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A hazard evaluation of AI3-36536 was conducted using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study, and Sprague-Dawley Wistar-derived rats for determination of oral toxicity. It was recommended that AI3-36536 not be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.
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1. AUTHORITY.


b. Memorandum of Understanding Between the US Department of the Army, Office of the Surgeon General, the US Army Health Services Command, The US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, the Armed Forces Pest Control Board and the US Department of Agriculture, effective December 1970 with Amendment No. 1, effective August 1974.


3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this study was to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellent AI3-36536.

4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. A hazard evaluation of the candidate repellent AI3-36536 [1-(Cyclohexylcarbonyl)-2-methylpiperidine] was conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study, and Sprague-Dawley Wistar-derived rats for determination of oral toxicity. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed in this Agency follows:

* The experiments reported herein were conducted according to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," as prepared by the Committee on Revision of the "Guide for Laboratory Animal Facilities and Care," of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council (1972).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>RESULTS</th>
<th>INTERPRETATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbits</td>
<td>Single 24-hour application to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits.</td>
<td>USDAHA Category I (reference Appendix A).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbits</td>
<td>0.5 ml technical grade compound applied to each of six rabbits.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EYE IRRITATION STUDIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbits</td>
<td>Single 24-hour application of 0.1 ml compound to one eye of each of six New Zealand White rabbits.</td>
<td>USDAHA Category F (reference Appendix A).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbits</td>
<td>Compound AI-36536-2a produced severe conjunctival injury to the cornea in six rabbits at 24 hours after application and for 7 days thereafter.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**TABULAR PRESENTATION OF DATA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>RESULTS</th>
<th>INTERPRETATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>APPROXIMATE LETHAL DOSE STUDIES (ALD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rats, Male (corn oil diluent)</td>
<td>ALD &gt;3284 mg/Kg</td>
<td>Presents little lethal hazard from acute accidental injections.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PHOTOCHEMICAL SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES**

**Rabbits**

A single application (0.05 ml) of a 25 percent (w/v) solution of the compound (AI3-36536) and of a 10 percent (w/v) oil of Bergamot solution (positive control) in 95 percent ethyl alcohol, were applied to the intact skin of six rabbits. Five minutes after application, the rabbits were exposed to UV light (365 nm) for 30 minutes at a distance of 10-15 cm.

AI3-36536 did not cause a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions. However, ethanol solutions of AI3-36536 caused the same degree of erythema and edema at both irradiated and non-irradiated skin sites.

Compound AI3-36536 did not cause a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions but ethanol solutions of the compound may cause a skin irritation reaction in humans.
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TABULAR PRESENTATION OF DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>RESULTS</th>
<th>INTERPRETATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Positive control application and irradiation caused greater irritant effects than in unirradiated areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Following UV exposure of the rabbits, 0.05 ml of the test compound, positive control and diluent were applied to additional skin areas to serve as unirradiated control sites.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SENSITIZATION STUDIES

Guinea Pigs

Male

Intradermal injections of 0.1 ml of a 0.1 percent suspension (w/v) of AIJ-36536 or dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB) in a mixture containing 1 volume of propylene glycol and 29 volumes of saline.

| 10 test guinea pigs | Challenge dose of test compound (last intradermal injection) did not produce a sensitization reaction. | Compound did not produce a sensitization reaction under these test conditions and is not expected to produce a sensitization reaction in man. |
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**TABULAR PRESENTATION OF DATA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>RESULTS</th>
<th>INTERPRETATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Five receiving challenge dose of test compound without prior sensitizing doses.</td>
<td>Positive control (DN CB) produced a marked sensitization reaction in 10 out of 10 guinea pigs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSION. Technical grade AI3-36536 produced severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva of the rabbit and may cause similar damage if it should accidentally enter the eye of man. Ethanol solutions of this compound caused skin irritation effects in rabbits and may prove similarly irritating to the skin of man.

6. RECOMMENDATION. Under the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding (reference paragraph lb) it is recommended that AI3-36536, 1-(cyclohexylcarbonyl)-2-methylpiperidine, not be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.
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APPENDIX

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING
CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATIONS

CATEGORY I - Compound producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

CATEGORY II - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals, prior to human testing.

CATEGORY IV - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion, and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals, prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

CATEGORY V - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound. (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

A. Compounds noninjurious to the eye. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.

B. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.
C. **Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctive.** INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.

D. **Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea.** INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.

E. **Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva.** INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.

F. **Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva.** INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.