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ABSTRACT

One Thiokol Chemical Corporation TE-T-607-1 solid-propellant rocket motor was successfully fired at an average simulated altitude of 98,000 ft. The program objectives were to determine vacuum ballistic performance after temperature conditioning at 70°F, to determine altitude ignition characteristics, to evaluate motor structural integrity, and to determine motor temperature-time history at selected critical locations during and after motor operation.
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NOMENCLATURE

A_{ex} Nozzle exit area, in.$^2$
A_t Nozzle throat area, in.$^2$
C_F Average vacuum thrust coefficient, based on total burn time ($t_s$) and the average of prefire and postfire nozzle throat area
c_f Vacuum thrust coefficient over a selected 10-sec interval of motor operation just prior to tailoff
F  Measured axial thrust, lbf

$I_{vac}$  Vacuum impulse based on total burn time ($t_s$), lbf-sec

$P_{cell}$  Measured cell pressure, psia

$P_{ch}$  Measured motor chamber pressure, psia

TC  Thermocouple on the motor case

TI  Thermocouple on the igniter case

TN  Thermocouple on the nozzle

$t_a$  Action time, time interval from 10 percent of maximum chamber pressure at ignition to 10 percent of maximum chamber pressure at tailoff, sec

$t_{bd}$  Time of nozzle flow breakdown, sec

$t_g$  Time interval from the application of voltage to the igniter to the first indication of chamber pressure, sec

$t_0$  Zero time, time of application of ignition voltage

$t_s$  Total burn time, time interval between the application of ignition voltage and the time at which the ratio of chamber-to-cell pressure has decreased to 1.3 during tailoff, sec
AEDC-TR-72-13

SECTION I
INTRODUCTION

The Thiokol Chemical Corporation (TCC) TE-T-607-1 solid-propellant rocket motor was designed to demonstrate new technology for low-pressure, low-thrust, solid-propellant rocket motors (Ref. 1). The motor tested used a previously fired, refurbished TE-M-521 motor case with an 80:1 area ratio nozzle. The end burning propellant grain is considered to be one-half scale of that suitable for a typical Jupiter Orbiter Spacecraft Mission (Ref. 1).

The primary objectives of the test program reported herein were to determine motor vacuum ballistic performance (after temperature conditioning at 70°F) and altitude ignition characteristics, to evaluate motor structural integrity, and to determine motor temperature-time history at selected critical locations during and after motor operation.

Motor altitude ballistic performance, ignition characteristics, motor structural integrity, and motor temperature-time history are discussed.

SECTION II
APPARATUS

2.1 TEST ARTICLE

The Thiokol Chemical Corporation TE-T-607-1, solid-propellant rocket motor (Fig. 1, Appendix I) utilizes a TE-M-521 motor case with an all carbon "hot nozzle" design. Nominal dimensions and performance characteristics at 70°F are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length, in.</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter, in.</td>
<td>17.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loaded Weight, lbm</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propellant Weight, lbm</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Thrust, lbf</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Chamber Pressure, psia</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burn Time, sec</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throat Area, in.²</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nozzle Area Ratio, A_e/A_t</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The motor case is constructed of 0.033-in.-thick forward and aft domes and a 0.061-in.-thick cylindrical section. The case material is titanium (6 AL-4V).

The case is lined internally with bonded layers of Insulcork® 2755 (granulated cork with phenolic binder) and Gen-GARD® 4030, a silica-asbestos-filled, ethylene propylene terpolymer rubber. A propellant stress relief boot is contained in the aft end of the motor (Fig. 1a).

The nozzle inlet section and exit cone (Fig. 1a) are Pyrocarb® 406. The graphite throat section is Graph-I-Tite® G-90. The Pyrocarb nozzle inlet section is bonded and
pinned to the carbon cloth phenolic aft-closure insulation. Medium lamp threads and epoxy bonding are used to attach the exit cone to the aft-closure insulation. An expansion slot, filled with a soft neoprene washer, is provided aft of the graphite throat so that the graphite throat itself is left unbonded and free to expand during motor operation.

