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ABSTRACT

A test was conducted in the Propulsion Wind Tunnel (16T) to determine the static stability characteristics of the M-117 Bomb with any of three tail fin configurations. Tests were made over the Mach number range of 0.6 to 1.4 for an angle-of-attack range of -3 to 19 deg, and fin orientations of 11.25 to -101.25 deg. The M-117 Bomb was statically stable for all three tail fin configurations for the Mach number range of the tests.
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NOMENCLATURE

\( C_A \quad \text{Axial-force coefficient, positive downstream,} \quad \frac{F_A}{q_\infty S} \)

\( C_\ell \quad \text{Rolling-moment coefficient, positive clockwise looking upstream,} \quad \frac{M_\ell}{q_\infty SD} \)

\( C_m \quad \text{Pitching-moment coefficient, positive nose up,} \quad \frac{M_m}{q_\infty SD} \)

\( C_N \quad \text{Normal-force coefficient, positive up,} \quad \frac{F_N}{q_\infty S} \)

\( C_n \quad \text{Yawing-moment coefficient, positive nose right looking upstream,} \quad \frac{M_n}{q_\infty SD} \)

\( C_Y \quad \text{Side-force coefficient, positive right looking upstream,} \quad \frac{F_Y}{q_\infty S} \)

\( D \quad \text{Diameter of model (reference diameter),} \quad 1.33 \text{ ft} \)

\( F_A \quad \text{Axial force, positive downstream, lb} \)

\( F_N \quad \text{Normal force, positive up, lb} \)

\( F_Y \quad \text{Side force, positive right, lb} \)

\( M_\ell \quad \text{Rolling moment, positive clockwise looking upstream, ft-lb} \)

\( M_m \quad \text{Pitching moment, positive nose up, ft-lb} \)

\( M_n \quad \text{Yawing moment, positive nose right looking upstream, ft-lb} \)

\( M_\infty \quad \text{Free-stream Mach number} \)

\( q_\infty \quad \text{Free-stream dynamic pressure, psf} \)

\( \text{Re} \quad \text{Unit Reynolds number, per ft} \)

\( S \quad \text{Maximum cross-sectional area of model,} \quad 1.396 \text{ ft}^2 \)

\( x_{np} \quad \text{Neutral-point location expressed in body diameters from the cg,} \quad \text{dC}_m/\text{dC}_N \text{ at } \alpha = 0, \text{ positive forward of the cg location} \)

\( \alpha \quad \text{Model angle of attack, positive nose up, deg} \)

\( \gamma \quad \text{Tail fin orientation, positive clockwise looking upstream} \quad \text{(zero with one set of fins in the pitch plane), deg} \)
SECTION I
INTRODUCTION

Small-scale tests reported in Ref. 1 were conducted to determine the static stability characteristics of various tail fin configurations proposed for the M-117 Bomb in order to provide both an aerodynamically and structurally acceptable fin. Selections were made from this effort to verify performance full scale. This report presents the results of wind tunnel tests on full-scale M-117 model configurations to determine the static stability characteristics with the original tail fin (M131A1) and two proposed configurations (MAU-103A/B and M131A1 modified).

Tests were made over the Mach number range of 0.6 to 1.4 for an angle-of-attack range of -3 to 19 deg. The tail fin assembly was rotated about the model body longitudinal axis to obtain fin orientations from 11.25 to -101.25 deg.

SECTION II
APPARATUS

2.1 TEST FACILITIES

Tunnel 16T is a closed-circuit, continuous-flow wind tunnel that can be operated at Mach numbers from 0.55 to 1.60. The tunnel can be operated over a stagnation pressure range from approximately 100 to 4000 psf and over a stagnation temperature range from 90 to 125°F. The tunnel specific humidity is controlled by interchanging the tunnel air with conditioned makeup air from an atmospheric dryer. Perforated walls in the test section allow continuous operation through the Mach number range with a minimum of wall interference.

