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The problem of a balanced, planar or axisymmetric, supersonic jet impinging normally on a flat surface has been considered based on an inviscid theory. The object of the study was to provide a rational model for calculating shock-interference heating as produced by a type IV shock-interaction pattern. The unwanted singularity at a low supersonic Mach number peculiar to scheme I of the one-strip formulation of the method of integral relations,
as observed by South and by Gummer and Hunt, was successfully removed by the application of the scheme III of the one-strip formulation of the method of integral relations. The resulting simultaneous nonlinear algebraic equations were easily solved iteratively by the Newton-Raphson method. Sensitivity of the solution on various approximating functions employed was extensively investigated. Unlike the findings reported by Gummer and Hunt, solutions that satisfy all well-posed boundary conditions can be obtained by the one-strip formulation. Results indicate that, for the planar case, a rational engineering solution for the stagnation-point velocity gradient (and hence the peak heat-transfer rate) has been obtained. For the axisymmetric case, however, solutions appear to be not quite converging. A two-strip formulation based on the method of integral relations is also included.
NORMAL IMPINGEMENT OF A SUPERSONIC JET ON A PLANE - A BASIC
STUDY OF SHOCK-INTERFERENCE HEATING

This report presents a theoretical method to predict the severity
of shock-interference heating caused by the impingement of a shock
wave on a blunt fin. The problem of a supersonic jet (resulting
from the interaction of the incident shock with the fin bow shock)
impinging on the fin surface was studied based on the one-strip
formulation of the method of integral relations. A rational
engineering solution for the stagnation-point velocity gradient
(and hence the peak heat-transfer rate) has been obtained for the
planar case. The present jet-impingement model could be coupled
with the shock-interference model of Edney to predict type IV shock-
interaction effects.
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SYMBOLES

a speed of sound
E specific entropy function, \( p/\rho^\gamma \)
j equal to zero (planar case) or one (axisymmetric case)
M Mach number
p static pressure
q total speed, \( (u^2 + v^2)^{1/2} \)
r coordinate axis along the plate surface
u velocity component in the \( r \)-direction
v velocity component in the \( y \)-direction
V\(_\infty\) free-stream velocity of the jet
y coordinate axis perpendicular to the plate surface
\( \beta \) constant, \( (\gamma - 1)/2\gamma \)
\( \gamma \) ratio of (constant) specific heats
\( \delta \) the angle the upper boundary of the wall jet makes with respect to the negative \( y \)-direction (see Fig. 1)
\( \epsilon \) detachment distance of the shock wave or of the wall-jet boundary (see Fig. 1)
\( \eta \) location of the sonic point at the wall
\( \theta \) the angle the flow behind the shock wave makes with respect to the negative \( y \)-direction
\( \rho \) density
\( \sigma \) the angle the shock wave makes with respect to the negative \( y \)-direction (see Fig. 1)
GMC method that employs the equation of global mass conservation, Eq. (41)
MCE method that employs the equation of modified continuity, Eq. (7)
PWS method that employs piecewise smooth approximating functions
INTRODUCTION

As an extraneous shock wave impinges on a blunt body in a hypersonic flow, greatly increased aerodynamic heating and pressure over a very small region near the impingement point have been observed (Refs. (1) to (5)). The incident shock wave may be generated either by boundary-layer separation (Refs. (3) to (5)) or


by an extraneous surface (Refs. (1) to (3)). Six different types of shock-interaction patterns have been classified by Edney based on an extensive experimental study (Ref. (1)). Among them, the type IV interference pattern produces the most severe shock-interference heating and pressure. This interference results in a supersonic jet embedded in the subsonic flow field. In fact, peak interference heating rates up to 17 times the interference-free stagnation-point value and peak pressures up to eight times the free-stream pitot pressure level have been measured by Hains and Keyes (Ref. (2)).

Despite its significance, past analyses (Refs. (1) to (3) and (6)) on the type IV interference were inadequate and generally empirical in nature. Recently, a time-dependent finite-difference method was used by Tannehill, Holst and Rakich (Ref. (7)) to solve the Navier-Stokes equations for the two-dimensional shock-impingement problem. Although, in principle, their computer program can be used to compute all six types of shock interactions, only type III interference results have been published so far. However, the elaborate computations involved and the extensive computer time required by their method make it highly desirable to have some relatively simple, yet reasonably accurate, approximate method. Such an approach has in fact been pursued by Edney (Ref. (1)) and by Keyes and Hains (Ref. (6)). However, their empirical treatments of the jet-impingement process suggest the need for a more rational study. This is the subject of the present paper.

The impingement of a balanced supersonic jet on a flat surface was studied both theoretically and experimentally for an axisymmetric jet at normal impingement by Gummer and Hunt (Ref. (8)), and theoretically for a plane jet at an arbitrary angle with the surface by Bukovshin and Shestova (Ref. (9)). Both groups have used the scheme I of the method of integral relations in its crudest form (one strip) (Ref. (10)). However, in both studies the centered


expansion to ambient pressure of the jet-edge streamline behind the shock wave was not properly considered, and instead an empirical condition of sonic velocity at the jet edge behind the shock wave was imposed. Furthermore, at low supersonic Mach numbers, both South (Ref. (11)), and Gummer and Hunt (Ref. (8)) have pointed out the singular behavior of the governing equation of the scheme I of the method of integral relations. This singularity, which has no counterpart in an exact solution, will cause the computation in the shock layer to break down. This is of special importance to us since, according to Edney (Ref. (1)), low supersonic Mach numbers are in the range of particular interest to the shock-interference problem.

The singular can be shown to be easily removed if the governing differential equations are integrated once again along the body-surface direction. This constitutes the scheme III of the method of integral relations (Ref. (10)). This approach was utilized in the present study to generate solutions to the one-strip approximation equations of the jet-impingement problem. As we shall show later, in contrast to the findings reported by Gummer and Hunt (Ref. (8)), the one-strip approximation does yield solutions that satisfy all well-posed boundary conditions. A two-strip formulation of the problem has also been completed, but solutions have not yet been carried out. For the sake of completeness, this is included in the appendix.

PROBLEM FORMULATION

GOVERNING EQUATIONS

Consider the flow geometry schematically shown in Figure 1. The origin of the coordinate system is placed at the stagnation point of the flat surface. The problem is considered to be steady and two-dimensional or axisymmetric, with r and y axes along and perpendicular to the plate surface, respectively, and the free-stream jet flow is in the negative y-direction. For simplicity, the gas is assumed to be inviscid and obeys the perfect gas law; its conditions are characterized by the pressure, \( p \), density, \( \rho \), temperature, \( T \), and velocity components, \( u \) and \( v \), in the \( r \) and \( y \) directions, respectively. Ahead of the shock wave, the jet is assumed to be uniform with constant static pressure equal to the ambient value. These assumptions are of the usual kind that are generally made by other investigators. Heat-transfer rates can be calculated using the well-known boundary-layer results once the pressure distribution along the plate surface is determined from the inviscid approach.

Under these conditions, the governing conservation equations are

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^j \rho u \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( r^j \rho v \right) = 0 \]  

(1)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^j \rho uv \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ r^j \left( \beta p + \rho v^2 \right) \right] = 0 \]  

(2)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r^j \left( \beta p + \rho u^2 \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( r^j \rho uv \right) = j \beta p \]  

(3)

and

\[ \varphi = \rho (1 - q^2) \]  

(4)

where

\[ \beta = \frac{(\gamma - 1)}{2\gamma} \]

\[ q^2 = u^2 + v^2 \]

\[ j = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ for two-dimensional or axisymmetric jets, respectively, and } \gamma \text{ is the ratio of (constant) specific heats}. \]

The method of integral relations requires that the governing partial differential equations be cast into divergence form, such as Equations (1) to (3). However, combinations of these equations can also be represented in divergence form. For example, one may
combine the relation of constant entropy along streamlines, the energy equation (4), and the continuity equation (1) to yield a modified continuity equation

\[
\frac{3}{\gamma} \left[ r^j u_l (1 - q^2) \right]^{\gamma / (\gamma - 1)} + \frac{3}{\gamma y} \left[ r^j v_l (1 - q^2) \right]^{\gamma / (\gamma - 1)} = 0
\]  

(7)

which was the original, widely employed formulation of Belotserkovskii (Ref. (12)). For a sphere in supersonic flow, Xerikos and Anderson (Ref. (13)) found that the one-strip formulation based on the modified continuity equation yielded results which agree with experiments better than that based on the original continuity equation. The difference is expected to disappear when the number of strips increases. In the present one-strip formulations, however, Equation (7) will be used instead of Equation (1).

An additional simplification arises when only one strip is used in the formulation, namely, the strip boundaries are either the shock wave or streamlines. Along the plate surface, the constant entropy relationship can be used to relate pressure to the surface velocity. This algebraic relation can thus be employed to replace the radial momentum equation (3), as we shall see in the next section.

The flow field can be divided into two regions, a shock-layer region \(0 \leq r \leq 1\) and a wall-jet region \(1 \leq r \leq \eta\), where \(r = \eta\) is the location of the sonic point at the wall

\[ u_w(\eta) = a_w(\eta) \]

and it is unknown, a priori. The two regions are related by the requirements that, at \(r = 1\), \(c, E\) and \(\sigma\) are continuous and \(\psi\) and \(\delta\) are governed by the Prandtl-Meyer expansion relation, where \(E\) is the specific entropy function

\[ E = \frac{p}{\rho^\gamma} \]

and \(\psi\) is the stream function. If \(\theta\) is the angle the flow behind the shock wave makes with respect to the negative \(y\)-direction, then the oblique shock relations give

\[ \cot \theta_1 = \left[ \frac{(\gamma + 1)M_{\infty}^2}{\gamma (M_{\infty}^2 \sin^2 \sigma_1 - 1)} - 1 \right] \tan \sigma_1 \]  

(8)


where the subscript 1 denotes quantities evaluated at \( r = 1 \). Now, \( \delta_1 \) is related to \( \theta_1 \) by

\[
\delta_1 = \theta_1 + \left( \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1} \right)^{1/2} \left\{ \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\left( \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{1/2}} \left( M_j^2 - 1 \right) \right] \right\}^{1/2} - \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\left( \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{1/2}} \left( M_{s1}^2 - 1 \right) \right]^{1/2} - \tan^{-1} \left( M_{s1}^2 - 1 \right)^{1/2}
\]

where

\[
M_j^2 = \frac{2q_j \rho_j^2}{(\gamma - 1) \rho_j} = \frac{2q_j^2}{(\gamma - 1)(1 - q_j^2)}
\]

and

\[
M_{s1}^2 = \frac{2q_{s1}^2}{(\gamma - 1)(1 - q_{s1}^2)}
\]

The subscripts \( j \) and \( s \) denote, respectively, quantities evaluated at the upper boundary of the wall jet and right behind the shock wave. Obviously,

\[
\rho_j = \rho_\infty \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} (\gamma - 1) M_j^4 \right]^{-\gamma/(\gamma - 1)}
\]

\[
E_j = E_{s1}
\]

and

\[
q_j = (1 - \rho_j/\rho_j)^{1/2}
\]

The specific entropy function evaluated right behind the shock at \( r = 1 \), \( E_{s1} \), depends only on \( M_\infty \), \( \gamma \) and \( \sigma_1 \). Hence, from Equations (8) to (13), we obtain

\[
\delta_1 = \text{fun}(M_\infty, \gamma, \sigma_1)
\]

Since the upper boundary of the wall-jet layer, \( y = \epsilon(x) \) for \( x \geq 1 \), is a streamline, we have

\[
\]
For $\delta$ in the first two quadrants only, we may combine Equations (4) and (14) to yield

$$ u_j = q_j \sin \delta $$

$$ v_j = -q_j \cos \delta $$

The signs are determined from the fact that $u_j > 0$ for $r > l$. The boundary conditions are:

A. At the wall, $y = 0$

$$ v_w = 0 $$

$$ E_w = E_{s0} $$

where $E_{s0}$ is the specific entropy function evaluated right behind the shock at $r = 0$.

