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The stress fields in the components of a unidirectional composite due to shrinkage and external loads are computed for 20 matrix/reinforcement combinations having various volumetric contents. Further, the load transmissions between loaded and unloaded fibers are formulated as three-dimensional elasticity solutions. The instability problem of a composite is treated by both the static and the energy method, resulting in critical loads and buckling wavelengths which depend on material constants and geometries. The theoretical results are in good agreement with experiments. The work reported herein encompasses the following principal areas:

1. Parametric studies (internal stresses and displacements computed for unidirectional composites composed of different combinations of matrices and reinforcements and different volumetric contents)
2. Three-dimensional load transfer among loaded and unloaded fibers in a matrix
3. Buckling of fibers in a matrix under axial load as an elasticity solution
4. Buckling of fibers in a matrix under axial load, solved with the Ritz-Galerkin method
5. Buckling of fibers in a matrix due to matrix shrinkage
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**LIST OF SYMBOLS**

- **a**  
  fiber radius  
  defined in equation (280)

- **\( A, B, C, D, F \)**  
  arbitrary or integration constants (when subscript);  
  also defined in (329) through (331)

- **A**  
  area (when superscript)

- **b**  
  half distance between two neighboring fiber axes

- **B**  
  defined in equation (281)

- **c**  
  parameter defined by  
  \[ c = - \frac{1}{\alpha_n(1 - 2\nu)} \]

- **\( c_i \)**  
  arbitrary constants  
  \((i = 1, 2)\)

- **d**  
  \( = b - a \)

- **\( d_n \)**  
  \( = \sigma_n d \)

- **e**  
  dilatation defined by  
  \[ \varepsilon_{11} + \varepsilon_{22} + \varepsilon_{33} \]

- **\( \mathbf{E} \)**  
  unit vectors associated with coordinate directions,  
  as indicated by subscripts

- **E**  
  Young's modulus (when superscript) or constant

- **f**  
  function of \( z \)

- **\( f_0 \)**  
  function of \( r \)

- **\( f_{i1} \)**  
  functions of \( \rho \)  
  \((i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8)\)

- **\( \mathbf{g} \)**  
  body force vector

- **g**  
  function of \( z \)

- **\( \mathbf{g}_0 \)**  
  function of \( \rho \)

- **G**  
  shear modulus (when superscript)

- **\( G_0 \)**  
  function of \( \rho \) and \( z \)

- **h**  
  distance between fiber axis and hexagonal boundary  
  for load transfer problem

- **I**  
  area moment of inertia about centroid (when superscript)
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\( I_n \) modified Bessel function of the first kind of order \( n \)

\( J_1, J_2, J_3, J_4 \) defined in equations (305) through (309)

\( J_1, J_{11}, J_{111}, J_{1111} \) defined in equations (315) through (319)

\( k \) unit interfacial force per unit lateral deflection

\( \mathcal{K} \) defined in equation (292)

\( K_n \) modified Bessel function of the second kind of order \( n \)

\( \ell \) half the length of the composite

\( \ell_{cr} \) critical buckling wavelength

\( L \) length of composite cylinder

\( L_1 \) function of \( r, \varphi, \) and \( z \)

\( M \) internal moment acting on fiber cross section

\( M_s \) moment per unit length produced by axial shear at fiber surface

\( n \) number of half wavelength

\( \overline{n} \) defined in equation (324)

\( N \) internal axial force acting on fiber cross section

\( p \) defined in equation (284)

\( P_1 \) interfacial force per unit length

\( P_{1n} \) amplitudes of assumed interfacial force curve

\( \mathcal{P} \) Papkovitch vector

\( \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R} \) defined in equations (271) through (273)

\( P^* \) compressive load applied at the ends of composite cylinder corresponding to \( P_{cr}^1 \)

\( \mathcal{P} \) internal compressive load on fiber element

\( (P_{cr}^1)_{\min} \) smallest critical buckling load of fiber
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_i$</td>
<td>components of Papkovitch vector ($i = 1, 2, 3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_0$</td>
<td>scalar Papkovitch function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P^I$</td>
<td>compressive force applied at fiber ends before buckling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{cr}$</td>
<td>critical compressive load of the fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{II}$</td>
<td>compressive force applied at matrix ends corresponding to $P_{cr}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q$</td>
<td>defined in equation (285)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>transverse shearing force acting on fiber cross section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r, \varphi, z$</td>
<td>cylindrical coordinates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_i, \varphi_i$</td>
<td>polar radius and polar angle ($i = 1, 2$) in the interior of unloaded fiber, defined by equation (4) respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_m$</td>
<td>radius of a cylindrical surface, defined by equation (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_3, \varphi_3$</td>
<td>plane polar coordinates at the interface between matrix and unloaded fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>radius of curvature of a fiber element during bending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbf{r}$</td>
<td>position vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s$</td>
<td>deformed length of fiber axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>lateral normal force per unit length acting on the fiber surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>$snQ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>axial shearing force per unit length acting on the fiber surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_e$</td>
<td>total potential energy of fiber during loading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_b$</td>
<td>strain energy due to bending of the fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_e$</td>
<td>strain energy due to shortening of the fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_p$</td>
<td>strain energy due to interfacial pressure applied by matrix on the fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_s$</td>
<td>strain energy due to longitudinal shear applied by matrix on fiber at interface</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( v )</td>
<td>deflection of fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_0 )</td>
<td>amplitude of deflection wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu )</td>
<td>volume percentage content of fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w )</td>
<td>change of length of fiber axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W )</td>
<td>work done by external load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x, y, z )</td>
<td>Cartesian coordinates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X )</td>
<td>defined in equation (215)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{y} )</td>
<td>defined in equation (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y )</td>
<td>defined in equation (198)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_w )</td>
<td>buckling wavelength under shrinkage loads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_n )</td>
<td>parameter defined by ( \alpha_n = \frac{nh}{L} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \xi, \eta, \mu )</td>
<td>arbitrary constants or parameters (when subscript two or more times)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_{ij} )</td>
<td>Kronecker delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta )</td>
<td>defined in equation (427)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nabla )</td>
<td>gradient operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nabla^2 )</td>
<td>Laplacian operator in cylindrical coordinates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>strain at the fiber axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_0 )</td>
<td>half the displacement between two adjacent fibers after stress develops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_{ij} )</td>
<td>strain components ((i,j = 1,2,3)) in cylindrical coordinate system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \zeta )</td>
<td>defined in equation (288)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta )</td>
<td>bending angle of fiber axis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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\( k \) defined in equation (296)

\( \lambda \) Lagrange multiplier

\( \mu_{mn} \) eigenvalues

\( \nu \) Poisson's ratio

\( \xi_2 \) displacement vector

\( \xi_i \) displacement components \((i = 1, 2, 3)\) in the \( r, \varphi, \) and \( z \) directions, respectively

\( \xi_{in} \) amplitudes of deflection curve

\( \rho \) parameter defined by \( \rho = \alpha r \)

\( \vec{p}, \theta \) plane polar coordinates with origin at unloaded fiber axis

\( \rho_1 \) parameter defined by \( \rho_1 = 2\alpha b \)

\( \rho_2 \) parameter defined by \( \rho_2 = \alpha a \)

\( \sigma_L \) external axial stress applied at composite ends

\( \bar{\sigma}_L \) average external composite axial stress

\( \sigma_{ij} \) components of stress tensor associated with coordinate directions as indicated by subscripts

\( \sigma^0_{ii} \) constants \((i = 1, 2, 3)\)

\( \tau_{ij} \) functions of \( \rho(i,j = 1, 2, 3)\)

\( \psi \) Airy's stress function

\( \Psi \) defined by \( \Psi = \frac{\pi}{6} - \varphi \)

\( \psi_i \) functions of \( \rho (i = 1, 2, 3) \)

**Superscripts:**

\( I, II \) fiber and matrix, respectively

\( III \) unloaded fiber in load transfer problem

\( ( )' \) differentiation with respect to \( \rho \)

**Subscripts:**

\( i, j = 1, 2, 3 \) cylindrical coordinates \( r, \varphi, \) and \( z \) respectively

\( x, y, z \) rectangular Cartesian coordinates
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

The strength-to-weight efficiency of composite materials such as glass reinforced plastics has been demonstrated, through both theoretical and experimental investigations, to be superior to that of present-day metallic materials. While the application of these materials to airframe structures obviously would be beneficial, their practical utilization has been limited because reliable structural data have not yet been developed, and because the micromechanical influence of the composite constituents, along with their failure initiation and crack propagation, has not been fully understood.

During the performance of Contract DA 44-177-AMC-208(T),* mathematical relationships were derived for a single fiber embedded in a resin cylinder for the case of static loading with general (mathematical) boundary conditions. These relationships were later extended** to encompass the unidirectional multifiber composite subjected to forces of thermal contraction during the cure cycle, as well as to external loads.

This report describes a continuation of these efforts to define the mechanical behavior of fiber reinforced plastic composites in order that optimized materials and structural concepts can be developed for airframe components of US Army aircraft. Work was devoted to the following areas:

1. Internal stresses and displacements for unidirectional composites composed of different matrix/reinforcement combinations having different volumetric contents
2. Three-dimensional load transfer among loaded and unloaded fibers in a matrix
3. Buckling of fibers in a matrix under axial load as an elasticity solution
4. Buckling of fibers in a matrix under axial load, solved with the Ritz-Galerkin method
5. Buckling of fibers in a matrix due to matrix shrinkage

** Contract DA 44-177-AMC-320(T); USAAVLABS Technical Report 66-62.
CHAPTER 2

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The three-dimensional analysis of a multifiber composite, such as that depicted in Figure 1,

![Figure 1. General Arrangement of a Multifiber Composite](image)

reveals stresses which may not be easily anticipated by simple reasoning. For instance, under an external compression load in the direction of the fibers, a tensile stress is generated perpendicular to the fiber at $\varphi = 0^\circ$ and becomes compressive at $\varphi = 30^\circ$. For the tension case, these stresses are reversed. Therefore, radial tension will exist in the bond regardless of the direction of the axial load. For a 200,000-psi axial load, for example, these stresses are between 2000 and 4000* psi and, therefore, a weakening of the bond between fiber and resin occurs.

One would expect the shrinkage stresses in the resin to be universally tensile; in the radial direction of the interface, however, these stresses are compressive where the fibers are closer together ($\varphi = 0^\circ$), and are tensile where the fibers are further apart.

One would expect the stress in the fiber direction due to resin shrinkage to be compressive. However, in high-density fiber composites, part of

* In a 64% glass reinforced epoxy composite.
this stress in the fiber is tensile due to Poisson's effect and the high radial compressive stress where the fibers were closer together. This stress seems to increase stability in closely packed composites. In an irregularly packed composite, it would tend to prebuckle the reinforcement.

The instability solution indicates that the force required to buckle a fiber in a multifiber composite is much higher than expected based on simpler analyses. One would be tempted to conclude that a densely packed composite fails under loads other than buckling.

The buckling wavelength was also an outcome of this investigation. It is remarkable that the wavelength obtained from an analysis with interfacial shear taken into account and an analysis where no interfacial shear was considered yield very similar results. From this, one might conclude that the buckling wavelength in a composite is independent of the interfacial shear. By comparing the two instability analyses, however, the buckling force was found to be very dependent on the interfacial shear.

In general, the results of the parametric studies and the instability analysis complement each other, leading to the same conclusions.

On the basis of the stresses computed during this program, it has been determined that a composite loaded in compression can have a higher fiber density than one loaded in tension.
CHAPTER 3

ANALYTICAL WORK

PART I - PARAMETRIC STUDIES

This study was made in order to investigate the stress, strain, and displacement fields in the fiber and matrix for composites under external and residual shrinkage loads. The following parameters were varied: fiber and matrix modulus, fiber radius, fiber length, and fiber volumetric content. Combinations of the above parameters were picked in order to provide data on some presently used fiber and resin materials in composites. From the analytical work, a computer program was written in order to obtain stress, strain, and displacement values in the composite. A discussion of the analytical work and the computer program is given in Appendix I.

In general, the objectives were to predict the weaknesses in a composite which would be created by residual shrinkage loads and external loading, and, if possible, to deduce simplified equations for the pertinent stress, strain, or displacement fields. Figures 2 through 28 demonstrate that these curves could be fitted by fairly simple equations which are functions of, say, $\varphi$ or $z$, but to include the stress level in such simplified equations and determine how it varies as a function of fiber and resin modulus and of Poisson's ratio and fiber volumetric content proved to be very difficult.

Table I shows the various parametric combinations which were chosen in the study. The cases in Table I are comparable to boron/epoxy and E-glass/epoxy composites under residual shrinkage and external loading. The elastic moduli of boron and E-glass were taken as $60 \times 10^6$ psi and $10 \times 10^6$ psi, respectively. The epoxy modulus was varied for the E-glass case to obtain more pronounced effects on the field values than would be obtained for the boron epoxy cases.

The length of the boron fibers were varied to see what effects would result from the long and short boron fibers. The values for the fiber volumetric content were picked to include typical composite values ($V^f = 64\%$ and $70\%$) and high fiber density packing ($V^f = 80\%$). The radius of the E-glass fiber was varied because the E-glass fiber is made with various radii, while boron fiber has a typical radius of 2 mils. Poisson's ratios for all cases were 0.2 for the fiber and 0.35 for the epoxy.

Stress, strain, and displacement fields were obtained for each case. The most revealing effects, however, occurred in the stress fields. Since it is also experimentally possible to measure the stresses, the study concentrated on the changes in the stress field due to variation of the parameters. From the computer results, the maximum and minimum values of the six stresses occurred at the fiber-resin interface. The stresses shown in the figures are therefore the stress at the interface, $r = a$. Thus, these stresses provide an indication of possible weaknesses and areas of failure in a composite.
Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface for Combinations of Fiber and Resin Moduli at $V_1 = 0.64$.

Radial Stress versus $\varphi$
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Figure 4. Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface for Combinations of Fiber and Resin Moduli at $V_f = 0.64$. Shear Stress versus $\phi$.

Figure 5. Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface for Combinations of Fiber and Resin Moduli at $V_f = 0.64$. Fiber Hoop Stress versus $\phi$. 
Figure 6. Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface for Combinations of Fiber and Resin Moduli at $V^f = 0.64$.
Fiber Axial Stress versus $\varphi$

Figure 7. Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface for Combinations of Fiber and Resin Moduli at $V^r = 0.64$.
Resin Axial Stress versus $\varphi$
Figure 8. Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface
When $E_1 = 60 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Radial Stress versus $\varphi$

Figure 9. Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface
When $E_1 = 60 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Fiber Hoop Stress versus $\varphi$
Figure 10. Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface
When $E_1 = 60 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Shear Stress versus $\phi$

Figure 11. Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface
When $E_1 = 60 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Resin Hoop Stress versus $\phi$
Figure 12. Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface When $E_1 = 60 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Fiber Axial Stress.
Figure 13. Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface When $E_1 = 10 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Radial Stress versus $\varphi$

Figure 14. Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface When $E_1 = 10 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Fiber Hoop Stress versus $\varphi$
Figure 15. Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface When $E_1 = 10 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Shear Stresses versus $\varphi$.

Figure 16. Residual Shrinkage Stresses at Interface When $E_1 = 10 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Resin Hoop Stress versus $\varphi$. 
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Figure 17. Stresses at Interface Due to External Load for Combinations of Fiber and Resin Moduli at $V^1 = 0.64$. Radial Stress versus $\varphi$

Figure 18. Stresses at Interface Due to External Load for Combinations of Fiber and Resin Moduli at $V^1 = 0.64$. Shear Stress versus $\varphi$
Figure 19. Stresses at Interface Due to External Load for Combinations of Fiber and Resin Moduli at $V_1 = 0.64$. Fiber Axial Stress versus $z/l$

Figure 20. Stresses at Interface Due to External Load for Combinations of Fiber and Resin Moduli at $V_1 = 0.64$. Resin Axial Stress versus $z/l$
Figure 21. Stresses at Interface Due to External Load
When \( E^I = 60 \times 10^6 \) psi and \( E^{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6 \) psi
for Different Fiber Volumetric Content.
Radial Stress versus \( \phi \)

Figure 22. Stresses at Interface Due to External Load
When \( E^I = 60 \times 10^6 \) psi and \( E^{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6 \) psi
for Different Fiber Volumetric Content.
Fiber Hoop Stress versus \( \phi \)
Figure 23. Stresses at Interface Due to External Load When $E_1 = 60 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Shear Stress versus $\varphi$

Figure 24. Stresses at Interface Due to External Load When $E_1 = 60 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Resin Hoop Stress versus $\varphi$
Figure 25. Stresses at Interface Due to External Load When $E_1 = 10 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Radial Stress versus $\varphi$.

Figure 26. Stresses at Interface Due to External Load When $E_1 = 10 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Hoop Stress versus $\varphi$. 
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Figure 27. Stresses at Interface Due to External Load When $E^I = 10 \times 10^6$ psi and $E^{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Fiber Shear Stress versus $\phi$

Figure 28. Stresses at Interface Due to External Load When $E^I = 10 \times 10^6$ psi and $E^{II} = 0.38 \times 10^6$ psi for Different Fiber Volumetric Content. Resin Hoop Stress versus $\phi$
### TABLE I

Cases Used for the Parametric Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Fiber Modulus, $10^6$ psi</th>
<th>General State of Stress*</th>
<th>Fiber Radius, $10^{-3}$ in.</th>
<th>Fiber Length, in.</th>
<th>Epoxy Modulus, $10^6$ psi</th>
<th>Fiber Vol. Content, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* S = resin shrinkage which includes both cure shrinkage and differential thermal contraction.

L = constant load applied at the ends on the resin.
Also, the computer results indicate that the stress field away from the ends of the fiber has little dependence on the z coordinate. In Figures 2 through 7, then, the stresses which are not plotted as functions of \( z/L \) can be considered as constant away from the fiber ends with respect to the z coordinate.

**Residual Shrinkage Load**

From the cases shown in Table I, the effects of changing the following parameters can be investigated for the residual shrinkage load. In all these cases, the fiber shrinkage was zero and the resin shrinkage was 1%.

1. **Changes in the Combinations of Fibers and Resin Modulus at \( V_f = 0.64 \)**

The three combinations of fiber and resin moduli are shown in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMBINATIONS OF FIBER AND RESIN MODULI</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( E^I(10^6 \text{ psi}) )</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( E^{II}(10^6 \text{ psi}) )</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The change from a fiber modulus of \( 60 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \) to \( 10 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \) did not have much effect on the shrinkage stresses. However, changing the resin modulus from \( 0.38 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \) to \( 1 \times 10^6 \text{ psi} \) increased the stresses, \( \sigma_{11}^{II} \), \( \sigma_{11}^{II} \), and \( \sigma_{22}^{II} \), by a factor of 1 divided by 0.38. In other words, when the ratio of resin to fiber moduli is small, the change of residual stresses, \( \sigma_{11}^{II} \), \( \sigma_{11}^{II} \), and \( \sigma_{22}^{II} \), is proportional to the change in resin modulus. See Figures 2 and 3. Three other stresses, \( \sigma_{12}^{I} \), \( \sigma_{12}^{II} \), and \( \sigma_{22}^{I} \), are shown in Figures 4 and 5.

Figures 6 and 7 indicate a possible ±20% variation in the axial residual stress in both the resin and the fiber.

2. **Changes in the Fiber Volumetric Content**

Figures 8 through 16 show the effects on the stresses due to fiber volumetric content. Figures 8 and 13 reveal a possible weakness in the composite. The radial stress along the interface has positive values. If
this stress were large enough, the fiber-resin interface could fail and the composite effectiveness would be lowered. Also the shear stress at the interface (Figures 10 and 15) would additionally stress the interface bond. It is also noted that increasing the fiber volumetric content in general increases the maximum stresses at the interface.

The fiber axial stress, shown in Figure 12, indicates another composite weakness. Since the residual stress in part of the fiber is positive for $V^f = 0.80$, the fiber will fail at a lower stress level when a tensile load is applied to it. From Figure 12, the residual positive stress will become even higher for higher volumetric content.

3. Changes in Fiber Radius at $V^f = 0.64$

The fiber radius was doubled, from 0.0025 to 0.005; no effects within the accuracy of the calculations could be seen in the stress fields.

4. Changes in the Fiber Length at $V^f = 0.64$

The fiber length was taken at 10 inches, 3 inches, and 0.5 inch. There were no effects within the accuracy of the calculations on the stress field.

**Externally Applied Load**

From the cases shown in Table I, the effects of changing the following parameters can be investigated for an externally applied load. In Figures 17 through 28, the stress is divided by the average external load.

1. Changes in the Combination of Fiber and Resin Moduli

The three combinations of fiber and resin moduli for fixed fiber volumetric content, $V^f = 0.64$, are shown in Table II. In general, the stresses in the plane perpendicular to the fiber axis were not affected much (see Figures 17 through 20). However, the radial stresses at the interface would indicate weaknesses in the bond. Since the radial stress goes from minus to plus for $\varphi = 0^\circ$ to $\varphi = 30^\circ$, there will be tension in the bond regardless of whether the axial load is tension or compression. For a compression load, $\sigma_4 < 0$, the results in Figure 17 would tend to cancel the residual stresses in Figure 2, but the radial stresses for a tensile load will add to the residual stresses and weaken the bond even further.

The axial stresses, $\sigma_{33}^{I}$ and $\sigma_{33}^{II}$, for the three combinations were independent of $\varphi$ and within ±5% of the stresses calculated by the following simple equations.
These equations for the axial stress due to external load are valid for higher fiber volumetric content, as will be described in the next section.

In addition to the radial stress, the shear stress at the interface (see Figure 18), when superimposing the residual shrinkage and external load, will also increase the total shear for tensile load and reduce the shear for compressive load.

The axial stress for fiber and resin is shown in Figures 19 and 20 respectively.

2. Changes in Fiber Volumetric Content

The values for the fiber volumetric content were normally 0.64, 0.70, and 0.80. However, an unusual change in the fiber hoop stress occurred when fiber content was changed from 0.70 to 0.80 (see Figures 22 and 27). As a result, an additional value of fiber content, 0.75, was taken to determine whether the fiber hoop stress change was abrupt or gradual. From Figure 22, this stress distribution occurred between a fiber content of 0.70 and 0.80, and can be expected to be more pronounced beyond 0.80 fiber content.

The radial stresses from external load were similar to the previous results. The maximum stress is positive and the minimum is negative, and they both increase in magnitude as the fiber volumetric content increases.

The axial stresses were of the same magnitude as calculated from the equations in the previous section.

3. Changes in the Fiber Radius at \( V^L = 0.64 \)

There was no effect on the stress fields due to a change in the fiber radius from 0.0025 inch to 0.005 inch for externally applied load at constant volumetric content.
PART II – THREE-DIMENSIONAL LOAD TRANSFER AMONG THE FIBERS IN A MATRIX

The fact that uneven loading occurs in a composite material, especially near the boundaries and near microfractures, makes it necessary to understand the load transfer among the filaments. Since an arbitrary arrangement would create insurmountable mathematical difficulties, the mathematical model chosen was one of periodically repeating characteristics. The unidirectional fibers were assumed to be in a hexagonal array, with every third fiber axially stressed by an external load. The rest of the fibers were assumed to be stressed by load transmission through the matrix, which was the main subject of this portion of the investigation.

A. General Arrangements and Assumptions

The composite is considered to be free from residual stresses, and axially finite but laterally infinite. Figure 29 depicts part of the cross section of the composite, with the shadowed circles representing the externally stressed fibers.

![Figure 29. Geometric Arrangement of Fibers in a Unidirectional Multifiber Composite](image)

Displacements and stresses of a typical hexagonal segment in the composite will be determined by assuming that the segment before deformation changes size yet remains hexagonal after deformation. However, an undeformed cross-sectional plane of the segment may not remain plane during deformation. Therefore, the segment is treated by the classical theory of elasticity as a three-dimensional body.
Since displacements and stresses in fibers and matrix are hexagonally symmetric, a triangular prism of the hexagonal segment with its cross section \( \Delta O C D \) and coordinate systems as shown in Figure 30 will be studied.

![Figure 30. Geometry of a Typical Segment in the Composite](image)

The natural way to attack the problem is to divide the prism into loaded fiber (domain I), matrix (domain II), and unloaded fiber (domain III), at their interfaces. The elasticity solution derived from Papkovitch functions is then applied to each of these domains by determining arbitrary constants with appropriate physical conditions. The present domains are now defined as

**Domain I (Loaded Fiber):**

\[
0 \leq r \leq a, \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{6}, \quad -l \leq z \leq l
\]
Domain II (Matrix):

\[ a \leq r \leq \left[ a^2 \sin \theta + (b - a \cos \theta)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]

for \( 0 \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{6} - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{b - 2a}{2h} \right) \)

\[ a \leq r \leq h \sec \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \varphi \right) \]

for \( \frac{\pi}{6} - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{b - 2a}{2h} \right) \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{6} \)

\(-l \leq z \leq l\)

Domain III (Unloaded Fiber):

\[ \left[ a^2 \sin^2 \theta + (b - a \cos \theta)^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq r \leq h \sec \left( \frac{\pi}{6} - \varphi \right) \]

\(0 \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{6} - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{b - 2a}{2h} \right) \)

\(-l \leq z \leq l\)

The quantities \( a, b, h, \ell, \) and \( \theta \) are defined in Figure 30.

B. Formulation of Physical Conditions

The symmetry of radial, circumferential, and axial displacements \( \varepsilon_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3 \)) with respect to zx- and xy-planes dictates that in loaded fiber, matrix, and unloaded fiber, \( \varepsilon_i \) satisfies

\[ \varepsilon_i^k(r, \varphi, z) = \varepsilon_i^k(r, -\varphi, z) = \varepsilon_i^k(r, \varphi, -z) \]

\[ \varepsilon_i^k(r, \varphi, z) = \varepsilon_i^k(r, -\varphi, z) = \varepsilon_i^k(r, \varphi, -z) \]

\[ \varepsilon_i^k(r, \varphi, z) = \varepsilon_i^k(r, -\varphi, z) = \varepsilon_i^k(r, \varphi, -z) \]

(1)

where the superscript \( k \) refers to the corresponding domains I, II, or III.
The periodic characteristic of displacements in loaded fiber, matrix, and unloaded fiber leads to

$$\xi_k^1(r, \varphi, z) = \xi_k^1(r, \varphi + \frac{\pi}{3}, z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( k = 1, II, \) and \( i = 1,2,3 \).

$$\xi_2^II(1, \varphi, z) \sin(\varphi_1 + \theta) - \xi_1^II(1, \varphi_1, z) \cos(\varphi_1 + \theta)$$

$$= \xi_1^II(2, \varphi, z) \cos(\frac{\pi}{3} - \theta - \varphi_2) + \xi_2^II(2, \varphi_2, z) \sin(\frac{\pi}{3} - \theta - \varphi_2)$$

$$\xi_2^II(1, \varphi, z) \cos(\varphi_1 + \theta) + \xi_1^II(1, \varphi_1, z) \sin(\varphi_1 + \theta)$$

$$= \xi_1^II(2, \varphi, z) \sin(\frac{\pi}{3} - \theta - \varphi_2) - \xi_2^II(2, \varphi_2, z) \cos(\frac{\pi}{3} - \theta - \varphi_2)$$

$$\xi_3^II(1, \varphi, z) = \xi_3^II(2, \varphi_2, z)$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

The values of \( r_1, \varphi_1, r_2, \varphi_2 \) in equation (3) are expressed in terms of another set of polar coordinates \( \rho(0 \leq \rho \leq a) \) and \( \theta(0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{6}) \) with its origin at \( D \) (see Figure 31).

**Figure 31. Periodic Characteristic of the Unloaded Fiber**
These values are given by

\[ r_1 = \sqrt{\rho^2 \sin^2 \theta + (b - \rho \cos \theta)^2} \]

\[ \varphi_1 = \tan^{-1} \frac{\rho \sin \theta}{b - \rho \cos \theta} \]

\[ r_2 = \sqrt{\rho^2 \sin^2 \left( \theta + \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) + \left[ b - \rho \cos \left( \theta + \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) \right]^2} \]

\[ \varphi_2 = \tan^{-1} \frac{\rho \sin \left( \theta + \frac{2\pi}{3} \right)}{b - \rho \cos \left( \theta + \frac{2\pi}{3} \right)} \]

Equation (2) states that the displacement vectors of matrix and externally stressed fiber possess sixfold symmetry. Equations (3) are the condition that radial, tangential, and axial displacements of the unloaded fiber with respect to its axis are identical for every multiple of \( 2\pi/3 \) of the polar angle \( \theta \).

If continuity in displacements \( \xi_i \) and appropriate stresses \( \sigma_{ii} \) at the interface exist, then

\[ \xi_{i1}(a, \varphi, z) = \xi_{i2}(a, \varphi, z) \]

\[ \sigma_{11}(a, \varphi, z) = \sigma_{12}(a, \varphi, z) \]

\[ \xi_{i1} \left|_{r_3, \varphi_3, z} \right. = \xi_{i2} \left|_{r_3, \varphi_3, z} \right. \]

where \( i = 1, 2, 3 \).
\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ \sigma_{11}^{\text{II}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) - \sigma_{11}^{\text{III}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) \right] & \cos^2 \left( \varphi_3 + \theta \right) + \\
\left[ \sigma_{22}^{\text{II}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) - \sigma_{22}^{\text{III}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) \right] & \sin^2 \left( \varphi_3 + \theta \right) - \\
\left[ \sigma_{12}^{\text{II}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) - \sigma_{12}^{\text{III}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) \right] & \sin 2 \left( \varphi_3 + \theta \right) = 0 \\
\sigma_{11}^{\text{II}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) - \sigma_{11}^{\text{III}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) - \sigma_{22}^{\text{II}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) + \sigma_{22}^{\text{III}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) & + \\
2 \left[ \sigma_{12}^{\text{II}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) - \sigma_{12}^{\text{III}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) \right] & \cot 2 \left( \varphi_3 + \theta \right) = 0 \\
\left[ \sigma_{13}^{\text{II}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) - \sigma_{13}^{\text{III}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) \right] & \cos \left( \varphi_3 + \theta \right) - \\
\left[ \sigma_{23}^{\text{II}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) - \sigma_{23}^{\text{III}}(r_3,\varphi_3, z) \right] & \sin \left( \varphi_3 + \theta \right) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

where
\[
r_3 = \left[ a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta + (b - a \cos \theta)^2 \right]^{1/2}, \quad \varphi_3 = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{a \sin \vartheta}{b - a \cos \theta} \right)
\]

For a given value of \( \vartheta \) in the range \( 0 < \vartheta < \frac{\pi}{3} \), the values of \( r_3 \) and \( \varphi_3 \) calculated by equation (7) are the coordinates of a point at the interface between domains II and III. Equations (6) are the continuity condition on normal stress in the \( p \) direction and circumferential and axial shearing stresses at the interface between domains II and III.

In order that the fibers remain in the regular hexagonal arrangement during deformation, the displacement normal to the hexagonal boundary must be a constant, denoted by
\[
\varepsilon^k_{11}(h \sec \psi, \varphi, z) \cos \psi + \varepsilon^k_{22}(h \sec \psi, \varphi, z) \sin \psi = \delta
\]

\( k = \text{II,III} \)
$\psi = \frac{\pi}{6} - \varphi$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

Note that the vanishing of the circumferential displacements $\xi_2$ at the lines OC and OD (Figure 30) will be automatically satisfied once the symmetry condition (1) is fulfilled.

Now that the hexagonal boundary is a symmetrical plane, shearing stresses vanish at the boundary. Thus, in the directions of the boundary line CD and the $z$ axis,

$$\sigma_{11}^{k}(h \sec \psi, \varphi, z) - \sigma_{22}^{k}(h \sec \psi, \varphi, z)$$

$$- 2 \sigma_{12}^{k}(h \sec \psi, \varphi, z) \cot 2\psi = 0$$

$$\sigma_{13}^{k}(h \sec \psi, \varphi, z) + \sigma_{23}^{k}(h \sec \psi, \varphi, z) \tan \psi = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

$k = \text{II, III}$

If the axial stress applied at the ends of the central fiber as shown in Figure 30(a) is denoted by $\sigma_0$, the appropriate condition is

$$\sigma_{33}^{r}(r, \varphi, z; t) = \sigma_0$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

and if the ends of other fibers and matrix are free from axial stresses, then

$$\sigma_{33}^{k}(r, \varphi, z; t) = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

$k = \text{II, III}$
It can be seen from the hexagonal symmetry that shearing forces acting at the ends of a hexagonal segment automatically vanish as soon as the condition (2) is fulfilled. If the ends are required to be free from shearing stresses, then

$$
\sigma_{31}(r, \varphi, t) = 0
$$

(13)

$$
k = I, II, III \quad i = 1, 2
$$

The formulation of physical conditions is now complete. In total, we have 54 conditions to be satisfied in this analysis. The solution derived from Papkovitch-Neuber functions for the deformation of each domain must satisfy appropriate conditions as described above.

C. General Displacements and Stresses

An elasticity solution derived from Papkovitch functions was given in a previous report (Reference 1). If nonperiodic terms are taken into account, the solution to Laplace's equation $$\nabla^2 P_j = 0$$ can be written as

$$
P_j = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha_{jn} I_n(\mu r) + \beta_{jn} K_n(\mu r) \right] \cdot \left[ \gamma_{jn} \sin(\mu z) + \delta_{jn} \cos(\mu z) \right] \cdot \left[ \zeta_{jn} \sin(n \varphi) + \eta_{jn} \cos(n \varphi) \right] \cdot \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha_{jno} r^n + \beta_{jno} r^{-n} \right] \cdot \left[ \gamma_{jno} + \delta_{jno} z \right] \cdot \left[ \zeta_{jno} \sin(n \varphi) + \eta_{jno} \cos(n \varphi) \right] \cdot \\
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha_{jok} I_\nu(\mu r) + \beta_{jok} K_\nu(\mu r) \right] \cdot \left[ \gamma_{jok} \sin(\mu z) + \delta_{jok} \cos(\mu z) \right] \cdot \left[ \zeta_{jok} \sin(\nu \varphi) + \eta_{jok} \cos(\nu \varphi) \right] \cdot \\
\delta_{joo} z \cdot \left[ \zeta_{joo} + \eta_{joo} \varphi \right]
$$

(14)

$$
j = 0, 3$$
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where $P_0$ is a scalar Papkovitch function and $P_3$ the component of the Papkovitch vector in the axial direction, and where $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$, $\delta$, $\epsilon$, $\zeta$, $\eta$, and $\mu$ are arbitrary constants. The solution $P_3$ can be expressed in terms of Bessel functions of first and second kinds of $r$, hyperbolic functions of $z$, and trigonometric functions of $\phi$. However, solution (14) has the advantage that the argument of modified Bessel functions $I_n(\mu r)$ and $K_n(\mu r)$ is real in this work.