A detailed description of the tunnel, its equipment, and calibration may be found in Ref. 2. Details of the test section wall configuration and location of the model in the tunnel are shown in Fig. 1.

2.2 MODEL GEOMETRY

The test article was a full-scale model of the M-117 Bomb. The basic bomb consisted of a contoured nose section, cylindrical mid-section, and a conical afterbody with four fins spaced at 90-deg intervals. Provisions were made to manually rotate the tail fin assembly
about the model longitudinal axis to obtain fin orientations of 11.25-deg increments. Details of the model and the tail fin configurations are shown in Fig. 2. A typical model installation in the tunnel is shown in Fig. 3.

2.3 INSTRUMENTATION

An internally mounted, six-component, strain-gage balance was used to measure model forces and moments. Outputs from the balance were digitized for on-line data reduction by a Raytheon 520 computer. Also, the balance outputs were continuously recorded on a direct-writing oscillograph for monitoring model dynamics.

SECTION III
TEST DESCRIPTION

3.1 PROCEDURE

For a given fin orientation, data were obtained while holding Mach number constant and varying the angle of attack between -3 and 19 deg. The model was tested at Mach numbers from 0.6 to 1.1 at simulated pressure altitudes of 15,000 ft, Mach number 1.2 at 25,000 ft and Mach number 1.4 at 28,400 ft. The corresponding Reynolds numbers are presented in Fig. 4.

3.2 DATA REDUCTION

The force and moments were corrected for weight tares and reduced to coefficient form in the nonrolling body axis system. Pitching and yawing moments were determined about the M-117 Bomb center of gravity which was located at a common model station 28.11 in. aft of the nose. All force and moment coefficients are based on the model maximum diameter and cross-sectional area.

3.3 PRECISION OF MEASUREMENTS

An estimate of the accuracy of measurements is presented in the following table:
SECTION IV
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The primary purpose of this investigation was to determine the static longitudinal stability characteristics of various tail fin configurations attached to the M-117 Bomb. In addition, the effects of fin orientation on the static longitudinal stability were investigated for the MAU-103A/B and M131A1 configurations.

4.1 STATIC STABILITY (ZERO-DEGREE FIN ORIENTATION)

The normal-force and pitching-moment coefficients as a function of angle of attack for each configuration are presented in Figs. 5 and 6. The stability data are summarized in Figs. 7 and 8. The static longitudinal stability characteristics of the M-117 Bomb can be interpreted from the slope of the pitching-moment versus normal-force coefficient plots presented in Fig. 7. As shown in Fig. 7, the M-117 Bomb was statically stable with all three fin configurations at zero-degree fin orientation throughout the angle-of-attack and Mach number ranges of the test. The stability of the M-117 Bomb increased slightly at angles of attack above 4 deg for all three fin configurations. As shown in Fig. 8, all of the configurations tested are stable (-$x_{np}$) at zero-degree angle of attack and zero-degree fin orientation for the Mach number range of the test. The M131A1 and the M131A1 (modified shape) configurations exhibited essentially the same static stability margin for all test Mach numbers. The MAU-103A/B configuration exhibited the least margin of stability and had a minimum static stability margin of 0.46 calibers at Mach number 1.0.

4.2 FIN ORIENTATION

4.2.1 Static Stability

Normal-force and pitching-moment coefficients of the MAU-103A/B and the M131A1 configurations for various fin orientations are presented
as a function of angle of attack in Figs. 9 and 10. For angles of attack greater than zero, the magnitude of the normal-force and pitching-moment coefficients decreases as the fin orientation angle is increased up to -45 deg or compliments of 45 deg. As shown in Fig. 11, this decrease in normal-force and pitching-moment coefficient resulted in slightly less static stability of the M-117 Bomb at the higher angles of attack.

Neutral-point location of the MAU-103A/B and of the M131A1 configurations versus Mach number for various tail fin orientations is presented in Fig. 12. As shown in Fig. 12, fin orientation had little effect on the static margin of stability of the MAU-103A/B and the M131A1 configurations at zero-degree angle of attack.

