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SUMMARY
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0. INTRODUCTION

Let us first fix our basic notation and terminology. We will consider throughout a zero mean (for simplicity) Gaussian process \( X = (X_t, t \in T) \) defined on a probability space \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \). \( T \) will be an interval of the real line, even though more general index sets could clearly be used. \( \mathcal{F} \) is usually taken to be \( \mathcal{F}(X) \), the \( \sigma \)-field generated by the process \( X \), or \( \mathcal{E}(X) \), the completion of \( \mathcal{F}(X) \). There are two important Hilbert spaces associated to a Gaussian process. The nonlinear space of \( X \), \( L_2(X) = L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}(X), P) \), consists of all \( \mathcal{F}(X) \)-measurable random variables with finite second moment which are called (nonlinear) \( L_2 \)-functionals of \( X \). The linear space of \( X \), \( H(X) \), is the closed subspace of \( L_2(X) \) spanned by \( X_t, t \in T \), and its elements are called linear \( L_2 \)-functionals of \( X \).

The first useful notion in the study of the nonlinear space of a Wiener process is the multiple Wiener Integral. This notion was first introduced by Wiener (1938), who termed it "Polynomial Chaos", and was redefined in a somewhat deeper way by Itô (1951). Itô showed that his multiple integrals of different degree have the important property of being mutually orthogonal and also presented their connection with the celebrated Fourier-Hermite expansion of \( L_2 \)-functionals of Cameron and Martin (1947). Subsequently, Itô (1956) extended to general processes with stationary independent increments the Wiener-Itô expansion of \( L_2 \)-functionals in terms of multiple Wiener integrals. In his important work on nonlinear problems Wiener (1958) reinterpreted the multiple Wiener integrals for a Wiener process in an extremely simple and intuitive way and made some interesting applications. Finally Neveu (1963) and Kallianpur
(1970) studied the connection between the nonlinear space of a Gaussian process and the tensor products of its linear space, which sheds new light and gives more insight on the structure of the nonlinear space.

The first objective of this work is to define multiple Wiener integrals for general Gaussian processes and to use them in extending Wiener's theory of nonlinear noise. The groundwork is in Section 1 where the Hilbert spaces of appropriate integrands for the multiple Wiener integrals are introduced and studied. The multiple Wiener integrals are then defined in Section 2. Section 5 includes the extension of some basic results of Wiener's nonlinear noise theory from noises generated by the Wiener process to noises generated similarly by processes with stationary Gaussian increments (Theorems 5.1 and 5.2), as well as a simple but interesting result on processes with stationary increments which we could not find in the literature (Lemma 5.5).

The second useful notion in the study of the nonlinear space of a Wiener process is the stochastic integral. The stochastic integral was first introduced by Itô (1944) for the Wiener process and later generalized by Meyer (1962) for martingales. Every $L_2$-functional of a Wiener process has a representation as a stochastic integral, where the integrand is adapted to the Wiener process.

The second objective of this work is to define a stochastic integral for general Gaussian processes, and this is done in Section 3. The general properties of the stochastic integral are stated in Theorem 3.2 and some specific stochastic integrals are calculated (Theorems 3.5 and 3.7). The differential rule of the stochastic integral will be developed elsewhere. The stochastic integral is defined for general integrands, not necessarily adapted or nonanticipatory. In Section 4 it is shown that each $L_2$-functional of a general Gaussian process has a representation as a stochastic integral where the integrand
is adapted to the Gaussian process (Theorem 4.2). The stochastic integral of nonanticipatory integrands is also considered (Theorem 4.3), but the $L_2$-functionals which have nonanticipatory stochastic integral representations have not been characterized yet.

It should be noted that the two representations of $L_2$-functionals of a (general) Gaussian process presented here (the first as a series of multiple Wiener integrals and the second as a stochastic integral) open the way to the study of nonlinear devices with (general) Gaussian inputs.

Finally a brief summary of some facts on tensor products of Hilbert spaces and on Hermite polynomials is included in an appendix for ease of reference.
1. THE HILBERT SPACES $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{R})$ AND $\lambda_2(\mathbb{R})$

Throughout this section $T$ will be an interval, closed or open, bounded or unbounded, and $X = (X_t, t \in T)$ a second order process with zero mean and covariance function $R(t,s)$. Integrals over $T$ will be denoted by the integral sign with no subscript, and $1_E$ will denote the characteristic function of the set $E$.

It is shown in Loève (1955, p. 472) that the following two integrals

$$\hat{f}(f) = R \int f(t) dX_t \quad \text{and} \quad J(f) = R \int f(t) X_t dt$$

can be defined as the mean square limits of the corresponding sequences of approximating Riemann sums if and only if the following double Riemann integrals exist,

$$R \iint f(t)f(s)d^2R(t,s) \quad \text{and} \quad R \iint f(t)f(s)R(t,s)dtds ,$$

and then $I(f)$ and $J(f)$ are random variables with means zero and variances the corresponding double Riemann integrals.

1.1. The Hilbert Spaces $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\lambda_2(\mathbb{R})$

Consider the set $S_I$ of all step functions on $T$,

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n \mathbf{1}_{(a_n, b_n]}(t), \quad (a_n, b_n] \subset T,$$

and define

$$\int f(t)dX_t = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n (X_{b_n} - X_{a_n}).$$

$S_I$ is clearly a linear space and for all $f, g \in S_I$ we have
\[ E \int f(t) dX_t = 0 \]
\[ E \left( \int f(t) dX_t \cdot \int g(t) dX_t \right) = \int \int f(t) g(s) d^2R(t,s) \]

where the double integral is defined in the obvious way. Two step functions \( f, g \) will be considered identical if
\[ \int \int (f(t) - g(t))(f(s) - g(s)) d^2R(t,s) = 0. \]

If we define for \( f, g \in S_1 \),
\[ <f,g> = \int \int f(t) g(s) d^2R(t,s) \]
then \( (S_1, <,>) \) is an inner product space. Indeed \( <f,g> \) has the ordinary bilinear and symmetric properties, \( <f,f> = E (\int f dX)^2 \geq 0 \), and \( <f,f> = 0 \) only when \( f \) is the zero element of \( S_1 \) according to the convention introduced above.

Now let \( \Lambda_2(R) \) be the completion of \( S_1 \), so that it is a Hilbert space with inner product denoted again by \( <,> \). A typical element in \( \Lambda_2(R) \) is a Cauchy sequence of step functions. However, we will find it convenient to treat elements in \( \Lambda_2(R) \) as "formal" functions in \( t \in T \) and to write \( \int \int f(t) g(s) d^2R(t,s) \) for the inner product \( <f,g> \) (see Theorem 1 for a partial justification).

Notice that for \( f \in S_1 \) the integral \( \int f(t) dX_t \) depends on \( X \) only through its increments. Thus we may suppose without loss of generality that there is a point \( t_0 \in T \) such that
\[ X_{t_0} = 0 \text{ a.e.} \]

Under this assumption we can establish an isomorphism between \( H(X) \) and \( \Lambda_2(R) \) as follows. The map

\[ S_1 \rightarrow H(X): f \mapsto \int f dX \]

preserves inner products and hence it can be extended to an isomorphism on \( \Lambda_2(R) \) to a closed subspace of \( H(X) \). But the set

\[ X_t = \int \chi_t(u) dX_u, \quad t \in T, \]

where \( \chi_t = \chi_{[t_0, t]} \) for \( t \geq t_0 \) and \( = -\chi_{[t, t_0]} \) for \( t < t_0 \), generates \( H(X) \) and \( \chi_t \in S_1 \). It follows that the isomorphism is onto \( H(X) \), i.e.

\[ \Lambda_2(R) \cong H(X). \]

We denote this isomorphism by \( I \) and we define the integral of \( f \in \Lambda_2(R) \) with respect to \( X \) (which we write as \( \int f(t) dX_t \) following our convention to view elements of \( \Lambda_2(R) \) as formal functions) by

\[ \int f(t) dX_t = I(f). \]

The properties of this integral follow from those of \( I \) and are the analogues of the properties of the integral when \( X \) has orthogonal increments (see e.g. Doob (1953)). The integral is defined for "functions" in \( \Lambda_2(R) \) and thus it is of interest to identify usual functions in \( \Lambda_2(R) \) besides the step functions. Two such classes of functions are identified in the following.
Under the additional assumption that $R(t,s)$ is of bounded variation on every bounded subset of $T \times T$, Cramér (1951) defined $\Lambda_2(R)$ as the completion (with respect to the same inner product) of the set $S^*_1$ of all functions $f$ whose double Riemann integral $\int \int f(t)f(s)d^2R(t,s)$ exists. However, Cramér's definition is not appropriate for the general case (where $R$ is not necessarily of bounded variation on bounded sets) since then $1_t$ may not be in $\Lambda_2(R)$ and thus $\Lambda_2(R)$ may not be isomorphic to $H(X)$. In this sense our definition of $\Lambda_2(R)$ is the appropriate generalization of the definition given by Cramér.

That $S^*_1$ is always (even when $R$ may not be of bounded variation) a subspace of $\Lambda_2(R)$ and that for $f \in S^*_1$, $I(f) = \int f(t)dx_t$, follow immediately from the fact that $f \in S^*_1$ is equivalent to the existence of $\int f(t)dx_t$ and the approximating Riemann sums for $\int f(t)dx_t$ are of the form $\int f_n dx_t$ with $f_n \in S^1$. It can be also shown that when $R$ is of bounded variation on bounded sets then the two definitions of $\Lambda_2(R)$ coincide. We show instead the following result which is more useful for our purposes.

$R(t,s)$ is said to be of bounded variation on $[a,b] \times [c,d]$ if for all $N,M$ and points $a = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_N = b$, $c = s_0 < s_1 < \ldots < s_M = d$ the sum

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} |\Delta(t_n',s_m') R|$$

is bounded, where $\Delta(t_n',s_m') R = R(t',s') - R(t',s) - R(t,s') + R(t,s)$. Also $R$ is said to be of bounded variation on every finite domain of $T \times T$ if it is of bounded variation on every $[a,b] \times [c,d] \subset T \times T$. Such an $R$ determines uniquely a $\sigma$-finite signed measure on the Borel subsets of $T \times T$, denoted again by $R$, such that $R([t,t'] \times (s,s')] = \Delta(t',s') R$. Let $L_1$ be the set of all measurable functions $f$ on $T$ such that the following Lebesgue integrals are finite.
\[
\iint |f(t)f(s)|d^2|R|(t,s) < \infty
\]
\[
\iint |f(t)|1_{[a,b]}(s)d^2|R|(t,s) < \infty
\]
for all \((a,b) \in T\), where \(|R|\) is the total variation measure of \(R\). We say that the function \(f\) in \(L^1\) represents an element in \(A_2(R)\) if there is a \(f' \in A_2(R)\) such that for all \(g \in S_1\),
\[
<f',g> = \iint f(t)g(s)d^2R(t,s).
\]
Notice that if such an \(f'\) exists it is unique since \(S_1\) is dense in \(A_2(R)\).
We will then denote \(f'\) by \(f\) and we will write \(f \in A_2(R)\). With this convention we have the following

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \(R(t,s)\) be of bounded variation on every finite domain of \(T \times T\). Then \(L^1\) is a dense subset of \(A_2(R)\). Also if \(f_1, f_2 \in L^1\) and
\[
\iint |f_1(t)f_2(s)|d^2|R(t,s)| < \infty
\]
then
\[
<f_1,f_2> = \iint f_1(t)f_2(s)d^2R(t,s).
\]

**Proof.** Let \(E\) be a bounded Borel subset of \(T\). Then \(1_E \in L^1\) and we will prove that \(1_E \in A_2(R)\), i.e. there is an \(f \in A_2(R)\) such that for all \(g \in S_1\),
\[
<f,g> = \iint 1_E(t)g(s)d^2R(t,s).
\]
Let \(I\) be a finite interval containing \(E\) (so that \(|R|(I \times I) < \infty\)). We can always find \(I_n \subseteq I\), \(n = 1,2,\ldots\), with each \(I_n\) a finite union of half open intervals such that
\[
|R|((I_n \Delta E) \times I) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\]
Since
\[ |R(I_n \times I_n) - R(E \times E)| \leq |R|((I_n \Delta E) \times E) + |R|((I_n \Delta E) \times E) + 0, \]
it follows that \( \langle l_{i_1, l_{i_2}} > \rightarrow R(E \times E) \) and thus \( \{ l_{i_1, l_{i_2}} \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( A_2(R) \). Define \( f \in A_2(R) \) by \( f = \lim l_{i_1, l_{i_2}} \).

We first show that \( f \) does not depend on the approximating sequence. Let \( I_{i_1} = I_n \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) be another such approximating sequence and \( f' = \lim l_{i_1, l_{i_2}} \). Then for each interval \( J \subset I \) we have

\[
|\langle f - f', l_{i_1, l_{i_2}} >| = \lim \left| \langle l_{i_1, l_{i_2}} - l_{i_1, l_{i_2}} , l_{i_1, l_{i_2}} > \right|
\]

\[
= \lim |R(I_n \times J) - R(I_n \times J)|
\]

\[
\leq \lim \{ |R(R((I_n \Delta E) \times J)) + |R((I_n \Delta E) \times J)) \}
\]

\[
\leq \lim \{ |R(R((I_n \Delta E) \times I) + |R(R((I_n \Delta E) \times I)) = 0. \}
\]

If \( M_1 \) is the closed subspace of \( A_2(R) \) generated by all \( 1_{[a,b]} \), \( (a,b) \in I \), then it is clear from the above that \( f, f' \in M_1 \) and \( f - f' \perp M_1 \). It follows that \( f = f' \).

We now show that \( f \) does not depend on the finite interval \( I \) containing \( E \). Let \( J \) and \( J_{i_1} = J_n \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) have the same properties as \( I \) and \( I_{i_1} \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \). Define \( I_{i_1} = I_n \cap J \) and \( J_{i_1} = J_n \cap I \), then clearly

\( I_{i_1} \), \( J_{i_1} \) \( \subset I_n \) and \( |R((I_{i_1} \Delta E) \times (I_n J)) + 0, \ |R((J_{i_1} \Delta E) \times (I_n J)) + 0 since\)

\( (I_{i_1} \Delta E) \times (I_n J) \subset (I_n \Delta E) \times I \), \( (J_{i_1} \Delta E) \times (I_n J) \subset (J_n \Delta E) \times J \) and \( |R| \) is a measure. From the result of the previous paragraph applied to \( I, J \) and \( I_n J \) we have

\[ \lim l_{i_1, l_{i_2}} = \lim l_{i_1, l_{i_2}} = \lim l_{j_1, l_{j_2}} = \lim l_{j_1, l_{j_2}} \]
and thus \( f \) does not depend on \( I \).

Hence \( f \) is well-defined. Now fix \( J = (a,b) \subset T \) and let \( I \) be a finite interval containing \( E \cup J \) and \( I_n = I^1_n + f \). Then

\[
|\langle f, l_j \rangle - R(E \times J) - R(E \times J_n)| = \lim |R(I_n \times J) - R(E \times J_n)| \\
\leq \lim |R(I_n \times J_n)| = 0.
\]

Hence \( \langle f, l_j \rangle = R(E \times J) \) and since \( J \) is arbitrary it follows that for all \( g \in S_{I_j} \),

\[ \langle f, g \rangle = \int \int \mathbb{1}_E(t)g(s)d^2R(t,s) \].

Thus we have shown that \( \mathbb{1}_E \in \Lambda_2(R) \).

Now if \( E \) and \( F \) are bounded Borel subsets of \( T \), denoting by \( \mathbb{1}_E \) and \( \mathbb{1}_F \) also the corresponding elements in \( \Lambda_2(R) \) we have \( \langle \mathbb{1}_E, \mathbb{1}_F \rangle = R(E \times F) \). Indeed if \( I \) is a finite interval containing \( E \cup F \) and as before \( I_n + \mathbb{1}_E \) and \( I_n + \mathbb{1}_F \) we have (since \( R \) is symmetric)

\[
|R(I_n \times J_n) - R(E \times F)| \leq |R((I_n \times (J_n \Delta F)) + |R((I_n \Delta E) \times F)) |
\]

and thus \( \langle \mathbb{1}_E, \mathbb{1}_F \rangle = \lim R(I_n \times J_n) = R(E \times F). \)

It then follows that if \( \phi \) is a simple function in \( L_1 \) with bounded support

then \( \phi \in \Lambda_2(R) \), and if \( \phi_1, \phi_2 \) are two such functions then

\[
\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle = \int \int \phi_1(t)\phi_2(s)d^2R(t,s).
\]

Now let \( f \in L_1 \). Then there exist simple functions \( \phi_n, n = 1,2, \ldots \), with bounded support such that \( |\phi_n| + |f| \) on \( T \). It follows from the Bounded Convergence Theorem that

\[
\langle \phi_n, \phi_m \rangle = \int \int \phi_n(t)\phi_m(s)d^2R(t,s) \rightarrow \int \int f(t)f(s)d^2R(t,s).
\]
Hence $\phi_n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, is a Cauchy sequence in $\Lambda_2(R)$ and we denote its limit by $f'$. Then for all $g \in S_1$

$$<f', g> = \lim <\phi_n, g> = \lim \iint \phi_n(t)g(s)d^2R(t, s)$$

$$= \iint f(t)g(s)d^2R(t, s)$$

again by the Bounded Convergence Theorem since $f \in L_1$ and $g \in S_1$ imply

$$\int |f(t)g(s)||d^2R(t, s)| < \infty.$$ Since the values of $<f', g>$ for $g \in S_1$ determine $f'$ uniquely, the last equality implies that $f'$ is uniquely determined by $f$, independently of the approximating sequence $\phi_n$. It follows that $f \in \Lambda_2(R)$ and thus $L_1 \subset \Lambda_2(R)$. Since $L_1$ contains $S_1$ it is dense in $\Lambda_2(R)$.

