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The present study examined the relationship between indices of life change and measures of depression and anxiety as a function of subjects' locus of control orientation. Based on the assumption that life changes may have their most adverse effect on individuals who perceive themselves as having little control over environmental events it was predicted that significant correlations between life change and depression and anxiety would be found only with subjects external in their locus of control.
A orientation. The results of this investigation were in line with this hypothesis. Further, the findings provide support for conceptualizing life stress primarily in terms of negative life change rather than in terms of change per se.
Life Stress, Depression and Anxiety: Internal-External Control as a Moderator Variable

In recent years many studies have documented a relationship between life stress, as defined by reported life changes, and a variety of dependent variables including myocardial infarction (1,2), sudden cardiac death (3), seriousness of chronic illness (4), academic performance (5), teacher performance (6), depression (7), and measures of neuroticism and social maladjustment (8, 9). An overview of research in this area has recently been presented by Rabkin and Struening (10).

Despite the large number of correlates of life change that have been reported, it is necessary also to examine the magnitude of the correlations obtained. While often statistically significant, these correlations have usually been of low magnitude suggesting that life stress accounts for a relatively small proportion of the variance in the dependent measures employed. It would seem that life stress measures alone may not be sufficient to yield impressive results. An important question is whether this relatively poor ability to predict relevant dependent measures is due to general inadequacies in existing life stress measures or to other factors.

Concerning the first possibility, it is worth noting that several approaches to the assessment of life stress have been employed in the research carried out. These range from measures which consider positive and negative life changes together (assuming that life change per se is stressful), and employ group derived ratings of events in an attempt to quantify the impact of change (11) to measures which assess positive and negative life change separately and allow for the individualized rating of the desirability and impact of events (12,9). This same pattern of low but statistically significant correlations has been found regardless of the measure employed. While existing
measures of life stress are less than perfect, factors other than inadequacies of measurement might be related to the low correlations which have typically been obtained.

A possible reason for the low correlations between life stress scores and other variables is the failure to consider variables which might mediate the effects of life change. Although it is reasonable to assume that high levels of change have a negative effect on individuals it seems likely that these effects vary from person to person and are mediated by specific individual difference variables. Given the fact that individuals may be differentially affected by life changes, it may be unreasonable to expect to find strong correlates of life stress unless such variables are determined and taken into account.

While there has been relatively little research related to the role of moderator variables in the area of life stress, studies by Nuckolls, Cassell and Kaplan (13) and Smith, Johnson, and Sarason (14) suggest the importance of this line of inquiry. For example, Nuckolls et al., designed their study to examine the relationship between life stress and pregnancy and birth complications. They administered the Schedule of Recent Experiences (11) and a specially designed Psychosocial Assets Scale to expectant mothers during the thirty-second week of pregnancy. This scale was designed to assess the degree to which women possess support systems in their environment. Items on this scale were used to measure the "subjects feelings and perceptions concerning herself, her pregnancy, and her overall life situation including her relationship with her husband, her extended family and the community." (p. 433-434).

These investigators found a significant relationship between life stress and complications, but only for subjects who had low levels of psychosocial assets. Given a high level of life stress before and during pregnancy, women
with favorable psychosocial assets had only one-third the number of complications of women with poor psychosocial assets.

Another moderator variable was suggested by the Smith et al., study. These authors investigated the relationship between life stress and neuroticism in subjects differing in scores on the Sensation Seeking Scale (15). This scale assesses the tendency to seek out stimulating, risk-taking, and novel activities. High scores on the scale are thought to have a high optimal level of stimulation while those scoring low are thought to have a low optimal level. Thus, low sensation seekers might be expected to avoid change and arousing stimulus input. In this study it was hypothesized that low sensation seekers would be more adversely affected by life stress than high sensation seekers and the obtained findings were in line with this hypothesis. While no significant relationship between life change and neuroticism was found for high sensation seekers, a significant relationship between negative life change and the neuroticism measure was obtained when responses of subjects scoring low on the Sensation Seeking Scale were analyzed. There are, then, clues concerning the variables which might mediate the effects of life change. Further efforts designed to determine the possible role of particular moderators is needed.