The nozzle is externally insulated with a low density (4.3 lb/ft³) carbon felt material. The felt thickness varies from 0.4 in. (2 layers) near the aft closure exit cone attachment interface to 0.20 in. (one layer) at a location approximately 6.2 in. aft of the throat and extends to the nozzle exit plane. To provide an 80:1 expansion ratio with minimum length, the nozzle design utilized an overturned bell (circular arc) exit contour and a hyperbolic spiral inlet contour. The principal expansion contour parameters are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Initial Expansion Angle (} \alpha \text{), deg} & \quad 30 \\
\text{Exit Divergence Angle (} \beta \text{), deg} & \quad 10
\end{align*}
\]

The TE-T-607-1 rocket motor contains a composite propellant grain formulation, designated TP-H-3274A (ICC Class B) cast in an end-burning configuration. The propellant is formulated by 16-percent aluminum with an epoxy-cured, carboxyl-terminated polybutadiene (CTPB) binder.

Ignition was accomplished by one TE-P-590-1 pyrogen igniter (Fig. 1) which contains 6 BKN0 pellets (size 2D) and 2 strips (1/8 in. by 1/4 in. by 3/4 in.) of TP-H-3274A propellant used to initiate the TP-H-3274A propellant igniter grain. The igniter contained one Hi-shear PC-37 (1 amp-1 watt no fire) squib with a nominal ignition current of 5.0 amps.

2.2 INSTALLATION

The motor assembly was cantilever mounted from the spindle face of a spin-fixture assembly in Propulsion Development Test Cell (T-3). The spin fixture was locked in fixed position for this nonspin test. The spin assembly was mounted on a thrust cradle, which was supported from the cradle support stand by three vertical and two horizontal double-flexure columns (Fig. 2). Axial thrust was transmitted through the spindle-thrust bearing assembly to two double-bridge load cells which were flexure mounted just forward of the thrust bearing on the motor axial centerline.

Preignition pressure altitude conditions were maintained in the test cell by a steam ejector operating in series with the ETF exhaust gas compressors. During motor firing, the motor exhaust gases were used as the driving gas for the 16-in.-diam ejector-diffuser system to maintain test cell pressure at an acceptable level.

2.3 INSTRUMENTATION

Instrumentation was provided to measure axial force, motor chamber pressure, test cell pressure, motor case temperatures, and nozzle temperatures. Table I (Appendix II) presents instrument ranges, recording methods, and measurement uncertainty for all reported parameters.
The axial force measuring system consisted of two double-bridge, strain-gage-type load-cells mounted in the axial double-flexure column forward of the thrust bearing on the motor centerline.

Unbonded strain-gage-type transducers were used to measure test cell pressure. Bonded strain-gage-type transducers with ranges from 0 to 50, 0 to 100, and 0 to 300 psi were used to measure motor chamber pressure. Bonded strain-gage-type transducers with ranges from 0 to 1000 psi were used to measure pyrogen pressure. Chromel®-Alumel® (CA) thermocouples were bonded to the motor case, the igniter case, and on the external nozzle insulation to measure surface temperatures during and after motor burn time. Thermocouple locations are presented in Fig. 3.

The output signal of each measuring device was recorded on independent instrumentation channels. Primary data were obtained from four axial thrust channels, four test cell pressure channels, and four motor chamber pressure channels. These data were recorded as follows: Each instrument output signal was indicated in totalized digital form on a visual readout of a millivolt-to-frequency converter. A magnetic tape system, recording in frequency form stored the signal from the converter for reduction at a later time by an electronic computer. The computer provided a tabulation of average absolute values for each 0.050-sec time increment during the first 25 sec after ignition, for each 0.10-sec thereafter, and total integrals over the cumulative time increments.

The millivolt outputs of the thermocouples were recorded on magnetic tape from a multi-input, analog-to-digital converter at a sampling rate for each thermocouple of 2 samples per second for the first 150 sec and at 30-sec intervals out to 800 sec.

A recording oscillograph was used to provide an independent backup of all operating instrumentation channels except the temperature systems. Selected channels of thrust and pressure were recorded on null-balance, potentiometer-type strip charts for analysis immediately after motor firing. Visual observation of the firing was provided by a closed-circuit television monitor. High-speed, motion-picture cameras provided a permanent visual record of the firing.

