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ABSTRACT

An infrared technique has been used to monitor the thermal decomposition of carbonyl fluoride (COF₂) in argon and nitrogen diluent behind incident and reflected shock waves. Data was taken in the temperature range 2400° to 3600° K at total pressures between 0.2 and 26 atm. Direct sampling of reflected shock mixtures with a time-of-flight mass spectrometer provided knowledge of the decomposition products. The pressure and temperature dependence of the COF₂ dissociation rate constants are discussed in terms of the Rice-Rauhutter-Kassel (RRK) unimolecular theory. Rate constants for the fluorine extraction reactions and COF disproportionation reaction were obtained by curve-fitting the complete COF₂ kinetic histories with computed profiles. A chemical nonequilibrium stream-tube program which includes wall boundary layer effects was used for data analysis.
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I. INTRODUCTION

At present the chemical rates for fluorocarbon decomposition and oxidation kinetics are needed for input to a number of aerospace engineering problems. Mass spectrometer study on the pyrolysis of Teflon plastic (polytetrafluoroethylene) in atmospheres of air and oxygen shows that carbonyl fluoride (COF₂) is an important low-temperature (800° K) oxidation intermediate and that at higher temperatures carbon dioxide (CO₂) and perfluoromethane (CF₄) dominate the reaction products. Measurements to identify the infrared and visible spectrum of an air-Teflon boundary layer in a hot subsonic arc jet have shown the presence of the CN-violet and red bands and structure in the 2 to 6 µ region characteristic of the COF₂, CO and CO₂ molecular bands. Single-pulse shock tube experiments of the tetrafluoroethylene oxidation in the range of 1200° to 2000° K show product distributions of COF₂, CO, CF₄, C₂F₆, and small amounts of C₃F₆ and CO₂. In a shock-tube study of the difluoromethylene-oxygen reaction, infrared measurements have directly identified COF₂ as the initial oxidation product of these reactants. Preliminary results show that at temperatures <2200° K, the COF₂ builds up to a steady concentration behind the shock front and that above 2700° K, a nonequilibrium "overshoot" in COF₂ takes place in the reaction mixture.

From a measurement of the thermal decomposition rates of molecules, the rate constants for their formation can be obtained by the principle of detailed balancing, i.e., taking the ratio of the dissociation rate to the equilibrium constant of the reaction. This approach has previously been used, for example, to determine fluorine-fluorocarbon dissociation and recombination rate constants of CF₄ and CF₃. In the present report another part of fluorocarbon chemical kinetics has been investigated and deals with the thermal decomposition of carbonyl fluoride. A shock tube is employed to dissociate COF₂ in dilute mixtures of argon or nitrogen. The rate of COF₂ decomposition is measured by monitoring the COF₂ fundamental band at 5.25 µ with a filtered InSb infrared detector. Rate constants for COF₂ initial dissociation are obtained over a pressure range from about 0.2 to 26 atm between 2400° and 3600° K. The pressure and temperature dependences of these rate constants are expressed in terms of the RRK theory for unimolecular reactions. In addition, the complete COF₂ decomposition records are analyzed with a chemical, nonequilibrium shock-tube program to obtain rate constants for the fluorine extraction and recombination reaction of the COF₂ decomposition mechanism.
II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

A. SHOCK TUBE

The COF$_2$ decomposition experiments were conducted behind incident and reflected shock waves in an emission spectroscopy shock tube and behind reflected shock waves in a shock tube coupled to a time-of-flight mass spectrometer.

The optical shock tube was constructed of 1.5-inch I.D. stainless steel tubing and was designed with a 3.5-foot driver section and a 10-foot driven section. The shock tube and associated gas handling system were pumped down to less than $3 \times 10^{-3}$ torr with a water-cooled oil diffusion pump; they had a leak rate of about $10^{-3}$ torr per minute. Cold driving with hydrogen of helium against Mylar diaphragms of 2-mil to 5-mil thickness was used to generate shock waves into the test chamber. The shock velocity was measured with a series of platinum heat-transfer gages situated along the driven section. The output of these gages were displayed on a time-mark folded oscilloscope sweep, operated by a Radionics (Model TWN-2A) triangular wave and marker timing generator. Transit times between stations were measured to within +1 μsec. The maximum error in the shock velocity was about +0.3 percent and introduced an uncertainty of less than +150 K in the calculated incident shock temperature or +40° K for the reflected shock. Infrared emission from the shocked gas was monitored with a filtered InSb detector (Type 1SC-301) and was viewed through calcium fluoride windows perpendicular to the shock-tube axis.