B. At the centerline, $r = 0$

$$ u = 0 $$

$$ E = E_{s0} $$

$$ \sigma = \pi/2 $$

C. At the shock wave, $y = \varepsilon(r)$, $r \leq l$, the Rankine-Hugoniot relations for the gas apply:

$$ u_s = V_\infty \left[ \frac{2 \cot \sigma}{(\gamma + 1)M_\infty^2} (M_\infty^2 \sin^2 \sigma - 1) \right] $$

$$ v_s = V_\infty \left[ \frac{2(M_\infty^2 \sin^2 \sigma - 1)}{(\gamma + 1)M_\infty^2} - 1 \right] $$

$$ \rho_s = \left[ 1 + \frac{(\gamma - 1)M_\infty^2}{2} \right]^{-1/(\gamma-1)} \left[ \frac{(\gamma + 1)M_\infty^2 \sin^2 \sigma}{2 + (\gamma - 1)M_\infty^2 \sin^2 \sigma} \right] $$
\[ E_S = \left[ \frac{2\gamma M_\infty^2 \sin^2 \sigma - (\gamma - 1)}{(\gamma + 1)} \right] \left[ \frac{2 + (\gamma - 1) M_\infty^2 \sin^2 \sigma}{(\gamma + 1) M_\infty^2 \sin^2 \sigma} \right]^\gamma \]  
\[ p_s = E_s \rho_s^\gamma \]

D. At the jet boundary, \( y = \epsilon(r), r \geq 1 \), Equations (8) to (16) apply.

**METHOD OF INTEGRAL RELATIONS - SCHEME I**

A. SHOCK-LAYER REGION. Integrating the axial momentum equation (2) from 0 to \( \epsilon \), and utilizing the identity that

\[ \frac{\epsilon(r)}{\rho} (r^j p u v) dy = \frac{d}{dr} \int_0^\epsilon r^j p u v dy - \frac{d\rho}{dr} r^j \rho u s v s \]

we obtain

\[ \frac{d}{dr} \int r^j p u v dy - \frac{d\rho}{dr} r^j \rho u s v s + r^j \{ \beta (p_s - p_w) + \rho_s v_s^2 - \rho_w v_w^2 \} = 0 \]  
\[ (27) \]

In the first approximation, the integrand is assumed to be linear in \( y \) so that Equation (27) is approximated by

\[ \frac{d}{dr} [r^j \epsilon \rho_s u_s v_s] + 2r^j \{ \beta (p_s - p_w) + \rho_s v_s (v_s + u_s \cot \sigma) \} = 0 \]

Equations (5) and (17) have been used in the above equation. Similarly, Equation (7) can be integrated over the thickness of the shock layer to yield

\[ \frac{d}{dr} \left\{ r^j \left[ u_s (1 - q_s^2)^{1/(\gamma - 1)} + u_w (1 - u_w^2)^{1/(\gamma - 1)} \right] \right\} + 2r^j (1 - q_s^2)^{1/(\gamma - 1)} [v_s + u_s \cot \sigma] = 0 \]

From Equations (4) and (18) and the definition of the specific entropy function, we obtain the algebraic relation that

\[ p_w = \left[ \frac{(1 - u_w^2)^{\gamma - 1}}{E_s 0} \right] \]

Since, for fixed values of \( M_\infty \) and \( \gamma \), the quantities evaluated at the shock depend only on \( \sigma \) (as can be seen from the Rankine-Hugoniot
relations), Equations (5), (28) and (29) are the governing equations for the variables \(c, a\) and \(u\). This constitutes the scheme I of the method of integral relations. Initial conditions are Equations (19) and (21). It is well known in related blunt-body problems that the missing third initial condition is supplied by the regularity condition at the surface sonic point (Refs. (10) to (12)). For the jet-impingement problem, this requires the consideration of the wall jet since the surface sonic point lies outside the shock layer (Ref. (8)). Before we proceed any further, it is important to point out a singular feature of the scheme I formulation. The singularity occurs as

\[
\frac{d(\rho_s u_s v_s)}{d\sigma} = 0
\]

in Equation (28) and \(\frac{d\sigma}{dr}\) becomes unbounded. This has no counterpart in an exact solution. As was remarked by South (Ref. (11)) and by Gummer and Hunt (Ref. (8)), the singularity occurs in the shock layer for \(M_\infty - 2\). In fact, Gummer and Hunt found no solution that will satisfy the wall-jet relations. Since \(\frac{d(\rho_s u_s v_s)}{d\sigma}\) will appear in any method that approximates the integral in Equation (27) by an end-point quadrature formula, this singularity is peculiar to scheme I of the method of integral relations and cannot be removed by utilizing multi-strip formulations, although the particular Mach number at which the singularity occurs might be different from that of the one-strip formulation. If, on the other hand, the governing ordinary differential equations are integrated again in the \(r\)-direction, the singularity disappears since we now have algebraic equations. This is the scheme III of the method of integral relations, which will be discussed after we complete our consideration of the wall-jet region in the scheme I formulation.

B. WALL-JET REGION. Integrating Equations (2) and (7) from the plate to the upper boundary of the wall jet, we obtain

\[
\frac{d}{dr}[r^j \rho_j u_j v_j] + 2r^j \beta(p_j - p_w) = 0 \quad (31)
\]

and

\[
\frac{d}{dr} \left\{ r^j \left[ u_j (1 - q_j^2)^{1/(\gamma-1)} + u_w (1 - u_w^2)^{1/(\gamma-1)} \right] \right\} = 0 \quad (32)
\]

Because of Equation (14), these governing equations are considerably simpler than the corresponding ones in the shock layer. Utilizing Equations (15), (16) and (30), one can conclude that Equations (14), (31) and (32) are the governing equations for the variables \(c, a\) and \(u_w\). Initial conditions are, at \(r = 1\)
\[ \varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 \]
\[ u_w = u_{\text{wl}} \]
\[ \delta = \delta_1 \]

The first two are supplied by the shock-layer solution, and the third by using Equation (9) and the shock-layer solution.

Note that
\[ \frac{d}{dr} \left[ u_w (1 - u_w^2)^{1/(\gamma - 1)} \right] = (1 - u_w^2)^{(2-\gamma)/(\gamma - 1)} \left[ 1 - \frac{(\gamma + 1)u_w^2}{\gamma - 1} \right] \frac{du_w}{dr} \]

Equation (32) becomes singular as
\[ u_w = \left( \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{1/2} \equiv u_{\text{wn}} \] (33)

Utilizing the energy equation and the definition of the speed of sound
\[ a = \left[ \frac{(\gamma - 1)p}{2\rho} \right]^{1/2} \] (33a)

one may show that Equation (33) implies that
\[ u_w = a_w \] (33b)

Therefore, the singular point is the surface sonic point, \( r = \eta \). Since the wall velocity at \( r = \eta \) is continuous for a smooth plate, we may impose the regularity condition that, at \( r = \eta \)
\[ \frac{d}{dr} \left[ 1 + \csc \delta_\eta (1 - \cot^2 \delta_\eta) \frac{u_{\text{wn}} (1 - u_{\text{wn}}^2)^{1/(\gamma - 1)}}{q_j (1 - q_j^2)^{1/(\gamma - 1)}} \right] \frac{\varepsilon_\eta}{\eta - \cot \delta_\eta} \\
- 2\beta \cot \delta_\eta \csc^2 \delta_\eta \frac{(p_j - p_{\text{wn}})}{\rho_j q_j^2} = 0 \] (34)

so that \( \frac{du_w}{dr} \) is finite there. The subscript \( \eta \) denotes quantities evaluated at \( r = \eta \). Equation (34), derived after some tedious but straightforward algebra from Equations (31) and (32), provides the missing initial condition of the shock-layer equations. This completes the formulation of the scheme I of the method of integral relations.
METHOD OF INTEGRAL RELATIONS - SCHEME III

Since Gunnier and Hunt (Ref. (8)) could not find solutions that will satisfy the wall-jet equations by the scheme I of the method of integral relations, and since they and South (Ref. (11)) have pointed out the singular behavior of Equation (28) for low supersonic Mach numbers, the scheme III of the method of integral relations is used in the present study. Two different formulations have been considered and they will be discussed in the following.

