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This report studies the electromagnetic radar scattering parameters for a complex airborne target at high frequencies. The components of the target are modeled by simple geometrical shapes including ellipsoids, elliptical plates, ogives, and semi-infinite cylinders. Using ray-optics methods, the backscattered fields from each individual component are derived in terms of simple analytical functions. These are subsequently combined, in a consistent manner that takes into consideration the geometrical phase delay and shadowing effect, to yield the total scattered field from the target. Extensive numerical results are presented for the T-33 aircraft and the BQM-34A drone. A comparison of our theoretical computations with experimental data measured by a nanosecond short-pulsed radar reveals reasonably good agreement.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this research is to determine the radar scattering characteristics of an airborne target with complex structure such as an airplane. Even though this subject matter has been studied extensively in the past twenty years [1, 2], there is no one method that is capable of producing efficient and accurate results under all conditions. One has to devise different computational schemes and employ different mathematical techniques which depend on factors such as the particular geometry of the target, the frequency range of interest, the acceptable error, and computation labor.

In the present study, we intend to develop a relatively simple analytical model of scattering from modern airplanes for the frequency range between 1 and 10 GHz. Great precision of the theoretical model is not required since only the smoothed curves of the calculated data (e.g., medians over small angular region) will be of interest. Preliminary calculation is to be kept to a minimum because repeated calculations for a wide range of parameters will be performed for later statistical studies in the simulation of a particular radar system [2].
With the above objective in mind, we have searched the literature and evaluated the different techniques. It has been concluded that the ray-optical method [3-19] offers the best approach for the following reasons:

(i) This method is capable of handling target sizes that are large compared to the wavelength.

(ii) The ray-optical method yields a final result for the scattered field in terms of simple functions, thus allowing efficient numerical computation.

(iii) The ray-optics approach offers a convenient means for taking the shadowing effect into account. This is accomplished by first locating the scattering centers, or the bright points, and adding a simple logic in the program to indicate which of the bright points are in the shadow region for the aspect under consideration.

(iv) New developments in the ray-optical method enable one to treat three-dimensional problems [20-22], and to attack waveguide problems [23-27].
To solve the general scattering problem from a complex airborne target, we follow a recent study by Wright [2]. The essential steps and their appearance in this report are described below:

(i) The target is "resolved" into an ensemble of components, each of which can be geometrically approximated by a simple shape. This is discussed in Chapter 2.

(ii) The backscattered field from each component is calculated by the ray-optics method. Details of this step are given in Chapter 3.

(iii) The final step as presented in Chapter 4 is to combine the contributions from each component in a proper manner, taking into consideration the geometrical phase delay, the polarization, and the shadowing effect. Once the total backscattered field is obtained, the evaluation of various radar parameters, e.g., radar cross section, depolarization, and glint errors [28-30] can be accomplished by straightforward computations. Results from those computations and some comparison with experimental data are given in Chapter 5.
2. GENERAL DESCRIPTION

2.1 The Targets and Coordinate Systems

In this study we will consider two specific targets: the BQM-34A target drone (Figure 2.1), and the T-33 aircraft (Figure 2.2). The components of the targets will be modeled by perfectly conducting bodies having simple geometries which are described below:

(1) The **ellipsoid** (Figure 2.3), given by the equation

\[
\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} + \frac{z^2}{c^2} = 1 \tag{2.1}
\]

will be used for modeling the fuselage and two wing pods.

(2) The **elliptical plate** (Figure 2.4), given by the equation

\[
\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad z = 0 \tag{2.2}
\]

will be used for modeling wings, horizontal and vertical tails.

(3) The **open-ended, hollow, semi-infinite, elliptical cylinder** (Figure 2.6), given by the equation

\[
\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad z \geq 0 \tag{2.3}
\]
Figure 2.1. BQM-34A target drone
Figure 2.2. 1/2 scale T-33 aircraft
Figure 2.3. Geometry of an ellipsoid

Figure 2.4. Geometry of an elliptical plate
Figure 2.5. The open-end, hollow, semi-infinite elliptical cylinder

Figure 2.6. Geometry of the ogive
will be used for modeling jet engine intake and exhaust ducts.

(4) The ogive (Figure 2.7) is generated by rotating an arc of a
circle of radius \( R_1 \) about a chord located a distance \( R_1 - t \)
from the center of the circle. The parameters \((a, L)\) can be
calculated from the relations:

\[
\cos a = 1 - \frac{t}{R_1} \quad (2.4)
\]

\[
L = 2 \sqrt{R_1^2 - (R_1^2 - t)^2} \quad (2.5)
\]

Appropriately, truncated ogives will be used for simulating
the terminations inside the engine intake and exhaust ducts.

To describe the relative position of each component, we will use
two types of coordinate systems: the target-centered coordinate system,
with variables denoted by \((x, y, z)\); its origin is located at the center
of the ellipsoid which models the fuselage; and its three axes in
reference to the aircraft are oriented in the manner sketched in
Figure 2.1. In general, there are as many local coordinate systems
as the components that the aircraft are "resolved" into. We will
denote the local coordinates by \((x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}, z^{(n)})\), with \( n = 1, 2, \ldots N \)
and \( N \) being the total number of components. To describe a local coordinate in reference to the target-centered coordinate, the following six parameters may be used:

\[ (x_t^{(n)}, y_t^{(n)}, z_t^{(n)}) \]: Position of the origin of the \( n \)th local coordinate (see left wing of Figure 2.1 as an example)

\[ (\alpha^{(n)}, \beta^{(n)}, \gamma^{(n)}) \]: The angle \( \alpha_{t}^{(n)} \) represents the rotation of the \( n \)th local coordinate about the \( z \)-axis of the target-centered coordinate, the angle \( \beta^{(n)} \) about its new \( y \)-axis, and \( \gamma^{(n)} \) about its new \( x \)-axis.

All rotations are defined in a right-handed sense. The explicit formulas for transforming variables from one coordinate system to another will be given in Section 4.1.

In Tables 2.1 and 2.2, the dimensions and the relative positions of components that have been used to model the two aircraft under consideration are presented. It should be mentioned that these dimensions presented in Tables 2.1 and 2.2 are not exact. For example, the data for T-33 aircraft were measured directly from a figure in reference [33]. Note that for the BQM-34A target drone, there are 12 components \((N = 12)\) in total. Obviously, the detailed structure of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Approximated by</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>α (deg)</th>
<th>β (deg)</th>
<th>γ (deg)</th>
<th>x_t</th>
<th>y_t</th>
<th>z_t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuselage</td>
<td>ellipsoid</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>.352</td>
<td>.556</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Wing</td>
<td>1/2 elliptical plate</td>
<td>.293</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.614</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Wing</td>
<td>1/2 elliptical plate</td>
<td>.293</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.614</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Horizontal Stabilizer</td>
<td>1/2 elliptical plate</td>
<td>.235</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.757</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Horizontal Stabilizer</td>
<td>1/2 elliptical plate</td>
<td>.235</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.757</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Stabilizer</td>
<td>1/2 elliptical plate</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>.322</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-1.728</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Wing Pod</td>
<td>ellipsoid</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-.41</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>-.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Wing Pod</td>
<td>ellipsoid</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-.41</td>
<td>-1.46</td>
<td>-.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Intake Duct</td>
<td>hollow cylinder</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Exhaust Duct</td>
<td>hollow cylinder</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
<td>Approximated by</td>
<td>Rₐ</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>x_t</td>
<td>y_t</td>
<td>z_t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Termination</td>
<td>truncated ogive</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust Termination</td>
<td>truncated ogive</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.086</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Components</td>
<td>Approximated by</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>x&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>y&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>z&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuselage</td>
<td>ellipsoid</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>.381</td>
<td>.556</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Wing</td>
<td>1/2 elliptical plate</td>
<td>.635</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Wing</td>
<td>1/2 elliptical plate</td>
<td>.635</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Horizontal Stabilizer</td>
<td>1/2 elliptical plate</td>
<td>.305</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.757</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Horizontal Stabilizer</td>
<td>1/2 elliptical plate</td>
<td>.305</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.757</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Stabilizer</td>
<td>1/2 elliptical plate</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>.305</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-1.728</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Wing Pod</td>
<td>ellipsoid</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-.41</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Wing Pod</td>
<td>ellipsoid</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-.41</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Engine Intake Duct</td>
<td>hollow cylinder</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Engine Intake Duct</td>
<td>hollow cylinder</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>-.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Exhaust Duct</td>
<td>hollow cylinder</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components (Termination)</th>
<th>Approximated by</th>
<th>R&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>γ</th>
<th>x&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>y&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>z&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left Engine Intake</td>
<td>truncated ogive</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Engine Intake</td>
<td>truncated ogive</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.385</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>-.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Exhaust</td>
<td>truncated ogive</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-1.78</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
an aircraft is far more complex than that. The number \( N = 12 \) is chosen merely as a compromise between the accuracy of the modeling and the labor of computations. Our computer program is written in such a manner that if more accurate results are desired, several additional components may be added conveniently.