The solution to the following equations,

$$v^2 \left( P_1 \cos \phi - P_2 \sin \phi \right) = 0$$
$$v^2 \left( P_1 \sin \phi + P_2 \cos \phi \right) = 0$$

(15)

can be written in a similar form, and the Papkovitch functions $P_1$ and $P_2$ in radial and circumferential directions can be determined from these two solutions.

Displacement components are related to Papkovitch functions by

$$\xi_1 = P_1 - \frac{1}{4(1-\nu)} \frac{3}{r} \left[ rP_1 + zP_3 + P_0 \right]$$
$$\xi_2 = P_2 - \frac{1}{4(1-\nu)} \frac{3}{r} \left[ rP_1 + zP_3 + P_0 \right]$$
$$\xi_3 = P_3 - \frac{1}{4(1-\nu)} \frac{3}{r} \left[ rP_1 + zP_3 + P_0 \right]$$

(16)

Thus, the general displacement function, expressed in terms of cylindrical polar coordinates, can be found and simplified, as shown in Appendix II.*

Stresses of an elastic body are related to strains $\varepsilon_{ij}$ by generalized Hooke's law as follows:

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)} \left[ \left( 1 + \delta_{ij} \right) \varepsilon_{ij} + \delta_{ij} \frac{2\nu \varepsilon_{kk}}{1 - 2\nu} \right]$$

(17)

* Equations (146) through (148).
where $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker delta and where strains $\varepsilon_{ij}$ are related to displacements by

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{11} &= \frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial r}, & \varepsilon_{22} &= \frac{\varepsilon_1}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_2}{\partial \varphi} \\
\varepsilon_{33} &= \frac{\partial \varepsilon_3}{\partial z}, & \varepsilon_{12} &= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_2}{\partial r} - \frac{\varepsilon_z}{r} \\
\varepsilon_{13} &= \frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_3}{\partial r}, & \varepsilon_{23} &= \frac{\partial \varepsilon_2}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_3}{\partial \varphi}
\end{align*}
$$

(18)

The stresses corresponding to the above displacement function are computed from equations (16), (17), and (18). The equations for stresses are given in detail in Appendix II.*

D. Determination of the Arbitrary Constants

Arbitrary constants, which enter through equation (14) into solutions (16) and (17), are to be determined by appropriate conditions of each domain. Solutions (16) and (17) have been expressed by the solutions in Appendix II.** To satisfy the periodic condition (2) of matrix and loaded fiber, we specify, after substituting solutions (14) and (15) into (16),

$$
n = 6, 12, 18, 24, \ldots
$$

(19)

Displacements (16) specified by (19) have been applied by Haener and Ashbaugh (Reference 2) to a unidirectional multifiber composite under residual shrinkage and axial load. The choice of (19) results in eight of the integration constants in the displacements becoming zero for the domains I and II respectively.*** In order that the displacements and stresses remain bounded at the center of the fiber, the coefficients $\delta_{jn}$ in equation (14) must be zero for the solutions pertaining to the fiber. Satisfying

---

* Equations (149) through (154).

** Equations (146) through (154).

*** Equation (156).
the loading condition (1), we choose in the expression for $\sigma_{33}$ (see Appendix II*) the $\mu$ so that $\cos \mu = 0$; therefore,

$$\mu = \frac{k\pi}{2\ell} \quad (20)$$

$$k = 1,3,5 \ldots$$

In the stress expression $\sigma_{33}$ for the fiber, all the terms involving $\cos z$ will automatically be zero by reason of the above choice (20). However, the terms involving $\sin z$ will not be zero and will contribute a loading at the end which is a function of $r$. Since this is compatible with the boundary condition (11), the coefficients of $\sin z$ in the stresses must be zero.** Therefore, additional relationship between these coefficients can be obtained.***

Additionally, the unloaded condition (12) of domain II leads to

$$\mu_{II} = \frac{k\pi}{2\ell} \quad (21)$$

$$k = 1,3,5 \ldots$$

and to the discarding of six further integration constants and a relation between four additional integration constants.†

The periodic condition that displacements of the unloaded fiber are identical for every multiple of $2\pi/3$ with respect to $\theta$ has been described by equation (3). The displacements in domain III do not have any periodic property‡ in the polar angle $\varphi$, the fourteen terms contained in the $P$ functions in (16) and (17) being characterized by the factor $\sin n\varphi$ or $\cos n\varphi$ for domain III.

---

* Equation (154).
** Equation (154).
*** Equation (155).
† Equation (157).
‡ As far as a hexagonal element as shown in Figure 30(a) is concerned, displacements of the six unloaded fibers possess sixfold symmetry. However, an elasticity solution is not valid for a discontinuous medium.
The resulting solution is the special case corresponding to the vanishing of \( n \) in the expressions \( P \) and \( \mu \) in the solutions (16) and (17). The vanishing of the axial stress at \( z = \pm \ell \) in equation (12) requires at the end of domain III

\[
\mu_{III} = \frac{kr}{2\ell} \quad (22)
\]

\( k = 1,3,5 \ldots \)

On the basis of equations (22) and (12), conclusions similar to that made for the loaded part can be made in this case.*

It can be seen that displacements and stresses are composed of products of functions of \( r \) and orthogonal functions of \( \varphi \) and \( z \). The functions of \( \varphi \) will no longer be orthogonal, however, as displacements (16) are introduced into conditions (3) and (8) and stresses (17) into conditions (6) and (10). This arises from the following parameters:

1. The polar radius \( r \) is related to the polar angle \( \varphi \) at the hexagonal boundary.
2. The polar coordinates \( r \) and \( \varphi \) at the interface between domains II and III are related to each other by condition (7).
3. Coordinates \( r \) and \( \varphi \) in domain III are related by conditions (4).

Because of the existence of certain relations between \( r \) and \( \varphi \), it is impossible to determine the arbitrary constants in solutions (16) and (17) by conditions (3), (6), (8), and (10) as they stand. Therefore, the following approximation is developed such that these conditions will be satisfied with any desired or sufficient accuracy.

In the design of a fiber reinforced composite structure, a high ratio of fiber to matrix is desired, and, hence, the angle subtended by the hexagonal boundary of the matrix toward the \( z \)-axis is small in a cross-sectional plane. For example, this angle is about 5° for the volume of fibers in a composite being 65%. Therefore, the hexagonal boundary of the matrix (not fibers) can be replaced by a circular cylindrical surface with its axis coinciding with the \( z \)-axis and with its radius being

\[
r_m = \frac{1}{4} \left[ 2h + \sqrt{4h^2 + (b - 2a)^2} \right] \quad (23)
\]

* Refer to equation (160).
With such a substitution, conditions (10a) and (10b) become

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{12}(r_0, \varphi, z) &= 0 \\
\sigma_{13}(r_0, \varphi, z) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(24)

The approximation to conditions (3), (6), (8), and (10) will now be developed.

Based on the periodic condition (3) of the unloaded fiber, average displacement components of a volume element in the direction at \( \theta = 0 \) and \( \theta = \pi/2 \) and in the axial direction are respectively equal to those of a corresponding element in the directions at \( \theta = 2\pi/3 \) and \( \theta = 7\pi/6 \) and in the axial direction.

\[
\iint_{V_1} \left[ \xi_2 \left[ r_1, \varphi_1, z \right] \sin \varphi_1 - \xi_1 \left[ r_1, \varphi_1, z \right] \cos \varphi_1 \right] \rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi \, dz \\
= \iiint_{V_1} \left[ \xi_2 \left[ r_2, \varphi_2, z \right] \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{3} - \varphi_2 \right) + \xi_1 \left[ r_1, \varphi_1, z \right] \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{3} - \varphi_2 \right) \right] \rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi \, dz
\]

\[
\iiint_{V_1} \left[ \xi_1 \left[ r_1, \varphi_1, z \right] \sin \varphi_1 + \xi_2 \left[ r_1, \varphi_1, z \right] \cos \varphi_1 \right] \rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi \, dz \\
= \iiint_{V_1} \left[ \xi_1 \left[ r_2, \varphi_2, z \right] \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{3} - \varphi_2 \right) - \xi_2 \left[ r_2, \varphi_2, z \right] \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{3} - \varphi_2 \right) \right] \rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi \, dz
\]

\[
\iiint_{V_1} \xi_3 \left[ r_1, \varphi_1, z \right] \rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi \, dz = \iiint_{V_1} \xi_3 \left[ r_2, \varphi_2, z \right] \rho \, d\theta \, d\varphi \, dz
\]

(25)

\[i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots m_1\]
where \( m \) is the number of volume elements of domain III, and \( V_i \) and \( V_i' \) are two corresponding equal elements as shown in Figure 31 (shadowed areas with the same axial length).

According to conditions (6a) through (6c), the average displacement of the matrix at an element of the interfacial surface between domains II and III is equal to the corresponding average displacement of the unloaded fiber.

\[
\int_{A_i} \left[ \xi_1^{II}(r_3, \varphi_3, z) \cos \varphi_3 - \xi_2^{II}(r_3, \varphi_3, z) \sin \varphi_3 \right] d\theta \, dz
\]

\[
= \int_{A_i} \left[ \xi_1^{III}(r_3, \varphi_3, z) \cos \varphi_3 - \xi_2^{III}(r_3, \varphi_3, z) \sin \varphi_3 \right] d\theta \, dz
\]

\[
\int_{A_i} \left[ \xi_1^{II}(r_3, \varphi_3, z) \sin \varphi_3 + \xi_2^{II}(r_3, \varphi_3, z) \cos \varphi_3 \right] d\theta \, dz
\]

\[
= \int_{A_i} \left[ \xi_1^{III}(r_3, \varphi_3, z) \sin \varphi_3 + \xi_2^{III}(r_3, \varphi_3, z) \cos \varphi_3 \right] d\theta \, dz
\]

\[
\int_{A_i} \xi_3^{II}(r_3, \varphi_3, z) \, d\theta \, dz = \int_{A_i} \xi_3^{III}(r_3, \varphi_3, z) \, d\theta \, dz
\]

\[ i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots m \]

which are the corresponding averages of the displacement components along the directions of \( x \)-, \( y \)-, and \( z \)-axes respectively, and in which \( A_i \) is an elemental area of the interfacial surface with \( m \) being the number of elements.

From conditions (6d) through (6f), two averages of the corresponding components of the resultant force produced by normal and shearing stresses at an element of the interfacial surface between domains II and III are equal to each other.
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{A_1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{11}^\Pi [r_3, \varphi_3, z] \cos(\varphi_3 + \theta) \cos \varphi_3 + \sigma_{22}^\Pi [r_3, \varphi_3, z] \sin(\varphi_3 + \theta) \sin \varphi_3 - \\
\sigma_{12}^\Pi [r_3, \varphi_3, z] \sin(\varphi_3 + \theta) \cos \theta d\theta dz
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
= \int_{A_1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{11}^\Pi [r_3, \varphi_3, z] \cos(\varphi_3 + \theta) \cos \varphi_3 + \sigma_{22}^\Pi [r_3, \varphi_3, z] \sin(\varphi_3 + \theta) \sin \varphi_3 - \\
\sigma_{12}^\Pi [r_3, \varphi_3, z] \sin(\varphi_3 + \theta) \cos \theta d\theta dz
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{A_1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{11}^\Pi [r_3, \varphi_3, z] \cos(\varphi_3 + \theta) \sin \varphi_3 + \sigma_{22}^\Pi [r_3, \varphi_3, z] \sin(\varphi_3 + \theta) \cos \varphi_3 - \\
\sigma_{12}^\Pi [r_3, \varphi_3, z] \sin(\varphi_3 + \theta) \cos \theta d\theta dz
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
= \int_{A_1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{11}^\Pi [r_3, \varphi_3, z] \cos(\varphi_3 + \theta) \sin \varphi_3 + \sigma_{22}^\Pi [r_3, \varphi_3, z] \sin(\varphi_3 + \theta) \cos \varphi_3 - \\
\sigma_{12}^\Pi [r_3, \varphi_3, z] \sin(\varphi_3 + \theta) \cos \theta d\theta dz
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

which are force components along x-, y-, and z-axes respectively.
According to condition (8), the average of the displacements normal to the hexagonal boundary must be equal to \( \delta \) for any element of the boundary plane

\[
\frac{1}{B_1} \int_{B_1} \left[ \varepsilon_1^k(\text{sec}{\phi},l) \cos \phi + \varepsilon_2^k(\text{sec}{\phi},l) \sin \phi \right] h \text{sec}^2 \phi \, d\phi \, dz = \delta \tag{28}
\]

where \( B_1 \) is an elemental area of the boundary plane of the matrix or the unloaded fiber. The number \( m_3 \) of the elements of the matrix boundary is not necessarily equal to that of the fiber boundary.

Based on condition (10), the shearing forces acting at an elemental area of the hexagonal boundary must vanish.

\[
\int_{B_1} \left[ \sigma_{11}(\text{sec}{\phi},l) - \sigma_{22}(\text{sec}{\phi},l) \right] \sin \phi \cos \phi - \\
\sigma_{12}(\text{sec}{\phi},l)(\sin^2 \phi - \cos^2 \phi) \right] h \text{sec}^2 \phi \, d\phi \, dz = 0 \tag{29}
\]

\[
\int_{B_1} \left[ \sigma_{13}(\text{sec}{\phi},l) \cos \phi + \sigma_{23}(\text{sec}{\phi},l) \sin \phi \right] h \text{sec}^2 \phi \, d\phi \, dz = 0 \tag{30}
\]

\( i = 1,2,3,...m_3 \)

which are shearing forces along the boundary line \( CD \) (Figure 30) and the \( z \)-axis respectively.

Applying a similar approximation to condition (13), the vanishing of the shearing force which acts on a small element, \( R_1 \), of the end section area requires, in the directions of \( x \)- and \( y \)-axes,

\[
\int_{R_1} \left[ \sigma_{31}^k(r,\phi,l) \cos \phi - \sigma_{32}^k(r,\phi,l) \sin \phi \right] r \, d\phi \, dr = 0 \\
\int_{R_1} \left[ \sigma_{31}^k(r,\phi,l) \sin \phi + \sigma_{32}^k(r,\phi,l) \cos \phi \right] r \, d\phi \, dr = 0 \tag{31}
\]

\( k = I,II,III \quad i = 1,2,3,...m_4 \)
It is seen that equations (25) through (31) can be reduced to conditions (3), (6), (8), (10), and (13) when the regions in which integrations in these equations are carried over become infinitesimally small. Therefore, these conditions are replaced by the corresponding equations.

If two functions are defined as

\[ f(z) = \begin{cases} 
  z & (0 < z < \ell) \\
  2\ell - z & (\ell < z < 2\ell)
\end{cases} \]

\[ g(z) = \begin{cases} 
  z^2 & (0 < z < \ell) \\
  -z^2 + 4\ell z - 2\ell^2 & (\ell < z < 2\ell)
\end{cases} \]  

(32)

then the variables \( z \) and \( z^2 \) contained in the \( P \) functions in displacements (16) and in the \( \sigma_{ij} \) of stresses (17) can be represented by

\[ z = \frac{8\ell}{\pi} \sum_{k=1,3}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1/2} \frac{1}{k^2} \sin k\mu z \]

\[ z^2 = \frac{32\ell^2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1,3}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1/2} \frac{1}{k^3} \cos k\mu z \]  

(33)

The introduction of solutions (16) into (25), (26), (27), and (28), and (17) into (5d,e,f), (27), (24), and (31) leads to a system of linear algebraic equations in terms of arbitrary constants and the unknown \( \delta \). The infinite series in these equations are to be truncated in numerical computation. If the number of \( n \) values is denoted by \( \bar{n} \), the number of \( k \) values in the solutions for domains I and II by \( \bar{k}_{12} \), and the number of \( k \) values in the solution for domain III by \( k_3 \), then the total number of unknowns is

\[ 9 \times \bar{k}_{12} \times \bar{n} + 6 \times \bar{k}_{12} + 8 \times \bar{k}_3 + 8 \times \bar{n} + 12 \]

The total number of equations to be satisfied is

\[ 8 \times \bar{k}_{12} \times \bar{n} + 5 \times \bar{k}_{12} + 7 \times \bar{n} + 3m_1 + 6m_2 + 4m_3 + 6m_4 + 6 \]
Thus, infinite series existing in this work without any recurring relationship between their coefficients are to be truncated or the numbers \( m_1, m_2, m_3, \) and \( m_4 \) are to be chosen in such a way that the number of unknowns is equal to the number of equations. However, the order of the square matrix is extremely large. To reduce the order, the matrix is subdivided into a number of rectangular arrays, and each array in turn is a matrix. After some laborious computation, the maximum order of submatrices yields

\[
\kappa_{12} \times \bar{n} + \kappa_{12} + \bar{n} + 2
\]

or

\[
4 \times \bar{n} + 4
\]

In this study, symmetry condition (1) of a hexagonal segment, periodic condition (2) of matrix and loaded fiber, continuity condition (5) at the interface between matrix and loaded fiber, and end conditions (11) and (12) have been exactly satisfied, while the other physical conditions are approximately fulfilled. It is expected that the vanishing of shearing stresses (10a) and (10b) at the hexagonal boundary of the matrix will be satisfied with sufficient accuracy and that the vanishing of shearing stresses (13a) through (13d) at the ends of matrix and loaded fiber and the constant displacement requirement (8a) at the hexagonal boundary of the matrix will be approximately fulfilled for a few values of \( \kappa_{12} \) and \( \bar{n} \). The price paid for increasing accuracy in the fulfillment of other conditions is that the order of the matrix must increase.

The variation in stresses of a long composite with every other fiber loaded is expected to be small in the middle portion of a hexagonal segment, and, hence, the corresponding elements chosen for computing efficiency should be larger than those close to the ends. The transfer of loads in the present case possibly is an end effect; that is, the transmission of the largest portion of loads from the externally stressed fiber in a hexagonal segment to six unloaded fibers through the matrix occurs in the neighborhood of the ends.
This study was concerned with the determination of the critical load of a fiber embedded in a soft elastic matrix subjected to axial compression.

The finite length composite cylinder is assumed to be free from initial stresses. When the load increases incrementally from zero, the fiber remains straight and the composite is under compression without bending. When the load continues to increase and reaches a certain value, the fiber deflects laterally. At this value, the compressive force is called the Euler critical load. Later on, the deflection increases rapidly with a small increase of the applied compression. Eventually, the fiber buckles in a wavy shape and loses its natural function, the transmission of compressive forces. Therefore, the critical compressive load is very important in the design of such composite structures.

An analytical method has been developed by Sadowsky and Hussain (Reference 3) to determine the thermal critical load of an infinite fiber bonded to an infinite domain of matrix without mechanical loading. The matrix has been treated by the linear theory of elasticity as a three-dimensional body, and the fiber by one-dimensional elasticity. The method of approach is reasonable. In the present work, it additionally accounted for the moment produced by axial shear at the interface between fibers and matrix such that this shear influences the critical load. It should be pointed out that the total axial shearing force at the interface vanishes, but not the produced moments.

The method of approach to the present problem is similar to that developed by the above authors. Instead of using Boussinesque-Papkovich potential functions, the equilibrium equations in cylindrical coordinates for the deformation of matrix are directly solved in this work. Equations of equilibrium for the critical load of the fiber are in a simple manner derived by the one-dimensional nonlinear theory of elastic stability, based on statics consideration different from that in the report by Sadowsky and Hussain. The contribution of axial shear at the interface to the equation of moment equilibrium is taken into account. The critical compressive load of the composite cylinder corresponding to the buckling of the fiber found in this study is a function of elastic constants, fiber radius, and outer radius of the composite.

**General Description**

Consider a composite cylinder of finite length \( L \), fiber radius \( a \), and outer radius \( b \) of the composite with two coordinate systems as shown in Figure 32.
Experiment shows that the buckling mode of a fiber in a low-modulus matrix subjected to axially compressive load is approximately a plane sine curve. If stresses of the matrix at the buckling of the fiber are within its elastic range, it will be appropriate to treat the matrix by the linear theory of elasticity as a three-dimensional body and the fiber by the non-linear theory of elastic stability as a slender bar subjected to compression and appropriate lateral loading. If the buckling wave is chosen in the $yz$-plane (Figure 33) and if the deflection of the fiber in the $y$-direction is denoted by $v$, then the buckling wave of the fiber can be written as

$$ v = v_0 \sin \alpha z $$

where $v_0$ is the amplitude of the sine curve and where

$$ \alpha = \frac{n \pi}{L} $$

with $n$ being the number of half wavelength along the $z$-axis.
Displacements and stresses given by (16) and (17) for load transfer through fibers in a matrix (Part II of this chapter: Three-Dimensional Load Transfer Among the Fibers in a Matrix) are suitable to the deformation of the matrix under consideration by appropriate choice of the Cartesian coordinate system. However, arbitrary constants in these expressions are difficult to determine in the present case. To simplify the problem, the approach described in Part I of this chapter (Parametric Studies) is applied.

Based on the three-dimensional theory of elasticity for a close-packed fiber composite under compression, stresses in the plane perpendicular to fiber axis were vanishingly small compared to the average axial stress for the epoxy-fiberglass composite.

It may be assumed in the present analysis, without introducing appreciable error in the results, that the stresses developed during buckling at the interface between the fiber and matrix are produced by bending only.

The displacements and stresses of the matrix are given in Appendix III to this report.

Equations of Equilibrium of the Fiber and Its Critical Compressive Load

Consider an element, AB, of the fiber with length dz before bending. It is suppositioned that this element has already been under compression. After bending, AB will displace to A'B'.

When some lateral deflection is produced in the compressed bar, there is some change in compression, but a more detailed investigation by S. Timoshenko (Reference 4) shows that this change is negligible. Since the compressive load is not changed, the fiber axis will be under the same axial force as that before bending, and there will be no change in the length along the neutral plane. During bending, axial forces N, transverse shearing forces Q, bending moments M at the ends of this element, lateral forces S per unit length, and axial shearing forces T per unit length are shown in Figure 34.
The equation of equilibrium on the moment in the x-direction requires

$$\frac{dM}{dz} + Q + M_i = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

where the last term $M_i$ is the contribution of moment per unit length produced by axial shear at the interface between fiber and matrix.

If the radius of curvature of the element $dz$ is denoted by $R$, then the result of two transverse shearing forces is in the negative direction of the $z$-axis, with the magnitude being $Qdz/R$, and the result of two axial forces is $Ndz/R$ in the negative direction of the $y$-axis. Therefore, the equilibrium equations on the force components along $y$- and $z$-axes are given by

$$\frac{dQ}{dz} - S + \frac{N}{R} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

$$\frac{dN}{dz} + T - \frac{Q}{R} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

where the nonlinearity has been introduced by considering the influence of the deflection $v$ and where the angle of rotation of the element has been assumed to be small.
The moment $M_s$ produced by axial shear $\sigma_{13}(a,\varphi,z)$ in the x-direction,*

$$M_s = 2a^2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sigma_{13}(\rho_2,\varphi,z) \sin \varphi \, d\varphi$$

$$= \pi a^2 \nu \sigma_{13}^0 \cos \varphi z$$ \hspace{1cm} (39)

and the component of the moment produced by $\sigma_{13}$ at the interface in the y direction automatically vanishes.

The lateral force $S$ at the interface yields, by using (196),

$$S = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left[ \sigma_{11}(\rho_2,\varphi,z) \sin \varphi + \sigma_{12}(\rho_2,\varphi,z) \cos \varphi \right] \, d\varphi$$

$$= \pi a^2 \nu \left[ \sigma_{11}^o + \sigma_{12}^o \right] \sin \varphi \cos \varphi$$ \hspace{1cm} (40)

and the force component produced by stresses $\sigma_{11}$ and $\sigma_{12}$ at the interface in the x-direction automatically vanishes.

The axial shearing force $T$ at the interface is from (196)

$$T = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sigma_{13}(\rho_2,\varphi,z) \, d\varphi = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (41)

Using (34), (39), (40), and (41) and the relations

$$\frac{1}{R} \approx - \frac{d^2 \nu}{dz^2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (42)

$$M = \frac{E^I J}{R} \approx - \frac{\pi}{4} a^4 \nu E \frac{d^2 \nu}{dz^2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (43)

* See equation (196).
Equilibrium equations (36), (37), and (38) are reduced to

\[
Q = -\frac{\pi a^2}{4} \left[ a E I \alpha^2 + \frac{4}{\alpha} c_{13} \right] \theta
\]

\[
\frac{dQ}{d\theta} - N = -\frac{ma}{\alpha^2} \left( \sigma_{11}^0 + \sigma_{12}^0 \right)
\]

\[
\frac{dN}{d\theta} + Q = 0
\]

where \( \theta \) is the angle of rotation given by

\[
\theta \approx \frac{d\alpha}{dz} = \nu o \alpha \cos\theta
\]

Elimination of \( Q \) from (44b and c) gives

\[
\frac{d^2N}{d\theta^2} + N = \frac{ma}{\alpha^2} \left( \sigma_{11}^0 + \sigma_{12}^0 \right)
\]

which has the solution

\[
N = C_1 \cos\theta + C_2 \sin\theta + \frac{ma}{\alpha^2} \left( \sigma_{11}^0 + \sigma_{12}^0 \right)
\]

where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are arbitrary constants. Introduction of (46) into (44c) yields

\[
Q = C_1 \sin\theta - C_2 \cos\theta
\]

In agreement with the assumption of small angle of rotation, functions \( \sin\theta \) and \( \cos\theta \) are replaced by \( \theta \) and 1 respectively. Thus, (46) and (47) are reduced to

\[
N = C_1 + C_2 \theta + \frac{ma}{\alpha^2} \left( \sigma_{11}^0 + \sigma_{12}^0 \right)
\]

\[
Q = C_1 \theta - C_2
\]
Comparing (48b) with (44a) leads to

\[ C_2 = 0 \]

\[ C_1 = \frac{\pi a^2}{4} \left[ \frac{2}{a} E' \sigma'_{13} + \frac{4}{a} \sigma_{13} \right] \]  

(49)

By substitution, the solution (48a) results in

\[ N = \pi a^2 \left[ \frac{1}{4} E \alpha E' \sigma'_{13} + \sigma_{13} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_{11} + \sigma_{12} \right) \]

(50)

which is the internal axial force of the microfiber at any cross section.

If the applied compression of the microfiber is denoted by \( P^I \), then we must have at its ends

\[ N = -P^I \]  

(51)

or

\[ P^I = \pi a^2 \left[ \frac{1}{4} E \alpha E' \sigma'_{13} + \sigma_{13} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_{11} + \sigma_{12} \right) \]

(52)

in which \( \sigma_{1i} (i=1,2,3) \) are functions of \( a, b, \alpha \) and elastic constants of the matrix. Thus, (52) contains only the parameter \( \alpha \) to be determined by the minimization of \( P^I \)

\[ \frac{d P^I}{d \alpha} = 0 \]  

(53)

from which \( \alpha \) or the ratio of number of half wavelength to fiber length can be computed. The compressive force \( P^I \) corresponding to this value of \( \alpha \) is the critical load of the fiber denoted by \( P^I_{cr} \). The corresponding compressive force applied to the ends of the matrix is determined from the condition that the axial displacement of the matrix is the same as that of
the microfiber at the ends of the composite. If this load is denoted by \( P^{II} \), we have from the above condition,

\[
P^{II} = \frac{E^{II}}{E^I} \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^2 - 1 \right) P^I_{cr}
\]  

(54)

The total compressive load, \( P^* \), applied at the ends of the composite is then given by

\[
P^* = P^I_{cr} + P^{II}
\]  

(55)

which corresponds to the buckling of the fiber.

The constant axial displacement at the ends of the composite is not necessary to require the vanishing of the right-hand side of (193c). The axial displacement produced by bending is of influence with axial stresses, but not the axial force acting on a cross section because of geometrical symmetry of the fiber with respect to the neutral plane. Actually, the axial displacement produced by bending at the beginning of the fiber buckling can be neglected, since the length of the fiber axis does not change by bending and the angle of rotation is small. If this is done, the corresponding change in other equations is to set the right-hand side of (195) to zero.

It is seen from (52) that \( \sigma_{1i} \) \((i=1,2,3)\) is the contribution, to the critical load, of interfacial pressure and circumferential and axial shearing stresses at the interface between fiber and matrix. The critical load \( P^I_{cr} \) and, hence, \( P^{II} \) and \( P^* \) are simply functions of elastic constants of fiber and matrix, fiber radius, and outer radius of the matrix. Thermal buckling load of an infinite-length fiber bonded to an infinite domain of the matrix without mechanical loading and critical load of a finite-length fiber in lateral infinite matrix under axial compression can be treated as special cases of the present result by performing the integrals in (177), (181), and (185) analytically.
PART IV - ENERGY SOLUTIONS OF THE INSTABILITY PROBLEM

The problem of the buckling of microfiber embedded in a supporting matrix, under axial compression and under internal shrinkage load, was analytically investigated with energy methods in five different cases. The models include a single-fiber and multifiber reinforced composite of finite dimension, and a single fiber in an infinite matrix. The multifibers under internal shrinkage loads are treated with a simplified assumption, allowing the use of the Lagrange multiplier method of variation.

The Ritz-Galerkin method minimizing total potential energy was used in deriving the equation for obtaining the critical load of the fiber. In the present analysis, total potential energy contains the strain energy due to the bending and extension of the fiber, plus the energy of the interfacial pressure and longitudinal shear applied by the matrix to the fiber less the work done by external force. The stress field of the binder was determined by solving a plane elasticity problem. However, it was solved two-dimensionally as well as three-dimensionally for the case of the multifiber reinforced composite of finite size. The fiber and matrix elasticity are well matched at the interface by imposing boundary conditions requiring the continuity of stresses or displacements. Finally, the smallest buckling criterion was found by variation with respect to the wave parameter.

To formulate the problem, the following assumptions were made:

1. Both materials are linearly elastic, isotropic, and homogeneous.
2. Elongation, shear, and rotation of constituents are small in comparison with unity.
3. The deflection curve of the fiber and then the distribution of the interfacial force at the buckling state are sinusoidal in the axial direction.
4. Binder modulus is much smaller than fiber modulus.
5. The cross-sectional area of the fiber remains plane and circular after loading.
6. During buckling, there is no relative slip between fiber and matrix.
A. **Buckling of Multifibers in a Finite Matrix**

1. **Buckling Load of the Fiber (Ritz Method)**

![Figure 35. Half Critical Wavelength Shown on One Fiber](image)

Geometric boundary conditions are, at

\[ z = 0 , \quad \xi_1^I = 0 \]  

(56)

and at

\[ z = L , \quad \xi_1^I = 0 \]  

(57)

Taking into consideration the geometrical boundary conditions of the fiber, we can assume its deflection curve,

\[ \xi_1^I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_{1n} \sin \frac{n\pi z}{L} \]  

(58)

In the present problem, the total potential energy includes strain energy due to the bending and extension of the fiber, plus the strain energy due to interfacial pressure and axial shear applied by matrix on the fiber, less the work done by external force.
The strain energy due to bending of the fiber is shown below (see Figure 36).

\[ U_b = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L E I I \left( \frac{d^2 \sigma_1}{dz^2} \right)^2 dz \]  

(59)

Figure 36. Bending of a Fiber Element

The strain energy due to the extension of the fiber is derived as follows (see Figure 37).

Figure 37. Schematic Diagram of a Fiber Element During Loading
The deformed length of an element of the fiber is

\[
ds = \sqrt{dz + \left( \frac{dz}{dz} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\xi_3^I}{dz} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{dz}{dz} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\xi_1^I}{dz} \right)^2}
\]

Then the increase of strain energy due to the shortening of the fiber is

\[
U_e = -\int_0^L P^I (ds - dz)
\]

\[
= -\int_0^L P^I \left[ \frac{d\xi_3^I}{dz} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d\xi_1^I}{dz} \right)^2 \right] dz
\]

(60)

The strain energy due to the interfacial pressure contributed by the matrix is

\[
U_p = \int_0^L \frac{1}{2} P_{\text{in}} \xi_3^I dz
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L k (\xi_3^I)^2 dz
\]

(61)

The strain energy due to axial shear contributed by matrix is depicted in Figure 38.
In the matrix, shear strain is expressed by

\[ \varepsilon_{13}^{II} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{3}^{II}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{1}^{II}}{\partial z} \]  \hspace{1cm} (62)

Since shear strain should be continuous at the interface, then

\[ \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{1}^{II}(a)}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{1}^{I}(a)}{\partial z} \]

Also

\[ \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{3}^{II}}{\partial r} = \frac{\varepsilon_{3}^{II}(a) - \varepsilon_{3}^{II}(b)}{d} \approx \frac{\varepsilon_{3}^{II}(a)}{d} \]

But

\[ \varepsilon_{3}^{II} = a \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{1}^{I}}{\partial z} \]

then

\[ \varepsilon_{13}^{II} = \left(1 + \frac{a}{d}\right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{1}^{I}}{\partial z} \]  \hspace{1cm} (63)
Thus, the strain energy due to longitudinal shear of the matrix on the fiber is

\[
\sigma_{13}^{II} = G^{II} \varepsilon_{13}^{II} = G^{II} \left[1 + \frac{a}{d} \right] \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{1}^{I}}{\partial z}
\]  

(64)

The external work by prescribed loading during buckling is

\[
W = P_L \varepsilon_3^I(0) - P_R \varepsilon_3^I(L) - \int_0^L \varepsilon_3^I \frac{dP_I}{dz} \, dz
\]

\[
= P_L \varepsilon_3^I(0) - P_R \varepsilon_3^I(L) + \int_0^L \varepsilon_3^I \frac{dP_I}{dz} \, dz
\]

\[
= - P_I \varepsilon_3^I \left|_0^L \right. + \int_0^L \varepsilon_3^I \frac{dP_I}{dz} \, dz = - \int_0^L P_I \frac{d \varepsilon_3^I}{dz} \, dz
\]  

(66)

Figure 39. Schematic Diagram Showing One Fiber Under External Load

54
since

\[ p^I - (p^I + dp^I) - p_3 \, dz = 0 \]

\[ p_3 = \frac{dp^I}{dz} \]  \hspace{1cm} (67)

Therefore, the total potential energy \( T_e \) is

\[ T_e = U_b + U_e + U_p + U_s - W \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L E \frac{d^2 \xi_1}{dz^2} \, dz - \int_0^L p^I \left[ \frac{d^2 I_1}{dz^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d^2 I_1}{dz^2} \right)^2 \right] \, dz + \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L k \xi_1^2 \, dz + \frac{1}{2} \pi \left( b^2 - a^2 \right) G^{II} \left( 1 + \frac{a^2}{d^2} \right) \int_0^L \left( \frac{d^2 I_1}{dz^2} \right) \, dz + \]

\[ \int_0^L F P^I \frac{d^2 I_1}{dz^2} \, dz \]  \hspace{1cm} (68)

Thus

\[ T_e = \frac{E^I}{2} \int_0^L \left( \frac{d^2 \xi_1}{dz^2} \right)^2 \, dz - \frac{1}{2} p^I \int_0^L \left( \frac{d^2 I_1}{dz^2} \right)^2 \, dz + \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} k \int_0^L \xi_1^2 \, dz + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{II} \pi \left( b^2 - a^2 \right) \left( 1 + \frac{a^2}{d^2} \right) \int_0^L \left( \frac{d^2 I_1}{dz^2} \right)^2 \, dz \]  \hspace{1cm} (69)
Substituting equation (58) into equation (69) and making use of integral identities, we get

\[ T_e = \frac{1}{2} E I \frac{I}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S I_{1n}}{L} \left( \frac{\pi n}{L} \right)^4 - \frac{1}{2} p I \frac{I}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S I_{1n}}{L} \left( \frac{\pi n}{L} \right)^2 + \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} k \frac{L}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{S I_{1n}}{L} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \pi c \left( b^2 - a^2 \right) \left( 1 + \frac{a}{d} \right) \frac{L}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{S I_{1n}}{L} \right)^2 \] (70)

Minimization of total potential energy with respect to the amplitude of the assumed curve gives the stationary condition; i.e.,

\[ \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial S_{1j}} = 0 \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \]

From equation (70)

\[ \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial S_{1j}} = \frac{1}{2} E I \frac{I}{2} L \frac{S I_{1j}}{L} \left( \frac{\pi n}{L} \right)^4 + \frac{L}{2} k \frac{S I_{1j}}{L} + \]

\[ \frac{3}{2L} \left( b^2 - a^2 \right) c \left( 1 + \frac{a}{d} \right) S_{1j} = \frac{F}{2} \frac{S I_{1j}}{L} \left( \frac{\pi n}{L} \right)^2 = 0 \] (71)

This equation either yields \( S_{1j} = 0 \), which gives the undesirable case of zero deflection, or the following results are obtained:

\[ \frac{1}{2} E I \frac{I}{2} \left( \frac{\pi n}{L} \right)^4 + \frac{1}{2} k + \frac{1}{2} L^2 \frac{1}{2} \pi c \left( b^2 - a^2 \right) \left( 1 + \frac{a}{d} \right) S_{1j} = \frac{1}{2} p \frac{I}{L} \left( \frac{\pi n}{L} \right)^2 = 0 \]
which gives the critical load for the fiber; i.e.,

\[
p_{cr}^{I} = \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{L^2} E^{I} I^{I} + \frac{L^2}{n^2 \pi^2} k + \nu \left( b^2 - a^2 \right) E^{II} \left( 1 + \frac{a}{d} \right)^2
\]  

(72)

where \( k \) has the dimension of pound per square inch, and is equal to the ratio of interfacial force per unit length to unit lateral deflection.

