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ABSTRACT

A METHOD FOR ANALYSIS OF OXYGEN BREATHING APPARATUS (OBA) TYPE II CANISTERS

F. Lee Rodkey, Ph.D., and A. R. Dasler, Ph.D.

A method was devised to rapidly release the chemical reaction products from Oxygen Breathing Apparatus (OBA) Type II canisters in a closed system. This procedure permitted accurate chemical analyses without the atmospheric interferences inherent in the conventional approach to OBA canister analyses. The available O₂ was released by immersion of the entire canister, with its charge intact, in a known amount of water, in such a manner that all O₂ was collected and measured volumetrically. Analysis of the water for total KOH and K₂CO₃, formed upon dissolving the canister contents, permitted quantitation of the amount of CO₂ absorbed during canister use and the total amount of O₂ available in the unused canisters. The charge composition of the Type II canisters, on a mole fraction basis, was estimated by analysis of 17 unused canisters and was found to be 92% KO₂, 7% K₂O₂ and 1% K₂CO₃. The method was used to determine the amount of O₂ released and the amount of CO₂ absorbed during manned use of the OBA Type II canisters.
INTRODUCTION

The OBA is a self-contained, closed-circuit device for life support during physical activity ranging from rest to heavy work. Oxygen is generated from chemicals within a canister and exhaled carbon dioxide is absorbed. The "Quick-Start" Type II canister is widely available today. This type of canister contains a percussion cap activated chlorate candle to provide an initial 10 liter "burst" of oxygen. The major portion of the chemical charge within a canister is composed of potassium superoxide (KO₂) which reacts with water from the operator's exhaled breath to liberate oxygen according to Equation 1.¹

\[ 4 \text{KO}_2 + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 4 \text{KOH} + 3 \text{O}_2 \]  

(1)

Exhaled carbon dioxide reacts with KOH formed in this reaction to yield potassium carbonate according to Equation 2.

\[ 2 \text{KOH} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  

(2)

Water produced in reaction 2 is utilized in the liberation of additional oxygen.

It is sometimes necessary to analyze unused and used OBA canisters in order to estimate three significant parameters: 1) the original available oxygen in unused canisters, 2) the residual available oxygen in used canisters and 3) the total carbon dioxide
absorbed during use of canisters. This report presents a rapid, convenient method for release and analysis of the contents of OBA canisters. Oxygen produced by the "Quick-Start" chlorate candle is not included in the measurements herein.

APPARATUS

The analysis of residual available oxygen (defined as the oxygen which can be liberated by water) is done by completely filling the canister with water and collecting all of the gas released. As the gases are released the volume may be measured by collection in a large spirometer or by passage through a gas flow meter; however, for analytical purposes collection of the gases in a spirometer is highly recommended. A tank containing a known volume of water (30 liters) was used in conjunction with a 5 gallon reagent container (hereafter called a "bell") to liberate and collect all of the released oxygen, (Figure 1). The bottom of the bell was removed and a support provided to hold the canisters in an upright position near the inside tope of the bell. The pouring spout of the bell was connected directly to the spirometer, or to a flowmeter. Use of a spirometer was preferred as this approach of measuring gas volume was unaffected by the rate of oxygen release which varied considerably during the reaction of the chemicals and water; furthermore, the rate of release of gases may exceed the capacity of the flowmeter.

PROCEDURE

Weight of Canister Charge. Weigh the canister before analysis.
After analysis the canister must be dried at 110°C for 6 - 12 hours and again weighed. The difference in weight is the weight of the canister charge.

**Canister Available Oxygen.** Remove the neck seal and punch 6 - 8 large holes (as with a triangular beer can opener) in the bottom of the canister. Punch 6 - 8 holes on the side of the canister, using a screwdriver or a cold chisel. The holes on the side of the canister must be within the confines of the charge bed. These holes should be in two rows about 4 cm and about 11 cm from the top of the canister body. Reaction with water is too slow and incomplete unless an adequate number of large holes are made in the canister shell. Fasten the canister in an upright position inside the gas collecting bell and connect the outlet of the bell to the spirometer. Place the bottom of the bell just under the surface of the water (30 liter known volume) in the tank. Tap water was shown to be satisfactory for use in this study. Immediately take the following readings: 1) initial spirometer value (mm), 2) barometric pressure (mm Hg) and 3) spirometer temperature (°C).

