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ABSTRACT

A wind tunnel investigation was conducted in the Aerodynamic Wind Tunnel (1T) to determine the static stability characteristics of two bodies of revolution fitted with 2-, 3-, and 4-cal tangent ogive noses of varying spherical bluntness. Testing was conducted at Mach numbers from 0.6 to 1.5 and angles of attack from -2 to 14 deg. The major effect of blunting the noses was evidenced in the axial-force coefficient. Lengthening the noses from 2 to 4 cal caused a forward movement of the center-of-pressure location and a corresponding decrease in axial-force coefficient at Mach numbers of 1.0 and higher. Changing from a 5- to a 9-cal midbody resulted in an increase in axial-force coefficient and an aft movement of the center-of-pressure location.
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NOMENCLATURE

\( C_A \) Axial-force coefficient, measured axial force/\( q_{\infty} S \)

\( C_{A,b} \) Base axial-force coefficient, \( (p_{\infty} - p_0)/q_{\infty} S \)
$C_{A,F}$ Forebody axial-force coefficient, $C_A - C_{A,b}$

$C_m$ Pitching-moment coefficient, measured pitching moment/$q_{\infty}S$

$C_N$ Normal-force coefficient, measured normal force/$q_{\infty}S$

$\bar{c}$ Model moment reference length, 0.1 ft

$M_\infty$ Free-stream Mach number

$p_b$ Base pressure, psf

$p_\infty$ Free-stream static pressure, psf

$q_\infty$ Free-stream dynamic pressure, 0.7 $p_\infty M_\infty^2$, psf

$S$ Model reference area, $\pi\bar{c}^2/4$, 0.07854 ft$^2$

$X_{CP}$ Center-of-pressure location, $C_m/C_N$, cal

$X_{NP}$ Neutral-point location, $(dC_m/dC_N)_{\alpha=0}$, cal

$\alpha$ Model angle of attack, deg

Note: $C_m$, $X_{CP}$, and $X_{NP}$ are all referenced to the model nose-body junction.
SECTION I
INTRODUCTION

A wind tunnel investigation was conducted in the Aerodynamic Wind Tunnel (1T), Propulsion Wind Tunnel Facility (PWT), to determine the static stability characteristics of two bodies of revolution when fitted with 2-, 3-, and 4-cal noses of varying spherical bluntness (defined as the ratio of spherical nose radius to body radius). The tests were conducted at Mach numbers from 0.6 to 1.5 and angles of attack from -2 to 14 deg.

The purpose of the test was to provide aerodynamic data for the preliminary design of guided munitions which require a spherical optical window in the nose.

SECTION II
APPARATUS

2.1 TEST FACILITY

The Aerodynamic Wind Tunnel (1T) is a continuous flow, non-return wind tunnel capable of being operated at Mach numbers from 0.2 to 1.5 utilizing variable nozzle contours above $M_n = 1.1$. The tunnel is operated at a stilling chamber total pressure of approximately 2850 psf with ±5-percent variation, dependent on tunnel resistance and ambient atmospheric conditions. The total temperature can be varied from 80 to 120 deg above ambient as necessary to prevent visible condensation in the test section. The test section is 1 ft square and 37.5 in. long with six-percent open area perforated walls. A schematic of the tunnel installation is shown in Fig. 1 (Appendix). Photographs of a typical installation of both 5- and 9-cal midbodies are shown in Fig. 2.

2.2 TEST ARTICLES

The test models were composed of a tangent ogive nose (N), a cylindrical midbody (M), and a finless cylindrical afterbody (A) joined together to form a composite unit. Photographs of these components are presented in Fig. 3.

In this report, all configurations will be identified by this N-M-A nomenclature, e.g., N14 M5 A17. The various noses, midbodies, and afterbody, and their corresponding identification numbers, are specified in the dimensional sketches presented in Fig. 4. Twelve noses were tested on two centerbodies. The afterbody on all configurations was a 1-cal cylinder.
There were three basic tangent ogive nose lengths: 2, 3, and 4 cal. Each basic nose was modified by "rounding off" the tip to three different ratios of nose radius to body radius. The ratios used were 0.25, 0.50, and 0.75.

2.3 INSTRUMENTATION

A 6-component internal strain-gage balance was used to acquire aerodynamic forces and moments. In addition, base pressure was obtained by averaging the readings from two pressure transducers connected to orifices placed on either side of the sting (Fig. 2) at the plane of the model base.

SECTION III
TEST PROCEDURES

3.1 TEST CONDITIONS AND DATA ACQUISITION

Data were obtained on all configurations at Mach numbers of 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2, and 1.5 at angles of attack from -2 to 14 deg. Tunnel total temperature was varied from 150 to 200°F as required to prevent moisture condensation in the test section. Tunnel total pressure varied from 2780 to 2970 psf. The resultant dynamic pressure varied from a minimum of 550 psf at M\text{\textsubscript{∞}} = 0.6 to a maximum of 1275 psf at M\text{\textsubscript{∞}} = 1.5.