Foundation constant \( k \) for the multifiber reinforced matrix is derived as shown in Figure 38.

We can imagine the matrix as a two-dimensional plate and under merely the normal interfacial force per unit length \( p_1(z) \). The expression \( p_1(z) \) must have similar distribution as

\[
p_1(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{1n} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{L} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k \frac{E^{II}}{E^{[1]} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{L}}
\]  

(73)

Therefore, the boundary conditions are, at \( r = 0 \),

\[
\sigma_{11}^{II} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k \frac{E^{II}}{E^{[1]} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{L}}}{n\pi a}
\]  

(74)

\[
\sigma_{13}^{II} = 0
\]  

(75)

and at \( r = 2d \),

\[
\sigma_{11}^{II} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k \frac{E^{II}}{E^{[1]} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{L}}}{n\pi a}
\]  

(76)

\[
\sigma_{13}^{II} = 0
\]  

(77)
The stress field in the matrix can be represented by the following stress function

\[ \phi(r, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n(r) \sin \frac{mnz}{L} \]  

(78)

By substituting this equation into the differential equation (from Reference 5)

\[ \frac{\partial^4 \phi}{\partial r^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r^2 \partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^4 \phi}{\partial z^4} = 0 \]  

(79)

we get

\[ \left( \frac{mn}{L} \right)^2 \phi_n(r) - 2 \left( \frac{mn}{L} \right)^2 \phi_n''(r) + \phi_n''(r) = 0 \]  

(80)

The solution to the partial differential equation (79) is

\[ \phi(r, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{L}{mn} \right)^2 \left[ A_n \cosh \left( \frac{mnr}{L} \right) + B_n \frac{mnr}{L} \cosh \left( \frac{mnr}{L} \right) + C_n \sinh \left( \frac{mnr}{L} \right) + D_n \frac{mnr}{L} \sinh \left( \frac{mnr}{L} \right) \right] \sin \left( \frac{mnz}{L} \right) \]  

(81)
The stress components are

\[ \sigma_{33} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \tau^2} \]

\[ = \sum_{n=1,2,\ldots}^\infty \left[ \left( A_n + 2 D_n \right) \cosh \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) + B_n \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) + \left( 2 B_n + C_n \right) \sinh \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) + D_n \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) \right] \cdot \sin \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) \]

(82)

\[ \sigma_{11} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} \]

\[ = -\sum_{n=1,2,\ldots}^\infty \left[ A_n \cosh \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) + B_n \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) + C_n \sinh \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) + D_n \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) \right] \cdot \sin \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) \]

(83)

\[ \sigma_{13} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial r \partial \tau} \]

\[ = -\sum_{n=1,2,\ldots}^\infty \left[ A_n + D_n \right] \sinh \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) + B_n \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) + \left( B_n + C_n \right) \cosh \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) + D_n \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) \right] \cdot \cos \left( \frac{n \pi \tau}{L} \right) \]

(84)
Applying boundary conditions (74) to (77), we have

\[ A_n = -\frac{k \cdot s_{1n}^{II}}{\pi a} \quad (85) \]

\[ B_n + C_n = 0 \quad (86) \]

\[ A_n \cosh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) + B_n \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) + C_n \sinh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) + D_n \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) = -\frac{k \cdot s_{1n}^{II}}{\pi a} \quad (87) \]

\[ A_n \sinh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) + B_n \left[ \sinh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) + \cosh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) \right] + C_n \cosh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) + D_n \left( \sinh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) \right. \]

\[ + \left. \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) \right] = 0 \quad (88) \]

Substitution of (85) and (86) into (87) yields

\[ -\frac{k \cdot s_{1n}^{II}}{\pi a} \cosh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) + B_n \left( \cosh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) \right. \]

\[ + \left. \sinh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) \right] + D_n \left( \sinh \left( \frac{2 \cdot m \cdot d}{L} \right) \right) = -\frac{k \cdot s_{1n}^{II}}{\pi a} \quad (89) \]
Substituting (85) and (86) into (88), we get

\[
-k \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{II}n}}{\eta a} \sinh \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right) + B_n \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right) +
\]

\[
D_n \left[ \sinh \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right) + \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right) \right] = 0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (90)

The denominator of $B_n$ and $D_n$, solved from equations (89) and (90), is

\[
\left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right)^2 - \sinh^2 \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (91)

The numerator of coefficient $B_n$, solved from equations (89) and (90), is

\[
k \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{II}n}}{\eta a} \left[ \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right) - \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \cosh \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right) - \sinh \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right) +
\]

\[
\sinh \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right) \cosh \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right) \right]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (92)

The numerator for coefficient $D_n$, found from (89) and (90), is

\[
k \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{II}n}}{\eta a} \sinh \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right) \left[ \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} - \sinh \left( \frac{2 \, \text{nd}}{L} \right) \right]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (93)

Then the results of coefficients are as follows:

\[
A_n = -k \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{II}n}}{\eta a}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (94)
By law of two-dimensional elasticity for the plane stress problem, we have

\[
\frac{\partial \sigma_{11}^{II}}{\partial r} = \frac{\sigma_{11}^{II}}{E^{II}} - \nu \frac{\sigma_{33}^{II}}{E^{II}}
\]  
(98)

\[
\frac{\partial \sigma_{33}^{II}}{\partial z} = \frac{\sigma_{33}^{II}}{E^{II}} - \nu \frac{\sigma_{11}^{II}}{E^{II}}
\]  
(99)

Substituting expressions (94) through (97) into equations (83) and (84) and the results into (88), we obtain

\[
\sigma_{11}^{II} = \frac{1}{E^{II}} \int_0^{2d} \left( \sigma_{11}^{II} - \nu \sigma_{33}^{II} \right) dr
\]  
(100)
By integrating equation (100), we get, after extensive analysis,

\[ \xi_1^{II} = \frac{kL}{E^{II} 2 \pi a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^{II}}{n} \{ -8 d_n + 8 d_n \cosh \left( 2 d_n \right) - \\
2 \sinh^3 \left( 2 d_n \right) - 4 \sinh \left( 2 d_n \right) \cosh \left( 2 d_n \right) + \\
2 \sinh \left( 2 d_n \right) + 2 \sinh \left( 2 d_n \right) \cosh^2 \left( 2 d_n \right) \} \sin \alpha_n \theta. \]

Thus

\[ k = \frac{p_{1}^{I}}{\xi_{1}^{II}} = E^{II} \pi a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \left\{ \left[ \left( 2 d_n \right)^2 - \sinh^2 \left( 2 d_n \right) \right] + \\
-8 d_n + 8 d_n \cosh \left( 2 d_n \right) - 2 \sinh^3 \left( 2 d_n \right) + \\
2 \sinh \left( 2 d_n \right) - 4 \sinh \left( 2 d_n \right) \cosh \left( 2 d_n \right) + \\
2 \sinh \left( 2 d_n \right) \cosh^2 \left( 2 d_n \right) \right\} \]

(102)

where

\[ d_n = \frac{\eta d}{L} = \frac{\eta d}{L} = \alpha_n d \]
2. Smallest Buckling Load and Buckling Wavelength of the Fiber

From equation (72), we have

\[ P_{cr} = \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{L^2} EI I + \frac{k^2}{2\pi^2} \left( b^2 - a^2 \right) \sigma I \left( 1 + \frac{a}{L} \right)^2 \quad (103) \]

Let \( \frac{n\pi}{L} = \alpha_n \). Combination of equations (102) and (103) yields the expression for critical load

\[ P_{cr} = \alpha_n^2 EI I + \frac{\alpha_n}{\sigma_n} \sigma I \left( b^2 - a^2 \right) \sigma I \left( 1 + \frac{a}{L} \right)^2 \]

\[ \left[ -2 \sinh^3 \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) - 8 \alpha_n^2 + 8 \alpha_n d \cosh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \right] \]

\[ 4 \sinh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \cosh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) + 2 \sinh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \]

\[ 2 \sinh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \cosh^2 \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \right] + \pi \left( b^2 - a^2 \right) \sigma I \left( 1 + \frac{a}{L} \right)^2 \]

\[ (104) \]

Since the buckling load (104) depends on the wavelength

\[ \lambda_{cr} = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_n} \quad (105) \]

(104) must be minimized with respect to \( \alpha_n \) to obtain the critical wavelength corresponding to the smallest critical buckling load of the fiber

\[ \frac{\partial P_{cr}}{\partial \alpha_n} = 0 \quad (106) \]
The result of (106) is

\[ 2 \alpha_n^3 E_1^I I_1^I \left[ -2 \sinh^3 \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) - 8 \alpha_n d + 8 \alpha_n d \cosh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \right] - \]

\[ 4 \sinh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \cosh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) + 2 \sinh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) + \]

\[ 2 \sinh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \cosh^2 \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) + E_{II}^m \left( \left[A \alpha_n d \right]^2 \right) - \]

\[ 4 \alpha_n d \sinh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \cosh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) + \sinh^2 \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \].

\[ -2 \sinh^3 \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) - 8 \alpha_n d + 8 \alpha_n d \cosh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) - \]

\[ 4 \sinh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \cosh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) + 2 \sinh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) + \]

\[ 2 \sinh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \cosh^2 \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) - \alpha_n \left[ \left(2 \alpha_n d \right)^2 - \sinh^2 \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \right] \]

\[ -4d \sinh^2 \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \cosh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) - 8d + 12 d \cosh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) + \]

\[ 16d^2 \alpha_n \sinh \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) - 8d \sinh^2 \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) - 8d \cosh^2 \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) + \]

\[ 4d \cosh^3 \left( 2 \alpha_n d \right) \} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(107)}

From equation (107), we can determine \( \alpha_n \) as a function of \( \frac{E_1^I}{E_{II}^m} \), \( a \) and \( b \). In other words, we obtain the critical wavelength \( \lambda_{cr} = \frac{2m}{\alpha_j} \) as a function of the material constants, the diameter of the fibers, and the volume percentage of the fibers. Substituting this value of \( \alpha_j \) into equation (104), we then get the minimized critical buckling load, \( P_{cr \min} \).
3. Alternative Way of Finding the Strain Energy Due to Longitudinal Shear

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \sigma_{13}^2 \varepsilon_{13}^2 \, dv = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \sigma_{13}^2 \, dv \]  

(108)

The expression \( \sigma_{13}^2 \) from equation (84) has been introduced into (108). After performing the indicated operations, the results of strain energy due to longitudinal shear have been used to replace the last term of equation (103). In this manner, then, the smallest buckling load and corresponding wavelength were obtained.

\[ f_{cr} = a_n^2 E I + \frac{k^2 d a_n^{-3}}{2\pi a^2 G II} \]  

(109)

Y is an analytical expression given in Appendix IV.A (198a). The equation for \( a_n \) is given in Appendix IV.A (200), while \( k \) (a kind of a foundation constant) is given in equation (102).

B. Buckling of a Single Fiber in a Finite Matrix

1. Constant \( k \) for Single Fiber in a Finite Matrix

Assume load per unit length at the interface,

\[ p_1(k) = \sum_{n=1,2,\ldots}^{\infty} p_{1n} \sin \frac{nnz}{L} \]  

(110)
The boundary conditions are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{11}^s & = \sum_{m=1,2,\ldots}^{\infty} \frac{p_m \sin \frac{m\pi z}{a}}{m} \\
\sigma_{13}^s & = 0
\end{align*}
\]

at \( r = 0 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{11}^s & = 0 \\
\sigma_{13}^s & = 0
\end{align*}
\]

at \( r = c = b-a \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{11}^s & = 0 \\
\sigma_{13}^s & = 0
\end{align*}
\]

By applying the solutions for stresses, equations (83) and (84) the integration constants are established [Appendix IV.B, equations (201) through (204)]. These constants are used in the displacements and stresses to express the strain energy during loading

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int \sigma_{13}^{sI} \varepsilon_{13}^{sI} \, dv = \frac{1}{2} \int \sigma_{13}^{II} \, dv
\]  

written out in Appendix IV.B, equation (214), which contains the strain energy due to shear at the interface. The critical load was then computed:

\[
P_{cr}^{I} = \sigma_n^2 p^{I} + \frac{EII \sigma_n}{\alpha_n} \left[ d_n^2 - \sinh^2 d_n \right] \frac{1}{2}
\]

\[
\left\{ 2 \left[ d_n - \sinh d_n \right] \cosh d_n - 1 \right\}
\]

\[
E^{II} \tau_n \left[ 1 + \varepsilon^{II} \right] \frac{X}{\alpha_n} \left[ d_n^2 - \sinh^2 d_n \right] \frac{1}{2}
\]

\[
\left\{ 2 \left[ d_n - \sinh d_n \right] \cosh d_n - 1 \right\}^2
\]

The expression \( \sigma_n \), \( X \) in equation (113) can be found in equation (220) and (215) respectively, while \( d_n = \alpha_n d \).
C. Buckling of a Finite Single Fiber in an Infinite Matrix

If the matrix is infinite, then $b = \infty$. Thus, it is more convenient that the solution of the differential equation (79) takes the following form

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[ A_n \alpha_n^r + \overline{B}_n (\alpha_n^r) \right] e^{-\alpha_n^r} + \overline{D}_n (\alpha_n^r) e^{-\alpha_n^r} \sin (\alpha_n z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (114)

By routine procedures, the stresses and strains are found from the potential $\phi$.

$$k = \frac{p_1}{e_{II}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (115)

where $p_1$ is the lateral unit interfacial force and $e_{II}$ is the lateral displacement. The minimum critical buckling load and the corresponding wavelength are derived in (236) through (237), and are restated here:

$$P_{cr}^I = 1.9 \left[ \frac{E_{II} ma^{3 + \nu_{II}}}{E_{II} I_{II} \left( 1 - \nu_{II} \right)^2} \right]^{2/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (116)

The wavelength corresponding to the critical load is

$$\lambda_{cr} = \frac{2m}{\alpha_n} = \sqrt{\frac{16 \pi^2 E_{II} I_{II} \left( 1 - \nu_{II} \right)^2}{a E_{II} I_{II} \left( 3 + \nu_{II} \right)}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (117)

The numerical results of the buckling wavelength for a single fiber coincide with test results obtained from experiment performed during this contract. In Figures 42 through 60, the critical wavelength and the buckling loads of a multifiber are plotted as a function of $\frac{E_{II}}{E_{II}}$, the fiber radius $a$, with volume percentage content of the fiber as a parameter. In Figures 61 and 62, the wavelength and buckling load of a single fiber are plotted versus the radius of the fiber.

* Equations (224) through (226) and (229).
Figure 41. Critical Buckling Wavelength of the Fiber versus the Ratio of the Moduli
(a = 1 x 10^{-3} in.)

Figure 42. Critical Buckling Wavelength of the Fiber versus the Ratio of the Moduli
(a = 2.0 x 10^{-3} in.)
Figure 43. Critical Buckling Wavelength of the Fiber versus the Ratio of the Moduli (\( a = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{in.} \))

Figure 44. Critical Buckling Wavelength of the Fiber versus the Ratio of the Moduli (\( a = 3.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{in.} \))
Figure 45. Critical Buckling Wavelength of the Fiber versus Radius of the Fiber ($E_1 = 3.8 \times 10^6$ psi, $E_{II} = 3.8 \times 10^5$ psi, or $E/E_{II} = 10$)

Figure 46. Critical Buckling Wavelength of the Fiber versus Radius of the Fiber ($E_1 = 1 \times 10^7$ psi, $E_{II} = 3.8 \times 10^5$ psi, or $E/E_{II} = 26.4$)
Figure 47. Critical Buckling Wavelength of the Fiber versus Radius of the Fiber \((E_1 = 2.28 \times 10^6 \text{psi}, E_{II} = 3.38 \times 10^5 \text{psi}, \text{or} E_1/E_{II} = 60)\)

Figure 48. Critical Buckling Wavelength of the Fiber versus Radius of the Fiber \((E_1 = 3.8 \times 10^7 \text{psi}, E_{II} = 3.8 \times 10^5 \text{psi}, \text{or} E_1/E_{II} = 100)\)
Figure 49. Critical Buckling Wavelength of the Fiber versus Radius of the Fiber ($E^1 = 5.32 \times 10^7$ psi, $E_{II} = 3.8 \times 10^5$ psi, or $E^1/E_{II} = 140$)

Figure 50. Critical Buckling Wavelength of the Fiber versus Radius of the Fiber ($E^1 = 6 \times 10^7$ psi, $E_{II} = 3.8 \times 10^5$ psi, or $E^1/E_{II} = 158$)
Figure 51. Critical Buckling Load of the Fiber versus the Ratio of Young's Moduli ($a = 1 \times 10^{-3}$in.)

Figure 52. Critical Buckling Load of the Fiber versus the Ratio of Young's Moduli ($a = 2 \times 10^{-3}$in.)
Figure 53. Critical Buckling Load of the Fiber versus the Ratio of Young's Moduli
(a = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ in.})

\[ \left( \frac{P_c}{\pi E I_1 L_2^2} \right) \times 10^{-5} \]

- \( V_I = 64\% \)
- \( V_I = 70\% \)
- \( V_I = 80\% \)

Figure 54. Critical Buckling Load of the Fiber versus the Ratio of Young's Moduli
(a = 3.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ in.})

\[ \left( \frac{P_c}{\pi E I_1 L_2^2} \right) \times 10^{-5} \]

- \( V_I = 64\% \)
- \( V_I = 70\% \)
- \( V_I = 80\% \)
Figure 55. Critical Buckling Load of the Fiber versus Radius of the Fiber ($E^I = 3.8 \times 10^6$ psi, $E^{II} = 3.8 \times 10^5$ psi, or $E^I/E^{II} = 10$)

Figure 56. Critical Buckling Load of the Fiber versus Radius of the Fiber ($E^I = 1 \times 10^7$ psi, $E^{II} = 3.8 \times 10^5$ psi, or $E^I/E^{II} = 26.4$)
Figure 57. Critical Buckling Load of the Fiber versus Radius of the Fiber \((E^I = 2.28 \times 10^7\text{psi},\ E^I/E^II = 60)\)

Figure 58. Critical Buckling Load of the Fiber versus Radius of the Fiber \((E^I = 3.8 \times 10^7\text{psi},\ E^I/E^II = 100)\)
Figure 59. Critical Buckling Load of the Fiber versus Radius of the Fiber ($E_1 = 5.32 \times 10^7$ psi, $E_{II} = 3.8 \times 10^5$ psi, or $E_1/E_{II} = 140$)

Figure 60. Critical Buckling Load of the Fiber versus Radius of the Fiber ($E_1 = 6 \times 10^7$ psi, $E_{II} = 3.8 \times 10^5$ psi, or $E_1/E_{II} = 158$)
Figure 61. Critical Buckling Wavelength versus Radius of the Fiber

Figure 62. Smallest Critical Buckling Load of the Fiber versus Radius of the Fiber
D. Buckling of a Multifiber Composite Due to Resin Shrinkage

In this treatment, the energy was derived for a fiber resin cell. The equilibrium equation formulated as an energy variational problem derived in Appendix IV.D is

\[
\delta \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ E^{II} A^{II} + E^{II} A^{II} \right] \varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ E^{I} I + E^{II} I^{II} \right] \varphi^2 \right. \left. \right\} \, dz = \sum_{n=1}^N F_1^{(n)} \delta \ell_1^{(n)} \tag{118}
\]

with the restraining condition

\[
\int_0^L \left\{ (1 + \varepsilon) \cos \varphi - (1 + \beta) \right\} \, dz = 0 \tag{119}
\]

In equation (118), the first brackets contain the compression energy in the axial direction; the second, the bending energy of the resin and the fiber. The third expression in (118) is the shrinkage energy of the resin. The right-hand expression in (118) is the energy introduced by the external force. The restraining condition (119) is derived from a simplifying assumption that the longitudinal change of the matrix is equal to that of the fiber. It must be emphasized that in this manner, the shear produced in longitudinal direction during buckling is neglected to simplify the resulting Lagrange equation.

The Lagrange equations corresponding to (118) are

\[
\vec{P}_e + \vec{R} + \lambda \cos \varphi = 0 \tag{120}
\]

\[
\vec{Q}_e'' + \lambda (1 + \varepsilon) \sin \varphi = 0 \tag{121}
\]
The factor $\lambda$ is the Lagrange multiplier expressed in Appendix IV.D, equation (274). From equations (120) and (121), the following differential for $\phi$ is obtained:

$$\bar{Q}\phi'' + \lambda \left( 1 - \frac{R}{P} - \frac{\lambda}{P} \cos \phi \right) \sin \phi = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (122)

The characteristic equation of (122) and the derivations necessary are given in Appendix IV.D* and are restated here:

$$\varphi = -\frac{3}{k} \left( \frac{E(\phi;k) - F(\phi;k)}{E(k) - K(k)} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (123)

To obtain the displacement of the fiber axis, $\phi$ has to be substituted into equation (351) of Appendix IV.D. The wavelength is obtained by equation (302), or by

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi \sqrt{2P Q}}{\sqrt{\lambda (P - R - \lambda)}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (124)

For small amplitudes of the maximum slope $\phi$ of the buckling curve, the Lagrange multiplier becomes, for the first approximation,**

$$\lambda = - (R + \beta P)$$  \hspace{1cm} (125)

This is a reasonably good solution for the eigenvalue $\lambda$. By calculating the second approximation, we must use equation (350) in Appendix IV.D. In this case, $\lambda$ is the force exerted upon the fiber by the resin.

In spite of the fact that the interfacial shear was neglected, the results of this analysis coincide with the results obtained by Rosen (Reference 6). For $E_1 = 10 \times 10^6$ psi and $E_II = 3.8 \times 10^6$ psi, where radius $a = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$, half distance of the fibers $b = 2.976 \times 10^{-3}$, and the shrinkage $\beta = 1\%$.

* Equations (275) through (388).

** Reference equation (393).
The values in (124) and (125) are

\[ \bar{F} = \bar{A}E + A_E = 199.56 \]
\[ \bar{R} = E \bar{A} \beta = 4.56 \times 10^{-2} \]
\[ \lambda = -(\bar{R} + \beta \bar{F}) = 1.95 \]
\[ \bar{Q} = E \bar{I} + E \bar{II} = 3.17 \times 10^{-4} \]

The computed wavelength is

\[ z_w = 0.114 \text{ in.} \]

E. Buckling of Multifibers in a Matrix Under Axial Load With the Matrix Treated as a Three-Dimensional Cylinder

The critical buckling load of the fiber was found by minimizing total potential energy with respect to the amplitude of the assumed deflection curve of the fiber* and is rewritten as follows:

\[ p_{cr}^I = \frac{2}{\alpha_n} E \bar{I} I + \frac{k}{a_n^2 + \pi \left( \frac{a^2}{b^2} - a^2 \right) \bar{G} \bar{I} \left( 1 + \frac{a}{d} \right)^2} \]

where \( k \) is the unit interfacial force per unit lateral deflection; i.e.,

\[ k = \frac{P_1}{I_1} \]

\( \alpha_n \) is inversely proportional to the critical wavelength. Specifically,

\[ \lambda_{cr} = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_n} \]

* Equations (70) through (72).
The displacement and stress fields in the matrix are determined by solving a three-dimensional elasticity problem (see Appendix IV.E). To obtain critical wavelength, we then take differentiation of $P_{	ext{cr}}$ with respect to $\sigma_n$ (equation 437) after substitution of $k$ (equation 436) into equation (103). The expression for finding the value of $\sigma_j$ is given in equation (438). Therefore, the smallest critical buckling load can be found from equation (437) with the introduction of critical wavelength.
PART V - SHORT-FIBER STUDIES

During the contractual period, exploratory work was performed to establish any potential existing in the utilization of short fibers as reinforcing material in composites. Limited experiments were made to determine the elastic moduli and strength in compression, and the fatigue behavior of unidirectional composite in tension. The specimens were comprised of continuous glass fibers and short boron fibers oriented unidirectionally. The results of these exploratory experiments are reported in Appendix V.

The results of static tests in compression were compared with results available from tests made on pure glass fiber and pure boron fiber composite, and it has been concluded that the modulus of a composite can be adjusted to any level between that of the basic reinforcing constituents merely by varying their volumetric relationship at a constant resin content.

The primary purpose of the fatigue study was to determine whether the effect of the end of short boron fibers is one of destroying the matrix material and disintegrating the composite. According to the test results, it appears that this might indeed be the case. When comparing short fiber boron composite with continuous glass fiber composite and with 7075 aluminum, the very limited test results indicate that, although the short boron fiber is somewhat better in fatigue behavior than aluminum, it is inferior to continuous glass. However, an additional factor which must be considered is that due to the higher modulus, the deflections under load are smaller than those of a pure glass fiber composite.

An analysis of elasticity has not yet been applied to the short fiber composite. However, the experimental results appear to justify a strict analytical investigation of this type of material.
APPENDIX I

PARAMETRIC STUDIES

ANALYTICAL DISCUSSION

The following conditions and assumptions* pertain to the computer program which was developed to determine the three-dimensional stress, strain, and displacement fields in a composite. Also, a discussion and listing of the computer program are given at the end of this Appendix.

It was assumed that the composite consists of identical hexagonal elements. Each element has a centrally located fiber surrounded by resin as shown in Figure 62. Because of the symmetry involved, the following domains of the polar coordinates, $r$, $\theta$, $z$, are sufficient to determine the displacement field in the hexagonal element.

*These conditions and assumptions are also presented in Reference 1.
For the fiber:

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq r \leq a \\
0 & \leq \phi \leq 30^\circ \\
0 & \leq \zeta \leq \ell
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (126)

For the resin:

\[
\begin{align*}
a & \leq r \leq \frac{b}{\cos \phi} \\
0 & \leq \phi \leq 30^\circ \\
0 & \leq \zeta \leq \ell
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (127)

The distance, \( b \), is given in terms of the fiber volumetric content, \( V^f \):

\[
b = a \left[ 0.9069/\sqrt{V^f} \right]^{1/2}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (128)

At the interface, \( r = a \), the displacements, \( \xi \), and appropriate stresses, \( \sigma \), were assumed to be continuous:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_i^I(a, \phi, \zeta) &= \xi_i^H(a, \phi, \zeta) \\
\sigma_{ii}^I(a, \phi, \zeta) &= \sigma_{ii}^H(a, \phi, \zeta) & \quad i = 1, 2, 3
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (129)

At the hexagon boundary during shrinkage and axial loading, the plane forming the hexagon column containing BD and parallel to the z-axis or fiber axis remains a plane because it must be shared by the adjacent hexagonal element.

Relating the hexagonal elements in Figure 62 to a rectangular coordinate system \( x, y, \) and \( z \), there is complete symmetry about the \( x = b \) plane. Therefore, the shear stresses must vanish in this plane so that

\[
\sigma_{xy} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{xz} = 0
\]
In addition to the vanishing shear, the normal displacement of \( BD \) relative to coordinates at point A must be

\[ \xi_x = \epsilon_a. \]

For the shrinkage case, \( \epsilon_a / b \) would represent the total lateral composite shrinkage. For the loaded case, it would represent the total lateral expansion or contraction. Specifying the normal displacement and shear stresses at the hexagon boundary, however, has introduced another unknown: \( \epsilon_a \). An additional equation is obtained by considering that the total normal stress on the hexagon boundary plane \( x = b \) in Figure 62 has to balance the external side loading. Because these side loads are zero for the present case, the normal stress must satisfy the equation

\[ \int_0^b \int_0^{\pi/6} \sigma_{xx} \, dy \, dz = 0. \]

The conditions for \( \sigma_{xx}, \sigma_{xy}, \) and \( \xi_x \) on the hexagon boundary must be satisfied for all values of \( y \) and \( z \) such that

\[ 0 \leq y \leq b \tan \frac{\pi}{6}, \quad 0 \leq z \leq l. \]

The corresponding values in cylindrical coordinates become

\[ r = \frac{b}{\cos \phi}, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq \frac{\pi}{6}, \quad 0 \leq z \leq l. \]

The relation between the stresses, \( \sigma_{xx}, \sigma_{xy}, \) and \( \sigma_{xz}, \) and displacement, \( \xi_x, \) with reference to rectangular Cartesian coordinates and the stresses and displacements in polar coordinates are

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{xx} &= \sigma_{xx} \cos^2 \phi - \sigma_{xz} \sin 2\phi + \sigma_{zz} \sin^2 \phi \\
\sigma_{xy} &= \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_{xx} - \sigma_{xz}) \sin 2\phi - \sigma_{zz} \cos 2\phi \\
\sigma_{xz} &= \sigma_{zz} \cos \phi - \sigma_{zz} \sin \phi \\
\xi_x &= \xi_x \cos \phi - \xi_z \sin \phi
\end{align*}
\]

(130)
The boundary conditions at the ends \( z = \pm l \) of the composite are

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{33}^I (r, \phi, \pm l) &= \sigma_0^I \\
\sigma_{33}^H (r, \phi, \pm l) &= \sigma_0^H
\end{align*}
\]

Additionally, the following shear conditions at the composite ends are fulfilled.

\[
\begin{align*}
\int \int \sigma_{3x}^I (r, \phi, \pm l) r \, dr \, d\phi &= 0 \\
\int \int \sigma_{3y}^I (r, \phi, \pm l) r \, dr \, d\phi &= 0 \\
\int \int \sigma_{3x}^H (r, \phi, \pm l) r \, dr \, d\phi &= 0 \\
\int \int \sigma_{3y}^H (r, \phi, \pm l) r \, dr \, d\phi &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

For the shrinkage case, \( \sigma_0^I \) and \( \sigma_0^{II} \) are zero, and the shrinkage coefficients \( \beta^I \) and \( \beta^{II} \) are not zero. For the end loading case, \( \beta^I \) and \( \beta^{II} \) are zero and \( \sigma_0^I \) and \( \sigma_0^{II} \) have constant values. By superposition of the two cases, the total stress is obtained.

The materials were assumed to be individually isotropic linear material. The general assumptions for the classical theory of elasticity were used. From the many possibilities, the approach toward determining the solutions of the Navier equations by potentials connected with the names of Boussinesq, Papkovich, and Neuber has been selected. These general and complete solutions by potential functions for three-dimensional problems are given below in vector form to facilitate the resulting transformation to cylindrical coordinates.
In cylindrical coordinates, the vector quantities are expressed as
\[
\xi = \xi_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \xi_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \xi_3 \mathbf{e}_3
\]
\[
\mathbf{P} = P_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + P_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + P_3 \mathbf{e}_3
\]
\[
\mathbf{P} = n \mathbf{e}_1 + \varphi \mathbf{e}_3
\]
and the operators become
\[
\nabla = \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \mathbf{e}_3
\]
\[
\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2}
\]

When body forces are neglected, the solutions in terms of the vector components are
\[
\xi_1 = P_1 - \frac{1}{\eta (1-\nu)} \frac{2}{n} S
\]
\[
\xi_2 = P_2 - \frac{1}{\eta (1-\nu)} \frac{1}{n} \frac{2}{\varphi} S
\]
\[
\xi_3 = P_3 - \frac{1}{\eta (1-\nu)} \frac{2}{\varphi} S
\]
\[
S = n P_1 + \varphi P_2 + P_0
\]
and

\[ \nabla^2 [P_1 e_1 + P_2 e_2 + P_3 e_3] = \text{null vector} \]
\[ \nabla^2 P_0 = 0 \]  \hfill (134)

Since \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) are functions of \( \phi \), it is more convenient to relate \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) to the unit vectors \( e_x \) and \( e_y \) associated with rectangular coordinates.

\[ e_1 = e_x \cos \phi + e_y \sin \phi \]
\[ e_2 = -e_x \sin \phi + e_y \cos \phi \]
\[ e_3 = e_3 \]  \hfill (135)

Then, by substituting (135) into the first equation of (134),

\[ \nabla^2 [(P_1 \cos \phi - P_2 \sin \phi) e_x + (P_1 \sin \phi + P_2 \cos \phi) e_y + P_3 e_3] = \text{null vector} \]

Since \( e_x \), \( e_y \), and \( e_z \) are independent of position, then

\[ \nabla^2 (P_1 \cos \phi - P_2 \sin \phi) = 0 \]
\[ \nabla^2 (P_1 \sin \phi + P_2 \cos \phi) = 0 \]  \hfill (136)

The solution of equations (136) and the last of (134) can be written in terms of the solution to Laplace's equation.

\[ \nabla^2 L_i = 0 \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \]  \hfill (137)

where

\[ L_0 = P_0 \]
\[ L_1 = P_1 \cos \phi - P_2 \sin \phi \]
\[ L_2 = P_1 \sin \phi + P_2 \cos \phi \]
\[ L_3 = P_3 \]  \hfill (138)
and the second and third equations of (138) can be solved for \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) so that \( P_i \) (\( i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \)) can be written in terms of \( L_i \) (\( i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \)).

\[
\begin{align*}
P_0 &= L_0 \\
P_1 &= L_1 \cos \phi + L_2 \sin \phi \\
P_2 &= -L_1 \sin \phi + L_2 \cos \phi \\
P_3 &= L_3
\end{align*}
\]

(139)

The strains become

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{11} &= \frac{2}{2\pi} \phi \xi_1 \\
\sigma_{22} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{2}{2\pi} \phi \xi_2 + \frac{1}{\pi} \xi_1 \\
\sigma_{33} &= \frac{2}{3\pi} \xi_3 \\
\sigma_{12} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{2}{2\pi} \phi \xi_1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \xi_2 - \frac{1}{\pi} \xi_2 \right) \\
\sigma_{13} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2}{3\pi} \xi_3 + \frac{2}{3\pi} \xi_1 \right) \\
\sigma_{23} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2}{3\pi} \xi_2 + \frac{2}{3\pi} \xi_3 \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(140)

and the stresses are

\[
\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\nu E}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)} \delta_{ij} \varepsilon + \frac{E}{1-\nu} \varepsilon_{ij}
\]

(141)

Therefore, from the solution of (137), the Papkovitch functions can be determined by (139), then the general displacements by (133), and then the strains and stresses by (140) and (141) respectively.