2.2 Scattering Parameters

One of the most important scattering parameters in a radar system is the backscattered radar cross section (RCS). For an incident time-harmonic plane wave with polarization

\[
\mathbf{E}(i) = \mathbf{\hat{e}}_1 \mathbf{E}^1(i) \exp(-i k_o \mathbf{\hat{e}}_3 \cdot \mathbf{r} - i \omega t) \tag{2.6}
\]

where \((\mathbf{\hat{e}}_1, \mathbf{\hat{e}}_2, \mathbf{\hat{e}}_3)\) form a right-hand orthonormal system. The backscattered field from a complex target, in general, can be written in the following form

\[
\mathbf{E}^{(bs)} = (\mathbf{\hat{e}}_1 E_1^{(bs)} + \mathbf{\hat{e}}_2 E_2^{(bs)}) \exp(-i k_o \mathbf{\hat{e}}_3 \cdot \mathbf{r} - i \omega t) \tag{2.7}
\]

\(E_1^{(bs)}\) represents the amplitude of the reflected wave with same polarization, while \(E_2^{(bs)}\) is the amplitude of the reflected wave with cross polarization. Finally, the RCS is defined as
where \( R \) is the radar range. Another definition used frequently is the RCS for the cross polarization,

\[
\sigma = 4\pi R^2 \frac{|E_{1b}s|^2}{|E_{1s}|^2} \quad (2.8)
\]

The algebraic sum of \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma_x \) is sometimes defined as the total RCS of the target, which is a measurement of the total reflected power with reference to the incident power.

In addition to RCS, the other parameters of interest in the present study are those describing the target-induced error in the radar system.

While extensive discussions on the radar error can be found in the literature (e.g., Wright), we will present here only two definitions for the orthogonal errors caused by the distortion of the reflected wave front:

\[
e_\theta = \frac{R\phi}{P_r}, \quad e_\phi = \frac{R\phi}{P_r} \quad (2.10)
\]

where \( e_\theta \) and \( e_\phi \) are known as the elevation and azimuth errors, respectively.

\((P_r, P_\theta, P_\phi)\) are the spherical components of the time-average power flow \( \dot{p} \).
of the backscattered field

\[ \mathbf{p} = \text{Re}(\mathbf{E}^{(bs)} \times \mathbf{H}^{(bs)}) \]  (2.11)

where \( \text{Re} \) is the real operator. In Chapter 5 the parameters \( \sigma, e_0, \) and 
\( e_\phi \) will be computed for each set of input conditions.
3. SCATTERED FIELD FROM INDIVIDUAL COMPONENTS

There are four basic geometries, namely, ellipsoid, elliptical plate, open-end elliptical cylinder, and ogive that have been used for modeling the aircraft of interest. In this section, the backscattered field from each of the geometries will be determined individually by the ray-optics method. In each case, the problem will be solved in its respective local coordinates \((x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}, z^{(n)})\).

Let us denote the incident wave by (with time factor \(\exp(-i\omega t)\) suppressed)

\[
\vec{E}^{(i)} = (\hat{\Theta}_E + \hat{\Phi}_E) \exp(ik \cdot \vec{r})
\]  

(3.1)

where \(\vec{k} = (k, \theta, \phi)\) in the spherical coordinates, and \((\theta, \phi)\) are the aspect angles. The backscattered field can be written in the form

\[
\vec{E}^{(bs)} = (\hat{\Theta}_S + \hat{\Phi}_S) \frac{\exp(-i \cdot \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r})}{kr}
\]  

(3.2)

Then the problem is to determine \((S^*_\theta, S^*_\phi)\) for each geometry mentioned above. This will be carried out below.

3.1 The Ellipsoid

At high frequency, the main contribution from an ellipsoid (Figure 2.3)
to the backscattered field comes from the specular reflection at the point

\[ x_S = \frac{a^2}{p} \sin \theta \cos \phi \]

\[ y_S = \frac{b^2}{p} \sin \theta \sin \phi \]

\[ z_S = \frac{c^2}{p} \cos \theta \]

(3.3)

where

\[ p = \sqrt{a^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi + b^2 \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi + c^2 \cos^2 \theta} \]

which is the so-called "scattering center" for the ellipsoid. In the discussion of the shadowing effect in Section 4.2, the position of the scattering center relative to other components of the aircraft determines the possibility of a contribution to the total scattered field from the particular ellipsoid under consideration. Thus, the information in Equation (3.3) will be used later. The scattering amplitudes \( (S_\theta, S_\phi) \) have been worked out and the results are
\[ S_\theta = -\frac{1}{2} E_\theta \left( \frac{kb}{a} \right) \frac{1}{D^2} \exp(-12kaD) \]

\[ S_\phi = -\frac{1}{2} E_\phi \left( \frac{kb}{a} \right) \frac{1}{D^2} \exp(-12kaD) \]  

(3.4)

where

\[ D = \left[ \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi + (b/a)^2 \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi + (c/a)^2 \cos^2 \phi \right]^{1/2} \]

(3.5)

Since the ellipsoid is a smooth body, there is no cross polarization generated in the scattering process, and furthermore, the backscattered field is independent of the polarization of the incident wave.

3.2 The Elliptical Plate

The geometry of the elliptical plate is shown in Figure 3.1. If the minimum radius of curvature of the edge is large compared to the wavelength, i.e.,

\[ k \frac{b^2}{a} \gg 1 \]

(3.6)

then the far-field coefficients \( S_\phi \) and \( S_\theta \) for vertical \( (E_\theta^{(1)} = 0) \) and horizontal \( (E_\phi^{(1)} = 0) \) polarizations can be asymptotically expanded in a power series of \( k^{-1/2} \). The dominant terms of these series come from
Figure 3.1. First-order scattering centers of the elliptical plate
optical rays which are backscattered from the scattering centers \( P_{S1}(x_{S1}, y_{S1}, 0) \) and \( P_{S2}(x_{S2}, y_{S2}, 0) \) on the edge of the plate. The coordinates of these two points are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{S1} &= -x_{S2} = \frac{a}{A} \cos \phi \\
y_{S1} &= -y_{S2} = \frac{b^2}{aA} \sin \phi \\
z_{S1} &= z_{S2} = 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.7)

where

\[
A = [\cos^2 \phi + (b/a)^2 \sin^2 \phi]^{1/2}
\]  

(3.8)

According to the geometrical theory of diffraction, the first-order scattered field at either of these points may be written in the form

\[
E(x) = \frac{\hat{e}^i}{\sqrt{2\pi k}} \exp(ikr + iy)
\]  