The mass spectrometer shock-tube apparatus was constructed from 1-inch I.D. Pyrex glass pipe and has a 5-foot driver section and a 15-foot driven section. A Bendix (Model 14-206) time-of-flight mass spectrometer is attached to the shock tube through the "fast reaction chamber." The reflected shock-heated gas was sampled at the shock-tube endwall, which is a flat stainless steel plate having in its center a hyperbolic nozzle with a 2-mil inlet and 10-mil exit (Englehard Industries, Englehard, New Jersey). Mass spectral recordings were taken at 25-μsec intervals just before and after shock arrival. The time-resolved ion peaks were displayed on a Tektronix 535A oscilloscope in combination with a type CA-pre-amplifier and multiscan generator. For initial shock-tube pressures of 3 to 8 torr, the background pressure in the mass spectrometer before shock arrival ranged between $10^{-6}$ and $10^{-5}$ torr. A complete description of the mass spectrometer shock-tube apparatus may be found in an earlier report.

B. REAGENTS

Infrared measurements of COF$_2$ decomposition were made behind incident argon and nitrogen shocks containing COF$_2$ in a mole ratio of 2:100 with the inert gas. The same measurements were made behind reflected shocks using 0.25:100 and 0.5:100 COF$_2$-argon mixtures. Mass spectral data on the COF$_2$ decomposition reaction were taken with a 5:100 COF$_2$-argon mixture. The COF$_2$ gas used in this study was obtained from Peninsular Chemresearch (Gainesville, Florida) and had a stated purity of 95 percent. Infrared analysis of COF$_2$ completely decomposed in excess argon showed that the commercial gas contained about 4.4 ±2.4 percent CO$_2$. The buffer gases were of research grade (argon, 99.999 percent purity and N$_2$, 99.997 percent purity).
III. INFRARED MEASUREMENTS

The infrared absorption spectra of the COF₂ molecule is found to consist of a number of vibrational-rotational bands between 2μ and 38μ. (9) Recently in this laboratory, spectral band intensities in the 2μ to 6μ region of COF₂ (Figure 1) have been determined by employing a "fast scanning" monochromator to record the infrared spectra of reflected shock heated samples of COF₂ in excess argon. (10) For the purpose of studying the thermal decomposition kinetics of COF₂, the fundamental vibrational band at 5.25μ was monitored with a filtered InSb detector to observe the time rate of change of the COF₂ concentration behind the shock wave. Examples of infrared oscillogram records depicting COF₂ decomposition at several shock temperature conditions are shown in Figure 2. The COF₂ concentrations of the study were typically less than 2 x 10⁻⁷ mole/cc for which the infrared emission at 5.25μ was below 8 percent blackbody intensity over the temperature range of the experiments. Under these conditions, the COF₂ gas was considered optically thin and the measured infrared signal was taken to be linearly dependent on concentration. From the infrared emission peak-height at the shock front and the initial concentration of COF₂ behind the shock wave, each oscillogram record was self-calibrating. It was found in the experiments that the CO decomposition product and the trace amount of CO₂ impurity in the COF₂ reaction mixture radiated in the bandpass of the 5.25μ filter. Corrections to the COF₂ infrared records were made by subtracting out the CO (less than 10 percent) and CO₂ (less than 5 percent) spectra. Necessary correction factors were obtained from measurements of the infrared signal generated by known quantities of CO and CO₂ in argon shocks.

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1** ABOLÎTE INTENSITY OF THE COF₂ EMISSION SPECTRA IN THE 2μ TO 6μ REGION
Figure 2  EXAMPLES OF COF$_2$ INFRARED EMISSION AT 5.25, BEHIND INCIDENT SHOCK WAVES INTO 2:100 COF$_2$-ARGON MIXTURES
IV. MASS SPECTROMETER MEASUREMENTS

