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### NOTATION

- \( a, b \): Biaxial load factors
- \( d \): Distance between the centers of two openings
- \( h \): Height of a rectangular opening
- \( K \): \( h/w \)
- \( R \): Radius of a circular opening
- \( r \): Radius of curvature of a corner on a square or rectangular opening
- \( s \): Distance between the boundaries of two openings
- \( T \): Tension load
- \( w \): Width of a rectangular opening
- \( a \): Reinforcement around an opening
- \( \beta \): Local coordinate system around an opening in degrees
- \( \theta \): Load direction in degrees
- \( \lambda \): Ratio of applied biaxial loads \( a/b \)
- \( \rho \): \( r/w \)
ABSTRACT

A summary of stress concentration studies has been provided for the case of single and multiple openings in plate structures. This report summarizes some published theoretical studies as well as some previously unpublished photoelastic studies performed at the Center. Also included are comments for modifications of the design criteria currently used for openings on ships.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

This work was authorized and funded by the Naval Ship Systems Command (SHIPS 0342) as part of Project S-F422 310, Task 15071.

INTRODUCTION

During recent years the Navy has been developing high-performance, weight-critical ships. These structurally complex ships have had to include numerous openings due to the increasing uses of electrical and hydraulic systems. These openings, clustered together with the more traditional openings due to hatches, ventilation ducts, passageways, etc., cause stress concentrations that the naval architect must consider in order to have a safe and efficient design.

Several papers have been written about stress concentrations around openings. Most of the work done has been devoted to the case of single, isolated openings of different shapes. There has also been theoretical and experimental work performed on pairs and select clusters of openings, such as circular openings arranged in rows and regular arrays. However, not much effort has been spent on the practical problem of reinforced openings in pairs and clusters. Zwenig\(^1\) presents a review of the literature available through 1967.

This report presents a summary of theoretical and experimental published results concerning stress concentrations around openings in plates loaded under uniaxial and biaxial tension and compression. Several previously unpublished photoelastic studies of pairs and clusters of reinforced and unreinforced openings are also included. All of the results are combined under the following headings: single openings, pairs of openings, and clusters of openings. These groupings are also subdivided as to reinforced and unreinforced cases.

Recommendations are made for modifying the design procedures used for openings on ships. Appendix A contains the details of the previously unpublished photoelastic studies mentioned earlier.

CURRENT DESIGN PRACTICE

The current procedures for designing openings in the structure of surface ships are outlined in Navy Design Data Sheets (DDS) 9110–1 and 9110–2. These design procedures consist of a few rules of thumb which consider the geometry of isolated openings and reinforcement based on standard plate thicknesses of conventional ships. In the nearly 20 years since these design procedures were first issued, new types of high-performance ships have been introduced to the fleet, having different service requirements than those for conventional ships. Since the service stresses in a ship are a function of its geometry and the magnitude and type of loads encountered, it is important to distinguish between types of ships when developing opening-design technology. The existing design methods, based on geometry, do not adequately consider the type and magnitude of loads. It is therefore possible that an opening may be overdesigned for the load conditions for one type of ship and yet be underdesigned for the load conditions for another type of ship. The current designs are also based on standard plate thicknesses for conventional ships and should be updated to include the new plate thicknesses and materials of weight-critical high-performance ships. The existing DDS's do not consider the mutual effects of openings in a cluster. However, so much work has been done, during recent years, on the important phenomena of mutual effects that it is now time to include these data in the design procedures.

RESULTS

SINGULAR OPENINGS

Unreinforced

Stress concentrations caused by an opening in a plate are presented as nondimensional numbers called stress concentration factors (SCF). An SCF is the ratio of the maximum stress to the nominal stress that would occur if the discontinuity of the opening were not present.

The stress distribution around an isolated, circular opening in an infinite plate is presented in Figure 1, taken from D'Arcangelo. The maximum SCF of 3.0 is found on the boundary of the opening parallel to the applied uniaxial tension. Brock determines some SCF's for square holes with rounded corners by using the complex variable method of Muskhelishvili. Using this same method, Heller presents the SCF's for rectangular

---

openings with rounded corners in biaxially loaded plates. Figures 2 through 4, taken from Heller\textsuperscript{6} are families of curves depicting the SCF’s for rectangles of various aspect ratios and corner radii when loaded by combinations of uniaxial and biaxial tension and compression. Squares, circles, and ovaloids can also be found as special cases of the rectangles. Figures 5 and 6, taken from Heller,\textsuperscript{6} present curves for finding the most favorable corner-radius ratios in terms of load factors and aspect ratio. No SCF’s for squares or rectangles with sharp corners are given because they have theoretical SCF’s of infinity and induce failures in real structures.