(3.9)

where \( \hat{e}^i \) is the incident electrical field in terms of the base vectors \( \hat{T}, \hat{B}, \) and \( \hat{N} \) (see Appendix A.1)

\( y \) is the phase delay.
The divergence factors $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ associated with the rays backscattered from $P_{S1}$ and $P_{S2}$ can be calculated by using Equations (A.3.1) and (A.3.2) in the Appendix, with \( \rho' = \frac{b^3}{\pi a^3} \), \( \beta = \pi/2 \), \( \mathbf{d} = -\mathbf{k} = x \sin \theta \cos \phi + \hat{y} \sin \theta + \hat{z} \cos \theta \). The results are

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Gamma_1 \\
\Gamma_2
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
-1
\end{bmatrix} \frac{b}{\pi} A^{-3/2} (2a \sin \theta)^{-1/2} . \quad (3.10)
\]

The column vectors for the incident wave \((e^i)_{P_{S1}}\) and \((e^i)_{P_{S2}}\) are expressed in terms of the local base vectors \(\hat{T}_1, \hat{N}_1, \hat{B}_1\) at $P_{S1}$, and \(\hat{T}_2, \hat{N}_2, \hat{B}_2\) at $P_{S2}$, respectively. The local base vectors are illustrated in Figure 3.1, and are defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{T}_1 &= -\hat{T}_2 = -x \sin \phi + \hat{y} \cos \phi \\
\hat{N}_1 &= -\hat{N}_2 = x \cos \phi + \hat{y} \sin \phi \\
\hat{B}_1 &= \hat{B}_2 = -\hat{z} . \quad (3.11)
\end{align*}
\]

For the incident field given in Equation (3.2), we have

\[
(e^i)_{P_{S1}} = \begin{pmatrix} E_\phi \\ E_\theta \cos \theta \\ E_\theta \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}
\]
The diffraction matrices $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$ for $P_{S1}$ and $P_{S2}$ are given by Equation (A.2.2), (A.2.5), and (A.2.7) in the Appendix, with

$$\beta = \pi/2,$$

$$\alpha = \theta' = 0 \quad \text{for } \Delta_1,$$

and

$$\alpha = \theta' = -\theta \quad \text{for } \Delta_2.$$  

The diffraction angles $\alpha, \theta'$ for $P_{S1}$ and $P_{S2}$ are illustrated in Figure 3.2. Thus, the diffraction matrices are

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \frac{1}{\sin \theta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sin \theta}\right) \cos^2 \theta & \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sin \theta}\right) \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ 0 & \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sin \theta}\right) \sin \theta \cos \theta & \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sin \theta}\right) \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}$$  

(3.14)
Figure 3.2. The diffraction angles for the elliptical plate
The phase delay $\psi'_1$ and $\psi'_2$ for scattering fields at $P_{S1}$ and $P_{S2}$ are

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\psi'_{1} \\
\psi'_{2}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
2kA \sin \theta
\end{bmatrix}.
\]  
(3.16)

Substituting Equations (3.10), (3.12), (3.14), (3.15), and (3.16) into Equation (3.9), we obtain $\mathbf{E}^{(bs)}$ in terms of the base vectors $\hat{T}_1$, $\hat{N}_1$, $\hat{B}_1$, $\hat{T}_2$, $\hat{N}_2$, and $\hat{B}_2$. Writing $\mathbf{E}^{(bs)}$ in the form of Equation (3.2), it follows that

\[
S_\Phi = -\frac{b}{4a}E_\theta \left(\frac{kA}{\sin \theta}\right)^{3/2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{\sin \theta}\right) \exp(i2kA \sin \theta - i \pi/4)
\right.
\]

\[
+ \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sin \theta}\right] \exp(-i2kA \sin \theta + i \pi/4)\right],
\]

(\(\theta \neq 0\))

(3.17)

The above result does not apply when $\theta = 0$ and, therefore, it is not valid.
when the direction of the incident wave is close to the z-axis. From a geometrical optics viewpoint, $\theta = 0$ defines a caustic where the diffracted rays from all points on the rim of the plate converge. To obtain the field in the caustic, we have to use techniques other than the ray-optics method.

For the exact case of $\theta = 0$, the present problem can be solved easily by using the physical optics method with the result

$$S_\phi = \begin{cases} \frac{+E_0}{\theta} & \frac{1}{2} \ab k^2, \\ \frac{-E_0}{\theta} & \end{cases} \quad (\theta = 0). \quad (3.18)$$

Since the first-order field obtained above is nonuniform in $\theta$, we now introduce interpolating functions which yield results (3.17) and (3.18) as particular cases. A correct interpolation could be obtained by asymptotically expanding the exact solution of the scattering problem, if such a solution were available. A discussion similar to that performed by Keller for the circular edge would yield an axial correction factor containing a combination of Mathieu functions.

For numerical purposes, it is preferable to introduce matching functions which are more easily calculated, such as Bessel functions.
We do not pretend that the formula thus produced is the correct interpolation, but only that it is sufficiently accurate for practical purposes.

A comparison between Equations (3.17), (3.18) and the corresponding results for a circular disk, as well as an inspection of the interpolation formula used for the circular disk, leads us to suggest the following expression

\[
S_\phi = \frac{1}{4A} \left( \frac{E_y}{E_y} \right) \left[ (i) \frac{J_1(2ka \sin \theta)}{\sin \theta} - iJ_2(2ka \sin \theta) \right]. \tag{3.19}
\]

The above equation reduces to the result for the circular disk when 

\[ a = b, \]

to the physical result Equation (3.18) when \( \theta = 0 \), and to

Equation (3.17) plus terms \( O[(ka)^{-1/2}] \) when the argument of the Bessel functions is large with respect to a unit,

The result in Equation (3.17) is only the first-order solution for large \( ka \). The higher-order terms were discussed in a separate report, but they will not be used in the present computations.

3.3 **The Semi-Infinite Elliptical Cylinder**

First let us consider the case when the cylinder is of circular shape, as shown in Figure 3.3. For the incident wave given in Equation (3.1),
Figure 3.3. The semi-infinite circular cylinder
the exact solution can be obtained using the Wiener-Hopf technique [31,32].

Omitting the details, the final results are

\[ S_\theta = \frac{E_\theta 2 \alpha}{(\sin \theta)^2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i \phi} J_n^2(\alpha \sin \theta)}{L_n(\alpha \cos \theta)} \left[ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{\Delta_n^2}{1 - \Delta_n^2} \right] \]

\[ S_\phi = \frac{E_\phi 2 \alpha}{(\cos \theta)^2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i \phi} J_n^2(\alpha \sin \theta)}{M_n(\alpha \cos \theta) M_n(\alpha \sin \theta)} \left[ \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{\Delta_n^2}{1 - \Delta_n^2} \right] \]

\[ + \frac{E_\theta 2 \alpha}{\sin \theta} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i \phi} J_n^2(\alpha \sin \theta)}{M_n(\alpha \cos \theta) L_n(\alpha \cos \theta)} \frac{\Delta_n}{1 - \Delta_n^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.20)

In the above equations, the following notation has been used

\[ \Delta_n = \frac{L_n(\alpha)}{2 \alpha M_n(\alpha)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.22)

The functions \( L_n(\omega) \) and \( M_n(\omega) \) are analytic in the upper half of the complex \( \omega \)-plane and are defined by

\[ L_n(\omega) L_n(-\omega) = \pi \lambda J_n(\lambda) H_n^{(1)}(\lambda) \]

\[ M_n(\omega) M_n(-\omega) = \pi \lambda J_n'(\lambda) H_n^{(1)'}(\lambda) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.23)
where
\[ \lambda = \sqrt{(ka)^2 - \omega^2}, \quad \text{Im} \lambda > 0 \text{ for Imk} > 0. \]

The above results are too complicated to be useful. (Note that \( L_n(\omega) \), for example, is explicitly given by an infinite integral[30]). For high frequencies, we may use the approximations
\[ L_n(\omega), M_n(\omega) \to 1, \quad \text{as } ka \to \infty. \quad (3.24) \]

Then the results in Equations (3.20) and (3.21) can be considerably simplified

\[
S_\theta = \frac{-E_\theta ka}{\cos \theta} \left[ \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) J_0(2ka \sin \theta) + \sin \theta \cos^2 \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \frac{J_1(2ka \sin \theta)}{2ka \sin \theta} \right]
\]
\[ (3.25) \]

\[
S_\phi = \frac{-E_\phi ka}{\cos \theta} \cos^2 \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) J_1(2ka \sin \theta)
\]
\[ (3.26) \]

The above simple formulas are valid for all \( \theta \) except for \( \theta = \pi/2 \).