Reaction mixtures of reflected shock-heated COF$_2$ in excess argon were sampled directly through the shock-tube endwall and analyzed in a time-of-flight mass spectrometer. The mass spectral data were used to study the change in COF$_2$ mass peak with time (25-msec intervals after shock compression) and to determine the nature of the decomposition products to gain insight about the COF$_2$ decomposition mechanism. A typical mass spectra of a COF$_2$ decomposition mixture is seen in Figure 3. Ion peaks for COF$_2$(66), COF(47), CO$_2$(44), Ar(40), Ar(36), and CO(28) are clearly identified. Interestingly, mass peaks for CF$_3$(69), CF$_2$(36), and CF(31) are absent in the spectra. The histories of the COF$_2$ ion peak were used directly to obtain the initial dissociation rate constants for the COF$_2$ molecule. The mass spectrometer records show that CO is the major molecular end-product of COF$_2$ decomposition. This observation is in agreement with CO infrared analysis at 4.6 $\mu$m. It is found that after complete decomposition of COF$_2$, the CO concentration in the mixtures equals the stoichiometric CO content of the COF$_2$ molecules present at the beginning of the reaction.

![Mass Spectrogram](image.png)

**Figure 3** MASS SPECTROGRAM OF DECOMPOSED COF$_2$ BEHIND REFLECTED SHOCK WAVE

- Shock temperature = 3650° K. Pressure = 0.17 atm (5:100 COF$_2$-argon). Mass analysis = 25 sec per scan. Mass peaks 66(COF$_2$), 47(COF), 44(CO$_2$), 40(Ar), 36(Ar), 28(CO).
V. THERMAL DISSOCIATION RATE CONSTANT OF CARBONYL FLUORIDE

The kinetics of COF₂ thermal dissociation were studied over a pressure range from about 0.2 to 26 atm in argon shocks, and from about 0.4 to 1.5 atm in shock-heated nitrogen. The temperature interval of the data extended from 2400° to 3600° K. The rate constant for thermal dissociation of COF₂

\[
\text{Ar,} \text{N}_2 \\
\text{RI COF}_2 \rightarrow \text{COF} + \text{F}
\]

was determined from the initial slope of the infrared emission records, corresponding to the first 10-percent decay in the COF₂ concentration. Some dissociation rate constants were also measured from mass spectrometer oscillogram records for 50 percent COF₂ dissociation. The "apparent" dissociation rate constant defined by

\[
d\text{[COF}_2]/d\text{t}_{\text{Ob}}
\]

where \(\text{[COF}_2]\) is the COF₂ concentration behind the shock wave, is plotted against reciprocal temperature in Figures 4 and 5. The average total concentration associated with each set of dissociation rate constants is denoted by a normalized density equal to the density of the shocked gas divided by the density of the gas at 1 atm pressure and 273° K. Examples of the shock tube experiments performed in this study are given in Table I.

It is seen from the data of Figures 4 and 5, that the apparent first-order rate constants are neither independent nor linearly dependent on total concentration, but rather show a systematic fall-off with concentration at a given temperature. This type of behavior for thermal dissociation reactions of polyatomic molecules has been explained by a number of theoretical formulations. The COF₂ dissociation rate constants of this work are fitted by the general rate constant equation derived from the Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel (RRK) theory on unimolecular reactions. The general rate constant formula of the RRK theory is given by

\[
k(\text{RRK}) = \frac{E_0}{m!} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^m e^{-x}}{1 - (E - E_0)/cZ} \left[ \frac{x}{(E - E_0)/cZ + x} \right]^m dx
\]

where \(k = A e^{-E_0/RT}\) is the Arrhenius high-pressure rate constant. \(E_0\) is the minimum energy for dissociation. \(A\) is the frequency factor. The quantities \(m, c,\) and \(Z\), are the number of effective oscillators participating in the dissociation process, the total concentration, and the effective collision frequency. \(x\) is the dimensionless variable, \((E - E_0)/RT\). The RRK rate constant for COF₂ decomposition compared with the general rate constant from Slater's theory.
$p/p_0$ is a gas density normalized to density at 1 atm and 273°K. Solid lines are RRK rate integral with $A = 6.14(10^{11})$ sec$^{-1}$, $E_0 = 91912$ cal/mole, $m = 6$ and $z = 7.5(10^{10})$ cc/mole sec. Q, mass spectrometer data. All other data are from infrared measurements.