**DISPLACEMENT AND STRESS EQUATIONS**

After deriving the general displacements and stresses, equations from (133) through (141), some of the symmetry and boundary conditions were used to reduce the equations to the following form.*

*The argument of all the Bessel functions is \( a_k r \).
The displacements for the fiber

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_I &= \sum_{n=1,12, \ldots} \sum_{k=1,3, \ldots} \left( A_{nk}^I \left( \frac{q+q_I z}{q(1-v^2)} I_{n-1} - \frac{d_k}{q(1-v^2)} I_n \right) + \\
&\quad A_{nk}^I \left( \frac{q+q_I z}{q(1-v^2)} I_{n+1} - \frac{d_k}{q(1-v^2)} I_n \right) + \\
&\quad I_{nk} \left( -\frac{d_k}{q(1-v^2)} I_{n+1} - \frac{n}{q(1-v^2)} I_n \right) \right) \cos \alpha_k \sin \eta \phi + \\
&\quad \sum_{k=1,3, \ldots} \left[ A_{210k}^I \left( I_1 - \frac{d_k}{q(1-v^2)} I_0 \right) + \frac{d_k}{q(1-v^2)} I_1 \right] \cos \alpha_k \psi + \\
&\quad \frac{I_{210}}{2(1-v^2)} r
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_2 &= \sum_{n=1,12, \ldots} \sum_{k=1,3, \ldots} \left( A_{nk}^I \left( \frac{-q+q_I z}{q(1-v^2)} I_{n-1} + \frac{I_{210}}{2(1-v^2)} I_{n+1} + \\
&\quad I_{nk} \frac{n}{q(1-v^2)} I_n \right) \right) \cos \alpha_k \sin \eta \phi
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_3 &= \sum_{n=1,12, \ldots} \sum_{k=1,3, \ldots} \left( A_{nk}^I \frac{d_k}{q(1-v^2)} I_{n-1} + A_{nk}^I \frac{d_k}{q(1-v^2)} I_{n+1} + \\
&\quad + A_{nk}^I \frac{d_k}{q(1-v^2)} I_n \right) \sin \alpha_k \phi + \\
&\quad \sum_{k=1,3, \ldots} \left[ A_{210k}^I \frac{d_k}{q(1-v^2)} I_1 + \frac{d_k}{q(1-v^2)} I_0 \right] \sin \alpha_k \psi + \frac{2(1-v^2)}{2(1-v^2)} \frac{\partial I}{\partial \theta}
\end{align*}
\]

(142)
The stresses for the fiber

\[
\frac{1 + \nu^2}{E^*} \sigma^T_{\nu} = \sum_{n=6/12}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1/3}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathcal{A}_{\nu k} \left[ \left( \frac{\nu + \nu^2 - n}{n - \nu} \right) \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \right] \alpha_k I_n + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \nu^2}{n - \nu} \alpha_k I_n \right\} + \\
\mathcal{A}_{2 \nu k} \left\{ \left( \frac{\nu + \nu^2 - n}{n - \nu} \right) \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \right\} \alpha_k I_n + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \nu^2}{n - \nu} \alpha_k I_n \right\} + \\
\alpha_{\nu k} \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \alpha_k I_n + \left( \frac{n(n + 1)}{(n - \nu) n^2} \right) \cos \alpha_3 \sin \theta + \\
\frac{1 + \nu^2}{E^*} \sigma^T_{\nu} = \sum_{n=6/12}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1/3}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathcal{A}_{\nu k} \left[ \left( \frac{\nu + \nu^2 - n}{n - \nu} \right) \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \right] \alpha_k I_n + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \nu^2}{n - \nu} \alpha_k I_n \right\} + \\
\mathcal{A}_{2 \nu k} \left\{ \left( \frac{\nu + \nu^2 - n}{n - \nu} \right) \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \right\} \alpha_k I_n + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \nu^2}{n - \nu} \alpha_k I_n \right\} + \\
\alpha_{\nu k} \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \alpha_k I_n + \left( \frac{n(n + 1)}{(n - \nu) n^2} \right) \cos \alpha_3 \sin \theta + \\
\frac{1 + \nu^2}{E^*} \sigma^T_{\nu} = \sum_{n=6/12}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1/3}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathcal{A}_{\nu k} \left[ \left( \frac{\nu + \nu^2 - n}{n - \nu} \right) \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \right] \alpha_k I_n + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \nu^2}{n - \nu} \alpha_k I_n \right\} + \\
\mathcal{A}_{2 \nu k} \left\{ \left( \frac{\nu + \nu^2 - n}{n - \nu} \right) \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \right\} \alpha_k I_n + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \nu^2}{n - \nu} \alpha_k I_n \right\} + \\
\alpha_{\nu k} \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \alpha_k I_n + \left( \frac{n(n + 1)}{(n - \nu) n^2} \right) \cos \alpha_3 \sin \theta + \\
\frac{1 + \nu^2}{E^*} \sigma^T_{\nu} = \sum_{n=6/12}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1/3}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathcal{A}_{\nu k} \left[ \left( \frac{\nu + \nu^2 - n}{n - \nu} \right) \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \right] \alpha_k I_n + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \nu^2}{n - \nu} \alpha_k I_n \right\} + \\
\mathcal{A}_{2 \nu k} \left\{ \left( \frac{\nu + \nu^2 - n}{n - \nu} \right) \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \right\} \alpha_k I_n + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \nu^2}{n - \nu} \alpha_k I_n \right\} + \\
\alpha_{\nu k} \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \alpha_k I_n + \left( \frac{n(n + 1)}{(n - \nu) n^2} \right) \cos \alpha_3 \sin \theta + \\
\frac{1 + \nu^2}{E^*} \sigma^T_{\nu} = \sum_{n=6/12}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1/3}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathcal{A}_{\nu k} \left[ \left( \frac{\nu + \nu^2 - n}{n - \nu} \right) \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \right] \alpha_k I_n + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \nu^2}{n - \nu} \alpha_k I_n \right\} + \\
\mathcal{A}_{2 \nu k} \left\{ \left( \frac{\nu + \nu^2 - n}{n - \nu} \right) \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \right\} \alpha_k I_n + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \nu^2}{n - \nu} \alpha_k I_n \right\} + \\
\alpha_{\nu k} \left( \frac{\nu^2}{n - \nu} \right) \alpha_k I_n + \left( \frac{n(n + 1)}{(n - \nu) n^2} \right) \cos \alpha_3 \sin \theta + \
93
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ A_{1nk}^T \left(-\frac{a_k}{2} I_1 + \frac{a_k^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} I_0 \right) + A_{0k}^T \frac{\alpha_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} I_l \right\} \sin \alpha_k \beta \sin \phi
\]

\[
\frac{\nu^2}{E^2} \alpha_{23}^T = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ A_{1nk}^T \left(\frac{a_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} I_{n-1} - \frac{1-2\nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k I_n \right) + A_{2nk}^T \frac{\alpha_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} I_1 \right\} \cos \alpha_k \beta \sin \phi
\]

\[
\frac{\nu^2}{E^2} \alpha_{13}^T = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ A_{1nk}^T \frac{2-2\nu^2 \pi_n}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k I_{n-1} + A_{2nk}^T \frac{2+2\nu^2 - \pi_n}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k I_1 \right\} \sin \alpha_k \beta \sin \phi
\]

\[
\frac{\nu^2}{E^2} \alpha_{33}^T = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ A_{1nk}^T \left(\frac{a_k^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} I_{n-1} + \frac{\alpha_k \nu^2}{2(1-\nu^2)} I_n \right) + A_{2nk}^T \frac{\alpha_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} I_1 \right\} \cos \alpha_k \beta \sin \phi
\]

\[
\frac{\nu^2}{E^2} \alpha_{10}^T = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ A_{2nk}^T \left(\frac{a_k^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} I_{n-1} + \frac{\alpha_k \nu^2}{2(1-\nu^2)} I_n \right) + A_{0k}^T \frac{\alpha_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} I_0 \right\} \cos \alpha_k \beta \sin \phi
\]

\[
\frac{\nu^2}{E^2} \beta_{10}^T + \frac{1}{2} C_0^T + \frac{1+\nu^2}{1-2\nu^2} \beta^T
\]

(143)
The displacements for the resin

\[
\xi_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ A_{\alpha nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) I_{n-1} + A_{\alpha nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) I_{n+1} \right] + B_{\alpha nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) K_{n-1} + B_{\beta nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) K_{n+1} + \\
\alpha_{\alpha nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) I_n + \beta_{\alpha nk} \left( \frac{q_n^2}{4-n^2} \right) K_n \right] \cos \phi + \\
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ A_{\alpha nk} \left( I_n + \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) I_{n+1} \right] + B_{\beta nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) K_{n+1} + \\
\alpha_{\alpha nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) I_n + B_{\beta nk} \left( \frac{q_n^2}{4-n^2} \right) K_n \right] \cos \phi + A_{\alpha nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) I_{n+1} + B_{\beta nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) K_{n+1} \right]
\]

\[
\xi_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ A_{\beta nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) I_{n-1} + A_{\beta nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) I_{n+1} \right] + B_{\beta nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) K_{n-1} + B_{\beta nk} \left( \frac{q_n^2}{4-n^2} \right) K_{n+1} + \\
\alpha_{\beta nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) I_n + B_{\beta nk} \left( \frac{q_n^2}{4-n^2} \right) K_n \right] \cos \phi + \sin \phi
\]

\[
\xi_3 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ A_{\gamma nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) I_{n-1} + A_{\gamma nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) I_{n+1} \right] + B_{\gamma nk} \left( \frac{q_n^2}{4-n^2} \right) K_{n-1} + B_{\gamma nk} \left( \frac{q_n^2}{4-n^2} \right) K_{n+1} + \\
\alpha_{\gamma nk} \left( \frac{4-n^2}{q_n^2} \right) I_n + B_{\gamma nk} \left( \frac{q_n^2}{4-n^2} \right) K_n \right] \cos \phi + \sin \phi
\]
\[ a_{nk} \frac{\alpha_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} \mathbf{I}_n + \beta_{nk} \frac{\alpha_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} K_n \] \sin a_k \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1-2\nu^2}{2(1-\nu^2)} \frac{\pi}{2} \] \]}

The stresses for the resin

\[ \frac{1+\nu^2}{E} \sigma_\nu = \sum_{n=1, 2, 3} \left\{ \mathbf{A}_{\nu n k} \left( \frac{(q+\nu^2) n}{q(1-\nu^2)} \right) \mathbf{I}_n + \frac{3-2\nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k \mathbf{I}_n \right\} + \mathbf{B}_{\nu n k} \left( \left( \frac{q+\nu^2} {q(1-\nu^2)} \right) \frac{a_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} \right) \mathbf{I}_n + \frac{3-2\nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k \mathbf{K}_n \right\} + \mathbf{B}_{\nu n k} \left( \left( \frac{q+\nu^2} {q(1-\nu^2)} \right) \frac{a_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} \right) \mathbf{K}_n + \frac{3-2\nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k \mathbf{K}_n \right\} \times \cos a_k \frac{\pi}{2} \cos \theta \] + \sum_{k=1, 2, 3} \left\{ \mathbf{A}_{\nu k} \left( \mathbf{I}_k - \frac{(q+\nu^2) n}{q(1-\nu^2)} \right) \mathbf{I}_n \right\} + \mathbf{B}_{\nu k} \left( \left( \frac{q+\nu^2} {q(1-\nu^2)} \right) \frac{a_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} \right) \mathbf{I}_n + \frac{3-2\nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k \mathbf{K}_n \right\} + \mathbf{B}_{\nu k} \left( \left( \frac{q+\nu^2} {q(1-\nu^2)} \right) \frac{a_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} \right) \mathbf{K}_n + \frac{3-2\nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k \mathbf{K}_n \right\} \times \cos a_k \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{2(1-\nu^2)} \mathbf{A}_{210} + \frac{\nu^2}{2(1-\nu^2)} \mathbf{C}_0 - \mathbf{B}_{210} \frac{1}{q(1-\nu^2)} + \frac{1}{2(1-\nu^2)} \mathbf{B}_{210} \]
\[
\frac{1 + \frac{2}{\mu_0}}{E} \sigma_{22}^I = \sum_{\pi = 6, 12}^{\infty} \sum_{k \leq 3} \left\{ \frac{\sigma_{22}^I}{\alpha_{22}^I} \left[ -\frac{4 + 4 \mu_0 + \frac{\pi}{\lambda}}{4(1 - \mu_2)} \right] I_{\pi-1} + \frac{2 + 2 \nu + \frac{\pi}{\lambda}}{q(1 - \mu_2)} \alpha_4 I_{\pi} \right\} + \\
A_{2\nu k}^I \left[ -\frac{4 + 4 \mu_0 + \frac{\pi}{\lambda}}{4(1 - \mu_2)} \right] I_{\pi+1} \left[ \frac{2 - 2 \nu + \frac{\pi}{\lambda}}{q(1 - \mu_2)} \alpha_k I_{\pi} \right] + \\
B_{2\nu k}^I \left[ -\frac{4 + 4 \mu_0 + \frac{\pi}{\lambda}}{4(1 - \mu_2)} \right] K_{\pi+1} \left[ \frac{2 - 2 \nu + \frac{\pi}{\lambda}}{q(1 - \mu_2)} \alpha_k K_{\pi} \right] + \\
B_{2\nu k}^I \left[ -\frac{4 + 4 \mu_0 + \frac{\pi}{\lambda}}{4(1 - \mu_2)} \right] K_{\pi+1} \left[ \frac{2 + 2 \nu + \frac{\pi}{\lambda}}{q(1 - \mu_2)} \alpha_k K_{\pi} \right] + \\
\alpha_{2k} \left[ \frac{\frac{\pi}{\lambda}}{q(1 - \mu_2)} \right] I_{\pi+1} \left[ \frac{\pi(\pi - 1)}{4(1 - \mu_2)} \right] I_{\pi} \right\} + \\
\beta_{2k} \left[ \frac{\frac{\pi}{\lambda}}{q(1 - \mu_2)} \right] K_{\pi+1} \left[ \frac{\pi(\pi - 1)}{4(1 - \mu_2)} \right] K_{\pi} \right\} \cos(\angle \text{beam})
\]
\[
\frac{1 + \nu}{E_x} \sigma_{13} = \sum_{n = 6, 12} \sum_{k = 1, 3} \left\{ R_{nk} \left[ \frac{-2 + 2 \nu - n}{4 (1 - \nu^2)} \alpha_k I_{n+1} + \frac{\alpha_k^2 \rho}{4 (1 - \nu^2)} I_n \right] + \right.
\]

\[
B_{nk} \left[ \frac{-2 + 2 \nu - n}{4 (1 - \nu^2)} \alpha_k K_{n+1} - \frac{\alpha_k^2 \rho}{4 (1 - \nu^2)} K_n \right] + \right.
\]

\[
\sum_{k = 1, 3} \left[ R_{nk} \left[ - \frac{\alpha_k}{2} I + \frac{\alpha_k^2 \rho}{4 (1 - \nu^2)} I_n \right] + B_{nk} \left[ - \frac{\alpha_k}{2} K - \frac{\alpha_k^2 \rho}{4 (1 - \nu^2)} K_n \right] + \right.
\]

\[
\frac{\alpha_k^2}{4 (1 - \nu^2)} I - B_{nk} \frac{\alpha_k^2}{4 (1 - \nu^2)} K \right\} \sin \alpha_k \gamma \].
\[
\frac{1 + \nu^2}{E^2} \sigma_{22}^\Pi = \sum_{n=6,12}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1,3} \left[ A_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{q + q \nu^2 + 2(n-1)}{q(1-\nu^2)} \right) I_{n+1} - \frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k I_n \right] + \\
A_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{q + q \nu^2 + 2(n-1)}{q(1-\nu^2)} \right) I_{n+1} - \frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k I_n \right] + \\
B_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{q + q \nu^2 + 2(n-1)}{q(1-\nu^2)} \right) K_{n+1} + \frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k K_n \right] + \\
B_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{q + q \nu^2 + 2(n-1)}{q(1-\nu^2)} \right) K_{n+1} + \frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k K_n \right] + \\
\alpha_{n k} \left[ -\frac{\alpha_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} I_{n+1} + \frac{n(n-1)}{q(1-\nu^2)^2} I_n \right] + \\
\beta_{n k} \left[ \frac{\alpha_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} K_{n+1} + \frac{n(n-1)}{q(1-\nu^2)^2} K_n \right] \cos \phi + \\
\sum_{k=1,3}^{\infty} \left[ A_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k I_n \right] + B_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k K_n \right] + \\
\alpha_{n k} \left[ -\frac{\alpha_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} I_{n+1} + \frac{n(n-1)}{q(1-\nu^2)^2} I_n \right] \cos \phi + \\
\beta_{n k} \left[ \frac{\alpha_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} K_{n+1} + \frac{n(n-1)}{q(1-\nu^2)^2} K_n \right] \cos \phi + \\
\frac{1 + \nu^2}{E^2} \sigma_{22}^\Pi = \sum_{n=6,12}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1,3} \left[ A_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k I_{n+1} + A_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k I_{n+1} + \\
A_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k I_{n+1} + A_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k I_{n+1} + \\
A_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k I_{n+1} + A_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k I_{n+1} + \\
B_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k K_{n+1} + B_{\Pi n k} \left( -\frac{1 - 2 \nu^2}{q(1-\nu^2)} \alpha_k K_{n+1} + \\
\alpha_{n k} \left[ -\frac{\alpha_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} I_{n+1} + \frac{n(n-1)}{q(1-\nu^2)^2} I_n \right] \cos \phi + \\
\beta_{n k} \left[ \frac{\alpha_k}{q(1-\nu^2)} K_{n+1} + \frac{n(n-1)}{q(1-\nu^2)^2} K_n \right] \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
\right) \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
\right) \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
\right) \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
\right) \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
\right) \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
\right) \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
\right) \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
\right) \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
\right) \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
\right) \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
\right) \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
\right) \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
\right) \cos \phi + \\
\sin \phi \cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \phi,
Equations (142) through (145) were then programmed in order to determine the remaining integration constants and then the displacement, strain, and stress fields.
APPENDIX II

THREE-DIMENSIONAL LOAD TRANSFER AMONG FIBERS IN A MATRIX

Displacements which satisfy symmetry conditions (1) can be written as:

\[
(1-\gamma)\sigma = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{12m} \left( (3-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n + B_{12m} \left( (3-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) \right) + A_{21m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n \right) + B_{21m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) \right] \cos \varphi \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{32m} \left( (3-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n \right) - B_{32m} \left( (3-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) \right] \sin \varphi \cos \mu \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{42m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n \right) + B_{42m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) \right] \sin \varphi \sin \mu \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{52m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n \right) - B_{52m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) \right] \sin \varphi \cos \mu \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{62m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n \right) + B_{62m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) \right] \sin \varphi \sin \mu \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{72m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n \right) - B_{72m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) \right] \cos \varphi \sin \mu
\]

\[= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{12m} \left( (3-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n \right) + A_{21m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n \right) + B_{12m} \left( (3-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) + B_{21m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) \right] \cos \varphi \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{32m} \left( (3-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n \right) - B_{32m} \left( (3-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) \right] \sin \varphi \cos \mu \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{42m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n \right) + B_{42m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) \right] \sin \varphi \sin \mu \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{52m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n \right) - B_{52m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) \right] \sin \varphi \cos \mu \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{62m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n \right) + B_{62m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) \right] \sin \varphi \sin \mu \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{72m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{10} - M_r I_n \right) - B_{72m} \left( (4-4\nu) I_{20} - M_r I_n \right) \right] \cos \varphi \sin \mu
\]

(146)
4(1-\nu)g_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ A_{1n} (2n-4+4\nu)I_{n-1} + A_{2n} (4-4\nu) + B_{1n} (n-4+4\nu)K_{n+1} + B_{2n} (4-4\nu)K_{n+1} \right\} \cos \mu z \\
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{n}{3} \left( A_{1n} I_{n+1} + B_{2n} K_{n+1} \right) \right\} \cos \mu z \\
+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ A_{2n} I_{n+1} + B_{3n} K_{n+1} \right\} z \sin \mu z \sin \nu \phi \\
- \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ [A_{1n} (3-4\nu) + A_{2n}] I_{0} + [B_{1n} (3-4\nu) + B_{2n}] K_{0} \right\} \sin \nu \phi \\
- (3-4\nu) \left\{ A_{2n} I_{0} + B_{3n} K_{0} \right\} \sin \nu \phi \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ -A_{2n} \nu^{-n} + B_{4n} \nu^{-n-1} + A_{2n} (4-4\nu + \nu) \nu^{n+1} \right\} \cos \mu z \\
+ B_{1n} (n-4+4\nu) \nu^{n+1} + A_{3n} n \nu n^{-n-1} \left\{ \sin \nu \phi \\
+ B_{3n} n \nu n^{-n-1} \left\{ \sin \nu \phi \\
- \left\{ A_{1n} (3-4\nu) + A_{2n} + [B_{1n} (3-4\nu) + B_{2n}] \log \nu \right\} \sin \nu \phi \\
+ (3-4\nu) (A_{2n} + B_{2n} \log \nu) \nu \cos \nu \phi \right\} (147)
where \( A_{4n} = A_{12n}(4-\gamma-\nu)-A_{2n}\nu \)
\( B_{4n} = B_{21n}(4-\gamma+\nu)+B_{2n}\nu, \quad B_{4} = 4(1-\nu)B_{21}-B \)
and where \( I_n \) and \( K_n \) are modified Bessel functions of the first and second kinds and order \( n \) with argument \( \mu z \) being omitted.

The first numerical subscript of any coefficient in the above equations refers to the term contributed by the corresponding \( P_i \) \((i=1,2,3)\) and the first two subscripts 12 or 21 by \( P_1 \) and/or \( P_2 \). The coefficient without numerical subscripts refers to the term contributed by \( P_0 \). Therefore the four Papkovich-Neuber functions in cylindrical coordinates have to be considered in the present analysis.
\[
\frac{4(1-\nu^2)}{E} \sigma_{ij} = \sum_{n>0} \left\{ A_{1n} \left[ (4-4\nu^2) - M^2 I_{n-1} + (3-2\nu) M I_n \right] \\
+ B_{1n} \left[ k_0 - (3-2\nu)^2 I_{n-1} - M^2 k_{n-1} - (3-2\nu) M K_n \right] \\
- A_{2n} \left[ (4-4\nu^2 + \nu^2) I_{2n-1} + M^2 I_{n-1} - (3-2\nu) M I_n \right] \\
- B_{2n} \left[ k_0 - (3-2\nu)^2 K_{n-1} - M^2 k_{n-1} + (3-2\nu) M K_n \right] \\
+ A_{3n} \left[ \frac{M}{k} I_{n-1} - \frac{(3-2\nu)}{k^2} I_{n-1} \right] + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ A_{2n} \left[ \frac{M}{k} I_0 - \frac{(3-2\nu)}{k^2} I_0 \right] - B_{2n} \left[ \frac{M}{k} I_0 + (3-2\nu) I_1 \right] \\
+ A_{2n} \frac{2\nu}{k} I_0 + B_{2n} \frac{2\nu}{k} K_0 \right\} \phi \sin \phi \cos \mu Z \\
+ A_{3n} M \left[ \frac{M}{k} I_0 - 3(1-2\nu) I_1 \right] - B_{3n} M \left[ \frac{M}{k} I_0 + (3-2\nu) I_1 \right] \\
+ \left\{ A_{3n} \frac{M}{k} I_0 - M^2 I_0 \right\} + B_{3n} \left[ \frac{M}{k} I_0 + M^2 K_0 \right] \\
- 2\nu M \left[ A_{3n} I_0 + B_{3n} K_0 \right] \right\} \cos \mu Z \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ A_{4n} \left[ (n-1) \rho \rho^{-2} \right] - B_{4n} \left[ (n+1) \rho \rho^{-2} - A_{2m} (n+1)(n-2) \rho \rho^{-2} \\
- B_{2n} (n-1)(n+1) \rho^{-n} + A_{3n} \left[ 2n \rho \rho^{-n} - n(n-1) \rho^{-n} \rho^{-2} 2 \right] \\
+ B_{2n} \left[ 2n \rho \rho^{-n} - n(n+1) \rho^{-n} \rho^{-2} 2 \right] \right\} \cos \mu \phi \\
+ \frac{1}{k^2} \left[ 2 M A_2 + B_1 (3-2\nu) + 2 \nu B_2 \log k \right] \cos \phi + \frac{B_2}{k} (3-2\nu) \phi \sin \phi \\
+ 2 A_{21} - B_4 k^{-2} + 2 \nu A_3 + B_3 \left( \frac{\rho}{k^2} + 2 \nu \log k \right) \right\} \sin \mu \frac{Z}{k} \right\} \right] \cos \mu \phi \right) 
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{4 (1 - \gamma^2)}{E} & \cdot 0_{\text{12}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{12n} \left( (n-4+4) \frac{r-1}{2} I_{n-1} + (n-2+2) \mu I_n \right) \\
&+ B_{12n} \left( (n-4+4) \frac{r-1}{2} K_{n+1} - (n-2+2) \mu K_n \right) \\
&- A_{21n} \left( (n+4-4) \frac{r+1}{2} I_{n+1} - (n+2-2) \mu I_n \right) \\
&- B_{21n} \left( (n+4-4) \frac{r+1}{2} K_{n+1} + (n+2-2) \mu K_n \right) \\
&+ A_{2n} \left( \frac{n+1}{r} I_{n+1} + \frac{n-1}{r} I_n \right) - B_{2n} \left[ \frac{n}{r} K_{n+1} - \frac{2(n+1)}{r} K_n \right] \right] \\
\cdot \cos \mu Z + \left\{ A_{32n} \left[ \frac{n}{r} I_{n+1} + \frac{n-1}{r} I_n \right] \\
&- B_{32n} \left[ \frac{n+1}{r} K_{n+1} + \frac{n-1}{r} K_n \right] \right\} \cdot \sin \mu Z \cdot \sin \varphi \\
&+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{3n} \left( \frac{3-4r}{4} I_0 + (1-2r) \mu I \right) + B_{3n} \left( \frac{3-4r}{4} K_0 + (1-2r) \mu K \right) \right] \\
\cdot \varphi \cos \varphi - \left\{ A_{1n} \left[ \frac{3-4r}{4} I_0 + (1-2r) \mu I \right] \\
&+ B_{1n} \left( \frac{3-4r}{4} K_0 + (1-2r) \mu K \right) - A_{2n} \left[ \frac{1-2r}{2} I_0 + \mu I \right] \\
&+ B_{2n} \left[ \frac{1-2r}{2} K_0 + \mu K \right] \right\} \cdot \sin \varphi \right] \cos \mu Z \\
&+ \frac{1}{r} \left\{ -A_{4n} (n-2) r^{n-2} - B_{4n} (n+1) r^{n-2} \\
&+ A_{21n} r(n+1) r^{n} - B_{12n} r(n+1) r^{n} \\
&+ A_{3n} r(n-1) r^{n-2} Z^2 + B_{3n} r(n+1) r^{n-2} Z^2 \right\} \\
\cdot \sin \varphi \\
&+ \frac{1}{r} (1-2r) \left[ A_{12n} \varphi \cos \varphi - (B_1 - B_2) \log \frac{r}{\sin \varphi} \right]
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\frac{4(1-\nu^2)}{E} \sigma_{13} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \left[ \left( A_{n\mu n} \left[ (n+2-2\nu) M I_{n+1} + M^2 \theta I_{n+2} \right] + B_{n\mu n} \left[ (n+2-2\nu) M K_{n+1} + M^2 \theta K_{n+2} \right] \right.ight.
\]
\[+ A_{n\mu n} \left[ (n+2-2\nu) M I_{n+1} - M^2 \theta I_{n+2} \right] - B_{n\mu n} \left[ (n+2-2\nu) M K_{n+1} - M^2 \theta K_{n+2} \right] \]
\[+ A_{n\mu n} \left[ M^2 I_{n+2} + \frac{2m}{\lambda} I_{n+1} \right] - B_{n\mu n} \left[ M^2 K_{n+2} + \frac{2m}{\lambda} K_{n+1} \right] \]
\[+ (1-\nu) \left[ A_{n\mu n} \left[ (n+2-2\nu) M I_{n+1} + \frac{2m}{\lambda} I_{n+1} \right] - B_{n\mu n} \left[ (n+2-2\nu) M K_{n+1} + \frac{2m}{\lambda} K_{n+1} \right] \right] \right] \cos \theta \cos \varphi
\]
\[+ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ A_{m\mu n} \left[ (1-\nu) M I_{0} - M \theta I_{1} \right] + B_{m\mu n} \left[ (1-\nu) M K_{0} + M \theta K_{1} \right] \right] \]
\[\cdot \cos \theta \sin \mu \zeta \]
\[+ \left[ A_{m\mu n} \left[ (1-\nu) M I_{0} + M \theta I_{1} \right] + B_{m\mu n} \left[ (1-\nu) M K_{0} - M \theta K_{1} \right] \right] \]
\[\cdot \cos \theta \sin \mu \zeta \]
\[+ \left[ A_{m\mu n} \left[ (1-\nu) M I_{0} - 2M \theta I_{1} \right] - B_{m\mu n} \left[ M^3 \theta K_{0} + 2M \theta I_{1} \right] \right] \]
\[+ M^2 \left[ A_{m\mu n} \left[ (1-\nu) M I_{0} - B_{m\mu n} K_{1} \right] + (1-\nu) M \left[ A_{m\mu n} I_{1} - B_{m\mu n} K_{1} \right] \right] \sin \mu \zeta
\]
\[- M^2 \left[ A_{m\mu n} I_{1} - B_{m\mu n} K_{1} \right] \zeta \cos \mu \zeta \]
\[- B_{32} \nu \zeta / \zeta \]
\]
(151)
\[ \frac{4(1-\nu^2)}{E} \sum_{\eta, \sigma} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left\{ A_{1,2n} \left[ (n-4+4l) \frac{\pi}{l_0} I_{n-1} - (1-2\nu) \frac{\pi}{l_0} I_{n} \right] \\
+ B_{1,2n} \left[ (n-4+4l) \frac{\pi}{l_0} K_{n-1} + (1-2\nu) \frac{\pi}{l_0} K_{n} \right] \\
+ A_{2,2n} \left[ (n+4-4l) \frac{\pi}{l_0} I_{n+1} - (1-2\nu) \frac{\pi}{l_0} I_{n} \right] \\
+ B_{2,2n} \left[ (n+4-4l) \frac{\pi}{l_0} K_{n+1} + (1-2\nu) \frac{\pi}{l_0} K_{n} \right] \\
- A_{3,2n} \left[ \frac{\pi}{l_0} I_{n} - \frac{\pi}{l_0} I_{n-1} \right] + B_{3,2n} \left[ \frac{\pi}{l_0} K_{n} + \frac{\pi}{l_0} K_{n-1} \right] \\
+ 2\gamma M \left[ A_{3,2n} I_{n} + B_{3,2n} K_{n} \right] \} \cos \phi \\
- \{ A_{3,2n} \left[ \frac{\pi}{l_0} I_{n} - \frac{\pi}{l_0} I_{n-1} \right] - B_{3,2n} \left[ \frac{\pi}{l_0} K_{n} + \frac{\pi}{l_0} K_{n-1} \right] \} \cdot Z \sin \phi \cos \psi \\
+ \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \left\{ -A_{4,2N} (1-2\nu) M I_{1} + \frac{\pi}{l_0} (1-\nu) \left[ A_{2,2N} I_{0} + B_{2,2N} K_{0} \right] \\
+ B_{4,2N} \left[ \frac{2\pi}{l_0} M^2 e^{K_{0}} + (1-2\nu) M K_{0} \right] \} \cos \phi \cos \mu \cos Z \\
- \{ A_{2,2N} (1-2\nu) M I_{0} + B_{2,2N} \left[ \frac{2\pi}{l_0} M^2 e^{K_{0}} - (1-2\nu) M K_{0} \right] \} \cdot Z \sin \phi \cos \mu \cos Z \\
+ \left\{ A_{2,2N} \left[ \frac{4(1-\nu)}{l_0} I_{1} - (1-2\nu) M I_{0} \right] + B_{2,2N} \left[ \frac{4(1-\nu)}{l_0} K_{1} + (1-2\nu) M K_{0} \right] \\
- \frac{\pi}{l_0} (A_{2,2N} I_{1} - B_{2,2N} K_{1}) + 2\gamma M (A_{2,2N} I_{0} + B_{2,2N} K_{0}) \} \cos \mu \cos Z \\
- \{ A_{2,2N} \left[ \frac{\pi}{l_0} I_{1} - \frac{\pi}{l_0} I_{0} \right] - B_{2,2N} \left[ \frac{\pi}{l_0} K_{1} + \frac{\pi}{l_0} K_{0} \right] \} \cdot Z \sin \phi \cos \mu \cos Z \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ -A_{4,2n} (n-1) \frac{\pi}{l_0} \phi^2 + A_{2,2n} (n+1) (n+2) \frac{\pi}{l_0} \\
+ B_{4,2n} (n+1) \frac{\pi}{l_0} \phi^2 + B_{2,2n} (n-1) (n-2) \frac{\pi}{l_0} \\
+ A_{3,2n} (n-1) \frac{\pi}{l_0} \phi^2 + B_{3,2n} (n+1) \frac{\pi}{l_0} \phi^2 \} \cos \mu \phi \\
+ \frac{1}{2} (1-\nu) (A_{2} + B_{2} \log \phi) - (1-2\nu) B_{2} \cos \phi \frac{B_{2}}{l_0} (1-2\nu) \phi \sin \phi \\
+ 2 A_{2,1} + B_{2,1} \phi^2 + 2\gamma A_{3} - B_{3} (z^2 / \phi - 2\nu) \log z \right\} (152) \]
\[
\frac{4(1-v^2)}{E} 0_{33} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{l=0}^\infty \left[ A_{12nk} (2\nu_l-n) M_{n+1} + B_{12nk} (2\nu_l-n) M_{n+1} \right. \\
+ A_{21nk} (2-2\nu_l+n) M_{n+1} - B_{21nk} (2-2\nu_l-n) M_{n+1} \\
+ \frac{\mu^2}{c^2} (A_{12nk} M_{n+1} + B_{12nk} K_{n+1}) - \frac{\nu}{c^2} (1-\nu) [A_{21nk} M_{n+1} + B_{21nk} K_{n+1}] \\
\left. - \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( M [(1-\nu)(A_{11k} I_0 + B_{11k} K_0) + A_{11k} I_0 + B_{11k} K_0] \\
- \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( M [(1-\nu)(A_{21k} I_0 + B_{21k} K_0) + A_{21k} I_0 + B_{21k} K_0] \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( M [A_{32k} M_{n+1} - B_{32k} K_{n+1}] Z \sin \nu Z \right) \right. \right] \right]
\]

(153)
Displacements and stresses as given above can be applied to any particular domain of the present problem by suitably specifying arbitrary constants.