**Reinforced**

Openings are often reinforced in order to lower the SCF’s to acceptable levels. Figure 7, taken from Reference 2, shows the three kinds of reinforcements commonly used in welded structures, i.e.,

1. A doubler reinforcement
2. A face bar reinforcement and
3. An insert plate reinforcement.

Dhir and Brock\textsuperscript{7} present another method for reinforcing openings with large savings in structural weight.

The amount of reinforcement is often given as the ratio of cross-sectional area of the reinforcement to the cross-sectional area removed by the opening. Thus, an unreinforced opening would have a zero-percent ratio. Figure 8 taken from Reference 2, shows the optimum reinforcement and maximum SCF values for square openings with rounded corners. A circular opening would be the case of \( r/h = 1/2 \).

**PAIRS OF OPENINGS**

**Unreinforced**

It is frequently necessary to place an opening within the stress field of another opening. Depending upon the size, shape, and position of the two openings, their individual SCF’s may experience an increase or even a decrease over the case of one of the same openings when it is isolated.

Out of all the possible combinations of circular, square, rectangular, and ovaloid openings let us begin with an examination of the case of two circular openings. Ling\textsuperscript{8} presents a theoretical study of two circular openings of equal size, loaded by uniaxial and biaxial tension. His results are summarized in Figure 9 by a family of curves plotting maximum

\begin{footnotesize}
\end{footnotesize}
SCF's against the separation of the two openings. Also included are some experimental points obtained from Borg's\(^9\) use of strain gages on steel plates. A reduction in the individual SCF's happens in the case of the two openings loaded in tension parallel to their centers.

The case of two unequal circular openings in uniaxial tension was studied by Haddon.\(^10\) Figure 10 shows the position of the openings and his notation. An example of the tangential boundary stresses is given in Figure 11. Figure 12 shows the maximum and minimum SCF's for a family of curves as a function of hole spacing. Dhir\(^11\) presents the results of two unequal circular openings under biaxial loads. Figure 13 presents his notation and geometries. Figures 14 and 15 show the maximum SCF's as a function of pull direction and size ratio, respectively.

Another example is the common structural problem of a square opening near a circular opening. This case was theoretically studied by Dhir\(^12\) whose notation and geometry can be found in Figure 16. A family of curves of relative opening sizes is presented in Figure 17 as the maximum SCF versus the hole separation. Some experimental photoelastic results conducted at the Center are also included.

A pair of rectangular openings with rounded corners represent another area of interest to the structural designer. The case of two rectangular openings loaded in uniaxial tension in a direction perpendicular to a line joining their centers was experimentally investigated by Phyillaier.* The results of his photoelastic analysis are presented in Figure 18, where the SCF's are plotted against the separation of two equal openings. The related case of two rectangular openings loaded in uniaxial tension in a direction parallel to the line joining their centers was experimentally investigated by Sikora.** The results of this photoelastic analysis are presented in Figures 19 and 20. The SCF's of each opening are given as functions of hole separation for the respective cases of rectangles with equal lengths and with unequal lengths. Figure 21 (Reference 2) shows the areas of reduced stress for the geometry of the preceding case. Another case consists of two equal square holes placed off center so that they possess a common diagonal direction. Figure 22** compares their SCF's as a function of corner radius.

Reinforced

The problem of reinforcing pairs of openings becomes important in weight-critical ships. Since openings may interact in either beneficial or detrimental manners, it is necessary to know how much, if any, reinforcing is sufficient to yield acceptable stress levels.

---


*W. Phyillaier of the Center conducted these studies in the spring of 1970; experimental details are in Appendix A.

**These studies, conducted in the spring of 1970, are described in Appendix A.
The stresses around two equal, reinforced, circular openings loaded by biaxial tension have been studied by Dhir.\textsuperscript{13,14} Figure 23 shows his notation and geometry for the openings. The maximum SCF's for various amounts of reinforcement are presented in Figure 24 as a function of hole separation. Figures 25 and 26 show the stress distribution around the openings. A comparison of Dhir's\textsuperscript{13} results and Brock's theory and some experimental points is presented in Figure 27. The stresses around two unequal, circular, reinforced openings have also been studied by Dhir.\textsuperscript{15} Figure 28 shows his notation and geometry. Figures 29 through 31 show the SCF as a function of the ratio of hole sizes, load direction, and separation, respectively.