A. ONE-BY-TWO SOLUTION. Consider first the simplest case that the flow field between \( r = 0 \) and \( r = \eta \) is divided into two zones: \( 0 \leq r \leq 1 \) and \( 1 \leq r \leq \eta \). Consider, in the shock layer, the simplest approximation

\[
-\frac{d\varepsilon}{dr} = \cot \sigma \approx r \cot \sigma_1
\]

which can be integrated to yield

\[
\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 - \frac{r^2 \cot \sigma_1}{2}
\]

where \( \varepsilon_0 \equiv \varepsilon(r = 0) \). Equation (36) gives the relation between the shock distances and \( \sigma_1 \) as

\[
\cot \sigma_1 = 2(\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1)
\]

Integrating Equation (28) from \( r = 0 \) to \( 1 \) and utilizing Equation (19), we obtain

\[
\rho_{sl} u_{sl} v_{sl} \varepsilon_1 + 2 \int_0^1 \rho_{sl} v_s (v_s + u_s \cot \sigma) dr = 0 \quad (38)
\]

The terms inside the curly brackets are even functions of \( r \). Hence, we may use the simplest approximating function

\[
f(r) = f_0 + (f_1 - f_0) r^2
\]

and Equation (38) thus becomes

\[
\rho_{sl} u_{sl} v_{sl} \varepsilon_1 + \frac{4}{(j + 1)(j + 3)} \left[ \rho_{s0} v_{s0}^2 + \beta (p_{s0} - p_{w0}) \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{2}{(j + 3)} \left[ \rho_{sl} v_s (v_s + u_s \cot \sigma_1) + \beta (p_{sl} - p_{w1}) \right] = 0 \quad (39)
\]

Obviously, Equation (39), being an algebraic equation, is nonsingular. Similar application of the simplest approximating function to Equation (29) yields
\[ \varepsilon_1 \left[ u_{s1} (1 - q_{s1}^2)^{1/(\gamma-1)} + u_{w1} (1 - u_{w1}^2)^{1/(\gamma-1)} \right] \\
+ \frac{4v_{s0} (1 - v_{s0}^2)^{1/(\gamma-1)}}{(j + 1)(j + 3)} + \frac{2(1 - q_{s1}^2)^{1/(\gamma-1)}}{(j + 3)} (v_{s1} + u_{s1} \cot \delta_1) = 0 \] (40)

We could use, instead of Equation (40), an equation of global mass conservation

\[ \frac{\rho_{\infty} v_{\infty}}{(1 + j)} = \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} r^j \rho u dy \bigg|_{r=1} = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} (\rho_{s1} u_{s1} + \rho_{w1} u_{w1}) \] (41)

Obviously, \( \rho_{w1} \) is related to \( u_{w1} \) by Equations (4) and (30) as

\[ \rho_{w1} = \left[ \frac{1 - u_{w1}^2}{E_{s0}} \right]^{1/(\gamma-1)} \]

Note that Equation (41) is independent of the approximating functions used in the radial direction. It depends only on the assumption of a linear variation of \( \rho u \) with \( y \), which is always the case for a one-stripe formulation.

In the wall jet, \( 1 \leq r \leq \eta \), consider

\[ - \frac{d\varepsilon}{dr} = \cot \delta = \frac{r}{\eta(1 - \eta^2)} [(1 - r^2) \cot \delta_1 + \eta(r^2 - \eta^2) \cot \delta_1] \] (42)

which yields, after a straightforward integration process

\[ \varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + \frac{(r^2 - 1)}{4\eta(1 - \eta^2)} [2(\eta^3 \cot \delta_1 - \cot \delta_1) + (r^2 + 1)(\cot \delta_1 - \eta \cot \delta_1)] \] (43)

which gives the relation between \( \varepsilon_\eta \) and \( \delta_\eta \) as

\[ \varepsilon_\eta = \varepsilon_1 + \frac{(1 - \eta^2)}{4\eta} (\eta \cot \delta_1 + \cot \delta_\eta) \] (44)

Equation (31) can be integrated from \( r = 1 \) to \( \eta \) to yield
Consider the simplest approximation that

\[ p_w \approx \left[ (n^2 - r^2)p_{w1} + (r^2 - 1)p_{wn} \right]/(n^2 - 1) \]

Equation (45) thus becomes

\[ - \rho_j q_j^2(n^2 \epsilon_n \sin \delta_n \cos \delta_n - \epsilon_1 \sin \delta_1 \cos \delta_1) + 2\beta \int_1^n r^j(p_j - p_w)dr = 0 \]  

(45)

where

\[ k_1 = [n(j+1) - 1]/(j + 1) \]

and

\[ k_2 = [n(j+3) - 1]/(j + 3) \]

Similarly, Equation (32) yields

\[ \eta^j \epsilon_n \left[ q_j(1 - q_j^2)^{1/(\gamma-1)} \sin \delta_n + \left( \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{1/(\gamma-1)} \right] \]

\[ = \epsilon_1 \left[ q_j(1 - q_j^2)^{1/(\gamma-1)} \sin \delta_1 + u_{w1}(1 - u_{w1}^2)^{1/(\gamma-1)} \right] \]  

(47)

The basic governing nonlinear algebraic equations for the one-by-two formulation are Equations (39), (40) or (41), (46), (47) and (34) for the five basic unknowns: \( \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \eta, u_{w1} \) and \( \delta_1 \). We note that it is the consideration of the surface sonic point which provides two conditions (Eqs. (33) and (34) at \( r = n \)) with one unknown (the location of \( n \)) that enables us to close the system. We shall designate solutions obtained from using Equation (40), the modified continuity equation, by the symbol MCE, and those from Equation (41), the global mass conservation equation, by the symbol GMC.

B. ONE-BY-THREE SOLUTION. In this formulation the wall-jet region is not modified. The shock layer is divided into two regions: \( 0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \leq r \leq 1 \). Denote the quantities evaluated at \( r = \frac{1}{2} \) by the subscript 2 and consider a continuous approximating function.
\[-\frac{dx}{dr} = \cot \sigma = r[8(1 - r^2)\cot \sigma_2 + (4r^2 - 1)\cot \sigma_1]/3 \quad (48)\]

Direct integration yields the equation of shock detachment distance
\[\sigma = \sigma_0 - r^2[(8\cot \sigma_2 - \cot \sigma_1) + 2(\cot \sigma_1 - 2\cot \sigma_2)r^2]/6\]

After some algebra, one may obtain the following relations between the shock angles and the detachment distances:
\[\cot \sigma_2 = (9\sigma_0 - \sigma_1 - 8\sigma_2)/3 \quad (49)\]
\[\cot \sigma_1 = (32\sigma_2 - 14\sigma_1 - 18\sigma_0)/3 \quad (50)\]

Equations (28) and (29) are of the form
\[\frac{df}{dr} + r^jg = 0 \quad (51)\]

where \(g\) is an even function of \(r\). Therefore, one may obtain by straightforward integrations that
\[f_2 - f_0 + \int_{0}^{1/2} r^jgdr = 0 \quad (52)\]
and
\[f_1 - f_0 + \int_{0}^{1} r^jgdr = 0 \quad (53)\]

The even function \(g\) may be approximated by the Lagrangian interpolation formula
\[g = g_0(1 - r^2)(1 - 4r^2) + g_1(4r^2 - 1)r^2/3 + 16g_2(1 - r^2)r^2/3 \quad (54)\]

so that the integrals in Equations (52) and (53) become
\[\int_{0}^{1/2} r^jgdr = 2^{-(j+1)}(H_0g_0 + H_1g_1 + H_2g_2) \quad (52a)\]
and
\[\int_{0}^{1} r^jgdr = I_0g_0 + I_1g_1 + I_2g_2 \quad (53a)\]

where
\[H_0 = \frac{1}{(j + 1)} - \frac{5}{4(j + 3)} + \frac{1}{4(j + 5)} \quad (52b)\]
\[H_1 = \frac{-1}{6(j + 3)(j + 5)} \quad (52c)\]
We therefore have four nonlinear algebraic equations obtainable from Equations (28) and (29). In addition, there are Equations (46), (47) and (34) of the wall-jet region. We now have two additional basic variables, namely, $\varepsilon_2$ and $u_{w2}$. The system is again closed. This formulation is termed the one-by-three MCE method. One may also consider a one-by-three GMC method by using Equation (41) to replace the equation obtained by integrating Equation (29) from $r = 0$ to 1.

It is obvious that other approximating functions can also be used. For example, if, instead of the continuous representation as given by Equation (54), the even function $g$ is assumed to be only piecewise smooth such as

$$g = g_0 + 4r^2(g_2 - g_0) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$g = \frac{1}{3}[4g_2 - g_1 + 4r^2(g_1 - g_2)] \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq r \leq 1.$$

Equations (52) and (53) still hold but the constant coefficients, $H$'s and $I$'s, will be modified accordingly. This constitutes the one-by-three MCE-PWS method and the corresponding one-by-three GMC-PWS method. Of course Equations (48) to (50) will also be replaced by the following piecewise smooth equations:

For $0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}$

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 - r^2\cot\delta_2$$

and for $\frac{1}{2} \leq r \leq 1$

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_2 - \frac{(4r^2 - 1)}{48} [2(8 \cot\delta_2 - \cot\delta_1) + (4r^2 + 1)(\cot\delta_1 - 2 \cot\delta_2)]$$

where

$$\cot\delta_2 = 4(\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_2)$$
Differents approximating functions can also be used in the one-by-two method. One possible utilization is illustrated in the following consideration of the stagnation-point quantities.

C. STAGNATION-POINT VELOCITY GRADIENT. Of particular interest to us is the stagnation-point velocity gradient which is directly related to the heat-transfer rate. Since \( u_w \) is determined only at discrete locations in the scheme III of the method of integral relations, differentiation of an interpolation formula is not accurate. This difficulty can be circumvented by the following method.

Dividing Equation (28) by \( r_j \) and taking the limit as \( r \rightarrow 0 \), we obtain

\[
(1 + j)\rho_s v_s \varepsilon_0 \left( \frac{du}{dr} \right)_0 + 2 \left\{ \beta (\rho_s - \rho_w) + \rho_s v_s^2 \right\} = 0
\]

Similarly, Equation (29) yields

\[
(1 + j) \varepsilon_0 \left[ (1 - v_s^2) 1/(\gamma - 1) \left( \frac{du}{dr} \right)_0 + \left( \frac{du}{dr} \right)_0 \right] + 2 v_s (1 - v_s^2) 1/(\gamma - 1) = 0
\]

Eliminating \( (du/\sigma)_0 \) from the above two equations, we obtain

\[
\left( \frac{du}{dr} \right)_0 = \frac{2\beta (1 - v_s^2) 1/(\gamma - 1) (\rho_s - \rho_w)}{(1 + j) \rho_s v_s \varepsilon_0}
\]

At \( r = 0, \sigma = \pi/2 \). From Equations (23) to (26), (30) and (55), one may conclude that, for fixed values of \( M_\infty \) and \( \gamma \), the stagnation-point velocity gradient is inversely proportional to the shock detachment distance at the stagnation point. Figure 2 shows the value of \( (1 + j) \varepsilon_0 \left( \frac{du}{dr} \right)_0 \) as a function of \( M_\infty \) for \( \gamma = 1.4 \).