For the last statement of the theorem, with the obvious notation, we have

$$<f_1, f_2> = \lim <\phi_{1, n}, \phi_{2, n}> = \lim \iint \phi_{1, n}(t)\phi_{2, n}(s)d^2R(t, s)$$

$$= \iint f_1(t)f_2(s)d^2R(t, s)$$

where the additional assumption on $f_1, f_2$ makes the Bounded Convergence Theorem applicable.

Consider now the set $S_J$ of all functions $f$ on $T$ such that the Riemann integral $\int f(t)f(s)R(t, s)dtds$ exists and is finite. $S_J$ is a linear space. Two functions $f$ and $g$ in $S_J$ will be considered identical if

$$\int (f(t)-g(t))(f(s)-g(s))R(t, s)dtds = 0.$$ For $f, g \in S_J$ we define $\int f(t)X_t dt = \int f(t)X_t dt$ and then we have

$$E[\int f(t)X_t dt \cdot \int g(t)X_t dt] = \int \int f(t)g(s)R(t, s)dtds.$$
Define for $f,g \in S_j$,
\[ \langle f, g \rangle = R \int \int f(t)g(s)R(t,s)dt\,ds. \]

Then $(S_j, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ becomes an inner product space. $\lambda_2^2(R)$ is defined to be the completion of the inner product space $S_j$ and so it is a Hilbert space. Again a typical element in $\lambda_2^2(R)$ is a sequence of functions convergent in norm. However formally we shall treat elements in $\lambda_2^2(R)$ as functions and write \[ \int f(t)g(s)R(t,s)dt\,ds \] as the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle$.

In order to establish an isomorphism between $H(X)$ and $\lambda_2^2(R)$ we shall assume that $X$ is mean square continuous which is equivalent to the continuity of the covariance function $R(t,s)$. Consider the sequence of functions
\[ n \cdot 1 \left( \frac{\tau - 1}{n}, \tau \right) \] where $\tau$ is an interior point of $T$. It is easy to show that this sequence is a Cauchy sequence in $\lambda_2^2(R)$, whose limit is denoted by $\delta_\tau$, and that
\[ X_\tau = 1.\,i.m. \int n \cdot 1 \left( \frac{\tau - 1}{n}, \tau \right) f(t)X_t\,dt. \]

Then, the map
\[ S_j \to H(X) : f \mapsto \int f(t)X_t\,dt \]
preserves inner products and its range includes $X_\tau$ for all interior $\tau$ of $T$ (which is linearly dense in $H(X)$ by mean square continuity). Hence it can be extended to an isomorphism on $\lambda_2^2(R)$ onto $H(X)$. Thus $\lambda_2^2(R) \simeq H(X)$, the isomorphism is denoted by $J$ and for $f \in \lambda_2^2(R)$ we define
\[ \int f(t)X_t\,dt = J(f). \]
A useful connection between the integrals $I$ and $J$ and the spaces $\lambda_2$ and $\lambda_2$ can be established as follows. Let $Z_t = \int_{t_0}^{t} X_u du = J[1(t_0, t)]$ where $t_0$ is an arbitrary but fixed point in $T$. ($1(t_0, t) \in \lambda_2/(R)$ since $R$ is continuous.) Then

$$\Gamma(t, s) = E Z_t Z_s = \int_{t_0}^{t} \int_{t_0}^{s} R(u, v) du dv.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** If $X$ is mean square continuous then $\lambda_2/(R) = \lambda_2/(T)$ and for all $f \in \lambda_2/(R) = \lambda_2/(T)$,

$$\int f(t) X_t dt = \int f(t) dZ_t.$$

Hence $H(X) = H(Z)$.

**Proof.** We first prove that the existence of $R \int_T \int_T f(t) f(s) R(t, s) dt ds$ is equivalent to that of $R \int_T \int_T f(t) f(s) d^2 \Gamma(t, s)$. We may assume that $T$ is a closed interval by the very definition of a Riemann integral. We also assume that $|f(t)| < M$ for all $t \in T$; otherwise neither Riemann integral will exist. Consider the typical Riemann sums

$$R_J = \sum \sum f(s_j) R(t_i, s_j) |A_i| |B_j|$$

$$R_I = \sum \sum f(s_j) \Gamma(A_i \times B_j)$$

where $\{A_i\}, \{B_j\}$ are interval partitions of $T$, $t_i \in A_i$, $s_j \in B_j$, and $|A_i|, |B_j|$ denote the lengths of these intervals. By the uniform continuity of $R(t, s)$ we have that for every $\epsilon > 0$, $|R_I - R_J| \leq \|T\|^2 \epsilon$ as $\max(|A_i|, |B_j|) \rightarrow 0$. We thus conclude that
\[
\mathcal{R} \int \int f(t)f(s)R(t,s)\,dt\,ds = \mathcal{R} \int \int f(t)f(s)d^2T(t,s)
\]

and the existence of one integral implies that of the other. In short,

\[(S_I^f, <\cdot, \cdot>_A^2(\mathcal{R})) = (S_J, <\cdot, \cdot>_A^2(\mathcal{R})).\]

Note that \(S_I^f\) is dense in \(A_2(I)\) (since \(I\) is continuous) and \(S_J\) is dense in \(A_2(\mathcal{R})\). Thus \(A_2(\mathcal{R}) = A_2(I)\).

For a step function \(f\) we clearly have \(\int f(t)X_t\,dt = \int f(t)Z_t\); hence this is true for all \(f \in A_2(I)\) by the continuity of \(I\) and \(J\).

\(A_2(R)\) may contain interesting classes of functions larger than \(S_J\). Let \(L_J\) be the set of all measurable functions \(f\) on \(T\) such that the following Lebesgue integrals are finite

\[
\mathcal{R} \int \int |f(t)f(s)R(t,s)|\,dt\,ds < \infty
\]

\[
\mathcal{R} \int \int |f(t)| \cdot 1_{(a,b]}(s) |R(t,s)|\,dt\,ds < \infty
\]

for all \((a,b) \in T\). We will follow the same convention (as for \(A_2\)) in treating functions \(f\) in \(L_J\) as elements of \(A_2(\mathcal{R})\) if there is a \(f' \in A_2(\mathcal{R})\) such that for all \(g\) in a dense subset of \(A_2(\mathcal{R})\),

\[
<f', g> = \mathcal{R} \int \int f(t)g(s)R(t,s)\,dt\,ds.
\]

With this convention the following is a corollary of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let \(R(t,s)\) be continuous on \(T \times T\). Then \(L_J\) is a dense subset of \(A_2(\mathcal{R})\). Also if \(f_1, f_2 \in L_J\) and \(\mathcal{R} \int f_1(t)f_2(s)R(t,s)\,dt\,ds < \infty\), then
\[ \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int \int f_1(t)f_2(s)R(t,s)\,dt\,ds. \]

We now remark on the relation between \( \lambda_2, \lambda_2 \) spaces and \( L_2 \) spaces. The spaces \( \lambda_2(R) \) and \( \lambda_2(R) \) are generalizations of \( L_2 \) spaces. In general they are larger than \( L_2 \) spaces. As an example, consider \( R(t,s) \) a continuous covariance function on \([a,b] \times [a,b]\) and let \( \Gamma(t,s) \) be defined as before. Then \( \lambda_2(R) = \lambda_2(\Gamma) \). Every function \( f \) in \( L_2([a,b], dt) \) belongs to \( \lambda_2(R) = \lambda_2(\Gamma) \) by Corollary 3 since

\[
\int \int |f(t)f(s)R(t,s)|\,dt\,ds \leq \max |R(t,s)| \cdot \left( \int |f(t)|^2 \,dt \right)
\]

\[
\leq \max |R(t,s)| \cdot |b-a| \int f^2(t)\,dt < \infty
\]

and similarly \( \int \int |f(t)|1_{[a,b]}(s)|R(t,s)|\,dt\,ds < \infty \). However, \( \delta_t \in \lambda_2(R) = \lambda_2(\Gamma) \) is not in \( L_2([a,b], dt) \) since \( X_t = J(\delta_t) \) for all interior points \( t \) of \( T \) implies \( R(t,s) = \int \int \delta_t(u)\delta_s(v)R(u,v)\,dudv \).

Nevertheless, there is a special case where \( \lambda_2(R) \) reduces to an \( L_2 \) space. Let \( X \) be a zero mean process with orthogonal increments. Assume \( X_{t_0} = 0 \) a.e. for some fixed \( t_0 \in T \). Then, \( R(t,s) = F(t_0v(t\wedge s)) \) where \( F(t) = \begin{cases} E_{1t} & \text{if } t > t_0 \\ -E_{1t} & \text{if } t < t_0 \end{cases} \) \( F \) is nondecreasing and thus \( R(t,s) \) is of bounded variation on every finite domain of \( T \times T \), and the associated measure concentrates on the diagonal \( t = s \) of \( T \times T \). In this case \( \lambda_2(R) = L_2(T, dt) \).

In particular, if \( X \) is the Wiener process \( \lambda_2(R) = L_2(T, dt) \). A slightly weaker result is easily seen to be valid when \( R(t,s) = \int_0^t \int_0^s k(u,v)\,dudv + t\wedge s \), with \( k \in L_2(T\times T) \); in this case the two sets (rather than spaces) are equal, \( \lambda_2(R) = L_2(T, dt) \), and their norms are equivalent. In fact we have the following more general result.
Theorem 1.4. If \( R \) and \( S \) are two equivalent covariance functions (i.e. the associated zero mean Gaussian measures are equivalent) then the following sets are equal, \( \Lambda_2(R) = \Lambda_2(S) \) and \( \lambda_2(R) = \lambda_2(S) \), and their norms are equivalent.

Proof. Denote by \( \mu_R \) and \( \mu_S \) the zero mean Gaussian measures with covariances \( R \) and \( S \) on \( \mathcal{B}(R^T) \), and by \( X = \{ X_t, t \in T \} \) the coordinate process on \( R^T \). An element in \( \Lambda_2(R) \) is really an equivalence class of sequences \( \phi_n \in S_I \) such that \( \int \phi_n dX \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( L_2(\mu_R) \) with a common limit, and such an element is denoted by \( \langle \phi_n \rangle \).

Suppose that \( \langle \phi_n \rangle \in \Lambda_2(R) \) and that \( \mu_R \equiv \mu_S \), i.e., \( \mu_R \) and \( \mu_S \) are equivalent. We show \( \langle \phi_n \rangle \in \Lambda_2(S) \). First observe that \( \langle \phi_n \rangle = \langle \phi_n \rangle \) for all subsequences \( \{ n' \} \) of \( \{ n \} \). Thus we may assume that \( \int \phi_n dX \) is Cauchy both in \( L_2(\mu_R) \) and a.e. \([\mu_R]\). It follows from \( \mu_R \equiv \mu_S \) that \( \int \phi_n dX \) is also Cauchy a.e. \([\mu_S]\) and hence it is Cauchy in \( L_2(\mu_S) \), since each \( \int \phi_n dX \) is a Gaussian r.v. This implies that \( \langle \phi_n \rangle \in \Lambda_2(S) \). So \( \Lambda_2(R) \subseteq \Lambda_2(S) \), and the assertion now follows from symmetry. The case of \( \lambda_2 \) spaces is shown similarly.

It should be remarked that the converse of Theorem 1.4 does not hold. For example, \( \Lambda_2(R) = \Lambda_2(\alpha R) \) as sets and their norms are equivalent but \( R \) and \( \alpha R \) are not equivalent (\( \alpha \neq 1 \)).

1.2. Tensor Products of \( \Lambda_2(R) \) and \( \lambda_2(R) \)

We now study the tensor product spaces \( \mathcal{E}^p \Lambda_2(R) \) and \( \mathcal{E}^p \lambda_2(R) \). Consider the set \( S_1^{(p)} \) of all step functions \( f(t_1, \ldots, t_p) \) on \( T^p \). Define the following function on \( S_1^{(p)} \times S_1^{(p)} \),
\[ \langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \int \ldots \int f(t_1, \ldots, t_p)g(s_1, \ldots, s_p)dt_1^2R(t_1, s_1) \ldots dt_p^2R(t_p, s_p), \]

and identify \( f \) with \( g \) if \( \langle f - g, f - g \rangle = 0 \). Let \( I_1^{11} \times \ldots \times I_{p1}^{11} \) be \( S_1^{(p)} \) (i.e., \( I_1, J_1 \) are bounded half open intervals in \( T \)). Then

\[ \langle I_1^{11} \times \ldots \times I_{p1}^{11}, J_1^{11} \times \ldots \times J_{p1}^{11} \rangle = \int I_1^{11}(t)J_1^{11}(s)d^2R(t, s) \ldots \int I_{p1}^{11}(t)J_{p1}^{11}(s)d^2R(t, s) \]

\[ = \langle I_1^{11}, J_1^{11} \rangle \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}) \ldots \langle I_{p1}^{11}, J_{p1}^{11} \rangle \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}) \]

\[ = \langle I_1^{11} \odot \ldots \odot I_{p1}^{11}, J_1^{11} \odot \ldots \odot J_{p1}^{11} \rangle \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}). \]

This implies that \((S_1^{(p)}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)\) is an inner product space and we shall denote by \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^{p}) \) the completion of \( S_1^{(p)} \). Since \( \{I_1^{11} \odot \ldots \odot I_{p1}^{11}\} \) is a complete set in \( \mathbb{R}^{p} \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}) \), we have

\[ \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^{p}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{p} \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}). \]

\( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^{p}) \) can be defined in a similar manner. Let \( S_j^{(p)} \) be the set of functions of the form

\[ f(t_1, \ldots, t_p) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \phi_1^{(k)}(t_1) \ldots \phi_p^{(k)}(t_p), \]

where the \( \phi \)'s belong to \( S_j \). \( S_j^{(p)} \) is a linear space. Define on \( S_j^{(p)} \times S_j^{(p)} \) the function

\[ \langle f, g \rangle = \mathbb{R} \int \ldots \int f(t_1, \ldots, t_p)g(s_1, \ldots, s_p)R(t_1, s_1) \ldots R(t_p, s_p)dt_1ds_1 \ldots dt_pds_p \]

and identify \( f \) with \( g \) if \( \langle f - g, f - g \rangle = 0 \). With the observation that for \( \phi_i, \psi_j \in S_j \),
\[ \langle \phi_1(t_1) \ldots \phi_p(t_p), \psi_1(t_1) \ldots \psi_p(t_p) \rangle \]
\[ = R \int \phi_1(t) \psi_1(s) R(t,s) dt \, ds \ldots R \int \phi_p(t) \psi_p(s) R(t,s) dt \, ds \]
\[ = \langle \phi_1, \psi_1 \rangle_{P_2(R)} \ldots \langle \phi_p, \psi_p \rangle_{P_2(R)} \]
\[ = \langle \phi_1 \ldots \phi_p, \psi_1 \ldots \psi_p \rangle_{P_2(n_2(R))} \]

and with the fact that \( \{ \phi_1 \ldots \phi_p \} \) is a complete set in \( P_2 \lambda_2(R) \), we conclude that \( (S_f^{(n)}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \) is an inner product space and that its completion, which is denoted by \( \lambda_2(P^2(R)) \), is isomorphic to \( P_2 \lambda_2(R) \).

As in the case of the spaces \( \Lambda_2(R) \) and \( \lambda_2(R) \) we will treat elements of \( \Lambda_2(P^2(R)) \) and \( \lambda_2(P^2(R)) \) as "formal" functions and we will write the inner products in a formal integral form. As before, under some conditions, elements of \( \Lambda_2(P^2(R)) \) and \( \lambda_2(P^2(R)) \) will be representable by functions on \( T^n \) in the corresponding sense and in this case we will identify the elements of \( \Lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) with the functions (see Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.7). The important point here is that we have identified the abstract tensor product spaces \( P_2 \Lambda_2(R) \) and \( P_2 \lambda_2(R) \) with the (nearly) function spaces \( \Lambda_2(P^2(R)) \) and \( \lambda_2(P^2(R)) \). From now on we will make no distinction between \( P_2 \Lambda_2(R) \) and \( \Lambda_2(P^2(R)) \), and between \( P_2 \lambda_2(R) \) and \( \lambda_2(P^2(R)) \).

Let \( R \) be of bounded variation on every finite domain of \( T \times T \) and let \( L_f^{(p)} \) be the set of all measurable functions \( f \) on \( T^p \) such that the following Lebesgue integrals are finite

\[ \int \ldots \int |f(t_1, \ldots, t_p) f(s_1, \ldots, s_p)| d^2|R| (t_1, s_1) \ldots d^2|R| (t_p, s_p) < \infty \]
\[ \int \ldots \int |f(t_1, \ldots, t_p)| I_1 \times \ldots \times I_p (s_1, \ldots, s_p) d^2|R| (t_1, s_1) \ldots d^2|R| (t_p, s_p) < \infty \]

for all bounded half open intervals \( I_1, \ldots, I_p \subseteq T \). The following theorem can be
proven like Theorem 1.1 and thus its proof is omitted.

**THEOREM 1.5.** Let \( R(t,s) \) be of bounded variation on every finite domain of \( T \times T \). Then \( L_1^{(p)} \) is a dense subset of \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^P) \). Also if \( f_1, f_2 \in L_1^{(p)} \) and

\[
\int f_1(t_1, \ldots, t_p)f_2(t_1, \ldots, t_p)d^2|R|(t_1, s_1)\ldots d^2|R|(t_p, s_p) < \infty,
\]

then

\[
<f_1, f_2> = \int \int f_1(t_1, \ldots, t_p)f_2(s_1, \ldots, s_p)d^2R(t_1, s_1)\ldots d^2R(t_p, s_p).
\]

Theorem 1.5 may be used to derive the well known fact that

\[
L_2(T^p, dt^p) = \ast P L_2(T, dt).
\]

**THEOREM 1.6.** If \( R(t,s) \) is continuous on \( T \times T \), then \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^P) = \Lambda_2(\mathbb{P}^P) \).

**PROOF.** This follows immediately from the facts that the set

\[ \{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_p, \phi_J \in \mathbb{R}\} \]

is complete in both \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^P) \) and \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{P}^P) \), and that the two inner products are identical on this set.