2.4 CALIBRATION

The thrust system calibrator weights, thrust load cells, and pressure transducers were laboratory calibrated prior to usage in this test. After installation of the measuring devices in the test cell, the thrust load cells were again calibrated at sea-level, ambient conditions and also at simulated altitude.

The pressure recording systems were calibrated by an electrical, four-step calibration, using a resistance in the transducer circuits to simulate selected pressure levels. The axial thrust instrumentation systems were calibrated by applying to the thrust cradle known forces, which were produced by deadweights acting through a bellcrank. The calibrator is hydraulically actuated and remotely operated from the control room. Thermocouple recording instruments were calibrated by using known millivolt levels to simulate thermocouple outputs. After the motor firing, with the test cell at simulated altitude pressure, the recording systems were recalibrated to determine any shift.
SECTION III
PROCEDURE

The TCC TE-T-607-1 motor arrived at the AEDC on August 13, 1971. The motor was visually inspected for possible shipping damage and radiographically inspected for grain cracks, voids, or separation and found to meet criteria provided by the manufacturer.

After radiographic inspection, the motor was stored in a temperature-conditioned area. Electrical resistance of the igniter squib was measured to ensure circuit continuity. The motor assembly was weighed, and the nozzle throat and exit diameters were measured.

The motor was temperature conditioned in an environment of 70 ± 5°F for a minimum period of 24 hr prior to installation in the test cell. After the motor assembly was installed in the test cell, instrumentation connections were made, and a continuity check of all electrical systems was performed. Prefire, sea-level calibrations were completed, the test cell pressure was reduced to the desired simulated altitude condition, the altitude calibrations were completed, and the motor was fired.

The test cell temperature was maintained at 70 ± 5°F from the time of motor installation until the time that cell pressure was reduced to the desired simulated altitude condition. The time that the motor was out of conditioning during the installation process was 30 min.

Immediately after firing, postfire calibrations were obtained, and the test cell pressure was returned to ambient pressure conditions. The motor was then inspected, photographed, and removed to the storage area. Postfiring inspection consisted of weighing the motor assembly, measuring the nozzle exit and throat diameters, and photographically recording the postfire condition.

SECTION IV
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

One Thiokol Chemical Corporation TE-T-607-1 solid-propellant rocket motor was successfully fired in Propulsion Development Test Cell (T-3). The motor was temperature conditioned at 70 ± 5°F for a period in excess of 24 hr and fired at an average pressure altitude of about 98,000 ft. The primary objectives of the test program were to determine vacuum ballistic performance after temperature conditioning at 70°F, to determine altitude ignition, to evaluate motor structural integrity, and to determine motor temperature-time history at selected critical locations during and after motor operation. The resulting data are presented in both tabular and graphical form.

Motor performance data are summarized in Table II, and motor physical dimensions are summarized in Table III. Altitude ignition characteristics, ballistic performance, structural integrity, and temperature-time histories of the motor case and nozzle are presented and discussed. When multiple channels of equal accuracy instrumentation data were used to obtain values of a single parameter, the average values were used to calculate the data presented.
4.1 ALTITUDE IGNITION CHARACTERISTICS

The motor was ignited at a pressure altitude of 116,000 ft. The variations of thrust, chamber pressure, and cell pressure during ignition are presented in Fig. 4. The motor did not utilize a throat closure; therefore, prefire chamber pressure was equal to cell pressure (0.073 psia). Ignition lag time (tg) was 0.003 sec.

4.2 ALTITUDE BALLISTIC PERFORMANCE

The variations of thrust, chamber pressure, and test cell pressure with motor burn time are presented in Fig. 5.