Since

\[
\left( \frac{du}{dr} \right)_0 = \left( \frac{du}{\sigma} \right)_0 \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dr} \right)_0 = \frac{2(1 - M_\infty^2) V_\infty \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dr} \right)_0}{(\gamma + 1) M_\infty^2}
\]

we may also obtain the relation that

\[
\cot \delta_1 = \frac{8}{3} (5\varepsilon_2 - 2\varepsilon_1 - 3\varepsilon_0)
\]
Equation (56) may be used to generate slightly more complicated
equations for the shock-layer thickness and the shock angle. For
example, for the one-by-two method, we may replace Equation (35) by
the following more complicated function

\[- \frac{d\varepsilon}{d\xi} = \cot\sigma = r[(r^2 - 1)\sigma_0' + r^2\cot\sigma_1] \]  (57)

Equations (36) and (37) are thus replaced by, respectively,

\[\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 + r^2[\sigma_0'(2 - r^2) - r^2\cot\sigma_1]/4 \]  (58)

and

\[\cot\sigma_1 = \sigma_0' + 4(\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1) \]  (59)

The forms of other equations are unmodified. This formulation is
termed the one-by-two GMC (or MCE)-SP method. In essence, the utiliza-
tion of Equation (56) has increased the order of the function by 2. For
example, Equations (35) and (36) are, respectively, linear and quadratic in \(r\), but Equations (57) and (58) are cubic and quartic in \(r\), respectively. All one-by-three methods can be similarly modified
by incorporating Equation (56) in their representation of the shock
angle and the shock detachment distance, and will be termed
accordingly.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The governing coupled nonlinear algebraic equations are solved
iteratively by the Newton-Raphson method. All of the one-strip
solutions obtained so far are tabulated in Tables 1 to 3. Most of
the results do not go above \(M_\infty = 4\). This is because, for shock-
interference problems, we are mostly interested in lower supersonic
Mach numbers. There is, however, an upper limit on the free-stream
Mach number above which no physically acceptable solutions can be
obtained by the present one-strip formulation of the method of
integral relations. This happens when the location of the surface
sonic point, \(\eta\), is along the line of the jet edge (\(r = 1\)). The trend,
that \(\eta\) decreases toward unity as \(M_\infty\) increases as predicted by the
theory, was also observed experimentally by Hunt and co-workers
(Refs. (8) and (14)). However, the actual occurrence of \(\eta = 1\) is
believed to be due to the approximation introduced by the solution
method. Fortunately, this generally occurs above \(M_\infty = 4\) and hence
is not of serious concern to us for the present problem.

(14) Carlino, J. C. and Hunt, B. L., "The Near Wall Jet of a
Normally Impinging, Uniform, Axisymmetric, Supersonic Jet,"
There is also a lower limit on $M_\infty$ below which no physically acceptable solutions can be obtained. For the planar case, this happens when the calculated value of $M_{SL}$ reaches unity. The fact that it occurs at $M_\infty > 1$ is again due to the approximate nature of the solution method. For the axisymmetric case, this happens at a much higher value of $M_\infty$, and the reason for its occurrence is not understood at the present time. Fortunately, a quite wide range of $M_\infty$ does exist between which meaningful solutions have been obtained. Because of this much higher value of the lower limit on $M_\infty$ for the axisymmetric case, the majority of the results obtained is for the planar case and these results will be discussed first. The results for axisymmetric flows will be briefly considered later. All results shown are for $\gamma = 1.4$.

**PLANAR JET IMPINGEMENT**

The results of the stagnation-point velocity gradient as obtained by the various methods are shown in Figures 3 and 4 as a function of $M_\infty$. All solutions show the same trend, namely, the initial rapid increase of $\left(\frac{dU}{dr}\right)_w$ at low Mach numbers, and the slow rise toward the asymptote at high Mach numbers. The difference between one-by-two and one-by-three formulations is seen to be moderate at high Mach numbers, and it drops very rapidly as $M_\infty$ is decreased. The same can be said in regard to the different choice of the governing equations between GMC and MCE methods. The application of more complicated profiles (SP method) greatly reduces the differences between one-by-two and one-by-three formulations, but one-by-three results display only small effects by the application of these more complicated profiles. In fact, results indicate that the one-by-three formulation is quite insensitive to different approximating functions employed in general. This is not always the case when other quantities away from the stagnation point are considered, as we shall see later.

The detachment distance of the shock and the upper boundary of the wall jet as predicted by the corresponding one-by-two and one-by-three formulations is shown in Figures 5 to 7 according to different applications of the method of integral relations. All results show the following trend: (1) both the shock layer and the wall-jet layer become thicker as $M_\infty$ decreases; (2) as $M_\infty$ decreases, the location of the surface sonic point moves away from the line of the jet edge ($r = 1$); and (3) for a fixed $M_\infty$, the moderate difference between one-by-two and one-by-three formulations at the symmetry line ($r = 0$) is reduced even further at the line of the jet edge ($r = 1$).

The surface Mach number evaluated at $r = 1$, $M_{wl}'$, and the Mach number behind the shock at $r = 1$, $M_{SL}'$, are depicted in Figure 8 as functions of $M_\infty$. Clearly, neither $M_{wl}'$ nor $M_{SL}'$ is generally equal to unity. Hence the boundary conditions employed in References (8) and (9) are incorrect. The corresponding values of the shock angle at
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the line of the jet edge, $\sigma_1$, as obtained from various methods are shown in Figures 9 and 10. Similar to $M_{sl}$, they are seen to be more method-dependent than quantities such as $M_{wl}$.

The surface pressure distribution, as shown in Figure 11, indicates the general insensitivity of the results to various schemes employed. The only noticeable difference is the somewhat fuller profile predicted by the one-by-three formulation.

It therefore appears from self-consistency that reasonable engineering solutions for the stagnation-point velocity gradient (hence $\epsilon_0$) and $M_{wl}$ (hence $u_{wl}$ and $p_{wl}$) have been obtained. Since heat-transfer rate is proportional to the square root of the velocity gradient at the stagnation point (Refs. (15) and (16)), peak-heating prediction is thus even less method-dependent. This, however, is in direct contrast to the axisymmetric case which, to be discussed next, is seen to be far from converging.

AXISYMMETRIC JET IMPINGEMENT

Among all the methods employed, only one-by-two GMC and MCE schemes have produced solutions which appear not to violate some of the obvious physical constraints such as $p_{w0} > p_{w2} > p_{wl} > p_{wn}$ and, as $M_\infty$ decreases, both $(du_w/dr)_0$ and $u_{wl}$ will also decrease. The results are tabulated in Tables 3a and 3b. The lowest $M_\infty$ shown in each table is the lower limit of the Mach number below which no solution is obtainable. As we can see, the corresponding $M_{sl}$ is far from being unity. The reason for the existence of this relatively high value of the lower limit of $M_\infty$ is not understood at the present time.

The axisymmetric results are qualitatively similar to the planar solutions. There are noticeable differences also. For example, for the axisymmetric case, the shock-layer thickness drops off at a much faster rate as one moves away from the stagnation point. This results in a smaller shock angle, $\sigma_1$, and a thinner wall-jet layer. In fact, the rate that $\sigma_1$ drops with respect to decreasing $M_\infty$ is so large that $M_{sl}$ turns out to be increasing slightly as $M_\infty$ is decreased. This trend is clearly opposite to that of the planar case which shows the


monotonic decreasing behavior as was depicted in Figure 8. Since the axisymmetric solution appears to be very method-dependent (as can be seen easily by the fact that even one-by-two GMC- and MCE-SP methods yield no physically acceptable solutions), results obtained by other methods are needed before these different trends can be ascertained or refuted.

CONCLUSIONS

The major conclusion that we may draw from the present study is that solutions that satisfy all well-posed boundary conditions can be obtained by the one-strip formulation of the method of integral relations. The application of the scheme III of the method has enabled us to avoid both the unwanted singularity at the low supersonic Mach number and the numerical difficulty of satisfying the regularity condition at the surface sonic point peculiar to the scheme I of the method. Rational engineering solutions for the stagnation-point velocity gradient and, hence, the peak heat-transfer rate have been obtained for a planar supersonic balanced jet impinging normally on a flat surface. However, more theoretical and/or experimental studies are needed before present results can be quantitatively assessed. Toward this goal, a two-strip formulation of the method of integral relations has been completed. Unfortunately, because of the time limitations, no quantitative results have yet been obtained. For the sake of completeness, this formulation is included in the Appendix.

Since, for impingement angles between normal (90 degrees) and about 50 degrees, the effect of the angle of impingement on the peak pressure was found experimentally by Henderson (Ref. (17)) to be small, the present planar jet-impingement model might be coupled with the shock-interference model of Edney (Ref. (1)) as programmed by Morris and Keyes (Ref. (18)) to predict type IV shock-interaction effects. In view of the extremely short computer time required by the present method (typically less than five seconds on a CDC 6500 computer for one converged solution at one Mach number), this approach is indeed very attractive.


## Table 1: PLANAR JET IMPINGEMENT: ONE-BY-TWO SOLUTIONS

**a. GMC Method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M&lt;sub&gt;∞&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>((\frac{du}{dr}))&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>c&lt;sub&gt;0&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>c&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>c&lt;sub&gt;η&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>P&lt;sub&gt;wo&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>P&lt;sub&gt;wl&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>P&lt;sub&gt;wn&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>c&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>δ&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>δ&lt;sub&gt;η&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>M&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>M&lt;sub&gt;s1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.1843</td>
<td>0.9499</td>
<td>0.6202</td>
<td>0.6196</td>
<td>1.019</td>
<td>0.0617</td>
<td>0.0338</td>
<td>0.0326</td>
<td>0.9878</td>
<td>1.505</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>3.371</td>
<td>1.392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.1825</td>
<td>0.9765</td>
<td>0.6472</td>
<td>0.6448</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>0.0917</td>
<td>0.0519</td>
<td>0.0484</td>
<td>0.9824</td>
<td>1.452</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>3.199</td>
<td>1.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.1799</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>0.6354</td>
<td>0.6784</td>
<td>1.072</td>
<td>0.1388</td>
<td>0.0819</td>
<td>0.0733</td>
<td>0.9895</td>
<td>1.387</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>3.006</td>
<td>1.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1761</td>
<td>1.069</td>
<td>0.7423</td>
<td>0.7245</td>
<td>1.123</td>
<td>0.2130</td>
<td>0.1330</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
<td>0.9918</td>
<td>1.303</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.787</td>
<td>1.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1701</td>
<td>1.157</td>
<td>0.8341</td>
<td>0.7888</td>
<td>1.206</td>
<td>0.3283</td>
<td>0.2213</td>
<td>0.1735</td>
<td>0.9969</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.535</td>
<td>1.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1594</td>
<td>1.317</td>
<td>1.002</td>
<td>0.8803</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td>0.4990</td>
<td>0.3722</td>
<td>0.2636</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>1.031</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.241</td>
<td>1.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.1505</td>
<td>1.457</td>
<td>1.150</td>
<td>0.9399</td>
<td>1.452</td>
<td>0.6055</td>
<td>0.4803</td>
<td>0.3199</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>0.9231</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.074</td>
<td>1.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.1368</td>
<td>1.689</td>
<td>1.392</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>1.592</td>
<td>0.7209</td>
<td>0.6113</td>
<td>0.3808</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>0.7873</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.893</td>
<td>1.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.1295</td>
<td>1.829</td>
<td>1.539</td>
<td>1.042</td>
<td>1.660</td>
<td>0.7674</td>
<td>0.6687</td>
<td>0.4054</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>0.7233</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.816</td>
<td>1.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.1206</td>
<td>2.016</td>
<td>1.732</td>
<td>1.075</td>
<td>1.735</td>
<td>0.8127</td>
<td>0.7275</td>
<td>0.4293</td>
<td>1.054</td>
<td>0.6529</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.737</td>
<td>1.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.1100</td>
<td>2.276</td>
<td>1.999</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>1.819</td>
<td>0.8557</td>
<td>0.7860</td>
<td>0.4521</td>
<td>1.065</td>
<td>0.5759</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.655</td>
<td>1.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.1026</td>
<td>2.484</td>
<td>2.211</td>
<td>1.131</td>
<td>1.874</td>
<td>0.8799</td>
<td>0.8201</td>
<td>0.4649</td>
<td>1.072</td>
<td>0.5265</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.604</td>
<td>1.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (Cont'd)