The results of the previously described photoelastic analysis by Sikora, concerning the effect of reinforcing a pair of diagonally adjacent, equal, square openings is presented in Figure 32. A study of the stresses around two equal rectangular openings loaded in uniaxial tension in a direction perpendicular to a line joining their centers was also experimentally investigated by Sikora.* Figure 33 presents the SCF's for 0- and 40-percent reinforcement as a function of hole separation.

**CLUSTERS OF OPENINGS**

As with the case of pairs of openings, clusters are frequently encountered in ship hull structures. The number of hole parameters (such as shape, relative size, separation, and load direction) increases rapidly as the number of openings increases from a pair to three, four, and more. Therefore, it is not surprising to find that there has been little theoretical work done in this area. Most of the following cases consist of model studies related to individual ships.

The case of an infinite row of equal, circular holes was studied by Howland.\textsuperscript{16} His results for the cases of tension applied parallel to the line of centers and perpendicular to the line of centers can be found in Figure 34.

A photoelastic study of a cluster of openings in the deck of a landing craft was performed by Sikora.** The changes in stress distributions and intensities caused by adding


*These studies were conducted by the author and J. Collier of the Center during the fall of 1971; experimental details are in Appendix A.

**These studies were conducted by the author and J. Rodd of the Center during the fall of 1971; experimental details are in Appendix A.
a third and fourth hole to a pair of rectangular openings on this ship can be found in Figure 35. Reference 17 describes the openings in the deck of the hydrofoil AGEH PLAINVIEW. Figure 36 contains a photograph of the isochromatic fringe pattern around the openings as well as a list of their maximum SCF’s. Brock\textsuperscript{18} used a steel model to determine the stresses around some openings in an aircraft carrier.

A typical example of a cluster of reinforced openings was included in the previous landing craft study by Sikora and Rodd. Figure 37 shows the effect of reinforcing a cluster of eight holes by 75 percent.

**DISCUSSION**

The magnitudes and distributions of the stresses around openings are governed by the geometry of the individual openings, the number and proximity of openings, their location on ships, and the kinds of loads they are subjected to. All of the results that have been presented have assumed nearly perfect workmanship in ideally isotropic materials. Good workmanship and materials are important since any notches or edge irregularities on the openings will cause additional stress concentrations.

Most of the results presented in this report are only valid for openings located in pure uniaxial tension. Although this idealized loading may not exist on ships, it is assumed that the major uniaxial loads encountered may often be significantly large enough to neglect the effects of minor tensions and compressions in other directions. Caution should, therefore, be exercised when the loads are unknown or when uniaxial tension is not approximated. In addition, these results are based on the assumption that the plates containing the openings are isotropic. Some of the effects of stiffeners on stress distributions and magnitudes are discussed in relation to a specific ship in Reference 17.

The results of several studies concerning the mutual interaction of a pair of openings has been presented and summarized in Figures 9 through 33. However, each of a pair of openings may be treated as isolated if they are sufficiently far apart. Figure 38 shows the maximum SCF for a pair of reinforced circular openings as a function of their separation. These curves, in contours of the ratio of hole sizes, are based on the information contained in Figures 24 and 31. There appears to be little significant interaction between the openings when their separation exceeds two diameters of the larger opening. This is also confirmed for the unreinforced case of equal openings as in Figure 9 as well as for the case of an unreinforced circular and square opening in Figure 17. Furthermore, when two circular openings are located between one diameter and two diameters apart and when the radius of


the smaller is between one-fifth and one-tenth of the radius of the larger, the reinforcement should be increased to 45 percent of area replaced to area removed. This is based on allowing a maximum SCF of 1.7 which is produced by reinforcing an isolated circular opening by 40 percent. As the smaller opening in the pair increases in size greater than one-fifth of the larger, the material between the openings decreases. For example, equal openings located one diameter apart would be in contact. There are no data available for the case when the smaller opening is less than one-tenth of the larger.

Although it is frequently possible to consider a cluster of openings as groups of paired openings, care must be exercised that the cluster be not so dense that interactions between pairs of openings occur. Also, a cluster may be sufficiently isolated to be thought of as pairs and single openings under one kind of load condition but to be a dense cluster with severe interactions under another kind of load. Obviously much more work remains to be done before design criteria for clusters of openings can be recommended.