Figure 4 APPARENT FIRST-ORDER RATE CONSTANTS OF COF$_2$ THERMAL DISSOCIATION IN ARGON DILUENT

Figure 5 APPARENT FIRST-ORDER RATE CONSTANTS OF COF$_2$ THERMAL DISSOCIATION IN NITROGEN DILUENT
## TABLE I

COF₂ DISSOCIATION IN ARGON SHOCKS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment No.</th>
<th>Shock Temperature (°K)</th>
<th>Total Concentration (mole/cc)</th>
<th>Apparent Rate Constant (sec⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total Pressure (atm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2:100 COF₂ - Argon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*</td>
<td>2405</td>
<td>6.245 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>1.11 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2565</td>
<td>6.270 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>2.42 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>6.345 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>9.45 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3195</td>
<td>6.395 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>2.42 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5:100 COF₂ - Argon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5**</td>
<td>2678</td>
<td>1.586 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>4.94 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>2.231 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.29 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>5.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3304</td>
<td>1.686 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>6.79 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3680</td>
<td>1.300 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.24 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2475</td>
<td>3.840 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>2.23 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>7.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2655</td>
<td>3.930 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>6.70 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>8.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3010</td>
<td>4.090 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>3.62 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>10.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3270</td>
<td>4.180 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>1.08 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>11.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25:100 COF₂ - Argon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2343</td>
<td>1.19 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>1.62 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2410</td>
<td>1.20 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>1.93 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2795</td>
<td>1.18 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>2.71 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2905</td>
<td>1.12 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>4.91 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>1.10 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>9.88 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Incident shock data

**Reflected shock data
TABLE I (Concl'd)

COF₂ DISSOCIATION IN NITROGEN SHOCKS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment No.</th>
<th>Shock Temperature (°K)</th>
<th>Total Concentration (mole/cc)</th>
<th>Apparent Rate Constant (sec⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total Pressure (atm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1*</td>
<td>2460</td>
<td>$1.756 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$4.88 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2615</td>
<td>$1.779 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.45 \times 10^{1}$</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2795</td>
<td>$1.804 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$4.42 \times 10^{1}$</td>
<td>0.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2915</td>
<td>$1.819 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$7.39 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2555</td>
<td>$3.542 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.38 \times 10^{3}$</td>
<td>0.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2715</td>
<td>$3.586 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$4.27 \times 10^{3}$</td>
<td>0.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2840</td>
<td>$3.620 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$6.23 \times 10^{3}$</td>
<td>0.844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2970</td>
<td>$3.651 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.50 \times 10^{4}$</td>
<td>0.890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2478</td>
<td>$7.035 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.53 \times 10^{3}$</td>
<td>1.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2590</td>
<td>$7.104 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$2.61 \times 10^{3}$</td>
<td>1.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2796</td>
<td>$7.215 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$8.15 \times 10^{3}$</td>
<td>1.660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2863</td>
<td>$7.250 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.34 \times 10^{4}$</td>
<td>1.700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Incident shock-wave data
where \( \theta \) is related to the mean frequency and amplitude factors of vibrational modes leading to reaction. The parameters of Equation (2) giving a best fit to the observed COF\(_2\) rate constant were evaluated as follows. The \( k_p \)'s were determined by plotting the experimentally observed rate constants at a given temperature against pressure (Figure 6) and to a first approximation least squares fitting the \( k_p \) data with the phenomenological equation, \( k_{obs} \propto \frac{1}{(A)} \), based on the Lindemann mechanism for a unimolecular reaction.\(^1\)\(^4\) The \( k_p \)'s were next used to determine \( A \) by a least squares fit of the Arrhenius rate constant, taking \( E_0 = 91.912 \) kcal/mole\(^6\) (see Figure 7). The RRK rate integral Equation (2) was solved on an IBM 360 computer for \( A = 6.14 \times 10^{11} \) sec\(^{-1}\) and \( E_0 = 91.912 \) kcal/mole where \( m \) and \( Z \) were varied systematically until a satisfactory fit was achieved with one set of the experimental data (Figure 8). The solid curves in Figures 4 and 5 are the theoretical RRK rate constants and show that with the kinetic parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>( A ) (sec(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( E_0 ) (kcal/mole)</th>
<th>( m )</th>
<th>( Z ) (cc/mole sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argon</td>
<td>6.14(10(^{11}))</td>
<td>91.912</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.5 ( 10^{11} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{X}_2 )</td>
<td>6.14(10(^{11}))</td>
<td>91.912</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.0 ( 10^{11} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

an accurate correlation (within 40 percent) of both the argon and nitrogen data is obtained over the concentration range of the study. However, it is pointed out here that these values of \( m \) and \( Z \) are not at all unique in fitting the data. Reasonable curve fits within the scatter of the experimental points are also obtained for \( m = 4 \) and \( Z = 5.0 \times 10^{11} \) cc/mole sec. Calculations with the RRK integral for \( m = 6 \) equally can show agreement with the experimental data.

