 'SCHEME IS STABLE FOR CHOICE OF H') WRITE(6,135)
GO TO 100
87 WRITE(6,90)TIME,KCOUNT
90 FORMAT(2X,'OF THE EIGENVALUES OF D HAVE MODULUS GREATER THAN UNITY, THEREFORE CHOICE IS UNSTABLE FOR THIS H') WRITE(6,1100)(F(INDEX(II)),II=1,KCOUNT)
WRITE(6,135)
100 CONTINUE
STOP
END
SUBROUTINE EIGEN
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H),REAL*8(I-Z)
COMPLEX*16 E(10),L(10,10),C(10,10),FI(10,10),PHI(10,10),GG(10,10),
1QW(10,10),QFI(10,10),F(20),WW(150)
COMMON E, C, B, FI, PHI, GG, QQ, QFI, F
COMMON A1(10, 10), A2(10, 10), A3(10, 10), A4(10, 10), D1(10, 10),
102(10, 10), D3(10, 10), D4(10, 10), H(20), QU1(10, 10), QU2(10, 10)
2* A1(10, 10)
COMMON W(200), ZL(10, 10), EI(10), ER(10), G(10, 10), D(10, 10),
COMMON O(20, 20), TIME, H5, HSTE, EPS, U
COMMON PF1(10, 10), OF1(10, 10), XINTA1(10, 10), XINTA2(10, 10)
1* YFI(10, 10), YDIF(10, 10), YINTA1(10, 10), YINTA2(10, 10)
COMMON K, M, MMK, IEIG, INDEX(10), JCOUNT(10)
1*KCOUNT, IMETH, NT, MTWO
COMPLEX*16 Y(10, 10)
CALL CNVRT (Y)
CALL DIST
IF (IMETH.EQ.2) RETURN
IF (IEIG.EQ.0) GO TO 10
IMETH=2
RETURN
10 DU 17 I=1,K
DO 17 J=1,K
W(1)=DREAL(C(I, J))
W(2)=DIMAG(C(I, J))
1F(DABS(W(1)).LT.1.D-14) W(1)=0.00
1F(DABS(W(2)).LT.1.D-14) W(2)=0.00
17 C(I, J)=DCMPLX(W(1), W(2))
CALL CNVRT (2, Y)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE DIST
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H), REAL*8(U-Z)
COMPLEX*16 E(10), C(10, 10), B(10, 10), FI(10, 10), PHI(10, 10), GG(10, 10),
10Q(10, 10), QFI(10, 10), F(20), mW(150)
COMMON E, C, B, FI, PHI, GG, QQ, QFI, F
COMMON A1(10, 10), A2(10, 10), A3(10, 10), A4(10, 10), D1(10, 10),
102(10, 10), D3(10, 10), D4(10, 10), H(20), QU1(10, 10), QU2(10, 10)
2* A1(10, 10)
COMMON W(200), ZL(10, 10), EI(10), ER(10), G(10, 10), D(10, 10),
COMMON O(20, 20), TIME, H5, HSTE, EPS, U
COMMON PF1(10, 10), OF1(10, 10), XINTA1(10, 10), XINTA2(10, 10)
1* YFI(10, 10), YDIF(10, 10), YINTA1(10, 10), YINTA2(10, 10)
COMMON K, M, MMK, IEIG, INDEX(10), JCOUNT(10)
1*KCOUNT, IMETH, NT, MTWO
I0=0
I1=200
I2=0
DO 20 J=1,K
20 CONTINUE
C TEST FOR REPEATED EIGENVALUES OF A1.
IF (ER(I1)).EQ.0.0 GO TO 20
IF (ER(I1)).LT.0.0 GO TO 20
JCOUNT(11)=2
WRITE(6,60) IG - THE IMAGINARY VALUES OF G MATRIX HAS A VALUE 1 GREATER THAN 1E-3
70 FORMAT(3X,'OF THE IMAGINARY VALUES OF G MATRIX HAS A VALUE
1 GREATER THAN 1E-3')
DO 80 J=1,K
DO 80 I=1,K
G(I,J)=REAL(GG(I,J))
80 G(I,J)=REAL(QQ(I,J))
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE DMATRIX
IMPLICIT REAL(A-H),REAL(I-Z)
COMPLEX*16 E(I0),G(I0),R(10,10),FI(10,10),PHI(10,10),GG(10,10),
IQ(10,10),QFI(10,10),FI(10),WM(100)
COMMON E,Cb,FI,PHI,GQ,QQI,L
COMMON A1(10,10),A2(10,10),A3(10,10),A4(10,10),D1(10,10),
D2(10,10),D3(10,10),D4(10,10),D5(10,10),QD1(10,10),QD2(10,10),
2*AL(10,10)
COMMON W(200),Z(10,10),EI(10),EK(10),G(10,10),Q(10,10)
COMMON D1(20,20),TIME,H5,HS,HSTEP,EPS,U
COMMON PHI(10,10),QFI(10,10),XINTA1(10,10),XINTA2(10,10),
YF(10,10),YDF(10,10),YINTA1(10,10),YINTA2(10,10)
COMMON K,M,MMK,INDEX(10),JCOUNT(10)
K=KCOUNT,IMETH,NT,MTWO
MPI=M+1
MPI1=M+1
MMK=M-K
K1=K+1
CALL DMMPPY(W*K,K*D1,K,K,QD1)
DO 50 J=1,K
DO 50 I=1,K
D(I,J)=G(I,J)
50 D(I+M,J)=QD1(I,J)
DO 20 L=K1,M
I=L-K
D(L,J)=TIME*(A3(I,J)+D3(I,J))
D(L+M,J)=0.
20 CONTINUE
DO 30 I=1,MMK
30 QD1(I,J)=A2(J,I)+D2(J,I)
CONTINUE
CALL DMMPPY(W*K,K,QD1,K,MMK,QD2)
DO 70 J=1,MMK
DO 70 I=1,K
L=J*K
D(I,L)=QD2(I,J)
70 D(I+M,L)=0.
DO 70 I=K1,M
L=J*K
II=I-K
D(I,L)=TIME*D4(II,J)
D(I+M,L)=TIME*A4(II,J)
70 CONTINUE
DO 80 I=K1,M
D(I,J)=D(I,J)+1.
80 CONTINUE
DO 90 I=1,MTWO
DO 90 J=MPI1,MTWO
90 D(I,J)=0.
1IF(I*GT*M)GO TO 100
D(I,J+M)=1.
100
100 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE MODEIG
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H),REAL*8(I-Z)
COMPLEX*16 E(10,10),C(10,10),B(10,10),F(10,10),PHI(10,10),GG(10,10),
1QW(10,10),QF(10,10),F(20),WW(150)
COMMON E,C,B,F,PHI,GG,QW,QF,F
COMMON A(10,10),A2(10,10),A3(10,10),A4(10,10),D1(10,10),
1D2(10,10),D3(10,10),D4(10,10),H(20),QU(110,10),WD2(10,10)
2*COMMON 110,10) ,A2(10,10) ,A3(10,10),A4(10,10),D1(10,10),
1D2(10,10),D3(10,10),D4(10,10),H(20),QU(110,10),WD2(10,10)
COMMON W(200),ZZ(10,10),EI(10),ER(10),G(10,10),Q(10,10)
COMMON D(20,20),TIME,HS,HSTEP,EP5,10
COMMON PFI(10,10),DFI(10,10),XINTAIL(10,10),XINTAI(10,10)
1.YFI(10,10),DYFI(10,10),YINTAI(10,10),YINTA2(10,10)
COMMON K,MM,IEIG,INDEX(10),JCOUNT(10)
1.KCOUNT,IMETH,NT,MTW
COMPLEX*16 Y(10,10)
M2=MTW
KCOUNT=0
ONE=1.00
DO 10 I=1,M2
INDEX(I)=0
CALL CVNVRT (3,Y)
DO 20 I=1,M2
IF(CDABS(F(I)).LE.ONE ) GO TO 20
KCOUNT=KCOUNT+1
INDEX(KCOUNT)=I
20 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE CVNVRT (I,Y)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H),REAL*8(I-Z)
COMPLEX*16 E(10,10),C(10,10),B(10,10),F(10,10),PHI(10,10),GG(10,10),
1QW(10,10),QF(10,10),F(20),WW(150)
COMMON E,C,B,F,PHI,GG,QW,QF,F
COMMON A(10,10),A2(10,10),A3(10,10),A4(10,10),D1(10,10),
1D2(10,10),D3(10,10),D4(10,10),H(20),QU(110,10),WD2(10,10)
COMMON W(200),ZZ(10,10),EI(10),ER(10),G(10,10),Q(10,10)
COMMON D(20,20),TIME,HS,HSTEP,EP5,10
COMMON PFI(10,10),DFI(10,10),XINTAIL(10,10),XINTAI(10,10)
1.YFI(10,10),DYFI(10,10),YINTAI(10,10),YINTA2(10,10)
COMMON K,MM,IEIG,INDEX(10),JCOUNT(10)
1.KCOUNT,IMETH,NT,MTW
COMPLEX*16 Y(10,10)
M2=MTW
KCOUNT=0
ONE=1.00
DO 10 I=1,M2
INDEX(I)=0
CALL CVNVRT (3,Y)
DO 20 I=1,M2
IF(CDABS(F(I)).LE.ONE ) GO TO 20
KCOUNT=KCOUNT+1
INDEX(KCOUNT)=I
20 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