SUMMARY

1. The results of a collection of stress concentration studies of single and multiple openings has been provided. It was hoped that this compilation of results in the form of curves would provide the structural engineer and designer with a quick and easy method for determining the maximum stress concentration factor that could be expected from a given geometry and load condition. The material presented should be extensive enough to handle a wide variety of problems.

2. In spite of the significant work which has already been accomplished, there are still areas that require additional studies. One area is the case of an isolated opening of arbitrary shape with an arbitrary amount of reinforcing. This would be helpful in complementing the design data sheets. Another area requiring additional studies is the case of multiple openings. Since the existing DDS's 9110-1 and 9110-2 do not consider multiple openings, any work in this area would be of value to the designer.

3. The results from a series of photoelastic tests on the interaction of pairs of openings has been presented.

4. With the increasing use of new materials in high performance ships, it is necessary to study the effects of openings in orthotropic materials.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendations are based upon the results presented in this report and are subject to the same assumptions and limitations which were described in the discussion. (The current design criteria of allowing a maximum SCF of 1.7 is also followed.)

1. The optimum corner radius for square and rectangular openings can be found in Figures 5 and 6 of Reference 6 as functions of load factors and geometries. For example, a square opening in uniaxial tension parallel to a side should have a corner radius to width ratio between one-fourth and three-eighths.
2. When multiple openings are required, they should be aligned in the same direction as the major component of the applied load, rather than in an arrangement perpendicular or askew to the load.

3. When the centers of two openings are located more than two diameters (of the larger openings) apart, consider each as an isolated opening.

4. When the centers of two circular openings are located between one diameter and two diameters (of the larger) apart and the smaller is between one-fifth and one-tenth the radius of the larger, the reinforcement of the smaller should be increased to 45 percent.

These recommendations are not intended to supplant the current Design Data Sheets DDS 9110–1 and DDS 9110–2 but are only intended to supplement them in two areas: (1) the optimization of the corner radius of square and rectangular openings, and (2) the placement and reinforcement of multiple openings. There still remains much work before an all encompassing DDS can be completed.
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Figure 2 - Geometry and Notation of Rectangular Opening and Loading
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Figure 3 - Location of Maximum Stress for Various Openings and Loadings; See Figure 2 for Notation
Courtesy Reference 6.
Figure 4 – Variation of Stress Concentration Factors with Radius Ratio Contours of Aspect Ratio; See Figure 2 for Notation

 Courtesy Reference 6.
Figure 4e – $\lambda = -1$

Figure 5 – Most Favorable Radius Ratio for Various Openings in Contours of Load Factor

Courtesy Reference 6.
Figure 6 – Most Favorable Radius Ratio for Various Loadings in Contours of Aspect Ratio; See Figure 2 for Notation
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Figure 7 – Types of Reinforcements

Courtesy Reference 2.
Figure 8 – Maximum Stress Concentration Factor for Face-Bar Reinforced Square Openings with Rounded Corners

Courtesy Reference 2
Figure 9 – Maximum Stress Concentration Factors versus Spacing of Two Equal Circular Holes
Courtesy References 8 (curves) and 9 (experimental points).
Figure 10 – Diagram Showing Pair of Unequal Holes
Courtesy Reference 10.

Figure 11 – Variation of Tangential Stress on Boundaries of Two Unequal Holes
Courtesy Reference 10.

Figure 12 – Variation of Maximum Tension and Compression on the Smaller of Two Unequal Holes as a Function of Hole Spacing; See Figure 10 for Notation
Courtesy Reference 10.
Figure 13 – Geometry of Two Unequal Circular Holes
Courtesy Reference 11.
Figure 14 – Maximum Stresses versus Pull Direction; See Figure 13 for Notation
Courtesy Reference 11.

Figure 15 – Maximum Stresses versus Ratio of Two Circular Holes;
See Figure 13 for Notation
Courtesy Reference 11.
Figure 16 – Geometry of a Square and Circular Opening
Courtesy Reference 12.

Figure 17 – Maximum Stress Concentration Factor for a Square and Circular Opening as a Function of Separation; See Figure 16 for Notation
Curves courtesy Reference 12.
Figure 18 – Stress Concentration Factors for a Pair of Rectangles as a Function of Separation
Figure 19 – Stress Concentration Factors for a Pair of In-Line Rectangles with Equal Lengths

The effect of lengthening two openings of Model 1 in the direction of the load. In all cases holes are of equal lengths \(w_1 = w_2\), the large hole has twice the breadth of the small opening \(h_1 = 2h_2\), and the breadth of the small hole equals the space between holes \(h_2 = S\).