The plane \( \theta = \pi/2 \) defines the shadow boundary for the specularly reflected wave from the cylinder, and the expressions for \((S_\theta, S_\phi)\) as given in (3.20) and (3.21) no longer hold since they were derived from simple saddle-point integration. Fortunately in the present application, we are only interested in the case with \( \theta = 0 \) (head-on incidence) and its
immediate neighborhood, i.e., $\theta < \pi/4$. Thus, this shortcoming of the formulas at $\theta = \pi/2$ has no effect in the later computations.

Because of its application to the radar return calculation from an engine intake, the problem of scattering by a semi-infinite cylinder has been studied extensively in the past fifteen years. For the first time, a simple analytical formula has been derived, i.e., Equations (3.25) and (3.26). Bowfin in 1970 gave a similar result except that his expression for $S_\theta$ contains only the first form of (3.25) and therefore is not valid for $\theta = 0$ and its neighborhood. For $ka \sin \theta \gg 1$, the results in (3.25) and (3.26) are reduced to

$$S_\theta \sim -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\imath}{\pi \sin \theta} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \right] \cos(2ka \sin \theta - \pi/4)$$

which agree with the ray-optics expression derived by Ross in 1967.

As is well-known, the ray-optics expression is not valid in the caustic region ($\theta = 0$) which is precisely the case of interest in the present study.

The cylinder considered above has a circular shape. By proper scaling, an approximate formula for an elliptical cylinder (with a major
axis a and minor axis b as shown in Figure 2.5), can be derived. The scaling factor we have selected is the one between a circular plate and an elliptical plate; it has been rigorously determined [3,4]. In terms of the backscattered field, the use of the scaling factor leads to this result:

\[ \frac{E_{(bs)}(elliptical)}{E_{(bs)}(circular \ with \ radius \ aA)} = \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^{1/2} \]  

(3.28)

where \(aA\) can be considered as the "effective radius" for an elliptical cylinder with

\[ A = \sqrt{\cos^2 \phi + (b/a)^2 \sin^2 \phi} \]  

(3.29)

and \(\phi\) is the azimuthal angle of the incident plane wave.

3.4 The Ogive

The geometry of an ogive is shown in Figure 2.6. The scattered field from the ogive can be obtained by applying the optics approach [1]. For the present problem, we are only interested in the region \((90^\circ - \alpha) < \theta < 90^\circ\), where the scattering amplitudes are given by

\[ S_\phi = \frac{E_\phi}{E_\theta} \frac{R_1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{R_1 - t}{R_1 \sin \theta} \right)^{1/2} \]  

(3.30)
4. TOTAL SCATTERED FIELD

4.1 Transformations of Coordinates

In the previous chapter, the backscattered fields from individual components were found and the final results were expressed in terms of their respective local coordinates. To combine them properly for the total scattered field, those results have to be transformed so that they have a common reference, namely the target-centered coordinate system. Such a transformation is straightforward but quite tedious, and a small mistake may crucially affect the final result. Therefore, we will discuss various transformations in explicit detail. Our choice of coordinate systems is essentially the same as that used by Wright except for some small variations.

For the target-centered coordinate system (TCS), the x axis is along the longitudinal direction of the aircraft with the positive direction pointing from the tail to the head of the aircraft (see Figure 2.1). The positive y axis is directed to the left side of the fuselage, and the z axis is along the vertical direction of the aircraft.

The other coordinate systems of interest are the local coordinate
systems (LCS) which are assigned to each component of the target. The left wing is taken as the example which illustrates the LCS. The left wing is approximated by a half of an elliptical plate. The origin of the coordinate system is located at the center of symmetry of the ellipse, and the x and y axes are the axes of symmetry in which the y axis is the major axis pointing to the left side of the target (See Figure 4.1).

The LCS's for the rest of the components are assigned in a similar manner. The LCS is obtained by making a translation and three successive rotations from TCS. Let $x_t$, $y_t$, and $z_t$ be the coordinates of the origin of the local coordinate system referred to target-centered system, $\alpha$ be the rotation angle of the LCS about its z axis, $\beta$ be the rotation angle about its new y axis, and $\gamma$ be the rotation angle about its new x axis (See Figure 4.1).

All three notations are defined in a right-hand sense. Let $x$, $y$, $z$ be the coordinates of a point in the TCS, and $x'$, $y'$, $z'$ be the coordinates of this point in the local coordinate system. Then the coordinates of the point are related by the following transformations:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
  x' \\
  y' \\
  z'
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  t_{11} & t_{12} & t_{13} \\
  t_{21} & t_{22} & t_{23} \\
  t_{31} & t_{32} & t_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  x - x_t \\
  y - y_t \\
  z - z_t
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(4.1)
Figure 4.1. Local coordinates for the left wing
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  y \\
  z
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  t_{11} & t_{12} & t_{13} \\
  t_{21} & t_{22} & t_{23} \\
  t_{31} & t_{32} & t_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  x_t \\
  y_t \\
  z_t
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
  x_t \\
  y_t \\
  z_t
\end{bmatrix}
\] (4.2)

where

\[
t_{11} = \cos \alpha \cos \beta
\]
\[
t_{12} = \sin \alpha \cos \beta
\]
\[
t_{13} = -\sin \beta
\]
\[
t_{21} = -\sin \alpha \cos \gamma + \cos \alpha \sin \beta \sin \gamma
\]
\[
t_{22} = \cos \alpha \cos \gamma + \sin \alpha \sin \beta \sin \gamma
\]
\[
t_{23} = \cos \beta \sin \gamma
\]
\[
t_{31} = \sin \alpha \sin \gamma + \cos \alpha \sin \beta \cos \gamma
\]
\[
t_{32} = -\cos \alpha \sin \gamma + \sin \alpha \sin \beta \cos \gamma
\]
\[
t_{33} = \cos \beta \cos \gamma
\] (4.3)

In the above transformation, the three successive rotations \(\alpha, \beta,\) and \(\gamma\) have to be made in the order as stated; that is, \(\alpha\) first, \(\beta\) second, and \(\gamma\) third. The corresponding values of \(x_t, y_t, z_t, \alpha, \beta,\) and \(\gamma\) for components of our targets are listed in Tables 2.1 and 2.2.
Any point in space can be given in spherical coordinates by \((R, \theta, \phi)\) with respect to some coordinate system. The angles \(\theta\) and \(\phi\) which are the spherical coordinates of the radar in the TCS are usually referred to as the aspect angles. The aspect angles are shown in Figure 4.2.