b. MCE Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_o$</th>
<th>$(\frac{du_w}{dx})_0$</th>
<th>$c_0$</th>
<th>$c_1$</th>
<th>$c_2$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$P_{w0}$</th>
<th>$P_{wl}$</th>
<th>$P_{wn}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_2$</th>
<th>$M_j$</th>
<th>$M_{sl}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.1738</td>
<td>1.049</td>
<td>0.7424</td>
<td>0.7374</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>0.1388</td>
<td>0.0803</td>
<td>0.0733</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>1.420</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.967</td>
<td>1.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1715</td>
<td>1.098</td>
<td>0.7887</td>
<td>0.7732</td>
<td>1.118</td>
<td>0.2130</td>
<td>0.1310</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
<td>1.017</td>
<td>1.328</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.761</td>
<td>1.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1671</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>0.8677</td>
<td>0.8245</td>
<td>1.206</td>
<td>0.3283</td>
<td>0.2192</td>
<td>0.1735</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>1.207</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.520</td>
<td>1.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1580</td>
<td>1.329</td>
<td>1.022</td>
<td>0.9010</td>
<td>1.349</td>
<td>0.4990</td>
<td>0.3706</td>
<td>0.2636</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>1.039</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.234</td>
<td>1.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.1496</td>
<td>1.465</td>
<td>1.163</td>
<td>0.9532</td>
<td>1.455</td>
<td>0.6055</td>
<td>0.4794</td>
<td>0.3199</td>
<td>1.027</td>
<td>0.9276</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.071</td>
<td>1.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.1365</td>
<td>1.693</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>1.017</td>
<td>1.594</td>
<td>0.7209</td>
<td>0.6110</td>
<td>0.3808</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>0.7894</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.891</td>
<td>1.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.1292</td>
<td>1.832</td>
<td>1.544</td>
<td>1.047</td>
<td>1.662</td>
<td>0.7674</td>
<td>0.6685</td>
<td>0.4054</td>
<td>1.048</td>
<td>0.7247</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.815</td>
<td>1.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.1204</td>
<td>2.019</td>
<td>1.736</td>
<td>1.078</td>
<td>1.737</td>
<td>0.8127</td>
<td>0.7274</td>
<td>0.4293</td>
<td>1.057</td>
<td>0.6538</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.736</td>
<td>1.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.1099</td>
<td>2.277</td>
<td>2.002</td>
<td>1.112</td>
<td>1.820</td>
<td>0.8557</td>
<td>0.7860</td>
<td>0.4521</td>
<td>1.067</td>
<td>0.5763</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.654</td>
<td>1.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.1026</td>
<td>2.485</td>
<td>2.213</td>
<td>1.132</td>
<td>1.875</td>
<td>0.8799</td>
<td>0.8201</td>
<td>0.4649</td>
<td>1.073</td>
<td>0.5268</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.604</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c. GMC-SP Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_o$</th>
<th>$c_0$</th>
<th>$c_1$</th>
<th>$c_2$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$P_{w0}$</th>
<th>$P_{wl}$</th>
<th>$P_{wn}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_2$</th>
<th>$M_j$</th>
<th>$M_{sl}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.1978</td>
<td>0.9219</td>
<td>0.6854</td>
<td>0.6784</td>
<td>1.072</td>
<td>0.1388</td>
<td>0.0819</td>
<td>0.0733</td>
<td>0.9895</td>
<td>1.387</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>3.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1922</td>
<td>0.9799</td>
<td>0.7423</td>
<td>0.7245</td>
<td>1.123</td>
<td>0.2130</td>
<td>0.1330</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
<td>0.9918</td>
<td>1.303</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1836</td>
<td>1.073</td>
<td>0.8341</td>
<td>0.7888</td>
<td>1.206</td>
<td>0.3283</td>
<td>0.2213</td>
<td>0.1735</td>
<td>0.9969</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1693</td>
<td>1.240</td>
<td>1.002</td>
<td>0.8803</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td>0.4990</td>
<td>0.3722</td>
<td>0.2636</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>1.031</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.1582</td>
<td>1.386</td>
<td>1.150</td>
<td>0.9399</td>
<td>1.452</td>
<td>0.6055</td>
<td>0.4803</td>
<td>0.3199</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>0.9231</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.1422</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>1.392</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>1.592</td>
<td>0.7209</td>
<td>0.6113</td>
<td>0.3808</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>0.7873</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.1339</td>
<td>1.769</td>
<td>1.539</td>
<td>1.042</td>
<td>1.660</td>
<td>0.7674</td>
<td>0.6687</td>
<td>0.4054</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>0.7233</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.1241</td>
<td>1.959</td>
<td>1.732</td>
<td>1.075</td>
<td>1.735</td>
<td>0.8127</td>
<td>0.7275</td>
<td>0.4293</td>
<td>1.054</td>
<td>0.6529</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.1166</td>
<td>2.079</td>
<td>1.854</td>
<td>1.092</td>
<td>1.776</td>
<td>0.8346</td>
<td>0.7569</td>
<td>0.4409</td>
<td>1.059</td>
<td>0.6152</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.696</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2 PLANAR JET IMPINGEMENT: ONE-BY-THREE SOLUTIONS