CALL EIGRF(AL,K,10,Y,C,IER)
WRITE(16,15)IER

FORMAT(2X,'ERROR PARAMETER FROM EIGENVALUE ROUTINE HAS THE ')
1"VALUE '.*13(+)
IF(W(1).GE.1.00)GO TO 17
WRITE(16,16)

FORMAT(2X,'THE EIGENVALUE ROUTINE PERFORMED WELL. ')
GO TO 40
17 IF(W(1).GT.100.00)GO TO 19
WRITE(16,18)

FORMAT(2X,'THE EIGENVALUE ROUTINE PERFORMED SATISFACTORILY. ')
GO TO 40
WRI TE(6,21)

19 FORMAT(2X,'THE EIGENVALUE ROUTINE PERFORMED POORLY',/

20 GO TO 40
21 DO 2 II=1,K
22 DO 2 J=1,K
23 Y(II,J)=C(II,J)
24 U=1.
25 CALL MA23BU(Y,K,K,WW,UI
26 IF(U.GE.0.DO 3,0 TO 3
27 IMETH=2
28 WRITE(6,80)
29 FORMAT(2X,'MATRIX INVERSION ROUTINE HAS PRODUCED UNRELIABLE RESULT',/
30 ' THEREFORE NUMERICAL INTEGRATION METHOD WILL BE USED',/
31 GO TO 40
32 DO 5 II=1,K
33 DO 5 J=1,K
34 B(II,J)=Y(II,J)
35 GO TO 40
36 CALL EIGRF(DI,MTWU,20,0,FZZT,10,W,IER)
37 WRITE(6,15)I ER
38 RETURN
39 END
40 SUBROUTINE DMMPY(DPM1, MMM, NNN1, DPM2, NNN2, LLL, DPRES)
41 C
42 C MATRIX MULTIPLICATION
43 C
44 C DMPI -- INPUT IS AN MMM X NNN1 MATRIX
45 C GET IS ORDERED BY THE COMPUTER AS A 10*10
46 C DPM2 -- INPUT IS A NNN2 X LLL MATRIX
47 C BUT IS ORDERED BY THE COMPUTER AS A 10*10
48 C DPRES -- OUTPUT IS AN 10 X 10 SQUARE MATRIX
49 C N. A. INPUT MATRICES OF ORDER 2
50 IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H), REAL*8(I-Z)
51 DIMENSION DPM1(10,10), DPM2(10,10), DPRES(10,10)
52 C CHECK THAT DIMENSIONS OK
53 IF(NNN1.NE.NNN2) GO TO 70
54 DO 50 K=1,LLL
55 OUTSIDE LOOP
56 DO 50 I=1,MMM
57 INSIDE LOOP
58 C BUILD EACH ELEMENT OF THE PRODUCT
59 CTEMP=0.
60 DO 40 J=1,NNN1
61 C COLUMN=ROW
62 CTEMP=CTEMP+DPM1(I,J)*DPM2(J,K)
63 40 CONTINUE
64 C
65 50 DPRES(I,K)=CTEMP
66 C RETURN
67 C INPUTS NOT EQUAL
68 70 WRITE(6,201)NNN1, NNN2
69 201 FORMAT(1X,'DMMPY DIMENSIONS FA; NOT COMPATIBLE FOR ', /
70 1 'MULTIPLICATION -- EXECUTION TERMINATED',/2X,'NNN1=', /
71 2 13,5X,'NNN2=',I3)
STOP
END
SUBROUTINE DCMPY(COMPL1,MMM,NNN1,COMPL2,NNN2,LLL,CMPRES)
C COMPLEX MATRIX MULTIPLICATION
C COMPL1 -- INPUT IS AN MMM X NNN1 COMPLEX MATRIX
C BUT IS ORDERED BY THE COMPUTER AS A 10X10
C COMPL2 -- INPUT IS A NNN2 X LLL COMPLEX MATRIX
C BUT IS ORDERED BY THE COMPUTER AS A 10X10
C CMPRES -- OUTPUT IS AN 10X10 SQUARE COMPLEX MATRIX
C N = B. INPUT MATRICES OF ORDER 2
C COMPLEX*16 COMPL1(10,10),COMPL2(10,10),CMPRES(10,10),CTEMP,CMPLX
C CHECK THAT DIMENSIONS OK
IF(NNN1.NE.NNN2) GO TO 70
C DO 50 K=1,LLL
C OUTSIDE LOOP
DO 50 I=1,MMM
C INSIDE LOOP
C BUILD EACH ELEMENT OF THE PRODUCT
CTEMP=CMPLX(I0,0.)
DO 40 J=1,NNN1
C COLUMN*ROW
CTEMP=CTEMP+COMPL1(I,J)*COMPL2(J,K)
40 CONTINUE
C 50 CMPRES(I,K)=CTEMP
C RETURN
C INPUTS NOT EQUAL
70 WRITE(6,201) NNN1,NNN2
201 FORMAT(1X,'DCMPY DIMENSIONS FED IN NOT COMPATIBLE FOR ',
1 '/* MULTIPLICATION -- EXECUTION TERMINATED',/2X,'N1=',
2 I3,5X,'N2=',13)
STOP
END

SUBROUTINE NUMERI(IPASS,IN,HINT)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H),REAL*8(I-Z)
COMPLEX*16 E(10),G(10,10),B(10,10),FI(10,10),PHI(10,10),GG(10,10),
Q(10,10),QFI(10,10),F(20),WW(150)
COMMON E,G,B,FI,PHI,GG,Q,WW
COMMON A(110,110),A2(10,10),A3(10,10),A4(10,10),D1(10,10),
D2(10,10),D3(10,10),D4(10,10),H(20),QO(10,10),QD(10,10),
QD2(10,10),QD4(10,10)
COMMON W(200),Z(10,10),EI(10),ER(10),EI1(10,10),ER1(10,10),
D1(20,20),TIME,H,STEP,EPS,U,
COMMON PHI(10,10),QFI(10,10),XINTA1(110,10),XINTA2(110,10),
1,2FI(10,10),DFI(10,10),YINTA1(10,10),YINTA2(10,10)
COMMON K,MM,MMK,EIG,INDEX1(10),JCOUNT(10)
1*KCOUNT,TIME,H,MTWO
C PERFORM NUMERICAL INTEGRATION PROCESSES TO
C DETERMINE MATRICES G AND Q.
DIMENSION UNIT(110,10)
IF(IPASS.NE.0)GO TO 40
C INITIALIZE VARIABLES FOR SINT.
C CALCULATE STEP-LENGTH FOR NUMERICAL INTEGRATION INTERVAL.