\(^6\)In order to be consistent with current JANAF thermochemical data, the first and second bond dissociation energies of COF\(_2\) were based on the enthalpy change for the reaction CO\(_2\) + CO \rightarrow 2F, \( \Delta H = 160.4 \) kcal/mole and were taken to be in the same ratio as the first and second bond dissociation energies of CF\(_2\). Analogous to \( \text{DF} = \text{CF}_2 \) and \( \text{DF} = \text{CO} \) are 91.612 and 68.45 kcal/mole, respectively.
Vertical bar indicates experimental scatter of data. Horizontal bar shows pressure interval of data point. Number of experiments used to evaluate data point near a given pressure appears beside vertical bar. Solid lines are nonlinear least squares fit of data with $k_{\text{obs}} = k_0 p/p + r$.

Figure 6 PRESSURE DEPENDENCE OF COF$_2$ DISSOCIATION RATE CONSTANT WITH TEMPERATURES
Figure 7 LEAST SQUARES FIT OF EXTRAPOLATED LIMITING HIGH-PRESSURE RATE CONSTANT WITH ARRHENIUS FORMULA FOR $E_0 = 91912$ cal/mole

Vertical bar shows statistical spread of $k_0$ values.
Figure 8 PARAMETRIC ANALYSIS OF COF₂ FIRST-ORDER RATE CONSTANTS WITH RRK AND SLATER RATE INTEGRALS
VI. MECHANISM AND KINETICS OF CARBONYL FLUORIDE DECOMPOSITION

Mass spectrometer and infrared evidence show that under the experimental conditions of this study COF₂ would mainly decompose to CO and fluorine atoms. A set of reactions which would lead to these results are the thermal dissociation reactions of COF₂ and COF, the fluorine extraction reactions, and the COF disproportionation reaction (Table II). The rate constant of R₁ was obtained, as described in the preceding section, from the initial decay in the infrared records of COF₂ behind the shock front. The thermal dissociation rate constant of the COF radical (R₂) is based on the difference in the first and second bond dissociation energies, \( D(\text{F-COF}) = 91912 \text{ cal/mole} \) and \( D(\text{F-CO}) = 68570 \text{ cal/mole} \) of the COF₂ molecule. The rate constants for the fluorine extraction reactions and COF disproportionation reaction were derived from an Arrhenius expression by incorporating the energy of reaction at 0° K in the exponential term and curve fitting measured COF₂ profiles over the complete chemical relaxation period to establish the magnitude of the pre-exponential factor. Calculated COF₂ profiles are obtained from a nonequilibrium shock-tube computer program which solves simultaneously the Rankine-Hugoniot differential equations for a normal shock wave and the appropriate chemical kinetic equations. The present shock-tube computer program also includes the effect of wall boundary layer on the temperature and density behind the shock front (Appendix A). Species profiles typical of a chemically relaxing shock wave into a 2:100 COF₂-Ar mixture are displayed in Figure 9. Also depicted in the figure are the chemical rates of the decomposition reactions. It is seen that for these particular experimental conditions, the COF₂ thermal dissociation rate (R₁) dominates the first 20 percent of COF₂ decomposition and then later R₅' becomes the most important path for COF₂ removal. The reasonableness with which the chemistry and rate constants in Table II can predict the experimental COF₂ decomposition profiles for a number of experimental shock conditions is demonstrated by the results in Figure 10. The solid curves in the figure are computed with the non-equilibrium shock-tube program including wall boundary layer effects. The broken curve is calculated without the boundary layer corrections to temperature and density behind the shock front. For the experimental conditions chosen, the boundary layer effect is negligible near the shock front, but does have a noticeable influence on the analysis of the data taken at long times behind the shock wave. In Figure 11, various chemical models for COF₂ decomposition are compared to assess their compatibility with the experimental data. According to the sensitivity of the rate data and the results shown in Figure 11, the rate constants of Table II are considered to be the most probable values within a spread of a factor of ten.
Figure 9. NONEQUILIBRIUM STREAM-TUBE SPECIES PROFILES BEHIND INCIDENT SHOCK WAVE IN A 2:100 COF$_2$-ARGON MIXTURE.
### Table II