Let \( L_1^{(p)} \) be the set of all measurable functions \( f \) on \( T^p \) such that the following Lebesgue integrals are finite

\[
\int \int \int |f(t_1, \ldots, t_p)f(s_1, \ldots, s_p)| R(t_1, s_1) \ldots R(t_p, s_p) |dt_1ds_1| \ldots |dt_pds_p < \infty
\]

\[
\int \int \int |f(t_1, \ldots, t_p)| I_{1 \times \ldots \times P}(s_1, \ldots, s_p) |R(t_1, s_1) \ldots R(t_p, s_p) |dt_1ds_1| \ldots |dt_pds_p < \infty
\]

for all bounded half open intervals \( I_1, \ldots, I_p \subset T \). With the usual corresponding convention the following is a corollary of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6.

**COROLLARY 1.7.** Let \( R(t,s) \) be continuous on \( T \times T \). Then \( L_1^{(p)} \) is a dense subset of \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^P) \). Also if \( f_1, f_2 \in L_1^{(p)} \) and

\[
\int \int |f_1(t_1, \ldots, t_p)f_2(s_1, \ldots, s_p)| R(t_1, s_1) \ldots R(t_p, s_p) |dt_1ds_1| \ldots |dt_pds_p < \infty,
\]

then
\[ \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int \cdots \int f_1(t_1, \ldots, t_p) f_2(s_1, \ldots, s_p) R(t_1, s_1) \cdots R(t_p, s_p) \, dt_1 ds_1 \cdots dt_p ds_p. \]

Finally let us consider the symmetric tensor products \( \mathcal{D} \Lambda_2(R) \) and \( \mathcal{D} \lambda_2(R) \). For \( f \in \Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R) \) define \( \tilde{f}(t_1, \ldots, t_p) = (p!)^{-1} \sum_{\pi} f(t_{\pi_1}, \ldots, t_{\pi_p}) \) where the sum is over all permutations \( \pi = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_p) \) of \( (1, \ldots, p) \), and \( \tilde{f} \) is called the symmetric version of \( f \). \( \tilde{f} \) is well-defined since \( f \) is a "function". Indeed, \( \tilde{f} \) is first defined for \( f \in S_1^{(p)} \), and then, using the easily verified fact that 
\[ \| \tilde{f} \|^2_{\Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R)} \leq p! \| f \|^2_{\Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R)}, \]
the definition is extended by continuity to \( \mathcal{D} \Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R) \). If \( f = \tilde{f} \) then \( f \) is said to be a symmetric "function". Let \( \Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R) \) be the subspace of all symmetric "functions" in \( \Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R) \). Then it is easy to show that \( \Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R) \) is a Hilbert space and \( \mathcal{D} \Lambda_2(R) \cong \Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R) \) under the correspondence \( f_1 \cdots f_p \leftrightarrow (f_1(t_1) \cdots f_p(t_p))^{\sim} \). Similarly, let \( \lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R) \) be the subspace of all symmetric "functions" in \( \lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R) \). Then we can show that \( \mathcal{D} \lambda_2(R) \cong \lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R) \) (under the natural correspondence). As before, we shall hereon identify \( \mathcal{D} \Lambda_2(R) \) with \( \Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R) \), and \( \mathcal{D} \lambda_2(R) \) with \( \lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R) \).

1.3. Fourier Transform on \( \Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R) \) and \( \lambda_2(\mathcal{D}R) \)

Consider the covariance function \( R(t, s) \) of a zero mean, mean square continuous process \( X = \{X_t, -\infty < t < \infty \} \) with (wide sense) stationary increments. For convenience such \( R \) is said to have stationary increments. Let

\[ R(t_1, s_1; t_2, s_2) = E(X_{t_1} - X_{s_1})(X_{t_2} - X_{s_2}). \]

Then it is well known (Doob (1953), p. 552) that \( R(t_1, s_1; t_2, s_2) \) and \( X_t - X_s \) have the following spectral representation.
\[ (1.2) \quad R(t_1,t_2,s_1,s_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( e^{it_1\lambda} - e^{is_1\lambda}\right) \left( e^{-it_2\lambda} - e^{-is_2\lambda}\right) \frac{1 + \lambda^2}{\lambda^2} \, dF(\lambda) \]

\[ (1.3) \quad X_t - X_s = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{it\lambda} - e^{is\lambda}) \left( \frac{1+\lambda^2}{i\lambda}\right) \, dV_{\lambda} \]

where \( dF(\lambda) \) is a finite measure on \( \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( V = \{ V_{\lambda}, -\infty < \lambda < \infty \} \) is a process with orthogonal increments and \( E|dV_{\lambda}|^2 = dF(\lambda) \). We remark that

\[ (1.4) \quad H(\Delta X) = H(\Delta V) \]

where \( \Delta X \) denotes the set of increments of the process \( X \).

Define the Fourier transform of \( f \in S_{I}^{(p)} \) by

\[ \hat{f}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(t_1\lambda_1 + \ldots + t_p\lambda_p)} f(t_1, \ldots, t_p) dt_1 \ldots dt_p. \]

The program is to define the Fourier transform \( \hat{f} \) of every \( f \) in \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^p) \).

(For this reason, it is convenient to extend \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^p) \) from a real Hilbert space to a complex Hilbert space.) From (1.2) it follows easily that \( \hat{f} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^p, \mu^p) \), for \( f \in S_{I}^{(p)} \), where the measure \( \mu \) is defined by \( d\mu(\lambda) = (1+\lambda^2) \, dF(\lambda) \), and

\[ \langle f, g \rangle_{\Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^p)} = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^p, \mu^p)}. \]

Since the map \( F : S_{I}^{(p)} \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^p, \mu^p) : f \mapsto \hat{f} \) is linear and preserves inner products, it can be extended to an isomorphism on \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^p) \). We now show that \( F \) is onto \( L_2(\mathbb{R}^p, \mu^p) \). It is sufficient to show that

\[ \hat{1}_{(a_1,b_1) \times \ldots \times (a_p,b_p)}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p) = \hat{1}_{(a_1,b_1)}(\lambda_1) \cdots \hat{1}_{(a_p,b_p)}(\lambda_p) \]

form a complete set in \( L_2(\mathbb{R}^p, \mu^p) \); or equivalently that

\[ \hat{1}_{(a,b)}(\lambda) = \frac{e^{i\lambda a} - e^{-ia\lambda}}{i\lambda} \]

form a complete set in \( L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mu) \). Since \( L_2(\mathbb{R}, dF) \preceq H(\Delta V) \) under the correspondence
it follows from (1.3) and (1.4) that 
\( \{ (e^{it\lambda} - e^{is\lambda}) \frac{(1+i\lambda)^{1/2}}{1\lambda}, s < t \} \)
is complete in \( L_2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu) \), and hence \( \mathcal{T}(a, b]: a < b \} \) is complete in \( L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mu) \). We
thus have the following

**Theorem 1.8.** The map \( F: \mathcal{S}^{(R)} \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^P, \mu^P): f \mapsto \hat{f} \) has a unique extension
to an isomorphism from \( \mathcal{A}_2( \mathcal{E}^P \mathcal{R}) \) onto \( L_2(\mathbb{R}^P, \mu^P) \).

The extended map is again denoted by \( F \) and is called the Fourier transform
on \( \mathcal{A}_2( \mathcal{E}^P \mathcal{R}) \). We also write \( \hat{f} \) for \( F(f) \).

If we let \( X \) be the Wiener process, i.e., \( R(t, s) = t - s \), then \( \mathcal{A}_2( \mathcal{E}^P \mathcal{R}) = L_2(\mathbb{R}^P, d\mu^P) \), \( d\mu(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} d\lambda \). Therefore \( F \) reduces to the ordinary Fourier transform
on \( L_2(\mathbb{R}^P, d\mu^P) \).

Suppose now that \( R(t, s) \) is stationary (which implies that \( R \) has stationary
increments). Then by Bochner's theorem we have

\[
R(t, s) = \int e^{i(x-s)\lambda} d\nu(\lambda)
\]
with \( \nu \) a finite measure on \( \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \). It is plain to deduce from (1.2) and (1.5)
that

\[
d\nu(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2} d\mu(\lambda).
\]

Thus \( \mathcal{A}_2( \mathcal{E}^P \mathcal{R}) \bigg\| \mathcal{L}_2 \left( \mathbb{R}^P, d\nu(\lambda^2) \right) \bigg\| \). Now we define the Fourier transform on
\( \mathcal{A}_2( \mathcal{E}^P \mathcal{R}) \). Let \( \Gamma(t, s) = \int_0^t \int_0^s R(u, v) dudv \). Then the covariance \( \Gamma \) has stationary
increments and \( \mathcal{A}_2( \mathcal{E}^P \mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{A}_2( \mathcal{E}^P \mathcal{R}) \). The Fourier transform on \( \mathcal{A}_2( \mathcal{E}^P \mathcal{R}) \) is defined
to be the Fourier transform \( F \) on \( \mathcal{A}_2( \mathcal{E}^P \mathcal{R}) \). It is a simple matter to verify that
the spectral measure of \( \Gamma \) is \( (1+\lambda^2)^{-1} d\nu(\lambda) \) and thus \( \mathcal{A}_2( \mathcal{E}^P \mathcal{R}) \bigg\| \mathcal{L}_2 \left( \mathbb{R}^P, d\nu^P \right) \bigg\| \).
THEOREM 1.9. If \( R(t,s) \) is a continuous stationary covariance function, then \( L_1(\mathbb{R}^p) \) is a dense subspace of \( \lambda_2(\omega^p \mathbb{R}) \), and \( f \) restricted to \( L_1(\mathbb{R}^p) \) is the ordinary Fourier transform.

PROOF. Let \( f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^p) \). Then

\[
\int \ldots \int |f(t_1, \ldots, t_p)| R(t_1, s_1) \ldots R(t_p, s_p) \, dt_1 \, ds_1 \ldots dt_p \, ds_p
\]

\[
\leq \nu(n)^p \|f\|_2^2 \quad \text{in } L_1(\mathbb{R}^p)
\]

since \( |R(t,s)|^2 \leq R(t,t)R(z,s) = \nu(0)^2 \). Similarly, the second condition in the definition of \( L_j^p \) is verified. Thus \( f \in \lambda_2(\omega^p \mathbb{R}) \) by Corollary 1.7. Since \( S_1^p \subset L_1(\mathbb{R}^p) \) is dense in \( \lambda_2(\omega^p \mathbb{R}) = \lambda_2(\omega^p \mathbb{R}) \), it follows that \( L_1(\mathbb{R}^p) \) is dense in \( \lambda_2(\omega^p \mathbb{R}) \).

To prove the second assertion it is sufficient to prove that for \( f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^p) \) the ordinary Fourier transform \( \hat{f} \) belongs to \( L_2(\mathbb{R}^p, \nu^p) \) and \( \|f\|_2^2 = \|\hat{f}\|_2^2 \quad \text{implies } \hat{f} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^p, \nu^p) \). We have from Corollary 1.7 that

\[
\|f\|_2^2 = \int f(t_1, \ldots, t_p) R(t_1, s_1) \ldots R(t_p, s_p) \, dt_1 \, ds_1 \ldots dt_p \, ds_p.
\]

Substituting \( R \) and interchanging the order of integration by Fubini's theorem, we obtain \( \|f\|_2^2 = \|\hat{f}\|_2^2 \). The proof is now complete.

Let \( R \) be again a covariance function having stationary increments and let \( f \in \lambda_2(\omega^p \mathbb{R}) \). We define the translation \( f_\tau \) of \( f \) by \( \tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_p) \) as follows. Pick a sequence of step functions \( \phi_n \) such that \( \lim \phi_n = f \) in \( \lambda_2(\omega^p \mathbb{R}) \). The translation of each \( \phi_n \) is defined by \( \phi_{\tau,n}(t_1, \ldots, t_p) = \phi_n(t_1+\tau_1, \ldots, t_p+\tau_p) \). Clearly \( \|\phi_{\tau,n}\|_2^2 = \|\phi_n\|_2^2 \). This implies...
that \( \{\phi_{t,n}\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \Lambda_2(\mathfrak{g}^R) \), and \( f_T \) is defined to be 
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_{t,n} \]. A simple argument shows that the definition of \( f_T \) does not depend on the choice of the approximating sequence \( \{\phi_n\} \) and \( f \) becomes the usual translation if \( f \) is indeed a function.

When \( R \) is stationary, the translation \( f_T \) of \( f \in \Lambda_2(\mathfrak{g}^R) \) can be defined similarly (or via the identity \( \Lambda_2(\mathfrak{g}^R) = \Lambda_2(\mathfrak{g}^P) \)).

**Theorem 1.10.** If \( R \) is a continuous covariance with stationary increments, then \( \Lambda_2(\mathfrak{g}^R) \) is invariant under translations, and for \( f, g \in \Lambda_2(\mathfrak{g}^R) \) we have

\[
\langle f_T, g \rangle_{\Lambda_2(\mathfrak{g}^R)} = \langle f, g_T \rangle_{\Lambda_2(\mathfrak{g}^R)}
\]

and

\[
\hat{f_T}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p) = e^{-i(\lambda_1 t_1 + \ldots + \lambda_p t_p)} \hat{f}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p).
\]

**Proof.** Since \( R \) has stationary increments, all these assertions hold for \( f \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \). Hence they hold for all \( f \in \Lambda_2(\mathfrak{g}^R) \) by continuity (cf. Theorem 1.8).

The corresponding theorem for translations on \( \Lambda_2(\mathfrak{g}^P) \) also holds.
2. MULTIPLE WIENER INTEGRALS

Suppose \( X = (X_t, t \in T) \), \( T \) an interval, is a zero mean Gaussian process with covariance function \( R(t,s) \). We shall define the multiple Wiener integrals (MWI's) of the following two types:

\[
I_p(f) = \int \cdots \int f(t_1, \ldots, t_p) dX_{t_1} \cdots dX_{t_p}
\]

\[
J_p(f) = \int \cdots \int f(t_1, \ldots, t_p) X_{t_1} \cdots X_{t_p} dt_1 \cdots dt_p
\]

where \( p = 1, 2, \ldots \). We will assume

(I): \( X_{t_0} = 0 \) a.s. for some \( t_0 \in T \),

while dealing with integrals \( I_p \); and while dealing with integrals \( J_p \),

(J): \( X \) is mean square continuous.

The MWI \( I_p \) has been defined for \( X \) a Wiener process in Itô (1951) and Wiener (1953); in this case \( f \) is taken to be a function in \( L^2(T^p, dt^p) \) and \( (p!)^{-1}I_p \) is an isomorphism on \( L^2(T^p, dt^p) \) (the Hilbert space of all symmetric functions in \( L^2(T^p, dt^p) \) ) into \( L^2(X) \). The major step in generalizing the notion of the MWI \( I_p \) to a Gaussian process other than the Wiener process is to determine a proper Hilbert space of functions on which \( I_p \) will be defined.

Clearly \( I_1 \) should be defined as the isomorphism \( I \) from \( A_2(R) \) onto \( H(X) \). Now for \( p > 1 \), in accordance with the Wiener process case, it is reasonable to expect that functions \( f(t_1, \ldots, t_p) \) of the form \( \phi_1(t_1) \cdots \phi_p(t_p) \), \( \phi_i \in A_2(R) \), are admissible integrands, and their integral \( I_p(f) \) is the iterated integral \( I(\phi_1) \cdots I(\phi_p) \) when \( \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_p \) are orthogonal. This suggests that
the Hilbert space $\Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}^p \mathbb{R})$ is the proper class of integrands for the $\mathbb{H}_7 I_p$. Similarly the Hilbert space $\Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}^p \mathbb{R})$ is the proper class of integrands for the $\mathbb{H}_7 J_p$. Once the classes of integrands are determined, $\mathbb{H}_7$'s can be defined in a straightforward manner.

We will use the following result on the structure of the nonlinear space of a Gaussian process $X$ (see Neveu (1968), Kallianpur (1970)).

Let $X$ be a zero mean Gaussian process. Then there exists a unique isomorphism $\phi$ from $\mathcal{D}^p(X)$ (where the space for $p=0$ is the set of all constant r.v.'s in $L_2(X)$) onto $L_2(X)$ such that

$$\phi(e^{\tilde{\xi}}) = e^{\xi - \frac{1}{2} \|\xi\|^2}$$

where $e^{\tilde{\xi}} = \sum_{p \geq 0} (p!)^{-1} \tilde{e}^{\xi}$, $\xi \in H(X)$. If $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in H(X)$ are orthogonal then

$$\phi(\tilde{e}^{\xi_1} \cdots \tilde{e}^{\xi_k}) = (p!)^{-k} \prod_{i=1}^k \|\xi_i\|^2 (\xi_1) \cdots \prod_{i=k}^k \|\xi_k\|^2 (\xi_k)$$

where $p = p_1 \cdots p_k$. If $\{\xi_1, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ ( $\Gamma$ linearly ordered) is a CONS in $H(X)$ then the family

$$\left(\frac{p_1}{\gamma_1}, \ldots, \frac{p_k}{\gamma_k}\right)^{k_i} \left(\tilde{e}^{p_1 \gamma_1}, \ldots, \tilde{e}^{p_k \gamma_k}\right)$$

is a CONS in $L_2(X)$. If $p \geq 1$, $p_1^\gamma \cdots p_k^\gamma = p$, $\gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_k$, is a CONS in $L_2(X)$.