LSTEP=0
TAU=0.D0
DO 10 I=1,K
   DO 5 J=1,K
5   UNIT(I,J)=0.D0
10  UNIT(I,1)=1.D0
   DO 15 I=1,K
      DO 15 J=1,K
   15   PFI(I,J)=UNIT(I,J)
      XINTA1(I,J)=UNIT(I,J)
      XINTA2(I,J)=0.D0
      OFI(I,J)=A(I,J)
      YFI(I,J)=0.D0
      DYF1(I,J)=UNIT(I,J)
      YINTA1(I,J)=0.D0
      YINTA2(I,J)=0.D0
   IF(LSTEP.GT.0)GO TO 45
   CALL STEP (IN,HINT,LSTEP)
   IF(NAM.LT.52,52,54)
52   CALL OMMPY(A11,K,K,PFI,K,K,QFI)
   GO TO 60
54   DO 56 I=1,K
      DO 56 J=1,K
56      DYFI(I,J)=PFI(I,J)
   IF(IPASS.LT.0)GO TO 50
   NAME=-NAM
   NAME=NAME+H
   IF(NAME.LE.TIME)GO TO 50
   NAME=NAME-H
   DO 70 I=1,K
      DO 70 J=1,K
70         QI(I,J)=YFI(I,J)
   IF(IPASS.LT.0)GO TO 50
   NAME=NAME-H
   IF(NAM.LT.10)GO TO 50
   NAME=NAME+H
   IF(NAME.LE.TIME)GO TO 50
   NAME=NAME-H
   IF(NAME.LE.HS)GO TO 50
   NAME=NAME-H
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE STEP(IN,HINT,LSTEP)
IMPLICIT REAL(8),REAL(0-Z)
COMPLEX*16 & (10), C(10), D(10), E1(10), E(10), F(10), G(10), H(10),
I(10), J(10), K(10), L(10), M(10), N(10), P(10), Q(10), R(10), S(10),
T(10), U(10), V(10), W(10), X(10), Y(10), Z(10)
COMMON & E,M,F,PHI,G,C,Q,F1,F
COMMON A11,10, A2110, A3110, A4110, A5110, B110, B210, B310, B410,
B510, C110, C210, C310, C410, C510, D110, D210, D310, D410, D510,
D610, D710, D810, D910, D1010, E110, E210, E310, E410, E510, E610,
E710, E810, E910, E1010, F110, F210, F310, F410, F510, F610, F710,
F810, F910, F1010, G110, G210, G310, G410, G510, G610, G710, G810,
G910, G1010, H110, H210, H310, H410, H510, H610, H710, H810, H910,
H1010, I110, I210, I310, I410, I510, I610, I710, I810, I910, I1010,
J110, J210, J310, J410, J510, J610, J710, J810, J910, J1010, K110,
K210, K310, K410, K510, K610, K710, K810, K910, K1010, L110, L210,
L310, L410, L510, L610, L710, L810, L910, L1010, M110, M210, M310,
M410, M510, M610, M710, M810, M910, M1010, N110, N210, N310, N410,
N510, N610, N710, N810, N910, N1010, O110, O210, O310, O410, O510,
O610, O710, O810, O910, O1010, P110, P210, P310, P410, P510, P610,
P710, P810, P910, P1010, Q110, Q210, Q310, Q410, Q510, Q610, Q710,
Q810, Q910, Q1010, R110, R210, R310, R410, R510, R610, R710, R810,
R910, R1010, S110, S210, S310, S410, S510, S610, S710, S810, S910,
S1010, T110, T210, T310, T410, T510, T610, T710, T810, T910, T1010,
U110, U210, U310, U410, U510, U610, U710, U810, U910, U1010, V110,
V210, V310, V410, V510, V610, V710, V810, V910, V1010, W110, W210,
W310, W410, W510, W610, W710, W810, W910, W1010, X110, X210, X310,
X410, X510, X610, X710, X810, X910, X1010, Y110, Y210, Y310, Y410,
Y510, Y610, Y710, Y810, Y910, Y1010, Z110, Z210, Z310, Z410, Z510,
Z610, Z710, Z810, Z910, Z1010
C ESTABLISH STEP-LENGTH FOR NUMERICAL INTEGRATION FOR
C STABILITY AND ACCURACY OF NUMERICAL SOLUTION.
C SUPPLY STEPLENGTH,HS, FOR EACH INTERVAL (H(I+1),H(I)).
IF(I*GT*2)GO TO 50
IF(I*GT*1)GO TO 20
HH=H(1)
GO TO 21
20 HH=H(I)-H(I-1)
21 XVAL= HH /HSTEP
NSTEP =QINT(XVAL)*1
HS = HH /UFLOAT(NSTEP)
GO TO 60
50 IF(HINT.LE.0.)GO TO 20
LSTEP=1
60 RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE SINT(IPASS,NAM)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H),REAL*8(I-Z)
COMPLEX*16 E(10),C(10,10),B(10,10),FI(10,10),PHI(10,10),Gfi(10,10),
IQI(10,10),QFI(10,10),F(20),W(150)
COMMON E,C,B,FI,PHI,Gfi,QI,QFI,F
COMMON A1(10,10),A2(10,10),A3(10,10),A4(10,10),O1(10,10),
QI(10,10),QFI(10,10),F(20),W(150)
COMMON XINT(10,10),DXINT(10,10),XINTA1(10,10),XINTA2(10,10)
1,YINT(10,10),DYINT(10,10),YINTA1(10,10),YINTA2(10,10)
COMMON K,M,MMK, IEIG,INDEX(10),JCOUNT(10)
1,KCOUNT,IMETH, NT,MTWO
HON2=HS/2.0D0
1 IF(IPASS).EQ.1,3
DO 4 I=1,K
DO 4 J=1,K
XINT(I,J)=XINTA1(I,J)+HS*DXINT(I,J)
4 XINTA2(I,J)=DXINT(I,J)
GO TO 10
15 DO 16 I=1,K
DO 16 J=1,K
YINT(I,J)=YINTA1(I,J)+HS*DYINT(I,J)
16 YINTA2(I,J)=DYINT(I,J)
GO TO 10
3 IF(IPASS).EQ.0)GO TO 25
DO 7 I=1,K
DO 7 J=1,K
XINT(I,J)=XINTA1(I,J)+HON2*(XINTA2(I,J)+DXINT(I,J))
7 XINTA2(I,J)=XINTA2(I,J)
GO TO 10
25 DO 18 I=1,K
DO 18 J=1,K
YINT(I,J)=YINTA1(I,J)+HON2*(YINTA2(I,J)+DYINT(I,J))
18 YINTA1(I,J)=YINTA1(I,J)
10 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE LAMBDA
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H),REAL*8(I-Z)
COMPLEX*16 E(10),C(10,10),B(10,10),FI(10,10),PHI(10,10),Gfi(10,10),
IQI(10,10),QFI(10,10),F(20),W(150)
COMMON E,C,B,FI,PHI,Gfi,QI,QFI,F
COMMON A1(10,10),A2(10,10),A3(10,10),A4(10,10),O1(10,10),
QI(10,10),QFI(10,10),F(20),W(150)
COMMON W(200), ZZ(10,10), EI(10), ER(10), O(10,10), Q(10,10)
COMMON D(20,20), TIME, HS, HSTEP, EPS
COMMON PHI(10,10), DF1(10,10), XINTA(10,10), XINTB(10,10)
COMMON K*, MM*, ILI*, INDEX(10), KCOUNT(10)