The 80:1 area ratio exhaust nozzle operated fully expanded at the nozzle exit static pressure to test cell pressure ratios encountered during the firing until the start of motor tailoff burning (t_{bd}) at 139.4 sec (Ref. 2). Since the nozzle did not operate fully expanded after 139.4 sec, the measured total impulse from 139.4 sec to the end of motor total burn time (t_s, 154.5 sec) cannot be corrected to vacuum conditions by adding the product of cell pressure integral and nozzle exit area. Therefore, total burn time (t_s) was segmented, and the method used to determine vacuum impulse is illustrated in Fig. 6. The exhaust nozzle flow breakdown was considered to have occurred simultaneously with the exhaust diffuser flow breakdown (as indicated by a rapid increase in cell pressure during tailoff). After this time, the flow at the nozzle throat was considered to be at sonic velocity until the time (t_s) at which the ratio of motor chamber-to-cell pressure had decreased to a value of 1.3.

The vacuum total impulse, based on total burn time (t_s) of 154.50 sec, was 66,740 lbf-sec. Vacuum specific impulse, based on t_s and the manufacturer's stated propellant weight, was 278.60 lbf-sec/lbm. Vacuum specific impulse, based on t_s and the expended mass, was 271.40 lbf-sec/lbm.

4.3 STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY

Postfire photographs of the motor case and nozzle are presented in Fig. 7. Pre- and postfire inspection data revealed an increase in throat area of approximately 17.68 percent during the firing. The increase in nozzle exit area was approximately 0.12 percent during the firing.

During the postfire inspection, it was found that the nozzle assembly could be rotated while the aft closure remained stationary, indicating that the adhesive bond line shown in Fig. 1c was no longer providing structural support. The remainder of the motor appeared to be in excellent physical condition. The nozzle/aft closure assembly was not disassembled from the case.

4.4 MOTOR TEMPERATURE HISTORY

Motor case and nozzle temperature variations with time are presented in Fig. 8. The maximum measured case temperature was 674°F and occurred approximately 534 sec after
motor ignition as indicated by TC-6, located on the nozzle aft closure (Fig. 8d). The maximum measured nozzle external temperature was 1800°F and occurred at approximately 112 sec after ignition as indicated by thermocouple TN-3 (see Fig. 8a).

SECTION V
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

One Thiokol Chemical Corporation TE-T-607-1 solid-propellant rocket motor was successfully fired at an average pressure altitude of 98,000 ft. The motor was conditioned in a controlled environment of 70 ± 5°F for a period in excess of 24 hr prior to firing. The results are summarized as follows:

1. The time interval from the time at which the firing voltage was applied to the igniter circuit to the time of increase in chamber pressure was 0.003 sec.

2. The time interval from application of ignition current to the igniter to the time at which the ratio of chamber-to-cell pressure has decreased to 1.3 at tailoff \( t_s \) was 154.5 sec.

3. Vacuum total impulse, based on the time interval from the application of ignition current to the igniter to the time at which the ratio of chamber-to-cell pressure has decreased to 1.3 at tailoff, was 66,740 lbf·sec. The vacuum specific impulse, based on vacuum total impulse and the manufacturer's stated propellant weight, was 278.60 lbf·sec/lbm.

4. The nozzle throat area of the motor increased approximately 17.6 percent from the prefire area during the firing, whereas the nozzle exit area exhibited a 0.12 percent area increase.

5. Motor case and nozzle postfire inspection did not reveal any distortion or damage. The adhesive band line in the nozzle throat area did not provide structural support after motor operation and heat soak.

6. The maximum measured motor case temperature was 674°F and occurred at the nozzle aft closure at approximately 534 sec after motor ignition. The maximum measured nozzle external temperature was 1800°F and occurred approximately 112 sec after ignition.
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Note: Prior to motor firing, the igniter and transducers were covered with a thermal insulating material (see Fig. 7).
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\[ I_{\text{vac}} = \int_{t_0}^{t_{bd}} F \, dt + A_{\text{ex avg}} \int_{t_0}^{t_{bd}} P_{\text{cell}} \, dt + c_f A_{\text{post}} \int_{t_0}^{t_s} P_{\text{ch}} \, dt \]

\[ \int_{t_0}^{t_{bd}} F \, dt = 62,313 \text{ lbf-sec} \]

\[ \int_{t_0}^{t_{bd}} P_{\text{cell}} \, dt = 25.52 \text{ psia-sec} \]

\[ \int_{t_{bd}}^{t_s} P_{\text{ch}} \, dt = 320 \text{ psia-sec} \]

\[ c_f = \frac{t_2}{t_1}, \text{ where } t_2 = 130 \text{ sec} \]

\[ A_{\text{post}} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} P_{\text{ch}} \, dt \]

\[ A_{\text{post}} = 1.94 \text{ in.}^2 \quad A_{\text{ex avg}} = 133.85 \text{ in.}^2 \]