Depress the bell into the water until the canister is partially submerged and oxygen liberation starts. Gradually lower the bell into the water until the canister is completely submerged and all oxygen is liberated. Gentle shaking of the bell will sometimes aid in dislodging pockets of chemical charge which may be unreacted. When all oxygen has been released and the bell is filled with water, make the following
readings: 1) final spirometer value (mm), 2) barometric pressure (mm Hg) and 3) spirometer temperature (°C). The actual volume of the bell \( V_b \) must be known from previous measurements; a bell of approximately 19 liters volume is required.

The available oxygen, saturated with water at ambient temperature and pressure (ATPS), is calculated from Equation 3;

\[
O_2 \text{ (Liters ATPS)} = (R_f - R_i) F_s - V_b \quad (3)
\]

where, \( R_i \) and \( R_f \) are the initial and final spirometer reading respectively, \( F_s \) is the spirometer factor in liters/mm, and \( V_b \) is the volume of the bell used to hold the canister. The available oxygen is converted to standard temperature and pressure (STPD) by Equation 4;

\[
O_2 \text{ (Liters STPD)} = O_2 \text{ (Liters ATPS)} \times \frac{P_b - P_{H2O}}{760} \times \frac{273}{273 + t} \quad (4)
\]

where, \( P_b \) and \( t \) are the measured ambient barometric pressure (mm Hg) and temperature (°C), and \( P_{H2O} \) is the vapor pressure of water at the ambient temperature. Available oxygen may be expressed in molar quantities since 22.4 liters STPD is equivalent to 1.0 mole.

**Canister Carbon Dioxide Content.** After all oxygen has been released and the gas volume measurements taken, the 30 liters of water must be carefully mixed by raising and lowering the bell to force the water to rinse in and out of the canister. A uniform solution must be obtained containing all of the water soluble components from within the canister. Finally, raise the bell above the water to drain and
remove the canister. Stir the solution thoroughly (CAUTION: THIS IS NOW A HIGHLY CAUSTIC SOLUTION OF KOH AND K₂CO₃) and save a portion of about 250 ml in a polyethylene bottle for analysis. The remaining solution must be carefully discarded and the tank and bell washed in preparation for another analysis. Rinse the canister under tap water and place it in a drying oven at 110°C to dry before obtaining the final weight of the canister.

Two analyses are performed on the 250 ml aliquot of the caustic solution. One portion (A) of the solution (15 ml) is titrated with standard 1.0 N HCl to pH = 8 using phenolphthalein as the indicator. The normality of the solution (Nₐ) is calculated. This represents titration of all free KOH and the titration of K₂CO₃ to KHCO₃⁻.

Hence, the total titration;

\[ N_a \times V_s = M_{\text{KOH}} + M_{\text{K₂CO₃}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( V_s \) is the volume of the solution in liters and the total amounts of KOH and K₂CO₃ in the solution are expressed in moles. The second portion (B) of the caustic solution (15 ml) is treated with 0.5 - 0.75 gm of solid BaCl₂ and mixed to precipitate the carbonate as barium carbonate. This mixture is then titrated with standard 1.0 N HCl to pH = 8, using phenolphthalein as the indicator. The normality of the solution (Nₐ) is calculated. As the BaCO₃ is not titrated under these conditions, the titration represents free KOH only, hence;

\[ M_{\text{KOH}} = N_b \times V_s \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)
The total moles of CO$_2$ in the canister is therefore,

$$M_{\text{CO}_2} = (N_a - N_b) \times V_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The CO$_2$ may be expressed in liters since 1 mole of CO$_2$ is equivalent to 22.26 liters STPD.

**RESULTS**

Original Available Oxygen. Unused canisters contain only KO$_2$ with minor impurities of K$_2$O$_2$, KOH and K$_2$CO$_3$. All of these components will dissolve in water to give KOH or K$_2$CO$_3$ in the final solution. Only KO$_2$ and K$_2$O$_2$ will contribute to the available oxygen. The titration values of the water solution can be used to express the total number of moles of base which is assumed to be derived from three sources; 1) a small amount of K$_2$CO$_3$ in the original canister charge, 2) hydration of KO$_2$ (and K$_2$O$_2$) during both use of the canister in the OBA and during the analytical total hydration, and 3) the K$_2$CO$_3$ formed by absorption of carbon dioxide during use. With these assumptions the total apparent or equivalent moles of KO$_2$ in the original canister is the sum of the free base titrated, $M_{\text{KOH}}$, plus the hydroxide which has reacted with the CO$_2$ absorbed, i.e. 2 ($M_{\text{CO}_2} - M_{\text{CO}_2 \text{ blank}}$) as given in Equation 8;

$$M_{\text{KO}_2} = M_{\text{KOH}} + 2 (M_{\text{CO}_2} - M_{\text{CO}_2 \text{ blank}})$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