**Chemistry and Rate Constants for COF₂ Decomposition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Rate Constant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1 COF₂ + Ar → COF + F</td>
<td>1.0 x 10⁻⁵ ( \text{cm}^3 \text{mole}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2 COF + CO → CO₂ + F</td>
<td>1.0 x 10⁻⁵ ( \text{cm}^3 \text{mole}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3 COF₂ + F → COF + F₂</td>
<td>1.0 x 10⁻⁵ ( \text{cm}^3 \text{mole}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₃' COF + F₂ → COF₂ + F</td>
<td>1.0 x 10⁻⁵ ( \text{cm}^3 \text{mole}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₄ COF + F → CO + F₂</td>
<td>1.0 x 10⁻⁵ ( \text{cm}^3 \text{mole}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₅ COF + CO → COF₂ + CO</td>
<td>1.0 x 10⁻⁵ ( \text{cm}^3 \text{mole}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₅' COF₂ + CO → COF + COF</td>
<td>1.0 x 10⁻⁵ ( \text{cm}^3 \text{mole}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₆ F₂ + Ar → F + F + Ar</td>
<td>1.0 x 10⁻⁵ ( \text{cm}^3 \text{mole}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₆' F + F + Ar → F₂ + Ar</td>
<td>1.0 x 10⁻⁵ ( \text{cm}^3 \text{mole}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Units of cubic centimeters, mole, and sec.** Energy of reaction in exponential terms is calculated from heat-of-formation of \( ^0 \text{K} \) for COF₂ (\( \Delta H_\text{f} = -150.96 \text{ kcal/mole} \)), COF (\( \Delta H_\text{f} = -71.41 \text{ kcal/mole} \)), CO (\( \Delta H_\text{f} = -27.2 \text{ kcal/mole} \)) and F (\( \Delta H_\text{f} = -10.39 \text{ kcal/mole} \)).

**RRK rate constant obtained by curve-fitting 21100 COF₂-Argon kinetic data for total concentration of \( 6.2 \times 10^{15} \) mole/cm².** \( N \) is taken to be maximum number of vibrational degrees of freedom for a nonlinear COF molecule. Critical frequency is assumed to be same as first (C-F) bond in COF₂.

**Pre-exponential factor determined from JANAF entropy-of-reaction and curve-fit of reverse reaction.**

**Obtained from experimental dissociation rate constant L. W. Dissen, J. Chem. Phys., 46, 1061 (1967) and JANAF equilibrium constant.**
Apparent rate constants for COF$_2$ dissociation between 2400° to 3600° K exhibited a marked fall-off as the pressure of inert gas was increased over a 100-fold range. The Arrhenius pre-exponential factor $A$ obtained by extrapolating the experimental data to the high-pressure unimolecular limit appears to be in agreement with values ($10^{12}$ to $10^{16}$ sec$^{-1}$) usually considered "normal" for unimolecular reactions. The number of "effective" oscillators used in the RRK rate integral to fit the apparent first-order rate constants was chosen to be 6, which is the total number of normal modes in COF$_2$ and the maximum number permitted by theory for this type of molecule. Values of $m$ less than 6 were not considered because they result in fits whose temperature dependences are qualitatively steeper than the trend indicated by the data. Use of values greater than 6 would have been contradictory to theory. Although the kinetic parameters expressing the experimental dissociation rate constants of this work may be somewhat vaguer, nonetheless, it will be interesting to use them as examples to treat other theoretical relations which might want to be compared with experiment.

For hard spheres, the classical collision frequency $Z$ is $\sigma^2 (8 \pi kT)^{1/2} \frac{N}{m}$ which is $9.1 \times 10^{12}$ cc/mole sec for COF$_2$-argon partners and $1.1 \times 10^{13}$ for COF$_2$-N$_2$ partners (the collision diameters are taken to be $a_{Ar} = 3.4$Å, $a_{N_2} = 3.71$Å and $a_{COF_2} = 4.6$Å, the mean diameter of CO$_2$ and CF$_4$). Comparing the hard-spheres collision frequency with experiment yields steric factors of 0.008 and 0.009 for COF$_2$ dissociation by argon and nitrogen. The steric factor generally is associated with the fraction of collisions that cause dissociation of critically energized molecules and is considered to be a measure of the steric hindrance in the colliding pair.