Fig. 6 Schematic of Chamber and Cell Pressure-Time Variation Defining Characteristic Events
Fig. 7 Postfire Photographs of Motor Assembly
Fig. 8 Motor Temperature Variation with Time
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### Table 1: Instrumentation Summary and Measurement Uncertainty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Designation</th>
<th>Precision Index (g)</th>
<th>Bias (m)</th>
<th>Uncertainty ±(B + t)</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Type of Measuring Device</th>
<th>Type of Recording Device</th>
<th>Method of System Calibration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axial Force, lbf</td>
<td>±0.13</td>
<td>+0.29</td>
<td>±0.55</td>
<td>200 to 200 lbf</td>
<td>Bonded-Strain-Gage-Type Force Transducer</td>
<td>Voltage-to-Frequency Converter on Magnetic Tape</td>
<td>In-Place Application of Deadweights Calibrated in the Standards Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Impulse, lb-sec</td>
<td>±0.116</td>
<td>+0.29</td>
<td>±0.53</td>
<td>50 to 500 psl</td>
<td>Bonded-Strain-Gage-Type Pressure Transducers</td>
<td>Visual Readout</td>
<td>Resistance Shunt Based on the Standards Laboratory Determination of Transducer Applied Pressure versus Resistance Shunt Equivalent Pressure Relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber Pressure</td>
<td>±0.12</td>
<td>+0.20</td>
<td>±0.14</td>
<td>10 to 50 psl</td>
<td>Bonded-Strain-Gage-Type Pressure Transducers</td>
<td>Visual Readout</td>
<td>Resistance Shunt Based on the Standards Laboratory Determination of Transducer Applied Pressure versus Resistance Shunt Equivalent Pressure Relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber Pressure</td>
<td>±0.107</td>
<td>+0.20</td>
<td>±0.41</td>
<td>50 to 200 psl</td>
<td>Unbonded-Strain-Gage-Type Pressure Transducers</td>
<td>Visual Readout</td>
<td>Resistance Shunt Based on the Standards Laboratory Determination of Transducer Applied Pressure versus Resistance Shunt Equivalent Pressure Relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Cell Pressure</td>
<td>±0.27</td>
<td>+0.25</td>
<td>±1.80</td>
<td>0.075 to 0.25 psl</td>
<td>Unbonded-Strain-Gage-Type Pressure Transducers</td>
<td>Visual Readout</td>
<td>Resistance Shunt Based on the Standards Laboratory Determination of Transducer Applied Pressure versus Resistance Shunt Equivalent Pressure Relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, lbf</td>
<td>±0.125</td>
<td>+0.02</td>
<td>±0.27</td>
<td>250 to 555 lbf</td>
<td>Beam Balance Scale</td>
<td>Visual Readout</td>
<td>Resistance Shunt Based on the Standards Laboratory Determination of Transducer Applied Pressure versus Resistance Shunt Equivalent Pressure Relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Interval, msec</td>
<td>±0.25</td>
<td>+0.01</td>
<td>±0.05</td>
<td>Time Pulse Generator</td>
<td>Time Pulse Generator Calibrated in the Standards Laboratory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nozzle Temperature, °F</td>
<td>±0.25</td>
<td>+0.25</td>
<td>±2.5°F</td>
<td>Chromel-Alumel Temperature Transducers</td>
<td>Sequential Sampling, Millivolt-to-Digital Converter, and Magnetic Tape Storage Data Acquisition System</td>
<td>Resistance Shunt Based on the Standards Laboratory Determination of Transducer Applied Pressure versus Resistance Shunt Equivalent Pressure Relationship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Temperature, °F</td>
<td>±0.25</td>
<td>+0.25</td>
<td>±2.5°F</td>
<td>Iron-Constantan Temperature Transducers</td>
<td>Sequential Sampling, Millivolt-to-Digital Converter, and Magnetic Tape Storage Data Acquisition System</td>
<td>Resistance Shunt Based on the Standards Laboratory Determination of Transducer Applied Pressure versus Resistance Shunt Equivalent Pressure Relationship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrogen Pressure, psls</td>
<td>±0.075±0.1 psl</td>
<td>+0.15</td>
<td>±0.3% + 0.2 psl</td>
<td>50 to 200 psl</td>
<td>Bonded-Strain-Gage-Type Pressure Transducers</td>
<td>Visual Readout</td>
<td>Resistance Shunt Based on the Standards Laboratory Determination of Transducer Applied Pressure versus Resistance Shunt Equivalent Pressure Relationship</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II
**SUMMARY OF THE TE-T-607-1 MOTOR PERFORMANCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Number</th>
<th>01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor Serial Number</td>
<td>0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Date</td>
<td>8/27/71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Case Temperature at Ignition, °F</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition Lag Time ((t^1)), sec</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of Nozzle Flow Breakdown ((t_{bd})), sec</td>
<td>139.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Time ((t_a)), sec</td>
<td>142.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Burn Time ((t_s)), sec</td>
<td>154.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulated Altitude at Ignition, ft</td>
<td>116,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Simulated Altitude during (t_{bd}), ft</td>
<td>98,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured Total Impulse (Based on (t_{bd})), lbf-sec</td>
<td>62,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average of Four Channels of Data</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Deviation from Average, percent</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber Pressure Integral (Based on (t_{bd})), psia-sec</td>
<td>21,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average of Three Channels of Data</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Deviation from Average, percent</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell Pressure Integral (Based on (t_{bd})), psia-sec</td>
<td>25.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average of Four Channels of Data</td>
<td>25.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Deviation from Average, percent</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum Total Impulse (Based on (t_s)), lbf-sec</td>
<td>66,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum Specific Impulse, lbf-sec/lbm, Based on (t_s) and Manufacturer's Stated Propellant Weight</td>
<td>278.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expended Mass (AEDC)</td>
<td>271.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Vacuum Thrust Coefficient (Based on Total Burn Time and Average Pre- and Postfire Throat Area) (^4), (C_P)</td>
<td>1.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic Exhaust Velocity ((c^*)), ft/sec</td>
<td>5048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer's Stated Propellant Weight, lbm</td>
<td>239.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expended Mass (AEDC), lbm</td>
<td>245.905</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Interval from application of igniter voltage to time of increase in chamber pressure