We now define $I_p$, $p \geq 1$. Since $\Lambda_2(R)$ is isomorphic to $H(X)$ under the isomorphism $I: f \mapsto \int f dX$, $\Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}^p \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{D}^p \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $H^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$. Denote this isomorphism by $\mathcal{D}^p$. For $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_p$ orthogonal in $\Lambda_2(R)$ we have
\[(\phi_0^P \phi_{\infty} \ldots \phi_p) = \phi \left( \phi_1^0 \phi_{\infty} \ldots \phi_p^0 \right) \]
\[= (p!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int \phi_1^0 dx \ldots \int \phi_p^0 dx,\]

which suggests the following definition of \( I_p : \Lambda_2(\phi^P \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{X}) \) (in fact onto \( \phi \{ \tilde{\phi}^P(\mathbb{X}) \} \)),
\[I_p = (p!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_0^P \tilde{\phi}^P.\]

Furthermore we define \( I_p(f) = I_p(\tilde{f}) \) for \( f \in \Lambda_2(\phi^P \mathbb{R}) \), where \( \tilde{f} \) is the symmetric tensor of \( f \) (i.e., the projection of \( f \) onto the subspace of symmetric tensors). The following results are then immediate consequences of the fact that \( \tilde{\phi}^P \) is an isomorphism.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( X \) be a zero mean Gaussian process satisfying (I). Then the \( \tilde{\phi}^P \)'s \( I_p, \ p \geq 1 \), have the following properties \( (f, g \in \Lambda_2(\phi^P \mathbb{R})) \)
\[I_p(af + bg) = aI_p(f) + bI_p(g), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R},\]
\[I_p(f) = I_p(\tilde{f}),\]
\[\langle I_p(f), I_p(g) \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{X})} = p! \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \rangle_{\Lambda_2(\phi^P \mathbb{R})},\]
\[\langle I_p(f), I_q(g) \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{X})} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad p \neq q,\]
\[I_p(\phi_1 \phi_{\infty} \ldots \phi_k) = \frac{1}{p_1! \ldots p_k!} \int \phi_1^0 dx \ldots \int \phi_k^0 dx,\]

where \( \{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k\} \) is an orthogonal set in \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( p_1 + \ldots + p_k = p \). Also every \( L_2 \)-functional \( \theta \) of \( X, \ \theta \in L_2(\mathbb{X}) \), has an orthogonal development
\[\theta = E(\theta) + \sum_{p \geq 1} I_p(f_p), \quad f_p \in \Lambda_2(\phi^P \mathbb{R}),\]
and if \( \theta - E(\theta) = \sum_{p \geq 1} I_p(f_p) = \sum_{p \geq 1} I_p(g_p) \) then \( \tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\phi}^P, \ p \geq 1.\)
in exactly the same way we can define \( J_p(f) \) for \( f \in \lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^p) \), and \((\mu_1)_{\sim p}^{-1}\) restricted to \( \lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^p) \) is an isomorphism onto \( \phi(i\pi)\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}) \). The corresponding Theorem 2.1 also holds for the M.I.T's \( J_p, \mu_1 \).

It should be noted that the M.I.T's \( I_p(f) = f...f(t_1,...,t_p)dx_{t_1}...dx_{t_p} \) and \( J_p(f) = f...f(t_1,...,t_p)x_1...x_p dt_1...dt_p \) are defined in the mean square sense and it is thus of interest to see whether they can be evaluated from the sample paths of \( X \). This can be done as follows when \( X \) is mean square continuous. Let \( \{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) be a CONS in \( H(X) \), and write \( \xi_i = \int_0^\infty \phi_i(t)dt \) where \( \phi_i \in \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}) \). Then \( \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) is a CONS in \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}) \). Since clearly \( \mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}(\xi_n, n=1,2,...) \), by the martingale convergence theorem we have for each \( f \in \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^p) \) that

\[
I_p(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(I_p(f)/\xi_1,...,\xi_n) \text{ a.s.}
\]

We also have

\[
f = \sum_{i_1,...,i_p=1}^{\infty} \phi_{i_1} \ast \ldots \ast \phi_{i_p} \ast \phi_{i_1} \ast \ldots \ast \phi_{i_p} = \sum_{i_1,...,i_p} a_{i_1,...,i_p} \phi_{i_1} \ast \ldots \ast \phi_{i_p}
\]

and thus

\[
I_p(f) = (\pi_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i_1,...,i_p} a_{i_1,...,i_p} \int_{i_1}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{i_p}^{\infty} \phi_{i_1} \ast \ldots \ast \phi_{i_p} \ast \phi_{i_1} \ast \ldots \ast \phi_{i_p} \ast \xi_{i_1} \ldots \ast \xi_{i_p}
\]

and

\[
I_p(f)/\xi_1,...,\xi_n = (\pi_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i_1,...,i_p} a_{i_1,...,i_p} \int_{i_1}^{\xi_1} \ldots \int_{i_p}^{\xi_p} \mathbb{E}(\xi_{i_1} \ldots \xi_{i_p} / \xi_1,...,\xi_n)
\]

Hence we have
(2.1) \[ I_p(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (p1)^{\frac{1}{n}} \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_p = 1}^n a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_p} \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_p} \] a.s.

Now by choosing a CONS \( \{\xi_i\} \) such that each \( \xi_i \) can be obtained from the sample paths of \( X \), (2.1) expresses \( I_p(f) \) as the a.s. limit of r.v.'s obtainable from the sample paths of \( X \). One such choice is the following. Consider a sequence of refining partitions of the (closed) interval \( T \) whose mesh goes to zero (e.g., the dyadic partition) and denote by \( \{t_i\} \) the corresponding sequence of points of \( T \), which form a dense subset. Then \( X_{t_i} = \int_{(t_0, t_i]} dX \) and by orthonormalizing \( \{X_{t_i}\} \) we obtain the CONS \( \{\xi_i\} \) where \( \xi_i = \int \phi_i(t) dX_t \) and each \( \phi_i \) is a step function (a linear combination of \( 1_{(t_0, t_1]}, \ldots, 1_{(t_0, t_i]} \)). Clearly each \( \xi_i \) can be obtained from the sample paths of \( X \) (as a linear combination of \( X_{t_1}, \ldots, X_{t_i} \)).

For the HJ \( J_p \) we have similarly an expression like (2.1) (with \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}) \) playing the role of \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}) \)) and the most natural choice of CONS \( \{\xi_i\} \) in this case is the following. Let \( \{g_i\} \) be any complete set in \( L_2(T, dt) \) and define \( n_i = \int g_i(t)X_t dt \) as a sample path integral of a measurable modification of \( X \). Then the sequence \( \{n_i\} \) is complete in \( H(\mathbb{R}) \) and by orthonormalizing it we obtain the CONS \( \{\xi_i\} \) where \( \xi_i = \int \phi_i(t)X_t dt \) as a sample path integral and each \( \phi_i \) is a linear combination of \( g_1, \ldots, g_1 \). If the eigenfunctions of \( R(t, s) \) are known one may then take \( \phi_i \) to be the \( i^{th} \) eigenfunction.
3. STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS

In this section we let again \( X = (X_t, t \in T) \), \( T \) an interval, be a Gaussian process with mean zero and covariance function \( R \) and we shall define integrals of the form \( \int f(t) dX_t \) with \( f(t) \) a stochastic process appropriately defined. This kind of integral was first defined by Itô (1944) for \( X \) the Wiener process. He observed that if the process \( f \) is adapted to \( X \) and if the approximating Riemann sums are taken to be of the form \( \sum f(t_k)(X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_k}) \), \( t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n \), then the usual argument in defining integrals can be carried through. That Wiener process is a Gaussian martingale suggests possible extensions of Itô's integral to martingales and to Gaussian processes. The stochastic integral for martingales was successfully defined by Meyer (1962) and thoroughly studied by Kunita and Watanabe (1967). The idea involved remains the same. But in order to extend Itô's integral to general Gaussian processes one should take a rather different approach using the tensor product structure of nonlinear Gaussian spaces.

We first generalize the notion of \( \Lambda_2 \) spaces and define an integral denoted by \( \int f(t) dX_t \). The details are omitted since the argument is analogous to that in Section 1.1.

Let \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) be Hilbert spaces. Let \( X_t \) be an \( \Lambda_2 \)-valued function on an interval \( T \), and let \( R(t,s) = \langle X_t, X_s \rangle_{H_2} \). Then \( R \) is a nonnegative definite function (i.e., a covariance function). Consider the set \( S_{I;H_1} \) of all \( \Lambda_1 \)-valued step functions on \( T \), \( f(t) = \bigoplus_{N} f_n 1(a_n, b_n) \), \( (a_n, b_n) \in T \), \( f_n \in H_1 \). \( S_{I;H_1} \) equipped with the binary function

\[
<f, g> = \int \int <f(t), g(s)>_{H_1} d^2R(t,s)
\]
is an inner product space. The Hilbert space $\Lambda_2;H_1(R)$ is defined to be the completion of $S_I;H_1$. Note that $\Lambda_2;H_1(R)$ reduces to $\Lambda_2(R)$ when $H_1 = R$.

For $f = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n \cdot (a_n, b_n) \in S_I;H_1$, define

$$\int f(t) \, dX_t = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n \cdot (X_{b_n} - X_{a_n}).$$

Then

$$I^*: S_I;H_1 \rightarrow H_1;\Omega_2 : f \mapsto \int f \, dX$$

is a norm-preserving linear map since

$$||\int f \, dX||_{H_1;\Omega_2}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} <f_n, f_m>_{H_1} <X_{b_n} - X_{a_n}, X_{b_m} - X_{a_m}>_{H_2}$$

$$= \int \int \langle f(t), f(s) \rangle_{H_1} \, d^2R(t,s) = ||f||_{\Lambda_2;H_1}(R).$$

Thus $I^*$ has a unique extension to an isomorphism on $\Lambda_2;H_1(R)$ into $H_1;\Omega_2$.

It is clear that the range of $I^*$ is $H_1;\Omega(\Delta X)$, where $H(\Delta X)$ denotes the closed subspace of $H_2$ spanned by the increments of $X$.

We remark that Theorem 1.1 is valid for the present general case with the proviso that one should read absolute values and usual products as norms and inner products respectively. Also, if the $H_2$-valued function $X$ has orthogonal increments and $dF(t) = ||dX_t||_{H_2}^2$, then $\Lambda_2;H_1(R) = L_2;H_1(dF)$, the Hilbert space of all $dF$-square integrable $H_1$-valued functions (for integration of $H$-valued functions see e.g. Lang (1969)). The following simple fact will be used in the sequel.

**Lemma 3.1.** If $G_p$, $p \geq 0$, are closed subspaces of $H_1$ and $H_1 = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} G_p$, then
\[ (3.3) \quad \mathfrak{h}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_2 = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \mathfrak{h}_p \otimes \mathfrak{h}_2 \]  

\[ (3.4) \quad \mathfrak{h}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1 = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \mathfrak{h}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_p \] 

**Proof.** (3.3) is clear, and (3.4) follows from the following facts

\[ S_{I; \mathfrak{h}_p} = S_{I; \mathfrak{h}_1}, \quad S_{I; \mathfrak{h}_p} \perp S_{I; \mathfrak{h}_q} \text{ for } p \neq q, \quad \text{and } S_{I; \mathfrak{h}_1} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} S_{I; \mathfrak{h}_p}. \]

Now let \( X = \{X_t, t \in T\} \) be a zero mean Gaussian process with covariance \( R \), and assume as in Section 2 that \( X_{t_0} = 0 \) a.s. for some \( t_0 \in T \). Let \( H_1 = L_2(X) \) and \( H_2 = H(X) \). Then for \( f \in \mathfrak{h}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1 \), the integral

\[ I(f) = \int f(t) dX_t \]

is defined and belongs to \( L_2(X) \otimes H(X) \). The program is to identify as many elements in \( L_2(X) \otimes H(X) \) as possible with elements in \( L_2(X) \) through a suitable (unbounded) linear map \( \Psi \) and then define the stochastic integral of \( f \) with respect to \( X \) by

\[ I(f) = \int f(t) dX_t = \Psi(\int f(t) dX_t). \]

Note that \( f \in \mathfrak{h}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{h}_1 \) may be viewed as a "second order stochastic process", and each \( f(t) \) as an "\( L_2 \)-functional" of the entire process \( X \); thus such \( f \)'s need not be nonanticipating functionals of \( X \).

We first define for each \( p \geq 1 \) a bounded linear map

\[ \Psi_p : \mathfrak{h}_p \otimes H(X) + \mathfrak{h}_{p+1}(X) \]

as follows. Pick a Cons \( \{\xi_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\} \), \( \Gamma \) linearly ordered, in \( H(X) \). Then

\[ S_p = \left\{ \xi_{\gamma_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \xi_{\gamma_k} : k \geq 0, p_1 + \ldots + p_k = p; \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k \in \Gamma; \gamma_1 < \ldots < \gamma_k \right\} \]

is a complete orthogonal set in \( \mathfrak{h}_p \otimes H(X) \). Define \( \Psi_p \) on \( S_p \) by
Writing $\zeta_p = \xi_{Y_1} \cdots \xi_{Y_k}$ and using the facts that $||\zeta_{\xi}||_{H_1} = ||\zeta||_{H_2}$ and $||\zeta||_{H_2}^2 = \frac{p_1! \cdots p_k!}{p!}$, we obtain

$$||\zeta_{\xi_{Y}}||_{H^p(X)\otimes I(X)}^2 = \frac{p_1! \cdots p_k!}{p!}$$

for some $q \in \{2, \ldots, p+1\}$. Note that all elements on the right hand side of (3.7) form a complete orthogonal set in $H^p(X)$, and for each such element there are $k$ or $k+1$ ($\leq p+1$) elements in $S_p$ corresponding to it depending on whether $\gamma = \gamma_j$ for some $j$ or not. It is now clear that $\psi_p$ can be extended uniquely to a bounded linear map with norm $p+1$ from $H^p(X)\otimes I(X)$ onto $H^{p+1}(X)$, and that its definition is independent of the choice of a CAMS in $H(X)$. It is also clear that given any $\zeta_{p+1} \in H^{p+1}(X)$ one can find $\eta_p \in H^p(X)\otimes I(X)$ such that

$$\psi_p(\eta_p) = \zeta_{p+1}$$

and

$$||\eta_p|| \leq ||\psi_p(\eta_p)|| \leq (p+1)^{\frac{k}{2}}||\eta_p||.$$  

Notice that, since $\psi_p$ is a many-to-one map, (3.8) need not be true for all $\eta_p \in H^p(X)\otimes I(X)$. 

\[(3.8) \quad ||\eta_p|| \leq ||\psi_p(\eta_p)|| \leq (p+1)^{\frac{k}{2}}||\eta_p||.\]
Now let \( \psi_0 \) be the natural isomorphism between \( R \psi H(X) \) and \( H(X) \) (\( a \otimes \xi + a \xi \)). We then define \( \psi^* = \otimes_{p \geq 0} \psi_p \) to be the map from \( \{ \otimes_{p \geq 0} \psi_p \} H(X) \) into \( \otimes_{p \geq 1} \psi_0 \psi_p \) whose restriction to each \( \otimes_{p \geq 0} \psi_p \) is \( \psi_p \). Since \( \| \psi_p \| = p+1 \) is unbounded in \( p \), \( \psi^* \) is an unbounded densely defined linear map with domain

\[
H^* = \left\{ \phi \in \{ \otimes_{p \geq 0} \psi_0 \psi_p \} H(X) : \sum_{p \geq 0} \| \psi_p \| \leq \infty \right\}
\]

where \( \phi = \sum_{p \geq 0} \phi_p \), \( \psi_p \) being the projection of \( \phi \) onto \( \otimes_{p \geq 0} \psi_p \). It is easily seen that \( H^* \) is a dense subspace of \( \{ \otimes_{p \geq 0} \psi_p \} H(X) \). Also it follows from (3.3) (left hand side inequality) that the range of \( \psi^* \) is \( \otimes_{p \geq 1} \psi_0 \psi_p \).

Since \( \otimes_{p \geq 0} \psi_p \) \( L^2(X) \), we have

\[
\{ \otimes_{p \geq 0} \psi_0 \psi_p \} H(X) \cong L^2(X)
\]

denoting this isomorphism by \( \phi_0 \). Finally we let \( H = \phi_0(H^*) \subset L^2(X) \) and we define \( \psi \) on \( H \) to \( L^2(X) \) by

\[
(3.9) \quad \psi = \phi_0 \psi \psi_0^{-1}
\]

Then the stochastic integral is defined by (3.5), i.e., \( \int f(t) dX_t = I(f) = \psi(I(f)) = \psi_0 I^\psi(f) \) for all \( f \in A^2;L^2(X) \) such that \( I^\psi(f) = \int f \otimes dX \in H \).

The set of all such \( f \)'s, denoted by \( A^2;L^2(X) \), is a dense subspace of \( A^2;L^2(X) \). We should point out that the fact that the stochastic integral is not defined for every \( f \) in \( A^2;L^2(X) \) is a consequence of the critical choice of the constant in (3.7). We will see that the constant \( (p+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \) is the logical one and that \( A^2;L^2(X) \) is large enough to include most integrands of interest.

For this we need to introduce the following notation. Let \( P \) be the set of all polynomials in the elements of \( H(X) \). For each \( p \geq 0 \) let \( P_p \) be the set of
all polynomials in $P$ with degree no greater than $p$ ($P_0$ is the set of constants). For each $p \geq 1$ let $Q_p$ be the set of polynomials in $P_p$ which are orthogonal to $P_{p-1}$, and let $Q_0 = P_0$. The closure $\overline{Q}_p$ of $Q_p$ in $L^2(X)$ is called the $p^{\text{th}}$ homogeneous chaos. The following are then clear or well known (e.g. Kallianpur (1970), Neveu (1968))

$$\overline{Q}_p \perp \overline{Q}_q \quad \text{for} \quad p \neq q$$

$$\overline{Q}_p = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-1} \overline{Q}_q$$

(3.10)

$$L^2(X) = \overline{Q} = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} \overline{Q}_p$$

$$H_{Q}^{p}(X) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \overline{Q}_p$$

and that the following is a CONS in each $\overline{Q}_p$, $p \geq 1$,

$$\{(p_1! \cdots p_k)! \mathcal{H}_p \xi_1 \cdots \mathcal{H}_p (\xi_k) : p_1 \cdots p_k = p; \quad k = 1, \ldots, p; \quad \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k \in \Gamma\}$$

where $\{\xi_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is a CONS in $H(X)$. Lemma 3.1 and (3.10) imply that

(3.11) \quad \Lambda^2; L^2(X)(R) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \Lambda^2; \overline{Q}_p (R), \quad \Lambda^2, \overline{Q}_p (R) = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{p-1} \Lambda^2; \overline{Q}_q (R).