Theoretically the transition pressure for a unimolecular reaction is approximately related to the high-pressure frequency factor $A$ by

$$ A \left[ \Delta E (E_0 - \Delta E) \right]^{m} \frac{RT}{m} = \rho Z \quad (4) $$

where at moderate pressures $\Delta E$ on the average has the value $(m + 1) RT$. With $E_0 = 91.912$ kcal/mole and the experimental values of $m = 6$, $A = 6.14 \times 10^{11}$ sec$^{-1}$, the transition pressure for the unimolecular dissociation of COF$_2$ in argon at 3000° K is calculated to be 15.4 atm, which appears to be in line with the observed pressure dependence (Figure 6).

The concept that in a unimolecular reaction the activated complex is in quasi-equilibrium with the normal molecule has led to the formulation of Eyring's transition state theory and a rationale for the Arrhenius pre-exponential factor. The quantity $A$ is related

$$ A = \left( \frac{kT}{h} \right) \frac{Q_0}{Q} \quad (5) $$
where $Q^*$ is the partition of the activated complex and $Q$ is the partition function of the normal molecule. Theoretically to evaluate the pre-exponential factor for the CDF$_2$ unimolecular dissociation, the electronic contribution to the partition function for both the complex and normal molecule is assumed to be unity. The transition-state of the activated COF$_2$ molecule is next thought of being a COF fragment bounded by a weakly attached fluorine atom. It is also assumed that the COF fragment rotates and vibrates independently of its satellite fluorine atom. On the basis of the model presented, the rotational partition function of the activated complex was calculated with the moments of inertia for a COF radical. The ratio of the rotational partition function of the activated complex to that of the normal molecule was thus calculated to be 0.348. Moments of inertia for COF$_2$ and COF were obtained from JANAF thermochemical tables. In its activated state, the deformation modes of the COF$_2$ molecule are assumed to be drastically weakened in which case the vibration partition function of the complex is largely determined by the $V_1$, $V_2$, $V_4$ modes of the COF$_2$ molecule, that is, the in-phase $(C-F)$, the (C=O) vibration and the out-of-phase $(C-F)$ vibration. Accordingly, the ratio of the vibrational partition functions was calculated to be 0.316. Substitution of these numbers for the ratio of the partition functions in Equation (5) gives a calculated pre-exponential factor of $6.86 \times 10^{11}$ sec$^{-1}$ at 3000$^\circ$ K. Although the result is in remarkable agreement with the experimental value, the coincidence is believed to be more fortuitous than real, since the nature of the complex, though reasonably assumed, must be regarded as only a guess.

It is concluded from mass spectra obtained in the present study that the fluorocarbon products CF$_4$, CF$_3$, and CF$_2$, which are produced by C$_2$F$_4$ oxidation, do not appear to form by COF$_2$ decomposition. Infrared measurements of 4.3$\mu$m radiation also did not show kinetic evidence for CO$_2$ production, nor did ultraviolet measurements at 2660 A indicate the existence of CF$_2$ species in the COF$_2$ decomposition mixtures. In accordance with these observations, the reactions

\[
\text{COF}_2 + \text{COF}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{CF}_4 \\
\text{COF}_2 + \text{COF} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{CF}_3 \\
\text{COF} + \text{COF} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{CF}_2 \\
\text{COF}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{CF}_2 + \text{O} + \text{M}
\]

would not appear to be important in the chemistry for COF$_2$ decomposition.
APPENDIX A

FORMULATION OF A GENERALIZED CHEMICAL NONEQUILIBRIUM STREAM-TUBE COMPUTER PROGRAM WITH WALL BOUNDARY LAYER EFFECTS

Recently, Tere ¹ has pointed out that in shock-tube chemical kinetic studies, the accuracy of the results may be significantly impaired, if variations in the flow velocity and state properties due to wall boundary layer growth are neglected in the analysis. Generally it is understood that the presence of a thin boundary layer in the shock tube causes the gas behind the shock wave to behave as if it were passing through a divergent channel where the flow velocity decreases and the gas density and thermodynamic temperature increases with increasing distance. An analytical basis for these effects is provided by the theoretical work of Mirels, who has made use of Duff's concept of a "limiting separation distance" to obtain a local similarity solution for the boundary layer displacement thickness. The constraints placed on the problem require that the mass per unit time integrated over the entire shock tube area be conserved and that the mass of gas entering the shock front be equal to the mass entering the boundary layer at the limiting separation distance.