One important determinant of the effects of life change may be whether stressful events are perceived as being within or outside the control of the individual (16). In line with this it would seem reasonable to expect that locus of control orientation is a significant moderator variable. Rotter (17) has suggested that individuals differ in the degree to which they perceive environmental reinforcers as being under their personal control, with internals perceiving these events as being under their control and externals perceiving reinforcers as being the result of fate, luck, change or powerful others.
As the locus of control construct seems to reflect the extent to which individuals believe themselves capable of exerting personal control over environmental events one might expect internals and externals to respond differently to life change. The present study was designed to provide information related to this issue by examining the relationship between life stress and measures of depression and anxiety as a function of locus of control orientation. It was predicted that life stress would be related to the dependent measures only with subjects displaying an external locus of control orientation. This prediction was based on the assumption that life change may have its most adverse effects on individuals who perceive themselves as having little or no control over such events.

Method

Subjects

The subjects were (34 male, 90 female) student volunteers, drawn from undergraduate psychology courses at the University of Washington. All subjects received course credit for participation.

Materials

The instruments used in the study were the Life Experiences Survey (LES), the Locus of Control scale, the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, and the Beck Depression Scale.

The LES (9) is a 57 item scale requiring respondents to indicate events experienced during the previous year, whether they considered these events desirable or undesirable, and the degree of impact the events had on their lives. The scale yields both positive and negative life change scores, although previous research (9) has suggested that the negative change score is more highly correlated with stress related dependent measures.

The Locus of Control Scale (17) is a 29 item self-report measure which assesses the degree to which individuals view environmental reinforcers as being under their personal control. As mentioned earlier, internals are
believed to perceive events as being controllable by their own actions while externals tend to view such events as being influenced by factors other than themselves.

The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (18) is a 40 item self-report measure which assesses anxiety as a trait or relatively stable dispositional variable and as a state. State anxiety refers to the degree to which persons display anxiety in a specific situation.

The Beck Depression Scale (19) is a 21 item self-report measure which taps a variety of characteristics thought to be symptomatic of depression.

Procedure

These four instruments were administered in a group setting. The LES was scored to yield both positive and negative life change scores. Other measures were scored using standard scoring procedures.

As a preliminary analysis suggested a significant correlation between negative life change and locus of control scores, $r (124) = .22 \ p < .01$ partial correlations were employed to determine the relationship between measures of life change and measures of depression and anxiety, with the variance common to locus of control scores partialled out in each case. Separate analyses were accomplished for internals and externals. In this way it was possible to test the prediction that life stress would be significantly associated with the dependent measures only when persons who were external in their locus of control orientation were considered.

Results and Discussion

Partial correlations between measures of positive and negative life change, derived from the Life Experience Survey, and measures of depression and anxiety are presented in Table 1. The correlations for subjects internal ($IE \leq 12$) and external ($IE \geq 13$) in their locus of control orientation are presented separately.
As may be seen, no significant relationships were found between measures of positive life change and any of the dependent measures. Negative change, however, was found to be significantly correlated with measures of both depression, \( r(55) = .32, p < .005 \) and trait anxiety, \( r(63) = .31, p < .005 \), although these relationships, as predicted, were found only for subjects who were external in their Locus of Control orientation. No significant relationships between life change scores (positive or negative) and measures of state anxiety were found for either internal or external subjects.

These results strongly support the original hypothesis that locus of control orientation may be a moderator variable in the relationship between negative life change and depression and anxiety and provide support for the notion that the effects of life stress may be mediated by the degree to which individuals perceive themselves as having personal control over events. The results suggest that perhaps it is the individual who experiences high levels of change but feels he/she has no control over events that is most susceptible to the effects of life stress. While findings with regard to the state anxiety measure were not consistent with those obtained when indices of depression and trait anxiety were employed, it may be noted that the State Anxiety Scale tends to reflect more transient levels of anxiety which may vary with the situation. Thus the unreliability associated with this state measure may have contributed to the obtained results.

The fact that significant relationships between negative life change and measures of depression and anxiety were found, while no relationships between positive life change and these measures were obtained also provide additional support for the idea expressed by a number of authors (20, 9, 21).
that life stress may be more fruitfully conceptualized in terms of negative life change than in terms of change per se as was originally postulated by early investigators in this area (11).