3.2 PRECISION OF MEASUREMENTS

The accuracy of the data presented was affected by uncertainties in tunnel conditions and balance measurements. Uncertainties in the tunnel conditions and coefficient data were calculated for each data point as a part of the data reduction program. By assuming negligible bias and a 95-percent confidence level, typical results were as follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M\text{\textsubscript{∞}}</th>
<th>\Delta M\text{\textsubscript{∞}}</th>
<th>\Delta Q (psf)</th>
<th>\Delta C\text{\textsubscript{N}}</th>
<th>\Delta C\text{\textsubscript{A}}</th>
<th>\Delta C\text{\textsubscript{m}}</th>
<th>\Delta X\text{\textsubscript{CP}}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION IV
RESULTS

Data are presented for each nose-midsection-afterbody combination in Figs. 5 through 10. In general, rounding the nose to the 0.25, 0.50, and 0.75 ratios of spherical bluntness had negligible effect on the normal-force coefficient. The center-of-pressure location moved
aft on the model as the nose was blunted. The amount of movement varied with Mach number and basic nose length (2, 3, or 4 cal). As expected, the higher degrees of bluntness caused an increase in the forebody axial-force coefficients. This increase was most evident at $M_{\infty} = 1.2$ and 1.5.

Comparisons of 2-, 3-, and 4-cal noses of the same bluntness show changes in center-of-pressure location and forebody axial-force coefficient (Figs. 11 and 12). As the basic nose was lengthened, the center-of-pressure location moved forward. The effect of the longer noses on forebody axial-force coefficient was small at Mach numbers of 0.6 and 0.8. At Mach numbers of 1.0, 1.2, and 1.5, there was a decrease in the axial-force coefficient as the basic nose length was changed from 2 to 4 cal. The magnitude of this decrease became smaller as each basic nose was blunted from 0 to 0.75. At a bluntness ratio of 0.75, the difference in forebody axial-force coefficient among the three noses was small at all Mach numbers at which data were acquired.

A comparison of data for the same nose on the 5-cal (M5) and 9-cal (M9) midbodies shows an increase in forebody axial-force coefficient, a decrease in base axial-force coefficient, and an aft movement of the center-of-pressure location with the 9-cal midbody. Data taken with the 9-cal midbody showed a slightly higher normal-force coefficient than with the 5-cal midbody at angles of attack greater than 6 deg. Typical plots of these comparisons for noses of zero and 0.50 bluntness ratios are presented in Figs. 13 and 14.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYMBOL</th>
<th>CONF</th>
<th>BLUNTNESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□</td>
<td>N22 M5 A17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○</td>
<td>N23 M5 A17</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>△</td>
<td>N24 M5 A17</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>♦</td>
<td>N25 M5 A17</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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---

**Fig. 7** Aerodynamic Coefficients of 4-cal Noses on 5-cal Midbody

*a. Normal-Force Coefficient and Center-of-Pressure Location*
b. Forebody and Base Axial-Force Coefficients

Fig. 7 Concluded
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Fig. 8 Concluded
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Fig. 11 Continued
Fig. 11 Continued
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---
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Fig. 12 Continued
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Fig. 13 Continued
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Fig. 14 Aerodynamic Coefficients of 5- and 9-cal Midbodies Fitted with 4-cal Noses
b. Forebody and Base Axial-Force Coefficients, Zero Bluntness Ratio

Fig. 14 Continued
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---

c. Normal-Force Coefficient and Center-of-Pressure Location, 0.50 Bluntness Ratio
Fig. 14  Continued
d. Forebody and Base Axial-Force Coefficients, 0.50 Bluntness Ratio

Fig. 14 Concluded
A wind tunnel investigation was conducted in the Aerodynamic Wind Tunnel (IT) to determine the static stability characteristics of two bodies of revolution fitted with 2-, 3-, and 4-cal tangent ogive noses of varying spherical bluntness. Testing was conducted at Mach numbers from 0.6 to 1.5 and angles of attack from -2 to 14 deg. The major effect of blunting the noses was evidenced in the axial-force coefficient. Lengthening the noses from 2 to 4 cal caused a forward movement of the center-of-pressure location and a corresponding decrease in axial-force coefficient at Mach numbers of 1.0 and higher. Changing from a 5- to a 9-cal midbody resulted in an increase in axial-force coefficient and an aft movement of the center-of-pressure location.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY WORDS</th>
<th>LINK A</th>
<th>LINK B</th>
<th>LINK C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROLE</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>ROLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aerodynamic characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bodies of revolution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blunt bodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>center of pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transonic flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>