For the purpose of analyzing shock-tube chemical kinetic data taken at long times behind the shock front, a nonequilibrium stream-tube computer program has been developed to include area variation due to wall boundary layer growth. The quantities describing the flow in wave-stationary coordinates are shown in Figure A-1 which is similar to the diagram given in Reference 19. The "jump" conditions across the shock wave are obtained from the Hugoniot conservation equations,

mass: \( \rho_1 U_s = \rho_{eo} u_{eo} \)

momentum: \( P_1 - \rho_1 U_s^2 = P_{eo} - \rho_{eo} u_{eo}^2 \)

enthalpy: \( h_1 - 1.2 U_s^2 = h_{eo} - 1.2 u_{eo}^2 \)

The equations governing the chemical relaxation zone behind the shock wave are expressed in time differential form by

continuity: \( \frac{d(\rho u A)}{dt} \bigg|_{\rho_1 U_s A_0} = 0 \)
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momentum: \[
\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{dn_1}{dt} = \frac{P_f P_e n_e}{\sqrt{1 - r_1 U_s^2}} = 0
\]

enthalpy: \[
\frac{d\Phi - 1/2 u^2}{dt} = \frac{h_e n_e}{\sqrt{h_1 U_s^2}} = c
\]

For a laminar boundary layer (Reynolds number less than 5 x 10^7) the area variation of the divergent channel from Mirels' results is given by

\[
A_0/A = 1 - (x/s_f)^{0.5}
\]

The ideal-gas equation,

\[
p = \left( \rho \sum c_i / \sum c_i M_i \right) RT.
\]

is used to relate the thermodynamic temperature to pressure and density. The molar enthalpy of each species is expressed in terms of temperature by a power series,

\[
b_i = \left[ L_1 T - \frac{1}{2} L_2 T^2 + \frac{1}{3} L_3 T^3 - \frac{1}{4} L_4 T^4 - L_5 T^{-1} - L_6 \right]_i.
\]

in which the temperature coefficients are determined from curve fits of JANAF thermochemical data. The variation in species concentration resulting from chemical reaction and volumetric change behind the shock wave is given by

\[
\frac{d c_i}{dt} - \frac{c}{\rho} \frac{d \rho}{dt} = \sum \nu_{ki} c_k A_{fi} T_i^{a_i} \exp \frac{E_{fi}}{RT} - \sum \nu_{ij} c_i A_{fj} T_{fj}^{a_j} \exp \frac{E_{fj}}{RT}
\]

where the positive term on the right of the equality reflects the sum of the chemical rates leading to species production and the negative term, the rates for removal. Events measured in laboratory time are related to the particle time in the shock tube by
\[ t_f = \frac{x}{U_s} \int \left( \frac{\Delta c}{U_s} \right) \, dt_p . \]

The above set of differential equations is solved on an IBM 360 computer, using a fourth-order predictor-corrector integration scheme. The predictor-corrector method was devised to vary its own integration interval depending on the estimated truncation error available at each step. A Runge-Kutta method was used to obtain the starting values. However, a computational difficulty imposes itself on the formulation of this type of problem, since the time derivative of the area goes to infinity when \( x \) approaches zero. Teare has overcome this singularity by assuming for small \( x \)'s that the area variation is a linear function dependent on the translational/rotational relaxation thickness of the shock wave. In the present program, the computational difficulty is avoided by simply introducing an arbitrary parameter to start the area change at an infinitesimal distance behind the shock front. Calculations show that the results are virtually unaffected by this parameter for values less than 0.0001 of the chemical relaxation distance.

The computer program is general in that the inputs to the calculation are the shock velocity, the state of the gas ahead of the shock wave, and the chemical species and reactions to be considered. The thermodynamic properties of the species used in the calculation are automatically furnished by a computer-tape library containing information on almost every molecule which may be of interest for shock tube study. The computer program is capable of solving chemistry problems with up to 100 reactions and 200 species.
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An infrared technique has been used to monitor the thermal decomposition of carbonyl fluoride (COF₂) in argon and nitrogen diluent behind incident and reflected shock waves. Data was taken in the temperature range 2400° to 3600° K at total pressures between 0.2 and 26 atm. Direct sampling of reflected shock mixtures with a time-of-flight mass spectrometer provided knowledge of the decomposition products. The pressure and temperature dependence of the COF₂ dissociation rate constants are discussed in terms of the Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel (RRK) unimolecular theory. Rate constants for the fluorine extraction reactions and COF disproportionation reaction were obtained by curve-fitting the complete COF₂ kinetic histories with computed profiles. A chemical nonequilibrium stream-tube program which includes wall boundary layer effects was used for data analysis.
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