The basic properties of the stochastic integral $I = \psi \mathcal{I}^\circ = \phi \psi \mathcal{I}^\circ \phi_0^{-1} \mathcal{I}^\circ$ follow from the following structure

$$\Lambda^2; L^2(X)(R) \overset{\mathcal{I}^\circ}{\longrightarrow} L^2(X) \otimes H(X) \overset{\phi_0^{-1}}{\longrightarrow} \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} (H_{Q}^{p}(X)) \otimes H(X)$$

(3.12)

$$\Lambda^2; L^2(X)(R) \overset{\mathcal{I}^\circ}{\longrightarrow} H \overset{\psi^*}{\longrightarrow} H^* \overset{\psi^* = \psi}{\longrightarrow} \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} H_{Q}^{p}(X) \otimes H(X)$$

and are given in the following.
THEOREM 3.2. The stochastic integral $I: \Lambda_2;L_2(X)(R) \to L_2(X)$ is an unbounded densely defined closed linear map with domain $\Lambda_2;\mathcal{I}_P(R)$ and range $L_2^0(X) = L_2(X)\oplus P_0$, the set of all zero mean r.v.'s in $L_2(X)$. Hence every $L_2$-functional $\theta$ of $X$ admits the representation

$$\theta = \theta(\theta) + \int f(t)\,dx_t$$

for some (non-unique) $f \in \Lambda_2;\mathcal{I}_P(R)$. For each $p \geq 0$, $\Lambda_2;\mathcal{I}_P(R) \subset \Lambda_2;L_2(X)(R)$, and hence $\Lambda_2;\mathcal{I}_P(R) \subset \Lambda_2;L_2(X)(R)$, and the restriction of the stochastic integral $I$ to $\Lambda_2;\mathcal{I}_P(R)$ is a bounded linear map onto $\mathcal{I}_P^{p+1}$ with norm $p+1$. If $f \in \Lambda_2;L_2(X)(R)$ and $f = \sum_{p \geq 0} f_p$, $f_p \in \Lambda_2;\mathcal{I}_P(R)$, then $f \in \Lambda_2;\mathcal{I}_P(R)$ if and only if $\sum_{p \geq 0} \|I(f_p)\|^2 < \infty$, and if $f \in \Lambda_2;L_2(X)(R)$ then $I(f) = \sum_{p \geq 0} I(f_p)$.

**Proof.** It is clear from (3.12) and the fact that for each $p \geq 0$, $\mathcal{H}^p(X) \bullet \mathcal{I}(X) \subset \mathcal{H}^p(X)$ and $A_2;\mathcal{I}_P(R) \subset A_2;L_2(X)(R)$. Since $\mathcal{H}^p$ is a bounded linear map with norm $p+1$, so is the restriction of $I$ to $A_2;\mathcal{I}_P(R)$ and clearly, again from (3.12), $I(A_2;\mathcal{I}_P(R)) = \mathcal{I}_P^{p+1}$.

Since $\mathcal{H}^p$ is onto $\mathcal{I}_P^{p+1}(X)$, and $\mathcal{H}^p \circ \mathcal{I}_P^{p+1}(X) = L_2(X) \oplus P_0 = L_2(X) \oplus P_0$, it follows that $I$ maps its domain onto $L_2(X)$.

We now prove the claim in the last sentence of the theorem. Let $f \in A_2;L_2(X)(R)$ and write $f = \sum_{p \geq 0} f_p$, $f_p \in A_2;\mathcal{I}_P(R)$. Then $f \in A_2;L_2(X)(R)$ is equivalent to $\Phi_0 \circ I^p(f) \in \mathcal{H}^p$, and since $\Phi_0 \circ I^p(f) = \sum_{p \geq 0} \Phi_0 \circ I^p(f_p)$ and each $\Phi_0 \circ I^p(f_p)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^p(X) \bullet \mathcal{H}(X)$, by the definition of $\mathcal{H}^p$ this is in turn equivalent to

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} \|\Phi_0 \circ I^p(f_p)\|^2 < \infty.$$
\[ f \in \Lambda_{2;L_2(X)}(R) \text{ if and only if } \sum_{p \geq 0} \| I(f) \| < \infty. \] Assuming now that \( f \in \Lambda_{2;L_2(X)}(R) \) it follows from the definition of \( \psi^* \) that

\[ I(f) = \phi \psi^* \phi_{0}^{-1} \circ I^0(f) = \sum_{p \geq 0} \phi \psi_{p} \phi_{0}^{-1} \circ I^0(f) = \sum_{p \geq 0} I(f^p). \]

In order to complete the proof of the theorem we need to show that \( I \) is closed. Let \( f_n \in \Lambda_{2;L_2(X)}(R) = \mathcal{D}(I), f + f \in \Lambda_{2;L_2(G)}(R), \) and \( I(f_n) \to \theta \) in \( L_2(G) \). We will show that \( f \in \mathcal{D}(I) \) and \( I(f) = \theta \). Write

\[ f = \sum_{p \geq 0} f^p , \quad f_n = \sum_{p \geq 0} f^p, f^p \in \Lambda_{2;L_2}(R). \]

Since \( f_n \in \mathcal{D}(I) \), by the last claim of the theorem (just shown) we have

\[ I(f_n) = \sum_{p \geq 0} I(f^p_n) \]

Also \( f_n + f \) implies that for each fixed \( p \geq 0 \), \( f^p_n \to f^p \) in \( \Lambda_{2;L_2}(R) \), and since \( I \) restricted to \( \Lambda_{2;L_2}(R) \) is bounded we have \( I(f^p_n) \to I(f^p) \). By Fatou's lemma we have

\[ \sum_{p \geq 0} \| I(f^p) \|^2 = \sum_{p \geq 0} \lim \| I(f^p_n) \|^2 \leq \lim \sum_{p \geq 0} \| I(f^p_n) \|^2 = \lim \| I(f^p_n) \| < \infty \]

since \( I(f_n) \to \theta \), showing (by the last claim of the theorem) that \( f \in \mathcal{D}(I) \). Thus \( I(f) = \sum_{p \geq 0} I(f^p) \) and writing \( \theta = \sum_{p \geq 0} \theta^p, \theta^p \in \mathcal{D}(R) \), we have, again by Fatou's lemma,

\[ \sum_{p \geq 0} \| I(f^p) - \theta^p \|^2 = \sum_{p \geq 0} \lim \| I(f^p_n) - \theta^p \|^2 \leq \lim \sum_{p \geq 0} \| I(f^p_n) - \theta^p \|^2 = \lim \| I(f_n) - \theta \| = 0 \]

showing that \( I(f) = \theta \), which concludes the proof. \( \square \)

The same argument can be applied to define spaces \( \lambda_{2;L_2(X)}(R) \), \( \lambda_{2;L_2(X)}^*(R) \) and the stochastic integral \( J(f) = \int f(t)X_t dt \) for
$f \in \lambda_{2;1L_{2}(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbb{R})$, where we assume accordingly that $X$ is a zero mean mean square continuous Gaussian process. It can also be shown that $\lambda_{2;1L_{2}(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbb{R}) = \Lambda_{2;1L_{2}(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\int f(t)X_{t}dt = \int f(t)dZ_{t}$ where $\Gamma$ and $Z$ are related to $R$ and $X$ as in Section 1.1.

We now consider some of the properties of the stochastic integral. First we show that Itô's integral is a special case of the general stochastic integral defined here. The proof is based on (i) of the following lemma which will be also useful later.

**Lemma 3.3.** (i) If $\theta \in L_{2}(\mathbb{X})$ and $\eta \in H(\mathbb{X})$ are independent then

$$\Psi(\theta \eta) = \theta \eta.$$  

(ii) If $\theta, \eta \in L_{2}(\mathbb{X})$ then

$$\Psi(\theta \eta) = \theta \eta - E(\theta \eta).$$

**Proof.** (i) Assume without loss of generality that $E(\eta^{2}) = 1$, and let 

$$\{\eta, \xi_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma\}, \Gamma \text{ linearly ordered, by a CONS in } H(\mathbb{X}).$$

By the Cameron-Martin representation of $\theta \in L_{2}(\mathbb{X})$ there is a countable subset $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ such that

$$\theta = \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{p!}{p_{0}!\ldots p_{k}!} \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \in \Gamma'} a_{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}} H_{p_{0}}(\eta) H_{p_{1}}(\xi_{\gamma_{1}}) \ldots H_{p_{k}}(\xi_{\gamma_{k}}).$$

Thus $\theta$ is a function of the r.v.'s $\{\eta, \xi_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, and since $\eta$ is independent of the r.v.'s $\{\theta, \xi_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ it follows by an elementary property of conditional expectations that $\theta$ is a function of the r.v.'s $\{\xi_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ only and in fact $\theta = E(\theta/\xi_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma')$. It then follows from the series expansion of $\theta$ and $E(H_{p_{0}}(\eta)/\xi_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma') = E(H_{p_{0}}(\eta)) = 0$, $p_{0} \geq 1$, that

$$(3.13) \quad \theta = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \in \Gamma'} a_{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}} H_{p_{0}}(\xi_{\gamma_{1}}) \ldots H_{p_{k}}(\xi_{\gamma_{k}}) \mathbf{1}_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{k} \in \Gamma'}$$

$$= \sum_{p \geq 0} \theta_{p}.$$
and we have \( \theta_p \in \mathbb{D} \), \( p \geq 0 \).

We first show that \( \theta \eta \in \mathcal{H} \) or equivalently that \( \phi^{-1}(\theta \eta) = \phi^{-1}(\theta) \eta \in \mathcal{H} \).

Since \( \phi^{-1}(\theta) = \sum_{p \geq 0} \phi^{-1}(\theta_p) \), \( \phi^{-1}(\theta_p) \in \mathcal{H} \), this is equivalent to

\[
\sum_{p \geq 0} ||\psi_p(\phi^{-1}(\theta_p) \eta)||^2 < \infty.
\]

It follows from the expression of each \( \theta_p \) given in (3.13) that

\[
\phi^{-1}(\theta_p) = (p!)^{1/2} \sum_{0, p_1, \ldots, p_k} a_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k} \bar{\delta}_{p_1} \ldots \bar{\delta}_{p_k} \gamma_1 \ldots \gamma_k
\]

and, by (3.7), that

\[
(3.14) \quad \psi_p(\phi^{-1}(\theta_p) \eta) = ((p+1)!)^{1/2} \sum_{0, p_1, \ldots, p_k} a_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k} \bar{\delta}_{p_1} \ldots \bar{\delta}_{p_k} \gamma_1 \ldots \gamma_k \eta.
\]

Thus we have

\[
||\psi_p(\phi^{-1}(\theta_p) \eta)||^2 = (p+1)! \sum \left( \sum_{0, p_1, \ldots, p_k} a_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k} \right)^2 \frac{p_1! \ldots p_k!}{(p+1)!}
\]

\[
= ||\phi^{-1}(\theta_p)||^2 = ||\theta_p||^2
\]

and hence

\[
\sum_{p \geq 0} ||\psi_p(\phi^{-1}(\theta_p) \eta)||^2 = \sum_{p \geq 0} ||\theta_p||^2 = ||\theta||^2 < \infty.
\]

It follows that \( \theta \eta \in \mathcal{H} \).

Now from the definitions of \( \psi \), (3.9), and \( \psi^* \) we have

\[
\psi(\theta \eta) = \phi^* \psi^* \phi^{-1}(\theta \eta) = \phi^* \psi^* \phi^{-1}(\theta) \eta
\]

\[
= \phi( \sum_{p \geq 0} \psi_p(\phi^{-1}(\theta_p) \eta))
\]

\[
= \sum_{p \geq 0} \phi(\psi_p(\phi^{-1}(\theta_p) \eta))
\]

For each \( p \geq 0 \), using (3.14) we obtain
Let $\theta, n \in \mathbb{N}$, assume again that $E(n^2) = 1$, and write

\[ \theta = E(\theta n)n + \xi \quad \text{where} \quad \xi = \theta - E(\theta n)n \quad \text{is independent of} \quad n. \]

Then $\Psi (\theta n) = E(\theta n)n^2 + \xi n$ and by (i), $\Psi (\theta n) = E(\xi n)\Psi (n^2) + \xi n$. But $\Psi (n^2) = \phi * \phi _0^{-1}(\theta n) = \phi * \phi _0^{-1}(\theta n) = \sqrt{2} \phi (\theta n) = H_2(n) = n^2-1$. Hence

\[ \Psi (\theta n) = E(\theta n)(n^2-1) + (\theta - E(\theta n)n)n = \theta n - E(\theta n). \]

**Theorem 3.4.** Itô's integral for the Wiener process is a special case of the stochastic integral 1.

**Proof.** Let $X$ be the Wiener process, i.e. $R(t,s) = t\lambda s$. Then Itô's integral, denoted by $I^*$, defines an isomorphism from $H_2$ onto $L^2_2(\mathbb{C})$, where $H_2$ is the Hilbert subspace of $L_2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), dP \times dt) = L_2(dP \times dt)$ consisting of all elements adapted to $X$. Note that $H_2 \subset H_2_1(\mathbb{R}) = L_2_1(\mathbb{R}) \subset L_2_2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $M$ be the set of all elements of the form $\sum_{1}^{1} f_n(a_n, b_n)$, where $f_n \in L_2(\mathbb{X})$ and $f_n$ is $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}_t, \mathcal{U}_s)$-measurable. $M$ is a dense subspace of $H_2$.

We first show that $M \subset L_2_2(\mathbb{R}) = L_2_1(\mathbb{R})$ and that $I = I^*$ on $M$. Let $f = \sum_{1}^{1} f_n(a_n, b_n) \in M$. Then

\[ I^*(f) = \sum_{1}^{1} I_n(f) = \sum_{1}^{1} f_n(a_n, b_n). \]

Since each $f_n$ is $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}_t, \mathcal{U}_s)$-measurable, it is independent of $X_{b_n} - X_{a_n}$, and by Lemma 3.3(i) $f_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}_t, \mathcal{U}_s).$ It follows
that \( \int f \, dX = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n (X_n - X_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{H} \), and thus \( f \in L_2^0; L_2(^X)(dt) \), and

\[
I(f) = \mathbb{V} (f \circ dX) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(X_n - X_{n-1}).
\]

Hence \( I(f) = I^*(f) \).

Finally we show that \( \mathbb{H}_2 \subset L_2^0; L_2(^X)(dt) \) and that \( I = I^* \) on \( \mathbb{H}_2 \). Let \( f \in \mathbb{H}_2 \). Then for some \( f_n \in \mathbb{H}_2 \subset L_2^0; L_2(^X)(dt) \), \( f_n \to f \). It follows from the properties of Itô's integral that \( I^*(f_n) \to I^*(f) \) and since, as it was just shown, \( I^*(f_n) = I(f_n) \), we have \( I(f_n) \to I^*(f) \). Since \( I \) is closed it follows that \( f \in L_2^0; L_2(^X)(dt) \) and \( I(f) = I^*(f) \). Clearly \( \mathbb{H}_2 \) is a smaller class than \( L_2^0; L_2(^X)(dt) \), and thus \( I \) provides an extension of \( I^* \).

We now consider the problem of calculating the stochastic integral for specific integrands, starting with the simplest possible case where \( f(t) = \theta \phi(t) \) with \( \theta \in L_2(^X) \) and \( \phi \in \Lambda_2(R) \).

**Theorem 3.5.** (i) If \( \theta \in L_2(^X) \) and \( \phi \in \Lambda_2(R) \), then \( \phi(t) \, dX_t \) are independent then

\[
\int \theta \phi(t) \, dX_t = \theta \int \phi(t) \, dX_t.
\]

(ii) If \( \theta \in \mathcal{H}(X) \) and \( \phi \in \Lambda_2(R) \) then

\[
\int \theta \phi(t) \, dX_t = \theta \int \phi(t) \, dX_t - E(\theta \int \phi(t) \, dX_t).
\]

(iii) If for some \( u \in T \), \( F(x) \in L_2([u, \infty), \exp(-x^2/2R(u, u)) \, dx) \) and if \( F(x) \) has an \( L_2([u, \infty), \exp(-x^2/2R(u, u)) \, dx) \)-derivative denoted by \( F'(x) \), then

\[
\int F(x) \phi(t) \, dX_t = F(x) \int \phi(t) \, dX_t - F'(x) \int \phi(t) \, dX_t.
\]
PROOF. We first show that if $\theta \in L_2(X)$ and $\phi \in A_2(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$I^\circ(\theta \phi) = \int (\theta \phi(t)) \, dX_t = \theta \phi \int \phi(t) \, dX_t.$$ 

Indeed, if $\phi$ is a simple function $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{a_i}^b(a_i, b_i)$ we have

$$I^\circ(\theta \phi) = I^\circ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{a_i}^b(a_i, b_i) \phi \right) = \int \mathbb{1}_{a_i}^b(a_i, b_i) \phi(t) \, dX_t = \theta \int \phi(t) \, dX_t,$$

and since $I^\circ$ is an isomorphism the same is valid for all $\phi \in A_2(\mathbb{R})$. It follows that

$$I(\theta \phi) = \phi \circ \psi^* \circ \delta_0^{-1} \circ I^\circ(\theta \phi) = \phi \circ \psi^* \left( \delta_0^{-1}(\theta) \int \phi \, dX \right).$$

(i) Let $f(t) = \theta(t)$. We will show that $f \in A_2^*; L_2(X)(\mathbb{R}) = U(I)$ and

$$I(f) = \theta \int \phi \, dX.$$ 

Write $\theta = \sum_{p \geq 0} \theta_p$ and $f_p \in U_p$. Then $f = \sum_{p \geq 0} \theta_p \phi$ in $A_2^*; L_2(X)(\mathbb{R})$ with each $f_p(t) = \theta_p \phi(t)$ in $U_p$. We first calculate $I(\theta \phi(t))$. Note that it is clear from the proof of Lemma 3.3(i) that the independence of $\theta$ and $\int \phi \, dX$ implies the independence of each $\theta_p$ and $\int \phi \, dX$. Thus by Lemma 3.3(i)

$$I(\theta \phi) = \psi \circ I^\circ(\theta \phi) = \psi \left( \theta \phi \int \phi \, dX \right) = \theta \int \phi \, dX.$$

Now the independence of $\theta_p$ and $\int \phi \, dX$ implies

$$||I(\theta_p \phi)||^2 = ||\theta_p||^2 \cdot ||\phi \, dX||^2$$

and thus

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} ||I(f_p)||^2 = \sum_{p \geq 0} ||I(\theta_p \phi)||^2 = \sum_{p \geq 0} ||\theta_p||^2 \cdot ||\phi \, dX||^2 = ||\theta||^2 \cdot ||\phi \, dX||^2 < \infty.$$ 

It follows from Theorem 3.2 that $f \in U(I)$ and that

$$I(f) = \sum_{p \geq 0} I(f_p) = \sum_{p \geq 0} \theta_p \int \phi \, dX,$$

and again by independence we have $I(f) = \theta \int \phi \, dX$.