10 CONTINUE
WRITE(l6,15) IUUSER
5 FORMAT(2X,'MINIMUM USER STEPLENGTH INTERVAL IS ',E13.6)
EPS=0.100
IF (ER(1) .EQ. 0.000) GO TO 16
HMIN = (2.0D0*EPS)/ER(1)
GO TO 18
16 HMIN=2000.00
18 DO 10 I=1,K
IF (HNI(I) .EQ. 0.000) GO TO 20
HND(I) = (2.0D0*EPS)/EI(1)
GO TO 30
20 HND(I)=10.00
30 IF (E(I)) 100,40,50
40 HNI(I)=10.00
GO TO 70
50 IF (HND(I) .EQ. 0.000) GO TO 60
OMB= (-8.0D0*ER(1)+HND(I))/2.0
VH= (EI(I)+HND(I))/OMB
IF (VH .GE. 0.000) GO TO 60
HLAM=HND(I)
GO TO 90
60 QM=-ER(1)/(EI(I)**4)
PSI=8.0D0=((2.0D0*EPS)**4)
EXP=1.0D0/(3.0)
HNI(I)=(QM*PSI)***EXP
70 HLAM=OMINI(HND(I)*)HNI(I))
90 IF (HLAM.LT.HMIN) HMIN=HLAM
100 CONTINUE
HSTEP=OMINI(HMIN, IUUSER)
WRITE(6,105) HSTEP
105 FORMAT(2X,*STEPLNGTH FROM S/K LAMBDA IS *,E13.6)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE OUTPUT (XX, LX, NX)
IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H), REAL*8(I-O)
DIMENSION DUMMY(20), XX(10,10), XIL, LX
DO 2 I=1,L
DO 2 J=1,N
2 XX(J,I)=XX(I,J)
DO 10 I=1,L
WRITE(6,5) (X(I,J), J=1,N)
5 FORMAT(1H,5,10(F9.2,2X))
10 CONTINUE
WRITE(6,15)
15 FORMAT(1A,100(1H*))
RETURN
END
APPENDIX IV

LIST OF VARIABLES USED IN SOFTWARE PACKAGE

\begin{itemize}
  \item \(A_1, D_1\) This family of real matrices form the analogue and digital pairs which describe the partitioning scheme being tested. The row and column dimensions in the calling program are 10 for each matrix. The actual size of each matrix is defined by the user for his problem.
  
  \item \(A_{11}\) Stores \(A_1\) which could be lost during execution of the IMSL eigenvalue routine EIGRF.
  
  \item \(M\) Number of first order d.e.'s in the system, \(\dot{x} = Ax\), once reduced. \((N > K + 1)\).
  
  \item \(K\) Actual row or column size of \(A_1, D_1\) \((K \leq 10)\).
  
  \item \(KK\) \(= K \times K\); number of elements in \(A_1, D_1\).
  
  \item \(KMK\) \(= K \times (M-K)\) number of elements in \(A_2, D_2, A_3, D_3\) \((M-K \leq 10)\).
  
  \item \(MKMK\) \(= (M-K) \times (M-K)\) number of elements in \(A_4, D_4\).
  
  \item \(MTWO\) \(2M, (2M \leq 20)\).
  
  \item \(H\) Real vector of length \(NT\) containing the user values of step-length for testing the partitioning scheme.
  
  \item \(NT\) Length of the vector \(H\).
  
  \item \(EL\) First element of \(H\); defined when the required step-lengths are at equal time intervals.
  
  \item \(HINT\) Time interval between successive step-lengths \(H_i\) and \(H_{i+1}\) when \(EL\) is defined.
  
  \item \(IMETH\) \(= 1\) The eigenvalue method is used for computing, \(\Phi, G, Q\).
  \(= 2\) The numerical integration method is used for \(\Phi, G, Q\).
  \(\) The user defined value for \(IMETH\) can be overwritten by the program depending on the value assigned to \(IEIG\).
  
  \item \(E\) Complex vector of length \(K\) containing the eigenvalues \(\lambda_i\) of \(A_1\).
  
  \item \(ER\) Real vector defining the real part of \(E\).
  
  \item \(EI\) Real vector defining imaginary part of \(E\).
  
  \item \(C\) Complex matrix of order \(K \times K\) of eigenvectors of \(A_1\).
  
  \item \(B\) Inverse matrix of \(C\).
  
  \item \(W\) Working space, dimensioned at least \((2 + K) \times K\), used in the library routine EIGRF.
  
  \item \(JCOUNT\) Vector, of length \(K\), which is used to print the results of the stability analysis of \(G\).
\end{itemize}
Counters for recording whether each eigenvalue of A1 has negative, zero or positive real part. The result defines the stability of G.

Counter for accumulating the number of repeated eigenvalues \( \lambda_i \). IEIG = 0 means the \( \lambda_i \) are distinct.

Set to \( H_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, NT \).

Complex matrix defined by \((\exp(\lambda_1 h), \ldots, \exp(\lambda_k h))\).

Complex matrix defined by \(\left(\frac{\lambda_1 h - 1}{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \frac{\lambda_k h - 1}{\lambda_k}\right)\).

Complex matrix defining (i) \( \text{CxFI} \) when computing \( G(h) \) and (ii) \( \text{CxQFI} \) when computing \( Q(h) \).

\( \Phi I \times B \) for (i) above.

\( \Phi I \times B \) for (ii) above.

GG and QQ are complex matrices. It is expected the imaginary part of these matrices will be less than \( 10^{-3} \).

\( FI, QFI, PHI, GG \) and \( QQ \) are all defined in subroutine FUND for a range of step-lengths defined by \( H \). The order of each matrix is \( K \), while the row and column dimension in the calling program is 10.

Real matrix of order \( K \); = \( GG \) for \( \text{IMETH} = 1 \)
= \( \Phi(h) \) for \( \text{IMETH} = 2 \)

Real matrix of order \( K \); = \( QQ \) for \( \text{IMETH} = 1 \)
= \( \int_0^h \Phi(r) dr \) for \( \text{IMETH} = 2 \)

Both \( G \) and \( Q \) are defined for a range of step-lengths \( H \).

\( -1 \); \( G \) being computed in numerical integration routines.
\( +1 \); \( Q \) being computed in numerical integration routines.

Set in Main for initialisation in integration routine SINT.
Set in subroutine NUMERI for operation of the "predict" step of SINT.
Set in S/R NUMERI for operation of the "correct" step in SINT.

Keeps tally of time from 0 to \( H_i \) for numerical integration.

Describes the accuracy of the numerical solution for \( \Phi(h) \).

Real vectors of length \( K \), defining values of N.I step-length as functions of \( \lambda_j \), for \( j = 1, \ldots, K \).

Minimum step-length interval between elements of the vector \( H \).

Minimum of \( HNO(j), HNI(j) \) over the \( j \) eigenvalues, \( \lambda_j \).
HSTEP Minimum of HUSER, HMIN, and defined as the numerical integration step-length in subroutine LAMDA.