To satisfy the periodic condition of matrix and loaded fiber, we take in (II-1), (II-2), and (II-3)

\[ n = 6, 12, 18, 24, \ldots \]  

\[ A_{i,k}^{I,II} = B_{i,k}^{I,II} = A_{i}^{I,II} = B_{i}^{I,II} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2 \]  

Satisfying the loading condition \( \sigma_{33}^T(x, y, z) = 0 \), we choose in the expression for \( \sigma_{33} \) (see equation II-9) the \( \mu \) so that \( \cos \mu z = 0 \);
\[ M = \frac{\pi}{2 \alpha} \quad \lambda = 1, 3, 5, \text{ etc.} \]

\[ A_{3nk} = A_{3\lambda} = 0 \quad (157) \]

\[ 2\psi^2(n+1)A_{21n} + (1-\psi^2)A_{32n} = 0 \]

Hence,

\[ (1-\psi^2)A_{32} + 2\psi^2A_{21} = \frac{2(1-(\psi^2)^2)}{E^2} \quad (158) \]

In addition, the unloaded condition, \( \sigma_{33}^\Pi (\lambda, \psi, \pm \ell) = 0 \), of domain II leads to

\[ M = \frac{\pi}{2 \alpha} \quad \lambda = 1, 3, 5, \text{ etc.} \quad (159) \]

\[ A_{3nk} = B_{3nk} = A_{3\lambda} = B_{3\lambda} = B_{12n} = B_3 = 0 \quad (160) \]

\[ 2\psi^2(n+1)A_{21n} + (1-\psi^2)A_{32n} = 0 \]

\[ (1-\psi^2)A_{32} + 2\psi^2A_{21} = 0 \]
The displacements in domain III do not have any periodic property* in the polar angle $\varphi$, and we discard the terms contained in the $\xi_n$ and $\zeta_n$ functions in II-1 to II-9 characterized by the factor $\sin n\varphi$ or $\cos n\varphi$ for domain III.

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{12nk} &= B_{12nk} = A_{21nk} = B_{21nk} = 0 \\
A_{3nk} &= B_{3nk} = A_{7nk} = B_{7nk} = 0 \\
A_{2nk} &= B_{12n} = A_{3n} = B_{3n} = 0 \\
A_{4n} &= B_{4n} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(161)

The vanishing of the axial stress requires at the end of domain III in equation (II-9)

\[
M^{\text{II}} = \frac{k\pi}{2L}, \quad k = 1, 3, 5, \text{ etc.}
\]

(162)

\[
A_{3k} = B_{3k} = B_2 = B_3 = 0
\]

(163)

\[
A_2 + B_1 = (1-\nu)A_3^{\text{II}} + 2\nu A_{21}^{\text{II}} = 0
\]

*As far as a hexagonal element as shown in Fig. 30 (a) is concerned, displacements of the six unloaded fibers possess sixfold symmetry. However, an elasticity solution is not valid for a discontinuous medium.
APPENDIX III

DISPLACEMENTS AND STRESSES OF THE MATRIX

Using equilibrium equations, Ref. 6, strain-displacement relations and generalized Hooke's law, the following is obtained:

\[ \frac{1}{1-2\nu^2} \frac{\partial e}{\partial x} + \left( \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \right) s_1 - \frac{\kappa}{\kappa^2} \frac{\partial s_2}{\partial y} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (164)

\[ \frac{1}{1-2\nu^2} \frac{\partial e}{\partial y} + \left( \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \right) s_2 + \frac{\kappa}{\kappa^2} \frac{\partial s_2}{\partial y} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (165)

\[ \frac{1}{1-2\nu^2} \frac{\partial e}{\partial z} + \nabla^2 s_3 = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (166)

where \( \nabla^2 \) is the Laplacian operator defined by

\[ \nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (167)

and where \( e \) is the dilatation satisfying Laplace's equation

\[ \nabla^2 e = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (168)
Since (168) has been derived from equilibrium equations (164) through (166), we may solve (164), (166), and (168) for radial, circumferential, and axial displacements, $\xi_1$, $\xi_2$, and $\xi_3$.

We seek the solution of (168) in the form

$$e = f_0(\rho) \sin \psi \sin \alpha_n z$$

(169)

Substituting (169) into (168) yields

$$\frac{d^2 f_0}{d\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{df_0}{d\rho} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\rho^2}\right) f_0 = 0$$

(170)

where

$$\rho = \alpha_n \rho$$

(171)

The solution to (170) is given by

$$f_0(\rho) = A_1 I_1(\rho) + B_1 K_1(\rho)$$

(172)

where $A_1$ and $B_1$ are arbitrary constants.

Introduction of (169) into (166) gives

$$\frac{\partial^2 \xi_3}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \xi_3}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2 \xi_3}{\partial \psi^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha_n^2} \frac{\partial^2 \xi_3}{\partial z^2}$$

$$= C \left[ A_1 I_1(\rho) + B_1 K_1(\rho) \right] \sin \psi \cos \alpha_n z$$

(173)
The solution of (173) is written as

\[ \xi_3 = \psi_3(\rho) \sin \varphi \cos \alpha_n z \]  

(175)

From (173) and (175), we obtain an ordinary differential equation for \( \psi_3 \) with the solution

\[ \psi_3(\rho) = A_1 f_1(\rho) + B_2 f_2(\rho) + A_2 \mathbb{I}_1(\rho) + B_2 K_1(\rho) \]  

(176)

where \( A_2 \) and \( B_2 \) are arbitrary constants and where

\[ f_1(\rho) = C \left[ I_1(\rho) \left( \frac{\rho I_1(\rho) K_1(\rho)}{\xi_0(\rho)} - K_1(\rho) \frac{\rho I_1^2(\rho)}{\xi_0^2(\rho)} \right) \right] \]  

(177)

\[ f_2(\rho) = C \left[ I_1(\rho) \left( \frac{\rho K_1^2(\rho)}{\xi_0(\rho)} - K_1(\rho) \frac{\rho I_1(\rho) K_1(\rho)}{\xi_0^2(\rho)} \right) \right] \]

The function \( \xi_0(\rho) \) in (177) is given by

\[ \xi_0(\rho) = K_1(\rho) I_1'(\rho) - I_1(\rho) K_1'(\rho) \]  

(178)

in which primes denote differentiation with respect to \( \rho \).
By the definition of the dilatation $\epsilon$, we have

$$\frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial \eta} = \epsilon \left[ e - \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} (\kappa \xi_1) - \frac{\partial \xi_3}{\partial z} \right] \quad (179)$$

Substitution of (169), (175) and (179) into (164) leads to

$$\frac{\partial^2 \xi_1}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{3}{\rho} \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial \rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2 \xi_1}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha_n^2} \frac{\partial^2 \xi_1}{\partial z^2} = \left[A_1 f_3(\rho) + B_1 f_4(\rho) + A_2 \frac{\partial I_1(\rho)}{\rho} + B_2 \frac{\partial K_1(\rho)}{\rho}\right] \sin \phi \sin \alpha_n z \quad (180)$$

where

$$f_3(\rho) = C I_1(\rho) + \frac{2}{\alpha_n \rho} \left[I_1(\rho) + \alpha_n f_1(\rho)\right] \quad (181)$$

$$f_4(\rho) = C K_1(\rho) + \frac{2}{\alpha_n \rho} \left[K_1(\rho) + \alpha_n f_2(\rho)\right]$$

Assume the solution of (180) in the form

$$\xi_1 = \psi_1(\rho) \sin \phi \sin \alpha_n z \quad (182)$$

Introducing (182) into (180) and changing the variable result in

$$(\rho \psi_1)^\prime + \frac{1}{\rho} (\rho \psi_1)\prime - (1 + \frac{1}{\rho^2}) (\rho \psi_1) = A_1 f_3(\rho) + B_1 f_4(\rho) + A_2 \frac{\partial I_1(\rho)}{\rho} + B_2 \frac{\partial K_1(\rho)}{\rho} \quad (183)$$
whose solution is

\[
\Psi(p) = \frac{1}{\rho} \left[ A_1 f_3(p) + B_1 f_6(p) + A_2 f_7(p) + B_2 f_8(p) + A_3 I_1(p) + B_3 K_1(p) \right]
\]  

(184)

where \( A_3 \) and \( B_3 \) are integration constants and where

\[
f_3(p) = I_1(p) \int_0^p \frac{I_2(p) K_1(p)}{I_0(p)} \, dp - K_1(p) \int_0^p \frac{I_1(p) f_3(p)}{I_0(p)} \, dp
\]

(185)

\[
f_6(p) = I_1(p) \int_0^p \frac{K_1(p) f_4(p)}{I_0(p)} \, dp - K_1(p) \int_0^p \frac{I_1(p) f_6(p)}{I_0(p)} \, dp
\]

\[
f_7(p) = 2 \left[ I_1(p) \int_0^p \frac{I_2(p) K_1(p)}{\rho I_0(p)} \, dp - K_1(p) \int_0^p \frac{I_1(p) f_7(p)}{\rho I_0(p)} \, dp \right]
\]

\[
f_8(p) = 2 \left[ I_1(p) \int_0^p \frac{K_2(p)}{\rho I_0(p)} \, dp - K_1(p) \int_0^p \frac{I_1(p) K_1(p)}{\rho I_0(p)} \, dp \right]
\]

Substituting (169), (175), and (182) into (179) and integrating the result with respect to \( \varphi \) lead to

\[
\xi_2 = \Psi_2(p) \cos \varphi \sin \alpha_2 \rho + G_0(p, z)
\]

(186)

where

\[
\Psi_2(p) = - \left[ A_1 \left\{ \frac{p}{\alpha_n} I_1(p) - f_6'(p) + f_7(p) \right\} + B_1 \left\{ \frac{p}{\alpha_n} K_1(p) - f_6' + p f_8 \right\} \right]
\]

\[
+ A_2 \left\{ p I_1(p) - f_7' \right\} + B_2 \left\{ p K_1(p) - f_8' \right\} - A_3 I_1(p) - B_3 K_1(p)
\]

(187)
The function \( G_o \) in (186) is an arbitrary function to be determined. Now that the \( yz \)-plane is the symmetrical plane, we have

\[ \xi_a \left( \frac{\pi}{2}, \rho, z \right) = 0 \]

which requires

\[ G_o (\rho, z) = 0 \] (188)

Stresses \( \sigma_{ij} \) of the matrix are related to strain \( \varepsilon_{ij} \) by generalized Hooke's law, as follows:

\[ \sigma_{ij} = \frac{E \varepsilon^{II}}{2(1+\nu)} \left[ (1+\nu)\varepsilon_{ij} + \delta_{ij} - \frac{2\nu \varepsilon}{1-2\nu} \right] \] (189)

where \( \delta_{ij} \) is the Kronecker delta.

By using displacements (175), (182), and (186) together with strain-displacement relations, stresses (189) can be written in the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{11} &= \tau_{11}(\rho) \sin \psi \sin \alpha_n z \\
\sigma_{12} &= \tau_{12}(\rho) \cos \psi \sin \alpha_n z \\
\sigma_{13} &= \tau_{13}(\rho) \sin \psi \cos \alpha_n z \\
\sigma_{23} &= \tau_{23}(\rho) \cos \psi \cos \alpha_n z
\end{align*}
\] (190)
where \( \tau_{ji} \) are functions of \( \rho \) only.

It is seen from (175), (182), (186) and (190) that displacement components \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \) and stresses \( \sigma_{11} \), \( \sigma_{22} \), \( \sigma_{12} \), and \( \sigma_{13} \) characterized by a factor \( \sin \varphi \) vanish at the \( \chi Z \)-plane (or the neutral plane), are symmetrical with respect to the \( \chi Z \)-plane (or the symmetrical plane), and have maximum values at the \( \chi Z \)-plane for constant \( \chi \) and \( Z \). The displacement component \( \xi_3 \) and shearing stresses \( \sigma_{12} \) and \( \sigma_{13} \) characterized by a factor \( \cos \varphi \) are symmetrical with respect to the \( \chi Z \)-plane, vanish at the \( \chi Z \)-plane, and reach their maxima at the \( \chi Z \)-plane for constant \( \chi \) and \( Z \). Obviously, these properties satisfy the physical requirements of the bending of the matrix in the present analysis.

The arbitrary constants \( A_i \) and \( B_i \) (\( i=1,2,3 \)) in the expressions for displacements and stresses are to be determined by boundary conditions. The outer surface of the matrix is assumed to be free from stresses, and hence we have

\[
\Gamma_{1i}(\rho, \varphi, Z) = 0 \quad i=1,2,3
\]  

where \( \rho_i = \alpha_n b \)  

(191)

The continuity condition of the interface on the components of displacement in the directions of Cartesian coordinates \( \chi \), \( \varphi \), and \( Z \) requires

\[
\xi_1(\rho_2, \varphi, Z) \cos \varphi - \xi_2(\rho_2, \varphi, Z) \sin \varphi = 0 \\
\xi_1(\rho_2, \varphi, Z) \sin \varphi + \xi_2(\rho_2, \varphi, Z) \cos \varphi = v_0 \sin \alpha_n Z \\
\xi_3(\rho_2, \varphi, Z) = -a v_0 \alpha_n \cos \alpha_n Z \sin \varphi
\]

where \( \rho_2 = \alpha_n a \)  

(193)
The condition (193c) has been obtained by assuming that the angle of rotation of a fiber element is small compared to the unity and that a normal cross section of the fiber remains normal during bending.

Substituting (175), (182), (186), and (190 a, d, e) into conditions (191) and (193) yields

\[ \tau_{1i} (p_i) = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \]
\[ \Psi_i (p_2) = \nu_0 \quad i = 1, 2 \]
\[ \Psi_3 (p_2) = -a\nu_0 \alpha_n \]

The constants \( A_i \) and \( B_i \) (i=1,2,3) in displacements (175), (182), (186), and stresses (190) can be determined by solving equations (195) simultaneously. Each of these constants is then characterized by the presence of the amplitude \( \nu_0 \) as a factor. Therefore, stresses at the interface can be written as

\[ \sigma_{11} (p_2, \psi, z) = \nu_0 \sigma_{11} \sin \psi \sin \alpha_n z \]
\[ \sigma_{12} (p_2, \psi, z) = \nu_0 \sigma_{12} \cos \psi \sin \alpha_n z \]
\[ \sigma_{13} (p_2, \psi, z) = \nu_0 \sigma_{13} \sin \psi \cos \alpha_n z \]

where \( \sigma_{11} \), \( \sigma_{12} \), and \( \sigma_{13} \) are functions of \( \psi^x \), \( E^x \), \( a \), \( b \), and \( \alpha_n \).
APPENDIX IV
ENERGY SOLUTIONS

A. ALTERNATIVE WAY TO FIND SMALLEST BUCKLING LOAD AND BUCKLING WAVELENGTH OF FIBER FOR MULTIFIBER CASE

The strain energy due to longitudinal shear along the fiber surface can be also found as follows:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_V \sigma_{13}^\Pi \varepsilon_{13}^\Pi \, dv = \frac{1}{2} G^\Pi \int_V \left( \sigma_{13}^\Pi \right)^2 \, dv
\]

\[
= \frac{\pi d}{2 G^\Pi} \int_0^L \int_a^b \left\{ \frac{k_5}{\pi a} \left( 1 - \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \right) \sinh(\alpha_n h)
\right.
\]

\[
- \frac{k_5}{\pi a} \left( 1 - \cosh(2\alpha_n d) \right) \frac{\sinh(\alpha_n h)}{(2\alpha_n d + \sinh(2\alpha_n d))} \frac{\sinh(\alpha_n h)}{\alpha_n h} \sinh(\alpha_n h)
\]

\[
- \frac{k_5}{\pi a} \left( 1 - \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \right) \frac{\sinh(2\alpha_n d)}{(2\alpha_n d + \sinh(2\alpha_n d))} \frac{\sinh(\alpha_n h)}{\alpha_n h} \cosh(\alpha_n h)
\]

\[
\cdot \cos^2(\alpha_n z) \, dz \, dh
\]

\[
= \frac{k_5}{2 G^\Pi} \frac{2 \pi}{\pi a} \alpha_n \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{2 \alpha_n d}{2\alpha_n d + \sinh(2\alpha_n d)} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\sinh(2\alpha_n b) - \sinh(2\alpha_n a)}{4} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1 - \cosh(2\alpha_n d)}{(2\alpha_n d + \sinh(2\alpha_n d))} \right]^2 \left[ \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{2 \alpha_n b + 2}{(2 \alpha_n b + 2) \sinh(2\alpha_n b) - \alpha_n b} \right)^2
\]

\[
- \frac{(\alpha_n b)^2}{3} + \frac{(\alpha_n a)^2}{3} - \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{2 \alpha_n a + 2}{(2 \alpha_n a + 2) \sinh(2\alpha_n a) - \alpha_n a} \right)^2
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n b) \cosh(2\alpha_n b) + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n a) \cosh(2\alpha_n a)
\]

\[\text{120}\]
\[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sinh(2\alpha_n a)}{2\alpha_n a + \sinh(2\alpha_n a)} \right)^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left[ (2\alpha_n b)^2 + 2 \right] \sinh(2\alpha_n b) \right. \\
- \frac{1}{8} \left[ (2\alpha_n a)^2 + 2 \right] \sinh(2\alpha_n a) - \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n b)^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n b) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n a)^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n a) - \frac{1}{3} (\alpha_n a)^3 + \frac{1}{3} (\alpha_n a)^3 \right\} \\
- \frac{2\alpha_n a}{2\alpha_n a + \sinh(2\alpha_n a)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha_n b}{\sinh(2\alpha_n b)} \right)^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n b) \right. \\
- \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n a)^2 \sinh(2\alpha_n a) - \frac{1}{4} \cosh(2\alpha_n b) \\
+ \frac{1}{4} \cosh(2\alpha_n a) - 2 (\alpha_n b)^2 + 2 (\alpha_n a)^2 \right\} \\
- \frac{2\alpha_n a}{2\alpha_n a + \sinh(2\alpha_n a)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n b)^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n b) \right. \\
- \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n a)^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n a) - \frac{1}{4} \sinh(2\alpha_n b) \\
+ \frac{1}{4} \sinh(2\alpha_n a) \right\} \\
+ \frac{1}{8} \frac{(1 - \cosh(2\alpha_n a)) \sinh(2\alpha_n a)}{2\alpha_n a + \sinh(2\alpha_n a)} \left[ (2\alpha_n b)^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n b) \right. \\
- (2\alpha_n a)^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n a) \\
- 4 (\alpha_n b)^2 \sinh(2\alpha_n b) + 4 (\alpha_n a)^2 \sinh(2\alpha_n a) \right\} \right\} \\
(197) \]
Then the expression for buckling load of the fiber is

$$P_{cr} = \alpha_n^2 E I + \kappa_0 + \frac{\kappa_0 d \alpha_n^{-3}}{2 G \pi a^2} \Upsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (198)$$

where

$$\Upsilon = \{ \}$$ of equation (197)

Combinations of equations (197) and (198) yields

$$P_{cr} = \alpha_n^2 E I + \frac{E \pi a_0}{\alpha_n} \left\{ \left[ (2a_n d)^2 - \sinh^2 (2a_n d) \right] \right.$$  
\begin{align*}
&\frac{1}{r} \left[ -2 \sinh(2a_n d) - 8a_n d \cosh(2a_n d) \
- 4 \sinh(2a_n d) \cosh(2a_n d) + 2 \sinh(2a_n d) \
+ 2 \sinh(2a_n d) \cosh^2(2a_n d) \right] \right\} \\
&+ E \pi d (1 + \Upsilon) \frac{E}{\alpha_n^2} \left\{ \left[ (2a_n d)^2 - \sinh^2 (2a_n d) \right] \right. \\
&\left. \frac{1}{r} \left[ -2 \sinh(2a_n d) - 8a_n d \cosh(2a_n d) \
- 4 \sinh(2a_n d) \cosh(2a_n d) + 2 \sinh(2a_n d) \
+ 2 \sinh(2a_n d) \cosh^2(2a_n d) \right] \right\} \\
&\right\} \\
&\right\} $$

SMALLEST BUCKLING LOAD AND BUCKLING WAVELENGTH OF THE FIBER

The smallest buckling load of the fiber can be obtained by minimizing $p_{cr}$ of equation (198) with respect to $a_n$. $\frac{dp_{cr}}{da_n}$=0 goes.
\[ 2\alpha_n E_n^z I^z + E_n^z \pi \alpha_n \left\{ \left[ (2d)^2 - \frac{4d}{\alpha_n} \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \cosh(2\alpha_n d) \right. \\
+ \alpha_n^{-2} \sinh^2(2\alpha_n d) \left. \right]^{-1} \right\} \\
- E_n^z \pi \alpha_n \left\{ \left[ (2d)^2 \alpha_n - \alpha_n^{-1} \sinh^2(2\alpha_n d) \right. \\
\left. - \left[ -2 \sinh^3(2\alpha_n d) - 8\alpha_n d + 8\alpha_n d \cosh(2\alpha_n d) \right. \\
- 4 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \cosh(2\alpha_n d) + 2 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \right. \\
+ 2 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \cosh^2(2\alpha_n d) \left. \right]^{-1} \right\} \\
+ E_n^z \pi d (1 + \frac{3}{2}) \alpha_n^{-1} \left\{ \left[ (2\alpha_n d) - \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \right. \\
\left. - \left[ -2 \sinh^3(2\alpha_n d) - 8\alpha_n d + 8\alpha_n d \cosh(2\alpha_n d) \right. \\
- 4 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \cosh(2\alpha_n d) + 2 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \right. \\
+ 2 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \cosh^2(2\alpha_n d) \right. \left. \right]^{-1} \right\} \]
\begin{align*}
&\cdot \left\{ 8 \alpha_n d^4 \left( \frac{\sinh(2\alpha_n b) - \sinh(2\alpha_n a)}{2} - \frac{\alpha_n d - \alpha_n d}{2} \right) \\
&\quad + \left(2\alpha_n d\right)^2 \left[ \frac{b \cosh(2\alpha_n b) - a \cosh(2\alpha_n a) - b + a}{2} \right] \\
&\quad - \left[ 1 - \cosh(2\alpha_n d) \right] \left[ 2 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \right] \right\} \\
&\cdot \left[ \frac{1}{8} \left( (2\alpha_n b)^2 + 2\right) \sinh(2\alpha_n b) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{8} \left( (2\alpha_n a)^2 + 2\right) \sinh(2\alpha_n a) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_n b \cosh(2\alpha_n b) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_n a \cosh(2\alpha_n a) - \frac{(\alpha_n b)^3}{3} + \frac{(\alpha_n a)^3}{3} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \cosh(2\alpha_n d) \right]^2 \right\} \\
&\cdot \left[ \frac{1}{8} \left( 4\alpha_n b^4 \right) \sinh(2\alpha_n b) + \frac{1}{8} \left( (\alpha_n b)^4 + 2\right) \cosh(2\alpha_n b) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{8} \left( 4\alpha_n a^4 \right) \sinh(2\alpha_n a) - \frac{1}{8} \left( (\alpha_n a)^4 + 2\right) \cosh(2\alpha_n a) \\
&\quad - \frac{b}{2} \cosh(2\alpha_n b) - \alpha_n b^2 \sinh(2\alpha_n b) \\
&\quad + \frac{a}{2} \cosh(2\alpha_n a) + \alpha_n a^2 \sinh(2\alpha_n a) \\
&\quad - \alpha_n^4 b^3 + \alpha_n^4 a^3 \right] \\
&\quad + \left[ 2d \sinh(\alpha_n d) \cosh(\alpha_n d) \right] \right\} \\
&\cdot \left[ \frac{1}{8} \left( (2\alpha_n b)^2 + 2\right) \sinh(2\alpha_n b) - \frac{1}{8} \left( (2\alpha_n a)^2 + 2\right) \sinh(2\alpha_n a) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_n b \cosh(2\alpha_n b) + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n a) \cosh(2\alpha_n a) \right] \\
&124
\end{align*}
\begin{align*}
&+ \frac{(\alpha_n b)^4}{3} - \frac{(\alpha_n a)^3}{3} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sinh^2(2\alpha_n d) \left[ \frac{1}{8} (4\alpha_n b^2) \sinh(2\alpha_n b) \\
&+ \frac{k}{2} ((2\alpha_n b)^2 + 2) \cosh(2\alpha_n b) \\
&- \frac{1}{8} (4\alpha_n a^2) \sinh(2\alpha_n a) - \frac{g}{2} (2\alpha_n a)^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n a) \\
&- \frac{k}{2} \cosh(2\alpha_n b) - \alpha_n b^2 \sinh(2\alpha_n b) \\
&+ \frac{a}{2} \cosh(2\alpha_n a) + \alpha_n a^2 \sinh(2\alpha_n a) \\
&+ \alpha_n^2 b^2 - \alpha_n^2 a^3 \right] \\
&- \left[ 2 \alpha_n d \left( -\cos(2\alpha_n d) - 4\alpha_n d^2 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \right) \right]. \\
&\cdot \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_n b) \sinh(2\alpha_n b) - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_n a) \sinh(2\alpha_n a) \\
&- \frac{1}{4} \cosh(2\alpha_n b) + \frac{1}{4} \cosh(2\alpha_n a) - 2(\alpha_n b)^2 + 2(\alpha_n a)^2 \right] \\
&- \left[ 2 \alpha_n d \left( -\cosh(2\alpha_n d) \right) \right]. \\
&\cdot \left[ \alpha_n b^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n b) - \alpha_n a^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n a) \\
&- 4 \alpha_n b^2 + 4 \alpha_n a^2 \right] \\
&- \left[ 2 \alpha_n d \sinh(2\alpha_n d) + 4 \alpha_n d^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n d) \right].
\end{align*}
\[
\left[ \frac{1}{2} \alpha_n b \cosh(2\alpha_n b) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_n a \cosh(2\alpha_n a) - \frac{1}{4} \sinh(2\alpha_n b) + \frac{1}{4} \sinh(2\alpha_n a) \right] \\
- \left[ (2\alpha_n d) \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \right] \cdot \left[ \alpha_n b^2 \sinh(2\alpha_n b) - \alpha_n a^2 \sinh(2\alpha_n a) \right] \\
\left[ (2\alpha_n d)(1-\cosh(2\alpha_n d)) - 2d \sinh^2(2\alpha_n d) \right] \cdot \\
\left[ (2\alpha_n b)^2 + 2) \cosh(2\alpha_n b) - ((2\alpha_n a)^2 + 2) \cosh(2\alpha_n a) - 4\alpha_n b \sinh(2\alpha_n b) + 4\alpha_n a \sinh(2\alpha_n a) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{8} \left[ (1-\cosh(2\alpha_n d)) \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \right] \cdot \\
\left[ 4\alpha_n b^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n b) + 2b((2\alpha_n b)^2) \sinh(2\alpha_n b) - 4\alpha_n a^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n a) + 2a((2\alpha_n a)^2) \sinh(2\alpha_n a) - 4b \sinh(2\alpha_n b) - 8\alpha_n b^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n b) + 4\alpha_n a \sinh(2\alpha_n a) + 8\alpha_n a^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n a) \right] \}
\]
\[
-2 \sinh^3(2\alpha_n d) - 8 \alpha_n d + 8 \alpha_n d \cosh(2\alpha_n d) \\
-4 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \cosh(2\alpha_n d) + 2 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \\
+ 2 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \cosh^2(2\alpha_n d) \right]^{-2} \\
- \left[ (2\alpha_n d) - \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \right]^2 \cdot \alpha_n^{-2}.
\]

\[
-2 \sinh^3(2\alpha_n d) - 8 \alpha_n d + 8 \alpha_n d \cosh(2\alpha_n d) \\
-4 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \cosh(2\alpha_n d) + 2 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \\
+ 2 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \cosh^2(2\alpha_n d) \right]^{-3} \\
-2 \left[ (2\alpha_n d) - \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \right]^2 \cdot \alpha_n^{-3}.
\]

\[
-12 d \sinh^2(2\alpha_n d) \cosh(2\alpha_n d) - 8 d \\
+ 8 d \cosh(2\alpha_n d) + 16 \alpha_n d^2 \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \\
- 8 d \sinh^2(2\alpha_n d) - 8 d \cosh^3(2\alpha_n d) \\
+ 4 d \cosh(2\alpha_n d) + 4 d \cosh^3(2\alpha_n d) \\
+ 8 d \sinh^2(2\alpha_n d) \cosh(2\alpha_n d) \right] 2.
\]
\[
\frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\sinh(2\alpha_n d) - \sinh(2\alpha_n a) - (\alpha_n b - \alpha_n a)}{2} \right)
\]
\[+ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \cosh(2\alpha_n d) \right) \]
\[\cdot \left[ \frac{1}{8} (2\alpha_n b + 2) \sinh(2\alpha_n b) - \frac{1}{8} (2\alpha_n a + 2) \sinh(2\alpha_n a)
- \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n b) \cosh(2\alpha_n b) + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n a) \cosh(2\alpha_n a)
- \frac{(\alpha_n b)^3 - (\alpha_n a)^3}{3} \right]
\]
\[+ \frac{1}{2} \sinh^2(2\alpha_n d) \left[ \frac{1}{8} ((2\alpha_n b + 2) \sinh(2\alpha_n b)
- \frac{1}{8} ((2\alpha_n a + 2) \sinh(2\alpha_n a)
- \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n b) \cosh(2\alpha_n b) + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n a) \cosh(2\alpha_n a)
+ \frac{(\alpha_n b)^3 - (\alpha_n a)^3}{3} \right]
\]
\[- 2 \alpha_n d \left[ 1 - \cosh(2\alpha_n d) \right] \]
\[\cdot \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n b) \sinh(2\alpha_n b) - \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n a) \sinh(2\alpha_n a)
- \frac{(\alpha_n b)^3 - (\alpha_n a)^3}{2} \right]
\]
\[\cdot \left[ (2\alpha_n d) \sinh(2\alpha_n d) \right] \]
\[\cdot \left[ \frac{1}{2} \alpha_n b \cosh(2\alpha_n b) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_n a \cosh(2\alpha_n a)
- \frac{(\alpha_n b)^3 - (\alpha_n a)^3}{2} \right]
\]
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From equation (200), we find the buckling wavelength \( \lambda_{cr} = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_j} \).