In summary, findings of the present study provide support for the view that locus of control, along with sensations seeking (14) and level of psychosocial assets (18) mediates the effects of negative life change.
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Table 1
Partial Correlations Between Positive and Negative Life Change and Measures of Depression and Anxiety for Subjects Differing in Locus of Control Orientation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus of Control</th>
<th>Life Change Scores</th>
<th>Dependent Measures</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Trait Anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internals (N=55)</td>
<td>Positive Change</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative Change</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Externals (N=66)</td>
<td>Positive Change</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative Change</td>
<td>.32*</td>
<td>.31*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < .005
DISTRIBUTION LIST

LIST 1

MANDATORY

Office of Naval Research (3 copies)
(Code 452)
800 N. Quincy St.
Arlington, Va. 22217

Director
U.S. Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20390

ATTN: Technical Information Division

Defense Documentation Center
Building 5 (12 copies)
Cameron Station
Alexandria, Va. 22314

Library, Code 2029 (6 copies)
U.S. Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20390

Science & Technology Division
Library of Congress
Washington, D.C. 20540

Navy Materiel Command
Employee Development Office
Code SA-65
Room 150 Jefferson Plaza, Bldg. #2
1429 Jeff Davis Highway
Arlington, Va. 20360

LIST 2

Director
ONR Branch Office
1030 E. Green St.
Pasadena, Ca. 91106

Psychologist
ONR Branch Office
1030 E. Green St.
Pasadena, Ca. 91106

LIST 3

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

Dr. Macy L. Abrams
Navy Personnel R & D Center
San Diego, Ca. 92151

Dr. Harry R. Day
University City Science Center
Center for Social Development
3508 Science Center
Philadelphia, Pa. 19104

Dr. Clayton P. Alderfer
Department of Administrative Sciences
Yale University
New Haven, Ct. 06520

Dr. Fred E. Fiedler
Department of Psychology
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195

Dr. James A. Bayton
Department of Psychology
Howard University
Washington, D.C. 20001

Dr. Samuel L. Gaertner
Department of Psychology
University of Delaware
220 Wolf Hall
Newark, De. 19711

Dr. H. Russel Bernard
Dept. of Sociology & Anthropology
West Virginia University
Morgantown, W.V. 26506

Dr. Paul S. Goodman
Graduate School of Industrial Adminis.
Carnegie-Mellon University, Schenley Pk.
Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213
Dr. Gloria L. Grace  
System Development Corporation  
2500 Colorado Ave.  
Santa Monica, Ca.  90406

Dr. J. Richard Hackman  
Dept. of Administrative Sciences  
Yale University  
New Haven, Ct.  06520

Dr. Thomas H. Harrell  
Graduate School of Business  
Stanford University  
Stanford, Ca.  94305

Dr. Charles L. Hulin  
Department of Psychology  
University of Illinois  
Champaign, Il.  61820

Dr. Arie Y. Lewin  
Duke University  
Duke Station  
Durham, N.C.  27706

Dr. David C. McClelland  
McBer and Company  
137 Newbury St.  
Boston, Ma.  02139

Dr. Elliott M. McGinnies  
Psychology Department  
American University  
Washington, D.C.  20016

Dr. Terence R. Mitchell  
School of Business Administration  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Wa.  98195

Dr. Peter G. Monge  
Department of Speech-Communication  
California State University  
San Jose, Ca.  95192

Dr. Peter G. Nordlie  
Human Sciences Research, Inc.  
7710 Old Springhouse Rd.  
McLean, Va.  22101

Dr. Chester M. Pierce  
Harvard University  
Nichols House  
Appian Way  
Cambridge, Ma.  02138

Dr. Paul Hall  
Division of Beh. Science Research  
Tuskegee Institute  
Tuskegee, Al.  36098

Dr. Manuel Ramirez  
Systems and Evaluations  
232 Swanton Blvd.  
Santa Cruz, Ca.  95060

Dr. Karlene H. Roberts  
School of Business Administration  
University of California  
Berkeley, Ca.  94720

Dr. John Ruhe  
University of North Carolina  
Dept. of Business Admin.  
Charlotte, N.C.  28223

Dr. Edgar H. Schein  
Sloan School of Management  
Mass. Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, Ma.  02139

Dr. Barry R. Schlenker  
Department of Psychology  
University of Florida  
Gainesville, Fl.  32611

Dr. Saul B. Sells  
Texas Christian University  
Forth Worth, Tex.  76129

Dr. Gerald H. Shure  
Center of Computer-Based Behavioral Studies  
University of California  
Los Angeles, Ca.  90024

Dr. H. Wallace Sinaiko  
A & I 3463  
Smithsonian Institution  
Washington, D.C.  20560