HS N.1 step-length based on HSTEP, but modified to suit the interval $(H_i, H_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, ..., (NT-1)$.

NSTEP Integral number of steps of length HS in the interval $(H_i, H_{i+1})$.

XINT Variable $x(t_{n+1})$, computed in numerical integration routine SINT, where

$$x(t_{n+1}) = x(t_n) + h \dot{x}(t_n)$$

for "predict" step

and

$$x(t_{n+1}) = x(t_n) + h/2(\dot{x}(t_n) + \dot{x}(t_{n-1}))$$

for "correct" step.

Used for computing $G(h)$.

YINT Analogous to XINT, but used for computing $Q(h)$.

DXINT Define $\dot{x}(t_n)$ in SINT, for $G(h)$, $Q(h)$ respectively.

DYINT Define $\dot{y}(t_n)$ in SINT, for $G(h)$, $Q(h)$ respectively.

XINTA2 Hold previously computed values for DXINT, and DYINT respectively from the "predict" step in SINT.

YINTA2 Hold previously computed values for XINT and YINT respectively from the "correct" step of SINT.

PFI Defined in NUMERI as $\Phi(t_{n+1})$, and is equivalent to XINT from SINT.

YFI Defined in NUMERI as $G(t_{n+1})$, and is equivalent to YINT from SINT.

DFI Defined in NUMERI as $\Phi(t_n) = A1 \cdot \Phi(t_{n-1})$, and is equivalent to DXINT.

DYFI Defined in NUMERI as $Q(t_n) = G(t_n)$, and is equivalent to DYINT.

ZZ K x K working matrix

QD1 Real K x K matrix defined by $Q \times D1$.

QD2 Real K x $(M-K)$ matrix defined by $Q \times (A2 + D2)$.

D Real $2M \times 2M$ matrix which is a function of matrices $G$, $Q$, QD1, QD2, A3, D3, A4, D4. Defined in Section 3.2.

F Complex vector defining $2M$ eigenvalues, $\mu_i$, of matrix D.
KCOUNT Counter defining the number of $\mu_1$, with modulus greater than unity.

INDEX Vector for storing the value of those $\mu_1$, with modulus greater than unity.
APPENDIX V

SOLUTION TO THE EQUATION $\dot{x}(t) = Bx(t) + Cu(t)$

Consider the general equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = Bx(t) + Cu(t) \quad (V.1)$$

and let $X(t)$ be a fundamental matrix of the $n \times n$ system

$$\dot{X}(t) = BX(t),$$

i.e. $X$ is a matrix such that its $n$ columns consist of $n$ linearly independent solutions to the system. Then, by definition, $X(t)$ satisfies the matrix differential equation

$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = BX(t) \quad (V.2)$$

A fundamental matrix is always non-singular (by definition), and is uniquely determined for a given set of initial conditions (ref. 4).

We must prove that the solution for (V.1) is

$$x(t) = X(t) X^{-1}(0) x_0 + \int_0^t X(t) X^{-1}(\xi) Cu(\xi) d\xi$$

$$= X(t) X^{-1}(0) x_0 + \chi(t), \text{ say}$$

with

$$\chi(0) = 0.$$ 

To establish this, we need only prove that the particular solution, $\chi(t)$, satisfies equation (V.1), since the complementary solution is well established (ref. 4).

That is, we have to show

$$\frac{d\chi(t)}{dt} = B\chi(t) + Cu(t) \quad (V.3)$$

Put

$$v(t) = X(t) Z(t)$$
Then
\[ \dot{X} = \dot{XZ} + XZ \]
\[ = BXZ + XZ \quad \text{from equation (V.2)} \]
\[ = BX + XZ \]

We have from equation (V.3)
\[ \dot{Y} = BY + C\mu \]

∴ we must have
\[ \dot{XZ} = C\mu \]
i.e.
\[ \dot{Z} = X^{-1}(t) C\mu(t) \]

\[ Z(t) = \int_0^t X^{-1}(\xi) C\mu(\xi) \, d\xi \]

and
\[ Y(t) = \int_0^t X(t) X^{-1}(\xi) C\mu(\xi) \, d\xi \]

Choose \( X(t) \) such that \( X(0) = I \). By definition, since \( X(t) \) is unique,
\[ X(t) = e^{Bt} \]

Also, by definition,
\[ X^{-1}(\xi) = e^{-B\xi} = X(-\xi) \]

and hence
\[ X(t) X^{-1}(\xi) = e^{B(t-\xi)} = X(t - \xi) \]

Thus
\[ Y(t) = \int_0^t X(t - \xi) C\mu(\xi) \, d\xi \]
and

$$x(t) = X(t)x_0 + \int_0^t X(t - \xi)C\mu(\xi)\,d\xi$$

If $\mu(\xi) = \mu_N$ = constant, say, and $t = h$

$$x(h) = X(h)x_0 + \int_0^h X(h - \xi)\,d\xi\,C\mu_N$$

Replacing $h - \xi$ by $r$, it can be shown that

$$x(h) = X(h)x_0 + \int_0^h X(r)\,dr\,C\mu_N$$

However, any fundamental matrix, $\Phi(t)$, for the system, can be written as the product of the unique matrix $X(t)$ and a non-singular constant matrix $C$.

i.e. $\Phi(t) = X(t)C$

Thus

$$C = \Phi^{-1}(0)$$

and

$$X(t) = \Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(0)$$

giving

$$x(h) = \Phi(h)\Phi^{-1}(0)x_0 + \int_0^h \Phi(r)\Phi^{-1}(0)\,dr\,C\mu_N$$
## RESULTS OF APPLICATION 5.1

### INPUT DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Rows</th>
<th>Columns</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-10.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-63.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-92.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-459.1C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-92.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-459.1C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-13.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-62.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 6 Singular Values are:

- 0.0380
- 0.0380
- 0.0400
- 0.0410
- 0.0420
- 0.0430

Index = 2
ERROR PARAMETER FROM EIGENVALUE ROUTINE HAS THE VALUE 0
THE EIGENVALUE ROUTINE PERFORMED WELL

**EIGENVALUES OF A1 (COMPLEX ELEMENTS)**

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.2900D+01</td>
<td>0.1493D+01</td>
<td>-0.2900D+01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 OF THE ZEIGS OF A1 HAVE ZERO REAL PART. G MATRIX WILL BE MARGINALLY STABLE

THE EIGENVALUES OF A1 ARE DISTINCT

**MINIMUM USER TOLERANCE INTERVAL IS 0.100000D-02**
**MINIMUM USER TOLERANCE INTERVAL IS 0.100000D-02**

**N=0.0380 NUMERICAL INTEGRATION STEP LENGTH IS 0.001000**

**G MATRIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>0.1000D+01</th>
<th>0.3402D-01</th>
<th>0.4724D-02</th>
<th>-0.7139D-02</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7956D+00</td>
<td>0.1866D+00</td>
<td>-0.3619D+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1916D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3402D-01</td>
<td>-0.6427D-02</td>
<td>0.9929D+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**G MATRIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>0.3600D-01</th>
<th>0.6709D-03</th>
<th>0.6856D-04</th>
<th>-0.9214D-04</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3402D-01</td>
<td>0.4721D-02</td>
<td>-0.7138D-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1859D-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6709D-03</td>
<td>-0.1991D-03</td>
<td>0.3791D-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D MATRIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>0.1000D+01</th>
<th>0.3402D-01</th>
<th>0.4724D-02</th>
<th>-0.7139D-02</th>
<th>0.9416D-01</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7956D+00</td>
<td>0.1866D+00</td>
<td>-0.3619D+00</td>
<td>0.5376D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1916D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.8536D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.6427D-02</td>
<td>0.9929D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>-0.6427D-02</td>
<td>0.9929D+00</td>
<td>0.2035D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>-0.1140D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.4756D+00</td>
<td>0.2394D+00</td>
<td>0.2375D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>-0.1140D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1140D+00</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**N=0.0380 NUMERICAL INTEGRATION STEP LENGTH IS 0.001000**