Therefore, the smallest buckling load of the fiber, \( (P_{cr})_{\text{min}} \), can be obtained by substituting \( \lambda_{cr} \) into equation (199).
B. BUCKLING OF A SINGLE FIBER IN A FINITE MATRIX UNDER AXIAL COMPRESSION

INTEGRATION CONSTANTS AND LATERAL DEFLECTION

By applying boundary conditions (111) to equations (83) and (84), and solving them, we obtain the results of integration constants as follows:

\[ A_n = -\frac{a_n}{\pi a} \]  
\[ B_n = \left(\frac{a_n}{\pi a}\right) \left[ \frac{\alpha_n d + \sinh d_n \cosh d_n}{d_n^2 - \sinh^2 d_n} \right] \]  
\[ C_n = -\left(\frac{a_n}{\pi a}\right) \left[ \frac{\alpha_n d + \sinh d_n \cosh d_n}{d_n^2 - \sinh^2 d_n} \right] \]  
\[ D_n = -\left(\frac{a_n}{\pi a}\right) \left[ \frac{\sinh^2 d_n}{d_n^2 - \sinh^2 d_n} \right] \]

where

\[ d_n = \alpha_n d = \frac{\pi n}{L} (b - a) \]

The relationships between displacements and stresses of two-dimensional elasticity problems are quoted as

\[ \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{11}^x}{\partial x} = \frac{\sigma_{11}^x}{E_x} - \frac{\nu_x \sigma_{33}^x}{E_x} \]  
\[ \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{33}^x}{\partial x} = \frac{\sigma_{33}^x}{E_x} - \frac{\nu_x \sigma_{11}^x}{E_x} \]
Therefore, the deflection in re-direction is

\[ \xi_{r} = \int_{\partial}^{d} \left( \frac{\sigma_{rr}}{E^{r}} - \frac{V_{rr}^{3} \sigma_{rr}}{E^{r}} \right) \, dx \]

\[ = \frac{1}{E^{r} \pi a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\psi_{n}}{n} \left[ \sinh d_{n} - \frac{d_{n} \sinh d_{n} \cosh d_{n}}{d_{n}^{2} - \sinh^{2} d_{n}} \right] \right. \]

\[ \cdot \left( d_{n} \sinh d_{n} - \cosh d_{n} + 1 \right) \]

\[ + \frac{d_{n} \sinh d_{n} \cosh d_{n}}{d_{n}^{2} - \sinh^{2} d_{n}} \left( \cosh d_{n} - 1 \right) \]

\[ + \frac{\sinh^{2} d_{n}}{d_{n}^{2} - \sinh^{2} d_{n}} \left( d_{n} \cosh d_{n} - \sinh d_{n} \right) \right\} \sin (\alpha_{n} \xi) \]

\[ + \frac{L_{r}}{E^{r} \pi a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\psi_{n}}{n} \left[ \frac{2 \sinh^{2} d_{n}}{d_{n}^{2} - \sinh^{2} d_{n}} \right] \sinh d_{n} \right. \]

\[ - \frac{d_{n} \sinh d_{n} \cosh d_{n}}{d_{n}^{2} - \sinh^{2} d_{n}} \cdot d_{n} \sinh d_{n} \]

\[ + \frac{\sinh^{2} d_{n}}{d_{n}^{2} - \sinh^{2} d_{n}} \left( d_{n} \cosh d_{n} - \sinh d_{n} \right) \right\} \sin (\alpha_{n} \xi) \]

\[ \cdot \sin (\alpha_{n} \xi) \]  

(208)

and the interfacial force per unit length of fiber per unit deflection is

\[ \kappa = \frac{\xi_{r}}{\sin} \]  

(209)
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The strain energy due to longitudinal shear at the fiber surface is defined as

\[ U_s = \int \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{13} \varepsilon_{13} dV = \frac{1}{2G_{II}} \int (\sigma_{13})^2 dV \]  \hspace{1cm} (210)

or

\[ U_s = \frac{\pi c}{2G_{II}} \int_a^b \left\{ \frac{\kappa \varepsilon_{13}^2}{\pi a} \left( 1 + \frac{\sinh \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{n0}}} {\varepsilon_{n0}^2 - \sinh \varepsilon_{n0}} \right) \sinh (\alpha_n b) \right\} \left( d_n + \frac{\sinh d_n \cosh d_n}{\varepsilon_{n0}^2 - \sinh d_n} \right) \sinh (\alpha_n b) \varepsilon_{n0}^2 \left( \sinh (\alpha_n b) - \sinh (\alpha_n d) \left( \frac{\alpha_n - \alpha_n b}{2} \right) \right) \]

Integration of equation (211) gives

\[ U_s = \frac{\kappa \varepsilon_{13}^2}{2G_{II} \pi a^2 \varepsilon_{n0}^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( d_n^2 - \frac{\sinh d_n^2}{\sinh d_n^2} \right) \right) \left( \sinh (\alpha_n b) - \sinh (\alpha_n d) \left( \frac{\alpha_n - \alpha_n b}{2} \right) \right) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d_n + \sinh d_n \cosh d_n}{d_n^2 - \sinh d_n^2} \right) \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{8} \left( \alpha_n b + d_n \right) \sinh (\alpha_n b) - \frac{1}{8} \left( \alpha_n d + d_n \right) \sinh (\alpha_n d) \right] \]
\[ - \frac{a_{\mu b}}{2} \cosh(2\alpha_{\mu b}) + \frac{a_{\mu a}}{2} \cosh(2\alpha_{\mu a}) \]
\[ - \left( \frac{(\alpha_{\mu b})^3}{3} + \frac{(\alpha_{\mu a})^3}{3} \right) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sinh^2 d_n}{d_n - \sinh^2 d_n} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{8} (2\alpha_{\mu b}^2 + 2) \sinh(2\alpha_{\mu b}) \right. \]
\[ - \frac{1}{8} ((2\alpha_{\mu a}^2 + 2) \sinh(2\alpha_{\mu a})) \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{\mu b} \cosh(2\alpha_{\mu b}) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{\mu a} \cosh(2\alpha_{\mu a}) \]
\[ + \left( \frac{(\alpha_{\mu b})^3}{3} - \frac{(\alpha_{\mu a})^3}{3} \right) \]
\[- \left( \frac{d_n^2}{d_n^2 - \sinh^2 d_n} \right) \left( \frac{d_n + \sinh d_n \cosh d_n}{d_n^2 - \sinh^2 d_n} \right) \]
\[ \left[ \frac{\alpha_{\mu b}}{2} \sinh(2\alpha_{\mu b}) - \frac{\alpha_{\mu a}}{2} \sinh(2\alpha_{\mu a}) \right. \]
\[ - \frac{1}{4} \cosh(2\alpha_{\mu b}) + \frac{1}{4} \cosh(2\alpha_{\mu a}) \]
\[ - 2 (\alpha_{\mu b})^2 + 2 (\alpha_{\mu a})^2 \]
\[ + \left( \frac{d_n^2}{d_n^2 - \sinh^2 d_n} \right) \left( \frac{\sinh^2 d_n}{d_n^2 - \sinh^2 d_n} \right) \]
\[ \left[ \frac{\alpha_{\mu b}}{2} \cosh(2\alpha_{\mu b}) - \frac{\alpha_{\mu a}}{2} \cosh(2\alpha_{\mu a}) \right. \]
\[ - \frac{1}{4} \sinh(2\alpha_{\mu b}) + \frac{1}{4} \sinh(2\alpha_{\mu a}) \]
\[- \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{d_n + \sinh d_n \cosh d_n}{d_n^2 - \sinh^2 d_n} \right) \left( \frac{\sinh^2 d_n}{d_n^2 - \sinh^2 d_n} \right). \]
BUCKLING LOAD OF THE FIBER

Total potential energy of the fiber during loading is defined as

\[ T_e = U_b + U_e + U_p + U_5 - W \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(213)}

Combination of equations (70), (208), (209), and (212) yields

\[
T_e = \frac{1}{2} E r I (\frac{1}{2}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (S_{1n}^{2}) \alpha_n^4 + \frac{i}{2} \alpha_n \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (S_{1n}^{2}) \alpha_n X
\]

\[ + \frac{A^2}{2 G \pi a^2} (\frac{1}{2}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (S_{1n}^{2}) \alpha_n X
\]

\[ - \frac{1}{2} P (\frac{1}{2}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (S_{1n}^{2}) \alpha_n^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(214)}

where

\[ X = \left\{ \right\} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{of equation (212)} \hspace{1cm} \text{(215)}

Minimization of total potential energy with respect to \( \xi_{1n} \)
gives critical buckling load of the fiber.
\[ P_{cr}^I = \alpha_n^2 E I + \frac{E \pi a}{\alpha_n^2} \left( d_n^2 - \sinh^2 d_n \right) \left[ 2 \left( d_n - \sinh d_n \right) \left( \cosh d_n - 1 \right) \right]^{-1} \]

\[ + E \pi d (1 + \nu^2) \alpha_n^{-1} \sum (d_n^2 - \sinh^2 d_n) \left[ 2 \left( d_n - \sinh d_n \right) \left( \cosh d_n - 1 \right) \right]^{-1} \]  

### Smallest Buckling Load and Buckling Wavelength of the Fiber

The buckling wavelength of the fiber is determined by minimizing \( P_{cr}^I \) with respect to \( \alpha_n \); i.e., \( \frac{\partial P_{cr}^I}{\partial \alpha_n} = 0 \).

From equations (212), (215), and (219), we have

\[ \frac{\partial P_{cr}^I}{\partial \alpha_n} = 0. \]
\[ 2\alpha_n E^\pi I^\pi + E^\pi \pi \left\{ d^2 + \alpha_n^{-2} \sinh^2(\alpha_n d) - 2\alpha_n^{-2} \sinh(\alpha_n d) \cosh(\alpha_n d) \right\} \]
\[ \cdot \left\{ \frac{2}{2(\alpha_n d - \sinh(\alpha_n d))(\cosh(\alpha_n d) - 1)} \right\}^{-1} \]
\[-E^\pi \pi \left\{ \alpha_n d^2 - \alpha_n^{-1} \sinh^2(\alpha_n d) \right\} \]
\[ \cdot \left\{ \frac{2}{2(\alpha_n d - \sinh(\alpha_n d))(\cosh(\alpha_n d) - 1)} \right\}^{-2} \]
\[ \cdot \left\{ \frac{2}{2\left[-d(1 - \cosh(\alpha_n d))^2 + d \sinh(\alpha_n d)(\alpha_n d - \sinh(\alpha_n d))\right]} \right\} \]
\[ + E^\pi d (1 + 2^z) \alpha_n^{-1} \left\{ 2(\alpha_n d - \sinh(\alpha_n d))(\cosh(\alpha_n d) - 1) \right\}^{-2} \]
\[ \cdot \left\{ \frac{\alpha_n^3 d}{14} \left[ \sinh(2\alpha_n b) - \sinh(2\alpha_n a) - 2(\alpha_n b - \alpha_n a) \right] \right\} \]
\[ + (\alpha_n d)^\pi \left[ b \cosh(\alpha_n b) - a \cosh(\alpha_n a) - b + a \right] \]
\[ + (\alpha_n d + \sinh(\alpha_n d) \cosh(\alpha_n d)) \cdot \left[ d + c \cosh(\alpha_n d) \right] \]
\[ + d \sinh^2(\alpha_n d) \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{8} \left( (\alpha_n b)^2 + 2 \right) \sinh(\alpha_n b) \right] \]
\[ - \frac{1}{8} \left( (\alpha_n a)^2 + 2 \right) \sinh(\alpha_n a) \]
\[ - \frac{\alpha_n b}{2} \cosh(\alpha_n b) + \frac{\alpha_n a}{2} \cosh(\alpha_n a) \]
\[ - (\alpha_n b)^3 + \frac{(\alpha_n a)^3}{3} \]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_n d + \sinh(\alpha_n d) \cosh(\alpha_n d))^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{\alpha_n b^2}{2} \sinh(\alpha_n b) + \frac{b}{4} ((\alpha_n b)^2 + 2) \cosh(\alpha_n b)
\]
\[
- \frac{\alpha_n a^2}{2} \sinh(\alpha_n a) - \frac{a}{4} ((\alpha_n a)^2 + 2) \cosh(\alpha_n a)
\]
\[
- \frac{b}{2} \cosh(\alpha_n b) - \alpha_n b^2 \sinh(\alpha_n b)
\]
\[
+ \frac{a}{2} \cosh(\alpha_n a) + \alpha_n a^2 \sinh(\alpha_n a)
\]
\[
- \alpha_n b^3 + \alpha_n^2 a^3
\]
\[
+ \left[ 2 d \sinh^3(\alpha_n d) \cosh(\alpha_n d) \right] \left[ \frac{(\alpha_n b)^2 + 2}{8} \sinh(\alpha_n b) \right]
\]
\[
- \frac{(\alpha_n a)^2 + 2}{8} \sinh(\alpha_n a) - \frac{\alpha_n b^2}{2} \cosh(\alpha_n b)
\]
\[
+ \frac{\alpha_n a^2}{2} \cosh(\alpha_n a) + \frac{(\alpha_n b)^3}{3} - \frac{(\alpha_n a)^3}{3}
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \sinh^4(\alpha_n d) \left[ \frac{\alpha_n b^2}{2} \sinh(\alpha_n b) - \frac{\alpha_n a^2}{2} \sinh(\alpha_n a) \right]
\]
\[
+ \frac{b}{4} ((\alpha_n b)^2 + 2) \cosh(\alpha_n b) - \frac{b}{2} \cosh(\alpha_n b)
\]
\[
- \frac{a}{4} ((\alpha_n a)^2 + 2) \cosh(\alpha_n a) + \frac{a}{2} \cosh(\alpha_n a)
\]
\[
- \alpha_n b^2 \sinh(\alpha_n b) + \alpha_n a^2 \sinh(\alpha_n a)
\]
\[
+ \alpha_n b^3 - \alpha_n^2 a^3
\]
\[
- \left[ 3 \alpha_n^2 d^3 + 2 \alpha_n d^2 \sinh(\alpha_n d) \cosh(\alpha_n d) \right]
\]
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+ \alpha_n^2 d^3 \sinh^2(\alpha_n d) + \alpha_n^2 d^3 \cosh^2(\alpha_n d) \right] \\
\left[ \frac{\alpha_n b}{2} \sinh(2\alpha_n b) - \frac{\alpha_n a}{2} \sinh(2\alpha_n a) \right. \\
- \frac{1}{4} \cosh(2\alpha_n b) + \frac{1}{4} \cosh(2\alpha_n a) - 2\alpha_n b + 2\alpha_n a \right] \\
- \left[ (\alpha_n d)^3 + (\alpha_n d)^2 \sinh(\alpha_n d) \cosh(\alpha_n d) \right] \\
\cdot \left[ \alpha_n b^2 \cosh(\alpha_n b) - \alpha_n a \cosh(\alpha_n a) \right. \\
- 4\alpha_n b^2 + 4\alpha_n a^2 \\
+ \alpha_n d^3 \left[ \sinh^2(\alpha_n d) + (\alpha_n d) \sinh(\alpha_n d) \cosh(\alpha_n d) \right] \\
\cdot \left[ \alpha_n b \cosh(\alpha_n b) - \alpha_n a \cosh(\alpha_n a) \right. \\
- \frac{1}{2} \sinh(2\alpha_n b) + \frac{1}{2} \sinh(2\alpha_n a) \right] \\
+ \left[ (\alpha_n d)^2 \sinh^2(\alpha_n d) \right] \\
\cdot \left[ \alpha_n b^2 \sinh(\alpha_n b) - \alpha_n a \sinh(\alpha_n a) \right] \\
- \frac{1}{8} \left[ \sinh^2(\alpha_n d) + 2\alpha_n d \sinh(\alpha_n d) \cosh(\alpha_n d) \right. \\
+ 3 \sinh^2(\alpha_n d) \cosh^2(\alpha_n d) + \sinh^4(\alpha_n d) \right] \\
\cdot \left[ (2\alpha_n b)^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n b) - 4\alpha_n b \sinh(2\alpha_n b) \right. \\
- (2\alpha_n a)^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n a) + 4\alpha_n a \sinh(2\alpha_n a) \right]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} \left[ \alpha_n d \sinh^2(\alpha_n d) + \sinh^3(\alpha_n d) \cosh(\alpha_n d) \right] \\
\cdot \left\{ \alpha_n b^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n b) + b(2(\alpha_n b)^2 + \alpha_n d) \sinh(2\alpha_n b) - \alpha_n a^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n a) - a(2(\alpha_n a)^2 + \alpha_n d) \sinh(2\alpha_n a) - b \sinh(2\alpha_n b) + a \sinh(2\alpha_n a) - 2\alpha_n b^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n b) + 2\alpha_n a^2 \cosh(2\alpha_n a) \right\} \right\}
\]
\[
- E^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d (1+1)^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left\{ \left[ 2\alpha_n (\alpha_n d - \sinh(\alpha_n d))(\cosh(\alpha_n d) - 1) \right]^{-2} \right. \\
+ 2\alpha_n^{-1} \left[ 2\alpha_n (\alpha_n d - \sinh(\alpha_n d))(\cosh(\alpha_n d) - 1) \right]^{-3} \\
\cdot \left. \left[ 2d \left( (-\cosh(\alpha_n d) - 1)^2 + (\alpha_n d - \sinh(\alpha_n d)) \sinh(\alpha_n d) \right) \right] \right\}^2 \\
\cdot \left\{ (\alpha_n d)^4 \left[ \frac{\sinh(2\alpha_n b) - \sinh(2\alpha_n a)}{4} - \frac{\alpha_n b - \alpha_n a}{2} \right] \right. \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \alpha_n d + \sinh(\alpha_n d) \cosh(\alpha_n d) \right]^2 \right. \\
\cdot \left[ \frac{1}{8} ((2\alpha_n b)^2 + 2) \sinh(2\alpha_n b) - \frac{\alpha_n b}{2} \cosh(2\alpha_n b) - \frac{1}{8} ((2\alpha_n a)^2 + 2) \sinh(2\alpha_n a) + \frac{\alpha_n a}{2} \cosh(2\alpha_n a) \right. \\
\left. \left. - (\alpha_n b)^3 - (\alpha_n a)^3 \right] / 3 \right. \}
\]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \sinh^4(\alpha_n d) \left[ \frac{1}{8} (\alpha_n b)^2 + 2 \right] \sinh(\alpha_n b) \]

\[ - \frac{1}{8} ((\alpha_n a)^2 + 2) \sinh(\alpha_n a) \]

\[ - \frac{\alpha_n b}{2} \cosh(\alpha_n b) + \frac{\alpha_n a}{2} \cosh(\alpha_n a) \]

\[ - \frac{(\alpha_n b)^3 - (\alpha_n a)^3}{3} \)

\[ - (\alpha_n d)^2 \left[ \alpha_n d + \sinh(\alpha_n d) \cosh(\alpha_n d) \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{\alpha_n b}{2} \sinh(\alpha_n b) - \frac{\alpha_n a}{2} \sinh(\alpha_n a) \right. \]

\[ - \frac{1}{4} \cosh(\alpha_n b) + \frac{1}{4} \cosh(\alpha_n a) \]

\[ - 2 (\alpha_n b)^2 + 2 (\alpha_n a)^2 \]

\[ + (\alpha_n d)^2 \sinh^2(\alpha_n d) \cdot \left[ \frac{\alpha_n b}{2} \cosh(\alpha_n b) \right. \]

\[ - \frac{\alpha_n a}{2} \cosh(\alpha_n a) - \frac{1}{4} \sinh(\alpha_n b) + \frac{1}{4} \sinh(\alpha_n a) \]

\[ - \frac{1}{8} \left[ (\alpha_n d)^2 + \sinh(\alpha_n d) \cosh(\alpha_n d) \right] \cdot \sinh^2(\alpha_n d) \cdot \left[ ((\alpha_n b)^2 + 2) \cosh(\alpha_n b) \right. \]

\[ - ((\alpha_n a)^2 + 2) \cosh(\alpha_n a) \]

\[ - 4 \alpha_n b \sinh(\alpha_n b) + 4 \alpha_n a \sinh(\alpha_n a) \]  

\[ = 0 \]

(220)
C. BUCKLING OF A SINGLE FIBER IN AN INFINITE MATRIX UNDER AXIAL COMPRESSION INTERFACIAL FORCE PER UNIT LENGTH PER UNIT LATERAL DEFLECTION, $k$

Now let us take $b \rightarrow \infty$, or the matrix is infinite in size. Thus, it is more convenient that the solution of differential equation (79) takes the following form:

$$\Phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-2} \left[ A_n e^{\alpha_n z} + B_n (\alpha_n z) e^{\alpha_n z} + C_n e^{-\alpha_n z} + D_n (\alpha_n z) e^{-\alpha_n z} \right] \sin(\alpha_n z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (221)

The stresses are

$$\sigma_{33}^\pi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (A_n + 2B_n) e^{\alpha_n z} + B_n (\alpha_n z) e^{\alpha_n z} + (C_n - 2D_n) e^{-\alpha_n z} + D_n (\alpha_n z) e^{-\alpha_n z} \right] \sin(\alpha_n z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (222)

$$\sigma_{11}^\pi = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ A_n e^{\alpha_n z} + B_n (\alpha_n z) e^{\alpha_n z} + C_n e^{-\alpha_n z} + D_n (\alpha_n z) e^{-\alpha_n z} \right] \sin(\alpha_n z)$$  \hspace{1cm} (223)
\[ \sigma_{13}^{\text{II}} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( A_{1n} + B_{1n} \right) e^{\alpha_n z} + B_{1n} (\alpha_n z) e^{\alpha_n z} \right. \\
\left. - (C_{1n} - D_{1n}) e^{-\alpha_n z} - D_{1n} (\alpha_n z) e^{-\alpha_n z} \right\} \cdot \cos (\alpha_n z) \] (224)

Since when \( r \to \infty \), all stresses have to be finite, then

\[ A_{1n} = 0 \] (225)
\[ B_{1n} = 0 \] (226)

and

\[ C_{1n} = \frac{\phi_{1n}}{\pi a} \] (227)
\[ D_{1n} = 0 \] (228)

Therefore, the displacement in lateral direction is found as follows:

\[ \delta_{1}^{\text{II}} = \frac{1}{E^{\text{II}}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_{1n}}{\pi a} e^{-\alpha_n z} \sin (\alpha_n z) \, dz \\
- \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_{1n}}{\pi a} e^{-\alpha_n z} \sin (\alpha_n z) \, dz \right\} \]

\[ = \frac{1 - \mu^{\text{II}}}{E^{\text{II}}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_{1n}}{\alpha_n \pi a} \sin (\alpha_n z) \] (229)
The expression for buckling load of the fiber is derived as follows:

\[ k = \frac{P_{cr}}{S_1} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{in} \sin(\alpha_n \pi \frac{d}{2})}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \alpha_n^2 \pi^2 \right) \frac{\phi_{in}}{\alpha_n \pi \alpha} \sin(\alpha_n \pi \frac{d}{2})} \] (230)

or

\[ k = \frac{\pi a E^2}{1 - \nu^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \] (231)

SMALLEST BUCKLING LOAD AND BUCKLING WAVELENGTH OF THE FIBER

The expression for buckling load of the fiber is derived as follows:

\[ P_{cr}^1 = \alpha_n^2 E^1 I^1 + \alpha_n^{-1} \frac{\pi a E^1 (3 + \nu^2)}{(1 - \nu^2)^2} \] (232)

In deriving this equation, the value of \( k \) in equation (231) has been introduced.

Again, \( \alpha_n \) is determined by minimization of \( P_{cr}^1 \) with respect to \( \alpha_n \) of (232); i.e.,

\[ \frac{dP_{cr}^1}{d\alpha_n} = 0 \] (233)

which yields

\[ \alpha_n = \frac{3}{N} \sqrt{\frac{E^1 \pi a (3 + \nu^2)}{2 E^1 I^1 (1 - \nu^2)^2}} \] (234)

Substitution of (234) into (232) gives the smallest buckling load of the fiber.
\[
(P_{cr})_{\min} = \left[ \frac{E^n \pi a (3 + \beta^2)}{2 E^\frac{n}{x} I^x (1 - \beta^2)^2} \right]^{\frac{2}{3}} E^\frac{n}{x} I^x \\
+ \left[ \frac{E^n \pi a (3 + \beta^2)}{2 E^\frac{n}{x} I^x (1 - \beta^2)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \frac{n a E^n (3 + \beta^2)}{(1 - \beta^2)^2} \right)
\]

or

\[
(P_{cr})_{\min} = 3 \cdot 2^{-\frac{2}{3}} \left[ \frac{E^n \pi a (3 + \beta^2)}{E^\frac{n}{x} I^x (1 - \beta^2)^2} \right]^{\frac{7}{3}} E^\frac{n}{x} I^x
\]

The corresponding critical wavelength is

\[
\lambda_{cr} = \frac{2 \pi}{\alpha_n} = 2 \pi \left[ \frac{E^n \pi a (3 + \beta^2)}{2 E^\frac{n}{x} I^x (1 - \beta^2)^2} \right]^{\frac{2}{3}}
\]
D. BUCKLING OF A MULTIFIBER COMPOSITE DUE TO RESIN SHRINKAGE

Because of the smallness of the cell diameter compared to the length of the fiber, we can make some simplifying assumptions such as:

1. Fiber cross section deformations due to stresses perpendicular to the axis of symmetry are neglected.
2. Cross sections remain plane after deformation.
3. The shape of a cross section is maintained after deformation.
4. Cross sections orthogonal to the axis of symmetry remain orthogonal to the deformed axis of symmetry (no deformation due to shear).

Figure 64 shows the deflected axis of symmetry of a fiber and its associated hexagonal cell in the z-direction. An arbitrary point \( P \) at the nondeflected axis has the distance \( z \) from the origin. After deformation, Point \( P \) rests at \( \bar{P} \) with the coordinates \( \xi_3 \) and \( \xi_1 \). Similar is \( \bar{Q} \), the end position of \( Q \) after deflection. The point \( X \) is an off-axis point of the elastic medium. It will be at \( \bar{X} \) after deflection. Because of assumption 4, the line \( \bar{X} \bar{P} \) must be orthogonal to the tangential line of the deflected line of symmetry at \( \bar{P} \).

Figure 64. Deflection Geometry of a Fiber
The strain of the element $XY$ is:

$$\varepsilon_3(x) = \frac{XY - X'Y'}{XY} = \frac{\Delta S_1 (S-x) - \Delta z}{\Delta z} = \frac{\Delta S}{\Delta z} \left(1 - \frac{x}{S}\right) - 1$$

or for the limit $\Delta z \to 0$,

$$\varepsilon_3(x) = \frac{dS}{dz} \left(1 - \frac{x}{S}\right) - 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (238)

For $x = 0$, we get the strain of the line element along the axis of symmetry

$$\varepsilon_3(0) = \frac{dS}{dz} - 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (239)

and therefore (238),

$$\varepsilon_3(x) = \varepsilon_3(0) - x \frac{dS}{dz} \frac{1}{S}$$

$p$ is the radius of curvature of the deflected axis at $P$. Because $d\psi = \frac{dz}{p}$, it follows further that

$$\varepsilon_3(x) = \varepsilon_3(0) - x \frac{d\psi}{dz}$$  \hspace{1cm} (240)

$\varepsilon_3(x)$ is only a function of $x$. Therefore, all fiber elements with the distance $x$ from the plane normal to the plane of symmetry (in Figure 6', the plane through line PQ normal to the paper plane) experience the same strain. The strain in the $x$- and $y$-direction is caused by transversal contraction. Quantity $\nu$ is the Poisson's ratio of transversal contraction of the material.
We get
\[
\dot{\varepsilon}_1(x) = -\nu \dot{\varepsilon}_3(x) \tag{241}
\]
\[
\dot{\varepsilon}_2(x) = -\nu \dot{\varepsilon}_3(x) \tag{242}
\]

Considering infinitesimal distortion, we can write the strain as follows (putting \(\varepsilon_3(0) = \varepsilon\)):
\[
D_{11} = \varepsilon_1(x) = -\nu \varepsilon_3(x) = -\nu (\varepsilon - x \frac{d\varphi}{dx}) \tag{243}
\]
\[
D_{22} = \varepsilon_2(x) = -\nu \varepsilon_3(x) = -\nu (\varepsilon - x \frac{d\varphi}{dx}) \tag{244}
\]
\[
D_{33} = \varepsilon_3(x) = \varepsilon - x \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \tag{245}
\]

By neglecting the shear, we obtain
\[
D_{12} = D_{23} = D_{13} = 0 \tag{246}
\]

The variation of energy is
\[
\delta A^{(1)} = \frac{E^I}{2(1+\nu^I)} \int \left\{ D_{11} \frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\nu \varepsilon^I}{1-2\nu^I} \left[D_{k kk}\right]^2 \right\} dx \tag{247}
\]

Putting
\[
\delta v = \varepsilon \frac{dx \cdot dy}{\varepsilon_3(0)} \int 0 \int \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}_3(x)}{A} \quad dx \quad dy \quad dq
\]

\[
\delta A^{(1)} = \frac{E^I}{2} \int \int \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}_3(x)}{0} \quad dx \quad dy \quad dq \tag{248}
\]
and

\[ SA^{(1)} = \frac{E \tau}{2} \int_{A_1} \int_{A_2} \left[ \xi^2 - 2 \beta \xi \right] \, dx \, dy \, dz \]  \quad (249)

Introducing (245) gives

\[ SA^{(1)} = \int_{A_1} \int_{A_2} \left( \xi^2 - 2 \xi \frac{d\phi}{d\xi} + x^2 \left( \frac{d\phi}{d\xi} \right)^2 \right) \, dx \, dy \]  \quad (250)

Performing the integration over \( x \) and \( y \), we get

\[ \int_{A_1} dx \, dy = A^1 \]  \quad (252)

\[ \int_{A_2} dx \, dy = A^2 \]  \quad (253)
where $A_f$ and $A_r$ are the cross-sectional area of the fiber and resin in the cell.

Furthermore,

$$\int_{A_1} x^2 \, dx \, dy = I^I$$  \hspace{1cm} (254)

$$\int_{A_2} x^2 \, dx \, dy = I^R$$  \hspace{1cm} (255)

the areal moments of inertia with respect to the neutral (non-strained) plane.

Because of symmetry

$$\int_{A_1} x \, dx \, dy = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (256)

$$\int_{A_2} x \, dx \, dy = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (257)

then (250) and (251) become:
The equilibrium equation in energy form for a fiber resin cell will be written, after introducing (258) and (259), as follows:

\[
\delta A^{(0)} = \int_0^\xi \left[ 2A^I + I^I(\gamma)^2 \right] d\xi
\]

\[
\delta A^{(2)} = \int_0^\xi \left[ 2A^II + 2A^I + I^I(\gamma)^2 - 2 \beta A^II \right] d\xi
\]

(258)

(259)

The equilibrium equation in energy form for a fiber resin cell will be written, after introducing (258) and (259), as follows:

\[
S \int_0^\xi \left( \frac{1}{2} \left[ E^I A^I + E^II A^II \right] \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ E^I I^I + E^II I^II \right] (\gamma)^2 \right. \\
- \left. E^II / \beta \epsilon \right) d\xi = \sum_{m=1}^N F_\alpha^{(m)} \delta L_\alpha^{(m)}
\]

(260)

Due to deflection of the axis of symmetry, the projection of \( \overline{PQ} \) on the undeflected axis of symmetry, the length \( \Delta z \), will be different from \( \Delta z \), the original projection of \( \overline{PQ} \). The difference \( \Delta z - \Delta z \) means the longitudinal change of length, \( \Delta w \), of the axis element \( \Delta z \).

\[
\Delta W = \Delta z - \Delta z = \left( \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta z} - 1 \right) \Delta z
\]

Taking the limit \( \Delta z \rightarrow 0 \), then

\[
dW = \left( \frac{d\gamma}{dz} - 1 \right) d\xi
\]

(261)
Because of (239),
\[
\frac{d\frac{\xi_3}{\alpha_2}}{d\xi_3} = \frac{d\frac{\xi_3}{\alpha_2}}{d\xi_3} \frac{d\xi_3}{d\xi_2} = \frac{d\xi_3}{d\xi_2} \cos \phi = (1 + \epsilon) \cos \phi
\]

and combining the foregoing equation with equation (261) gives
\[
dw = \left[(1 + \epsilon) \cos \phi - 1\right] d\epsilon
\]

The total change of length will therefore be
\[
W(\epsilon) = \int_0^L \left[(1 + \epsilon) \cos \phi - 1\right] d\epsilon
\]

We assume that the fiber is restrained to the matrix such that the longitudinal change of the fiber is equal to the longitudinal change of the matrix. Therefore it will be
\[
W(\epsilon) = \beta(\epsilon)
\]

and
\[
\int_0^L \left\{(1 + \epsilon) \cos \phi - (1 + \frac{\epsilon_0}{\beta})\right\} d\epsilon = 0
\]

The solutions
\[
\epsilon = \epsilon(\epsilon)
\]
\[
\phi = \phi(\epsilon)
\]

of (260) have to satisfy equation (265).
We consider the case $\phi_1^{(n)} = 0$ and get the following equations:

\[
\delta \int_0^\ell \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ E^T A^I + E^T A^J \right] \dot{\varepsilon}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ E^T I^I + E^T I^J \right] \dot{\varepsilon}^2 - E^T A^I \beta \varepsilon \right\} d\zeta = 0
\]  
(268)

with the restraining conditions (265),

\[
\int_0^\ell \left\{ (1 + \varepsilon) \omega \dot{\varphi} - (1 + \beta^3) \right\} d\zeta = 0
\]

The Euler-Lagrange equations of this variational problem with two independent variables are

\[
P \varepsilon + R + \lambda \omega \dot{\varphi} = 0 \tag{269}
\]

\[
Q \ddot{\varphi} + \lambda (1 + \varepsilon) \omega \dot{\varphi} = 0 \tag{270}
\]

where we use the abbreviations

\[
P = E^T A^I + E^T A^J \tag{271}
\]

\[
Q = E^T I^I + E^T I^J \tag{272}
\]

\[
R = -E^T A^I \beta^3 > 0 \tag{273}
\]

and the parameter $\lambda$ being the Lagrangian multiplier. Solutions of the set of equations (269) and (270) must satisfy (265).
From (265), we can determine $\lambda$ by substitution of $\epsilon$ from (269) in (265)

$$\int_0^\ell \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{R}{p} - \lambda \frac{\cos \varphi}{p} \right) \cos \varphi \, d\ell = \left( 1 + \beta \right) \ell \right\}
$$

or

$$\lambda = \frac{\left( R - p \right) \int_0^\ell \cos \varphi \, d\ell - \left( 1 + \beta \right) \ell}{\int_0^\ell \cos^2 \varphi \, d\ell}. \quad (274)$$

In order to give a physical interpretation of $\lambda$, we consider the resin around the fiber not shrunk ($G^{II} = 0$). Instead, we apply an axial force $F^{(I)} = F$ acting at $z = \ell$. Then we have to apply (260) with $G^{II} = 0$ and

$$- \sum_{n=1}^N F^{(I)}_n \delta \ell_n = \delta \left( F \int_0^\ell [(1 + \epsilon) \cos \varphi - 1] \, d\ell \right)$$

The variational problem for this case will be

$$\delta \left\{ \int_0^\ell \left\{ \frac{1}{2} P \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2} G \psi^2 + R \epsilon + F (1 + \epsilon) \cos \varphi - F \right\} \, d\ell = 0 \right\}$$

from which we get the Euler-Lagrange equation of the form (269), (270) but $\lambda = 4F$. The parameter $\lambda$ therefore represents the axial load of the fiber which is generated by the resin due to shrinkage. We can assume $\lambda$ to be known and substitute $\epsilon$ in (270) by $\epsilon$ from (269).
The following differential equation in $\psi$ is obtained:

$$Q \psi'' + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{R}{P} - \frac{\lambda}{P} \cos \psi \right) \sin \psi = 0 \quad (275)$$

After multiplication by $d\psi$, we can integrate (275) at once, and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} Q \psi' - \lambda \left( 1 - \frac{R}{P} \right) \cos \psi + \frac{\lambda^2}{4P} \cos 2\psi = C \quad (276)$$

We assume $\psi^1 = 0$ at $\psi = \psi_{\text{max}}^1$; therefore,

$$C = -\lambda \left( 1 - \frac{R}{P} \right) \cos \psi_{\text{max}}^1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{4P} \cos 2\psi_{\text{max}}^1 \quad (277)$$

and (276):

$$\psi^1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda}{Q} \left( 1 - \frac{R}{P} \right) \left( \cos \psi - \cos \psi_{\text{max}}^1 \right) - \frac{\lambda^2}{4P} \left( \cos 2\psi - \cos 2\psi_{\text{max}}^1 \right)} \quad (278)$$

(278) can be integrated by quadrature:

$$x = \int \frac{d\psi}{\sqrt{\frac{2\lambda}{Q} \left( 1 - \frac{R}{P} \right) \left( \cos \psi - \cos \psi_{\text{max}}^1 \right) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2P} \left( \cos 2\psi - \cos 2\psi_{\text{max}}^1 \right) + C}} \quad (279)$$
The integral in (279) cannot be expressed by elementary functions. Using the abbreviation

\[ \bar{\alpha} = \frac{2\lambda}{Q} \left(1 - \frac{R}{r} \right) > 0 \]  

(280)

\[ \bar{b} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2PQ} > 0 \]  

(281)

the integral to be determined is as follows:

\[ Z = \int \frac{dy}{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}(\cos \psi - \cos \psi_{\max}) - \bar{b}(\cos^2 \psi - \cos^2 \psi_{\max})}} + C, \]

(282)

with \[ \cos \psi = 1 - 2\sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2} \] follows:

\[ Z = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\bar{\alpha} - \bar{b}}} \int \frac{dy}{\sqrt{(\sin^2 \psi_{\max} - \sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2}) + \frac{2\bar{b}}{\bar{\alpha} - \bar{b}}(\sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2} + \sin^2 \psi_{\max})}} + C, \]

(283)
We use the abbreviation

\[ \rho = \sin^2 \frac{\theta_{\text{max}}}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (284)

and

\[ q = \frac{b}{\frac{a}{2} - b} \sin^2 \frac{\theta_{\text{max}}}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (285)

Furthermore, we substitute

\[ \sin \frac{\xi}{2} = \sqrt{\xi} \sin \frac{\theta_{\text{max}}}{2} = \sqrt{\xi} \rho \]

\[ \delta \rho = \frac{d \xi \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\xi} (1 - \xi \rho)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (286)

and get

\[ \mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\frac{a}{2} - b}} \int \frac{d \xi}{\sqrt{(1 - \xi) \xi (1 - \rho \xi)(1 + \rho + \xi \rho)}} + C, \]  \hspace{1cm} (287)

Now we use the substitution

\[ \xi = \frac{\omega (1 + \tau)}{1 + 2 \tau - \tau \omega} \]  \hspace{1cm} (288)
and get

\[ x^2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{a}{2} - \xi}} \int \frac{dw}{\sqrt{(1-w)w(1+2\eta - w[1+\rho(1+\eta)])}} + C, \quad (289) \]

Finally, we substitute

\[ w = \sin^2 \phi \]
\[ dw = 2\sin \phi (1 - \sin^2 \phi) \quad d\phi \]

and get

\[ x^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{a}{2} - \xi)(1+2\eta)}} \int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\eta}{1+2\eta} \sin^2 \phi}} + C, \quad (291) \]

The integral in (291) is an elliptic integral of the first kind. Its inverse functions are Jacobi's elliptic functions. From (291) we get

\[ (x^2 - c_1)\sqrt{(\frac{a}{2} - \xi)(1+2\eta)} = \int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{1 - \eta^2 \sin^2 \phi}} \]

with

\[ \eta^2 = \frac{\sqrt{(1+\eta)\rho}}{1+2\eta} \quad (292) \]
\[
\sin \vartheta = m \left[ (2 - z_i) \sqrt{\left( \frac{a}{2} - \frac{b}{2} \right) (1 + 2 q)} \right] \tag{293}
\]

Re-substitution gives

\[
\omega = \sin^2 \vartheta = \frac{\int (1 + 2 q)}{1 + q + \int q} = \frac{(1 + 2 q) \tan^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2}}{\rho (1 + q + \frac{q}{\rho} \tan^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2})} \tag{294}
\]

Solving for \( \tan^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} \) gives

\[
\tan^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} = \frac{\int (1 + 2 q)}{1 + q + \int q} \frac{\rho (1 + q + \frac{q}{\rho} \tan^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2})}{(1 + q) \left[ (2 - z_i) \int \right]} \tag{295}
\]

with

\[
k = \sqrt{\left( \frac{a}{2} - \frac{b}{2} \right) (1 + 2 q)} = \sqrt{\frac{a}{2} - \frac{b}{2} \cos^2 \vartheta_{\text{max}}} \tag{296}
\]

Because of

\[
1 + q = \frac{\frac{a^2}{2} - \frac{b}{2} \cos^2 \vartheta_{\text{max}}}{\frac{b^2}{2} - \frac{b}{2} \cos^2 \vartheta_{\text{max}}} \tag{297}
\]

follows (295)

\[
\min \frac{q}{2} = \pm \min \frac{\vartheta_{\text{max}}}{2} \left[ (2 - z_i) k \right] \sqrt{\frac{\frac{a}{2} - \frac{b}{2} (1 + \cos \vartheta_{\text{max}})}{\frac{a}{2} - \frac{b}{2} \cos^2 \vartheta_{\text{max}} - \frac{b}{2} \tan^2 \frac{\vartheta_{\text{max}}}{2} \left[ (2 - z_i) k \right]}}
\]
Parameter $k$ is from (292):

$$M = \min \frac{\psi_{\max}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1 + \frac{a}{2}(1 - \cos \psi_{\max})}{1 - \frac{2a}{2} \cos \psi_{\max}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (298)$$

We need the inverse function of (297), which we get from (294):

$$m = \left[ 2 - z \right]^k = \pm \frac{\sin \frac{\varphi}{2}}{\min \frac{\psi_{\max}}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\frac{a}{2} - \frac{b}{2} \cos \psi_{\max}}{\frac{a}{2} - \frac{b}{2} (\cos \psi + \cos \psi_{\max})}} \hspace{1cm} (299)$$

At $z = 0$, $\varphi = \psi_{\max}$ gives $sn \left[ - \frac{\pi}{4} \right] = + 1$.