Figure 20 – Stress Concentration Factors for a Pair of In-Line Rectangles with Lengths in a Ratio of 2 to 3

The effect of lengthening two openings of Model 1 in the direction of the load. In all cases \(w_1 = 1.5w_2\), \(h_1 = 2h_2\), \(h_2 = S\).
Figure 21a – Widely Spaced Openings

Figure 21b – Closely Spaced Openings

Figure 21c – Very Closely Spaced Openings

Figure 21 – In-Line Rectangular Openings in a Plate Showing Areas of Reduced Stress

Courtesy Reference 2.

NOTES:
1) Indicates areas of unreduced stress
2) Indicates areas of reduced stress
3) The best location for minor openings in the vicinity of the large openings shown in (a), (b) and (c) is within the cross-hatched areas. However, minor openings should be placed well removed from the corners of the large openings.

Figure 22 – Stress Concentration Factors for a Pair of Diagonally Adjacent Equal Square Openings
Figure 23 – Notation and Geometry of Two Equally Reinforced Circular Openings
Courtesy Reference 13.
Figure 24 – Maximum Stress Concentration Factors for Various Amounts of Reinforcing as a Function of Spacing of Two Equal Circular Openings; See Figure 23 for Notation
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Figure 25 – Stress Distribution around Two Equal Circular Openings in Uniaxial Tension; See Figure 23 for Notation
Courtesy Reference 13.
Figure 26 – Stress Distribution around Two Equal Circular Openings in Biaxial Tension; See Figure 23 for Notation

Figure 27 – Comparison of Maximum Stress Concentration Factor from Theory and Experiment for Two Equal Circular Openings; See Figure 23 for Notation

Courtesy Reference 13.
Figure 28 — Notation and Geometry of Two Unequal Circular, Reinforced Openings

Courtesy Reference 15.

Figure 29 — Stress Concentration Factors for a Pair of Unequal, Circular Openings as a Function of Hole Size; See Figure 28 for Notation

Courtesy Reference 15.
Figure 32 – Stress Concentration Factors for a Pair of Diagonally Adjacent Equal Square Openings as a Function of Reinforcement
Figure 33 – Stress Concentration Factors for a Pair of Equal Rectangular Openings as a Function of Hole Separation
Figure 34a – Tension Parallel to Line of Centers

Figure 34b – Transverse Tension

Figure 34 – Stress Distribution around an Infinite Row of Circular Holes

Courtesy Reference 16.
Figure 35 – Comparison of Stress Concentration Factors around a Cluster of Rectangular Openings on a Landing Craft
Figure 36 - Isochromatic Fringe Pattern around a Cluster of Openings on the AGEH PLAINVIEW

Courtesy Reference 16.
Figure 37 - Comparison of Stress Concentration Factors for Reinforced and Unreinforced Cluster of Openings on a Landing Craft
Figure 38 — Stress Concentration Factor for a Pair of Circular Openings as a Function of Separation
APPENDIX A
PHOTOELASTIC STUDIES

A series of photoelastic tests have been performed at the Naval Ship Research and Development Center to determine the stress concentrations around pairs and clusters of square and rectangular openings. Some of the details are presented in this appendix.

BACKGROUND

Photoelasticity is an optical method of stress analysis. Beams of polarized light produce colored fringe patterns in birefringent, transparent materials when subjected to various loads. These isochromatic fringes, contours of constant principal stress difference, are related to stress distribution by the stress optic law

$$\frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}{t} = \frac{NS}{t}$$

where \(\sigma_1\) and \(\sigma_2\) are principal stresses
\(N\) is the observed fringe order
\(S\) is a material constant and
\(t\) is the thickness of the material

Since the stress perpendicular to the boundary of an opening is zero at the boundary, the fringe order is directly related to the stress parallel to the boundary. Hence the stress optic law provides the maximum stress. The SCF is the ratio of the maximum stress in the model to the applied stress of the undisturbed region (that part of the model which is away from the openings). For unreinforced models the plate is of a uniform thickness, so the SCF = \(N/N_o\) where \(N_o\) is the fringe order in the undisturbed region. Due to the presence of reinforcing on the boundaries of openings, the SCF = \(N/N_o (t_o/t)\) where \(t_o\) is the thickness of the undisturbed region and \(t\) is the thickness at the point of interest.