**G MATRIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>0.1000D+01</th>
<th>0.3402D-01</th>
<th>0.4724D-02</th>
<th>-0.7139D-02</th>
<th>0.9416D-01</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7956D+00</td>
<td>0.1866D+00</td>
<td>-0.3619D+00</td>
<td>0.5376D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1916D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.8536D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.6427D-02</td>
<td>0.9929D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>-0.6427D-02</td>
<td>0.9929D+00</td>
<td>0.2035D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>-0.1140D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.4756D+00</td>
<td>0.2394D+00</td>
<td>0.2375D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>-0.1140D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1140D+00</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Results of Eigenvalue Calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
<th>Value 3</th>
<th>Value 4</th>
<th>Value 5</th>
<th>Value 6</th>
<th>Value 7</th>
<th>Value 8</th>
<th>Value 9</th>
<th>Value 10</th>
<th>Value 11</th>
<th>Value 12</th>
<th>Value 13</th>
<th>Value 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3800E+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3800E+01</td>
<td>-0.3800E+01</td>
<td>0.1000E+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5357E+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.8536E+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.2035E+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Error Parameter from Eigenvalue Routine

_Eigenvectors of D (Complex Elements)_

\[
\begin{align*}
(0.8602E+00, -0.4999E+00) & (0.8602E+00, -0.4999E+00) & (0.7333E+00, 0.0) & (0.9911E-02, 0.3085E+01) \\
(0.9911E-02, -0.3085E-01) & (0.9911E+00, 0.2949E+01) & (0.9911E+00, -0.2949E+01) & (0.1000E+01, 0.0) \\
(0.7280E-14, 0.0) & (0.4509E+16, 0.0) & (-0.6334E-17, 0.0) & (0.0, 0.0) \\
(0.0, 0.0) & (0.0, 0.0) & (0.0, 0.0) & (0.0, 0.0)
\end{align*}
\]

All the eigenvalues of D have modulus less than or equal to unity for h=0.0380 scheme is stable for choice of h.

**N=0.0390 Numerical Integration Steplength is 0.000500**

**G Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
<th>Value 3</th>
<th>Value 4</th>
<th>Value 5</th>
<th>Value 6</th>
<th>Value 7</th>
<th>Value 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1000E+01</td>
<td>0.2481E+01</td>
<td>0.1491E+01</td>
<td>-0.7505E+02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7906E+00</td>
<td>0.1877E+00</td>
<td>-0.3704E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1834E+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2481E+01</td>
<td>-0.6352E+02</td>
<td>0.9925E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
<th>Value 3</th>
<th>Value 4</th>
<th>Value 5</th>
<th>Value 6</th>
<th>Value 7</th>
<th>Value 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3900E+01</td>
<td>0.7053E+03</td>
<td>0.7337E+04</td>
<td>-0.9946E+04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3481E+01</td>
<td>0.4908E+02</td>
<td>-0.7504E+02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1878E+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7053E+03</td>
<td>-0.2055E+03</td>
<td>0.3890E+01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D Matrix**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>1.000D+01</th>
<th>0.3481D-01</th>
<th>0.4911D-02</th>
<th>-0.7505D-02</th>
<th>-0.9960D-01</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.1000D+01</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7906D+00</td>
<td>0.1877D+00</td>
<td>-0.3704D+00</td>
<td>-0.5518D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1834D+00</td>
<td>-0.8622D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.3481D-01</td>
<td>-0.6352D-02</td>
<td>0.9925D+00</td>
<td>0.2078D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.4357D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4618D+00</td>
<td>0.2457D+00</td>
<td>-0.2437D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.1170D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1170D+00</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3990D-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3990D-01</td>
<td>-0.3900D-01</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.9960D-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.5518D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.8622D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.2078D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ERROR PARAMETER FROM EIGENVALUE ROUTINE HAS THE VALUE 0

EIGENVALUES OF D (COMPLEX ELEMENTS)

( 0.8561D+00, 0.5105D+00 ) ( 0.8561D+00, -0.5105D+00 ) ( 0.3402D-02, 0.3785D-01 )
( 0.3402D-02, -0.3785D-01 )
( 0.9913D+00, 0.3016D-01 ) ( 0.9913D+00, -0.3016D-01 ) ( 0.1000D+01, 0.0 )
( 0.1000D+01, -0.0 )
( 0.1205D-12, 0.0 ) ( -0.4962D-16, 0.0 )
( 0.3276D-16, 0.0 ) ( 0.0, 0.0 )

ALL THE EIGENVALUES OF D HAVE MODULUS LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO UNITY FOR h=0.0390 SCHEME IS STABLE FOR CHOICE OF h

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

h=0.0400 NUMERICAL INTEGRATION STEP LENGTH IS 0.000500

G MAIIX

ROW 1 0.1000D+01 0.3560D+01 0.5099D+02 -0.7860D-02
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.7857D+00</th>
<th>0.1887D+00</th>
<th>-0.3788D+00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROW 3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1756D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3560D-01</td>
<td>-0.6272D-02</td>
<td>0.9921D+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C MATRIX</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 1</td>
<td>0.4000D-01</td>
<td>0.7405D-03</td>
<td>0.7838D-04</td>
<td>-0.1072D-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3560D-01</td>
<td>0.5097D-02</td>
<td>-0.7879D-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1896D-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7405D-03</td>
<td>-0.2118D-03</td>
<td>0.3989D-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D MATRIX</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 1</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.3560D-01</td>
<td>0.5099D-02</td>
<td>-0.7880D-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7857D+00</td>
<td>0.1887D+00</td>
<td>-0.3788D+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1756D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3560D-01</td>
<td>-0.6272D-02</td>
<td>0.9921D+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 5</td>
<td>-0.2520D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 6</td>
<td>-0.1200D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 7</td>
<td>0.4000D-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 8</td>
<td>-0.1052D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 9</td>
<td>-0.5680D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 10</td>
<td>-0.8705D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 11</td>
<td>0.2120D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 12</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 13</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ERROR PARAMETER FROM EIGENVALUE ROUTINE HAS THE VALUE 0**
### Eigenvalues of D (Complex Elements)

\[
\begin{align*}
(0.8520D+00, 0.5210D+00) & \quad (0.8520D+00, -0.5210D+00) & \quad (-0.2921D-02, 0.4282D-01) & \quad (-0.2921D-02, -0.4282D-01) \\
(0.9915+00, 0.4081D-01) & \quad (0.9915D+00, -0.3001D-01) & \quad (0.1000D+01, 0.0) & \quad (0.720D+00, 0.0) \\
(0.1076D-13, 0.0) & \quad (0.5499D-16, 0.0) & \quad (-0.1332D-16, 0.0) & \quad (0.0, 0.0)
\end{align*}
\]

All the eigenvalues of D have modulus less than or equal to unity for h=0.0400 scheme is stable for choice of h.