The apparent purity, $P$, of the original KO$_2$ canister charge, based on available oxygen, $M_{O_2}$, and the $M_{\text{CO}_2 \text{ blank}}$ were determined by analysis of a series of 17 new, unused canisters, Table 1. The relation
\[ M_{O_2} = (0.75 \times M_{K\text{O}_2} \times P) \text{ was used and } M_{\text{CO}_2 \text{ blank}} \text{ was the observed } \\
M_{\text{CO}_2} \text{ in unused canisters. Actual oxygen, } M_{O_2}, \text{ was liberated and } \\
\text{measured in the spirometer. The mean value of } M_{\text{CO}_2 \text{ blank}} \text{ was } \\
0.12 (\pm 0.014 \text{ SEM}) \text{ with a range of 0.05 to 0.25. The mean value of } \\
P \text{ was } 0.908 (\pm 0.003 \text{ SEM}) \text{ with a range of 0.879 to 0.928. Thus, the } \\
\text{apparent purity of the canister charge as } K\text{O}_2 \text{ was 91%. Hence, the } \\
\text{original available oxygen (in new and used canisters) was calculated } \\
\text{from Equation 9; } \\
M_{O_2} = [M_{\text{KOH}} + 2 (M_{\text{CO}_2} - 0.12)] \times 0.75 \times 0.91 \quad (9) \\
\] 

where 0.75 is the moles of O\textsubscript{2} released per mole of K\text{O}_2 and 0.91 is 
the apparent purity of K\text{O}_2 in new canisters.

It should be noted that the "apparent purity" will reflect the presence of other alkali metals, such as sodium, or other oxides such as K\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, K\textsubscript{2}O, or KOH. Data from the 17 unused canisters including charge weight, available oxygen, and the KOH plus K\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} obtained by solution were consistent with an initial charge composition on a mole fraction basis of 92 ± 0.4% K\text{O}_2, 7 ± 0.3% K\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, and 0.9 ± 0.1% K\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}. This composition of the canister charge leads to a calculated apparent purity of 91.2% as K\text{O}_2, based upon O\textsubscript{2} released, as compared with the mean value of 90.8% measured from the new canisters.

**Oxygen Liberated from the Chlorate Candle.** The oxygen produced by the burning chlorate candle is not measured in the present method of hydration of the canister charge. A separate group of eight unused
canisters was tested to determine the volume of oxygen released by the chlorate candles. Four of the canisters were in an upright position during activation and operation of candle combustion and four canisters were placed on their broadest side for similar operations. Position differences did not alter the amount of oxygen released nor the physical appearance of the candles after burning. A mean of 10.2 (±0.1 SEM) liters STPD oxygen release was observed for the eight candles. The candles were activated when the canisters were maintained at room temperature (25°C) and oxygen release was complete in every case within 1.5 minutes after the percussion cap was fired.

**Analysis of Used Canisters.** A series of canisters were analyzed after varying lengths of manned-use time in OBA's. Residual oxygen was measured by collection in the spirometer when the contents of the used canisters were completely reacted with water. Free KOH and total \( K_2CO_3 \) were used to estimate the original amount of oxygen available and the amount of carbon dioxide absorbed at the time of terminating use of the canisters. Subjects using OBA's were exercised at a time-weighted-mean \( O_2 \) consumption of 1.5 liters/minute STPD in accordance with the procedures described by Dasler. Results from analyses of the manned-use canisters are given in Table 2. When the canisters operated with minimal physiological strain in the subjects the chemical analyses revealed that as much as 72% of the original oxygen charge was liberated from the canisters. There were two canisters which precipitated extreme physiological strain at about
20 minutes of what should have been at least 60 minutes of manned-use. The two specific canisters released less than 10% of the original oxygen available. Regardless of the length of operating time of the Type II canisters, a constant CO₂ Absorbed/O₂ Released ratio of 0.44 was obtained from all canisters.