The scattered field for the \(n\)th component of the targets can be calculated when the aspect angles \(\theta^{(n)}, \phi^{(n)}\) for the component in the LCS are known. For the given aspect angles \(\theta\) and \(\phi\) in TCS, the aspect angles \(\theta^{(n)}, \phi^{(n)}\) in the \(n\)th LCS can be calculated as follows:

\[
\phi^{(n)} = \arctan \frac{y'^o}{x'^o} \tag{4.4}
\]

and

\[
\theta^{(n)} = \arctan \frac{\sqrt{(x'^o)^2 + (y'^o)^2}}{z'^o} \tag{4.5}
\]

where

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x'^o \\ y'^o \\ z'^o
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
t_{11} & t_{12} & t_{13} \\ t_{21} & t_{22} & t_{23} \\ t_{31} & t_{32} & t_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \phi \sin \theta \\ \sin \phi \sin \theta \\ \cos \theta
\end{bmatrix} . \tag{4.6}
\]

The transformation in Equation (4.6) is valid when

\[
R >> (x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2)^{1/2} \tag{4.7}
\]
Figure 4.2. Aspect angles w.r.t. target centered coordinates
where \( R \) is the range of the radar referred to the TCS.

In calculating the backscatter field from each component, it is necessary to transform the incident field given in the TCS to that in the LCS, and also to transform the backscattered field in the reverse direction.

For an incident plane wave in Equation (3.1), the electric field amplitude is expressed by a column vector

\[
\mathbf{\hat{E}}^i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ E_\theta \\ E_\phi \end{bmatrix}
\]  

(4.8)

which is written in terms of base vectors in spherical coordinates.

In the \( n \)th LCS, it becomes

\[
\mathbf{\hat{E}}^i(n) = UTS \mathbf{\hat{E}}^i
\]  

(4.9)

where

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta \cos \phi & \cos \theta \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \theta \sin \phi & \cos \phi \sin \phi & \cos \phi \\ \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]  

(4.10)
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\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
t_{11} & t_{12} & t_{13} \\
t_{21} & t_{22} & t_{23} \\
t_{31} & t_{32} & t_{32}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (4.11)

with \(t_{ij}\)'s as in Equation (4.3) and

\[
U = \begin{bmatrix}
\sin \theta(n) \cos \phi(n) & \sin \theta(n) \sin \phi(n) & \cos \theta(n) \\
\cos \theta(n) \cos \phi(n) & \cos \theta(n) \sin \phi(n) & -\sin \theta(n) \\
-\sin \phi(n) & \cos \phi(n) & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\] (4.12)

Note that the translation of the origins from the target centered coordinates is neglected here. Similarly, for a given \(\hat{E}(bs), (n-\ell)\) in LCS, the \(\hat{E}(bs), (n)\) in TCS is given by

\[
\hat{E}(bs), (n) = W S' T' U' \hat{E}(bs), (n-\ell)
\] (4.13)

where \(U', T', S'\) are transposes of matrices \(U, T, S\), respectively, and

\[
W = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & W_1 & W_2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\] (4.14)
with

\[ W_1 = -x_t \cos \theta \cos \phi - y_t \cos \theta \sin \phi - z_t \sin \theta \]

\[ W_2 = -x_t \sin \phi + y_t \cos \phi \] \hspace{1cm} (4.15)

The matrix \( W \) takes into consideration the amplitude shift of the scattered field of the \( n \)th component caused by the origin shift of its LCS from the origin of the TCS. The phase shift of the scattered field of the component caused by the origin shift is given by

\[ \phi^{(n)} = -2k(x_t \sin \theta \cos \phi + y_t \sin \theta \sin \phi + z_t \cos \theta). \] \hspace{1cm} (4.16)

In Equations (4.15) and (4.16), the point \((x_t, y_t, z_t)\) represents the position of the LCS origin referred to the TCS, and the angles \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) are the aspect angles in the TCS.

4.2 **Shadowing Effects**

In the present study, we used the ray-optics approach for the determination of the scattered field except for the case of caustics; the scattered fields are generated exclusively from the scattering at the "scattering centers." For a given incident angle, a scattering center of a component may or may not be shadowed by other components of
the aircraft. If it is shadowed, there is no contribution from that scattering center to the total scattered field; otherwise, its entire contribution will be included. To account for the "all-or-nothing" shadowing effect, we will introduce a simple modulation function for each component of the aircraft.

\[
g^{(n)}(\theta, \phi) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } (\theta, \phi) \text{ is in the visible region of the component} \\
0 & \text{if } (\theta, \phi) \text{ is in the shadow region} 
\end{cases}
\]

(4.17)

where \( \theta, \phi \) are the aspect angles in the TCS. As an example, the shadowed and visible regions of the left wing of the BQM-34A target drone are illustrated in Figure 4.3 when \( \theta = 90^\circ \). For the incident angle \( \phi \) greater than \(-15^\circ\) and less than \(100^\circ\), the left wing is in the visible region \([g^{(n)}(\theta, \phi) = 1]\); otherwise, it is in the shadow region \([g^{(n)}(\theta, \phi) = 0]\). The shadow and visible regions of the components of our targets are listed in Tables 4.1 and 4.2.

4.3 Computational Procedure

The scattering parameters of interest, as functions of aspect angles, are computed by using the following procedure:
Figure 4.3. The shadowing region of the left wing of BQM-34A target drone
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>visible region</th>
<th>shadow region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85 ( \leq \theta \leq 95 )</td>
<td>85 ( \leq \theta \leq 95 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 0 &lt; \theta &lt; 85 ) or 95 ( \leq \theta \leq 180 )</td>
<td>( 0 &lt; \theta &lt; 85 ) or 95 ( \leq \theta \leq 180 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Wing</td>
<td>(-15 &lt; \phi &lt; 190 )</td>
<td>(15 &lt; \phi &lt; -15 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Wing</td>
<td>(170 &lt; \phi &lt; 15 )</td>
<td>(15 &lt; \phi &lt; 170 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Horizontal Stabilizer</td>
<td>(90 &lt; \phi &lt; 210 )</td>
<td>(210 &lt; \phi &lt; 90 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Horizontal Stabilizer</td>
<td>(150 &lt; \phi &lt; 270 )</td>
<td>(270 &lt; \phi &lt; 150 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Wing Pod</td>
<td>(-25 &lt; \phi &lt; 200 )</td>
<td>(200 &lt; \phi &lt; -25 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Wing Pod</td>
<td>(160 &lt; \phi &lt; 25 )</td>
<td>(25 &lt; \phi &lt; 160 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>visible region</th>
<th>shadow region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuselage</td>
<td>all ((\theta, \phi))</td>
<td>(-15 &lt; \phi &lt; 190 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Stabilizer</td>
<td>(0 \leq \theta \leq 90 )</td>
<td>(90 &lt; \theta &lt; 180 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>all ( \phi )</td>
<td>(135 \leq \phi \leq 225 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Intake</td>
<td>(</td>
<td>\phi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Exhaust</td>
<td>(</td>
<td>\phi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Intake</td>
<td>(</td>
<td>\phi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Exhaust</td>
<td>(</td>
<td>\phi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4.2 Shadowing Regions of Components of the T-33

(All angles are in degrees)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Visible Region</th>
<th>Shadow Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85 ≤ θ ≤ 95</td>
<td>85 ≤ θ ≤ 95 or 95 &lt; θ ≤ 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Wing</td>
<td>-25 ≤ φ ≤ 195</td>
<td>195 &lt; φ &lt; -25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Wing</td>
<td>165 ≤ φ ≤ 25</td>
<td>25 &lt; φ &lt; 165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Horizontal Stabilizer</td>
<td>90 ≤ φ ≤ 225</td>
<td>225 &lt; φ &lt; 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Horizontal Stabilizer</td>
<td>135 ≤ φ ≤ 270</td>
<td>270 &lt; φ &lt; 135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Wing Pod</td>
<td>-25 ≤ φ ≤ 200</td>
<td>200 &lt; φ &lt; -25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Wing Pod</td>
<td>160 ≤ φ ≤ 25</td>
<td>25 &lt; φ &lt; 160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Visible Region</th>
<th>Shadow Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuselage</td>
<td>all (θ, φ)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Stabilizer</td>
<td>0 ≤ θ ≤ 90</td>
<td>90 &lt; θ &lt; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>all φ</td>
<td>130 ≤ φ ≤ 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 ≤ θ ≤ 90</td>
<td>90 &lt; θ &lt; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>230 &lt; φ &lt; 130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Engine Intake Duct</td>
<td>0 ≤ φ ≤ 25 and</td>
<td>0 &lt; θ &lt; 90 ≤ 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination</td>
<td>0 ≤ φ ≤ 20 and</td>
<td>0 &lt; θ &lt; 90 ≤ 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Engine Intake Duct</td>
<td>-25 ≤ φ ≤ 0</td>
<td>0 &lt; θ &lt; 90 ≤ 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination</td>
<td>-20 ≤ φ ≤ 0</td>
<td>0 &lt; θ &lt; 90 ≤ 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Exhaust Duct</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 &lt; θ &lt; 90 ≤ 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 &lt; θ &lt; 90 ≤ 60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1) Select aspect angles $\theta$ and $\phi$ in the TCS, and an incident field in the form of Equation (3.1).