4.2.2 Induced Forces and Moments

Side forces and yawing and rolling moments induced on a symmetrical vehicle are produced by the combination of angle of attack and fin orientation and became significant only at the higher angles of attack. The data show that the induced force and moment coefficients increased to a maximum magnitude as the fin orientation angle was increased to -22.5 deg or any compliment of 22.5 deg. The magnitude of the induced force and moment coefficients decreased from the maximum magnitude at -22.5 deg to essentially the magnitude of the zero-degree fin orientation at -45 deg. The induced side forces and yawing and rolling moments are the results of interaction between the fins and the body at high angles of attack. In addition to the induced forces and moments from fin orientation there could be forces and moments produced by small misalignment of the tail fin assembly.

The variation of the induced side-force coefficient with angle of attack, fin roll orientation, and Mach number for the MAU-103A/B and the M131A1 configurations is shown in Fig. 13. The induced side forces for both configurations are much larger in magnitude at the subsonic Mach numbers than at the supersonic Mach numbers. The induced side-force coefficients for the MAU-103A/B are larger than those for the M131A1 configuration at corresponding angles of attack.

The effect of fin orientation on induced yawing-moment coefficient as a function of angle of attack is shown in Fig. 14 for various Mach numbers. The magnitude of the induced yawing-moment coefficient for the M131A1 configuration for a fin orientation angle of -22.5 deg is generally less than the magnitude of the MAU-103A/B configuration with a fin orientation angle of -11.25 deg.
The variation of the induced rolling-moment coefficient with angle of attack, fin orientation, and Mach number is shown in Fig. 15. At subsonic Mach numbers there was little or no induced rolling-moment coefficient with the M131A1 configuration and only at the supersonic Mach numbers and extremely high angles of attack did the M131A1 configuration have any significant induced rolling-moment coefficient. The induced rolling-moment coefficient of the MAU-103A/B configuration at high angles of attack decreased from a large positive value to a large negative value as Mach number increased.

4.3 AXIAL FORCE

The variation of axial-force coefficient with Mach number for the three configurations investigated at zero angle of attack is presented in Fig. 16. As would be expected, the thicker, blunt trailing-edge fins (M131A1 modified shape) had a larger axial-force coefficient at all test conditions. The increase in axial-force coefficient of the M131A1 (modified shape) configuration over that of the M131A1 configuration was about three percent.

SECTION V
CONCLUDING REMARKS

Aerodynamic force and moment data were obtained to determine the static stability characteristics of the M-117 Bomb for various tail fin configurations at Mach numbers from 0.60 to 1.40. The results of the investigation are summarized below:

1. All configurations investigated are stable for the angle-of-attack and Mach number range of the test.
2. The MAU-103A/B configuration exhibited the least margin of stability and had a minimum static stability margin of 0.46 calibers at Mach number 1.0.
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### CONFIGURATION Y, DEGREES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Y, Degrees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAU-103 A/B</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M131A1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAU-103 A/B</td>
<td>-11.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAU-103 A/B</td>
<td>-22.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M131A1</td>
<td>-22.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAU-103 A/B</td>
<td>-45.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 15** Variation of Induced Rolling-Moment Coefficient with Angle of Attack
Fig. 16 Variation of Axial-Force Coefficient with Mach Number, $\alpha = 0$
# Static Stability Characteristics of the M-117 Bomb At Mach Numbers From 0.60 To 1.40 With Three Tail Fin Configurations

A test was conducted in the Propulsion Wind Tunnel (16T) to determine the static stability characteristics of the M-117 Bomb with any of three tail fin configurations. Tests were made over the Mach number range of 0.6 to 1.4 for an angle-of-attack range of −3 to 19 deg, and fin orientations of 11.25 to −101.25 deg. The M-117 Bomb was statically stable for all three tail fin configurations for the Mach number range of the tests.
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