The data in Table 2 show clearly that when the user experienced no undue strain in breathing (use time of 60 minutes) there was an adequate quantity of oxygen released, about 2.4 liters/minute, and an effective CO₂ absorption, about 1.1 liters/minute. However, in the cases of the two subjects who were unable to continue breathing with the OBA beyond 20 minutes the amounts of oxygen release and CO₂ absorbed were markedly reduced; not including the oxygen released by the chlorate candles the oxygen released was about 0.3 liters/minute and the CO₂ absorbed was about 0.1 liters/minute at a time-weighted-mean O₂ consumption of 1.5 liters/minute work rate of man. Analyses of the chemical reactions relative to the short operating canisters revealed the chemical beds fully reacted with water in the expected manner. Hence, it was implied that in these two cases the subjects were unable to force their exhaled breaths into the KO₂ bed. As a result there was both inadequate O₂ formation and CO₂ absorption. Naturally, under these conditions the subjects were required to terminate the experiments.

DISCUSSION

Unused OBA Type II canisters have a relatively constant charge
composition. This permits the analyses of used canisters for estimation of the residual oxygen and \( \text{CO}_2 \) absorbed due to manned-use to compare with the original amount of available oxygen and small quantity of \( \text{CO}_2 \) in unused canisters. These data permit one to determine whether the failure of a canister during use is due to depletion of the \( \text{KO}_2 \) charge, inadequacy of a given chemical reaction to go to completion when driven by man's respiration, or the inability of the user to force adequate volumes of expired air into the canister's chemical bed.

An increased breathing resistance, up to \(+8.5\) dynes·sec·cm\(^{-5}\) during exhalation and down to \(-10.4\) dynes·sec·cm\(^{-5}\) during inhalation, was the major cause for a user to change canisters in the OBA's employed in this study;\(^3\) these breathing resistances represented greater than 21 to 270 percent changes, respectively, than permissible breathing resistance limits for closed-circuit breathing devices of this type.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\) As the airways of the OBA's employed were found to be unobstructed and all connections were free of leaks, the source of extreme breathing resistance was suspected to be within the specific Type II canisters. The excessive breathing resistances had to be due to either a failure of the chemical bed to absorb \( \text{CO}_2 \) or in an actual physical impairment of gas flow through the \( \text{KO}_2 \) bed; in either situation there would be a marked reduction in oxygen production and a \( \text{CO}_2 \) build-up within the facemask comparable with that actually observed.

Physical examination of the changes which occur with large
KO₂ granules revealed that diffusion of exhaled water vapor into the centers of partially spent, large KO₂ granules did result in a "foaming" of the granule surface. Upon addition of more water the liberation of oxygen increased; however, in the manned-use situation the "foaming" could have significantly reduced pathways for gas flow and produced a high breathing resistance, which resulted in compounding the problem as less respiratory water could get to the KO₂ granules. Since either the build-up of CO₂ in the facemask or a great increase in breathing resistance, traceable to "foaming" of granules within the canister, would prevent continued use of the breathing apparatus, the OBA Model A-4 facemask was modified by using a close fitting, bivalved nosecup (Mine Safety Appliance "Nosecup" Part #457135) which permits both oral and nasal breathing. Several experiments were conducted equivalent to those when respiratory resistance forced premature termination of previous experiments. Results of the special manned OBA A-4 trials revealed outstanding performance of the total life support system while men performed heavy physical work in a hot-humid environment, Table 3. Apparently, the nosecup permits a more direct passage of respiratory water and CO₂, reducing the dead space within the mask and maintaining the more natural breathing pressures, from man to the chemical bed in the canister. Without the knowledge obtained from the chemical analyses of the canister charges and observation of "foaming" when insufficient water was available, in the presence of high CO₂, it would have been virtually impossible to have found a simple, inexpensive modification of the OBA to minimize the potential of what has been
widely called "canister failure."

The conventionally used procedure for analysis of OBA canister contents\(^5\) involves 1) removal of the KO\(_2\) charge from the canister, 2) mixing the charge and trying to obtain a representative sample for analysis, 3) liberation of oxygen by water with the amount of oxygen measured with a flowmeter, 4) release of CO\(_2\) by acidification of the solution from which oxygen was released, and 5) the measurement of evolved CO\(_2\) volume with a flowmeter. The new method presented herein has many advantages in contrast with the conventional procedure. First, the new method does not require handling or sampling the very corrosive solid KO\(_2\)-KOH-K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) mixture. Secondly, the entire contents of the canister are analyzed so variations within granules cannot lead to inaccurate samples. Absorption of both water and CO\(_2\) from the atmosphere during analysis is virtually eliminated. Finally, the new method yields a much better estimate of the original available oxygen in the canister than may be derived from the weight of the used canister charge.
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Figure 1. Apparatus for analysis of Oxygen Breathing Apparatus (OBA) canisters. The tank, T, contains a known volume of water (30 liters) which serves to seal the bottom of the bell, B, and to react with the canister charge. Holes punched in the canister, C, allow rapid release of oxygen when the canister is submerged within the bell. A 300 liter spirometer is used to collect and measure the volume of oxygen released. The stopcock S is used to empty the tank.
### TABLE 1