2) Transform $\theta$ and $\phi$ to local aspect angles $\theta^{(n)}$ and $\phi^{(n)}$, using Equations (4.4) and (4.5).

3) Transform incident field to local coordinates using Equation (4.9).

4) Compute backscattered field using one of the equations in Chapter 3.

5) Take into consideration the shadowing effect, and multiply the amplitude of the scattered field by a proper modulation function using Equation (4.17).

6) Transform the backscattered field to the TCS using Equation (4.13).

7) Repeat steps 2) through 6) for all components.

8) Compute total scattered field in TCS by using

$$\mathbf{E}(BS) = \sum_{A=1}^{N} \mathbf{E}(BS),(n) \cdot \exp[i\phi^{(n)}]$$

where $\phi^{(n)}$ is the phase shift in Equation (4.16).

9) Compute scattering parameters using Equations (2.8) and (2.9).
4.4 Digital Computer Modeling of Surfaces

From the above discussion, it is clear that the two key steps in using the present ray-optics approach are (i) locating of scattering centers, and (ii) determining which, if any, of the scattering centers are shadowed by other components of the target. For simple geometrical bodies, such as an ellipsoid, plate, cylinder, etc., this task is not too difficult. For more complex geometrical bodies that may be considered later, it does not seem to be analytically manageable. In the past contract period, we have investigated a computer-aided modeling technique, which promises to generate arbitrary surfaces and determine scattering centers and shadowing effects in an automatic fashion. Some of the preliminary results are given below.

A. General Approach to Modeling a Three-Space Surface

Two basic methods exist for digital computer modeling of surfaces. The surface may be represented by some analytical function describing the entire locus, or it may be represented by a data set describing individually sampled points on the surface. In the course of several years of research into digital display of three-dimensional solids, the latter method has been chosen as the more flexible and efficient.
The general modeling techniques employed in this work approximate a three-space surface by a data set consisting of an ensemble of planar polygons whose vertices lie on the surface of interest. These polygons may have any number of vertices but must, however, be convex. For this reason triangles or quadrilaterals are most commonly used.

Each polygon is represented by a data set which includes the triplets of the vertices, a reflectivity coefficient, and the three coefficients of the general form of the plane in which the polygon lies. These three coefficients are equivalent to the magnitudes of the components of a unit vector normal to the polygon.

Once a surface has been modeled by a set of polygonal systems, programs are available to display the surface in a variety of modes. The simplest form of display consists of a "wire frame" or line drawing of the surface. The surface is represented by displaying lines along all the edges of the polygons in Figure (4.4). Algorithms are also available for producing a grey-scale solid rendering of the surface with all hidden lines and planes removed [Figure (4.5)]. These algorithms determine all plane intersections, all hidden or shadowed planes, and the radiant flux falling on each plane because of a movable radiation source.
These algorithms essentially model the optical properties of the surface in question and then perform a perspective transformation for display purposes.

Several methods are available for constructing the polygonal data set. Measurements may be taken directly from the surface to be modeled, or data points may be generated from an analytical expression for the surface.

B. Polygonal Modeling of a Surface Described by a Function of Two Variables

A software surface modeling system has been developed for operation on the CDC 1604 computing system of the Coordinated Science Laboratory (CSL), University of Illinois. Parts of this system will assemble a polygonal data set from arrays of computed triplets lying on a given locus. The triplets are computed from the analytical expression of the surface in question. The values are packed in three two-dimensional arrays; for X, Y, and Z. The points are related positionally. Each possible set of four adjacent points is examined to generate plane data; the \((i,j), (i+1,j), (i,j+1),\) and \(\text{the } (i+1,j+1)\) elements of the array. For every four-point set, two pairs of triangular planes are possible. That pairing is chosen which produces the smaller dihedral angle between planes. This gives the smoothest approximation to the surface.
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The system then computes the normal coefficients and the user may supply
a reflectivity coefficient. The data set is written on magnetic tape in
a format compatible with the display algorithms. This data set may be
inputted to the display systems or used for further calculations.

This method gives a very good approximation to the surface.

However, a few precautions are necessary. First, the function must be
adequately sampled. Sampling frequency is determined by resolution
requirements. The finer the sampling, the better the fit of the polygon
function to the surface. Second, the sampling should be finer at points
of greatest curvature. The polygons should not be of equal area, rather
the difference in normal vector orientation between two polygons should
be constant, that is, the angle between any two polygons should be the
same.

For many functions it is difficult if not impossible to analytically
derive expressions for curvature. Even when possible, this technique may
not be desirable because of excessive computation time. A general procedure
for sampling a function so as to produce a polygonal model reasonably
sensitive to changes in curvature is to simply sample the function in
a regular rectangular grid in the \( x, y \) plane. This has the effect of
producing larger planes as the angle between the \( x, y \) plane and the tangent plane increases. This makes the polygon area approximately proportional to the radius of curvature in the region of the polygon.

A computer program has been developed to simulate aircraft surfaces by an arbitrary number of ellipsoidal surfaces. Each ellipsoid is specified by some function \( z = f(x, y) \). The coordinate system is centered on the aircraft model. It is assumed that the function contains terms for rotation and translation which position the ellipsoids correctly with respect to each other. The program developed has been used for extensive modeling of an aircraft composed of 8 ellipsoids. The results are shown in Figures (4.6) and (4.7).
Figure 4.4. A "wire frame" display of an ellipsoid

Figure 4.5. A polygonal model display of an ellipsoid
Figure 4.6. An aircraft modeled by using six ellipsoids (top view)

Figure 4.7. An aircraft modeled by using eight ellipsoids (top view)
5. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The numerical computations have been made for the two aircraft: T-33, and BQM-34A. In each case, the RCS, azimuth error, and elevation error are calculated as a function of the azimuth angle $\phi$ at an increment of 1 degree, while other parameters such as elevation angle $\theta$, frequency, and polarization are fixed.

5.1 T-33 Aircraft

In reference [33], the RCS of a one-half scale T-33 model was measured by a nanosecond short-pulse radar. Its data provide a check for the present computer program. In Figures 5.2 and 5.3, the experimental data and the theoretical prediction are presented when the frequencies are 8.8 GHz and 2.9 GHz, respectively, with vertical polarization ($E_\theta = 0$) and $\theta = \pi/2$.

It should be noted that the data given in reference [33] were measured from a half-scaled model, while the results presented in Figures 5.2 and 5.3 have been increased 6 db to compensate for the scale factor. The agreement between the experimental and the theoretical results is reasonably good except in the neighborhood of $\phi = 0^\circ$ (head-on),
and $\phi = 180^\circ$ (tail-on). In the head-on, or tail-on directions, the main contribution to the scattering comes from the engine duct. The fact that good agreement is not obtained in these two directions indicates the need of an improved model for the engine duct. This task will be carried out in the next contract period.

