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CRANFIELD CONFERENCE ON INFORMATION RETRIEVAL

Introduction

The Third Cranfield Conference on Mechanised Information Storage and Retrieval Systems was held on 20-23 July 1971 at the Cranfield Institute of Technology, in Bedfordshire, England. Over 200 information scientists, documentation specialists, cataloguers, and librarians attended the bi-annual conference which is perhaps the most important international meeting on information retrieval. The reason it is held in Cranfield is that the conference organizer, C. W. Cleverdon, an internationally-recognized pioneer in information processing, is the director of the Institute library. Largely through Cleverdon's efforts, the program included invited talks given by many of the world's leading specialists in information retrieval and documentation including Professor A. Lazarow of the University of Minnesota, Dr. E. Garfield of the Institute for Scientific Information, Dr. C. J. Bell of IBM (UK), and Dean B. M. Fry of the University of Indiana. Of the attendees, most came from Great Britain. Other countries represented were: Czechoslovakia, Denmark, Sweden, the Netherlands, Belgium, Australia, Canada, Luxembourg, West Germany, France, Japan, Ireland, Switzerland, Yugoslavia, and the United States. Most of the speakers were either British or American, and the official Conference language was English.

Most of the talks described on-going projects, some of which are quite specialized applications of information retrieval. Other papers described the use of magnetic tape services such as MEDLARS and COMPENDEX. A number of talks described on-going efforts for on-line information retrieval. At least forty-five minutes were allocated to each talk and parallel sessions were kept to a minimum.

On Wednesday, the professional association for library sciences and documentation specialists, ASLIB, held a one-day conference of their computer applications group. Registrants to the main conference were invited to attend the ASLIB meeting also. The papers presented at the ASLIB Conference tended to emphasize more pragmatic issues dealing with the use of computers in the overall operation of the library. To an outside observer, however, the two conferences were so similar in intent that they could easily have been integrated into one.

The Conference was well-planned and efficiently run. Cranfield was an excellent place to hold it. Accommodations were comfortable, the surroundings quiet and beautiful, and the food was excellent.
Contributed Papers

D. B. McCarn, "Networks, with Emphasis on Planning and On-line Bibliographic Access System."

McCarn, who is with the Lister Hill National Center for Biomedical Communications, gave a tutorial paper on computer networks. His talk covered the advantages of networks, different configurations, transmission speeds, and decentralization versus communications costs. As an example, he described the planning for a national on-line medical bibliographic service. The service, known as AIM TWX, provides on-line search of all items in the index of the Abridged Index Medicus for the past five years. Users access the central data bases in the IBM 360/67 computer at SDC, Santa Monica via TWX or teletype terminals. McCarn estimates that 60% of the users are physicians. Each search averages 10 sec vs 3-6 weeks for a conventional batch-processing search using the MEDLARS system of the National Library of Medicine. The cost of each search is approximately $4.00 with $3.00 for communications and $1.00 for computer time. When fully operational in 1972, the system is designed to handle about 180,000 requests per year.


In one of the finest papers presented at the Conference, Lazarow described the Diabetes Literature Index work of the National Institute of Arthritis and Metabolic Diseases (NIAMD). He described the process whereby diabetes-related literature is derived from the National Library of Medicine’s MEDLARS magnetic tapes which is a regularly updated index of all current medical literature. Approximately two-thirds of the diabetes-related citations are automatically identified by computer via a key-word index. The remaining one-third is manually selected by an experienced MD. The final derived magnetic tape for the diabetes index contains the complete bibliographic citation indexed by key words. Lazarow strongly believes that the complete citation is much more useful than a fragmented title and saves valuable user’s time at the cost of slightly greater computer processing time. Every month, the diabetes index tape is sent to the Government Printing Office where the Diabetes Literature Index is printed. The project is a prime example of effective utilization of computers in information retrieval.

W. M. Woods, "Design and Development of a Transdisciplinary Engineering Information Program."
B. Hisinger, "A Multidisciplinary and Computerized SDI Service for Industry and Research - Practical Experience and Costs."

M. E. Williams, "Experiences of IIT Research Institute in Operating Computerized Retrieval System for Searching a Variety of Data Bases."

J. Byrne, P. J. Currivan, and F. V. Mahon "A Current Awareness System Based upon INSPEC Tapes."

The above four papers all deal with information services on magnetic tape. These services send to their clients each month a magnetic tape with thousands of abstracted items containing subject headings, cross references, bibliographic citations and abstracts.

An information service that was discussed in three of the above papers was the COMPENDEX (Computerized Information Index) tape service which was established by Engineering Index, Inc. in 1969. COMPENDEX offers its subscribers a package consisting of a monthly magnetic tape service with more than 6000 items, and the monthly and the annual Engineering Index. B. M. Woods, managing director of Engineering Index described how COMPENDEX works.