DESCRIPTION OF MODELS AND APPARATUS

All of the models consisted of 12- by 24-in. plates of CR-39 plastic in thicknesses of three-sixteenths and one-fourth inch. The openings were centered and kept small enough so that the plates could be considered infinite. A uniaxial tension load was applied to the models by means of loading trees which distributed 1000 lb of force over 16 pins at each end of the models. Figure 39 shows a typical model mounted in a load tree, supported in a frame, and placed in a transmission polariscope. The stress effects of the loading tree on the models were blended into a uniform fringe within 2 in. of the pins and thus presented no difficulties in the measurements. The CR-39 model material was a brittle material, which, even with careful machining, was subject to some edge chipping and residual machine stresses. Thus it was necessary to examine the unloaded models and to note the isochromatic fringes due to machining. These were subtracted from the test data so that all subsequent data would be free of machining stress errors.
RESULTS

An example of the isochromatic fringe pattern for the case of two rectangular openings loaded in uniaxial tension in a direction perpendicular to a line joining their centers is shown in Figure 40a. A reinforced version of this case is shown in Figure 40b. The results of these tests, in which the separation of the holes was varied, have been presented in Figures 18 and 33. Figure 41 shows the isochromatic fringes for a typical case of two rectangular openings loaded in a direction parallel to a line joining their centers. Figures 19 and 20 show the results of varying the hole separation for this series. The isochromatic fringe pattern for a typical case of two equal square holes with a common diagonal direction can be found in Figure 42a. A reinforced version of this case is shown in Figure 42b. The results of these tests have been presented in Figures 18 and 36. Examples of the isochromatic patterns for some clusters are presented in Figures 43a, 43b, and 43c. The case shown in Figure 43b of eight openings was produced by adding four openings to the case shown in Figure 43a. The case pictured in Figure 43c is a reinforced version of that shown in Figure 43b.

DISCUSSION

For the case pictured in Figure 40, it was found that as the separation between the holes decreased, the SCF’s for the corners of the unreinforced models increased and the SCF’s for the corners of the reinforced holes remained the same. The SCF’s at the midpoints between the holes did increase as the separation decreased for both sets of models; however, they did not become higher than the SCF’s at the corners for the hole separations studied. The addition of reinforcing rings, which replace 40 percent of the cross-sectional area of the material removed, reduces the maximum SCF’s from 14 to 30 percent as the separation between the holes is decreased. Adjacent holes which are reinforced may be designed so that their separation is as little as 0.125 times the width of the holes without considering their interaction at the corners of the holes.

It is shown that the maximum stress concentrations around two rectangular adjacent openings (Figure 41) can be reduced by 20 percent when their aspect ratios are increased from one to one and a half. Little reductions in stress concentrations are obtained by further increasing the aspect ratios.

The maximum stresses around the two openings of Figure 42 were found to decrease by one-half when reinforced by 33 percent of the area of the opening. The stress concentrations were found to double when the radius of curvature of the corners was reduced from one-fourth to one-eighth of the length of the opening.

The following conclusions can be drawn from the cases shown in Figure 43. The maximum stress concentration in a cluster of small holes occurs at the near corners of two diagonally adjacent square holes when the load line is 45 deg from the common diagonal. The cluster of small reinforced holes (Figure 43c) exhibited approximately 25 percent less maximum stress than a single large rectangular opening of comparable area would have.
Figure 40a - Unreinforced

Figure 40b - Reinforced

Figure 40 - Isochromatic Fringe Pattern of a Pair of Rectangular Openings, Perpendicular Pull
Figure 41 – Isochromatic Fringe Pattern of a Pair of Rectangular Openings, Parallel Pull
Figure 42 - Isochromatic Fringe Pattern of a Pair of Diagonally Adjacent Square Openings

Figure 42a - Unreinforced

Figure 42b - Reinforced
Figure 43a – Four Holes Unreinforced

Figure 43b – Eight Holes Unreinforced

Figure 43c – Eight Holes Reinforced

Figure 43 – Isochromatic Fringe Pattern of a Cluster of Openings
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A summary of stress concentration studies has been provided for the case of single and multiple openings in plate structures. This report summarizes some published theoretical studies as well as some previously unpublished photoelastic studies performed at the Center. Also included are comments for modifications of the design criteria currently used for openings on ships.
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