#### a. GMC Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_w$</th>
<th>$\left(\frac{du}{dr}\right)_0$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_0$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_1$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_n$</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$P_{w0}$</th>
<th>$P_{w1}$</th>
<th>$P_{wn}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_n$</th>
<th>$M_j$</th>
<th>$M_{sl}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.2081</td>
<td>0.8498</td>
<td>0.65580</td>
<td>0.65579</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>0.0781</td>
<td>0.04134</td>
<td>0.04125</td>
<td>1.077</td>
<td>1.567</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>3.147</td>
<td>1.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.2069</td>
<td>0.8612</td>
<td>0.6663</td>
<td>0.6662</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>0.0917</td>
<td>0.0493</td>
<td>0.0484</td>
<td>1.076</td>
<td>1.541</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>3.082</td>
<td>1.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.2032</td>
<td>0.8978</td>
<td>0.7005</td>
<td>0.6982</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>0.1388</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>0.0733</td>
<td>1.073</td>
<td>1.466</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.906</td>
<td>1.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1977</td>
<td>0.9526</td>
<td>0.7519</td>
<td>0.7421</td>
<td>1.093</td>
<td>0.2130</td>
<td>0.1275</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>1.370</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.708</td>
<td>1.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1890</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>0.8365</td>
<td>0.8033</td>
<td>1.179</td>
<td>0.3283</td>
<td>0.2141</td>
<td>0.1735</td>
<td>1.067</td>
<td>1.242</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.479</td>
<td>1.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1741</td>
<td>1.206</td>
<td>0.9960</td>
<td>0.8901</td>
<td>1.327</td>
<td>0.4990</td>
<td>0.3650</td>
<td>0.2636</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>1.063</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.208</td>
<td>1.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.1622</td>
<td>1.351</td>
<td>1.139</td>
<td>0.9466</td>
<td>1.438</td>
<td>0.6055</td>
<td>0.4744</td>
<td>0.3199</td>
<td>1.067</td>
<td>0.9447</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.053</td>
<td>1.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.1452</td>
<td>1.592</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>1.013</td>
<td>1.582</td>
<td>0.7209</td>
<td>0.6076</td>
<td>0.3080</td>
<td>1.073</td>
<td>0.7984</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.881</td>
<td>1.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### b. MCE Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_w$</th>
<th>$\left(\frac{du}{dr}\right)_0$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_0$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_1$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_n$</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$P_{w0}$</th>
<th>$P_{w1}$</th>
<th>$P_{wn}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_n$</th>
<th>$M_j$</th>
<th>$M_{sl}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.1917</td>
<td>0.9515</td>
<td>0.7698</td>
<td>0.7682</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>0.1388</td>
<td>0.0770</td>
<td>0.0733</td>
<td>1.112</td>
<td>1.486</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.866</td>
<td>1.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1884</td>
<td>0.9993</td>
<td>0.8121</td>
<td>0.8032</td>
<td>1.091</td>
<td>0.2130</td>
<td>0.1261</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
<td>1.104</td>
<td>1.385</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.677</td>
<td>1.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1824</td>
<td>1.079</td>
<td>0.8850</td>
<td>0.8522</td>
<td>1.182</td>
<td>0.3283</td>
<td>0.2125</td>
<td>0.1735</td>
<td>1.094</td>
<td>1.252</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.458</td>
<td>1.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1703</td>
<td>1.233</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>0.9231</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>0.4990</td>
<td>0.3638</td>
<td>0.2636</td>
<td>1.085</td>
<td>1.068</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.197</td>
<td>1.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.1645</td>
<td>1.309</td>
<td>1.104</td>
<td>0.9505</td>
<td>1.397</td>
<td>0.5615</td>
<td>0.4268</td>
<td>0.2966</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>0.9983</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.108</td>
<td>1.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### c. GMC-PWS Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_w$</th>
<th>$\left(\frac{du}{dr}\right)_0$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_0$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_1$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_n$</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$P_{w0}$</th>
<th>$P_{w1}$</th>
<th>$P_{wn}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_n$</th>
<th>$M_j$</th>
<th>$M_{sl}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.2017</td>
<td>0.9042</td>
<td>0.6924</td>
<td>0.6889</td>
<td>1.052</td>
<td>0.1388</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>0.0733</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>1.439</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.944</td>
<td>1.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1961</td>
<td>0.9602</td>
<td>0.7460</td>
<td>0.7338</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>0.2130</td>
<td>0.1293</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
<td>1.039</td>
<td>1.348</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.738</td>
<td>1.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1874</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>0.8335</td>
<td>0.7965</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>0.3283</td>
<td>0.2165</td>
<td>0.1735</td>
<td>1.039</td>
<td>1.226</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>1.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1726</td>
<td>1.216</td>
<td>0.9964</td>
<td>0.8857</td>
<td>1.333</td>
<td>0.4990</td>
<td>0.3674</td>
<td>0.2636</td>
<td>1.043</td>
<td>1.053</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.221</td>
<td>1.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.1609</td>
<td>1.362</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>0.9437</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>0.6055</td>
<td>0.4764</td>
<td>0.3199</td>
<td>1.049</td>
<td>0.9385</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.061</td>
<td>1.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.1442</td>
<td>1.602</td>
<td>1.383</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>1.585</td>
<td>0.7209</td>
<td>0.6088</td>
<td>0.3808</td>
<td>1.059</td>
<td>0.7958</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.886</td>
<td>1.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.1356</td>
<td>1.747</td>
<td>1.529</td>
<td>1.043</td>
<td>1.654</td>
<td>0.7674</td>
<td>0.6668</td>
<td>0.4054</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>0.7293</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.811</td>
<td>1.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_e$</td>
<td>( \left( \frac{du}{dr} \right)_0 )</td>
<td>$\epsilon_o$</td>
<td>$\epsilon_l$</td>
<td>$\epsilon_n$</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$P_{W_0}$</td>
<td>$P_{W_1}$</td>
<td>$P_{W_n}$</td>
<td>$\sigma_1$</td>
<td>$\delta_1$</td>
<td>$\delta_n$</td>
<td>$M_J$</td>
<td>$M_{s1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.2039</td>
<td>0.8945</td>
<td>0.7002</td>
<td>0.6980</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>0.1388</td>
<td>0.0781</td>
<td>0.0733</td>
<td>1.073</td>
<td>1.465</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.907</td>
<td>1.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1983</td>
<td>0.9494</td>
<td>0.7517</td>
<td>0.7418</td>
<td>1.093</td>
<td>0.2130</td>
<td>0.1275</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
<td>1.069</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.709</td>
<td>1.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1896</td>
<td>1.038</td>
<td>0.8364</td>
<td>0.8031</td>
<td>1.179</td>
<td>0.3287</td>
<td>0.2141</td>
<td>0.1735</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>1.242</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.479</td>
<td>1.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1746</td>
<td>1.203</td>
<td>0.9960</td>
<td>0.8900</td>
<td>1.327</td>
<td>0.4990</td>
<td>0.3651</td>
<td>0.2636</td>
<td>1.065</td>
<td>1.063</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.208</td>
<td>1.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.1626</td>
<td>1.348</td>
<td>1.139</td>
<td>0.9465</td>
<td>1.438</td>
<td>0.6055</td>
<td>0.4745</td>
<td>0.3199</td>
<td>1.067</td>
<td>0.9446</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.053</td>
<td>1.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.1596</td>
<td>1.387</td>
<td>1.177</td>
<td>0.9590</td>
<td>1.464</td>
<td>0.6281</td>
<td>0.4993</td>
<td>0.3318</td>
<td>1.068</td>
<td>0.9178</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.020</td>
<td>1.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.2021</td>
<td>0.9023</td>
<td>0.6924</td>
<td>0.6889</td>
<td>1.052</td>
<td>0.1388</td>
<td>0.0793</td>
<td>0.0733</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>1.439</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.944</td>
<td>1.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1965</td>
<td>0.9584</td>
<td>0.7460</td>
<td>0.7336</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>0.2130</td>
<td>0.1293</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
<td>1.039</td>
<td>1.348</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.738</td>
<td>1.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1877</td>
<td>1.049</td>
<td>0.8335</td>
<td>0.7965</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>0.3283</td>
<td>0.2165</td>
<td>0.1735</td>
<td>1.039</td>
<td>1.226</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>1.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1729</td>
<td>1.215</td>
<td>0.9964</td>
<td>0.8857</td>
<td>1.333</td>
<td>0.4990</td>
<td>0.3674</td>
<td>0.2636</td>
<td>1.043</td>
<td>1.053</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.221</td>
<td>1.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.1611</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>0.9437</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>0.6055</td>
<td>0.4764</td>
<td>0.3199</td>
<td>1.049</td>
<td>0.9385</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.061</td>
<td>1.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.1444</td>
<td>1.601</td>
<td>1.383</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>1.585</td>
<td>0.7209</td>
<td>0.6088</td>
<td>0.3808</td>
<td>1.059</td>
<td>0.7958</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.886</td>
<td>1.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.1357</td>
<td>1.745</td>
<td>1.529</td>
<td>1.043</td>
<td>1.654</td>
<td>0.7674</td>
<td>0.6668</td>
<td>0.4054</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>0.7293</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.811</td>
<td>1.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_e$</th>
<th>( \left( \frac{du}{dr} \right)_0 )</th>
<th>$\epsilon_o$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_l$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_n$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$P_{W_0}$</th>
<th>$P_{W_1}$</th>
<th>$P_{W_n}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_n$</th>
<th>$M_J$</th>
<th>$M_{s1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.1912</td>
<td>0.9542</td>
<td>0.7597</td>
<td>0.7574</td>
<td>1.043</td>
<td>0.1388</td>
<td>0.0779</td>
<td>0.0733</td>
<td>1.077</td>
<td>1.468</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.902</td>
<td>1.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1878</td>
<td>1.003</td>
<td>0.8033</td>
<td>0.7928</td>
<td>1.099</td>
<td>0.2130</td>
<td>0.1274</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
<td>1.071</td>
<td>1.370</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.707</td>
<td>1.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1817</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>0.8782</td>
<td>0.8428</td>
<td>1.189</td>
<td>0.3283</td>
<td>0.2144</td>
<td>0.1735</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>1.241</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.481</td>
<td>1.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1696</td>
<td>1.238</td>
<td>1.026</td>
<td>0.9159</td>
<td>1.338</td>
<td>0.4990</td>
<td>0.3658</td>
<td>0.2636</td>
<td>1.060</td>
<td>1.061</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.211</td>
<td>1.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.1591</td>
<td>1.378</td>
<td>1.164</td>
<td>0.9651</td>
<td>1.448</td>
<td>0.6055</td>
<td>0.4753</td>
<td>0.3199</td>
<td>1.062</td>
<td>0.9432</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>2.055</td>
<td>1.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.1433</td>
<td>1.613</td>
<td>1.399</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>1.590</td>
<td>0.7209</td>
<td>0.6083</td>
<td>0.3808</td>
<td>1.068</td>
<td>0.7978</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>1.883</td>
<td>1.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3  AXISYMMETRIC JET IMPINGEMENT:  ONE-BY-TWO SOLUTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_{\infty}$</th>
<th>$\left(\frac{d\omega}{dr}\right)_0$</th>
<th>$\xi_0$</th>
<th>$\xi_1$</th>
<th>$\xi_\infty$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$P_{w0}$</th>
<th>$P_{wl}$</th>
<th>$P_{wn}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_\infty$</th>
<th>$M_j$</th>
<th>$M_{s1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.1052</td>
<td>0.8466</td>
<td>0.3325</td>
<td>0.3297</td>
<td>1.006</td>
<td>0.0917</td>
<td>0.0494</td>
<td>0.0484</td>
<td>0.7715</td>
<td>1.127</td>
<td>1.168</td>
<td>3.572</td>
<td>1.969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.09998</td>
<td>0.9122</td>
<td>0.3631</td>
<td>0.3521</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>0.1388</td>
<td>0.0777</td>
<td>0.0733</td>
<td>0.7386</td>
<td>1.017</td>
<td>1.144</td>
<td>3.385</td>
<td>1.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.09390</td>
<td>0.9855</td>
<td>0.3910</td>
<td>0.3701</td>
<td>1.034</td>
<td>0.1717</td>
<td>0.0992</td>
<td>0.0907</td>
<td>0.6992</td>
<td>0.9167</td>
<td>1.131</td>
<td>3.307</td>
<td>2.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.09138</td>
<td>1.016</td>
<td>0.4008</td>
<td>0.3760</td>
<td>1.038</td>
<td>0.1792</td>
<td>0.1047</td>
<td>0.0947</td>
<td>0.6824</td>
<td>0.8804</td>
<td>1.129</td>
<td>3.302</td>
<td>2.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.08853</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>0.4108</td>
<td>0.3820</td>
<td>1.042</td>
<td>0.1839</td>
<td>0.1085</td>
<td>0.0971</td>
<td>0.6632</td>
<td>0.8424</td>
<td>1.127</td>
<td>3.312</td>
<td>2.161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_{\infty}$</th>
<th>$\left(\frac{d\omega}{dr}\right)_0$</th>
<th>$\xi_0$</th>
<th>$\xi_1$</th>
<th>$\xi_\infty$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$P_{w0}$</th>
<th>$P_{wl}$</th>
<th>$P_{wn}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_1$</th>
<th>$\delta_\infty$</th>
<th>$M_j$</th>
<th>$M_{s1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.1051</td>
<td>0.8479</td>
<td>0.3311</td>
<td>0.3277</td>
<td>1.007</td>
<td>0.0917</td>
<td>0.0436</td>
<td>0.0484</td>
<td>0.7689</td>
<td>1.123</td>
<td>1.172</td>
<td>3.577</td>
<td>1.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.09766</td>
<td>0.9338</td>
<td>0.3531</td>
<td>0.3353</td>
<td>1.032</td>
<td>0.1388</td>
<td>0.0811</td>
<td>0.0733</td>
<td>0.7108</td>
<td>0.9696</td>
<td>1.179</td>
<td>3.433</td>
<td>2.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.09382</td>
<td>0.9774</td>
<td>0.3614</td>
<td>0.3366</td>
<td>1.042</td>
<td>0.1510</td>
<td>0.0911</td>
<td>0.0798</td>
<td>0.6818</td>
<td>0.9067</td>
<td>1.186</td>
<td>3.425</td>
<td>2.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>0.09141</td>
<td>1.005</td>
<td>0.3656</td>
<td>0.3367</td>
<td>1.046</td>
<td>0.1549</td>
<td>0.0951</td>
<td>0.0818</td>
<td>0.6637</td>
<td>0.8708</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>3.437</td>
<td>2.206</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 1 SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM
FIG. 2 UNIVERSAL CURVE FOR $\gamma = 1.4$
FIG. 3 STAGNATION-POINT VELOCITY GRADIENT: COMPARISON BETWEEN GMC AND MCE METHODS FOR PLANAR CASE
FIG. 4 STAGNATION-POINT VELOCITY GRADIENT: EFFECTS OF APPROXIMATING FUNCTIONS FOR PLANAR CASE
FIG. 5 THICKNESS DISTRIBUTION: GMC METHODS FOR PLANAR CASE
FIG. 6 THICKNESS DISTRIBUTION: MCE METHODS FOR PLANAR CASE
FIG. 7 THICKNESS DISTRIBUTION: GMC - SP METHODS FOR PLANAR CASE
FIG. 8 MACH NUMBER BEHIND SHOCK AND PLATE MACH NUMBER AT r = 1 FOR PLANAR CASE
FIG. 9 SHOCK ANGLE AT r = 1: EFFECTS OF APPROXIMATING FUNCTIONS FOR PLANAR CASE
FIG. 3: SHOCK ANGLE AT r = 1: COMPARISON BETWEEN GMC AND MCE METHODS FOR PLANAR CASE
FIG. 11 SURFACE PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION: COMPARISON BETWEEN GMC AND MCE METHODS FOR PLANAR CASE

$M_{\infty} = 1.64$
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APPENDIX A

TWO-STRIP FORMULATION OF THE JET-IMPINGEMENT PROBLEM

METHOD OF INTEGRAL RELATIONS - SCHEME I

The flow field is divided into two strips in the axial (y-) direction by the middle line y = c/2. The governing equations are different depending on whether they are in the shock layer (0 ≤ r ≤ 1) or in the wall-jet layer (1 ≤ r ≤ η).