(ii) If $\theta \in L(X)$ and $\phi \in A_2(\mathbb{R})$ then $\theta \phi \in A_2(\mathbb{R})$, and by Lemma 3.3(ii)

$$I(\theta \phi) = \psi \circ I^\circ(\theta \phi) = \psi(\theta \phi \int \phi \, dX) = \theta \int \phi \, dX - \epsilon(\theta \int \phi \, dX).$$
(iii) First let $F(X_u) = \frac{1}{\sigma_u^2}g(X_u)$, $p=1$, where $\sigma_u^2 = E(X_u^2) = R(u,u)$. Then

letting $\int \phi d\xi = \eta$ and noting that $\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\sigma_u^2}g(X_u)) = (p1)_{\sigma_u^2}X_u$ we have

$$\int\limits_{\sigma_u^2} H \phi(X_u) dX_u = \phi \ast \eta (\sigma_u^2 X_u) \cdot$$

Write $\eta = \sigma_u^2 g(X_u)X_u + \zeta$ where $\zeta = \eta - \sigma_u^2 g(X_u)X_u - X_u$. Then

$$\tilde{\psi}_p (\sigma_u^2 g(X_u)) = (p+1)^2 \sigma_u^2 g(X_u)X_u + \zeta$$

and thus

$$\int\limits_{\sigma_u^2} H \phi(X_u) dX_u = \sigma_u^2 \eta g(X_u)H \sigma_u^2 (X_u) \zeta$$

$$= H \sigma_u^2 (X_n) \eta + \sigma_u^2 \eta g(X_u) \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{-1}^{\zeta} g(X_u) - X_u \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{-1}^{\zeta} g(X_u)$$

$$= H \sigma_u^2 (X_u) \eta - p \eta g(X_u) \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{-1}^{\zeta} g(X_u)$$

which is the desired relationship since $\int \eta d\xi/\sigma_u^2 = \psi H \sigma_u^2 (x)$. Now if $F(X_u)$ is as in the statement of the theorem we have

$$F(X_u) = \sum_{p=0} a_p \sigma_u^2 (X_u), F'(X_u) = \sum_{p=0} a_p \sigma_u^2 (X_u)$$

with both series converging in $L_2(L)$. Since for each $p \geq 0$, $||I(H \sigma_u^2 (X_u))|| \leq (p+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||(p+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} H \sigma_u^2 (X_u)|| \cdot ||\phi||$, we have

$$\sum_{p=0} a_p \sigma_u^2 (X_u) \phi \leq \sum_{p=0} (p+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_u^2 (X_u) \phi \cdot ||\phi||^2$$

It follows by Theorem 3.2 that $F(X_u) \phi \in \mathcal{A}(I)$ and
\[
\int F(X_u) \phi(t) \, dX_t = \sum_{p \geq 0} \int a_p h_p(X_u) \phi(t) \, dt
\]
\[
= \sum_{p \geq 0} \{ a_p h_p^2(X_u) \int \phi \, dX - p a_p h_{p-1} \sigma_u^2(X_u) E(X_u) \int \phi \, dX \} - p(X_u) \int \phi \, dX
\]
\[
= F(X_u) \int \phi \, dX - F'(X_u) E(X_u) \int \phi \, dX.
\]

(iii) includes the cases of Hermite polynomials, \( H(X_u) \), and exponentials, \( \exp(X_u \cdot \sigma_u^2) \), and it admits a natural generalization to \( F \)'s of the form \( F(X_1, \ldots, X_k) \). As an illustration we write the following simple integral
\[
\int X_u X_v \phi(t) \, dX_t = X_u^X \int \phi \, dX - E(X_u) \int \phi \, dX_0 X_v - E(X_v) \int \phi \, dX_0 X_u.
\]

Before evaluating some less trivial stochastic integrals we consider the following interesting result. Let \( T = [a, b] \) and \( a = t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_n = b, n = 1, 2, \ldots, \) be a sequence of partitions of \( T \) whose mesh goes to zero, \( \max_i(t_i, n - t_i, n) \to 0 \). The mean square quadratic variation of \( X \) on \( T \) along such a sequence of partitions is defined as the mean square limit of
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{t_i, n} - X_{t_{i-1}, n})^2
\]
whenever the later exists.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \( X = \{X_t, t \in [a, b]\} \) be a zero mean Gaussian process with continuous covariance \( R \) of bounded variation on \([a, b] \times [a, b]\) (the signed measure on \([a, b] \times [a, b]\) corresponding to \( R \) is denoted again by \( R \)). Then the mean square quadratic variation \( V^b_a \) of \( X \) on \([a, b]\) along any sequence of partitions whose mesh goes to zero exists and is given by
\[
V^b_a = R(D^b_a)
\]
where \( D^b_a \) is the diagonal of \([a, b] \times [a, b]\).
PROOF. By the mean square continuity of $X$ and the bounded variation of $R$ we have $\int|<X_t,X_s>|d^2|R|(t,s)\leq\infty$ and $\int|<\xi_t, \theta\phi_{(a,b)}(s)>|d^2|R|(t,s)\leq\infty$ for all $(a,b)\in[a,b]$ and $\theta\in L_2(X)$. It then follows from the extended version of Theorem 1.1 that $X_t \in A_{2,\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{D}(I)$ and thus the stochastic integral $\int X_t \, dX_t$ is defined.

Let $a = t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_n, b$ be any sequence of partitions with mesh tends to zero. If $X_t^{(n)}$ is defined by $X_t^{(n)} = X_{t_{i-1}}$ on each $(t_{i-1}, t_i]$, then $X_t^{(n)} \to X$ in $A_{2,\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{X})$ (by the mean square continuity of $X$ and the bounded variation of $R$) and hence $\int X_t^{(n)} \, dX_t \to \int X_t \, dX_t$ in $L_2(X)$. Thus, by Theorem 3.5(ii),

$$(3.15) \int_a^b X_t \, dX_t = \lim_n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_a^{t_{i-1}} 1(t_{i-1}, t_i](t) \, dX_t$$

$$= \lim_n \sum_{i=1}^n \{X_{t_{i-1}}(X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}}) - E[X_{t_{i-1}}(X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}})]\},$$

and similarly, by defining $X^{(n)}_t = X_t$ on $(t_{i-1}, t_i]$, we have

$$(3.15') \int_a^b X_t \, dX_t = \lim_n \sum_{i=1}^n \{X_t (X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}}) - E[X_t (X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}})]\}.$$ 

Subtracting (3.15) from (3.15') gives

$$0 = \lim_n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n E[(X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}})^2] \right),$$

and since the second term has limit $R(D_a^b)$, the result follows. 

Notice that by adding (3.15) and (3.15') we obtain

$$\int_a^b X_t \, dX_t = \frac{1}{2}(X_b^2 - X_a^2 - \sigma_b^2 + \sigma_a^2)$$

where $\sigma_t^2 = E(X_t^2) = R(t,t)$. A similar approach leads to the following result.
**Theorem 3.7.** Let \( X \) be as in Theorem 3.6. Then

\[
\int_a^b \frac{H}{p+1} \left\{ \frac{H}{p+1, \sigma_b^2} (X_b) - \frac{H}{p+1, \sigma_a^2} (X_a) \right\}, \quad p \geq 0
\]

\[
\int_a^b \exp(X_t^2 - \lambda_t^2) dX_t = \exp((X_b^2 - \lambda_b^2) - \exp((X_a^2 - \lambda_a^2)
\]

**Proof.** It is shown as in the proof of Theorem 3.6 that \( \frac{H}{p, \sigma_t^2} (X_t) \in \Lambda_{2, \mathcal{U}} (R) \). Let \( a = t_0, n, < t_1, n, \ldots, t_n, n = b \) be any refining sequence of partitions with mesh going to zero, and using the (uniform) mean square continuity of \( X \) and the bounded variation of \( R \), we have (writing \( t_i \) for \( t_i, n \) for simplicity)

\[
\int_a^b \frac{H}{p, \sigma_t^2} (X_t) dX_t = \frac{\phi \ast \psi_0}{\phi_0} \left( \int_a^b \frac{H}{p, \sigma_t^2} (X_t) dX_t \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\phi \ast \psi_0}{\phi_0} \left( \lim \sum_{n}^{H} \left( (X_{t_{i-1}}) \ast (X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}}) \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \{ (p+1)! \}^{-1} \phi \left( \lim \sum_{n}^{H} \left( \sum_{i}^{\infty} \tilde{X}_{t_{i-1}} \ast (X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}}) \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \{ (p+1)! \}^{-1} \phi \left( \lim \sum_{n}^{H} \left( \sum_{i}^{\infty} \tilde{X}_{t_{i-1}} \ast \tilde{X}_{t_i} \ast (X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}}) \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \{ (p+1)! \}^{-1} \phi \left( \lim \sum_{n}^{H} \left( \tilde{X}_{t_{i-1}} \ast \tilde{X}_{t_i} \ast \tilde{X}_{t_i} \ast (X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}}) \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \{ (p+1)! \}^{-1} \phi \left( \lim \sum_{n}^{H} \left( \tilde{X}_{t_{i-1}} \ast \tilde{X}_{t_i} \ast \tilde{X}_{t_i} \ast (X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}}) \right) \right)
\]

The second result follows from the first and
\[ \exp(X_t - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t^2) = \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{1}{p!} H_{p, \sigma_t^2}(X_t). \]

Putting \( f_p(t) = \frac{1}{p!} H_{p, \sigma_t^2}(X_t) \) it is easily seen that
\[ \sum_{p \geq 0} ||I(f_p)||^2 \leq 2(||\exp(X_b - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_b^2)||^2 + ||\exp(X_a - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_a^2)||^2) < \infty. \]

Thus by Theorem 3.2, \( \exp(X_t - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t^2) \in \mathcal{D}(I) \) and
\[
\int_a^b \exp(X_t - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t^2) d\xi_t = \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{1}{p!} \int_a^b H_{p, \sigma_t^2}(X_t) d\xi_t
= \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \{ H_{p+1, \sigma_b^2}(X_b) - H_{p+1, \sigma_a^2}(X_a) \}
= \exp(X_b - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_b^2) - \exp(X_a - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_a^2). \]

Theorem 3.7 shows that Hermite polynomials \( H_{p, \sigma_t^2}(X_t) \) play the role of customary powers, \( X_t^p \), and \( \exp(X_t - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t^2) \) the role of the customary exponential, \( \exp(X_t) \), in this stochastic calculus.
Throughout this section we assume that $X$ is as in Theorem 3.6. We first explore the connection between the NMI's and the stochastic integral. We want to establish that each NMI can be written as an iterated integral, i.e., that for $f_p \in \Lambda_2(H^p)$,

\[(4.1) \int_T \cdots \int_T f_p(t_1, \ldots, t_p) \, dX_{t_1} \cdots dX_{t_p} = \int_T \left( \int_T \left( \int_T f_p(t_1, \ldots, t_p) \, dX_{t_1} \right) \cdots dX_{t_{p-1}} \right) \, dX_{t_p} \]

where of course the iterated integral remains to be defined.

Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and $S^{(p)}_{I; H}$ the set of all $H$-valued step functions $f(t_1, \ldots, t_p)$ on $T^p$. Then $S^{(p)}_{I; H}$ is an inner product space with inner product

\[<f, g> = \int_T \cdots \int_T <f(t_1, \ldots, t_p), g(s_1, \ldots, s_p)> \, d^pR(t_1, s_1) \cdots d^pR(t_p, s_p)\]

and its completion is denoted by $\Lambda_2; H(H^p)$. It is easily seen that $\Lambda_2; H(H^p) \cong \Lambda_2(H^p) \otimes H$ under the correspondence $(\phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_p) \xi \mapsto (\phi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_p) \otimes \xi$. Thus each element in $\Lambda_2; H(H^p)$ has an orthogonal development of the form

$$\sum a_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_p} (\phi_{\gamma_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_{\gamma_p}) \xi_{\alpha}$$

where $\{\phi_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ and $\{\xi_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A\}$ are COHS's in $\Lambda_2(R)$ and $H$ respectively.

Consider the following chain of maps

$$\Lambda_2(H^p) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \Lambda_2; \bar{\Omega}_1 (H^{p-1}) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \ldots \xrightarrow{\pi_{p-1}} \Lambda_2; \bar{\Omega}_{p-1} (R) \xrightarrow{\pi_p} \bar{\Omega}_p$$

defined first by
\[ \phi_{1^p} \cdots \phi_{2^p} \quad \pi_1 \to \left( \int \phi_1 dX \right) \phi_{2^p} \cdots \phi_{2^p} \quad \pi_2 \to \sqrt{2} \phi \left( \int \phi_1 dX \phi_{2^p} \cdots \phi_{2^p} + \cdots \right) \]

\[ \pi_{p-1} \to \left( (p-1)! \right) 2^{p-1} \left( \int \phi_1 dX \phi_{2^p-1} \cdots \phi_{2^p} \right) \phi_{2^p} \to \left( p! \right) 2^{p-1} \left( \int \phi_1 dX \phi_{2^p-1} \cdots \phi_{2^p} \right) . \]

Then, by the same argument used for defining \( \pi_q \), each \( \pi_q \) can be extended to a bounded linear onto (not one to one) map with norm \( q^2 \). It is important to note that \( \pi_p \) is the stochastic integral, and that on \( \pi \)-\( q \)- valued step functions \( \pi_q \) acts like stochastic integral by fixing the 'extra' variables. The iterated integral in (4.1) is now defined to be \( \pi_p \circ \cdots \circ \pi_1 (f_p) \) and the equation follows.

Letting \( T = [a,b] \) and noting that \( I_p (f_p) = I_p (f_p) \), we should expect to obtain from (4.1)

\[ \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b f_p (t_1, \ldots, t_p) dX_{t_1} \cdots dX_{t_p} = \]

\[ = p! \int_a^b \left( \int_a^b \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b f_p (t_1, \ldots, t_p) dX_{t_1} \cdots dX_{t_p} \right) dX_{t_p} = \int_a^b h_p (t_p) dX_{t_p} \]

where \( h_p \) is adapted to \( X \). This will now be made precise (in the proof of Theorem 4.2). The following definition will be used. A step function \( f = \sum f_n \) in \( L^2;L^2 (X) (R) \) is called adapted if each \( f_n \) is \( \delta (X_{t_n} \text{ astsa}_{t_n}) \)-measurable. The closed subspace of \( L^2;L^2 (X) (R) \) generated by the adapted simple functions is denoted by \( \mathcal{A}^{ad}_{L^2;L^2 (X)} (R) \) and its elements are called adapted. We also let

\[ \mathcal{A}^{ad \ast}_{L^2;L^2 (X)} (R) = \mathcal{A}^{ad}_{L^2;L^2 (X)} (R) \cap \mathcal{A}^{\#}_{L^2;L^2 (X)} (R) . \]

**Lemma 4.1.** If \( f \in L^2 (\mathcal{O}^R) \) is a step function then \( g(t_p) = \int_0^{t_p} \left( \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \ldots, t_p) dX_{t_1} \cdots \right) dX_{t_p-1} \) is an adapted step function and
(4.2) \[ \int_a^b \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \ldots \int_{t_{p-1}}^{t_p} f(t_1, \ldots, t_{p-1}, t_p) \, dt_1 \ldots dt_p = \]
\[ = \int_a^b \left( \int_a^{t_1} \ldots \int_a^{t_2} f(t_1, \ldots, t_{p-1}, t_p) \, dt_1 \ldots dt_{p-1} \right) \, dt_p \]

where both integrals are defined in the usual way as the corresponding integrals over the entire interval of \( f(t_1, \ldots, t_{p}) \) for \( t_1 < \ldots < t_p \).