Dr. Richard H. Steers  
Graduate School of Management & Business  
University of Oregon  
Eugene, Or.  97403

Dr. Richard E. Sykes  
Minnesota Systems Research, Inc.  
2412 University Ave., S.E.  
Minneapolis, Mn.  55414

Dr. Victor H. Vroom  
School of Organization and Management  
Yale University  
96 Hillhouse Ave.  
New Haven, Ct.  06520
Dr. Phillip G. Zimbardo  
Department of Psychology  
Stanford University  
Stanford, Ca. 94305  

Dr. M. Dean Havron  
Human Sciences Research, Inc.  
7710 Old Springhouse Rd.  
McLean Va. 22101  

Dr. Bertram Spector  
CACI, Inc.  
1815 N. Ft. Myer Drive  
Arlington, Va. 22209  

Dr. Loran B. Szalay  
American Institutes for Research  
3301 New Mexico Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20016  

Chief of Naval Personnel  
Assistant for Research Liaison  
(Pers-Or)  
Washington, D.C. 20370  

Assistant Officer in Charge  
Naval Internal Relations Activity  
Pentagon, Room 2E329  
Washington, D.C. 20350  

Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, Ca. 93940  
ATTN: Library (Code 2124)  

Professor John Senger  
Operations Research & Admin. Sciences  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, Ca. 93940  

Training Officer  
Human Resource Management Center  
NTC, San Diego, Ca. 92133  

Navy Personnel R & D Center (5 copies)  
Code 10  
San Diego, Ca. 92152  

Officer in Charge  
Naval Submarine Medical Research Lab.  
Naval Submarine Base, New London, Conn.  
Box 900  
Groton, Ct. 06340  

Officer in Charge (Code L5)  
Naval Aerospace Medical Research Lab.  
Naval Aerospace Medical Center  
Pensacola, Fl. 32512  

Capt. Bruce G. Stone, U.S.N.  
(Code N-33)  
Director, Education & Training  
Research and Program Development  
Chief of Naval Education & Training  
Staff  
Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Fl. 32508
Dr. H. H. Wolff  
Technical Director (Code N-2)  
Naval Training Equipment Center  
Orlando, Fl. 32813

Human Resource Management Center  
Attachment  
Naval Support Activity  
c/o FPO New York, N.Y. 09521  
ATTN: TDC Nelson

Chief, Naval Technical Training  
NAS Memphis (75)  
Millington, Tn. 38128  
ATTN: Lcdr. R. R. Gaffey, Jr. N452

---

**ADDITIONS TO DISTRIBUTION LIST**

Cdr. Anthony C. Cajka, USN  
Department of the Navy  
Human Resource Management Center  
Washington, D.C. 20370

Bureau of Naval Personnel  
Research & Evaluation Division  
Code: Pers-65  
Washington, D.C. 20370

Human Resource Management Center, London  
FPA, NY 09510

Human Resource Management Center,  
Washington  
Washington, D.C. 20370

Human Resource Management Center,  
Norfolk  
5621-23 Tidewater Dr.  
Norfolk, Va. 23511

Human Resource Management Center,  
Bldg. 304  
Naval Training Center  
San Diego, Ca. 92133

Office of Naval Research (Code 200)  
Arlington, Va. 22217

Personnel Research and Development Center  
United States Civil Service Commission  
Bureau of Policies and Standards  
Washington, D.C. 20415

Journal Supplement Abstract Service  
1200 17th St. N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Division Director for Social Science  
National Science Foundation  
1800 G St. N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20550

Mr. Luigi Petrullo  
2431 N. Edgewood St.  
Arlington, Va. 22207

Human Resource Management Center,  
Pearl Harbor  
FPO San Francisco, Ca. 96601

Human Resource Management School  
Naval Air Station, Memphis (96)  
Millington, Tn. 38954

Mr. Richard T. Howday  
College of Business Administration  
University of Nebraska  
Lincoln, Ne. 68588

CDR. J.L. Johnson, USN  
Naval Amphibious School  
Little Creek  
Naval Amphibious Base  
Norfolk, Va. 23521

ARI Field Unit - Leavenworth  
P.O. Box 3122  
Fort Leavenworth, Ks. 66027

Dr. William E. Gaymon  
American Institutes for Research  
3301 New Mexico Ave. N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20016

Department of the Air Force  
Air Force Institute of Technology (AU)  
AFIT/SLGR (LT Col Umstot)  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base,  
Ohio 45433