In addition to the case $\theta = 90^\circ$ as discussed above, the experimental data for the T-33 are also available when the aircraft is tilted at an angle $\Psi$ from the horizontal plane (Figure 5.1). The corresponding aspect angles $(\theta, \phi)$ due to such a tilt can be found from the transformation in Equation (4.1) with $\beta = -\Psi$, $\alpha = 0$, and $\gamma = 0$. For the frequency 8.8 GHz with $\Psi = 5^\circ$, and for the frequency 2.9 GHz with $\Psi = 5^\circ$ and $\Psi = 10^\circ$, the experimental and the theoretical calculations are presented in Figures 5.4, 5.6, and 5.7 respectively. The agreement is reasonably good, but not as good as that presented in Figures 5.2 and 5.3. This is due to the facts that the experimental model of the T-33 is more complex in structure than the model described in Table 2.2, and that the difference in structures becomes more predominant when the aspect angle $\theta$ is different from $\pi/2$. The accuracy can be improved if more components are
used in Table 2.2. However, this can be achieved only at the expense of computational labor.

5.2 BQM-34A Target Drone

This aircraft was also studied by Wright who used ellipsoids to approximate the various components of the aircraft. In Figures 5.7, 5.8, and 5.9, we present a comparison between his results and ours for the RCS, $\epsilon_\theta$, and $\epsilon_\phi$ as functions of the azimuthal angle $\phi$ at frequency 1 GHz, with vertical polarization incidence ($E_\theta = 0$), and $\theta = \pi/2$. The agreement is good except in the neighborhood of head-on and tail-on directions, because the scattering from the duct is taken into consideration in our computation (See Sections 3.3 and 3.4), while it is not included in Wright's computation.

To study the frequency dependence of the scattering parameters, more computations were made by changing the frequency from 1 GHz (Figures 5.10, 5.11, and 5.12) to 5 GHz (Figures 5.13, 5.14, and 5.15), and to 8 GHz (Figures 5.16, 5.17, and 5.18), while the other parameters are kept the same as the ones used in Figures 5.7, 5.8, and 5.9. As may be expected, the RCS is not frequency-sensitive in the broadside.
direction. In that direction, the main contribution to the scattering comes from the fuselage, which is modeled by an ellipsoid in the present study. As given in Equation (3.4), the backscattering from an ellipsoid is independent of frequency.
Figure 5.1. T-33 tilt angle rotation convention
Figure 5.2. RCS in db per square meter of a full-scaled T-33 aircraft as a function of azimuth angle $\phi$ for a fixed $\theta = 90^\circ$, for a vertically polarized incident plane wave ($E_\theta = 0$), and at frequency 8.8 GHz. The solid line represents the $5^\circ$-average of the theoretical computation. The dotted line represents the $5^\circ$-median of the experiment reported in reference [33].
Figure 5.3. RCS in db per square meter of a full-scaled T-33 aircraft as a function of azimuth angle $\phi$ for a fixed $\theta = 90^\circ$, for a vertically polarized incident plane wave ($E_\theta = 0$), and at frequency 2.9 GHz. The solid line represents the $5^\circ$-average of the theoretical computation. The dotted line represents the $5^\circ$-median of the experiment reported in reference [33].
Figure 5.4. RCS in db per square meter of a full-scaled T-33 aircraft as a function of azimuth angle $\phi$ for a tilt angle $\gamma = 5^\circ$, for a vertically polarized incident plane wave ($E_A = 0$), and at frequency 8.8 GHz. The solid line represents the 5°-average of the theoretical computation. The dotted line represents the 5°-median of the experiment reported in reference [33].
Figure 5.5. RCS in db per square meter of a full-scaled T-33 aircraft as a function of azimuth angle \( \phi \) for a tilt angle \( \gamma = 5^\circ \), for a vertically polarized incident plane wave \( (E_0) \), and at frequency 2.9 GHz. The solid line represents the 5\(^\circ\)-average of the theoretical computation. The dotted line represents the 5\(^\circ\)-median of the experiment reported in reference [33].
Figure 5.6. RCS in db per square meter of a full-scaled T-33 aircraft as a function of azimuth angle Φ for a tilt angle \(\gamma = 10^\circ\), for a vertically polarized incident plane wave (\(E_\phi = 0\)), and at frequency 2.9 GHz. The solid line represents the 5\(^\circ\)-average of the theoretical computation. The dotted line represents the 5\(^\circ\)-median of the experiment reported in reference [33].
Figure 5.7. RCS in db per square meter of the BQM-34A drone as a function of azimuth angle $\phi$ for a fixed $\theta = 90^\circ$, for a vertically polarized incident plane wave ($E_\theta = 0$), and at frequency 1 GHz. The solid line represents the 5°-median computation of our model. The dotted line represents the 5°-median computation of Wright's model using ellipsoids.
Figure 5.8. Azimuth error (e_φ) in meters of the BQM-34A drone as a function of azimuth angle φ for a fixed θ = 90°, for a vertically polarized incident plane wave (E_φ = 0), and at frequency 1 GHz. The solid line represents the 5°-median computation of our model. The dotted line represents the 5°-median computation of Wright's model using ellipsoids.
Figure 5.9. Elevation error ($e_r$) in meters of the BQM-34A drone as a function of azimuth angle $\phi$ for a fixed $\theta = 90^\circ$, for a vertically polarized incident plane wave ($E_\theta = 0$), and at frequency 1 GHz. The solid line represents the 50th-median computation of our model. The dotted line represents the 50th-median computation of Wright's model using ellipsoids.
Figure 5.10. RCS in db per square meter of the BQM-34A drone as a function of azimuth angle \( \phi \) for a fixed \( \theta = 90^\circ \), for a vertically polarized incident plane wave \( (E_\theta = 0) \), and at frequency 1 GHz.
Figure 5.11. Azimuth error \( (e_\phi) \) in meters of the BQM-34A drone as a function of azimuth angle \( \phi \) for a fixed \( \theta = 90^\circ \), for a vertically polarized incident plane wave \( (E_\theta = 0) \), and at frequency 1 GHz.
Figure 5.12. Elevation error ($e_{\theta}$) in meters of the BQM-34A drone as a function of azimuth angle $\phi$ for a fixed $\theta = 90^\circ$, for a vertically polarized incident plane wave ($E_{\theta} = 0$), and at frequency 1 GHz.
Figure 5.13. RCS in db per square meters of the BQM-34A drone as a function of azimuth angle $\phi$ and a fixed $\theta = 90^\circ$, for a vertically polarized incident plane wave ($E_\theta = 0$), and at frequency 5 GHz
Figure 5.14. Azimuth error ($e_\phi$) in meters of the BQM-34A drone as a function of azimuth angle $\phi$ for a fixed $\theta = 90^\circ$, for a vertically polarized incident plane wave ($E_\theta = 0$), and at frequency 5 GHz.
Figure 5.15. Elevation error $(e_\phi)$ in meters of the BQM-34A drone as a function of azimuth angle $\phi$ and a fixed $\theta = 90^\circ$, for a vertically polarized incident plane wave ($E_\theta = 0$), and at frequency 5 GHz.
Figure 5.16. RCS in db per square meters of the BQM-34A drone as a function of azimuth angle $\phi$ and a fixed $\theta = 90^\circ$, for a vertically polarized incident plane wave ($E_\theta = 0$), and at frequency 8 GHz.
Figure 5.17. Azimuth error ($e_\phi$) in meters of the BQM-34A drone as a function of azimuth angle $\phi$ for a fixed $\theta = 90^\circ$, for a vertically polarized incident plane wave ($E_\phi = 0$), and at frequency 8 GHz.
Figure 5.18. Elevation error ($e_{\theta}$) in meters of the BQM-34A drone as a function of azimuth angle $\phi$ for a fixed $\theta = 90^\circ$, for a vertically polarized incident plane wave ($E_\theta = 0$), and at frequency 8 GHz.
6. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have derived a relatively simple and analytical model for a complex airborne target. The results for the radar scattering parameters, i.e., RCS and angular scintillation, are given in terms of explicit simple functions and therefore can be calculated in an efficient manner with the aid of a computer. To test the accuracy of our model, the theoretical results are compared with the experimental data for T-33 aircraft. The agreement is generally good (within several db) except in the head-on and tail-on directions. In these two directions, our simple model using a semi-infinite cylinder with an ogive as the engine termination to approximate the engine duct is not adequate; and future work to develop a more realistic model is needed.
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A.1 **Diffraction Matrix for a Wedge**