---

### Numerical Integration Steplength is 0.000500

**C Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>0.1000D+01</th>
<th>0.3638D-01</th>
<th>0.5289D-02</th>
<th>-0.8262D-02</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7807D+00</td>
<td>0.1897D+00</td>
<td>-0.3871D+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row 3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1681D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row 4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3638D-01</td>
<td>-0.6185D-02</td>
<td>0.9917D+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>0.1000D+01</th>
<th>0.3638D-01</th>
<th>0.5289D-02</th>
<th>-0.8262D-02</th>
<th>-0.1110D+00</th>
<th>0.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7807D+00</td>
<td>0.1897D+00</td>
<td>-0.3871D+00</td>
<td>-0.5841D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row 3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1681D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.8784D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row 4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3638D-01</td>
<td>-0.6185D-02</td>
<td>0.9917D+00</td>
<td>0.2161D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row 5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row 6</td>
<td>-0.2583D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1230D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row 7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row 8</td>
<td>-0.1110D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row</td>
<td>0.90640-02</td>
<td>0.46470-01</td>
<td>0.90640-02</td>
<td>0.46470-01</td>
<td>0.84790+00</td>
<td>0.53140+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.71360+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.99180+00</td>
<td>0.31440-01</td>
<td>0.99180+00</td>
<td>0.31440-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.67120-14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.58250-16</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.56130-17</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ERROR PARAMETER FROM EIGENVALUE ROUTINE HAS THE VALUE 0**

**EIGENVALUES OF D (COMPLEX ELEMENTS)**

\[-0.90640-02, 0.46470-01 \quad (0.84790+00, 0.53140+00) \quad (0.84790+00, -0.53140+00) \]
\[0.71360+00, 0.0 \quad (0.99180+00, 0.31440-01) \quad (0.99180+00, -0.31440-01) \quad (0.10000+01, 0.0) \]
\[0.67120-14, 0.0 \quad (0.58250-16, 0.0) \quad (-0.56130-17, 0.0) \quad (0.0, 0.0) \]

**FOR W=0.0010, 2 OF THE EIGENVALUES OF D HAVE MODULUS GREATER THAN UNITY. THEREFORE CHOICE IS UNSTABLE FOR THIS W**

\[(0.84790+00, 0.53140+00) \quad (0.84790+00, -0.53140+00) \]

**W=0.0420 NUMERICAL SOLUTION STEP LENGTH IS 0.000500**

**G MATRIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>0.10000+01</th>
<th>0.37160-01</th>
<th>0.54790-02</th>
<th>0.86940-02</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.77580+00</td>
<td>0.19050+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.16100+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.19300-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.37160-01</td>
<td>0.60940-02</td>
<td>0.99130+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**H MATRIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>0.81330-03</th>
<th>0.88960-04</th>
<th>-0.12370-03</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.37160-01</td>
<td>0.54760-02</td>
<td>0.86530-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.19300-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.22420-03</td>
<td>0.41880-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.81330-03</td>
<td>0.19300-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D MATRIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>0.37160-01</th>
<th>0.54790-02</th>
<th>-0.86540-02</th>
<th>-0.11690+00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.77580+00</td>
<td>0.19050+00</td>
<td>0.39540+00</td>
<td>0.60020+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3716D-01</td>
<td>-0.6094D-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.2646D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.9913D+00</td>
<td>0.2201D+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.1260D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4200D-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.1169D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.6002D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.8859D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.2201D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Error Parameter from Eigenvalue Routine Has the Value 0

Eigenvalues of D (Complex Elements)

(-0.8437D+00, 0.5416D+00) (-0.4370D+00, 0.5416D+00) (-0.1503D-01, 0.4915D-01) (-0.1503D-01, -0.4915D-01)

(-0.5211D-15, 0.0) (0.3170D-16, 0.5643D-16) (0.3170D-16, -0.5643D-16) (0.0, 0.0)

For N=0.0420, 2 of the Eigenvalues of D Have Modulus Greater Than Unity. Therefore Choice is Unstable for This N

N=0.0430 Numerical Integration Steplength Is 0.000500

G Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.3793D-01</td>
<td>0.5669D-02</td>
<td>-0.9053D-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7709D+00</td>
<td>0.1912D+00</td>
<td>-0.4036D+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX VII

RESULTS OF APPLICATION 5.2
ERROR PARAMETER FROM EIGENVALUE ROUTINE HAS THE VALUE 0

THE EIGENVALUE ROUTINE PERFORMED WELL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EIGENVALUES OF A1 (COMPLEX ELEMENTS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0.0, 0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 OF THE ZEIGS OF A1 HAVE ZERO REAL PART. G MATRIX WILL BE MARGINALLY STABLE

THE EIGENVALUES OF A1 ARE REPEATED G AND Q WILL BE OBTAINED BY NUMERICAL INTEGRATION

MINIMUM USER STEPLENGTH INTERVAL IS 0.1000000-02
M.L. STEPLENGTH FROM 3/R LAMBDA IS 0.2000000-03

w=0.0090 NUMERICAL INTEGRATION STEPLENGTH IS 0.000200

| G MATRIX |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ROW 1 0.10000+01 | 0.90000-02 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 2 0.0 | 0.10000+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 3 0.0 | 0.17510+00 | 0.9955+00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 4 0.0 | 0.17670+00 | 0.28720-01 | 0.13230-03 | -0.15190+00 | -0.15310+00 | 0.3161-01 |
| ROW 5 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.10000+01 | 0.90000-02 | 0.0 |
| ROW 6 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.10000+01 | 0.0 |
| ROW 7 0.0 | 0.58300+01 | 0.44390+00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.40660+00 |

| G MATRIX |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ROW 1 0.90000-02 | 0.4050D-04 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 2 0.0 | 0.90000-02 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 3 0.0 | 0.78860-03 | 0.89800-02 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 4 0.0 | 0.17260-02 | 0.13200-03 | 0.10110-02 | -0.12140-02 | -0.12180-02 | 0.38300-03 |
| ROW 5 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.90000-02 | 0.4050D-04 | 0.0 |
| ROW 6 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.90000-02 | 0.0 |
| ROW 7 0.0 | 0.30030-01 | 0.22950-02 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.59350-02 |

<p>| D MATRIX |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ROW 1 0.10000+01 | 0.90000-02 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.10000+01 |
| ROW 2 0.0 | 0.10000+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.10000+01 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROW 3</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.1751d+00</th>
<th>0.9955d+00</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROW 4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3767d+00</td>
<td>0.2872d+01</td>
<td>0.1323d-03</td>
<td>-0.1519d+00</td>
<td>-0.1531d+00</td>
<td>0.3161d-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000d+01</td>
<td>0.9000d-02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000d+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5830d+01</td>
<td>0.4439d+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 9</td>
<td>-0.7765d-02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1395d-02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 10</td>
<td>-0.5256d+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.3544d+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 11</td>
<td>-0.4605d-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.4858d-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 12</td>
<td>0.1863d+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2413d+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 13</td>
<td>-0.7849d-04</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1397d-02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 14</td>
<td>-0.1744d+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.3135d+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 15</td>
<td>-0.1754d+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1850d+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 16</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Error Parameter from Eigenvalue Routine Has the Value 0**

**Eigenvalues of D (Complex Elements)**

(-0.5818d+00, 0.0) (0.9069d+00, 0.3736d+00) (0.9069d+00, -0.3736d+00) (0.2177d+00, 0.0)

(0.9774d+00, 0.5099d-01) (0.9774d+00, -0.5099d-01) (0.9955d+00, 0.0) (0.9896d+00, 0.0)

(0.1269d-01, 0.0) (-0.9443d-16, 0.1509d-15) (-0.9443d-16, -0.1509d-15) (0.6387d-16, 0.0)

(-0.3112d-17, 0.0) (0.6044d-19, 0.0) (0.1000d+01, 0.0) (0.0, 0.0)