Therefore,

$$\bar{z}_1 = - \frac{1}{K} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d\vartheta}{\sqrt{1 - K^2 \sin^2 \vartheta}} = - \frac{K}{K}$$ \hspace{1cm} (300)$$

$K (k)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. Therefore (297),

$$\sin \frac{\varphi}{2} = sn \left[ z \bar{x} + K \right] \sqrt{\frac{\frac{a}{2} - 1 - \cos \psi_{\max}}{\frac{a}{2} - 2 \cos \psi_{\max} - \sin^2 \left[ z \bar{x} + K \right]}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (301)$$

$\psi$ is a periodic function in $z$, with the periodicity $z_w$

$$z_w x = 4 K$$
or

\[ w(x) = \frac{4}{k} \alpha(k) \]  \hspace{1cm} (302)

\( w \) is the wavelength of the waving fiber under internal stress. From (296), one can see that the wavelength depends on \( \Phi_{\text{max}} \) and \( \lambda \).

In order to find the deviation \( \eta \) of the deflected axis of symmetry from the nondeflected axis, we have to get the differential equation for \( \eta \) first.

It is

\[ \frac{d^{2} \xi}{d \zeta^{2}} = \frac{d \xi}{d \zeta} \frac{d \zeta}{d s} \]

Since

\[ \frac{d \xi}{d s} = \sin \varphi \]

and using (239), it follows:

\[ \frac{d^{2} \xi}{d \zeta^{2}} = (1 + \varepsilon) \sin \varphi \]  \hspace{1cm} (303)

Substituting \( \varepsilon \) from (269) yields

\[ \frac{d^{2} \xi}{d \zeta^{2}} = \left(1 - \frac{R}{P} - \frac{k}{P} \cos \varphi \right) \sin \varphi \]  \hspace{1cm} (304)

which is the desired differential equation for \( \eta \).
We obtain function \( \xi_1(z) \) by quadrature:

\[
\xi_1 = \int_0^z \left[ 1 - \frac{R}{P} - \frac{\lambda}{P} \cos \varphi \right] \sin \varphi \, dz
\]  

(305)

\( \sin \varphi \) and \( \cos \varphi \) are known functions of \( z \) according to (301).

We are now also able to calculate \( \lambda \) according to (274). The calculation of \( \xi_1 \) and \( \lambda \) depends on the calculation of the following four definite integrals:

\[
J_1 = \int_0^\ell \cos \varphi \, dz = \ell - 2 \int_0^{\ell \over 2} \sin^2 \varphi \, dz
\]  

(306)

\[
J_2 = \int_0^\ell \sin \varphi \, dz = 2 \int_0^{\ell \over 2} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \, dz
\]  

(307)

\[
J_3 = \int_0^\ell \cos 2\varphi \, dz = \ell - 8 \int_0^{\ell \over 2} \sin^2 \varphi \, dz - 8 \int_0^{\ell \over 2} \sin^4 \varphi \, dz
\]  

(308)

\[
J_4 = \int_0^\ell \sin 2\varphi \, dz = 4 \int_0^{\ell \over 2} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \, dz - 8 \int_0^{\ell \over 2} \sin^3 \varphi \cos \varphi \, dz
\]  

(309)
We have to solve the following four basic integrals:

\[ J_I = \int_0^\frac{\sin \frac{\phi}{2}}{2} \, dq \]  

(310)

\[ J_{II} = \int_0^\frac{\sin \frac{\phi}{2}}{2} \cos \frac{\phi}{2} \, dq \]  

(311)

\[ J_{III} = \int_0^\frac{\sin \frac{3\phi}{2}}{2} \, dq \]  

(312)

and

\[ J_{IV} = \int_0^\frac{\sin \frac{3\phi}{2}}{2} \cos \frac{\phi}{2} \, dq \]  

(313)

For that purpose, we have to evaluate \( \cos \frac{\phi}{2} \) from (295). It is

\[
\frac{\sin \frac{\phi}{2}}{2} = \frac{1 + 2q - q \cdot m^2 [z \chi + k] - (1 + q) p \cdot m^2 [z \chi + k]}{1 + 2q - q \cdot m^2 [z \chi + k]}
\]

\[
= \frac{1 + 2q \left[1 - \frac{(1 + q) \rho + q}{1 + 2q} \cdot m^2 [z \chi + k]\right]}{1 + 2q - q \cdot m^2 [z \chi + k]}
\]
Because of (292) and

\[ dn^2(zx + k) = 1 - k^2 \sin^2(zx + k) \]

follows

\[ cv_s^2 \frac{q}{2} = dn \left[ 2x + k \right] \sqrt{\frac{a}{2z} - \cos \gamma_{\text{max}}} \]

\[ \frac{4(\frac{a}{2z} - \cos \gamma_{\text{max}})}{1 - \cos \gamma_{\text{max}}} - \sin^2(zx + k) \]  

(314)

All integrals \( J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV} \) can be found in a closed form.

It is

\[ J_I = \frac{(1 + q)q}{q} \int_0^\ell \frac{\sin^2(zx + k)}{1 + 2q - \sin^2(zx + k)} \, dz \]  

(315)

\[ J_{II} = \frac{(1 + q)(1 + 2q)^2}{q} \int_0^\ell \frac{dn(zx + k) \, sn(zx + k) \, dz}{1 + 2q - \sin^2(zx + k)} \]  

(316)

\[ J_{III} = \frac{(1 + q)q}{q} \int_0^\ell \frac{\sin^4(zx + k) \, dz}{\left[ \frac{1 + 2q}{q} - \sin^2(zx + k) \right]^2} \]  

(317)
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We solve (315) first:

\[
J_{\ell} = \frac{(1 + q_{\ell})\rho}{q_{\ell}} \left[ \int_0^l \frac{dz}{1 - \frac{q_{\ell}}{1 + 2q_{\ell}} \left( \frac{1}{q_{\ell}} - \frac{1}{1 + 2q_{\ell}} \right) \text{sn}^2(K'z)} - \int d^2 \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{\rho}{q_{\ell}} (1 + q_{\ell}) \left[ \frac{1}{K} \left( \int_0^{\kappa + ln} \frac{d\xi}{1 - \frac{q_{\ell}}{1 + 2q_{\ell}} \text{sn}^2 \xi} - L \right) \right]
\]

For the first integral, we substitute

\[
m \int \tau \cdot dt = \sqrt{(1 - t^2)(1 - k^2 t^2)} \ d\xi
\]

and get

\[
\int_0^{\kappa + ln} \frac{d\xi}{1 - \frac{q_{\ell}}{1 + 2q_{\ell}} \text{sn}^2 \xi} = \frac{\text{sn}(K'\xi)}{(1 - \frac{q_{\ell}}{1 + 2q_{\ell}} t^2)^2} \cdot \frac{d\xi}{1 - \frac{q_{\ell}}{1 + 2q_{\ell}} t^2 (1 - k^2 t^2)}
\]
\[ = \prod \left( \text{sn} (K + \pi \ell) ; k, - \frac{q}{1 + 2q} \right) \]

\[ - \prod \left( 1; k, - \frac{q}{1 + 2q} \right) \]  

(320)

\[ \prod (t; k, n) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{(1 + n t^2) \sqrt{(1 - t^2)(1 - k^2 t^2)}} \]

is the elliptic integral of the third kind.

The integral (319) therefore is

\[ J_1 = \frac{\nu}{\Omega} (1 + q) \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \prod \left( \text{sn} (K + \pi \ell); k, - \frac{q}{1 + 2q} \right) \right. \]

\[ - \frac{1}{\pi} \prod \left( 1; k, - \frac{q}{1 + 2q} \right) - \ell \]  

(321)
Next, we treat the integral $J_{II}$.

Here we substitute $\text{cn} (\kappa \varphi + \gamma) = t$

with

\[
\frac{dt}{d\varphi} = \frac{\text{dn}(\xi \varphi + \gamma)}{\text{dn}(\xi \varphi + \gamma)} d\varphi
\]

\[
m^2(\kappa \varphi + \gamma) = 1 - \text{sn}^2(\kappa \varphi + \gamma) = 1 - t^2
\]

We get

\[
J_{II} = - \frac{1}{\kappa \varphi} \sqrt{p(1+q)(1+2q)} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-\frac{q}{1+q} t^2}} \right) \text{cn}(\kappa \varphi + \gamma)
\]

\[
J_{II} = - \frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{p}{1+2q}} \arctan(t\sqrt{\frac{q}{1+q}}) \bigg|_0 \text{cn}(\kappa \varphi + \gamma)
\]

\[
= - \frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{p}{1+2q}} \arctan(\text{cn}(\kappa \varphi + \gamma)\sqrt{\frac{q}{1+q}})
\]

(322)
The third integral will be solved by using the transformation

\[ \text{sn}(\kappa z + \kappa) = t \]
\[ dt = \kappa \sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-k^2t^2)} \, dz \]
\[ J_\Pi = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left[ p \frac{1+q^2}{q^2} \right]^2 \int \frac{t^4}{[\sqrt{1+2q^2 - t^2}]^2 \sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-t^4k^2)}} \, dt \]

(323)

Since the rational factor of the elliptic radical is of even power in \( t \), we can rewrite (323) to bring into a more common form. But first we have to expand (323) into partial fractions. Setting for abbreviation

\[ \frac{q^2}{1+2q^2} = n^2 \]

(324)

we get

\[ J_\Pi = \frac{n^4}{\kappa} \left( p \frac{1+q^2}{q^2} \right)^2 \int \frac{[\sqrt{(1-n^2t^4)} \, dt}{\sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-t^4k^2)}} \]

(325)

Because of

\[ \left[ \frac{t^2}{1-n^2t^2} \right]^2 = \frac{1}{n^4} \left[ \frac{1}{1-n^2t^2} - 1 \right]^2 = \frac{1}{n^4} \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{1-n^2t^2} + \frac{1}{(1-n^2t^2)^2} \right] \]
follows (325)

\[ J_{II} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left( \rho \frac{l + \rho}{q} \right)^2 \left\{ \int \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\left(1 - t^2\right)\left(1 - k^2t^2\right)}} \right\} \]

\[ \frac{sn(K + x\ell)}{snK} \]

\[ - 2 \int \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\left(1 - n^2t^2\right)\left(1 - k^2t^2\right)}} \]

\[ + \int \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\left(1 - n^2t^2\right)\left(1 - l^2t^2\right)}} \]

\[ = \left( \rho \frac{l + \rho}{q} \right)^2 \left\{ l - \frac{2}{\kappa} \left[ \Pi \left( \frac{sn(K + n\ell)}{sn(K + x\ell)} ; -n^2, k \right) - \Pi \left( l^2 - n^2, k \right) \right] - \frac{1}{\kappa} \int \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\left(1 - n^2t^2\right)\left(1 - l^2t^2\right)}} \right\} \]

(326)

In order to evaluate the last integral, we shall derive a recursion formula for this kind of elliptic integral, since all elliptic integrals can be reduced to the three basic kinds of elliptic integrals and rational, logarithmic, and inverse trigonometric functions in \( t \) and the elliptic radical \( \sqrt{\left(1 - x^2\right)\left(1 - k^2t^2\right)} \)
First we expand the polynomial

\[ y^2 = (1 - t^2)(1 - k^2 t^4) = 1 - (1 + k^2) t^2 + k^2 t^4 (1 - n^2 t^2) \]  

in the power of

\[ (1 - n^2 t^2) \]

Setting \( t^2 = \xi \), then

\[ y^2 = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2} \frac{(-1)^\nu}{\nu!} \frac{\partial^\nu y^2}{\partial \xi^\nu} \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{n^2} - t^2 \right)^\nu \]

\[ = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2} \frac{(-1)^\nu}{\nu! n^{2\nu}} \frac{\partial^\nu y^2}{\partial ^\nu \xi^\nu} \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) (1 - n^2 t^2)^\nu \]

\[ y^2 \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) = 1 - (1 + k^2) \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{k^2}{n^4} = \frac{k^2(1-n^2)-n^2+n^4}{n^4} \]

\[ \frac{d}{d \xi} \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) = - (1 + k^2) + 2 k^2 \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{2k^2 - n^2(1+k^2)}{n^2} \]

\[ \frac{d^2 y^2}{d \xi^2} \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) = 2 k^2 \]

\[ y^2 = \frac{n^4 + k^2 - n^2(1+k^2)}{n^4} \]

\[ + \frac{-2k^2 + n^2(1+k^2)}{n^4} (1 - n^2 t^2) + \frac{k^2}{n^4} (1 - n^2 t^2)^2 \]
We use the abbreviation

\[ A_0 = \frac{n^4 + k^2 - n^2(1 + k^2)}{n^4} \]  \hspace{1cm} (329)

\[ A_1 = \frac{n^2(1 + k^2) - 2k^2}{n^4} \]  \hspace{1cm} (330)

\[ A_2 = \frac{k^2}{n^4} \]  \hspace{1cm} (331)

to simplify (328)

\[ y^2 = A_0 + A_1(1 - n^2t^2) + A_2(1 - n^2t^2)^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (332)

Dividing (332) by \( y (1 - n^2t^2) \) we get

\[ \frac{y}{(1 - n^2t^2)^{1/2}} = \frac{A_0}{(1 - n^2t^2)^{1/2}y} + \frac{A_1}{(1 - n^2t^2)^{1/2}y^{1/2}} + \frac{A_2}{(1 - n^2t^2)^{1/2}y^{1/2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (333)

We define the integral

\[ \mathcal{J}_v = \int \frac{dt}{(1 - n^2t^2)^{1/2}y} = \int \frac{dt}{(1 - n^2t^2)^{1/2}y\sqrt{(1 - t^2)(1 - k^2t^2)}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (334)

and integration of (333) is therefore
\[
\int \frac{y \, dt}{(1-n^2t^2)^v} = A_0 J_v + A_1 J_{v-1} + A_2 J_{v-2} \tag{335}
\]

The left-hand side integral we integrate by part

\[
\int \frac{y \, dt}{(1-n^2t^2)^v} = \frac{yt}{(1-n^2t^2)^v} - \int t \left\{ \frac{(-v)(-2n^2t)}{(1-n^2t^2)^{v+1}} y \right\} dt
\]

\[+ \frac{d(y^2)}{dt} \frac{d}{(1-n^2t^2)^v} \frac{2y}{2y} \right\} dt \tag{336}
\]

Evaluating

\[
\frac{d(y^2)}{dt} = \frac{d(y^2)}{d(1-n^2t^2)} \frac{d(1-n^2t^2)}{dt}
\]

\[= (A_1 + 2A_2(1-n^2t^2))(-2n^2t) \]

and then (336) becomes

\[
\int \frac{y \, dt}{(1-n^2t^2)^v} = \frac{yt}{(1-n^2t^2)^v} - \int \frac{n^2t^2}{(1-n^2t^2)^{v+1}} y \left\{ 2v[A_0 + A_1(1-n^2t^2) + A_2(1-n^2t^2)^2] \right. \]

\[- A_1(1-n^2t^2) - 2A_2(1-n^2t^2)^2 \} dt
\]
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or

\[
\int \frac{y \, dt}{(1-n^2 t^2)^v} = \frac{y \, t}{(1-n^2 t^2)^v} - \int \frac{dt}{(1-n^2 t^2)^v y} \left\{ 2v A_0 + A_1 (2v-1)(1-n^2 t^2) + 2(v-1) A_2 (1-n^2 t^2)^2 \right\}
\]

\[
+ \int \frac{dt}{(1-n^2 t^2)^v y} \left\{ 2v A_0 + A_1 (2v-1)(1-n^2 t^2) + 2(v-1) A_2 (1-n^2 t^2)^2 \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{y \, t}{(1-n^2 t^2)^v} - 2v A_0 \, J_{v+1} + (2v A_0 - A_1 (2v-1)) \, J_v + (A_1 (2v-1) - 2(v-1) A_2) \, J_{v-1} + (2v - 2) A_2 \, J_{v-2}
\]

(337)

Replacing the left-hand side of (335) by (337), we get the following recursion formula:

\[
2v A_0 \, J_{v+1} + [(1-2v) A_0 + A_1 (2v-1)] \, J_v
\]

\[
- 2(v-1) A_1 + 2(v-1) A_2 \] \, J_{v-1} - (2v - 3) A_2 \, J_{v-2} = \frac{y \, t}{(1-n^2 t^2)^v}
\]

(338)
Because of (329), (330) and (331) are

\[ 2vA_0 = \frac{2v}{n^4} (n^4 + k^2 - n^2(1 + k^2)) \]  
\[ (2v - 1)(A_1 - A_0) = -\frac{2v - 1}{n^4} (n^4 - 2n^2(1 + k^2) + 3k^2) \]  
\[ 2(v - 1)(A_2 - A_1) = \frac{2(v - 1)}{n^4} (3k^2 - n^2(1 + k^2)) \]  
\[ -(2v - 3)A_2 = -\frac{2v - 3}{n^4} k^2 \]

From (338), we get our integral in (326) immediately by setting \( v = 1 \):

\[ 2A_0 J_2 = \frac{yt}{1 - n^2 t^2} + (A_0 - A_1) \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - n^2 t^2}} dt - A_2 J_{-1} \]

or

\[ J_2 = \frac{yt}{2(1 - n^2 t^2)} A_0 + \frac{A_0 - A_1}{2A_0} J_1 - \frac{A_2}{2A_0} J_{-1} \]

We have to modify

\[ J_{-1} = \int \frac{1 - n^2 t^2}{y} dt \]

into the canonical form. We get

\[ J_{-1} = \int \frac{1 - n^2 t^2}{y} dt = \frac{n^2}{k^2} \int \frac{1 - k^2 t^2}{y} dt 
+ (1 - \frac{n^2}{k^2}) \int \frac{dt}{y} 
= \frac{n^2}{k^2} E(t; k) + (1 - \frac{n^2}{k^2}) F(t; k) \]
E (t, K) is the elliptic integral of the second kind. Now we know that the elliptic integral of the third kind is

\[ J_1 = \text{\textit{\Pi}}(t; -n^2, k) \]

therefore,

\[ J_2 = \int \frac{dt}{(1-n^2 t^2)\sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-k^2 t^2)}} \]

\[ = \frac{y t}{2A_0 (1-n^2 t^2)} + \frac{A_0 - A_1}{2A_0} \text{\textit{\Pi}}(t; -n^2, k) \]

\[ - \frac{A_2}{2A_0} \left[ \frac{n^2}{k^2} E(t; k) + (1 - \frac{n^2}{k^2}) F(t; k) \right] \]

(345)

From (329), (330), and (331)

\[ \frac{A_0 - A_1}{A_0} = \frac{n^4 - 3k^2 - 2n^2(l+k^2)}{n^4 + k^2 - n^2(l+k^2)} \]

\[ \frac{A_2}{A_0} = \frac{k^2}{n^4 + k^2 - n^2(l+k^2)} \]

and (345), finally
Finally, we are able to write the solution for $J_{III}$ from (326) with (396) as follows:

\[
J_{III} = \left( \frac{1+q}{q} \right)^2 \left\{ \mathfrak{l} + \frac{n^4 \, \text{sn}'(K+\kappa \ell) \, \text{cn}(K+\kappa \ell) \, d\text{sn}(K+\kappa \ell)}{2\pi (1-n^8 \text{sn}^2(K+\kappa \ell)) (n^4+k^2-n^8(1+k^2))} \right. \\
\left. \quad - \frac{3n^4+7k^2-2n^8(1+k^2)}{2\pi (n^4+k^2-n^8(1+k^2))} \left[ \mathfrak{h} (\text{sn}(K+\kappa \ell); -n^2; k) \right. \right. \\
\left. \left. \quad - \mathfrak{h}(1; -n^2; k) \right] \right. \\
\left. \quad - \frac{n^2}{2\pi (n^4+k^2-n^8(1+k^2))} \left[ \mathbb{E} (\text{sn}(K+\kappa \ell); k) \right. \right. \\
\left. \left. \quad - \mathbb{E}(1; k) \right] \right. 
\]
We must now evaluate \((318)\), which is simple to do.

We substitute

\[
\text{cn}(\tau z + K) = t
\]

with

\[
dt = - sn(\tau z + K) dn(\tau z + K) \ \kappa \ dz
\]

and

\[
\text{sn}(\tau z + K) = 1 - t^2
\]

so we get

\[
\mathcal{J}_{IV} = \frac{(1 + q^2)^{3/2}}{q^2} \sqrt{1 + 2q} \ \int \frac{dn(K \times \ell)}{\sqrt{(t^2 - 1)^2}} \ \int \left[ \frac{1}{r^2} - 1 + t^2 \right] dt
\]
\[
\frac{[(1+q)p]^{3/2} [1+2q]^{1/2}}{\kappa q^2} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} - 1} \right. \\
\left. \frac{cn(K+n\ell)}{n^2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n^2} - 1 + \ell^2} \right\}\right. \\

\text{After some transformation, we get for (348)}
\]
\[
\mathcal{J}_M = \frac{[(1+q)p]^{3/2} [1+2q]^{1/2}}{\kappa q^2 (1-n^2)} \left\{ \frac{n}{\sqrt{1-n^2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2n^2} \right] \right. \\
\left. \arctan \left( \frac{n \cdot cn(K+n\ell)}{\sqrt{1-n^2}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{cn(K+n\ell)}{1-n^2 + cn^2(K+n\ell)} \right\}
\]

or with (324),
\[
\mathcal{J}_M = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{p}{q} \sqrt{\frac{p}{q} (1+q)} \arctan \left( \frac{\frac{q}{1+q} \cdot cn(K+n\ell)}{\sqrt{1+q}} \right) \\
- \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{p}{q} \sqrt{p(1+q)(1+2q)} \frac{cn(K+n\ell)}{1-n^2 + cn^2(K+n\ell)}
\]
After this rather extensive evaluation of the four integrals, we are now able to give the analytical expression of the deflection coordinate \( \eta \) of the deflected line of symmetry. According to (305), (307), (309), (311), (313),

\[
\xi(z) = 2(1 - \frac{R}{P}) J_\Pi(z) - \frac{A}{P} \left[ 2 J_\Pi(z) - 4 J_\Pi(z) \right] \\
= 2 \left( 1 - \frac{R + A}{P} \right) J_\Pi(z) + \frac{4A}{P} J_\Pi(z) 
\]

(350)

We can now express \( J_{II} \) and \( J_{IV} \) explicitly by (322) and (349) and get

\[
\xi(z) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left\{ 2 \left( 1 + \frac{R + 2}{P} \right) \frac{\sqrt{\phi_1(q)(1+2q)}}{q} \right. \\
- \frac{2A}{P} \left( \frac{1+2q}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{q_2(1+2q)^{1/2}}{q}} \right\} \cdot \text{atan} \left( \frac{\sqrt{q}}{1+q} \cdot \text{cn} \left( K + \kappa \eta \right) \right) \\
- \frac{2A}{P} \frac{\sqrt{\phi_1(q)(1+2q)}}{q} \frac{\sqrt{1+q} \cdot \text{cn} \left( K + \kappa \eta \right)}{1 - n^2 + \text{cn}^2 \left( K + \kappa \eta \right)} \\
or

\[
\xi(z) = \frac{2}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\phi_1(q)(1+2q)}}{q} \left[ \frac{R + 2(1 - \frac{P}{q})}{P} - 1 \right] \cdot \text{atan} \left( \frac{\sqrt{q}}{1+q} \cdot \text{cn} \left( K + \kappa \eta \right) \right) 
\]
\[
-2 \frac{\lambda}{P} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{p}{q} \sqrt{p(1+q)(1+2q)} \frac{cn(k+\kappa z)}{1-h^2 + cn^2(k+\kappa z)}
\]

(351) represents the deflection curve. The periodicity of this curve is the same as the periodicity, $z_\nu$ (as found for $\phi(z)$), in equation (302).

We shall introduce the original physical parameters

\[
1-h^2 = \frac{a-b\cos \phi_{\text{max}}}{a-2b\cos \phi_{\text{max}}}
\]

(352)

\[
\frac{p}{q} = \frac{a}{2b} - 1 = \frac{p-R}{a} - 1 = \frac{p-R-\lambda}{a}
\]

(353)

\[
R + 2 \left(1-\frac{p}{q}\right) - 1 = 2 \left(\frac{R+\lambda}{p} - 1\right)
\]

(354)

\[
1+q = \frac{\frac{a}{2b} - \frac{1}{2}(1+c\cos \phi_{\text{max}})}{\frac{a}{2b} - 1} = \frac{p-R-\lambda(1+c\cos \phi_{\text{max}})}{2(p-R-\lambda)}
\]

(355)

\[
1+2q = \frac{p-R-\lambda(2-c\cos \phi_{\text{max}})}{p-R-\lambda}
\]

(356)

\[
\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2pq}}} \sqrt{p-R-\lambda c\cos \phi_{\text{max}}}
\]

(357)

We have to determine $\phi_{\text{max}}$ and $\lambda$. $\phi_{\text{max}}$ can be determined from (304) and (262):
Then, $\lambda$ is determined by means of (274), (306), (308), (308), (321), and (347).

It is

$$\lambda = \frac{(P-R) \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{e} J_I(\ell) \right] - (1+\beta)P}{1 - \frac{4}{e} J_I(\ell) - \frac{4}{e} J_{II}(\ell)}$$

(360)

In (360), the expressions (321) and (347) apply. (360) is a transcendental equation in $\lambda$, since $J_I(\ell)$ and $J_{III}(\ell)$ are functions of $\lambda$. In order to evaluate $\lambda$ numerically, an iterative method should be applied. In order to do this, we have to approximate the functions $J_I(\ell)$ and $J_{III}(\ell)$, so that the first value of $\lambda$ becomes reasonably accurate. But this means that (321) and (347) must be specially investigated.
First of all, we consider that \( \ell \) is large, such that

\[
\frac{n \ell}{k} = 14N - 1
\]

or

\[
\ell = (4N-1) \frac{K(n)}{\pi} (N-\frac{1}{4}) E
\]

(361)

where \( N \) is a large integer number (number of waves!).