Consider a perfectly conducting wedge of half-angle $\Omega$ whose edge coincides with the $z$ axis of a Cartesian coordinate system $(x, y, z)$ and whose surfaces are defined by the equations $y = \pm x \tan \Omega$, $x > 0$, and $-\infty < z < \infty$. The geometry of the wedge is shown in Figure A.1.1.

The problem of a plane wave incident or on a plane perpendicular to the $z$ axis with either its electric or magnetic vector parallel to the edge is a classic one; the exact solution is known, and from the basic results it is a trivial matter to deduce the corresponding solutions for plane waves incident at an angle $\pi - \beta$ to the $z$ axis.

According to Keller's geometrical theory of diffraction, we define a set of edge fixed base vectors $\hat{T}$, $\hat{N}$, and $\hat{B}$, where $\hat{T}$ is a unit vector parallel to the edge, $\hat{N}$ is a unit vector normal to the edge and pointing away from the wedge, and $\hat{B}$ is the unit vector binormal to the edge and pointing into the shadowed half space. The direction of $\hat{T}$ is chosen such that $\hat{T} = \hat{N} \times \hat{B}$ in a right-handed system. In general, we choose
Figure A.1.1. The geometry of the wedge
\[ \hat{T} = \hat{Z} \]
\[ \hat{N} = -\hat{x} \cos \delta + \hat{y} \sin \delta \quad (A.1.1) \]
\[ \hat{B} = -\hat{x} \sin \delta - \hat{y} \cos \delta \]

where \( \delta \) is arbitrary (see Figure A.1.1). If the incident field is

\[ \vec{E}^1 = \hat{e}^1 \exp(i \hat{k}_i \cdot \hat{r}) \quad (A.1.2) \]

the diffracted electric field at points far from the edge and away from all geometrical optics boundaries can be written as

\[ \vec{E}^d = \hat{e}^d \left\{ \frac{\exp[i(\pi/4)]}{\sin \beta (2\pi kr)^{1/2}} \right\} \exp(ikd \cdot \hat{r}) \quad (A.1.3) \]

where \( \beta \) is the angle between \( \hat{T} \) and incident direction \( \hat{i} \), \( r \) is the distance from the point of diffraction on the edge to the observation point, and \( k \) is the wave number. The incident and diffracted rays are on opposite sides of the plane which is normal to \( \hat{T} \) and which contains the diffraction point \( P_0 \); their projections on this plane form angles \( \alpha \) and \( \theta' \) with \( -\hat{B} \) (see Figure A.1.1) where

\[-(\pi/2) + \Omega + \delta \leq \alpha \leq (\pi/2) + \delta \]
\[-(\pi/2) + \Omega + \delta \leq \theta' \leq (3\pi/2) - \Omega + \delta \]

\[ 0 < \beta < \pi \quad . \quad (A.1.4) \]
The vectors \( \hat{e} \) and \( \hat{d} \) are related by

\[
\hat{d} = \Delta \hat{e}
\]

(A.1.5)

where

\[
\Delta = \begin{pmatrix}
-(x - y) \\
(x - y) \cot \beta \sin \theta' (x + y) \cos \theta' \cos \alpha (x + y) \cos \theta' \sin \alpha \\
-(x - y) \cot \beta \cos \theta' (x + y) \sin \theta' \cos \alpha (x + y) \sin \theta' \sin \alpha \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(A.1.6)

\[
x = \frac{1}{n} \sin \frac{n}{n} \left[ \cos \frac{n}{n} - \cos \frac{1}{n} (\pi + \theta') \right]^{-1}
\]

(A.1.7)

\[
y = \frac{1}{n} \sin \frac{n}{n} \left[ \cos \frac{n}{n} + \cos \frac{1}{n} (\pi + 2\theta - \alpha - \theta') \right]^{-1}
\]

(A.1.8)

Both \( \hat{e} \) and \( \hat{d} \) are column vectors with components along the base vectors \( \hat{T} \), \( \hat{N} \), and \( \hat{B} \).

A.2 Diffraction Matrix for a Thin Edge

The perfectly conducting half plane shown in Figure A.2.1 is a special case of the wedge in Figure A.1.1, with half-angle \( \Omega \) equal to zero. We define the base vectors \( \hat{T} \), \( \hat{N} \), and \( \hat{B} \) as shown in Figure A.2.1.
Figure A.2.1. Geometry for the plane diffraction
The incident and diffracted field can be written in the form of

Equation (A.1.2) and Equation (A.1.3). The vectors \( \mathbf{e}^i \) and \( \mathbf{e}^d \) are related by

\[
\mathbf{e}^d = \Delta \mathbf{e}^i
\]  

(A.2.1)

where

\[
\Delta = \begin{pmatrix}
-x + y \\
(-x + y) \cot \beta \sin \theta' (-x - y) \cos \theta' \cos \alpha (-x - y) \cos \theta' \sin \alpha \\
(-x + y) \cot \beta \cos \theta' (-x - y) \sin \theta' \cos \alpha (-x - y) \cos \theta' \sin \alpha
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(A.2.2)

with

\[-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\]

(A.2.3)

\[-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta' \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}\]

If the observation point \( p \) is not near the boundary of the shadow region,

where \( \theta' = \alpha + \pi \), i.e., if

\[
| \cos \frac{\theta' - \alpha}{2} | \sqrt{2kr \sin \beta} \gg 1,
\]  

(A.2.4)
then

\[ y = \frac{1}{2 \cos \frac{\theta' - \alpha}{2}} \]  \quad (A.2.5)

If \( p \) is not near the boundary of the reflected field, where \( \theta' = -\alpha \),
i.e., if

\[ \sin \frac{\theta' + \alpha}{2} \sqrt{2kr \sin \beta} \gg 1 \]  \quad (A.2.6)

then

\[ x \approx \frac{1}{2 \sin \frac{\theta' + \alpha}{2}} \]  \quad (A.2.7)

A.3 The Divergence Factor for the Diffracted Ray from a Curved Edge

By applying the geometry theory of diffraction, the divergence
factor for the diffracted field from a curved edge can be written as

\[ \Gamma = \left[ \frac{\rho}{(\rho + S)S} \right]^{1/2} \]  \quad (A.3.1)

where \( \rho \) is the principal radius of curvature of the wave fronts
diffracted by the edge and \( S \) is the distance from the edge to the
observation point. The radius of curvature of the wavefront is
\[ \rho = \frac{-\rho' \sin^2 \beta}{(\hat{d} - \hat{i}) \cdot \hat{n}} \quad (A.3.2) \]

where \( \rho' \) is the radius of curvature of the edge, \( \beta \) is the angle between the incident ray and the tangent to the edge, \( \hat{d} \) is the unit vector in the direction of the diffracted ray, and \( \hat{n} \) is the unit vector in the direction of the principal normal to the edge.

The principal normal to an edge is the normal lying in a plane containing the edge at the location of interest.