**All the Eigenvalues of D Have Modulus Less Than or Equal to Unit for h=0.0000 Scheme is Stable for Choice of h**

**h=0.0100 Numerical Integration Steplength is 0.000200**

G MAIX
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>C Matrix</th>
<th>D Matrix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0.1000D+01 & 0.1000D+01 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0     & 0.0     & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0     & 0.0     & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0     & 0.0     & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0     & 0.0     & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0     & 0.0     & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0     & 0.0     & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0     & 0.0     & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\end{array}
\]


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>0.5e85D+01</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>-0.5996D-01</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.1870D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>-0.9e90D-02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>-0.1938D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ERROR PARAMETER FROM EIGENVALUE ROUTINE HAS THE VALUE 0**

**EIGENVALUES OF D (COMPLEX ELEMENTS)**

(-0.5855D+00, 3.0)  (0.9044D+00, 0.4000D+00)  (0.9044D+00, -0.4000D+00)  (0.1906D+00, 0.0)

(-0.9749D+00, 0.5679D-01)  (-0.9749D+00, -0.5679D-01)  (0.9950D+00, 0.0)  (0.9984D+00, 0.0)

(-0.1588D+01, 0.0)  (-0.2409D-15, 0.0)  (-0.4301D-17, 0.6459D-16)  (-0.4301D-17, -0.6459D-16)

(-0.1314D-17, 0.1128D-17)  (-0.1314D-17, -0.1128D-17)  (0.1000D+01, 0.0)  (0.0, 0.0)

**ALL THE EIGENVALUES OF D HAVE MODULUS LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO UNITY FOR h=0.0100 SCHEME IS STABLE FOR CHOICE OF h**

**H=0.0110 NUMERICAL INTEGRATION STEPLENGTH IS 0.000200**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G R A I N I X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C R A I N I X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D MATRIX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROW 1</th>
<th>0.100G0+01</th>
<th>0.1100D-01</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROW 2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.100D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 3</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.2139D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1090D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.100D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6567D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3329D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.100D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 11</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 12</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 13</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 15</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 16</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ERROR**

PARAMETER FROM EIGENVALUE ROUTINE HAS THE VALUE 0

**EIGENVALUES OF D** (COMPLEX ELEMENTS)

\((-0.5887D+00, 0.0)\) \((0.9020D+00, 0.4250D+00)\) \((0.9020D+00, -0.4250D+00)\) \((0.1660D+00, 0.0)\)
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0.9724D+00, & 0.6262D-01, & 0.9945D+00, & 0.0 \\
0.1960D-01, & 0.0, & -0.6104D-15, & 0.0 \\
0.2419D-18, & 0.1104D-17, & 0.1000D+01, & 0.0 \\
0.9873D+00, & 0.0, & 0.0, & 0.0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

All the eigenvalues of D have modulus less than or equal to unity for h=0.0110 scheme is stable for choice of h.

**h=0.0120 Numerical Integration Steplength is 0.000200**

**G Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>0.1000D+01</th>
<th>0.1200D-01</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Q Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>0.1200D-01</th>
<th>0.1200D-01</th>
<th>0.1401D-02</th>
<th>0.1196D-01</th>
<th>0.1200D-01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**D Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row 1</th>
<th>0.1000D+01</th>
<th>0.1200D-01</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.1000D+01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

WRE-TR-1882(A)
| ROW 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1000D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1000D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1000D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 9 | -0.1140D-01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1000D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 10 | -0.7006D+00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1000D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 11 | -0.2822D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1000D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 12 | 0.1879D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1000D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 13 | -0.1355D-01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1000D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 14 | -0.2326D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1000D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 15 | -0.2872D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1000D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1000D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

**ERROR PARAMETER FROM EIGENVALUE ROUTINE HAS THE VALUE**: 0

**EIGENVALUES OF D (COMPLEX ELEMENTS)**

(-0.5916D+00, 0.0) (0.8997D+00, 0.4489D+00) (0.8997D+00, -0.4489D+00) (0.1436D+00, 0.0)
(0.9698D+00, 0.6849D+01) (0.9698D+00, -0.6849D+01) (0.994D+00, 0.0) (0.9861D+00, 0.0)
(0.2398D-01, 0.0) (-0.6030D-15, 0.0) (0.1582D-16, 0.9646D-16) (0.1582D-16, -0.9646D-16)
(-0.1552D-16, 0.0) (-0.1020D-17, 0.0) (0.10008D+01, 0.0) (0.0, 0.0)

For h=0.0120, 2 of the eigenvalues of D have modulus greater than unity. Therefore choice is unstable for this h.

H=0.0130 numerical integration step length is 0.000200

**G MATRIX**

<p>| ROW 1 | 0.1000D+01 | 0.1300D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 2 | 0.0 | 0.1000D+01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 3 | 0.0 | 0.2527D+00 | 0.9935D+00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| ROW 4 | 0.0 | 0.4809D+00 | 0.3647D-01 | 0.2500D-05 | -0.1519D+00 | -0.1537D+00 | 0.2120D-01 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.1000D+01</th>
<th>0.1300D-01</th>
<th>0.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7176D+01</td>
<td>0.5434D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2726D+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1300D-01</td>
<td>0.8450D-04</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1300D-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1640D-02</td>
<td>0.1296D-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3455D-02</td>
<td>0.2634D-03</td>
<td>0.1011D-02</td>
<td>-0.1821D-02</td>
<td>-0.1832D-02</td>
<td>0.6872D-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1300D-01</td>
<td>0.8450D-04</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1300D-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5621D-01</td>
<td>0.4283D-02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7275D-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.1300D-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2527D+00</td>
<td>0.9935D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4809D+00</td>
<td>0.3647D-01</td>
<td>0.2500D-05</td>
<td>-0.1519D+00</td>
<td>-0.1537D+00</td>
<td>0.2120D-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1000D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.1273D-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.6505D-02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.7592D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.8008D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-0.9012D-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1013D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.1662D+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1581D+00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-0.1038D-01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.2915D-02</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 14</td>
<td>0.25199E+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 15</td>
<td>0.32830E+01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW 16</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Error parameter from eigenvalue routine has the value 0

**Eigenvalues of D (Complex Elements)**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(-0.59390E+00, 0.0)</td>
<td>(0.89740+00, 0.47160+00)</td>
<td>(0.89740+00, -0.47160+00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.96730E+00, 0.74380-01)</td>
<td>(0.96730E+00, -0.74380-01)</td>
<td>(0.99350E+00, 0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.29320-01, 0.0)</td>
<td>(-0.12840-15, 0.53380-16)</td>
<td>(-0.12840-15, -0.53380-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-0.11350-16, 0.0)</td>
<td>(0.10510-17, 0.0)</td>
<td>(0.10000+01, 0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For \( \alpha = 0.0100 \), 2 of the eigenvalues of D have modulus greater than unity. Therefore, choice is unstable for this \( \alpha \).
Figure 1. Partitioning scheme for general solution
Figure 2. Flowchart of the specific subroutine EIGEN.
Figure 2. Flowchart of the software package
Figure 3. Block diagram of tracking radar simulation

Figure 4. Partitioning scheme for tracking radar simulation
Figure 5. Block diagram of height control system simulation
Figure 6. Partitioning scheme for height control system simulation.
Figure 7. Partitioning scheme for tracking radar simulation with instantaneous analogue response

\[ \psi_{DM} = H(s) \left( \psi_i - \psi_M \right) \]
\[ r = P(s) \gamma \]
\[ \dot{\psi}_M = r \]

\[ \dot{\psi}_i = -3(\psi_{DM} + \psi_M) \]

Figure 8. Stability boundary for the predictor-corrector numerical integration method