B. Hisinger of the Technical Library of Denmark gave a talk on a computerized SDI (Selective Dissemination of Information) service based upon COMPENDEX and INSPEC (a tape service offered by IEE) tapes. In another paper that dealt with COMPENDEX, Miss M. E. Williams described a current awareness (SDI) service developed at the Illinois Institute of Technology Research Institute. In addition to COMPENDEX, the SDI service also reviews Chemical Abstracts Condensates and Biological Abstracts Previews. In operation since 1969, the service also offers retrospective searches tailored to a user's or organization's needs.

For COMPENDEX services, the yearly leasing cost is $7300, broken down as follows: $6500.- for the COMPENDEX data base, $500 - for the monthly subscription to Engineering Index, and $300 - for the magnetic tapes themselves. Although individuals probably can not afford the COMPENDEX service, institutions with a fairly substantial library budget may be able to.

D. E. Garfield and A. E. Cawkell, "The Relationship Between Large Multidisciplinary Systems and Specialized Information Services."

In one of the most hotly debated papers, D. E. Garfield, President of the Institute for Scientific Information, and a pioneer in Information Retrieval gave a talk on citation indexes. He showed how citation indexes give valuable feedback on the relative importance of a particular paper.
or journal in terms of the number of times the paper or journal
has been cited in other papers. In advance of his talk, Garfield
distributed an alphabetic listing of the 100 most frequently cited
scientific journals. This listing ranks scientific journals ac-
cording to two standards — rank by total citations, and rank by impact
factor. The term impact factor is defined as the ratio of total
citations to total source items over a given period. Thus, impact
factor is a normalized criterion in which the size of the journal,
i.e., its total number of pages, does not enter in, and perhaps is a
more relevant index than total citations. For the reader's infor-
mation, the ten most frequently cited scientific journals are listed
below. The complete list is to be published in Science (number seven
in total citations). It should be noted that Garfield's complete list
includes over a thousand journals, all ranked by total citation and
impact factor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JOURNAL</th>
<th>Rank by Total Citation</th>
<th>Rank by Impact Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. AM CHEM SOC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHYS REV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J BIOL CHEM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATURE</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J CHEM PHYS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J CHEM SOC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCIENCE</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOCHEM BIOPHYS ACTA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P NAT ACAM SCI USA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOCHEM J</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The journal ranked first in impact factor, J Mol Biol, ranked
eighteenth in total citations. From the listing of the 100 most
frequently cited journals we can make the following observations:
(1) All the journals listed relate to science or medicine. Not a
single journal in engineering or technology appeared in the top 100.
This does not mean that their impact factor is not high, but does mean
that engineering journals are rather too specialized to be cited widely.
(2) Most of the journals in the top 100 are in the English language,
which gives some support to the thesis that English is the international
scientific language, rather than French, Russian or German. (3) Review
journals, such as the Rev Mod Phys which ranks 97 in total citations and
12 in impact factor, usually have high impact factors. This is because
most scientists do not have enough time to keep up with the literature, even in their own specialization, and must increasingly rely on review articles for information.

Garfield was challenged rather sharply in his total dedication to citation indexes as the only acceptable means for information analysis. He stood his ground, however, and insisted that his numbers are valid. His concluding remarks were that in the information explosion we are living in today in which the number of journals and number of journals pages are increasing almost exponentially, a small number of journals, say 200 account for a substantial percentage (30%) of the total citations. Therefore, even with new journals cropping up everyday, the old standards, such as Science, remain as important as ever.

Garfield's talk served to underline the importance of information retrieval in an age where too much information is disseminated for people to absorb. It also showed the importance of meetings such as the Cranfield Conference.

S. Adams "The Role of Reprocessing in UNISIST."

UNISIST is an acronym which stands for a feasibility study on the establishment of a world science information system. The study was jointly sponsored by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) and the International Council of Scientific Unions (ICSU). The study, reported in a monograph entitled UNISIST (UNESCO, Paris 1971), shows that it is both desirable and feasible for developing nations to have information services, and calls for the sharing of information costs and services between developed and developing nations. Other recommendations call for the establishment of governmental policies favoring such interchange, and the experimental interlinking of information systems between developing and industrialized countries. Adams suggests that with the implementation of information sharing programs, national reprocessing centers, i.e., centers for the re-packaging of information from data bases such as COMPENDEX, should be created. The talk was highly speculative and it was difficult to assess what specific actions Adams recommended.

It should be noted that a UNESCO conference is being held at this time (1 October 1971) in Paris to discuss and implement the recommendations given in UNISIST.

A list of papers appears in Appendix A.
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