A. SHOCK-LAYER REGION. Integrating the axial moment equation (2) from 0 to c/2, we obtain

\[
\frac{d}{dr} \int_0^{c/2} r^j \rho u v dy = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dr} r^j \rho u H \nu H + r^j \beta (p_H - p_w)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\rho_H \nu_H^2 - \rho_w \nu_w^2}{H H} = 0
\]

(A1)

where the subscript H denotes quantities evaluated at y = c/2. If a quadratic profile in y is assumed for the integrands in Equations (A1) and (27), after some algebra, we may obtain, as an approximation to Equation (2), the following two ordinary differential equations:

\[
\frac{d}{dr} (r^j \rho u s v_s) + 4r^j \{\rho s v_s (v_s + u s c o t o) - \rho_H \nu_H (2v_H + u_H c o t o) + \beta (p_s - 2p_H + p_w)\} = 0
\]

(A2)

\[
\frac{d}{dr} (r^j \rho u H v_H) + \frac{r^j}{2} \{\rho s v_s (v_s + u s c o t o) + 2\rho_H \nu_H (2v_H + u_H c o t o) + \beta (p_s + 4p_H - 5p_w)\} = 0
\]

(A3)

Equations (5) and (17) have been used in the above equations.

Similarly, Equations (1) and (3) yield

\[
\frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^j (\rho_w u_w - \rho_s u_s) \right] + 4r^j \{\rho_H (2v_H + u_H c o t o) - \rho_s (v_s + u_s c o t o)\} = 0
\]

(A4)

\[
\frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^j (2\rho_H u_H + \rho_s u_s) \right] + r^j \{5\rho_s (v_s + u_s c o t o) - 2\rho_H (2v_H + u_H c o t o)\} = 0
\]

(A5)
\[
\frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^j (\rho_w u_w^2 + \beta p_w - \rho_s u_s^2 - \beta p_s) \right] + 4r^j (\rho_H u_H (2v_H + u_H \cot \sigma) - \rho_s u_s (v_s + u_s \cot \sigma) + \beta (p_H - p_s) \cot \sigma) = j \beta \epsilon (p_w - p_s) \quad (A6)
\]
and
\[
\frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^j (\rho_w u_w^2 + \beta p_s + 2\rho_H u_H^2 + 2\beta p_H) \right] + r^j (5\rho_s u_s (v_s + u_s \cot \sigma) - 2\rho_H u_H (2v_H + u_H \cot \sigma) + \beta (5p_s - 2p_H) \cot \sigma) = j \beta \epsilon (2p_H + p_s) \quad (A7)
\]

The energy Equation (4) gives
\[
P_w = \rho_w (1 - u_w^2) \quad (A8)
\]
and
\[
P_H = \rho_H (1 - u_H^2 - v_H^2) \quad (A9)
\]

Thus, Equation (A2) defines the rate of change of \( \sigma \), Equations (A4), (A6) and (A8) those of \( u_w' \), \( \rho_w \) and \( p_w' \), and Equations (A3), (A5), (A7) and (A9) those of \( u_H' \), \( v_H \), \( \rho_H \) and \( p_H' \). Because of Equation (18), one may replace Equations (A6) and (A8) by the simpler algebraic relations, Equation (30) and
\[
\rho_w = \left[ \frac{1 - u_w^2}{E \sigma} \right]^{1/(\gamma - 1)} \quad (A10)
\]

Therefore, there are six ordinary differential equations (Eqs. (5), (A2) to (A5) and (A7)) for \( \sigma \), \( \epsilon \), \( u_w' \), \( u_H' \), \( v_H \) and \( \rho_H \). Initial conditions are, from Equations (19) to (21), at \( r = 0 \)
\[
u_H = 0
\]
\[
u_w = 0
\]
\[
\rho_H = \left[ \frac{(1 - v_H^2)}{E \sigma} \right]^{1/(\gamma - 1)}
\]
and
\[
\sigma_c = \pi/2
\]

The two missing initial conditions for \( \epsilon \) and \( v_H \) are supplied by the two regularity conditions at the surface sonic point and the singularity on the middle line. It is known for the jet-impingement problem that the surface sonic point, \( r = \eta \), is outside the shock
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layer (Ref. (8)), but the location of the other "sonic" point on the middle line relative to the line of the jet edge ($r = 1$) is unknown a priori. These singularities and the associated regularity conditions, well-known for blunt-body problems, are essential for closing the system of equations. They will be discussed in detail later.

Because the structure of Equation (A2) is similar to Equation (28), Equation (A2) will also become singular as

$$\frac{d(\rho_S u_S v_S)}{d\sigma} = 0$$

and $d\sigma/dr$ will become unbounded. A formulation based on scheme III will thus be required. Before this, however, we shall complete the present discussion of the scheme I method by considering the wall-jet region.

B. WALL-JET REGION. Integrating Equations (1) to (3) from the plate to the middle line and from the plate to the upper boundary of the wall jet, and after some straightforward algebra, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dr}(r^j\rho_j u_j v_j) + 4r^j(\beta(p_j - 2p_H + p_w) - \rho_H v_H(2v_H + u_H\cot\delta)) = 0 \quad (A11)$$

$$\frac{d}{dr}(r^j\rho_H u_H v_H) + \frac{r^j}{2}(2p_H v_H(2v_H + u_H\cot\delta) + \beta(p_j + 4p_H - 5p_w)) = 0 \quad (A12)$$

$$\frac{d}{dr}(r^j\rho_w u_w - \rho_j u_j) + 4r^j\rho_H(2v_H + u_H\cot\delta) = 0 \quad (A13)$$

$$\frac{d}{dr}(r^j(2p_H u_H + \rho_j u_j)) - 2r^j\rho_H(2v_H + u_H\cot\delta) = 0 \quad (A14)$$

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left[r^j(\rho_j u_j^2 + 2p_H u_H^2 + \beta p_j + 2\beta p_H) \right] + r^j(\beta(5p_j - 2p_H)\cot\delta - 2p_H u_H(2v_H + u_H\cot\delta)) = j\beta(2p_H + p_j) \quad (A15)$$

As in the shock layer, the other ordinary differential equation that comes from the radial momentum equation (3) is replaced by the algebraic equations (30) and (A10). In addition, there is the geometric relation, Equation (6), the boundary conditions at the wall-jet boundary, Equations (8) to (16), and the energy equation (A9). Therefore, there are six ordinary differential equations (Eqs. (6), and (A11) to (A15)) for $\varepsilon, \delta, u_w, u_H, v_H$ and
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ρ_H. Matching conditions at r = 1 supply the initial conditions.

One may combine Equations (A13) and (A14) to give

$$ r^j ε (ρ_w u_w + 4ρ_H u_H + ρ_j u_j) = ε_1 (ρ_w l w_l + 4ρ_H l_H l + ρ_j l_j) \quad (A16) $$

which, being an algebraic relation, can be used to replace, e.g., Equation (A14).

C. REGULARITY CONDITIONS. Utilizing Equation (A10) and after some straightforward algebra, we may rewrite Equation (A13) in the form

$$ \frac{du_w}{dr} = \frac{N_1}{D_1} $$

where

$$ D_1 = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{γ + 1}{γ - 1} \right) u_w^2 \right] $$

To have a finite value of $du_w/dr$ at the singularity given by Equation (33), we require that $N_1 > 0$ as $D_1 > 0$ at $r = n$. This provides us with the regularity condition which, using Equation (A11) at $r = n$ to get rid of $dδ_n/dr$ and after some straightforward algebra, becomes

$$ q_j \left( -\frac{ε_n}{n} - \cot δ_n \right) (ρ_w n w_w cos2δ_n + ρ_j q_j sin^3 δ_n) $$

$$ + 4ρ_H n (2v H_n + u H_n cot δ_n) (q_j cos2δ_n + v H_n cosδ_n) $$

$$ - 4β cosδ_n (p_j - 2ρ H_n + p w n) = 0 \quad (A17) $$

The location of the singularity on the middle line is again unknown a priori. Two different formulations are needed depending on whether it is larger than 1 or otherwise. Let's consider the first case (henceforth referred to as Case W), and denote the singularity to be at $r = ξ > 1$.

From Equations (A9), (A12), (A14) and (A15) we may obtain

$$ \frac{du_H}{dr} = \frac{N_2}{D_2} $$

where

$$ D_2 = (γ + 1) u_H^2 + (γ - 1) (v_H^2 - 1) $$
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Therefore, as $D_2 + 0$ at $r = \xi$, we need to impose the regularity condition that $N_2 + 0$ at $r = \xi$. Using Equation (A4) at $r = \xi$ to get rid of $d\sigma_{\xi}/dr$ (where the subscript $\xi$ denotes quantities evaluated at $\xi$) and after some tedious but straightforward algebra, we may obtain the regularity condition

$$
\frac{\rho_j q_j^2 \sin^2 \delta \xi \{ (\gamma - 1) \sin \delta \xi - \gamma q_j u_{H\xi} \} \left( \frac{\sigma_{\xi}}{\xi} - \cot \delta \xi \right)}{1 + 3 \gamma q_j^2 u_{H\xi}^2 - 4 C_B v_{H\xi}}$
$$

where $C_A = (\gamma - 1) q_j \cos 2\delta \xi$

$$
C_B = (1 - \gamma + 2 \gamma q_j^2 u_{H\xi} \sin \delta \xi) \cos \delta \xi
$$

and, at $r = \xi$

$$
u_{H\xi} = \left[ \frac{(\gamma - 1)(1 - v_{H\xi}^2)}{(\gamma + 1)} \right]^{1/2}
$$

It is easy to show that Equation (A19) is equivalent to $u_{H\xi} = a_{H\xi}$.