Therefore,

\[
E \left( \text{sn}(K + n \ell); k \right) = 4N E
\]

(362)

where \( E \) is the complete elliptic integral of the second kind

\[
E = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \phi}}
\]

(363)

Similarly,

\[
\Pi \left( \text{sn}(K + n \ell); -n^2, k \right) = 4N \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\phi}{(1-n^2 \sin^2 \phi) \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \phi}}
\]

(364)
The complete integral of the third kind $\Pi (-n^2, k)$ can be expressed by $K(x, k)$ and $E(x, k)$ as follows:

$$
\Pi (-n^2, k) = K(k) + \frac{n}{\sqrt{(1-n^2)(k^2-n^2)}} \left[ E\left(\frac{n^2}{k^2}; k\right) K(k) - F\left(\frac{n^2}{k^2}; k\right) E(k) \right]
$$

for $n < k$, which is the case in this problem. For $N \gg 1$, $J_I$ is

$$
J_I = \frac{p}{q} (1 + q) \frac{(4N-1)n}{\kappa} \left[ E\left(\frac{n^2}{k^2}; k\right) K(k) - F\left(\frac{n^2}{k^2}; k\right) E(k) \right]
$$

The integral (347) is

$$
J_{III} = \frac{(p \frac{1+q}{q})^2}{2(n^4 + k^2 - n^2(l+k^2))\kappa} \left\{ (4N-1) K(k) (-n^4 + n^2 - 7k^2) 
 - (3n^4 + 7k^2 - 2n^2(l+k^2)) \frac{(4N-1)n}{\sqrt{(1-n^2)(k^2-n^2)}} \left[ E\left(\frac{n^2}{k^2}; k\right) K(k) 
 - F\left(\frac{n^2}{k^2}; k\right) E(k) \right] - (4N-1)n^2 E(k) \right\}
$$

Therefore, (360)

$$
\lambda = \frac{U(\lambda, \varphi_{max})}{V(\lambda, \varphi_{max})}
$$
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\[ U(\lambda, \varphi_{\text{max}}) = -(R + \beta P) \]
\[ - (P - R) \frac{P}{Q} (1 + q) \frac{2n}{\sqrt{(1 - n^2)(k^2 - n^2)}} \left[ E(\frac{P}{K}, k) \right. \]
\[ \left. - F(\frac{P}{K}; k) \frac{E(k)}{K(k)} \right] \]
\[ V(\lambda, \varphi_{\text{max}}) = 1 - \frac{2(P \frac{1 + q}{Q})^2}{n^4 + k^2 - n^2(1 + k^2)} \left\{ n^4 + 7k^2 - n^2(1 - \frac{E(k)}{K(k)}) \right\} \]
\[ + 2(P \frac{1 + q}{Q}) \left[ \frac{3n^4 + 7k^2 - 2n^2(1 + k^2)}{n^4 + k^2 - n^2(1 + k^2)} \frac{P}{Q} (1 + q) - 2 \right] \]
\[ \frac{n}{\sqrt{(1 - n^2)(k^2 - n^2)}} \left[ E(\frac{P}{K}; k) - F(\frac{P}{K}; k) \frac{E(k)}{K(k)} \right] \]

From (324) and (292) follows

\[ \frac{n}{\sqrt{(1 - n^2)(k^2 - n^2)}} = \frac{1 + q}{1 + 2q} \sqrt{P} \]

\[ \frac{k^2}{n^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{q} \]

\[ 1 + k^2 = \frac{1 + 3q + (1 + q)\rho}{1 + 2q} \]

\[ n^2 = \frac{q}{1 + 2q} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{q}} \]
\[
\frac{n^4 + 7k^2 - n^2 \left( 1 - \frac{E(k)}{K(k)} \right)}{n^4 + k^2 - n^2 \left( 1 + k^2 \right)} = \frac{-(1 - \frac{E(k)}{K(k)}) + n^2 + 7 \frac{k^2}{n^2}}{-(1 + k^2) + n^2 + \frac{m^2}{n^2}}
\]  
(375)

\(g\) is with (280) and (281)

\[q' = \frac{\min \frac{q_{\text{max}}}{2}}{2(p-R) - 1}\]

(376)

It is \(p - R \geq \lambda\); therefore, \(q < 1\) and

\[n^2 \approx q\]

(377)

\[k^2 \approx p\]

(378)

\[
\frac{n^2}{\rho^2} \approx \frac{q}{\rho} \quad \frac{k^2}{n^2} \approx \frac{p}{q} = \frac{2(p-R)}{\lambda} - 1 \geq \frac{2(p-R)}{\lambda}
\]

(379)

Therefore,

\[
\frac{n^4 + 7k^2 - n^2 \left( 1 - \frac{E(k)}{K(k)} \right)}{n^4 + k^2 - n^2 \left( 1 + k^2 \right)} \approx 7
\]

(380)

\[
\frac{3n^4 + 7k^2 - 2n^2 \left( 1 + k^2 \right)}{n^4 + k^2 - n^2 \left( 1 + k^2 \right)} = \frac{3n^2 + 7 \frac{k^2}{n^2} - 2(1 + k^2)}{n^2 + \frac{k^2}{n^2} - (1 + k^2)} \approx 7
\]

(381)

\[
V(\lambda, q_{\text{max}}) = 1 - 4 \frac{p}{q} \sqrt{p} \left[ E(\sqrt{\lambda \rho}, \sqrt{p}) - F(\sqrt{\lambda \rho}, \sqrt{p}) \frac{E(\sqrt{p})}{K(\sqrt{p})} \right]
\]

(382)
\[ U(\lambda, q_{\text{max}}) = -(R + \beta P) - (P - R) \frac{P}{q} \sqrt{q} \left[ E(\sqrt{\frac{P}{q}}, \sqrt{q}) - F(\sqrt{\frac{P}{q}}, \sqrt{q}) \right] \]

(383)

It is

\[ F(q, k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)^n \left( -k^2 \right)^n j_{2n}(q) \]

(384)

\[ E(q, k) = \sum \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \left( -k^2 \right)^n j_{2n}(q) \]

(385)

with the recursion formula

\[ j_{2v}(q) = \frac{2v-1}{2v} j_{2v-2}(q) - \frac{1}{2v} \sin^{2v-1} \phi \cos \phi \]

(386)

\[ j_0(q) = q \]

(387)

\[ j_2(q) = \frac{1}{2} q - \frac{1}{2} \sin \phi \cos \phi \]

\[ \text{for } v = 1 \]

\[ j_4(q) = \frac{3}{4} j_2(q) - \frac{1}{4} \sin^3 \phi \cos \phi \]

\[ = \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} q - \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sin \phi \cos \phi - \frac{1}{4} \sin^3 \phi \cos \phi \]

\[ \text{for } v = 2 \]

\[ j_6(q) = \frac{5}{6} j_4(q) - \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} q - \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sin \phi \cos \phi - \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sin^3 \phi \cos \phi \]

\[ - \frac{1}{6} \sin^5 \phi \cos \phi \]

\[ \text{for } v = 3 \]
For \( \mathcal{N} = \sqrt{\rho} \) small is \( E(\mathcal{N}) \propto K(k) \propto \frac{\pi}{2} \)

and

\[
E(\varphi; k) - F(\varphi; k) \frac{E(\sqrt{\varphi})}{K(\sqrt{\varphi})} =
\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (-k^2)^v j_{2v}(\varphi) \left[ \left( \frac{1}{v} \right) - \left( \frac{-1}{v} \right) \right]
\]

\[
\left( \frac{1}{v} \right) = \frac{(\frac{1}{2})!}{v! \left( v - \frac{1}{2} \right)!} ; \quad \left( \frac{-1}{v} \right) = \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})!}{v! \left( v + \frac{1}{2} \right)!}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{1}{2} \right)! = \frac{1}{2} (-\frac{1}{2})! ; \quad (v + \frac{1}{2})! = (v + \frac{1}{2})(v - \frac{1}{2})!
\]

\[
\left( \frac{1}{v} \right) - \left( \frac{-1}{v} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)! \frac{1}{(v + \frac{1}{2})!} \left[ (v + \frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right]
\]

\[
\left( \frac{-1}{2} \right)! = \sqrt{\pi}
\]

Therefore,

\[
E(\varphi; k) - F(\varphi; k) \frac{E(k)}{K(k)}
\]

\[
= \frac{2}{\pi} (\varphi - \frac{\sin 2\varphi}{2})(E(k) - K(k))
\]

\[
= -\frac{2}{3} \left[ \frac{2}{k} (E(k) - K(k))^2 + \frac{k^2}{2} \right] \sin^2 \varphi \cos \varphi
\]

186
and for small \( \varphi \) and small \( k \)

\[
E(\varphi; k) - F(\varphi; k) \frac{E(k)}{K(k)} = -\frac{1}{3} k^2 \varphi^3
\]  

(388)

Therefore, with

\[
\varphi = \frac{a}{k} \approx \frac{q}{\rho}, \quad K = \sqrt{\rho}
\]

\[
U(\lambda, \varphi_{\text{max}}) \approx -(R + \lambda P) + (P-R) \frac{p}{q} \sqrt{\rho} \left(-\frac{1}{3} q \sqrt{\rho} \right)
\]

\[
= -(R + \lambda P) - p \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{3} (P-R)
\]  

(389)

\[
V = 1 + \frac{4}{3} \rho \sqrt{\rho}
\]

(390)

g can be approximated by

\[
q \approx \frac{4}{2(P-R)} \sin^2 \frac{\varphi_{\text{max}}}{2}
\]

then, (368) with (389) and (390)

\[
\lambda = \frac{-(R+\beta P) - \frac{1}{3} \lambda \rho \lambda (P-R)^{1/2} \lambda^{1/2} \sin^3 \frac{\varphi_{\text{max}}}{2}}{1 + \frac{4}{3} \lambda^{1/2} (P-R)^{1/2} \sin^3 \frac{\varphi_{\text{max}}}{2}}
\]

(391)

Setting \( \lambda = x \), we get the following third-order equation:

\[
x^3 + x^2 \frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{4} \frac{(P-R)^{1/2}}{\sin^3 \frac{\varphi_{\text{max}}}{2}} + x \frac{P-R}{4}
\]

\[
+ \frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{4} \frac{(R+\beta P)(P-R)^{1/2}}{\sin^3 \frac{\varphi_{\text{max}}}{2}} = 0
\]

(392)
For small $\Phi_{\text{max}}$, the first and third terms can be ignored, and we get

$$\chi^2 = \lambda = - (R + \beta P)$$

(393)

as a reasonably good solution for the eigenvalue $\lambda$. The eigenvalue $\lambda$ does not depend (or does not strongly depend) on $\Phi_{\text{max}}$. 
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E. BUCKLING OF FINITE MULTIFIBERS IN A MATRIX UNDER AXIAL LOAD, THREE-DIMENSIONAL

For the multifiber reinforced composite with the finite matrix treated as a three-dimensional cylinder,

The displacement components of the matrix, in terms of Papkovitch functions, in cylindrical coordinates, are as follows:

\[ \xi_1^\pi = P_1 \cos \phi + P_2 \sin \phi - \frac{1}{4(1-v^2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \left[ h(P_1 \cos \phi + P_2 \sin \phi) + P_0 \right] \]

\[ \xi_2^\pi = -P_1 \sin \phi + P_2 \cos \phi - \frac{1}{4(1-v^2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left[ h(P_1 \cos \phi + P_2 \sin \phi) + P_0 \right] \]

\[ \xi_3^\pi = -\frac{1}{4(1-v^2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ h(P_1 \cos \phi + P_2 \sin \phi) + P_0 \right] \]

where \( P_0, P_1, \) and \( P_2 \) are harmonic functions such that

\[ \nabla^2 P_0 = \nabla^2 P_1 = \nabla^2 P_2 = 0 \]

\( \nabla^2 \) is a Laplacian operator in cylindrical coordinates; i.e.,

\[ \nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial h} + \frac{1}{h^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \]
The six stress components in cylindrical coordinates are:

\[
\sigma_{11}^\pi = 2G^\pi \left( \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \lambda} \cos \phi + \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \lambda} \sin \phi \right) \\
+ \frac{G^\pi}{2(1-\nu^2)} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right) [\lambda (P_1 \cos \phi + P_2 \sin \phi) + P_0] 
\]

\[
\sigma_{22}^\pi = -\frac{G^\pi}{2(1-\nu^2)} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right) [\lambda (P_1 \cos \phi + P_2 \sin \phi) + P_0] 
\]

\[
\sigma_{33}^\pi = \frac{G^\pi}{2(1-\nu^2)} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right) [\lambda (P_1 \cos \phi + P_2 \sin \phi) + P_0] 
\]

\[
\sigma_{12}^\pi = G^\pi \left[ (\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}) P_1 + (\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}) P_2 \right] \\
+ \frac{G^\pi}{2(1-\nu^2)} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right) [\lambda (P_1 \cos \phi + P_2 \sin \phi) + P_0] 
\]

\[
\sigma_{13}^\pi = G^\pi \left( \cos \phi \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \lambda} + \sin \phi \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \lambda} \right) \\
- \frac{G^\pi}{2(1-\nu^2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left[ \lambda (P_1 \cos \phi + P_2 \sin \phi) + P_0 \right] 
\]

\[
\sigma_{23}^\pi = G^\pi \left( \cos \phi \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \lambda} - \sin \phi \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \lambda} \right) \\
- \frac{G^\pi}{2(1-\nu^2)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \left[ \lambda (P_1 \cos \phi + P_2 \sin \phi) + P_0 \right] 
\]
The product solution form of a harmonic function in cylindrical coordinates is

\[
I_m(\alpha_n \rho) + K_m(\alpha_n \rho) \cdot [\sin(m\phi) + \cos(m\phi)] \cdot [\sin(\alpha_n z) + \cos(\alpha_n z)]
\]

(405)

In considering the boundary conditions of the present problem, we choose the solutions of Papkovich functions as follows:

\[
P_0 = [A_1 I_1(\alpha_n \rho) + B_1 K_1(\alpha_n \rho)] \cos \phi \sin(\alpha_n z)
\]

(406)

\[
P_1 = [C_1 I_2(\alpha_n \rho) + D_1 K_2(\alpha_n \rho)] \cos(2\phi) \sin(\alpha_n z)
+ [E_2 I_0(\alpha_n \rho) + F_2 K_0(\alpha_n \rho)] \sin(\alpha_n z)
\]

(407)

\[
P_2 = [C_1 I_2(\alpha_n \rho) + D_2 K_2(\alpha_n \rho)] \sin(2\phi) \sin(\alpha_n z)
\]

(408)

Then

\[
P_1 \cos \phi + P_2 \sin \phi = [C_1 I_2(\alpha_n \rho) + D_1 K_2(\alpha_n \rho) + E_1 I_0(\alpha_n \rho) + F_1 K_0(\alpha_n \rho)] \cos \phi \sin(\alpha_n z)
\]

(409)

\[
-P_1 \sin \phi + P_2 \cos \phi = [C_1 I_2(\alpha_n \rho) + D_1 K_2(\alpha_n \rho) - E_1 I_0(\alpha_n \rho) - F_1 K_0(\alpha_n \rho)] \sin \phi \sin(\alpha_n z)
\]

(410)
Substitution of the foregoing equations (406) through (410) into equations (394) through (396) yields the following solutions for displacements:

\[
\xi_1 = \frac{1}{4(1-\nu^2)} \left\{ (3-4\nu) \left[ C_1 I_2 (\alpha_n h) + D_1 K_2 (\alpha_n h) \right. \right.
\]
\[
+ E_1 I_0 (\alpha_n h) + F_1 K_0 (\alpha_n h) \left. \right] 
\]
\[
- \left[ A_1 I_1 (\alpha_n h) + B_1 K_1 (\alpha_n h) \right. \right.
\]
\[
- \left[ C_1 I_2 (\alpha_n h) + D_1 K_2 (\alpha_n h) + E_1 I_0 (\alpha_n h) \right. \right.
\]
\[
+ F_1 K_0 (\alpha_n h) \left. \right] \left[ \cos \phi \sin (\alpha_n z) \right. \right. \}
\]
\[
(411)
\]

\[
\xi_2 = \frac{1}{4(1-\nu^2)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ A_1 I_1 (\alpha_n h) + B_1 K_1 (\alpha_n h) \right. \right.
\]
\[
+ (5-4\nu) \left[ C_1 I_2 (\alpha_n h) + D_1 K_2 (\alpha_n h) \right. \right.
\]
\[
- (3-4\nu) \left[ E_1 I_0 (\alpha_n h) + F_1 K_0 (\alpha_n h) \right. \right.
\]
\[
\left. \right. \times \sin \phi \sin (\alpha_n z) \right. \}
\]
\[
(412)
\]

\[
\xi_3 = - \frac{\alpha_n}{4(1-\nu^2)} \left\{ A_1 I_1 (\alpha_n h) + B_1 K_1 (\alpha_n h) \right.
\]
\[
+ \nu \left[ C_1 I_2 (\alpha_n h) + D_1 K_2 (\alpha_n h) + E_1 I_0 (\alpha_n h) \right. \right.
\]
\[
+ F_1 K_0 (\alpha_n h) \left. \right] \left[ \cos \phi \cos (\alpha_n z) \right. \}
\]
\[
(413)
\]
The displacement components in Cartesian coordinates are

$$\xi_x^\infty = \xi_1^\infty \cos \phi - \xi_2^\infty \sin \phi$$

$$= -\frac{1}{8(1-\nu)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ A_1 I_1'(\alpha_n \alpha) + B_1 K_1'(\alpha_n \alpha) \right] + 2 \left[ C_1 I_2'(\alpha_n \alpha) + D_1 K_2'(\alpha_n \alpha) \right] - 2(3 - 4\nu) \left[ E_1 I_0(\alpha_n \alpha) + F_1 K_0(\alpha_n \alpha) \right] + \left[ A_1 I_1'(\alpha_n \alpha) + B_1 K_1'(\alpha_n \alpha) \right] + \left[ C_1 I_2'(\alpha_n \alpha) + D_1 K_2'(\alpha_n \alpha) + E_1 I_0(\alpha_n \alpha) + F_1 K_0(\alpha_n \alpha) \right] \right\} \sin (\alpha_n \beta)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8(1-\nu)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ A_1 I_1'(\alpha_n \alpha) + B_1 K_1'(\alpha_n \alpha) \right] + 8(1-\nu) \left[ C_1 I_2'(\alpha_n \alpha) + D_1 K_2'(\alpha_n \alpha) \right] - \left[ A_1 I_1'(\alpha_n \alpha) + B_1 K_1'(\alpha_n \alpha) \right] + \left[ C_1 I_2'(\alpha_n \alpha) + D_1 K_2'(\alpha_n \alpha) + E_1 I_0(\alpha_n \alpha) + F_1 K_0(\alpha_n \alpha) \right] \right\} \cos (2\beta) \sin (\alpha_n \beta)$$

where $$I_0'(\alpha_n \alpha) = \frac{d I_0(\alpha_n \alpha)}{d\alpha}$$, etc. (414)
\[ \xi_y = \xi_1 \sin \phi + \xi_2 \cos \phi \]
\[ = \frac{1}{8(1-\mu^2)} \left\{ \frac{1}{h} \left[ A_1 I_1 (\alpha_n h) + B_1 K_1 (\alpha_n h) \right] + \frac{8 (1-\mu^2)}{h} \left[ C_1 I_2 (\alpha_n h) + D_1 K_2 (\alpha_n h) \right] - \left[ A_1 I'_1 (\alpha_n h) + B_1 K'_1 (\alpha_n h) \right] - h \left[ C_1 I'_2 (\alpha_n h) + D_1 K'_2 (\alpha_n h) + E_1 I'_0 (\alpha_n h) + F_1 K'_0 (\alpha_n h) \right] \right\} \sin (2\phi) \sin (\alpha_n x) \]
(416)

\[ \xi_2 = \xi_3 \]
(417)

The radial stress \( \sigma_{11} \) and shear stress \( \sigma_{12} \) are found from equations (399), (402), (406), to 407, ~

\[ \sigma_{11} = \frac{2 \pi}{2(1-\mu^2)} \left[ C_1 I_2 (\alpha_n h) + D_1 K_2 (\alpha_n h) + E_1 I'_0 (\alpha_n h) + F_1 K'_0 (\alpha_n h) \right] \]

\[ + \frac{G}{2(1-\mu^2)} \left[ -\alpha_n^2 (A_1 I_1 (\alpha_n h) + B_1 K_1 (\alpha_n h) + h II) - \frac{h^2}{2} (A_1 I_1 (\alpha_n h) + B_1 K_1 (\alpha_n h)) + h (C_1 I_2 (\alpha_n h) + D_1 K_2 (\alpha_n h)) + E_1 I'_0 (\alpha_n h) + F_1 K'_0 (\alpha_n h) \right] \]
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\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( A_1 \mathcal{I}_1'(\alpha_n h) + B_1 K_1'(\alpha_n h) + \Pi + h \Pi' \right) \]
\[ - \frac{G}{2} \left( A_1 \mathcal{I}_1''(\alpha_n h) + B_1 K_1''(\alpha_n h) + 2 \Pi' + 2h \Pi'' \right) \]
\[ \cdot \cos \phi \sin (\alpha_n z) \]  
(418)

or

\[ \sigma_{ll} \equiv \frac{G}{2(1-\nu)} \left\{ A_1 \left[ - (\alpha_n^2 + \frac{1}{2}) \mathcal{I}_1(\alpha_n h) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}_1'(\alpha_n h) + (1-\nu) \mathcal{I}_1''(\alpha_n h) \right] 
+ B_1 \left[ - (\alpha_n^2 + \frac{1}{2}) K_1(\alpha_n h) + \frac{1}{2} K_1'(\alpha_n h) + (1-\nu) K_1''(\alpha_n h) \right] 
+ \alpha_n^2 \mathcal{I}_1 + (2(1-\nu)) \Pi' + (2\nu-1) \Pi'' \right\} \]
\[ \cdot \cos \phi \sin (\alpha_n z) \]  
(419)

where

\[ \Pi = C_1 \mathcal{I}_2(\alpha_n h) + D_1 K_2(\alpha_n h) + E_1 I_0(\alpha_n h) + F_1 K_0(\alpha_n h) \]
\[ \Pi' = C_1 \mathcal{I}_2'(\alpha_n h) + D_1 K_2'(\alpha_n h) + E_1 I_0'(\alpha_n h) + F_1 K_0'(\alpha_n h) \]
\[ \Pi'' = C_1 \mathcal{I}_2''(\alpha_n h) + D_1 K_2''(\alpha_n h) + E_1 I_0''(\alpha_n h) + F_1 K_0''(\alpha_n h) \]  
(420)
\[ \sigma_{12}^\Pi = -\frac{G^\Pi}{2(1-\nu^2)} \left\{ \frac{A_1}{\lambda^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} I_1(\alpha_n \lambda) - I'_1(\alpha_n \lambda) \right] \\
+ \frac{B_1}{\lambda^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} K_1(\alpha_n \lambda) - K'_1(\alpha_n \lambda) \right] \\
+ C_1 \left[ \frac{4(1-\nu^2)}{\lambda^2} I_2(\alpha_n \lambda) - (3-2\nu^2) I'_2(\alpha_n \lambda) \right] \\
+ D_1 \left[ \frac{4(1-\nu^2)}{\lambda^2} K_2(\alpha_n \lambda) - (3-2\nu^2) K'_2(\alpha_n \lambda) \right] \\
+ E_1 (1-2\nu^2) I'_0(\alpha_n \lambda) + F_1 (1-2\nu^2) K'_0(\alpha_n \lambda) \} \cdot \sin \phi \sin (\alpha_n z) \]

\[ \sigma_{13}^\Pi = -\frac{G^\Pi \alpha_n}{2(1-\nu^2)} \left\{ A_1 I'_1(\alpha_n \lambda) + B_1 K'_1(\alpha_n \lambda) \\
- C_1 \left[ (1-2\nu^2) I_2(\alpha_n \lambda) - \lambda I'_2(\alpha_n \lambda) \right] \\
- D_1 \left[ (1-2\nu^2) K_2(\alpha_n \lambda) - \lambda K'_2(\alpha_n \lambda) \right] \\
- E_1 \left[ (1-2\nu^2) I'_0(\alpha_n \lambda) - \lambda I''_0(\alpha_n \lambda) \right] \\
- F_1 \left[ (1-2\nu^2) K'_0(\alpha_n \lambda) - \lambda K''_0(\alpha_n \lambda) \right] \} \cdot \cos \phi \cos (\alpha_n z) \]
Equations (414) through (422) contain six constants \((A_1, B_1, C_1, D_1, E_1,\) and \(F_1)\) which must be determined by boundary conditions. These conditions include the continuity of displacements and stresses at the interface and will yield six simultaneous equations with six unknowns which are hardly amenable. For simplicity, it is then assumed that the radius of the fiber is much smaller than that of the composite; the three coefficients for modified Bessel functions of the second kind will be automatically dropped because of the finiteness of stress and displacements at the neighborhood of the origin.

The boundary conditions at interface \(r = a\) to be used are

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_\theta^+ &= \xi_\theta^- = \xi_{1n}^- \sin(\alpha_n z) \\
\xi_\phi^+ &= \xi_\phi^- = 0 \\
\xi_\phi^+ &= \xi_\phi^- = 0
\end{align*}
\]

Substitution of equation (423) into equations (414), (416), and (417) yields

\[
- \frac{1}{8(1-\nu^2)} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} I_1(\alpha_n a) + I'_1(\alpha_n a) \right] A_1 + \left[ 2 I_2(\alpha_n a) + \alpha I'_2(\alpha_n a) \right] C_1 + \left[ -2(3-4\nu) I_0(\alpha_n a) + \alpha I'_0(\alpha_n a) \right] E_1 \right\} = \xi_{1n}^-
\]

(424)
Solving these equations, we can obtain the solutions of $A_1$, $C_1$, and $E_1$ in terms of $\frac{E_1}{\gamma_\infty}$.

The denominator of coefficients $A_1$, $C_1$, and $E_1$ is designated as $\Delta$, and is written out as below.

$$\Delta = -2 \left\{ (1-4L^2) I_0^2(\alpha_n a) I_1(\alpha_n a) \right.$$

$$\left. - \left[ \frac{2(3-4L^2)}{\alpha_n a} + \alpha_n a \right] I_0(\alpha_n a) I_1^2(\alpha_n a) \right.$$

$$\left. + (\alpha_n a) I_0^3(\alpha_n a) + I_1^3(\alpha_n a) \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (427)

The results of the coefficients are

$$A_1 = \frac{\xi_a}{\Delta} \left\{ 2(5-4L^2) I_0^2(\alpha_n a) - 4(5-4L^2) \frac{I_0(\alpha_n a) I_1(\alpha_n a)}{\alpha_n a} \right.$$

$$\left. - 2 I_1^2(\alpha_n a) \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (428)
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The force per unit length applied by the matrix to the fiber due to the normal pressure and circumferential shear at the interface is

\[
\rho_1 = \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sigma_{11}^x \cos \phi - \sigma_{22}^x \sin \phi \right) \rho d\phi \bigg|_{r=a}
\]  

(431)

By substitution of equations (419) and (421) into (431), and the identities of definite integrals

\[
\int \sin^2 \phi \, d\phi = \frac{\phi}{2} - \frac{\sin(2\phi)}{4}
\]  

(432)

and

\[
\int \cos^2 \phi \, d\phi = \frac{\phi}{2} + \frac{\sin(2\phi)}{4},
\]  

we then have

\[
\rho_1 = \frac{\pi a G^x}{2(1-\nu^x)} \left\{ A_1 \left[ -\alpha_n^2 I_1(\alpha_n a) + (1-\nu^x) I''_1(\alpha_n a) \right] + C_1 \left[ \frac{1}{a} \left( \alpha_n a \right)^2 + 4(1-\nu^x) I_2(\alpha_n a) + 4(1-\nu^x) \alpha_n I''_2(\alpha_n a) \right] \right\}
\]  

(433)
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Since it was assumed that the fiber buckles on x-z plane, then

\[ \kappa = \frac{\delta_{x-z}}{5_x} = \frac{\delta_{x-z}}{5_x} \]  

(434)

Combination of equations (433), (434), (414) and (425) through (427) gives the unit interfacial force per unit lateral deflection, \( k \), due to surface normal force and circumferential shear, and is resulted as below:

\[
\kappa = \frac{\pi G_x}{2(1-\nu^2)\Delta_0} \left\{ \begin{align*}
8(1-\nu^2)(2-\nu^2)(\alpha_n a) \left[ I^3_0(\alpha_n a) 
+ \left[ \frac{\nu}{2} (2-\nu^2)(1-\nu^2) - 3\nu^2(\alpha_n a)^2 \right] I^3_1(\alpha_n a) 
- 4 \left[ 8(2-\nu^2)(1-\nu^2) + (6-5\nu^2)(\alpha_n a)^2 \right] I^2_0(\alpha_n a) 
+ 4 \left[ 2(4-2\nu^2-2\nu^2^2)(\alpha_n a) 
+ 8(2-\nu^2)(1-\nu^2)(\alpha_n a)^2 \right] \right] 
\cdot I^2_0(\alpha_n a) I_1(\alpha_n a) \\
\end{align*} \right\} \]  

(435)
BUCKLING LOAD OF THE FIBER

Combination of equations (72) and (435) yields

\[ P_{cr} = \alpha_n^2 E I \frac{\pi E I}{4\alpha_n^2 (1-\nu^2)} \left\{ 8 \left( 1-\frac{1}{1+\Delta}\right)^2 \frac{\pi E I}{4\alpha_n^2 (1-\nu^2)} \Delta \right\} \]

\[ = \frac{\pi E}{4\alpha_n^2 (1-\nu^2)} \left\{ 8 \left( 1-\frac{1}{1+\Delta}\right)^2 \frac{\pi E I}{4\alpha_n^2 (1-\nu^2)} \Delta \right\} \]

\[ + \frac{\pi (b^2-a^2) E}{2(1+\Delta)} \left( 1+\frac{a}{d} \right)^2 \]

where \( \Delta \) is defined in equation (427).
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SMALLEST BUCKLING LOAD AND BUCKLING WAVELENGTH OF THE FIBER

Minimization of $P_c$, with respect to $\alpha_n$, i.e., $\frac{\partial P_c}{\partial \alpha_n} = 0$ (437), gives

$$8 \alpha_n E I^3 \left\{ \alpha_n^3 a I_0^3(\alpha_n a) + \alpha_n^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_n} I_1(\alpha_n a) + \left(1 - 4 \pi^2\right) \alpha_n^2 \right. $$

$$\left. \cdot I_o^2(\alpha_n a) I_1(\alpha_n a) \right. $$

$$+ \left[ 2 \left( 3 - 4 \pi^2 \right) \alpha_n^3 a + \alpha_n^3 a \right] I_o(\alpha_n a) I_1^2(\alpha_n a) \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{\pi E I^3}{2(1 - \nu^2)} \left\{ \left( 1 - 4 \pi^2 \right) \alpha_n^2 I_0(\alpha_n a) I_1(\alpha_n a) \right. $$

$$\left. - \left[ 2 \left( 3 - 4 \pi^2 \right) \alpha_n^3 a + \alpha_n^3 a \right] I_o(\alpha_n a) I_1^2(\alpha_n a) \right. $$

$$\left. + (\alpha_n^3 a) I_0^3(\alpha_n a) + \alpha_n^2 I^3_1(\alpha_n a) \right\} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \left\{ 8 \left( 1 - \nu^2 \right) \left( 1 - \nu^2 \right) \left[ \alpha_n^3 I_0^3(\alpha_n a) + 3 \alpha_n^2 I_1^2(\alpha_n a) \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \cdot I_1(\alpha_n a) \right. $$

$$\left. - 6 \nu \pi \alpha_n^2 I_1^3(\alpha_n a) \right. $$

$$\left. - 3 a \left[ 3 \nu \pi (\alpha_n a)^2 - 16 (2 - \nu) (1 - \nu) \right] I_1^2(\alpha_n a) \right. $$

$$\left. \cdot \left[ I_o(\alpha_n a) - (\alpha_n a)^{-1} I_1(\alpha_n a) \right. \right.$$

$$\left. - 8 \left[ \left( 6 - 5 \pi^2 \right) \alpha_n a^2 \right] I_o^2(\alpha_n a) I_1(\alpha_n a) \right\}$$
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\[-4 \left( (6-5\frac{x}{2}) (\alpha_n a)^2 + 8 (1-\frac{x}{2}) (2-\frac{x}{2}) \right) \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 2 a I_0 (\alpha_n a) I_1 (\alpha_n a) - \alpha_n^{-1} I_0 (\alpha_n a) I_1 (\alpha_n a) \\ + a I_0^3 (\alpha_n a) \end{array} \right\} \\
+ 4 \left[ 2 (4-2) \frac{x}{2} - 1 \right] a + 3 \alpha_n^2 a^3 \\
+ 8 (2-\frac{x}{2}) (1-\frac{x}{2}) \alpha_n^{-2} a^{-1} I_0 (\alpha_n a) I_1 (\alpha_n a) \\
+ 4 \left[ 2 (4-2) \frac{x}{2} - 1 \right] (\alpha_n a)^3 \\
+ 8 (2-\frac{x}{2}) (1-\frac{x}{2}) (\alpha_n a)^{-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 2 a I_0 (\alpha_n a) I_1 (\alpha_n a) \\ 2 a I_0 (\alpha_n a) I_1 (\alpha_n a) \\ - 2 \alpha_n^{-1} I_0 (\alpha_n a) I_1 (\alpha_n a) \end{array} \right\} \right\} \}

\[-\frac{\pi \frac{F}{x}}{2 (1-\frac{x}{2})} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 8 (1-\frac{x}{2}) (2-\frac{x}{2}) (\alpha_n a) I_0^3 (\alpha_n a) \\ - \left[ 3 \frac{x}{2} (\alpha_n a)^2 - 16 (2-\frac{x}{2}) (1-\frac{x}{2}) \right] I_0^3 (\alpha_n a) \\
- 4 \left( (6-5\frac{x}{2}) (\alpha_n a)^2 + 8 (2-\frac{x}{2})(1-\frac{x}{2}) \right) \cdot I_0^2 (\alpha_n a) I_1 (\alpha_n a) \\
+ 4 \left[ 2 (4-2) \frac{x}{2} - 1 \right] (\alpha_n a)^3 + (\alpha_n a)^3 \right\} \]
This equation determines the critical buckling wavelength of the fiber, \( l_{cr} = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_j} \). After substituting the obtained value of \( \alpha_j \) into equation (436), we then get the smallest critical buckling load of the fiber, \( P_{cr}^{1} \).
APPENDIX V

EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF SHORT BORON FIBER COMPOSITES

1. MODULUS TESTS

The specimens consisted of a laminate comprised of 8-ply S-glass Scotchply tape (50% by weight), seven interspersed layers of approximately 2-inch-long boron fibers (23% by weight), and epoxy Epon 828/1031 resin (27% by weight).

The specimens were tested in compression and resulted in values summarized in Table III. For comparison, reported values of pure S-glass tape and pure unidirectional boron composite are listed in the same table.

| TABLE III |
| COMPARISON OF SHORT BORON FIBER COMPOSITES* |
| IN AXIAL COMPRESSION |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load Application</th>
<th>Short-Fiber Composite</th>
<th>S-Glass**</th>
<th>Boron***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max strength, psi</td>
<td>133,000</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max modulus, 10^6 psi</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Unidirectional
** Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Information
*** From Air Force sponsored boron work at Narmco

In comparing the short-fiber specimen with pure glass and pure boron composites, it can be said that the values follow a generally logical pattern, which is obvious in the graphical presentation, Figure 65.
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Figure 65. Effect of Boron Additions on Properties (Test Data)
Based on this diagram, it appears that approximately 50% short boron fibers and 50% glass fibers will provide the desired 20 x 10^6 psi modulus combined with a high compressive and flexural strength. In comparing these projected values with high-strength aluminum, there appears to be noticeable improvement of material properties as summarized in Table IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Density lb/in.³</th>
<th>Compressive Yield Strength, 10^3 psi</th>
<th>Compressive Modulus, 10^6 psi</th>
<th>Specific Strength, 10^6 psi</th>
<th>Specific Modulus, 10^6 psi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al 7075-T6</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Boron*</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>146%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>238%</td>
<td>160%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Estimated, based on Figure 65.

The relative improvement expressed in percent is exceptional and would justify additional research, even if it resulted in somewhat lower structural improvement than indicated by the preliminary test results.

2. FATIGUE TESTS

The fatigue test data of a short boron fiber composite were compared with fatigue data of 7075-T6 aluminum (MIL-HDBK 5) and a composite which was fabricated with a Scotchply 1002 resin and unidirectional S-glass (FPL Tech. Rep. AFML-64-403 October 1964).

The properties utilized for these materials are presented in the following table.
TABLE V
MATERIAL PROPERTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Density #/cu.in.</th>
<th>Ultimate Tensile Strength, psi</th>
<th>Modulus $10^6$ psi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum 7075-T6</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-glass &amp; Scotchply</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-glass &amp; short boron</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two panels were fabricated with unidirectional short boron fibers and unidirectional S-glass (single-end roving). The physical and mechanical properties are given in the following table.

TABLE VI
PROPERTIES OF TESTED PANELS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel No.</th>
<th>Specific Gravity</th>
<th>Boron Content Vol.%</th>
<th>Ultimate Tensile Strength, psi</th>
<th>Tensile Modulus, psi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>935</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>936</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>$17.2 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fatigue data for each of the above panels is shown in the following table.
TABLE VII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel No.</th>
<th>Mean Stress % of Ultimate</th>
<th>Alternating Stress % of Ultimate</th>
<th>Cycles to Failure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>935</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>±23</td>
<td>$5.2 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>935</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>±15</td>
<td>$1.53 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>935</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>±10</td>
<td>$8.65 \times 10^6^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>936</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>±10</td>
<td>$1.03 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>936</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>±8</td>
<td>$2.63 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>936</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>±5</td>
<td>$6.1 \times 10^6^*$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fatigue data are also presented in Figures 66 through 69. Figure 66 is a modified Goodman diagram for the fatigue life of the short boron fiber panels, No. 935 and No. 936. The curves are estimates based on the data of Table IV and previous experience with other boron glass composites. Figure 67 presents S-N curves for the aluminum 7075-T6, S-glass and Scotchply, and the short boron and S-glass. The curves are plotted as a function of the alternating stress (% of ultimate) and cycles to failure for various mean stresses. Figure 68 is a comparison of the aluminum, S-glass and Scotchply, and short boron and S-glass at 0 mean stress plotted as a function of actual alternating stress and number of cycles to failure. Figure 69 is a comparison of the aluminum, S-glass and Scotchply, and short boron and S-glass at 0 mean stress plotted as a function of number of cycles to failure and the specific alternating stress. The specific alternating stress is obtained by the ratio of the alternating stress to the density of the material.

* Specimen did not fail.
Figure 66. Modified Goodman Diagram for the Fatigue Life of Unidirectional Short Boron Fibers and Unidirectional S-Glass Composite
Figure 67. S-N Curves for Various Composites and Aluminum
Figure 68. S-N Curves for Various Composites and Aluminum for 0 Mean Stress
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The stress fields in the components of a unidirectional composite due to shrinkage and external loads are computed for 20 matrix/reinforcement combinations having various volumetric contents. Further, the load transmissions between loaded and unloaded fibers are formulated as three-dimensional elasticity solutions. The instability problem of a composite is treated by both the static and the energy method, resulting in critical loads and buckling wavelengths which depend on material constants and geometries. The theoretical results are in good agreement with experiments. The work reported herein encompasses the following principal areas: (1) parametric studies (internal stresses and displacements computed for unidirectional composites comprised of different matrices and reinforcements combinations and different volumetric contents); (2) three-dimensional load transfer among loaded and unloaded fibers in a matrix; (3) buckling of fibers in a matrix under axial load as an elasticity solution; (4) the buckling of fibers in a matrix under axial load, solved with the Ritz-Galerkin method; and (5) buckling of fibers in a matrix due to matrix shrinkage.
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