ANALYSIS OF UNUSED OXYGEN BREATHING APPARATUS (OBA) CANISTERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacture Date</th>
<th>$M_{CO_2}$</th>
<th>$M_{KOH}$</th>
<th>Charge Weight</th>
<th>Oxygen Released</th>
<th>Theor. as KO$_2^*$</th>
<th>Apparent Purity as KO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moles</td>
<td>Moles</td>
<td>Grams</td>
<td>Moles</td>
<td>Moles</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-1-73</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>13.39</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>91.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15-73</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>13.02</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>92.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-13-74</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>13.83</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>91.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-14-74</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>13.31</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>91.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-13-74</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>91.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-14-74</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>13.43</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>91.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-13-74</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>92.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-13-74</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>13.78</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>91.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15-74</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>92.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-30-73</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>14.81</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>90.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-23-73</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>9.79</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>88.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-27-73</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>90.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-29-73</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>14.22</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>90.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-26-73</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>14.87</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-15-73</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>14.97</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>90.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-26-73</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>89.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-20-73</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>14.88</td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>87.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>14.81</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>90.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Liters (STPD)

| Mean | 2.7  | 214.6 |
| SD   | 1.4  | 9.6   |
| SEM  | 0.31 | 2.2   |

*Theoretical Oxygen as $M_{KO_2} = M_{KOH} \times 0.75$

Blank CO$_2$ = 0.122 Moles/Canister
**TABLE 2**

**ANALYSIS OF USED TYPE II CANISTERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use Time (min.)</th>
<th>( \text{M}_{\text{KOH}} )</th>
<th>( \text{M}_{\text{CO}_2} )</th>
<th>Residual ( \text{O}_2 ) M</th>
<th>Original * ( \text{O}_2 ) M</th>
<th>Liberated ( \text{O}_2 ) M</th>
<th>Absorbed ( \text{CO}_2 ) ** M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>14.58</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.81</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Calculated by Equation 9 with \( \text{M}_{\text{CO}_2 \text{ blank}} = 0.12 \)

** \( \text{M}_{\text{CO}_2} - \text{M}_{\text{CO}_2 \text{ blank}} \)
### TABLE 3

**SPECIAL MANNED TRIALS OF OBA A-4 WITH TYPE II CANISTERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manned Operation Time (mins.)</th>
<th>60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nose Cup in Facemask</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of $O_2$ Generated (Liters STPD)</td>
<td>143.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent $O_2$ Generated of Total $O_2$ Available (%)</td>
<td>72.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of $O_2$ Generated per Minute (Liters/min. STPD)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of $CO_2$ Absorbed: Total (Liters STPD)</td>
<td>62.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Minute (Liters/min. STPD)</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean $O_2$ in Mask (%)</td>
<td>81.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean $CO_2$ in Mask (%)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Breathing Resistances (dynes·sec·cm$^{-5}$):**

- Mean Inhalation Resistance During Physical Work: $-1.5$
  - Percent Change of Maximum Permissible Limit (%): $-46.6 \, **$
- Mean Exhalation Resistance During Physical Work: $+5.7$
  - Percent Change of Maximum Permissible Limit (%): $-19.1 \, **$
- Mean Inhalation Resistance During Standing Rest: $-0.6$
  - Percent Change of Maximum Permissible Limit (%): $-78.6 \, **$
- Mean Exhalation Resistance During Standing Rest: $+4.3$
  - Percent Change of Maximum Permissible Limit (%): $-39.0 \, **$

**Estimated Cardiovascular Reserve at End of Trails (%)**

- 58

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Canister Surface Temperatures:</th>
<th>°C</th>
<th>°F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bottom (opposite chlorate candle)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle (along centerline)</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Quarter (along centerline)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top (left side of neck)</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Time-weighted-mean $O_2$ consumption = 1.5 liters/minute STPD; all chlorate candle primers and candles and $KO_2$ beds operated to indicated percentages; n = 2 for special trials only.

**Negative values are indicative of resistance below the maximum permissible limits, therefore, all resistances are well within the permissible limits.*
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