Let us now consider the case when the singularity on the middle line occurs in the shock layer. Henceforth, we shall refer to this case as Case S and denote the singularity to be at $r = \zeta < 1$. From Equations (A3), (A5), (A7) and (A9) we may obtain

$$
\frac{du_H}{dr} = \frac{N_3}{D_3}
$$

where again

$$
D_3 = (\gamma + 1) u_H^2 + (\gamma - 1)(v_H^2 - 1)
$$

This is to be expected since the structure of the governing equations in both layers is similar. Therefore, as $D_3 + 0$ at $r = \xi, N_3 = 0$. Using Equation (A2) at $r = \xi$ to get rid of $d\sigma_{\xi}/dr$ (where the subscript $\xi$ denotes quantities evaluated at $r = \xi$) and
after some tedious but straightforward algebra, we obtain, at 
\( r = \zeta \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\rho u_H}{v_H} &= \left[ (\frac{1}{\gamma + 1})(1 - \nu_H^2) \right]^{1/2} \\
\end{align*}
\]  
\( (A20) \)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ \frac{d(\rho u_s v_s)}{dr} \right] &= \left\{ u_H \left( \beta p_s + \rho u_s^2 \right) - 2\beta \rho u_s \right\} \left( \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{r} - \cot \sigma \right) \\
+ \rho_s(v_s + u_s \cot \sigma) [5u_H + 2\beta(v_S v_H - 5)] + 2\beta \rho_H (2v_H + u_H \cot \sigma) \\
+ (1 + 3v_H^2 - u_H^2) + \beta(5p_S - 2p_H)u_H \cot \sigma + 2\beta^2 v_H (p_s + 4p_H - 5p_w) \\
- j\beta u_H \epsilon(2p_H + p_s)/r \}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \rho_s u_s v_s \left( \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{r} - \cot \sigma \right) + 4\left[ \rho_s v_s (v_s + u_s \cot \sigma) - \rho_H v_H (2v_H \right. \\
+ u_H \cot \sigma) + \beta(p_s - 2p_H + p_w) \} = 0
\end{align*}
\]  
\( (A21) \)

where Equation (A21) is evaluated at \( r = \zeta \).

D. STAGNATION-POINT VELOCITY GRADIENT. Dividing Equation
\( (A2) \) by \( r^3 \) and taking the limit as \( r \to 0 \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 + j)\rho s_0 v_{s0} \epsilon_0 \frac{du_s}{dr} + 4\left( \rho s_0 v_{s0}^2 - 2\rho H_0 v_{H0}^2 \right) \\
+ \beta(p_s - 2p_{H0} + p_{w0}) \} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly, Equation (A4) yields

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 + j)\epsilon_0 \left\{ \rho w_0 \left( \frac{du_w}{dr} \right)_0 - \rho s_0 \left( \frac{du_s}{dr} \right)_0 \} + 4(2\rho H_0 v_{H0} - \rho s_0 v_{s0}) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

Eliminating \( (du_s/dr)_0 \) from the above two equations we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{du_w}{dr} \right)_0 = \frac{4(2\rho H_0 v_{H0} - \rho s_0 v_{s0}) - \beta(p_s - 2p_{H0} + p_{w0})}{(1 + j)\rho w_0 v_{s0} \epsilon_0}
\end{align*}
\]  
\( (A22) \)

for fixed values of \( M_{\infty} \) and \( \gamma \), Equation (A22) indicates that the product \( \epsilon_0 (du_w/dr)_0 \) depends also on \( v_{H0} \).
A two-by-four formulation will be presented as an example below. To extend the formulation to two-by-n with n > 4 is straightforward, but the algebra involved will be much more complicated. In addition, there will be more equations to solve. This certainly will aggravate the convergence problem. For simplicity, we shall only present the details of Case W. The other case is very similar.

The flow field is divided in the radial direction into \((0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \xi, \eta)\). In the shock layer, Equations (48) to (53) obviously still hold. In addition, Equations (A2) to (A5) are of the form of Equation (51), and hence they can be put into the forms of Equations (52) and (53), with the integrals and coefficients given by Equations (52a) to (53d). Equation (A7) is of the form

\[
\frac{df}{dr} + r^j g = jh \quad (A23)
\]

where \(g\) and \(h\) are, respectively, odd and even in \(r\). In addition, \(g_0 = 0\). Straightforward integrations of Equation (A23) over \(r\) yield

\[
f_2 - f_0 + \int_0^{1/2} r^j gdr = j \int_0^{1/2} hhdr = (A24)
\]

and

\[
f_1 - f_0 + \int_0^1 r^j gdr = j \int_0^1 hhdr = (A25)
\]

Consider the continuous approximating functions for \(g\) and \(h\) as

\[
g = \frac{r}{3}[8g_2 - g_1 + 4(g_1 - 2g_2)r^2]
\]

\[
h = h_0 + \frac{(16h_2 - h_1 - 15h_0)}{3} r^2 + \frac{4(3h_0 + h_1 - 4h_2)}{3} r^4
\]

Equations (A24) and (A25) become

\[
f_k - f_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{2} (a_k g_i - b_k h_i) = 0 \quad , \quad k = 1,2 \quad (A26a,b)
\]

where

\[
a_{10} = a_{20} = 0
\]

\[
a_{11} = \frac{(3j + 4)}{3(j + 2)(j + 4)}
\]

\[
a_{12} = \frac{16}{3(j + 2)(j + 4)}
\]
\[ a_{21} = \frac{-2(j+1)}{3(j+2)(j+4)} \]
\[ a_{22} = \frac{2(j+1)}{3(j+2)(j+4)} \]
\[ b_{10} = \frac{2j}{15} \]
\[ b_{11} = \frac{7j}{45} \]
\[ b_{12} = \frac{32j}{45} \]
\[ b_{20} = \frac{19j}{60} \]
\[ b_{21} = \frac{-j}{180} \]

and
\[ b_{22} = \frac{17j}{90} \]

In the wall-jet layer, consider

\[ -\frac{d\xi}{dr} = \cot\delta = r \left[ \frac{(n^2 - r^2)(\xi^2 - r^2)}{(n^2 - 1)(\xi^2 - 1)} \cot\delta_1 + \frac{(\xi^2 - r^2)(1 - r^2)}{n(\xi^2 - n^2)(1 - n^2)} \cot\delta_n + \frac{(n^2 - r^2)(1 - r^2)}{\xi(n^2 - \xi^2)(1 - \xi^2)} \cot\delta_\xi \right] \]  

(A27)

Direct integration yields

\[ \xi = \xi_1 - \frac{(r^2 - 1)}{12} \left\{ \frac{\cot\delta_1}{(n^2 - 1)(\xi^2 - 1)} \left[ 6n^2\xi^2 - 3(n^2 + \xi^2)(r^2 + 1) + \frac{\cot\delta_n}{n(\xi^2 - n^2)(1 - n^2)} \left[ 6\xi^2 - 3(\xi^2 + 1)(r^2 + 1) \right] \right] \right\} \]
\[ + \frac{\cot\delta_n}{n(\xi^2 - n^2)(1 - n^2)} \left[ 6\xi^2 - 3(\xi^2 + 1)(r^2 + 1) \right] \]
\[ + \frac{\cot\delta_\xi}{\xi(n^2 - \xi^2)(1 - \xi^2)} \left[ 6n^2 - 3(n^2 + 1)(r^2 + 1) \right] \]
\[ + \frac{\cot\delta_\xi}{\xi(n^2 - \xi^2)(1 - \xi^2)} \left[ 6n^2 - 3(n^2 + 1)(r^2 + 1) \right] \]

(A28)
After some algebra, we obtain

\[
\epsilon_\xi = \epsilon_1 - \frac{(\xi^2 - 1)}{12} \left\{ \frac{\cot\delta_1}{(n^2 - 1)} (3n^2 - \xi^2 - 2) - \frac{\cot\delta_n}{n(\xi^2 - n^2)(1 - n^2)} (\xi^2 - 1)^2 - \frac{\cot\delta_\xi}{\xi(n^2 - \xi^2)} (2\xi^2 - 3n^2 + 1) \right\}
\]

(A29)

and \(\epsilon_n\) is obtained by interchanging \(\xi\) and \(n\) in Equation (A29).

Equations (A11) to (A14) are of the form of Equation (51). The even function \(g\) can now be approximated again by the Lagrangian interpolation formula

\[
g = \frac{(n^2 - r^2)(\xi^2 - r^2)}{(n^2 - 1)(\xi^2 - 1)} g_1 + \frac{(\xi^2 - r^2)(1 - r^2)}{(\xi^2 - n^2)(1 - n^2)} g_n
\]

\[
+ \frac{(n^2 - r^2)(1 - r^2)}{(n^2 - \xi^2)(1 - \xi^2)} g_\xi
\]

(A30)

which is symmetric in \(\xi\) and \(n\), i.e., the equation is unchanged by interchanging \(\xi\) and \(n\). Integrating Equation (51) over \(r\) and using Equation (A30), we obtain

\[
f_a = f_1 + g_1 G(n, \xi, 1; a) + g_n G(1, \xi, n; a) +
\]

\[
g_\xi G(1, n, \xi; a) = 0 \quad a = \xi, n
\]

(A31a,b)

where

\[
G(x, y, z; r) = \frac{S_1(x, y; r)}{(x^2 - z^2)(y^2 - z^2)}
\]

(A32)

and

\[
S_j(x, y; r) = \frac{x^2 y^2}{(j + 1)} \left[ \frac{r(j+1) - 1}{(j + 3)} - \frac{(x^2 + y^2)}{(j + 3)} \right] - 1 + \left[ \frac{r(j+5) - 1}{j + 5} \right]
\]

(A33)

Equation (A15) is of the form of Equation (A23). Using Lagrangian interpolation formula for approximating the odd and even functions \(g\) and \(h\), respectively, we obtain by straightforward integration
\[ f_a - f_1 + g_1 H(\eta, \xi, \lambda ; a) + g_\eta H(1, \xi, \eta ; a) + g_\xi H(1, \xi, \eta ; a) = \\
\] 
\[ j[h_1 K(n, \xi, \lambda ; a) + h_\eta K(1, \xi, \eta ; a) + h_\xi K(1, \eta, \xi ; a)] ; \ a = \xi, \eta \]  
(A34a,b)

where
\[ H(x, y, z ; r) \equiv \frac{S_{j+1}(x, y ; r)}{z(x^2 - z^2)(y^2 - z^2)} \]  
(A35)

and
\[ K(x, y, z ; r) \equiv \frac{S_0(x, y ; r)}{(x^2 - z^2)(y^2 - z^2)} \]  
(A36)

There are 22 basic unknowns in the two-by-four formulation: \( \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_2 \) \( \varepsilon_1, \delta_\xi, \delta_\eta, u_{W2}, u_{WL}, u_{W\xi}, v_{H0}, v_{H1}, v_{H\xi}, v_{H\eta}, u_{H2}, u_{H1}, u_{H\eta}, \) \( \rho_{H2}, \rho_{H1}, \rho_{H\xi}, \rho_{H\eta}, \xi \) and \( \eta \). The basic equations are: Equations (A2) to (A5) in the forms of Equations (52) and (53); Equation (A7) in the forms of Equations (A26a,b); Equations (A11) to (A14) in the forms of Equations (A31a,b); Equation (A15) in the form of Equations (A34a,b); and the regularity conditions, Equations (A17) and (A18). Total number of equations is also 22 and the system is closed.
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