**Proof.** For ease of exposition we only consider the case \( p=2 \), the case of \( p>2 \) being similar. It is then sufficient to prove the assertions for \( f \) of the form

(i) \( 1_{(\alpha, \beta]}(t_1)1_{(\gamma, \delta]}(t_2) \),
(ii) \( 1_{(\alpha, \beta]}(t_1)1_{(\alpha, \beta]}(t_2) \),
(iii) \( 1_{(\gamma, \delta]}(t_1)1_{(\alpha, \beta]}(t_2) \) where \( \alpha < \beta < \gamma < \delta \). Then \( g(t_2) \) equals

(i) \( (X_\beta - X_\alpha)1_{(\gamma, \delta]}(t_2) \),
(ii) \( (X_{t_2} - X_\alpha)1_{(\alpha, \beta]}(t_2) \),
(iii) 0 and is thus an adapted step function. Using Theorems 3.5(ii) and 3.7 we find that the right hand side of (4.2) equals

(i) \[ \int_a^b (X_\beta - X_\alpha)1_{(\gamma, \delta]}(t_2) \, dt_2 = (X_\beta - X_\alpha)(X_\delta - X_\gamma) - E[(X_\beta - X_\alpha)(X_\delta - X_\gamma)] \]
\[ = \sqrt{2} \, \phi((X_\beta - X_\alpha)\bar{o}(X_\delta - X_\gamma)) \]

(ii) \[ \int_a^b (X_{t_2} - X_\alpha)1_{(\alpha, \beta]}(t_2) \, dt_2 = \int_a^{t_2} X_{t_2} \, dt_2 - \int_a^{t_2} X_\alpha \, dt_2 \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2}(X_\beta^2 - X_\alpha^2 - \sigma_\beta^2 - \sigma_\alpha^2 - (X_\alpha(X_\beta - X_\alpha) - E[X_\alpha(X_\beta - X_\alpha)]) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2}(X_\beta^2 - X_\alpha^2 - E[(X_\beta - X_\alpha)^2]) \]

(iii) \[ \int_a^b 0 \, dt_2 = 0 \]

On the other hand the left hand side of (4.2) equals

(i) \[ I_2(1_{(\alpha, \beta]}(t_1)1_{(\gamma, \delta]}(t_2)1_{(t_1 < t_2)}) = \sqrt{2} \, \phi((X_\beta - X_\alpha)\bar{o}(X_\delta - X_\gamma)) \]
\[ = (X_\beta - X_\alpha)(X_\delta - X_\gamma) - E[(X_\beta - X_\alpha)(X_\delta - X_\gamma)] \]
Theorem 4.2. \( \mathcal{L}_2^0(\mathbb{X}) = \mathcal{L}_2^0(\mathcal{x}) \) and thus each \( \mathcal{L}_2 \) functional \( \eta \) of \( \mathcal{x} \) admits the stochastic integral representation

\[
\eta - E(0) = \int f(t) d\mathcal{x}_t
\]

where \((\eta, \nonumber \text{not necessarily unique}) f \) is adapted \(( f \in \mathcal{L}_2^0(\mathbb{X}) )\).

Proof. It suffices to prove the second assertion of the theorem. Assume first that \( \eta \in \mathcal{L}_2^0(\mathbb{X}) \) so that by Theorem 2.1, \( \eta = \mathcal{I}_p(f_p) = \mathcal{I}_p(\tilde{f}_p) \) for some \( f_p \in \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{P}; \mathcal{x}) \).

If \( \phi \) is a step function in \( \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{P}; \mathcal{x}) \) it is easily checked that

\[
\tilde{\phi} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} \tilde{\phi} (t_{\pi_1} < ... < t_{\pi_p})
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{I}_p(\tilde{\phi}) = p! \mathcal{I}_p(\tilde{\phi} (t_1 < ... < t_p))
\]

where \( \pi = (\pi_1, ..., \pi_p) \) is a permutation of \( (1, ..., p) \) and \( \Pi \) is the set of all such permutations. Now let \( \{\phi_n\} \) be a sequence of step functions in \( \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{P}; \mathcal{x}) \) with \( \phi_n \to f_p \). Then

\[
||\tilde{\phi}_n (t_1 < ... < t_p) - \tilde{\phi}_m (t_1 < ... < t_p)|| = \frac{1}{p!} ||\tilde{\phi}_n - \tilde{\phi}_m||
\]
implies that \((\tilde{f}_n(t_1, \ldots, t_p))\) is Cauchy and we denote its limit by

\(\tilde{f}_p(t_1, \ldots, t_p)\). Then

\[ I_p(\tilde{f}_p) = \lim_{n} I_p(\tilde{f}_n) = \lim_{n} I_p(\tilde{f}_n(t_1, \ldots, t_p)) = p! I_p(\tilde{f}_p(t_1, \ldots, t_p)). \]

If we let \(g_n = \pi_p^{-1} \cdots \pi_1^{-1} (p! \tilde{f}_n(t_1, \ldots, t_p))\), then \(g_n\) is clearly a step function in \(\Lambda_{2, \mathcal{B}_{p-1}}(\mathbb{R})\) adapted to \(X\) by Lemma 4.1, and by the continuity of \(\pi_q\)’s

\[ \sum \pi_p^{-1} \cdots \pi_1^{-1} (p! \tilde{f}_p(t_1, \ldots, t_p)) = h_p. \]

It follows that \(h_p \in \Lambda_{2, \mathcal{B}_{p-1}}(\mathbb{R})\) is adapted to \(X\) and satisfies

\[ I_p(\tilde{f}_p) = \lim_{n} I_p(g_n) = I_p(h_p) = I(h_p). \]

For a general \(\theta \in L_2(X)\) we have by Theorem 2.1 and the above

\[ \theta - E(\theta) = \sum_{p=1} I_p(\tilde{f}_p) = \sum_{p=1} I(h_p) = I(\sum_{p=1} h_p) \]

where \(h = \sum_{p=1} h_p\) belongs to \(\Lambda_{2, L_2(X)}(\mathbb{R})\) (by Theorem 3.2, since

\[ \sum_{p=1} ||I(h_p)||^2 = \sum_{p=1} ||I_p(\tilde{f}_p)||^2 < \infty \]

and is also adapted to \(X\) since each \(h_p\) is.

It is clear from the definition of \(\tilde{f}_p(t_1, \ldots, t_p)1(t_1, \ldots, t_p)\) in the proof of Theorem 4.2 and from Lemma 4.1 that equality (4.2) is valid for all \(\tilde{f}_p \in \Lambda_2(\mathcal{C}^p)\) where both integrals in (4.2) are defined as the corresponding integrals of \(\tilde{f}_p(t_1, \ldots, t_p)1(t_1, \ldots, t_p)\).

We finally consider the stochastic integral of nonanticipatory functions. A step function \(f = \sum_{n} f_n1(a_n, b_n)\) in \(\Lambda_{2, L_2(X)}(\mathbb{R})\) is called nonanticipatory if each \(f_n\) is independent of the increments of \(X\) after \(a_n\). The closed subspace of \(\Lambda_{2, L_2(X)}(\mathbb{R})\) which is generated by the nonanticipatory step functions is
denoted by $A_{2;L^2(X)}^{na}(\mathbb{R})$ and its elements are called nonanticipatory.

**Theorem 4.3.** $A_{2;L^2(X)}^{na}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq A_{2;L^2(X)}^*(\mathbb{R})$ and the stochastic integral restricted to $A_{2;L^2(X)}^{na}(\mathbb{R})$ is norm preserving.

**Proof.** Let $f = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n I_{[a_n, b_n]}$ be a nonanticipatory step function in $A_{2;L^2(X)}(\mathbb{R})$. Since for each $n$, $f_n$ is independent of $X_{b_n} - X_{a_n}$, it follows from Theorem 3.5(i) that

$$I(f) = \int f(t) dX_t = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n (X_{b_n} - X_{a_n}).$$

Then

$$||I(f)||^2 = \sum_{n,m=1}^{N} E\{f_n f_m (X_{b_n} - X_{a_n})(X_{b_m} - X_{a_m})\}.$$

For $n=m$ we have $E\{f_n^2 (X_{b_n} - X_{a_n})^2\} = E\{f_m^2 (X_{b_m} - X_{a_m})^2\}$. For $a_n < a_m$ we have from the independence of $f_n$ and $f_m$ from $X_{b_n} - X_{a_n}$ that

$$E\{f_n f_m (X_{b_n} - X_{a_n})(X_{b_m} - X_{a_m})\} = E\{f_n f_m (X_{b_n} - X_{a_n})(X_{b_m} - X_{a_m})/X_{b_n} - X_{a_n}\}$$

$$= E\{f_n f_m E[(X_{b_n} - X_{a_n})(X_{b_m} - X_{a_m})/X_{b_n} - X_{a_n}]\}$$

$$= E\{f_n f_m \frac{E[(X_{b_n} - X_{a_n})(X_{b_m} - X_{a_m})]}{E[(X_{b_n} - X_{a_n})^2]}\}$$

$$= E\{f_n f_m E[(X_{b_n} - X_{a_n})(X_{b_m} - X_{a_m})]\}.$$

It follows that

$$||I(f)||^2 = \sum_{n.m=1}^{\infty} E\{f_n f_m E[(X_{b_n} - X_{a_n})(X_{b_m} - X_{a_m})]\}$$

$$= \iint <f(t), f(s)> d^2\mathbb{R}(t, s) = ||f||^2$$

and thus the stochastic integral is norm preserving for step nonanticipatory.
functions.

Now let \( f \in A_{2;L_2(X)}^{na}(\mathbb{R}) \). We will show that \( f \in A_{2;L_2(X)}^{a}(\mathbb{R}) \) and 
\[ ||I(f)|| = ||f||. \] By definition there is a sequence of step nonanticipatory 
functions \( f_n \) such that \( f_n \to f \). Write
\[
f = \sum_{p \geq 0} f_p, \quad f_n = \sum_{p \geq 0} f_{n,p}; \quad f_p, f_{n,p} \in A_{2;\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(\mathbb{P}) .
\]

Then \( f_{n,p} \to f_p \) and thus \( I(f_{n,p}) \to I(f_p) \). It follows by Fatou's lemma that
\[
\sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{||I(f_p)||^2}{\sum_{p \geq 0} 1} \leq \frac{\sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{||I(f_{n,p})||^2}{\sum_{p \geq 0} 1}}{\sum_{p \geq 0} 1} \leq \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{||f_{n,p}||^2}{\sum_{p \geq 0} 1} = \frac{\sum_{p \geq 0} ||f_{n,p}||^2}{\sum_{p \geq 0} 1} = ||f||^2 < \infty .
\]

Hence by Theorem 5.2, \( f \in A_{2;L_2(X)}^{a}(\mathbb{R}) \). Now 
\[ ||I(f_n) - I(f) || = ||I(f_n-f_m)|| \]
implies that the sequence \( I(f_n) \) converges, and since \( I \) is closed we
have \( I(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} I(f_n) \) and thus 
\[ ||f|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||I(f_n)|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||f_n|| = ||f|| .
\]
Hence \( A_{2;L_2(X)}^{na}(\mathbb{R}) = L_{2;L_2(X)}^{a}(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( I \) restricted to \( A_{2;L_2(X)}^{na}(\mathbb{R}) \)
is a norm preserving map into \( L_{2;L_2(X)}^{a}(\mathbb{R}) \).

Note that \( I(A_{2;L_2(X)}^{na}(\mathbb{R})) \) is a closed subspace of \( L_{2;L_2(X)}^{0}(\mathbb{R}) \) and it would be of
interest to know how large it is in general, and under what conditions we have
\[ I(A_{2;L_2(X)}^{na}(\mathbb{R})) = L_{2;L_2(X)}^{0}(\mathbb{R}) \]
or equivalently that each \( L_{2;L_2(X)}^{0}(\mathbb{R}) \)-functional of \( X \) has a
nonanticipatory stochastic integral representation
\[ 0 - E(\theta) = \int f(t)dX_t \]
where \( f \) is nonanticipatory. We conjecture that this would be the case if the
germ \( \sigma \)-fields of \( X \) are trivial.

The notions of "adapted" and of "nonanticipatory" introduced above are of
course identical when \( X \) is Wiener process.
5. NONLINEAR NOISE

A (strictly) stationary process $Y = (Y_t, -\infty < t < \infty)$ with $EY_t = 0$ and $EY_t^2 < \infty$ is called noise. Quoting from McKean (1973), Wiener (1958) liked to think of such a noise as the output of a "black box" $\Theta$: You put in a white noise $\hat{\Omega} = (\hat{\Omega}_t, -\infty < t < \infty)$ (formally the derivative of a Wiener process $W$) and you get $Y_0 = \Theta(\hat{\Omega}_t, -\infty < t < \infty)$ out; the noise $(Y_t, -\infty < t < \infty)$ is produced by shifting the input by the flow of the white noise $\hat{\Omega}(\cdot) \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}(\cdot + \tau)$. In order for $Y$ to be a noise we require that $E\Theta = 0$ and $E\Theta^2 < \infty$.

Since $\Theta$ has the orthogonal development (cf. Section 2)

\begin{equation}
\Theta = \sum_{p \geq 1} \int \cdots \int f_p(t_1, \ldots, t_p) \, d\Omega_{t_1} \cdots d\Omega_{t_p},
\end{equation}

where $f_p \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^p)$, the noise $Y$ obtained by shifting the incoming white noise through the nonlinear device $\Theta$ can be expressed as

\begin{equation}
Y_t = \sum_{p \geq 1} \int \cdots \int f_p(t_1-t, \ldots, t_p-t) \, d\Omega_{t_1} \cdots d\Omega_{t_p},
\end{equation}

and the covariance function of $Y$ is readily seen to be ($\tau = t-s$)

\[EY_t Y_s = \sum_{p \geq 1} p! \int \cdots \int f_p(t_1, \ldots, t_p) f_p(t_1-\tau, \ldots, t_p-\tau) \, dt_1 \cdots dt_p.\]

Wiener's theory of nonlinear noise starts from this idea. He also proved a profound theorem which was clarified by Nisio (1960) and which states that every ergodic noise can be approximated in law by noises of the form (5.2). Note that not every ergodic noise has the representation (5.2), and a necessary condition is strong mixing (McKean (1973)). (For a discussion of the ergodicity of stationary processes and processes with stationary increments see Doob (1953).)
More generally, instead of sending white noise (or Wiener process) through a nonlinear device \( \theta \), we may send a Gaussian process with stationary increments \( X = (X_t, -\infty < t < \infty) \), with say \( X_0 = 0 \) a.s. and covariance \( \Sigma \). Then the noise \( Y \) obtained by shifting the incoming Gaussian noise \( X \) can be expressed as

\[
Y_t = \sum_{p \geq 1} \int \cdots \int f_p(t_1 - t, \ldots, t_p - t) dX_{t_1} \cdots dX_{t_p}
\]

where \( f_p \in \Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}^R) \), and the covariance function of \( Y \) is again readily seen to be

\[
\mathbb{E} Y_t Y_s = \sum_{p \geq 1} \int \cdots \int \tilde{f}_p(t_1, \ldots, t_p) \tilde{f}_p(s_1 - \tau, \ldots, s_p - \tau) d^2R(t_1, s_1) \cdots d^2R(t_p, s_p)
\]

\[
= \sum_{p \geq 1} \int \cdots \int <\tilde{\phi}_p, \phi_p> \Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}^R)
\]

where \( \tau = t - s \) (cf. Theorem 2.1).

Although (5.2) and (5.3) are intuitively clear, they require proof. The proof of (5.3) follows from the following property.

**Lemma 5.1.** If \( X \) is a zero mean Gaussian process with stationary increments and covariance \( \Sigma \) then, for \( f_p \in \Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}^R) \),

\[
\int \cdots \int f_p(t_1, \ldots, t_p) dX_{t_1} + t \cdots dX_{t_p} + t = \int \cdots \int f_p(t_1 - t, \ldots, t_p - t) dX_{t_1} \cdots dX_{t_p}.
\]

**Proof.** Both integrals are well-defined since \( X \) has stationary increments. Pick a CONS \( \{\phi_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma\} \) in \( \Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}^R) \). Since \( \tilde{\phi}_{\gamma_1} \cdots \tilde{\phi}_{\gamma_k} : \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k \in \Gamma \), \( p_1 + \cdots + p_k = p, k \geq 0 \) is complete in \( \Lambda_2(\mathcal{D}^R) \) and \( I_p(f_p) = I_p(\tilde{f}_p) \), it suffices to prove this assertion for \( f_p = \tilde{\phi}_{\gamma_1} \cdots \tilde{\phi}_{\gamma_k} \). But for such \( f_p \), the assertion becomes

\[
\int \cdots \int f_p(t_1, \ldots, t_p) dX_{t_1} + t \cdots dX_{t_p} + t = \int \cdots \int f_p(t_1 - t, \ldots, t_p - t) dX_{t_1} \cdots dX_{t_p}.
\]
\[ \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left| \phi_i \right|^2 \left( \int_{\Omega} \phi_i(t_i)dt \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left| \phi_i \right|^2 \left( \int_{\Omega} \phi_i(t_i-t)dt \right) \]

and thus we need only to show that

\[ \int \phi(u)du = \int \phi(u)du \]

This is true for \( \phi \in S \) and hence for \( \phi \in \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}) \). The proof is now complete. \( \square \)

When \( Y \) has representation (5.3), we say that \( Y \) is \( X \)-presentable. Note that \( X \) is always \( X \)-presentable since \( X_t = \int_0^t du \). As McKean (1973) showed, if \( Y \) is not strongly mixing then \( Y \) is not Wiener process-presentable. The same property is now shown for \( X \)-presentable processes.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( X \) be a mean square continuous Gaussian process with stationary increments, \( X_0 = 0 \) a.s., and with absolutely continuous spectral distribution. Then every \( X \)-presentable noise \( Y \) is strongly mixing.