\(^2\)Time interval between 10 percent of maximum chamber pressure during ignition and 10 percent of maximum chamber pressure during tailoff

\(^3\)Time interval between the application of ignition voltage and the time at which the ratio of chamber-to-cell pressure has decreased to 1.3 during tailoff

\(^4\)Exhaust products not removed prior to measurements
### TABLE III
**SUMMARY OF THE TE-T-607-1 MOTOR PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Number - RC1261</th>
<th>01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test Date</td>
<td>8/27/71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Serial Number</td>
<td>0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expended Mass (Includes Igniter) (AEDC), lbm</td>
<td>245.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer's Stated Propellant Weight, lbm</td>
<td>239.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nozzle Throat Area, in.²**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prefire</th>
<th>Postfire</th>
<th>Percent Change from Prefire</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prefire</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postfire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Change from Prefire</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nozzle Exit Area, in.²**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prefire</th>
<th>Postfire</th>
<th>Percent Change from Prefire</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prefire</td>
<td>133.7</td>
<td>134.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>133.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postfire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Change from Prefire</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nozzle Area Ratio, \(A_{ex}/A_t\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prefire</th>
<th>Postfire</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prefire</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postfire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One Thiokol Chemical Corporation TE-T-607-1 solid-propellant rocket motor was successfully fired at an average simulated altitude of 98,000 ft. The program objectives were to determine vacuum ballistic performance after temperature conditioning at 70°F, to determine altitude ignition characteristics, to evaluate motor structural integrity, and to determine motor temperature-time history at selected critical locations during and after motor operation.
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