**Proof.** Having introduced the Fourier transform on \( \Lambda_2(\mathbb{R}) \) in Section 1.3, the proof is identical to McKean's proof for \( X \) Wiener process. We need to show that if \( \mathbb{R}^R \) is the set of all real valued functions defined on \( R \), and \( B(\mathbb{R}^R) \) is the \( \sigma \)-field generated by the cylinder sets of \( \mathbb{R}^R \), then for \( A,B \in B(\mathbb{R}^R) \)

\[ \lim_{T \to \infty} \Pr(Y \in A, Y^T \in B) = \Pr(Y \in A)\Pr(Y \in B) \]

where \( Y^T \) denotes the shift of \( Y \) by \( T \), i.e. \( Y^T_0 = Y_{T+T} \). In fact we will show that for \( \theta_1, \theta_2 \in L_2(\mathbb{Y}) \)

\[ \lim_{T \to \infty} E(\theta_1^T \theta_2^T) = E(\theta_1)E(\theta_2) \]

where \( \theta^T \) denotes the functional of shifted paths, i.e. \( \theta^T(Y) = \theta(Y^T) \). Then the
strong mixing property is self-evident. Since $Y$ is $X$-presentable, $L_2(Y) \subseteq L_2(X)$, and we can expand $\theta_1, \theta_2$ as follows (i=1,2)

$$\theta_i = E\theta_i + \sum_{p \geq 1} \cdots \int f_{1,p}(t_1, \ldots, t_p) dX_{t_1} \cdots dX_{t_p}$$

where $f_{1,p} \in A_2(\mathbb{C}^{p})$. We then have

$$E(\theta_1 \theta_2^T) = E(\theta_1)E(\theta_2) + \sum_{p \geq 1} p! <f_{1,p}, f_{2,p}^T>_{L_2(\mathbb{C}^{p})}$$

where $f_{p}^T$ denotes the translation of $f_p$ by $(\tau, \ldots, \tau)$. By Theorems 1.8 and 1.10,

$$<f_{1,p}^T, f_{2,p}^T>_{L_2(\mathbb{C}^{p})} = <\hat{f}_{1,p}^T, \hat{f}_{2,p}^T>_{L_2(\mathbb{C})} = \int \cdots \int e^{it_1 \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_p}$$

$$\hat{f}_{1,p}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p)f_{2,p}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p)(1+\lambda_1^2) \cdots (1+\lambda_p^2)F(\lambda_1) \cdots F(\lambda_p) d\lambda_1 \cdots d\lambda_p$$

(where $F$ is the spectral distribution of $X$), which approaches 0 as $r \to \infty$ by the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem. Also

$$\sum_{p \geq 1} p! |<f_{1,p}^T, f_{2,p}^T>| \leq \sum_{p \geq 1} p! \|f_{1,p}\| \|f_{2,p}\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \geq 1} p!(\|f_{1,p}\|^2 + \|f_{2,p}\|^2) = \frac{1}{2}(\text{Var} \theta_1^T + \text{Var} \theta_2^T) < \infty$$

where for each $p \geq 1$, norms and inner products are in $A_2(\mathbb{C}^{p})$. It then follows that $\lim_{r \to \infty} E(\theta_1 \theta_2^T) = E(\theta_1)E(\theta_2)$. \qed

We now show the analogue of Wiener-Nisio's theorem using Nisio's approach as simplified (for convergence in law) by McKean (1973). $X$ will be a zero mean sample continuous ergodic Gaussian process with stationary increments which satisfies $X_0 = 0$ a.s. and the following condition
\[(S) \quad \Pr(\Delta_t X > 0, 0st < n; \Delta_{-n} X < 0) > 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 1\]

where \( \Delta_t X = X_t - X_{t-1} \).

**Theorem 5.3.** Every measurable ergodic noise \( Y \) (defined on any probability space) is the limit in law of a sequence of \( X \)-presentable noises.

**Proof.** Examining McKean's (1973) proof for \( X \) the Wiener process we see that the argument remains valid for the present general case if it can be shown that there exists a sequence of nonnegative functionals \( a_n \) on the paths of \( X \), such that the probability distribution of each \( a_n \) is absolutely continuous and its density function is constant on \([0,n]\) and decreasing on \((n,\infty)\). We proceed to construct such \( a_n \)'s. For simplicity we suppose that \( X \) is a coordinate process, i.e. \((\Omega,\mathcal{G},\mathbb{P}) = (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{P})\) and \( X_t(\omega) = \omega(t) \). Consider \( S(\omega) = \{\omega : \Delta_{\omega} > 0\}, \omega \in \mathbb{R}^d \). Because of the continuity of the paths of \( X \), \( S(\omega) \) is an open set a.s. and can therefore be expressed as a denumerable disjoint union of open intervals \( I_i(\omega) \), i.e. Let

\[
S_n(\omega) = \bigcup_{i} I_i(\omega) : |I_i(\omega)| > n, I_i(\omega) \subset (-n,\infty) \}
\]

Now we show that \( S_n(\omega) \) is nonempty a.s. Let \( f(\omega) \) be an integrable r.v. depending on \( \omega \) only through its increments. Then by the ergodicity of \( X \) we have

\[
\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{a}^{a+\tau} f(\omega_t) dt = Ef \text{ a.s.}
\]

where \( \omega_t(\tau) = \omega(t+\tau) \). Put

\[
f(\omega) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \Delta_{\omega} > 0, 0st < n, \Delta_{-n} \omega < 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
and \( a = -n \). Then it follows that \( S_n(\omega) \) is nonempty a.s. if \( Ef > 0 \). But indeed \( Ef = \Pr[\Delta_t X > 0, 0 < t < n; \Delta_n X < 0] > 0 \) by the assumption (S). Thus \( S_n(\omega) \) is nonempty a.s. We now define \( a_n(\omega) = n + \inf S_n(\omega) \). Note that \( 0 \leq a_n \leq \infty \) a.s.

The same argument as on p. 211 of Nisio (1960) shows that \( a_n \) has the desired probability distribution. Thus the proof is complete.

We now give a discussion of assumption (S). We believe that (S) always holds when \( X \) is a zero mean sample continuous ergodic Gaussian process with stationary increments, yet we are not able to prove it. Instead, we have the following sufficient condition for (S), which indicates that (S) is a mild assumption (if it is a restriction at all),

\[(S_1) \text{ For each } n \geq 1 \text{ there is an } f_n \in \mathcal{H}(C), \text{ the reproducing kernel Hilbert space of the } n \text{ covariance } C \text{ of } X, \text{ such that } \Delta_t f_n > 0 \text{ for } t \in [0,n] \text{ and } \Delta_n f_n < 0.\]

**Lemma 5.4.** \((S_1) \) implies \((S)\).

**Proof.** We owe this proof to Loren D. Pitt. Note that \( X \) is mean square continuous, since it is a sample continuous Gaussian process. Thus \( R \) is continuous and so is every \( f \in \mathcal{H}(R) \). Assume \((S_1)\). Then, by the sample continuity of \( X \) and the continuity of \( f \),

\[\{\omega \in \Omega; \Delta_t (X + cf_n) > 0, 0 < t < n; \Delta_n (X + cf_n) < 0\} \cup \Omega\]

as \( c \to \infty \), and hence there exists \( c > 0 \) such that

\[\Pr\{\Delta_t (X + cf_n) > 0, 0 < t < n; \Delta_n (X + cf_n) < 0\} > 0.\]

(S) now follows from the equivalence of the Gaussian processes \( X \) and \( X + cf_n \).
(since $c_n^e \in R_R$).

We next show that $(S_1)$ is satisfied by all processes with stationary increments having rational spectral densities. This implies in particular that $(S_1)$ is satisfied by the Wiener process, by stationary processes with rational spectral densities, and by (indefinite) integrals of stationary processes with rational spectral densities. The proof is based on the following result which is of independent interest.

**Lemma 5.5.** A mean square continuous process $X = \{X_t, -\infty < t < \infty\}$ with zero mean and covariance $C(t,s)$ has (wide sense) stationary increments (with spectral measure $dF$) if and only if there is a mean square continuous measurable (wide sense) stationary process $Y = \{Y_t, -\infty < t < \infty\}$ with zero mean and covariance $r(t,s)$ (with spectral measure $dF$) such that for each $t$ and $s$

$$X_t - X_s = Y_t - Y_s - \int_s^t Y_u du \ a.s.$$  

and $H(AX) = H(Y)$. Also if $X_0 = 0$ a.s., then $f \in R(C)$, the reproducing kernel Hilbert space of $C$, if and only for some $g \in R(r)$ and all $t$

$$f(t) = g(t) - g(0) - \int_0^t g(u)du.$$  

**Proof.** The sufficiency of (5.5) being obvious, we only show its necessity. Suppose that $X$ has the spectral representation given by (1.2) and (1.3). Then we have

$$X_t = \int \frac{e^{it\lambda}}{1 - \lambda} (1 + \lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dV_{\lambda}$$
and $H(\Delta X) = H(\Delta V)$. Define the process with orthogonal increments

$U = \{U_{\lambda}, -\infty < \lambda < \infty \}$ by $(\lambda+i)dU_{\lambda} = i^{-1}(1 + \lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}dV_{\lambda}$. Then $E|dU_{\lambda}|^2 = E|dV_{\lambda}|^2 = dF(\lambda)$, and $H(\Delta U) = H(\Delta V)$. Define the process $Y = \{Y_{t}, -\infty < t < \infty \}$ by $Y_{t} = \int_{-\infty}^{t}e^{it\lambda}dU_{\lambda}$. Then $Y$ is (wide sense) stationary and mean square continuous, and hence it has a measurable modification, denoted again by $Y$. First we see that $H(Y) = H(\Delta U) = H(\Delta V) = H(\Delta X)$. Also for each fixed $t$ and $s$ we have the following equalities in $L_{2}$ and thus also a.s.,

$$X_{t} - X_{s} = \int \frac{e^{it\lambda} - e^{is\lambda}}{\lambda}(\lambda+i)dU_{\lambda}$$

$$= \int \left[ (e^{it\lambda} - e^{is\lambda}) - \frac{e^{it\lambda} - e^{is\lambda}}{\lambda} \right]dU_{\lambda}$$

$$= Y_{t} - Y_{s} - \int_{-\infty}^{t} \left( \int_{s}^{t} e^{iu\lambda}d\lambda \right)dU_{\lambda}$$

$$= Y_{t} - Y_{s} - \int_{s}^{t} Y_{u}du.$$

The last equality is justified by the following equality for all $v$,

$$E \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{t} \left( \int_{s}^{t} e^{iu\lambda}d\lambda \right)dU_{\lambda} \cdot \overline{Y}_{V} \right] = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \int_{s}^{t} e^{i(u-v)\lambda}d\lambda dF(\lambda)$$

$$= \int_{s}^{t} \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{i(u-v)\lambda}d\lambda dF(\lambda)$$

$$= \int_{s}^{t} E(Y_{u} \overline{Y}_{V})du = E(\int_{s}^{t} Y_{u}du \cdot \overline{Y}_{V})$$

where Fubini's theorem has been repeatedly applied, its justification being quite clear.

For the second claim notice that for all $\eta \in L_{2}$ and $t$ we have by (5.5)

$$E(X_{t}\eta) = E(Y_{t}\eta) - E(Y_{s}\eta) - \int_{0}^{t} E(Y_{u}\eta)du.$$

$$E(X_{t}\eta) = E(Y_{t}\eta) - E(Y_{0}\eta) - \int_{0}^{t} E(Y_{u}\eta)du.$$
If $f \in \mathcal{R}(C)$ then $f(t) = E(X_t \bar{\eta})$ for some $\eta \in L_2$ and (5.6) follows from (5.7) with $g(t) = E(X_t \bar{\eta}) \in \mathcal{R}(r)$. Conversely, if $g \in \mathcal{R}(r)$ then $g(t) = E(Y_t \bar{\eta})$ for some $\eta \in L_2$. Thus if $f$ satisfies (5.6) it follows from (5.7) that $f(t) = E(X_t \bar{\eta})$ and thus $f \in \mathcal{R}(C)$.

**Lemma 5.6.** Let $X$ be a zero mean mean square continuous process with (wide sense) stationary increments having a rational spectral density, and with covariance $C$. Then condition (S1) is satisfied.

**Proof.** Thus $X$ is as in Lemma 5.5, and $dF$ has a rational density. It is then well known that $\mathcal{R}(r) = W^m_2$, the set of all functions possessing on every finite interval absolutely continuous derivatives up to order $m-1$ and square integrable $m$-th derivatives (with $2m =$ degree of denominator - degree of numerator of the polynomials of the rational spectral density). Now it is clear that for each fixed $\eta$, by a suitable choice of $g \in W^m_2$, $f$ defined by (5.6) will have the desired property stated in (C1). Indeed, for fixed $\eta$ we may choose $f$ in $W^m_2$ satisfying (C1) and $f(0)=0$. Then a simple calculation shows that $g$ defined by $g(t) = e^{\text{Re}(t)}e^{-\text{Im}(t)i}(w)dw$ belongs to $W^m_2$ and satisfies (5.6). Hence, by Lemma 5.5, $f \in \mathcal{R}(C)$. Since $f$ was chosen to satisfy (C1) the proof is complete.

Finally we remark that Wiener's (1950) discussion of the analysis and synthesis of nonlinear networks with white noise input remains valid with only minor modifications for nonlinear networks with input a zero mean Gaussian process with stationary increments.
6. APPENDIX

We state here the basic definitions and properties of tensor products of Hilbert spaces (see for instance Neveu (1963)) and of Hermite polynomials which are used throughout the paper.

6.1. Tensor Product of Hilbert Spaces

Let $H_1$ and $H_2$ be two Hilbert spaces with inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$. For each $h_1 \in H_1$, $h_2 \in H_2$ define the map $h_1 \otimes h_2 : H_1 \times H_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(h_1 \otimes h_2)(g_1, g_2) = \langle h_1, g_1 \rangle_1 \langle h_2, g_2 \rangle_2$$

for all $g_1 \in H_1$, $g_2 \in H_2$.

If $H = \{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} h_1^{(n)} \otimes h_2^{(n)} : h_1^{(n)} \in H_1, h_2^{(n)} \in H_2, n \geq 1 \}$ then $H$ is an inner product space under the inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \langle h_1^{(n)}, g_1^{(m)} \rangle_1 \langle h_2^{(n)}, g_2^{(m)} \rangle_2$$

where $A = \{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} h_1^{(n)} \otimes h_2^{(n)} \}$, $B = \{ \sum_{m=1}^{M} g_1^{(m)} \otimes g_2^{(m)} \}$. The tensor product $H_1 \otimes H_2$ of $H_1$ and $H_2$ is the completion of $H$ with respect to its inner product.

If $\{ f_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \}$ is complete (CONC) in $H_1$ and $\{ g_\beta, \beta \in \mathcal{B} \}$ is complete (CONC) in $H_2$ then $\{ f_\alpha \otimes g_\beta, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \beta \in \mathcal{B} \}$ is complete (CONC) in $H_1 \otimes H_2$. If $(X_1, S_1, \mu_1)$ and $(X_2, S_2, \mu_2)$ are two measure spaces, then $L_2(X_1, S_1, \mu_1) \otimes L_2(X_2, S_2, \mu_2) \cong L_2(X_1 \times X_2, S_1 \times S_2, \mu_1 \times \mu_2)$ with corresponding elements under the isomorphism $f_1 \otimes f_2$ and $f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)$.

Similarly the tensor product $H_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes H_p$ is defined for $p$ Hilbert spaces $H_1, \ldots, H_p$. The inner product is such that

$$\langle f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_p, g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_p \rangle = \langle f_1, g_1 \rangle_1 \cdots \langle f_p, g_p \rangle_p$$
and all properties carry over from the case $p=2$ to the case of general $p$ in an obvious manner. If $H_1=\ldots=H_p=H$, we write $\phi^PH$ or $\tilde{H}^P$ for $H\otimes\ldots\otimes H$ and $\phi^Pf$ or $f^{\tilde{P}}$ for $f\otimes\ldots\otimes f$.

For each $\pi=(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_p)\in\Pi_p$, the set of all permutations of $(1,\ldots,p)$, there is a unique unitary operator $U_{\pi}$ on $\phi^PH$ such that for all $f_1,\ldots,f_p\in H$, $U_{\pi}(f_1\otimes\ldots\otimes f_p) = f_{\pi_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes f_{\pi_p}$. An element $f$ in $\phi^PH$ is called symmetric if $U_{\pi}f=f$ for all $\pi\in\Pi_p$, and the symmetric tensor product space $\phi^{\Pi}H$ or $H^{\Pi}$ is the set of all symmetric tensors in $\phi^PH$. $\phi^{\Pi}H$ is a closed subspace of $\phi^PH$, and the operator $(p!)^{-1}\sum_{\pi\in\Pi_p}U_{\pi}$ is the projection operator onto $\phi^{\Pi}H$. Hence

$$\phi^{\Pi}H = \phi\left\{ \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\pi\in\Pi_p} f_{\pi_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes f_{\pi_p} : f_1,\ldots,f_p\in H \right\}.$$

If $(X,S,\mu)$ is a measure space and $L_2(\mathbb{R}^p,\mathbb{S}^D,\mu^D)$ the set of all symmetric functions in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^p,\mathbb{S}^D,\mu^D)$ (i.e., $f(x_1,\ldots,x_p) = f(x_{\pi_1},\ldots,x_{\pi_p})$ for all $\pi\in\Pi_p$), then $\phi^{\Pi}L_2(\mathbb{R}^p,\mathbb{S}^D,\mu^D) \simeq L_2(\mathbb{R}^p,\mathbb{S}^D,\mu^D)$. Finally if $\{e_1,\ldots,e_p\}$ is a complete set in $H$, then $\{e_{\pi_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes e_{\pi_p} : \gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_p\in\Gamma\}$ and $\{e_{\gamma_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes e_{\gamma_p} : \gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_p\in\Gamma\}$ are complete sets in $\phi^{\Pi}H$ and $\phi^{\Pi^D}H$ respectively.

### 6.2 Hermite Polynomials

Let $X$ be a Gaussian variable with zero mean and variance $t$. Applying the Gram-Schmidt procedure to orthogonalize the sequence of random variables $1,X,X^2,X^3,\ldots$ in $L_2(X)$, we obtain the orthogonal sequence $H_{0,t}(X), H_{1,t}(X), H_{2,t}(X), \ldots, H_{p,t}$ is called the Hermite polynomial of degree $p = 0,1,2,\ldots$, with parameter $t$, and is a polynomial in both variables $t$ and $X$. The first few Hermite polynomials are
\[ H_0, t(x) = 1 \quad H_1, t(x) = x \quad H_2, t(x) = x^2 - t \quad H_3, t(x) = x^3 - 3tx. \]

The Hermite polynomials satisfy the following properties

\[ \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^p}{p!} u^p, \quad u \in \mathbb{R} \]

\[ \frac{d}{dx} H_{p+1, t}(x) = (p+1) H_p, t(x). \]
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